

C2M comment discussion

Matt Brown

IEEE 802.3ck Chief Editor

IEEE P802.3ck Task Force

April 8, 2020

Agenda

Provide background to help resolve comments.

Comments 96, 11, 154

VEC and EH values

CI	SC	P	L	#
120G	120G.3.1	P 221	L 21	# 96
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status	X	
Vertical eye closure is TBD				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Replace TBD with 10 and see ghiasi_3ck_01_0320				
Proposed Response	Response Status <input type="radio"/>			

CI	SC	P	L	#
120G	120G.3.1	P 221	L 20	# 11
Hidaka, Yasuo		Credo Semiconductor		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status	X	
As we discussed in ad hoc in hidaka_3ck_adhoc_01_021920, I recommend max 9dB VEC at TP1a with Rx noise of $\eta_0 = 4.1E-8V^2/GHz$.				
In the same presentation, EH (min) and bmax(n) were also provided.				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Change Table 120G-1 as follows:				
Change the value of vertical eye closure (max) from TBD dB to 9 dB.				
Change the value of eye height, differential (min) from 15 mV to 14mV.				
Change Table 120G-9 as follows:				
Change the value of η_0 from TBD V^2/GHz to $4.1E-8V^2/GHz$.				
Change the value of $b_{max}(1)$ from TBD to 0.5.				
Change the value of $b_{max}(2)$ from TBD to 0.15.				
Change the value of $b_{max}(3)$ from TBD to 0.1.				
Change the value of $b_{max}(4)$ from TBD to 0.05.				
Alternatively, if a lower value of $b_{max}(1)$ is preferred, the following is also OK.				
Change Table 120G-1 as follows:				
Change the value of vertical eye closure (max) from TBD dB to 9 dB.				
Change the value of eye height, differential (min) from 15 mV to 13.5mV.				
Change Table 120G-9 as follows:				
Change the value of η_0 from TBD V^2/GHz to $4.1E-8V^2/GHz$.				
Change the value of $b_{max}(1)$ from TBD to 0.3.				
Change the value of $b_{max}(2)$ from TBD to 0.2.				
Change the value of $b_{max}(3)$ from TBD to 0.1.				
Change the value of $b_{max}(4)$ from TBD to 0.05.				
Proposed Response	Response Status <input type="radio"/>			

CI	SC	P	L	#
120G	120G	P 221	L 20	# 154
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	X	
The Vertical Eye Closure has a TBD value, and the appropriate value depends on the parameters in the test methodology table 120G.4.2. I will have a presentation to justify the choices in the proposed change.				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Change the values in table 120G-9 from TBD to				
One sided spectral noise 5e-8				
b1max = 0.4				
b2-bn max=0.15				
Change the VEC in table 120G-1 to 7.5dB.				
Proposed Response	Response Status <input type="radio"/>			

Three values for VEC (max.) are proposed to replace TBD:

#96: 10 dB

#11: 9 dB

#154: 7.5 dB

Is there a compromise value?

#11 also proposes to reduce EH to 14 mV
D1.1 specifies EH (max.) as 15 mV.

Comments 10165, 10166

Test method

Dp and Np values

Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 45 # 10165

Li, Mike Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 24]

"Dp equal to 3" is not right as there are 3 pre-taps for the host

SuggestedRemedy

change "Dp equal to 3" to "Dp equal to 4".

Proposed Response Response Status

Cl 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 45 # 10166

Li, Mike Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 226 - In 24]

"Np equal to 200" is not appropriate as UI becomes half in second.

SuggestedRemedy

"Np equal to 200" to "Np equal to 400"

Proposed Response Response Status

Perform the following step once:

- a) Capture the signal according the method defined in 162.9.3.1.1, with the exception that the test system has a low-pass response equivalent to the specified receiver noise filter with associated parameters in Table 120G-9 in place of the low-pass response specified in 162.9.3, to give $y_1(k)$.

Perform the following five steps for each valid combination of g_{DC} and g_{DC2} as specified in Table 120G-9:

- b) Compute the response $y_2(k)$ by applying the effect of the continuous time filter to $y_1(k)$ using the associated parameters in Table 120G-9.
- c) Compute the linear fit pulse response $p_2(k)$ using the method defined in 162.9.3.1.1 with parameter M the same as for step a), D_p equal to 3, and N_p equal to 200.

In D1.1, $D_p = 3$ and $N_p = 200$

Comments propose changing to:
 $D_p = 4$ and $N_p = 400$

Discussion at ad hoc had opposing opinions.

View #1 The fitted pulse response is used only for determine the DFE sampling phase and tap weights. Therefore smaller values would suffice.

View #2 The fitted pulse might be used to analyze the pulse response for assessing the quality of the signal above and beyond providing EH and VEC.

There was no consensus on which direction to take.

Comments 10157, 114

CTF gain, part 1

CTF gain range

CI 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 19 # 10157

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 225 - In 44]

This allows combinations such as $g_{DC}=-3$, $g_{DC2}=-3$ that should not happen, receivers don't need to design for, and waste time in the "for each valid combination of g_{DC} and g_{DC2} " measurement procedure.

SuggestedRemedy

Limit the combinations:

g_{DC2}	g_{DC}
0 or 1	3 to 14
2	6 to 14
3	9 to 14

CI 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 15 # 114

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Is not necessary to allow all combination of g_{DC} and g_{DC2}

SuggestedRemedy

Move g_{DC} and g_{DC2} into a new table with 3 columns for TP1a, TP4, and TP5 per ghiasi_3ck_01_0320

CTF gain step size

CI 120G SC 120G.4.2 P 232 L 19 # 10143

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status D

[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.4.2 - Pg 225 - In 46]

Are 1 dB steps for g_{DC2} fine enough?

SuggestedRemedy

Change to 1/2 dB?

120G.4.2 Eye opening measurement method

The eye opening parameters eye height, eye width, and vertical eye closure are measured with the effect of a reference receiver which includes receiver input referred noise, a continuous-time filter as defined in 93A.1.4.3, a receiver noise filter as defined in 93A.1.4.1, and a decision-feedback equalizer as defined in 93A.1.6, using the parameters specified in Table 120G-9.

Table 120G-9—Eye opening reference receiver parameter values

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Units
Receiver 3 dB bandwidth	f_r	$0.75 \times f_b$	GHz
Continuous time filter, DC gain	g_{DC}	-14	dB
Minimum value		-3	dB
Maximum value		1	dB
Step size			dB
Continuous time filter, DC gain 2	g_{DC2}	-3	dB
Minimum value		0	dB
Maximum value		1	dB
Step size			dB
Continuous time filter, zero frequency for $g_{DC} = 0$	f_z	12.58	GHz
Continuous time filter, pole frequencies	f_{p1} f_{p2}	20 28	GHz GHz
Continuous time filter, low-frequency pole/zero	f_{LF}	$f_b / 40$	GHz
Decision feedback equalizer (DFE) length	N_b	4	UI
Normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limit	$b_{max}(n)$		—
$n = 1$		TBD	
$n = 2$ to N_b		TBD	
One-sided noise spectral density	η_0	TBD	V ² /GHz

- f) Compute the variance of the noise at the output of the receive equalizer σ_y^2 based on the one-sided spectral density η_0 , provided in Table 120G-9, referred to the receiver noise filter input per Equation (93A-35).

Copyright © 2020 IEEE. All rights reserved.
This is an unapproved IEEE Standards draft, subject to change.

232

Draft Amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 IEEE P802.3ck 100 Gb/s, 200 Gb/s, and 400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces Task Force IEEE Draft P802.3ok/D1.1 10th February 2020

- g) Compute an eye diagram from $y_{rx}(k)$, including the effect of Gaussian noise with variance calculated in the previous step.
- h) From the eye diagram, compute the eye height, eye width, and vertical eye closure from the eye diagram using the methodologies in 120E.4.2 and 120E.4.3.

Within the set of combinations of g_{DC} and g_{DC2} with eye height meeting the target requirement, for the combination resulting in the smallest vertical eye closure, the eye height, eye width, and vertical eye closure are used as the measured values.

Comments 10157, 10143, 114

CTF gain, part 2

gDC2	gDC					
	D1.1	dawe comment #10157	ghiasi presentation	OIF	discussion compromise	Other
0	-3 to -14	-3 to -14	-2 to -4	-3 to -12	-2 to -6	?
-1	-3 to -14	-3 to -14	-2 to -7	-3 to -12	-2 to -9	?
-2	-3 to -14	-6 to -14	-4 to -11	-6 to -12	-4 to -11	?
-3	-3 to -14	-9 to -14	-8 to -13	-9 to -11	-8 to -13	?
# of combos 1 dB step	$12 \times 4 =$ 48	$12 \times 2 + 9 + 6 =$ 39	$3 + 6 + 8 + 6 =$ 23	$10 \times 2 + 7 + 3 =$ 30	$5 + 8 + 8 + 6 =$ 27	$x + x + x + x =$ y
# of combos 0.5 dB step	96	78	46	60	54	$2 \times y$