

# Chief Editor's report

Pete Anslow, Ciena, P802.3cn Chief Editor

IEEE P802.3cn Task Force, Ad Hoc, 9 May 2019

## **P802.3cn editorial team**

Pete Anslow, Ciena

- Chief Editor and Editor for Clauses FM, 00, 1, 30, 45, 78, 116, 121, 124, 131, 138, 140

Peter Stassar, Huawei

- Editor for Clauses 122, 139

# Status


## P802.3cn D2.0 initial Working Group ballot

- Opened 16 Mar 2019, closed 14 Apr 2019
- 30 day comment period
- 45 comments received
- Thanks to those who reviewed the draft and those who commented
- Proposed responses posted 24 Apr 2019


|            | D 2.0 |        |       |
|------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Voters     | 241   |        |       |
| Approve    | 122   | 100.0% | ≥ 75% |
| Disapprove | 0     |        |       |
| Abstain    | 17    | 12.2%  | < 30% |
| Returns    | 139   | 57.7%  | > 50% |

| Description         | Clause(s)          | TR | T  | ER | E  | Total |
|---------------------|--------------------|----|----|----|----|-------|
| Front matter, Intro | 00, 1, FM          |    |    |    | 5  | 5     |
| Management          | 30, 45             |    |    |    |    | 0     |
| Misc                | 78, 116, 131       |    |    |    | 1  | 1     |
| TDECQ related       | 121, 124, 138, 140 |    | 1  |    | 2  | 3     |
| ER PMDs             | 122, 139           |    | 27 |    | 9  | 36    |
| Total comments      |                    | 0  | 28 | 0  | 17 | 45    |

# Comment distribution



# Comment history



# Meeting goals

Continue to resolve comments against Draft 2.0

- Comment resolution agenda in later slides
- Any presentations associated with comments will be reviewed during comment resolution
- TRs and ERs require signoff as to whether commenter is satisfied (but there aren't any)

Editors have identified less controversial comments as candidates for a bucket motion. These comments will not be reviewed individually, but will be resolved as proposed via a single motion in the Salt Lake City meeting.

The initial list of comments for the bucket motion is on slide 10 (also marked "Bucket" in comments file) please review this and ask for a comment to be taken off the list if you don't agree with the proposed response.

# Comment resolution

- The comments on the following slides will be resolved in sessions of the P802.3cn Task Force starting with the 1 May Ad Hoc call and to be completed in the Salt Lake City meeting starting on 20 May.
- The order in which the comments are reviewed and the schedule are **subject to change**
- Comments bracketed together with [] cover a common topic
  - Where a comment number is coloured blue it contains the proposed response for the group of comments
- Comments with underline (e.g., 300) have associated hyperlinked presentations.

# Ad Hoc call comment resolution

- The comment resolution process for Ad Hoc calls will be the same as that for face-to-face meetings with the exception that if it becomes necessary to conduct a straw poll due to lack of consensus on the response, resolution of the comment will be deferred to the face-to-face meeting.
- Comments that have been resolved in previous Ad Hoc calls are marked with a green background on the following slides (e.g., 300)



## General comments (25 comments)

- [12](#)
- [4](#), [5](#)
- [1](#), [9](#)
- [2](#)
- [28](#)
- 16, 17, 18, 19
- [[34](#), 33, 35, 36, 37]
- 14
- [[39](#), 38, 40, 41, 44, 42, 43, 45]

Interoperability

Average power

Table footnotes

Tx transition time wording

Front matter

IEC 60793-2-50:2018

TDECQ max

SRS correction

Reduced power budget

## Comments for bucket motion (20 comments)

- [13, 3, 6, 29, 30, 31, 32], [15, 10, 11]
- 7, 8
- 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27

Rounding

dBm

Editorial

Thanks!