802.3cu D1p0
TDECQ-SECQ considerations.

In support of comment #15

Marco Mazzini
Brian Welch

Supporters

- Chris Cole[†], II-VI
- Kohichi Tamura, CIG
- Piers Dave, Mellanox
- Ken Jackson, SEI

*† Supports removing TDECQ-SECQ. Also supports removing TDECQ-10log10(Ceq).*

Background

A new transmitter parameter, TDECQ-SECQ has been introduced into D1p0 Table 151–7 aplicable to 400GBASE-LR4 PMD only.

Quantification of TDECQ-SECQ requirement is going to require some effort and experimental contruibutions to be ensure that can effectively applied 'at least' to all existing PAM4 interfaces which can suffer the highest propagation penalties due to chromatic dispersion (like 400GBASE-ER8), thus potential interoperability problems.

This presentation try to address the problem proposing an alternative path rather than a new specification.

Description	400GBASE-FR4	400GBASE-LR4	Unit
Signaling rate, each lane (range)	53.125 ± 100 ppm		GBd
Modulation format	PAM4		—
Lane wavelengths (range)	1264.5 to 1277.5 1284.5 to 1297.5 1304.5 to 1317.5 1324.5 to 1337.5		nm
Side-mode suppression ratio (SMSR), (min)	30		dB
Total average launch power (max)	9.5	11.6	dBm
Average launch power, each lane (max)	3.5	5.6	dBm
Average launch power, each lane[a] (min)	−3.3	−2.8	dBm
Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA$_{outer}$), each lane (max)	3.7	4.4	dBm
Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA$_{outer}$), each lane (min)[b]	−0.3	0.2	dBm
Difference in launch power between any two lanes (OMA$_{outer}$) (max)	4	4	dB
Launch power in OMA$_{outer}$ minus TDECQ, each lane (min): for extinction ratio ≥ 4.5 dB for extinction ratio < 4.5 dB	−1.7 −1.6	−1.2 −1.1	dBm dBm
Transmitter and dispersion eye closure for PAM4 (TDECQ), each lane (max)	3.4	3.5	dB
TDECQ − $10\log_{10}(C_{eq})$[c] (max)	3.4	3.5	dB
TDECQ − SECQ	—	TBD	dB

Is TDECQ-SECQ an accurate metric ?

Source: mazzini_3cu_adhoc_070319

Because rely on the difference of two parameters taken under different propagation conditions.
Considering the TDECQ reference trasnmitter, TDECQ-SECQ penalty would more easily diverge from the real receiver under propagation condition.

- SECQ (TDECQ at km=0) would have a better fit with real receivers, and less results variation because the 1% threshold optimization will keep it almost stable.
- While TDECQ at maximum dispersion would probably need more than 1% threshold adjustment, result is expected to be less stable considering the current .

Thus TDECQ-SECQ cannot be a very accurate metric.

TDECQ-SECQ need to be carefully specified.

For 400GBASE-LR4, the chromatic dispersion penalty has been implicitly set to 0.1dB, considering that 3.4dB TDECQ was assumed for same types of transmitters over shorter distances.
Then, if we want to define a different TDECQ-SECQ value than 0.1dB, also TDECQ-10*Log(Ceq) will have to be considered too, starting from a lower distortion requirement (see next slides).

TDECQ-SECQ can potentially penalize transmitters which can still meet the absolute TDECQ at the worst case fiber propagation conditions, but fail just this new parameter.
Its impact as unique parameter driving link failure has never been shown.

If TDECQ-SECQ will not be carefully quantified, what can then happen then is the absurd situation that after propagation tests a 'good' transmitter ends with lower TDECQ with respect a worse one, but just fails the new TDECQ-SECQ metric ...

TDECQ – SECQ does not discriminate pre-distorted transmitters.

Into stassar_3cu_01_0919 a TDECQ chart with scattered results was reported (slide 6), observing that the TDECQ-SECQ chart (slide 7) can be scattered was more consistent to quantify the CD penalty.

However, scattered TDECQ values can be considered normal if the transmitters were pre-distorted to deal with CD.

This is why transmitter TDECQ-10Log(Ceq) (see mazzini_3cd_01d_0718), has also to be tested together with TDECQ.

Based on same charts, the example is showing that two point at low TDECQ-SECQ have quite different TDECQ, which make clear that TDECQ-SECQ is not going to catch pre-distorted transmitter which distortion cannot be equalized.

While TDECQ-10Log(Ceq) will catch this difference, having being defined for it.

○ High TDECQ and low TDECQ-SECQ (so high SECQ) -> Distortion penalty -> high TDECQ-10Log(Ceq)

○ Low TDECQ and low TDECQ-SECQ -> Distortion penalty -> Low TDECQ-10Log(Ceq)

○ yu_optx_01a_0319 measurements

TDECQ – SECQ does not discriminate pre-distorted transmitters (c'ed).

○ yu_optx_01a_0319 measurements
○ 100G Lambda MSA

Following same 'Transmitter TDECQ criteria' used to drive TDECQ and TDECQ-10Log(Ceq) choices for 802.3cd (see mazzini_3cd_01d_0718).

Plot of dispersion penalty results from mazzini_3cu_adhoc_082119 for uniform level spacing and bottom compression.
Orange and green showing CD penalty trend being partially equalized and improvement due to bottom compression.

Red and Blue circles are estimations of worst cases 100G Lambda MSA and yu_optx_01a_0319 TDECQ and TDECQ-SECQ measurements from previous slides.
Because referring to very small dispersion, both cases seems heavily pre-distorted.

Most of the chromatic dispersion penalty goes in TDECQ-10*Log(Ceq) penalty (vertical axis).
To ensure interoperability cases, one option would to reduce TDECQ-10Log(Ceq) limit, by not aligning it with TDECQ.

TDECQ-SECQ seems a redundant parameter.

Comparing data shared into mazzini_3cd_01d_0718.

TDECQ-SECQ and TDECQ-10*Log(Ceq) trends are quite similar behavior.

A previous slide, we can consider that the existing distortion will give the baseline penalty at 0ps/nm, while CD will provide additional penalty, which is just partially compensated by the reference equalizer.

A thumb rule would be that TDECQ-10*Log(Ceq) has to be reduce as much as CD penalty is present.

TDECQ-10Log(Ceq) can be also used to estimate chromatic dispersion penalty as TDECQ-SECQ, while TDECQ-SECQ cannot discriminate transmitter distortion.

TDECQ-SECQ is a time consuming (expensive) test.

TDECQ-SECQ rely on a parameter (SECQ) that is been NOT defined as a transmitter parameter, but is a receiver input requirement, used to calibrate stressed receiver sensitivity.

So, to verify this requirement, there'll be the need to verify BOTH the fiber propagation at CD limits AND the 0 ps/nm condition.


Figure 151–4—TDECQ conformance test block diagram

Potential TDECQ-SECQ conformance test block diagram

In manufacturing it will require a controlled environment in which, not only the TDECQ at the worst chromatic dispersion will have to be tested (and one can choose it own corner case test, once defined it in case), but ALSO the transmitter transmitter SECQ, run two tests: one for SECQ with 0 ps/nm dispersion and then one for TDECQ with worst case dispersion – doubling the testing time and then costs.

For multi-wavelength PMD (like 400GBASE-LR4) this will have of course more impact.

Comments

TDECQ-SECQ is not addressing the interoperability problem for PMD under long link/high chromatic dispersion and raise below concerns:

1. TDECQ-SECQ can be not an accurate metric.
   - Because rely on the difference of two parameters taken under different propagation conditions.
2. TDECQ-SECQ need to be carefully specified.
   - It can actually potentially penalize 'virtuous' transmitters with low SECQ with no evident reasons.
3. TDECQ – SECQ does not discriminate pre-distorted transmitters.
   - Which are instead the ones that affect interoperability.
   - These are identified by TDECQ-10*Log(Ceq), also on middle wavelengths.
4. TDECQ-SECQ seems a redundant parameter.
   - TDECQ-10Log(Ceq) can be also used to estimate chromatic dispersion penalty as TDECQ-SECQ, while **TDECQ-SECQ cannot discriminate transmitter's distortion.**
5. TDECQ-SECQ is a time consuming (expensive) test.

TDECQ is currently used to build the overall budget: together with TDECQ-10*Log(Ceq) is enough to protect about interoperability over short and long reaches.

Proposed changes

The proposal change into Table 151–7 is to remove TDECQ-SECQ parameter.

Also is proposed to reduce the TDECQ-10*Log(Ceq) value for 400GBASE-LR4 so to avoid too heavy pre-distorted transmitters and interoperability problems under chromatic dispersion conditions.
This should be set at least to 3.3dB, considering that CD penalty raise as distortion penalty.

Description	400GBASE-FR4	400GBASE-LR4	Unit
Signaling rate, each lane (range)	53.125 ± 100 ppm		GBd
Modulation format	PAM4		—
Lane wavelengths (range)	1264.5 to 1277.5 1284.5 to 1297.5 1304.5 to 1317.5 1324.5 to 1337.5		nm
Side-mode suppression ratio (SMSR), (min)	30		dB
Total average launch power (max)	9.5	11.6	dBm
Average launch power, each lane (max)	3.5	5.6	dBm
Average launch power, each lane[a] (min)	−3.3	−2.8	dBm
Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA$_{outer}$), each lane (max)	3.7	4.4	dBm
Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA$_{outer}$), each lane (min)[b]	−0.3	0.2	dBm
Difference in launch power between any two lanes (OMA$_{outer}$) (max)	4	4	dB
Launch power in OMA$_{outer}$ minus TDECQ, each lane (min): for extinction ratio ≥ 4.5 dB for extinction ratio < 4.5 dB	−1.7 −1.6	−1.2 −1.1	dBm dBm
Transmitter and dispersion eye closure for PAM4 (TDECQ), each lane (max)	3.4	3.5	dB
TDECQ − $10\log_{10}(C_{eq})$[c] (max)	3.4	3.5 **3.3**	dB
~~TDECQ − SECQ~~		~~TBD~~	~~dB~~

THANK YOU