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## Appendix: New comment resolution proposal Starting on page 16

## Background / Goals

- Review current EOJ measurement methodology
- The Unexpected Problem Impacting EOJ Measurements
- Review EOJ measurement results performed with different CR loop bandwidths
- Recommendations to consider in anticipation of comments to be submitted against draft 1.3

APPENDIX: Supplemental EOJ Measurement Data

## Output Jitter: IEEE 802.3ck

Table 162-10 - Summary of transmitter specifications at TP2 Even-odd jitter (max 19 mUI ) references Subclause 162.9.3.3.

### 162.9.3.3 Output jitter

Output jitter is characterized by three parameters, J3u, $\mathrm{J}_{\text {RMS }}$, and even-odd jitter. These parameters are calculated from measurements with a single transmit equalizer setting to compensate for the loss of the transmitter package and host channel. The equalizer setting is chosen to minimize any or all of the jitter parameters.
J 3 u and $\mathrm{J}_{\text {RMS }}$ are calculated using the measurement method specified in 120D.3.1.8.1. J 3 u is defined as the time interval that includes all but $10^{-3}$ of $f_{J}(t)$, from the 0.05 th to the 99.95 th percentile of $f_{J}(t)$.
Even-odd jitter is calculated using the measurement method specified in 120D.3.1.8.2.

Table 120F-1 - Transmitter electrical characteristics at TP0v
Even-odd jitter (max 19 mUI ) references 120F.3.1.3

## 120F.3.1.3 Output jitter

Output jitter is characterized by three parameters: J4u, JRMS, and even-odd jitter. These parameters are calculated from measurements with a single transmit equalizer setting to compensate for the loss of the transmitter package and test fixture. The equalizer setting is chosen to minimize any or all of the jitter parameters.

J 4 u and $\mathrm{J}_{\text {RMS }}$ are calculated using the jitter measurement method specified in 120D.3.1.8.1
Even-odd jitter is calculated using the jitter measurement method specified in 120D.3.1.8.2.

Both EOJ measurements in IEEE 802.3ck reference EOJ measurement method outlined in IEEE 802.3-2018 Annex 120D.3.1.8.2
(see next slide)

## Even-Odd Jitter (EOJ) Measurement defined in 120D.3.1.8.2

REFERENCE: IEEE 802.3-2018

IEEE Std 802.3-2018, IEEE Standard for Ethernet SECTION EIGHT

## 120D.3.1.8.2 Even-odd Jitter

For one of the 12 specific transitions in PRBS13Q in Table 120D-4
a) Trigger once in 3 repeats of the PRBS13Q test pattern

1) Obtain the mean time (T3) for this transition in the first PRBS13Q
2) Obtain the mean time ( $T 4$ ) for the same transition in the second PRBS13Q
b) The difference between the two means $(T 4-T 3)$, is the estimated period of the repeating pattern

For each of the 12 specific transitions in PRBS13Q in Table 120D-4:
a1) Trigger once in 2 repeats of the PRBS13Q test pattern.

1) Obtain the mean time ( $T 1$ ) for the specific transition in the first PRBS13Q
2) Obtain the mean time (T2) for the same transition in the second PRBS13Q
b1) Calculate even-odd jitter for this transition as $|(T 2-T 1)-(T 4-T 3)|$

## Even-odd jitter EOJ is the maximum of the 12 measurements.

NOTE 1-Both of $(T 2-T 1)$ and $(T 4-T 3)$ are about 8191 UI, which is much larger than the EOJ value. Hence, each of $T 1$ through $T 4$ should have high precision
NOTE 2-Even-odd jitter has been referred to as duty cycle distortion by other Physical Layer specifications for operation over electrical backplane or twinaxial copper cable assemblies (see 72.7.1.9). The term even-odd jitter is used here to distinguish it from the duty cycle distortion referred to by Physical Layer specifications for operation over fiber
optic cabling.

Annex 120D.3.1.8.2: EOJ is measured with a PRBS13Q pattern using a Clock Recovery Unit (CRU) with loop BW 4 MHz and slope $20 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{dec}$.

IEEE Std 802.3-2018, IEEE Standard for Etherne SECTION EIGHT

| Label | Description | Gray coded PAM4 symbols | Index of first symbol | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \text { transition } \\ \text { begins } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Index } \\ \text { transition } \\ \text { ends }}}{ }$ | Index of last symbol | $\begin{gathered} \text { Threshold } \\ \text { level } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REF | Reference for symbol index | 3333333 | 1 | - | - | 7 | - |
| R03 | 0 to 3 rise | 10000330 | 1830 | 1834 | 1835 | 1837 | $\left(V_{0}+V_{3}\right) / 2$ |
| F30 | 3 to 0 fall | 23333001 | 1269 | 1273 | 1274 | 1276 |  |
| R12 | 1 to 2 rise | 01111112222221 | 3638 | 3644 | 3645 | 3651 | $\left(V_{1}+V_{2}\right) / 2$ |
| F21 | 2 to 1 fall | 022222113 | 1198 | 1203 | 1204 | 1206 |  |
| R01 | 0 to 1 rise | 100000113 | 6835 | 6840 | 6841 | 6843 | $\left(V_{0}+V_{1}\right) / 2$ |
| F10 | 1 to 0 fall | 21111003 | 2992 | 2996 | 2997 | 2999 |  |
| R23 | 2 to 3 rise | 32222330 | 6824 | 6828 | 6829 | 6831 | $\left(V_{2}+V_{3}\right) / 2$ |
| F32 | 3 to 2 fall | 0333332222223 | 7734 | 7739 | 7740 | 7746 |  |
| R02 | 0 to 2 rise | 10000223 | 3266 | 3270 | 3271 | 3273 | $\left(V_{0}+V_{2}\right) / 2$ |
| F20 | 2 to 0 fall | 1222220000002 | 7282 | 7287 | 7288 | 7294 |  |
| R13 | 1 to 3 rise | 011111331 | 133 | 138 | 139 | 141 | $\left(V_{1}+V_{3}\right) / 2$ |
| F31 | 3 to 1 fall | 23333112 | 7905 | 7909 | 7910 | 7912 |  |

The jitter is measured with a clock recovery unit (CRU) with a corner frequency of 4 MHz and a slope of 20 dB /decade. Jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all lanes enabled and using identical transmitter equalizer settings. Transmitters on lanes not under test transmit PRBS31Q, or a valid 200GBASE-R or 400GBASE-R signal. PRBS31Q is described in 120.5.11.2.2

## Even-Odd Jitter (EOJ) Max Specs

REFERENCE: IEEE 802.3-2018 VS IEEE 802.3 DRAFT 1.3

## IEEE 802.3bs/cd (IEEE 802.3-2018)

- Baud Rate: 26.5625 GBd
- EOJ Max: $19 \mathrm{mUI}=715 \mathrm{fs}$


## IEEE 802.3ck Draft 1.3

- Baud Rate: 53.125 GBd
- EOJ Max: $19 \mathrm{mUI}=358 \mathrm{fs}$

\# of mUl is the same, but absolute time is reduced by $50 \%$.
- Has root cause for EOJ on new 53 GBd transmitter designs been able to scale accordingly?
- Was measurement repeatability considered when selecting EOJ max spec of 358 fs? More on this later...
- Bottom Line: EOJ has extremely low margins in 802.3ck Draft 1.3.


## Even-Odd Jitter

## SUB-HARMONICS INTRODUCED BASED ON F_BAUD AND PATTERN REPETITION RATE

Identifies jitter impairments due to $1 / 2$ rate clock systems (rising or falling clock edge), including impairments from Tx designs that generate PAM4 signals from two independent NRZ signals (-> need to characterize all 12 combinations of PAM4 transitions).

The Standard intended to measure the timing differences between "even" and "odd" versions of the same edge.

Note - When using a PRBS13Q pattern to characterize EOJ, this places the "even" and "odd" transitions being compared 8191 symbols apart.


Sub-Harmonics of EOJ are created based on Fbaud and Pattern Length:

- Baud Rate: 53.125 Gbd
- PRBS13Q Pattern Length: 8191 Symbols
- Spectral Component $=$ Fbaud $/\left(2^{*}\right.$ PatternLength $)=\underline{3.24 \mathrm{MHz} \text { (in-band) }) ~}$

8191 symbols


## Unexpected Problem Impacting EOJ Measurements

## COMBINATION OF FBAUD, PATTERN LENGTH, CRU LOOP BW

## In practice, the EOJ result is impacted by the CDR loop BW and the selected pattern length.

1. EOJ from DUT: CDR responds to the EOJ in the signal, is filtered by
the Jitter Transfer Function (JTF) low-pass response, and moves the phase of the clock.

- Spectral Component $=$ Fbaud $/\left(2^{*}\right.$ PatternLength $)=53.125 \mathrm{GBd} / 16,382$ symbols $=3.24 \mathrm{MHz}$ (in-band)
- Normal distribution, increases/decreases the amplitude of the EOJ component based on phase relationship.
- But EOJ algorithm always records the maximum of the of the 12 edges (worst case result), so EOJ result will always be increased due to the component (sub-harmonic) of EOJ that falls within the loop BW of the CDR.


2. EOJ from CDR: If $1 / 2$ rate hardware CR does not have exactly $50 \%$ duty cycle, it introduces its own F/2 jitter.

[^0]> Neither of these interactions are what the EOJ measurement is trying to characterize. Efforts should be taken to minimize the impact CR on the measurement.

## What can be done?

Since "Real" systems don't have repetitive patterns that create this type of issue, it is our belief that any impairment of EOJ due to this relationship is unintended by the standard.

Is this understanding true? That is, CR loop BW of 4 MHz and test pattern length were chosen independently with no intent to create this interaction.

If our understanding is correct, the "interaction" effect can be mitigated if EOJ is measured with:

1. A lower CR Loop BW.

- Allow CR loop BW to be lowered from 4 MHz to xMHz (Example: ~ 100 kHz ).=> Simple
- See data that follows.

2. A "clean" reference clock (no CDR)

- Not readily available in many systems. => Not practical.

3. A shorter pattern such as PRBS9Q

- Not defined in the Standard...a pretty big change. => Not practical.
- Fbaud $/\left(2^{*}\right.$ PatternLength $)=53.125$ GBd $/\left(2^{*} 511\right)=52 \mathrm{MHz} \gg 4 \mathrm{MHz}$ CR loop BW


## EOJ Experiment: Evaluate Impact of CR Loop BW

3 DUTs characterized. Tx Source configured for 53.125 GBd, PAM4, PRBS13Q:

1. M8040A JBERT Pattern Generator
2. DUT\#2 (53.125 GBd PAM4)
3. 106G PHY (53.125 GBd PAM4)

Measure EOJ with two CR loop BW settings (100 measurements each) on the same oscilloscope setup (N1000A DCA-X +N1060A):

1. 4 MHz (currently specified by IEEE 802.3ck)
2. 106 kHz or 1 MHz

Evaluate impact of CR Loop BW on EOJ results:

1. EOJ Result
2. EOJ Distribution
3. EOJ Repeatability

## DUT 1: M8040A JBERT Pattern Generator

CR Loop BW: 4 MHz (current spec)


- EOJ Mean: 8.75 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: 7.5mUI-10.5mUI (3 mUI)
"ALL" result looks "Normal" (above), but individual edges are bi-modal (below).


CR Loop BW: 106 kHz


- EOJ Mean: 6.3mUI
- EOJ Min - Max: 5.0mUI - 7.5mUI ( 2.5 mUI )
"ALL" and individual edges have a "Normal" distribution.


Effect of lowering loop BW used to measure EOJ:

- Mean is reduced (as expected per item\#1 on slide 6)
- Bi-modal distribution is mitigated (as expected per item\#2 on slide 6)
- Lower CR loop BW can improve EOJ measurement accuracy.


## DUT 2: 53.125 GBd PAM4 TX

CR Loop BW: 4 MHz (current spec)


- EOJ Mean: 25.8 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: 21.5mUI - 29.5mUI (8 mUI)


## CR Loop BW: 1.06 MHz



- EOJ Mean: 11.6 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: 10 mUl - 14 mUl ( 4 mUI )

Effect of lowering loop BW used to measure EOJ:

- Mean is reduced (as expected per item\#1 on slide 6)
- Lower CR loop BW can improve EOJ measurement accuracy.


## DUT 3: 106 Gb/s PHY

CR Loop BW: 4 MHz (current spec)


- EOJ Mean: 18.1 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: $15.0 \mathrm{mUI}-21.0 \mathrm{mUI}(6 \mathrm{mUI})$

Bi-modal distribution in the "ALL" result (max of the 12 transitions).

CR Loop BW: 106 kHz


- EOJ Mean: 12.7 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: 9.0 mUl - 17.0 mUI ( 8 mUI )

Normal distribution in "ALL" results and individual 12 transitions.

## Effect of lowering loop BW used to measure EOJ:

- Mean is reduced (as expected per item\#1 on slide 6)
- Bi-modal distribution is mitigated (as expected per item\#2 on slide 6)
- Lower CR loop BW can improve EOJ measurement accuracy.


## EOJ Measurement Repeatability

- Degraded signals (closed eyes, slower dV/dt, jitter, skew) generated by a "real" DUT will impact EOJ repeatability.
- @ 53.125 GBd:
- EOJ max: $19 \mathrm{mUI}=358 \mathrm{fs}$
- $1 \mathrm{mUI}<19 \mathrm{fs}$
> Consider an increase EOJ max spec
to account for measurement repeatability of T\&M equipment on "real" PAM4 signals.


- EOJ Mean: 12.7 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: $9.0 \mathbf{~ m U I} \mathbf{- 1 7 . 0} \mathbf{~ m U I}(8 \mathbf{m U I})$


## Recommendations to support anticipated comments against Draft 1.3

1. Change CR Loop BW for EOJ measurements from " 4 MHz " to "<= 4 MHz". Add text to IEEE 802.3ck Draft 1.3:
a. Section 162.9.3.3

- "Even-odd jitter is calculated using the measurement method specified in 120D.3.2.8.2 with the exception that EOJ may be measured with a clock recovery unit (CRU) with a corner frequency of $<=4 \mathrm{MHz}$ and a slope of $20 \mathrm{~dB} /$ decade.
b. Annex 120F.3.1.3
- "Even-odd jitter is calculated using the measurement method specified in 120D.3.2.8.2 with the exception that EOJ may be measured with a clock recovery unit (CRU) with a corner frequency of $<=4 \mathrm{MHz}$ and a slope of $20 \mathrm{~dB} /$ decade.
162.9.3.3 Output jitter

Output jitter is characterized by three parameters, $\mathrm{J} 3 \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{RMS}}$, and even-odd jitter. These parameters are calculated from measurements with a single transmit equalizer setting to compensate for the loss of the transmitter package and host channel. The equalizer setting is chosen to minimize any or all of the jitter parameters.

J3u and $J_{\text {RMS }}$ are calculated using the measurement method specified in 120D.3.1.8.1. J3u is defined as the time interval that includes all but $10^{-3}$ of $f_{J}(t)$, from the 0.05 th to the 99.95 th percentile of $f_{J}(t)$.

Even-odd jitter is calculated using the measurement method specified in 120D.3.1.8.2.

120F.3.1.3 Output jitter
Output jitter is characterized by three parameters: $\mathrm{J} 4 \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{RMS}}$, and even-odd jitter. These parameters are calculated from measurements with a single transmit equalizer setting to compensate for the loss of the transmitter package and test fixture. The equalizer setting is chosen to minimize any or all of the jitter parameters.
J 4 u and $\mathrm{J}_{\text {RMS }}$ are calculated using the jitter measurement method specified in 120D.3.1.8.1.
2. Increase EOJ max spec from 19 mUI to $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{~ m U I}$ to account for measurement repeatability of T\&M equipment on "real" PAM4 signals.
a. Table 162-10
b. Table 120F-1

| Even-odd jitter, pk-pk ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 162.9 .3 .3 | 0.019 | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Even-odd jitter (max) | 120 F .3 .1 .3 | 0.019 | UI |

Thank you for your time!

## Appendix: Supplemental EOJ Measurement Data and material directed towards comments: 186, 187,188,189, 190

Compare EOJ data measured using PRBS13Q and 4 MHz CR Loop BW (current method defined in 802.3ck D1.3) to:

1. PRBS13Q / 106 kHz (same pattern, lower CR loop BW vs Standard)
2. PRBS9Q / 4 MHz (shorter test pattern, same CR loop BW vs Standard)

## DUT 1: M8040A JBERT Pattern Generator - Setup\#1

IMPACT OF LOWER LOOP BW AND SHORTER TEST PATTERN (PRBS9Q) ON O/P JITTER

## Lower Loop BW

CR Loop BW: 106 kHz, PRBS13Q, $\mathrm{N}=100$

- EOJ Mean: 10.0 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: 9-11 mUI (2 mUI)


## Current Test Method per 802.3ck D1.3

CR Loop BW: 4 MHz , PRBS13Q, N = 100

- EOJ Mean: 12.3 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: 11-13.5 mUl (2.5 mUI)
- J3u Mean: 69.9 mUI
- J3u Min-Max: 62-78 mUl (16 mUl)
- J J $M$ Mean: 9.7 mUI
- J Jms Min-Max: 9.3-10.3 mUI (1.0 mUI)


Effect of using Lower CR Loop BW and PRBS9Q to measure Output Jitter (EOJ, J3u, J ${ }_{\text {RMS }}$ ):

- EOJ Mean is reduced (as expected per item\#1 on slide 6)
- Minimal impact on Min-Max range (clean DUT)
- J3u and $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{RMS}}$ are not valid (loop BW too low).


## Shorter Test Pattern

CR Loop BW: 4 MHz, PRBS9Q, $N=100$

- EOJ Mean: 9.9 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: 9-11 mUl (2 mUI)
- J3u Mean: 73.9 mUI
- J3u Min-Max: 70.5-78.5 mUl ( 8 mUI)
- J Jms Mean: : 9.9 mUI
- J J ${ }_{\text {RMS }}$ Min-Max: 9.6-10.3 mUI (0.7 mUI)


## DUT 1: M8040A JBERT Pattern Generator - Setup\#2

IMPACT OF SHORTER TEST PATTERN (PRBS9Q / PRBS11Q) ON EOJ
CR Loop BW: 4 MHz , PRBS13Q (current spec)

- EOJ: 26 mUl
- J4u: 96 mUl
- J. JMs: 12.3 mUI



## Effect of using PRBS9Q / PRBS11Q to measure Output Jitter:

- EOJ Mean is reduced (as expected per item\#1 on slide 6)
- Good Output Jitter correlation using shorter patterns (PRBS9Q, PRBS11Q)


CR Loop BW: 4 MHz , PRBS9Q

- EOJ: $17.5 \mathrm{mUI} \longleftarrow$
- J4u: 83 mUI
- J.

CR Loop BW: 4 MHz , PRBS11Q

- EOJ: 19 mUI
- J4u: 85 mUl
- J. Jms: 10.1 mUI


## DUT 2: 53.125 GBd PAM4 TX

IMPACT OF SHORTER TEST PATTERN (PRBS9Q) ON EOJ

DUT not available to test with PRBS9Q pattern.

## DUT 3: 106 Gb/s PHY

## COMPARE IMPACT OF LOWER LOOP BW \& SHORTER TEST PATTERN ON EOJ

## Lower CR Loop BW

CR Loop BW: 106 kHz, PRBS13Q, N = 100

## Current Test Method per 802.3ck D1.3

CR Loop BW: 4 MHz , PRBS13Q, N = 100

- EOJ Mean: 15.5 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: $10.5 \mathrm{mUI}-21.0 \mathrm{mUI}(10.5 \mathrm{mUI})$
- J3u Mean: 116 mUI
- J3u Min-Max: 114-120 mUl (6 mUI)
- J JMS Mean: 17.6 mUI
- J Jms Min-Max: 17.2 - $17.9 \mathrm{mUI}(0.7 \mathrm{mUI})$


## Effect of using PRBS9Q / PRBS11Q to measure Output Jitter:

- EOJ Mean is reduced (as expected per item\#1 on slide 6)
- Good EOJ correlation using (i) lower loop BW ( 12.7 mUI ) and (ii) shorter test pattern ( 11.7 mUI ).

- EOJ Mean: 12.7 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: $9.0 \mathrm{mUl}-17.0 \mathrm{mUl}$ ( 8 mUI )
- J3u and $J_{\text {RMS }}$ are not valid (loop BW too low).


## Shorter Test Pattern

CR Loop BW: 4 MHz , PRBS9Q, $\mathrm{N}=100$

- EOJ Mean: 11.7 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: 9.5-13.5 mUl (4 mUI)
- J3u Mean: 118 mUI
- J3u Min-Max: 113-123 mUI (10 mUI)
- J Jms Mean: 17.9 mUI
- J Jms Min-Max: 17.1-19.1 mUI (2 mUI)


## DUT 4: 53.125 GBd PAM4 TX (Early silicon .3ck device Data: "Worst Case Condition")

IMPACT OF SHORTER TEST PATTERN (PRBS9Q) ON EOJ

CR Loop BW: 4 MHz, PRBS13Q (current spec)


- EOJ Mean: 36 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: $32 \mathrm{mUl}-43 \mathrm{mUl}$ ( 11 mUl )

)

CR Loop BW: 4 MHz, PRBS9Q


- EOJ Mean: 10.6 mUI
- EOJ Min-Max: $7 \mathrm{mUI}-19 \mathrm{mUI}(12 \mathrm{mUI})$
- J3u Mean: 96 mUI
- J3u Mean: 83 mUI
- J3u Min-Max: 75-125 (50 mUI)
- J3u StdDev: 6.25 mUI
- J Jms Mean: 12.2 mUI
- J JMs Mean: 16 mUI
- J Jms Min-Max: 11-21 mUl (10 mUl)
- J JMs Min-Max: 15-26 mUl (11 mUl)
- J Jms $\operatorname{StdDev:~} 1.2 \mathrm{mUI}$

Effect of using PRBS9Q to measure Output Jitter (EOJ, J3u, JRMs):

- Mean is reduced (as expected per item\#1 on slide 6)
- Minimal impact on Min-Max range (likely due to "worst case condition")


[^0]:    

    - \#2 can "interact" with \#1 and create bi-modal EOJ on top of \#1 (increased EOJ).

