IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ FM	SC FM	P 14	L10	# 186	C/ 1	SC 1.4.237b	P 22	L 27	# 187
Dawe, Pier	s	Nvidia			Dawe, P	iers	Nvidia		

Comment Type Comment Status A Е

IEEE Std 802.3cv-20xx is listed as part of the base document on page 1, but not in the list in pp 11 to 13. P802.3cv was approved as a new standard by the IEEE SA Standards Board on 9 May 2021.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 20xx to 2021. On page 14, add a paragraph for IEEE Std 802.3cv-2021

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "IEEE Std 802.3cv-20xx" to "IEEE Std 802.3cv-2021"

Add the following description for .3cv

IFFF Std 802 3cv™-2021

Amendment 12-This amendment implements editorial and technical corrections, refinements, and clarifications to Clause 145. Power over Ethernet, and related portions of the standard. No new features are added by this amendment.

C/ 1	SC 1.4.237b	P 22	L 27	# 187
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		

Comment Type Comment Status A TR

This says "from a transmitting DWDM PHY (TP2 or TP6) to...", which is clearly wrong because TP2 and TP6 are not PHYs nor at PHYs; as 164.2.4.2 says, they are at the output end of a patch cord, between 2 m and 5 m in length. It is important to be clear where TP2 and TP6 are so that transmitter measurements are done correctly. Notice that in this draft, "DWDM channel" appears nowhere except in the definition for "black link approach", and "black link approach" appears nowhere else.

SuggestedRemedy

Options are:

1. Change "from a transmitting DWDM PHY (TP2 or TP6) to a receiving DWDM PHY (TP3 or TP7)" to "from TP2 or TP6 associated with a transmitting DWDM PHY to TP3 or TP7 at a receiving DWDM PHY".

This is "correct" but weird, as all other optical clauses except 154 and 156 define the medium, fiber optic cabling or channel, as from MDI to MDI, which is preferable (same demarcation plane in both directions, doesn't leave an uncontrolled patch cord and connector).

2. Change "from a transmitting DWDM PHY (TP2 or TP6) to a receiving DWDM PHY (TP3 or TP7)" to "from a transmitting DWDM PHY to a receiving DWDM PHY", in 1.4.160a black link approach, change "from TP2 to TP3 or from TP6 to TP7" to "from MDI to MDI" or "from PMD to PMD", or delete. Adjust Figure 154-2, Block diagram for 100GBASE-ZR transmit/receive paths, to show the "DWDM channel" extending from MDI to MDI (the patch cord to TP2 can be part of that, or an alternative connection to the Tx MDI). Revise 154.6, The DWDM channel over a DWDM black link, so the single-channel points are MDIs rather than TP2 and TP3.

This is correct, consistent with other optical clauses, and sensible.

3. In 1.4.160a black link approach, change "path from TP2 to TP3 or from TP6 to TP7 for a given DWDM channel within a DWDM black link, without" to "path from TP2 to TP3 for a given DWDM channel within a DWDM black link, or from MDI to MDI for a given DWDM channel within a Super-PON medium, without".

This makes this clause correct, consistent with almost all other optical clauses, and sensible.

4. Delete the definitions for "DWDM channel" and "black link approach", In 164.2.4.2, delete "using the 'black link' approach". In Figure 164-3, Super-PON PMD Test Points, change "Black link" to "Super-PON medium" (similar to Figure 141-1, and 1.4.253 Community Antenna Television (CATV)-type broadband medium) or to "Super-PON optical path" (as in 164.2.8). Change 164.2.8 Black Link Specification to 164.2.8 Super-PON medium. Change "An example of black link implementation is described in Annex 164A" to "An example implementation of a Super-PON medium is described in Annex 164A". In Annex 164A, change "Super-PON black link implementation" or "black link implementation" to "implementation of a Super-PON medium" (several times).

This avoids the error and avoids unnecessary terminology and controversy. Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 1	Page 1 of 20
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 1.4.237b	6/18/2021 12:22:35 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

In 1.4.160a black link approach, change "path from TP2 to TP3 or from TP6 to TP7 for a given DWDM channel within a DWDM black link, without" to "path from TP2 to TP3 for a given DWDM channel within a DWDM black link, or from MDI to MDI for a given DWDM channel within a Super-PON medium, without". This makes this clause correct, consistent with almost all other optical clauses, while not requiring changes to any clauses outside of .3cs. C/ 1 SC 1.4.245c P 22 L 32 # 107 Anslow, Pete Independent Comment Type Е Comment Status A Although some improvements in this respect have been made in D2.1, the text of 1.4.245c does not correctly reflect the changes that have been made from the published version of IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020: "1.4.245c EQT: The unit of measurement of time for time-related parameters specified in IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 144 Multipoint MAC Control for Nx25G-EPON. Each EQT is equal to the time required to transmit one EQ between the MCRS and the PCS across 25GMII, and equal to 2.56 ns." SuggestedRemedy In the first sentence: add "IEEE Std 802.3, " in plain black font before "Clause 144" add " for Nx25G-EPON" in strikethrough font after "Multipoint MAC Control" At the end of what is now the third sentence, ", and equal to 2.56 ns." has changed to ", the EQT is equal to 2.56 ns." so add "and" in strikethrough font and change "the EQT is" to underline font. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 1 SC 1.4.245c P 22 L34 # 112 DeSanti, Claudio **Dell Technologies** Comment Type Е Comment Status A "Each EQT is equal to the time required to transmit one EQ between the MCRS and the PCS in the downstream direction". Why just in the downstream direction? This time interval is the same also in the upstream direction SuggestedRemedy Remove "in the downstream direction" Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 1	SC 1.4.275a	P 22	L 40	# 188
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia		

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A**

Free Spectral Range is not the range of frequencies (or wavelengths) over which the properties of an optical filter repeat. It is the distance between pairs of repetitions, as 164A.3 Wavelength Router, says: "AWGs are naturally cyclical and their repetition frequency is referred to as the free spectral range (FSR)".

Wikipedia quoting Hecht says: Free spectral range (FSR) is the spacing in optical frequency or wavelength between two successive reflected or transmitted optical intensity maxima or minima of an interferometer or diffractive optical element.

SuggestedRemedy

the spacing in frequency or wavelength between successive repetitions of the properties of an optical filter.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change definition 1.4.275a to read:

Free Spectral Range: the spacing in frequency or wavelength between successive repetitions of the properties of an optical filter.

C/ 1 SC 1.5	P 2	2	L 47	# 189
Dawe, Piers	Nvidi	а		
Comment Type E	Comment Status	Α		
Chromatic Dispersion Dispersion Compensatio Free Spectral Range Passive Optical Networl	on Module K			
SuggestedRemedy				
chromatic dispersion dispersion compensatio free spectral range passive optical network	n module			
Also in 1.4.231a		•		
ACCEPT.	Response Status	C		

C/ 1 SC 1.5

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 45	SC 45	P 25	L1	# 234	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.	134b	P 27	L 22	# 108
Wienckow	wski, Natalie	General Moto	ors		Anslow, P	ete		Independent		
Comment	t Type E	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Commen	t Status A		
I miss goes Includ Suggeste Betwo	sed this when re from 45 to 45.2. de existing headi <i>dRemedy</i> een 45 and 45.2	viewing D2.0 and it appears e 1 without the title for 45.2. Per ngs for each layer above the 1 add: 45.2 MDIO Interface F	veryone else die the instruction heading being in Registers	d as well. The draft s in the template: nserted or modified.]	In Tab Since "This 4 presur "PMA/	le 45-103b the the text in 45.2 I-bit field indica nably, the deso PMD first trans	descriptions for 2.1.134b.6 Sup ates the lowest cription for bits smit channel su	or bits 1.1003.7: er-PON channe numbered Sup 1.1003.3:0 sho upported"	4 and 1.1003.3:0 l x (1.1003.3:0) s er-PON transmit uld be:	are the same. ays: channel supported"
Pospons	oon no ana noi <u>-</u>		tog.otoro		Suggestea	lRemedy				
ACCE	EPT.	Response Status C			In Tab "PMA/	le 45-103b cha PMD first trans	ange the descr smit channel si	iption for bits 1.7 upported"	1003.3:0 to:	
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1	P 25	L16	# 232	Response ACCE	PT	Response	Status C		
Wienckow	wski, Natalie	General Moto	ors							
Comment	t Type E	Comment Status A			C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.	134b	P 27	L 22	# 191
A row	with ellipsis was	s not added at the bottom of T	able 45-3 per c	omments 63 & 95 on	Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
D2.0.					Comment	Туре Е	Commen	t Status A		
Suggeste Add a	an ellipsis row af	ter the existing last row			"Super Compa	r-PON channel are 45.2.1.134	I x PMA/PME b.6 "the lowest) last transmit cl	hannel supported er-PON transmit	" channel"
Response	9	Response Status C			Suggested	Remedy				
ACCE	EPT.				Chang	e last to first				
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.2	3a.1 P 25	L 32	# 190	Response		Response	Status C		
Dawe. Pi	ers	Nvidia			ACCE	PT.				
Comment	t Type T	Comment Status A			See co	omment #108				
This s	says "In the ONU	J, this bit indicates whether the	e downstream o	lifferential decoding is	C/ 45	SC 45.2.3		P 28	L31	# 192
enabl do do	led in the ONU re worstream different	eceive PMA": but it's RO so no ential decoding automatically	o-one can enab	le it, and all such ONUs	Dawe Pie	rs		Nvidia	-••	
"The	ONU shall imple	ment automatic detection of r	eceive path diff	erential encoding, and	Comment	Type E	Commen	t Status A		
switcl	h in the decoder	as appropriate."			and Si	IDER-PON FPC	ON BER monito	or threshold con	trol	
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggestee	IRemedu				
In the ONU	e ONU, this bit in receive PMA.	dicates whether downstream	differential deco	oding is active in the	Delete	"EPON"				
Response	Э	Response Status C			Response		Response	Status C		
ACCE	EPT.				ACCE	PT.				

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC

C/ **45** SC **45.2.3** Page 3 of 20 6/18/2021 12:22:35 PM

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.45	P 33	L 24	# 109	C/ 164	SC 164		P 41	L 3	# 145
Anslow, I	Pete	Independent			Ran, Adee	9		Cisco		
Commen	t Type E	Comment Status A			Comment	Type E	Comment	Status R		
The t 802.3	itle of Table 45-21 sca-2020 and not t	7 shows the changes that hat hat he changes required for this	ave already beer amendment.	n made by IEEE Std	This c have a	lause has PN all these in a	ID, PMA, PCS, a single clause. Sir	s well as RS a nilar previous p	nd MAC control. project 802.3ca h	It is unusual in 802.3 to ad separate clauses
Suggeste	dRemedy				141-14	14.				
In the delete remo remo strike insert	e title of Table 45-2 e the "and" in strike ve the underline fr ve the underline fr through font instea t ", and Super-PON	217: ethrough font om the first comma om ", and Nx25G EPON" an ad N" in underline font after "Nx	d show the "and 25G EPON"	" in this text in	Also, t physic confus <i>Suggestec</i> Break	he clause na al layer (as s singly it is pa <i>IRemedy</i> this clause in	me is inappropria hown in Figure 1 t of the "physical nto multiple claus	ite since MAC 64-2). Also RS layer") es.	control defined in is not part of the	ו 164.5 is outside of the PHY (though פון איריין איריי איריין איריין
Response	9	Response Status C			Response		Response	Status C		
ACCI	EPT.				, REJE	CT.				
CI 56	SC 56.1.2	P 36	L 29	# 113	A sing	le clause wa	s allocated to this	project, and g	iven the scope o	f changes to .3cs
Huber, T	om	Nokia			clause chang	es, it was dec es to the dra	ided that an aggr ft needed.	egate approac	h for Super-PON	would work best. No
Commen	t Type E	Comment Status A				SC 464 4		DAA	17	# 202
The 0 802.3	change shown in th Bca, so it should no	ne first line (changing "two" t ot be marked as a change he	o "the following" ere.) was already made in	Dawe, Pie	sc 164.1		P 4 1 Nvidia	LI	# 203
Suggeste	dRemedy				Comment	Type E	Comment	Status A		
Chan by 80	ge the editing instr 2.3ca):". Change t	ruction to say "Add item d to the first sentence to read "Fo	the lettered list or P2MP optical	in 56.1.2 (as modified fiber topologies, EFM	Super	-PON Overvi	ew - gratuitous ca	pitals in sever	al section and ta	ble headings
supp	orts the following s	systems:", with no change m	arks.		Suggested	Remedy				
Response ACCI	e EPT.	Response Status C			Cnang 164.1 164.2. Table	je to: Super-PON 2 PMD nami 164-2OLT	overview ng and ONU pairings			
Cl 64	SC 64.2.6.1	P 49	L10	# 227	and so	on, includin	g in the annexes			
Stassar,	Peter	Huawei Tech	nologies		Response		Response	Status C		
Comment The r	t <i>Type</i> ER ow for TDP is amb	Comment Status A bigious.			ACCE	PT.				
Suaaeste	dRemedv									
Chan	ge row text to "Tra natic dispersion (C	nsmitter and dispersion pen	alty (TDP), for 0	to 910 ps/nm residual						
Response ACCI	e EPT.	Response Status W								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 164 SC 164.1 Page 4 of 20 6/18/2021 12:22:35 PM

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

01.404	00 404 4	D 44	1.00	# 000	01.404	00 404 0 0	D 40		# 404
0/164	SC 164.1	P 41	£ 39	# 226	C/ 164	SC 164.2.2	P 42	L 39	# 194
Stassar, F	Peter	Huawei Techi	nologies		Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
The te Figure page, Suggested	ext makes refere 164-1 where th it's not clear in F <i>dRemedy</i>	nce to MDIs "as shown in Figu ey actually are. Similarly with Figure 164-1 what the boundar	ure 164-1" but it reference to OD ries of the ODN	not obvious from N in line 44, same are	"BASE around modul and th cell bl	E" doesn't mear d 190 THz, far f lation type (e.g. le similar Table ank.	n that the PMD uses a baseba rom baseband. See 1.2.3, P , BASE) indicates how encod 141-6, PMD naming element	and signal, and th hysical Layer and led data is transm ts, which avoids t	tese ones operate d media notation, "The nitted on the medium" his error by leaving the
Chang	je rigule 104-1				Suggestee	dRemedy			
Response		Response Status W			Repla	ce "PMD uses a	a baseband signal" with a lon	g dash.	
ACCE	PT.				Response		Response Status C		
C/ 164	SC 164.2.1.	P 43	L18	# 202	ACCE	PT.			
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			C/ 164	SC 164.2.4	.2 P46	L 6	# 204
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Figure	e 164-2 looks too 164-2 there sh	much like Figure 141-1 wher	e there is a sing אחח אוסא	le fibre at each MDI. In	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Suggested	Remedy				The te and 10	est points in Fig 64-6.	ure 164-3 should be shown m	ore precisely, as	in figures 141-2, 164-5
Show	two fibres betwe	en the OLT's MDI and the bo	x labelled "Black	c Link"	Suaaestea	dRemedv			
Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.			TP2 a Also, 1	nd TP6 at outp the OLT SIGNA	ut of patch cord (the crosses) \L_DETECT arrows should po	, TP3 and TP7 at oint the other way	t the MDI. /.
In Figu chang	ure 164-2, chang e into all similar	ge "Fiber" to "Fiber pair" betwe figures in Clause 164.	een OLT MDI an	d Black Link box. Same	Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C		
C/ 164	SC 164.2.1.	P 43	L 23	# 225	C/ 164	SC 164.2.4	.2 P46	L11	# 114
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee	Э	Cisco		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Rogue	e capitals				On the (twice	e left side (OLT), which seems) SIGNAL_DETECT appears wrong.	as if it is sent from	m the PMA to the PMD
PON N somet	<i>Remedy</i> Vledium should k hing else: see a	be PON medium, Black Link s nother comment).	hould be Black	ink (or possibly	Suggested	dRemedy ge direction of t	he arrows.		
Response	<u> </u>	Response Status			Response		Response Status		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			ACCE	PT.			
Apply be Bla	to all figures in (ack link.	Clause 164: PON Medium sho	ould be PON me	dium, Black Link should					

C/ 164 SC 164.2.4.2

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164 SC 164.2.6.1 P48 L41 # 116 C/ 164 SC 164.2.6.1 P48	L 50	# 217
Ran, Adee Dawe, Piers Nvidia		
Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A		
Tbale 164-5 is continued into next page but continued table is not labeled accordingly. "Maximum mean channel output power" is not the because it is not the power at the output of the change of the power at its input. The style manual 10.1.1 H	e 802.3 term for th hannel (= optical p lomogeneity, says	nis, and it's confusing path) at all, it's close to s "The same term should
SuggestedRemedy be used throughout each standard or series of sta	andards to design	ate a given concept".
Add continuation flag. SuggestedRemedy		
Response Response Status C Change to "Average launch power, each channel Monore To	l (max)", as in Cla able 164-7, so 4 pl	use 141. Similarly for laces in all.
ACCEPT. Response Response Status C		
C/ 164 SC 164.2.6.1 P48 L47 # 219 ACCEPT.		
Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 164 SC 164.2.6.1 P 49	L1	# 218
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Dawe, Piers Nvidia		
The transmitter tables contain "Channel center frequencies" while 164.2.9.3 says "center wey clongth". These people to be appreciated with each other.		
Split table		
SuggestedRemedy		
wavelengths (frequencies)" or "Channel center wavelength (frequency)", or change First table part should have a thin line at the botto	om, second title sl	hould say "(continued)"
164.2.9.3. Response Status C		
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.		
In the transmitter tables, change "Channel center frequencies" to "Channel center C/ 164 SC 164.2.6.2 P49	L 37	# 117
wavelengths (frequencies)" Ran, Adee Cisco		
C/ 164 SC 164 2 6 1 P48 / 47 # 115 Comment Type T Comment Status A		
Ban Adee Cisco	ig is not clear here	9.
Comment Type F Comment Status A also in Table 164-8.		
In Table 164-5, "Channel center frequencies" is not a number but a pointer to a table, so SuggestedRemedy		
"THz" is not appropriate here. Table 164-4 specifies the units. Change to dB and add a footnote explaining the i	intent as necessa	ry.
Also in Table 164-7 and possibly other places Response Response Status C		
Suggested Remedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Change "THz" to em dash, here and elsewhere as necessary	tral resolution"	
Response Response Statue C	Strai resolution".	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 164 SC 164.2.6.2 Page 6 of 20 6/18/2021 12:22:35 PM

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164	SC 164.2.6.2	P 49	L 45	# 118	C/ 164	SC 164.2.7.1	P 50	L 28	# 228
Ran, Adee	9	Cisco			Stassar, F	eter	Huawei Tech	nologies	
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		
foortno abbrev	otes a and B inclue	de "with ONU transmitter El lantly appears twice. I assu	R of 6.0 dB ER" me it means exti	- ER is not a defined nction ratio.	The ro	w for TDP is amb	igious.		
Suggester	IRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Chang	e to "with ONU tra	ansmitter extinction ratio of	6 dB (see 164.2	9.5)".	Chang 600 to	e row text to "Tra +50 ps/nm resid	nsmitter and dispersion pena ual CD, [second conditional s	alty (TDP),[first subline] for -600	conditional subline] for - to +1020 ps/nm
Response		Response Status C			residu	al CD			
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE				Response		Response Status W		
Chanc	e per comment in	both locations in the draft			ACCE	PT.			
			1 46	# 001	C/ 164	SC 164.2.7.1	P 50	L 45	# 229
C/ 104	30 104.2.0.2	r 49	L 40	# 221	Stassar, F	eter	Huawei Tech	nologies	
Dawe, Pie	rs 	Nvidia			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Unities	s in the formula a	re not clear		
As the minim	receiver doesn't (um power test" 8	choose its input power, I do	n't know what yo rest has "to be p	u mean by "Receiver	Suggested	Remedy			
Suggostor	IPomody				Clarify	whether power a	nd ER are in dB(m) or linear		
Put Mi	nimum mean inpu	It power and Receiver OSN	R tolerance next	to each other. Use a	Response		Response Status W		
single power	note for both: "Re with ONU"	ceiver OSNR tolerance is d	efined at the mi	nimum mean input	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Ε.		
Response		Response Status C			See co	omment #216			
ACCE	PT.				C/ 164	SC 164.2.7.1	P 50	L 48	# 216
C/ 164	SC 164.2.6.2	P 49	L 46	# 222	Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			Ambig Pmin a	uous: ER might b and ER are, and i	e in W/W or dB. An equation what units.	n needs a "whe	re" section saying what
There	are "ER" PHY typ	es; otherwise, ER has not b	been defined yet	except in passing in	Suggester	Remedy			
and re	solution of numer	ical quantities, and	nieu training zero	(See 1.2.0, Accuracy	Add th	ie usual "where" s	section.		
https://	/ieee802.org/3/W0	G_tools/editorial/requiremer	nts/words.html#n	umbers).	Response		Response Status C		
Suggested	IRemedy				ACCE				
Chang 6 dB"	e "with ONU trans	smitter ER of 6.0 dB ER" to es remain).	"with ONU trans	mitter extinction ratio of	Insert	under the formula	 a [.] "where Pmin is the minimu	ım power level i	n dBm and ER is the
Response		Response Status C			extinct	tion ratio in dB."			
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE								
See co	omment #118								
-									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 164 SC 164.2.7.1 Page 7 of 20 6/18/2021 12:22:35 PM

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164	SC 164.2.8	P 51	L 38	# 196	C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.3	P 52	L 39	# 224
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Table	layout				Now the	hat we have a ref	erence that covers SMSR (s	ee another com	ment)
Suggestee	dRemedy				Suggested	dRemedy			
Select	t all the table, resi	ze column widths according	to contents. Try	it on Table 164-10 too.	Chang	ge title from "Wav	elength measurement" to "V	Vavelength and	side-mode suppression
Response	9	Response Status C			ratio (SMSR)". Include	SMSR in the text, with a nu	Il pattern for the	ONU, as Table 164-7
ACCE	EPT.				Boopopoo				
0	00 404 0 0 0	0.50	1.04	"	ACCE	DT	Response Status C		
C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.2	P 52	L 34	# 119	ACCE	F1.			
Ran, Ade	e	Cisco			C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.3	P 52	L 39	# 205
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Test p PMD.	batterns should be	e defined, but _using_ them i	s not a normativ	e requirement for a	Comment	Type T	Comment Status A		
Suggestee	dRemedy				HA-43	DD-127-A IOI wave	elength measurement		
Chang tested	ge "2.5 Gb/s optic d with the same si	al PMDs shall use the same gnals".	signals" to "2.5	Gb/s optical PMDs are	Suggested IEC 6 ⁻	IRemedy 1280-1-3			
Response	9	Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCE	EPT.				ACCE	PT.			
C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.3	P 52	L 39	# 120					
Ran, Ade	е	Cisco							
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A							
"The o	center wavelength	shall meet the specification	s"						
There	are no specificati	ons of center wavelength in	this clause, only	of center frequency.					
Suggestee	dRemedy	-	-						
Chang 164C	ge "wavelength" to and elsewhere as	o "frequency" in the text and necessary.	in the note, also	in PICS and Annex					
Response	9	Response Status C							
ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPL	E.							
Chang (frequ	ge "The center wa iency) shall meet t	velength shall meet the spe the specifications". Update th	cifications" to "Th ne text of the not	ne center wavelength e. Update PICS.					

C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.3

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164	SC	164.2.9.3		P 52	L 40	# 121	C/ 164	SC	164.2.9.3	P 52	L 40	# 220
Ran, Ade	е			Cisco			Dawe, Pi	ers		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре	т	Commer	nt Status A			Commen	t Type	т	Comment Status A		
"an ap which	propria PRBS	te PRBS" is appropr	is a confusi iate.	ng definition. A	person reading th	nis text may wonder	With valid stand	regard f Super-F lard's re	to D2.0 com PON signal, esponsibility	or another representative t to say what is acceptable a	s correct that "an est pattern" is to and/or what isn't	appropriate PRBS or a to vague; it is the
" or definit	a valid	Super-PO	N signal, or	another repres	entative test patte	ern" - there is no	Suggeste	dReme	edy			
proba comp It is e	bly mea liant or e	an PCS/FE electrically re confusin	C encoded compliant,	Ethernet traffic which would inco	but can be interp clude all sorts of p se 164.2.9.2 spec	pattern in one and optically optically defines the test	Clarit for w for so See I	y what y aveleng ome oth P802.3c	you mean. oth, and som oer paramete ct, 154.9.1, 1	There are plenty of other on the for SMSR. You may need ers, particularly ones define Test patterns for optical part	ptical PMD claus d to say which p d by reference to ameters, for a re	ses you can copy from atterns are acceptable o ITU-T specifications. ecent example.
patter	ns for o	ptical PMD	Ds - so why	not refer to this	subclause?	·	Response	Э		Response Status C		
The p requir	hrase "o ed patte	or another erns and ex	representa xclude the i	tive test pattern' rrelevant ones.	' is sufficiently va	gue to include the	ACC See o	EPT IN	PRINCIPLE	Ξ.		
Also a	applies i	in several o	other subcla	auses.			C/ 164	SC	164.2.9.4	P 52	L 47	# 206
This is maint	ssue als enance	so exists in action.	802.3ca, a	nd appropriate	resolution here w	ould be good for future	Dawe, Pi <i>Commen</i>	ers t <i>Type</i>	т	Nvidia Comment Status A		
Suggeste	dRemed	dy					ANS	/EIA-45	5-95 for opt	tical power measurements		
Chang valid S	ge "an a Super-P	appropriate 'ON signal'	PRBS or a to "patterr	n ns 1, 2, or 3 (see	e 164.2.9.2)"		Suggeste IEC 6	dReme 61280-1	edy -1			
Apply	in othe	r places wł	nere "valid \$	Super-PON sigr	al" apperas, as n	ecessary.	Response	Э		Response Status C		
Response	;		Response	e Status C			ACC	EPT.				
ACCE	EPT IN F	PRINCIPLE	≣.					00		0.50		" [100
Chan	de "an a	appropriate	PRBS or a	1			C/ 164	30	164.2.9.6	P 53	L 4	# 122
valid signal	Super-P	ON signal	" to "test pa	ttern 1, 2, or 3 (see 164.2.9.2) or	a valid Super-PON	Ran, Ade <i>Commen</i> RINx	e <i>t Type</i> OMA is	E not specifie	Cisco Comment Status A ed in this clause.		
							Suggeste Char	<i>dReme</i> ge to R	edy IIN15OMA h	ere and in the PICS.		
							Respons ACC	e EPT.		Response Status C		

C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.6

two subclauses.

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.7	P 53	L 13	# 211	C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.1	1 P 53	L 48	# 207		
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia				
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A				
88.8.8 freque	defines the eye w ncy - not suitable	vith a 19.34 GHz observatio for 10G or 2.5G transmitter	n bandwidth and s.	a 10 MHz jitter corner	What Gb/s	does this mean: " and 10 Gb/s signa	Jitter measurements descr als"? 52.8.1 is "Sinusoidal j	ribed in 52.8.1 sha jitter for receiver o	all be used for both 2.5 conformance test", with		
Suggested	Remedy	w 159 9 7 - 2 50 would poo	d different cheer	votion bondwidth and	a 4 MHz corner frequency. This jitter is applied to the receiver rather than measu Also, what corner frequency do you mean to use for 2.5 Gb/s?						
jitter co	orner frequency.	w 156.6.7. 2.5G would hee			Suggeste	dRemedy					
Response		Response Status C			Pleas	e clarify					
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLI	Ξ.			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.				
For 10	G, point to 158.8	7.									
For 2.5	bG, point to 158.8	5.7 but divide the numbers b	y 4.		Delete	e 164.2.9.11 Jitter	measurements and update	e PICS.			
C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.9	P 53	L 39	# 223							
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia									
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A									
This sa the OL (max),	ays "The required T receiver and Ta but no sensitivity	sensitivity values and asso able 164-8 for the ONU rece v.	ciated BER are g iver." The tables	iven in Table 164-6 for have bit error ratio							
Suggested	lRemedy										
Chang Receiv	e the terminology ver OSNR toleran	to be consistent. Combine ce, if appropriate.	this subclause v	<i>v</i> ith 164.2.9.10,							
Response		Response Status C									
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLI	Ξ.									
Merge	164.2.9.9 Receiv	ver sensitivity and 164.2.9.10	Receiver OSNF	tolerance into a single							
subcla	use 164.2.9.9 Re	ceiver sensitivity and OSNF	tolerance and m	erge text from these							

C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.11

C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.12.1	P 54	L 3	# 123	C/ 164	SC	164.2.9.1	12.1	P 54	L 4	# 124
Ran, Adee	9	Cisco			Ran, Adee	;			Cisco		
Comment	Type T Comm	nent Status A			Comment	Туре	т	Comme	ent Status A		
"begin This is values edge" so t_o	ning from the falling edge confusing. 164.2.4.1.4 sa : ENABLE or DISABLE". V (I would expect the seman n would be from the rising	of the Tx_Enable li ys "The tx_enable With these values t tic of 0=disable, 1: edge, not the fallin	ine" parameter can ta here is no clear c =enable, since To ng edge).	ake on one of two lefinition of "falling <_enable is "asserted",	"is wit spectr RIN15 "withir (speci	nin 15% al width OMA, d 15%" fied as	6 of its sto n, transmi extinction makes so frequenc	eady state p itter and dis ratio and e ense for ave y). Other pa	parameters (avera persion penalty, c ye mask opening erage launched po arameters may als	ge launched pov optical return loss wer but clearly r so have other allo	wer, wavelength, RMS s tolerance, jitter, not for wavelength owed ranges.
Conve If there variab	rsely in the next paragraph e is a reason to use the un le should be defined with c	nable, then a new enable parameter of	This p with p excep mainte	roblem receder tion of t enance	exists in nce, then the optica	802.3ca an this definitional parameter	d should be hand on can be replace rs if necessary, ar	led in maintenan ed by a reference nd the problem c	ace. If there is a conflic ∋ to 141.7.13.1 with an be fixed in		
PMD_	SIGNAL.request. But prefe	erably, the polarity	in the figure shou	Id be flipped and the	Suggested	IReme	dv				
text m	odified accordignly.				Chang	je to "w	/ ithin 15%	6 of its avera	age launched pow	ver, and meets al	Il other specifications'
This p with p	This problem exists in 802.3ca and should be handled in maintenance. If there is a conflic with precedence, then this definition can be replaced by a reference to 141.7.13.1 with					lace th	e subclau	use conetnt	with a reference t	o 141.7.13.1.	·
except mainte	tion of the optical paramete enance.	ers if necessary, a	nd the polarity ca	n be fixed in	Response ACCE	PT IN I	PRINCIPI	Respons	se Status C		
uggested	lRemedy										
Either	of the following:				See c	ommen	it #123.				
1. Cha	inge to "from the transition	of tx_enable from	DISABLE to EN/	ABLE" and update the	C/ 164	SC	164.2.9.1	12.1	P 54	L 7	# 125
figure	accordingly (using state ra	ther than level).			Ran, Adee)			Cisco		
2. Defi enable	ine a new variable with value respectively, and use it in	ue 1 or 0 correspo istead	nding to tx_disab	le values disable or	<i>Comment</i> "The c	<i>Type</i> lata tra	T nsmitted	<i>Comme</i> may be any	ent Status A v valid 256B/257B	symbol"	
3. Rep neces	lace this subclause conter sary.	nt with a reference	to 141.7.13.1 an	d any exceptions	"valid repea	256B/2 ed patt	57B sym	bol" is not d ch do not re	lefined anywhere, present real traffic	and may be inte c and don't allow	erpreted as including the required
esponse	Respor	nse Status C			measu	iremen	tS.				
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				For T_off the following paragraph says "any of the patterns listed in Table 88-10" - is the a reason to have different specification?						Table 88-10" - is then
Replac	ce 164.2.9.12.1 with "See	141.7.13.1.". Make	the link live.		Since	this is a	a definitio	on, this state	ement is redundar	nt.	
					Suggester	IReme	dv	,			
					ouggooict		~,				

Delete the quoted sentence, or refer to table 88-10 instead.

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #123.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.12.1

Page 11 of 20 6/18/2021 12:22:35 PM

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.12.1	P 54	L 8	# 197	C/ 164	SC 164.2.9	.12.2	P 54	L 34	# 198	
Dawe, Pie	S	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia			
Comment	Гуре Т	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comm	ent Status A			
This sa Ton sh pattern single	ys "The data transı ouldn't be data (= p , or a signal with ec 256B/257B symbol,	nitted may be any valid 2 ayload), it should be the juivalent properties. It wo which could be unbaland	56B/257B symb SP1 zone of the ould be very unv ced and untypica	ool". The signal during e synchronization vise to use just any al.	Long ago, there was a test specification companion standard to 802.3, which has been withdrawn. 802.3 does not specify tests, it specifies observable behaviour, which may be defined by measurement methods, which may look a bit like tests. Also, the contents o this section are too informal and, as it says, non-rigorous, to be a test specification.						
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy					
Chang	e "The data transm	tted may be any valid 25	6B/257B symbo	I." to "The transmitted	Chang	e "Test specifi	cation" to e.	g. "Measurement	method" or "Exa	mple test setup".	
signal	nay be Pattern 3, F	Pattern 5 (see Table 88-1)	0) or a valid 100	BASE-SP1-U or	Response		Respor	se Status C			
Similar	ly in 164.2.9.13.1, v lict each other.	which has the further prob	lem that the las	t two sentences	ACCE	PT IN PRINCI	PLE.				
Response	ŀ	Response Status C			Chang	e title from "Te	st specificat	tion" to "Test met	hod"		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				Replac the lin	ce content of 1 k live.	64.2.9.12.2	with "See 141.7.1	3.2, replacing 'TF	P4[i]' with 'TP4'.". Make	
See co	mment #123					SC 464 2 0	40.0	DEA	1.20	# 407	
C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.12.1	P 54	L12	# 126	C/ 104	30 104.2.9	.12.2	F 34	L 30	# 127	
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Commont		Comm				
Comment	Гуре Т	Comment Status A			Since	TD4 in "not roc	dily tootoble	vin o ovetom impl	omontation" (nor	164 2 4 2) this test	
"the sp	ecified average lau	nch power of off transmit	er"		cannot practic	t be normative e.	- it is an exa	ample of a test set	tup which may no	ot be implementable in	
l able ' should	be the maximum a	verage launch power of O llowed, and OFF should b	FF transmitter (be un upper cas	max)". The threshold e.	Also, i	n such an exar	nple the wo	rd "must" must no	t be used.		
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy					
Chang	e the quoted phrase itter"	e to "the maximum specif	ed average lau	nch power of OFF	Rephra	ase the subcla	use to clarify	/ that this is an ex	ample, without "r	nust" (use "is" instead)	
Response	H	Response Status C			Response		Respor	se Status C			
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				ACCE	PT IN PRINCI	PLE.				
					See co	omment #198					
See co	mment #123.										

C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.12.2

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.12	2.2 P 54	L 40	# 199	C/ 164	SC	164.2.9.13	P 54	L 51	# 193
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	ers		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Α		Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status A		
"The c its spe	lelay to the scope ecified conditions.	trigger is adjusted ur is not a complete se	ntil the point that the re entence: until this poin	eceived signal meets all to does what?	This s specif	ays "illu ication"	istrated in 1 , which wou	64.2.9.13.2 (informative)". Id be normative, and I don	164.2.9.13.2 sa I't think informations of the second	iys it is a "Test ve subclauses in specifications
Suggested	Remedy				(expla	ined fur	ther in anot	ther comment).		poolineatione
Chang	ge to:adjusted to	o the point?			Suggestee	dRemed	ly			
Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status	С		Delete "Exan	e "(infori nple test	native)". C t setup".	Change "Test specification"	to e.g. "Measure	ement method" or
See c	omment #198				Response	ļ		Response Status C		
					ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE			
C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.13	<i>P</i> 54	L 50	# 128	Delete	inforu "	mative)"			
Ran, Adee	9	Cisco			Delett		nativoj .			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Α		Chang	ge "164.	2.9.13.2 Te	est specification" to e.g. "10	64.2.9.13.2 Test	method"
"Trx_s	ettling is defined i	n 164.2.9.13.1 and h	as a value of less that	n 800 ns."	C/ 164	SC	164.2.9.13.	.2 P 56	L 36	# 233
This lo	ooks like a specifi	cation, but It may not	be possible to measu	re the electrical signal at	Wienckov	vski, Na	talie	General Mot	ors	
TP8, a also th	and there are no s ne next sentence s	pecifications of "stead says "(informative)".	dy state amplitued an	d jitter" in Table 164-6.	Comment Comn	<i>Type</i> nent #82	E 2 was acce	Comment Status A		
the su	bordinate subclau	ses 164.2.9.13.1 and	164.2.9.13.2 include	the word "specification"	Suggestee	dRemed	ly			
langua	age terms.				Chang	ge: para	ameters of	the reference transmitter, a	at TP6 and there	fore at TP7, reach
Suggested	Remedy				To: p	aramete	ers of the re	eference transmitter, at TP	6 and therefore a	t TP7, reach within
Rephr	ase this subclaus	e (including its subord	diates) as a recomme	ndation for the PMD	15% 0	of their s	steady state)		,

Rephrase this subclause (including its subordiates) as a recommendation for the PMD electrical interface which may not be possible to verify in a packaged product. Remove the words "specification", "conformance", "must", "assured", etc., and add more specificity about the "steady state conditions".

It may be preferably to merge this recommendation into the PMA TCDR specification (which is measurable).

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #193.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.13.2 Page 13 of 20 6/18/2021 12:22:35 PM

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.15	P 57	L 8	# 195
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This text for clear link passband, "the frequency/wavelength range that the signal is expected to stay within" describes something else, the maximum spectral excursion. First sentence has no verb. Ripple can be measured where one likes, the point is that the spec applies only in this range. Double full stop.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The frequency/wavelength range that the signal is expected to stay within. The maximum ripple parameter is measured only for frequencies/wavelengths within the clear link passband.." to "The clear link passband is the frequency/wavelength range that a wavelength channel is expected to provide for the signal. The maximum ripple [parameter] applies [only] for frequencies/wavelengths within the clear link passband."

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change

"The frequency/wavelength range that the signal is expected to stay within. The maximum ripple parameter is measured only for frequencies/wavelengths within the clear link passband.."

to

"The clear link passband is the frequency/wavelength range that a wavelength channel is expected to provide for the signal. The maximum ripple parameter applies only for frequencies/wavelengths within the clear link passband."

C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.22	P 57	L 43	# 200
Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
-	_			

Comment Type T Comment Status A

802.3cu has a parameter "transmitter power excursion" for a single non-burst signal. The name of this "maximum power excursion" is too similar, and "the maximum difference in optical insertion loss between all channels" is not the max difference in powers because the ONU Tx power range (e.g. 5 dB) has to be added to it.

SuggestedRemedy

Rename to "maximum range of loss" or "maximum loss excursion"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to "maximum loss excursion"

C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.23	P 57	L 48	# 201
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia		
Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status A		

This says "The burst-mode gain excursion is the maximum allowed change in gain/loss of the ODN in the upstream direction across all upstream traffic loads". Yet according to 164.1, the ODN excludes the mux/amp where the preamp is. Is this what you mean?

SuggestedRemedy

Should "the ODN" be "a channel", "the black link" or similar?

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "the ODN" to "a channel"

C/ 164	SC 164.2.9.23	P 57	L 5 1	# 129
Ran, Adee		Cisco		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"Since the channels are asynchronous, having 4 out of the 16 wavelength channels burst synchronously is sufficiently low robability event"

This draft does not have any requirement of the utilization of each of the wavelength. Is it impossible that a wavelength is used in the upstream direction >50% of the time (e.g. by different subscribers)? if so, having 4 out of 16 wavelengths active (burst) synchronously would occur very frequently.

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify what is the low probability event and explain why, or delete this statement (it is informative at most)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove the statement.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.23 Page 14 of 20 6/18/2021 12:22:35 PM

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164	SC 164.2.10.1	P 58	L 4	# 130	C/ 164	SC ·	64.3.1.2	P 65		L 30	# 132
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee			Cisco			
Comment 7	Гуре E	Comment Status A			Comment	Гуре	т	Comment Status	R		
Genera	al safety is now in	annex J (added by 802.3cr)		The he	ading is	s "delay co	nstraints" but the tex	t includes co	onstraints	on delay variation.
Suggestedl	Remedy	other optical PMDs: "All equ	inment subject t	o this clause shall	In mos	t other	clauses "de	elay constraints" refe	ers to constra	aints on th	e delay.
conform	n to the general s	safety requirements as spec	ified		The coresponding subclause 164.5.3.3 uses "delay variability constraints".						raints".
Posnonso		Deenenee Status			Suggested	Remed	V				
ACCE		-			Chang	e the he	eading to "	delay variation const	raints" (or us	se "variabil	lity").
ACCEP		Ξ.			Response			Response Status	С		
Change	e per comment (r	eplaces text in 164.2.10.1).	Update PICS.		REJEC	CT.					
C/ 164 Ran Adee	SC 164.2.10.4	P 58	L 29	# 131	This su contrai	ıbclaus n boune	e title has l daries. No	been in use for a whi change is needed.	le now. Varia	ation is eff	ectively defining a delay
Commont 7		Commant Statua				SC 4		Dee		1 47	# 400
dea C'"	уре с "				C/ 104	30	104.3.4.3	Ciaco		L 17	# 133
Suggested	Bomodu					T	-	Cisco	•		
Change	e "deg" to degree	symbol			"the PN	гуре ЛА tran	E smit clock	is equal 10.3125 / 2	a 57 GHz" - po	or technic	al language.
Response	от	Response Status C			Similar	ly for th	e 2.5G ca	use, next sentence.			
AUGER	- 1.				Suggested	Remed	V				
C/ 164	SC 164.2.12.3	P61	L 17	# 110	Chang similar	e to "the ly for 2.	e nominal f 5G.	frequency of the PM	A transmit cl	ock is 10.3	3125 / 257 GHz" and
Ansiow, Pe					Response			Response Status	С		
The Sta labeled This is *SPG10	atus entry of "O.1 by the same nui appropriate for the same for the same same nuite for the same same same same same same same sam	" defines a group where "at meral <n> is required". ne group of items *SPG10D, ould not include *INS</n>	least one of the *SPG10U, *SPG	group of options G102.5D, and	ACCE	PT.					
Suggestedl	Remedy										
Change	e the status entry	for item *INS to "O"									
Response	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Response Status C									
ACCEF	PT.	-									

C/ 164 SC 164.3.4.3

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

					-				
C/ 164	SC 164.3.4.3.	1 P 69	L 23	# 134	C/ 164	SC 164.3.4.4	4.1 <i>P</i> 69	L 36	# 136
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A			Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status A		
"the PM by divic The clo implem	MA transmit clock ding the latter by ock is not divided nentation depend	is derived from the PMA re 4" , its frequency is divided, ar ent (likely not a simple "cloo	eceive clock nd how this is ac ck divider".	nieved is	There a the PM specifie pattern	are two conflicti D at TP8 (see ed in 164.2.9.13 " (second parag	ng definitions of TCDR. Doe: 164.2.4.2), as illustrated in Fi 3 " (first paragraph) or at "the graph)?	s it start "when th gure 164–4, read appearance of a	ne electrical signal after ches the conditions a valid synchronization
Suggested	Remedy				The ac	tual definition s	eems to be in the test specifi	cation (164.3.4.4	1.2) which looks like an
Change "the PM 1/4 of 1	e to MA transmit clock the latter".	is derived from the PMA re	eceive clock with	a frequency of exactly	164.3.4	1.4 can be rewri	tten more concisely and clea	rly.	bie requirement.
Response		Response Status C			Suggested	Remedy			
ACCEF	PT.				Delete	164.3.4.4.1 and	d merge the content of 164.3	.4.4.2 to the pare	ent 164.3.4.4.
C/ 164	SC 164 3 4 4	1 P69	1 32	# 135	Place t	he normative re	equirement in the text current	ly at 164.3.4.4.2	by changing from:
Ran, Adee Comment 7 "after th	<i>Type</i> T he PMD" is unde	Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> A fined, and it's redundant if T	P8 is mentioned		"If the s maxim to	SP2 block time um time of 400	counting both forward and bank of the count of the constant of the constant of the constant of the constant of the count o	ackward is less t ce meets the rec	han the specified TCDR quirement"
Suggested	Remedy				"The S	P2 block time c	ounting both forward and ba	ckward shall be l	ess than 400 ns"
Delete	"after the PMD".				Response		Response Status C		
Response		Response Status C			ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
ACCEF	기.				Change	es per commen	t. Update PICS.		
					C/ 164	SC 164.4.1	P 74	L 5	# 137
					Ran, Adee		Cisco		
					Comment 7 Missing	<i>Type</i> E g space in TheS	Comment Status A Super-PON		
					Suggested Insert a	Remedy a space			
					Response ACCEF	РТ	Response Status C		

C/ 164 SC 164.4.1

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164	SC 164.4.4.4.2	P 75	L 44	# 138	C/ 164	SC 164.5.2	1.2 Pa	33	L 20	# 140	
Ran, Adee	9	Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cisc	0			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R			Comment 7	Гуре т	Comment Status	5 A			
Definit	tion of EnvTx is crypt	tic and seems the same	as in clause 143.		"This v	ariable is adva	nced by a timer at 15	6.25 MHz, and	d is equivalent	to one EQT."	
It is un	nclrear if there is real	ly a varibale definition he	ere.		How is	a variable equ	ivalent to one EQT?				
This is mainte	ssue originates in 80	2.3ca, and appropriate re	solution here wo	uld be good for future	The int	ent seems to b	e that it is advanced	once per EQT			
Suggested	Remedy				This iss mainte	sue originates	in 802.3ca, and appro	priate resoluti	ion here would	be good for future	
Rephra	ase to a clear variab	le definition, or delete.			Suggested	Remedy					
Response	F	Response Status C			Change	e to "This varia	ble is advanced once	per EQT."			
REJE	CT.				Response		Response Status	C			
The de	efinition in 143.4.1.3.	2 Transmit variables in .	3ca is just a Nx25	G-EPON application-	ACCEF	PT.					
specifi define for the	ic parameters, which d correctly. EnvTx in variable defined esl	143.4.1.3.2 Transmit va ewhere. The same appro	riables is just use ach is used in .3	s, where Envix is ed to assign the value cs. No change needed.	C/ 164	SC 164.5.3	3 Pt	34	L12	# 141	
C/ 164	SC 164.5.1	P81	L14	# 139	Ran, Adee	vpe T	Cisc Comment Status	0 : R			
Ran. Adee	9	Cisco			"A com	pliant impleme	entation needs to guar	rantee a const	tant delay"		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A			A			head to be an edge		and the second distance	
"for su device	bscriber access dev s defined in Clause	ices containing point-to-r 164"	nultipoint (P2MP)	Physical Layer	An Imp Also, th	ne delay is not	n be compliant or not necessarily constant	(the variation	required to gual	rantee anything. s not 0).	
Clause	e 164 is this very cla	use. There is no need for	self-reference. (this text is taken from	"howev many v	er, a complyin vords; The wor	g implementation sha d "shall" is a complia	II maintain the nce requireme	ecombined delate	lay variation" - too	
Suggestee			not the Firis).		Suggested	Remedy					
Unless PHY s	s subclause 164.5 is subplayer), change "i	broken out of 164 (which n Clause 164" to "in this	n may be required clause".	l, since it defines a non-	Change "A compliant implementation needs to guarantee a constant delay" to "Implementations need to limit the delay variation".						
Response ACCE	FT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C			Change "a com and PH	e plying impleme IY of"	entation shall maintair	n the combine	d delay variati	on through the MAC	
Chang	ge "(P2MP) Physical	Layer devices defined in	Clause 164." to	(P2MP) Physical Layer	to "the co	mbined delay	variation through the I	MAC and PHY	r shall be"		
device	es defined in 164.5.				Response REJEC	T.	Response Status	С			
					Same t	ext exists in ot	her published specific	cations. No ch	ange needed.		
TYPE: TR/ COMMEN ⁻ SORT ORI	/technical required E T STATUS: D/dispat DER: Clause, Subcla	ER/editorial required GR ched A/accepted R/reje ause, page, line	/general required cted RESPON	T/technical E/editorial G/ SE STATUS: O/open W/w	/general /ritten C/closed	U/unsatisfied	Z/withdrawn	C/ 164 SC 164.5.3	3.3	Page 17 of 20 6/18/2021 12:22:36	

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164A	SC 164A	P 97	L 3	# 208	C/ 164A	SC 164A.2.	1 P 98	L 32	# 142
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment T	уре т	Comment Status A		
This A "The re	nnex 164A says	s it is informative yet 164A.2.1 ations for the flat-top AWG ba	, MUX/DEMUX ased MUX/DEMU	characteristics, says JX are shown in Table	"The re	quired specific	ations for the flat-top AWG b	based MUX/DEM	UX"
164A-2", 164A.2.2, Booster optical amplifier characteristics, says "The required amplifier are shown in Table 164A-3", 164A.2.4, Preamp characteristics, says "The required specifications for					This is an informative annex so it can't include specifications or requirements. This may b an example or recommendation. Also table 164a-2 heading and many other places in this annex.				
the gai	n-clamped EDF	A based upstream preamplif	er are shown in	Table 164A-5", and	SuggestedF	Remedy			
wavele	ngth router are	shown in Table 164A-6".	ecifications for th	ne cyclical AwG based	Rephra	se to clarify inf	formativeness, throughout th	is annex.	
Suggested	Remedy				Response		Response Status C		
Make t recom	he annex normanendations, or	ative, with requirements and l informative with examples.	PICS, or normat	ive with	ACCEP	T IN PRINCIP	PLE.		
Response		Response Status C			See cor	mment #208			
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			C/ 164A	SC 164A.2.	1 P 98	L 43	# 143
Make t	he annex fully i	nformative.			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
C/ 164A	SC 164A.1	P 97	L 44	# 214	Comment T	<i>ype</i> E 64A–2 has par	Comment Status A	ts in the paramet	er column
Dawe. Pier	ſS	Nvidia							
Comment this An	<i>Type</i> E inex	Comment Status A			In 802.3 unit.	3 it is customa	ry for tables to have a "units'	' column instead,	when each row has on
Sunnested	Remedy				Also in	other tables in	this Annex.		
this an	nex				SuggestedF	Remedy			
Pesnonse Peanonae Statue			Add a "units" column and move the units there.						
Response	-T	Nesponse Status			Response		Response Status C		

C/ 164A SC 164A.2.1

IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164A SC 164A.2.	2 P 99	L 46	# 209	C/ 164A SC	164A.5.3	P 104	L 38	# 213
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A		
"For deployments using the second	ng class 3 lasers, fault detecti	on with automati	c power reduction is	stokes				
required: who says	<i>!</i>			SuggestedRemed	ly			
Suggesteakemeay	it con't he is an informative o	anay and it noo		Stokes				
international standard Editorial: Class 3	I says, give the reference. If a	national or local	l law says, explain.	Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			CI 161A SC	161 5 1	P105	/ 51	# 221
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	PLE.			Stassar Peter	1047.3.4	, 105 Huawei Tech		# 231
Replace "For deployn reduction is required." automatic power redu	nents using class 3 lasers, fau " with "For deployments using action is recommended."	It detection with class 3 lasers, fa	automatic power ault detection with	Comment Type There is a hyp	ER ohen betwe	Comment Status A en 1 and dB, which should	l be a space	
C/ 164A SC 164A.2.	3 P 99	L 51	# 210	SuggestedRemed	ly Landar	and an dalb adding a solution		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			I nere is a ny	onen betwe	en 1 and dB, which should	l be a space	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Response		Response Status W		
bidi-				ACCEPT.				
rectional				C/ 164A SC	164A.5.4	P 106	L	# 230
SuggestedRemedy				Stassar, Peter		Huawei Tech	nnologies	
bi- directional				Comment Type	ER	Comment Status A		
lesponse	Response Status C			Y-axis title (re chromatic dis	ferring to septembers of the second sec	ensitivity penalty) inconsist alty	tent with Figure	Title referring to
ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemed	ly			
7 164A SC 164A.2.	5 P 101	L18	# 212	Change Y-axi	s title to "ch	romatic dispersion penalty	/"	
Jawe, Piers	Nvidia			Response		Response Status W		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			ACCEPT.				
"FBG DCMs are chan likely to be channelize	nelized and able to cover the ed." Which is it, "are" or "are l	full C- and L-ban ikely to be"?	ds FBG DCMs are					
SuggestedRemedy								
Revise the paragraph	to make it consistent							
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	PLE.							
l lee "are likely to be"								
Use are likely to be								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 164A SC 164A.5.4 Page 19 of 20 6/18/2021 12:22:36 PM

Approved Responses IEEE P802.3cs D2.1 SuperPON Task Force 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 164B	SC 164B.2	P 108	L 37	# 111
Anslow, Pe	ete	Indepen	ident	
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		
https:// include "In text instead 000, bu	www.ieee802.or www.ieee802.or es: t, where this imp d of commas bef ut 4000)."	age. g/3/WG_tools/editorial/ roves clarity, follow the ween numbers in tens o	requirements/words.h IEEE Editorial Style N or hundreds of thousa	tml#numbers /anual: Use spaces nds (e.g., 62 000, 100
Suggested	Remedy			
Chang	e "at least 10,00	0." to "at least 10 000."		
Response ACCEF	PT.	Response Status C		
C/ 164B	SC 164B.2	P 108	L 37	# 144
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment 7 Per the	<i>Type</i> E e style manual, c	Comment Status A comma is not an allowed	d thousands separato	r.
Suggested Chang	<i>Remedy</i> e "10,000" to "10	0 000" or preferably 10^	4.	
Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.	:	
Chang	e "10,000" to "10	000"		
C/ 164C	SC 164C.1	P110	L 22	# 215
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia		
Comment a	<i>Туре</i> Е р	Comment Status A		
Suggested envelo	<i>Remedy</i> pe (3 times)			
Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C	;	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 164C SC 164C.1 Page 20 of 20 6/18/2021 12:22:36 PM