C/ 155 SC 155.1.2 P34 L3 # 1 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P39 L4 Huawei Huawei Bruckman, Leon Bruckman, Leon Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X In following clauses the PCS and PMA are referred to as shaded, but in the figure they are The "mapper" is referrred to in the previous sentence as the "GMP mapper". Call it the same in this sentence for consistency. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add shade to the PCS and PMA blocks in Figure 155-1 Replace: "The mapper values" with: "The GMP mapper values" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 155 SC 155.1.2 P34 L19 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4.3 P40 L29 Huawei Bruckman, Leon Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X 400GAUI-n is not mentioned in the figure The "mapper" is referrred to in the previous sentence as the "GMP mapper". Call it the same in this sentence for consistency. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the 400GAUI-n definition from the Figure 155-1 text Replace: "The mapper values" with: "The GMP mapper values" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 155 SC 155 1 4 P35 **L1** # 3 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4.4 P40 / 40 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Better indicate the rate with its tolerance and use Gbd (instead of Gsymbol/s), also add the approximate nominal rate (as done in other clauses of this document). Refer for example to The MFAS is a wrapping counter 802.3ct clause 153.3.2.2.2 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace: "It counts from 0x00 to 0xFF" with "It is a wrapping counter from 00x00 to 0xFF" Replace: "The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a nominal rate at the PMA service interface of Proposed Response Response Status O 59.84375 x (28/29) Gsymbol/s on each of two polarizations" with "The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a rate at the PMA service interface of (28/29) x 59.84375 GBd ±20 ppm (~57.7802

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

GBd) on each of two polarizations"

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4.5 P**41** L5 # 7 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5 P41 L30 # 10 Huawei Huawei Bruckman, Leon Bruckman, Leon Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Redundant text Wrong plural SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "The 3-bit LDI field is defined to indicate to the downstream 400GBASE-ZR PHY Replace "A 32-bit cyclic redundancy codes is calculated" with: "A 32-bit cyclic redundancy to indicate the quality" with "The 3-bit LDI field is defined to indicate to the downstream code is calculated" 400GBASE-ZR PHY the quality" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P**42** L12 # 11 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4.6 P41 L15 # 8 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Unnecesary word (IMHO) JCn bytes are used to recover the data blocks from the payload. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "requires an additional 34 bits of padding" with : "requires additional 34 bits of Replace "which are then used by the receive path GMP de-mapper to re-time the received padding" 257B blocks to the same..." with "which are then used by the receive path GMP de-mapper Proposed Response Response Status O to recover the 275B data blocks and re-time them to the same..." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.8 P**44** L8 # 12 Huawei Bruckman, Leon SC 155.2.4.5 C/ 155 P41 L27 # 9 Comment Status X Comment Type E Bruckman, Leon Huawei There seem to be a missing space after the dot Comment Status X Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Unnecessary new line and missing chracter Add a space between the dot and the beging of the sentence "The operation..." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Make "Each SC-FEC block has 119 x 10 280 / 5 244 664 bits." part of the previous

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

paragraph (no new line) and replace: "119 x 10 280 / 5 244 664 bits" wih: "119 x 10 280 / 5

Response Status 0

bits = 244 664 bits"

Proposed Response

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P48 L17 # 13 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.8 P49 **L1** # 16 Huawei Huawei Bruckman, Leon Bruckman, Leon Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X The MFAS is a wrapping counter Missing clause SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace: "It counts from 0x00 to 0xFF" with "It is a wrapping counter from 00x00 to 0xFF" There is no clause that describes the GMP de-mapper, something like: "The GMP demapper uses the JC bytes to recover the 257B data blocks and re-time them" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 155.2.5.7.2 C/ 155 P48 L41 # 14 C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P**50** L32 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The sentence defining the RPF bit, although identical to the one in G.709.1, is a little bit Missing dot confusing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add dot after "400GBASE-ZR PCS" Replace: "The RPF bit indicates that a signal fail status was detected by the remote 400GBASE-ZR receive function in the upstream direction" with: "The RPF bit indicates, in Proposed Response Response Status 0 the upstream direction, that a signal fail status was detected by the remote 400GBASE-ZR receive function" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P51 L49 # 18 Bruckman, Leon Huawei C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P48 L48 # 15 Comment Type T Comment Status X Sentence is not clear, and also the "SIL" acronym shall be called out here. Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Status X Comment Type Ε SuggestedRemedy Wrong tense Replace "The PMA:IS SIGNAL.indication primitive is generated through a set of signal indication logic that reports", with "The PMA:IS SIGNAL indication primitive is generated SuggestedRemedy through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports" Replace "define in Clause 118" with "defined in Clause 118" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.6 P59 L21 # 19 C/ 156 SC 156.2 P65 L23 # 22 Huawei Bruckman, Leon Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Missing plural SIGNAL DETECT is not based on light received, it is fixed to OK SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "into two stream" with: "into two streams" Remove from the note the sentence: "It is possible for a poor quality link to provide sufficient light for a SIGNAL DETECT = OK indication and still not meet the BER defined in Proposed Response Response Status O 156.1.1." Proposed Response Response Status O P59 L41 C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.6 # 20 Bruckman, Leon Huawei C/ 156 SC 156.10.2 P**78** L44 Comment Type T Comment Status X Bruckman, Leon Huawei Not clear which clause is referred here Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Verb fix "according to Clause 155", but this is clause 155, so either repalce with "according to this SuggestedRemedy clause" or write the right clause. Replace: "that the manufacturer of a laser product provide information" with: "that the Proposed Response Response Status O manufacturer of a laser product provides information" Proposed Response Response Status O SC 156.2 C/ 156 P65 L19 # 21 Bruckman, Leon Huawei

thetext here
SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

Tow options:

1 - Replace "The SIGNAL_DETECT parameter can take on one of two values: OK or FAIL." with "The SIGNAL_DETECT parameter value is fixed to OK." and remove the sentence: "When SIGNAL_DETECT = FAIL, the rx_symbol parameters are undefined."

According to clause 156.5.4 SIGNAL DETECT is fixed to OK. This ahhl be reflected in

Comment Status X

2 - Just remove these two last sentences.

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 156 SC 156.9.9 P76 # 24 C/ 116 SC 116.2.3 P29 L47 L31 # 26 Le Cheminant, Greg Huber, Tom Nokia Keysight Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X The definition of error-vector-magnitude (EVM) is currently in TBD status. EVM requires a Probably best to split out 200G and 400G here, so that the 400G part can refer to both definition as well as a specification limit. Small changes in EVM can be seen as large 119/120 and 155.

changes in OSNR (see http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/cn/public/adhoc/18 1025/anslow 3cn 01 181025.pdf). A specification limit requires a known method of measurement. The complexity of the EVM measurement requires a specific analysis process to achieve consistent results.

This process should be explcitly defined. See

https://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/cn/public/adhoc/19 0207/lecheminant 3cn 01 19020 7.pdf and

https://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/cn/public/adhoc/19 0509/lecheminant 3ct 01 19050 9.pdf

SuggestedRemedy

A method for computing EVM has been developed by Keysight Technologies and used in ITU and OIF standards. This is contained within a large Matlab script. The computation details need to be followed exactly to achieve consistent results. This script is available for use within the IEEE 802.3 standard. It is likely too large to be directly written into the standard document, so If used, guidance from the group is requested on the details for script management and inclusiion within the 802.3cw clauses. A presentation on the Keysight EVM script is planned to support this comment

Proposed Response Response Status 0

25 C/ 116 SC 116.2.4 P30 L17 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P20 L17 Huber, Tom Nokia Nokia

Huber, Tom Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Т

The term 'DWDM system' is not present in the corresponding text for 100GBASE-ZR in 802.3ct. and should not be present here.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 'DWDM system', so the text reads 400GBASE-ZR PCS/400GBASE-ZR PMA over a PMD with reach up to at least 80 km as specified in Clause 156.

Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

Revise the text to read as follows: The term 200GBASE-R refers to a specific family of Physical Laver implementations based upon the 64B/66B coding method specified in clause 119 and the PMA specifications defined in clause 120. The term 400GBASE-R refers to a specific family of Physical Layer implementations based upon the 64B/66B coding method specified in clause 119 or 155 and the PMA specifications defined in Clause 120 or 155. 200GBASE-R and 400GBASE-R PCSs perform encoding (decoding) of data from (to) the 200GMII or 400GMII to 256B/257B code blocks, apply FEC, distribute the data to multiple lanes, and transfer the encoded data to

the PMA.

The 200GBASE-R PCS has almost the same functionality as the 200GXS, and therefore may be configured as a 200GXS in order to implement part of the optional 200GMII Extender (see Clause 118). The 400GBASE-R PCS has almost the same functionality as the 400GXS, and therefore may be configured as a 400GXS in order to implement part of the optional 400GMII Extender (see Clause 118).

Response Status 0

Comment Status X

Since the 400GBASE-ZR PMA is different, it is perhaps easiest to just add a sentence in front of the existing text.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change from: "The 200GBASE-R and 400GBASE-R PMAs are specified in Clause 120."

The 400GBASE-ZR PMA is specified in clause 155. The 200GBASE-R PMA and all other 400GBASE-R PMAs are specified in Clause 120.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 27

Page 5 of 18 4/28/2021 11:44:52 AM

C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P33 L20 C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P36 L11 # 28 # 31 Huber, Tom Nokia Huber, Tom Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Missing a / between 54B and 66B The text here describes the Tx interface between the PCS and PMA as two streams of 4-bit symbols. Figure 155-2 and other text in 155.2.x describes it as 8 bitstreams, and 155.3 SuggestedRemedy describes how the PMA creates the 16QAM symbols and distributes them to the two Change 64B66B to 64B/66B polarizations. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy It appears that the intent is that the interface between PCS and PMA in the Tx direction be described as 8 bitstreams, and the PMA is responsible for turning that into two streams of 16QAM symbols. Change "When communicating with the PMA in the transmit direction. L2 C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P35 # 29 the 400GBASE-ZR PCS provides two streams of 4-bit 16-state quadrature amplitude Huber, Tom Nokia modulation (16QAM) symbols." to "When communicating with the PMA in the transmit direction, the 400GBASE-ZR PCS provides 8 digital lanes, which the PMA encodes into 2 Comment Type T Comment Status X streams of 16QAM symbols." While it is true that the interface between PCS and PMA is ultimately related to two Proposed Response streams of 16QAM symbols, and that two polarizations are used, that seems too detailed Response Status O and not really consistent with how the Tx path is subsequently described, where the PMA is what creates the 16QAM symbols. SuggestedRemedy C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P37 L47 State the nominal rate at the PMA service interface as ~462 Gbit/s rather than as a symbol Huber, Tom Nokia rate per polarization. Comment Type T Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O This sentence would fit better as part of the earlier paragraph about the transmit channel being in test-pattern mode. SuggestedRemedy C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.1 P35 L11 # 30 Move the sentence to the end of the paragraph on line 29. Huber, Tom Nokia Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type While clause 117 may specify both 200GMII and 400GMII the PCS service interface for 400GBASE-ZR is only the 400GMII. C/ 155 SC 155.2.2 P37 L51 SuggestedRemedy Huber, Tom Nokia Delete 200GMII from the parenthetical "(200GMII/400GMII)" Comment Status X Comment Type E Proposed Response Response Status O Missing a B in 64/66B SuggestedRemedy Change to "64B/66B".

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 33

Response Status O

Page 6 of 18 4/28/2021 11:44:52 AM

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P38 L28 # 34
Huber, Tom Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The description of the frame is confusing. The text says the frame contains 10240 257B blocks, which are viewed as an array of 256 by 10280 bits, but the switch from blocks to bits is not clearly stated in the text (it is clear in the figure). Also, the overhead portion of the frame isn't organized into 257B blocks - it just occupies the space that 20 257B blocks would occupy.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the second sentence of the first paragraph with these sentences: The frame is illustrated as a structure with 256 rows of 10 280 bits with a logical transmission order of left to right, top to bottom. This frame contains 5140 bits of overhead and 10220 257B blocks of payload..

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P39 L5 # 35

Comment Status X

Huber, Tom Nokia

Т

Since the details of the overhead are in 155.2.4.4.3, it would be better to just reference that clause here.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Revise list item 3) to read as follows: "The next 1280 bits carry OH bytes, as discussed in 155.2.4.4.3."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.4.3 P40

Huber, Tom Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

It would be more clear if the specific overhead functions that are supported are mentioned first, and then the note that other OH defined in G.709.1 is not used. Also the value to be filled in for the unused bytes should be clearly specified (G.709.1 says unsourced overhead is set to zero, so that is suggested here as well), and the editor's note concerning interleaving needs to be addressed. The details of the JC OH being multiframed are better handled in the later clause that is specific to that overhead.

L26

36

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the text with the following: The overhead is organized into 4 sets of 320 bits that are interleaved in groups of 10 bits to form the 1280 bit field. The contents of each group of 320 bits is described in ITU-T G.709.1 clauses 8.1 and 9.2. For 400GBASE-ZR, only the first set of 320 bits is used, and within those bits, only the multi-frame alignment signal (MFAS) byte, status byte, and six justification control bytes JC1 to JC6 are used. Other overhead defined in G.709.1 is not used and is set to 0.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4.4 P40 L39 # 37

Huber, Tom Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There are only 4 320-bit instances in the overhead; the MFAS is only in the first one.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The MFAS is in the first four 320-bit OH instances" to "The MFAS is in the first of the four 320-bit OH instances"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.4.5 P40 L44 # 38

Huber, Tom Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

LF is a reasonable replacement signal to insert (this is what ITU and OIF both specify)

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the first sentence of the clause and the editor's note with the following: In the case of a DSP framing or 400GBASE-ZR frame or multi-frame loss, the PCS receive path inserts a stream of 257B blocks carrying LF ordered sets.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4.6 P**41** L14 # 39 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P**72** L18 # 42 Marvell / Inphi Huber, Tom Nokia Zhang, Bo Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X It would be helpful to introduce the multiframed aspect of this overhead here and also Side-mode suppression ratio (SMSR) is not a relevant Tx spec for 400GBASE-ZR indicate that the details are in the OIF 400ZR IA. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace SMSR spec with out-of-band OSNR (min) so that it's aligned with OIF 400ZR and Insert this text at the start of the clause: The justification control information is spread OpenROADM across the second, third, and fourth frames of a four-frame multiframe (based on the two Proposed Response Response Status O lowest order bits of the MFAS) as described in OIF 400ZR IA.Clause 8.9. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P**72** L28 Marvell / Inphi Zhang, Bo P**41** C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5 L31 # 40 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Huber, Tom Nokia address TBD for I-Q offset (max) Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The generator polynomial is clearly not described in 3.2.9 of 802.3. It is unclear what reference is intended. Adopt DC I-Q offset of -26dB and instantaneous I-Q offset of -20dB from OIF 400ZR spec to ensure interoperability between 400ZR and 400GBASE-ZR SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Provide the correct cross-reference. The generator polynomial is discussed in 9.2 of OIF 400ZR IA; is that the intended reference? Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 156 P**72** SC 156.7.1 / 20 # 44 Zhang, Bo Marvell / Inphi C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.1 P47 **L**5 # 41 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Huber, Tom Nokia laser linewidth spec needs to be companioned with laser phase noise spec Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The text is difficult to parse. Add laser phase noise spec from OIF published 400ZR IA - laser frequency noise mask (13.1.210)SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn

Replace the first sentence with two sentences and modify the beginning of the (current) second sentence as shown: The Hamming SD-FEC decoder extracts 119 bits from an incoming 128-bit SD-FEC codeword. The incoming SD-FEC codeword is formed from a digitized representation of sixteen DP-16QAM symbols. The incoming DP-16QAM symbols

Response Status O

are digitized to an m-bit resolution by the PMA...

Proposed Response

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 44

Page 8 of 18 4/28/2021 11:44:52 AM

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P**72** L26 # 45 C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 P73 L33 # 48 Marvell / Inphi Marvell / Inphi Zhang, Bo Zhang, Bo Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X address TBD for EVM (max) footnote b says mandatory receiver OSNR tolerance spec is informative SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with 14.8% from way 3ct 01b 1119.pdf to stimulate some task force Revise footnote b as 'b: Receiver sensitivity (max), for OSNR >=34dB (12.5GHz) is progress. Note that test methodology detailed in way 3ct 01b 1119 pdf might be different informative' than that from pittala 3ct 01a 191205 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 P**73** L17 # 49 C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 P73 L24 # 46 Zhang, Bo Marvell / Inphi Zhang, Bo Marvell / Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Value in damage threshold is empty Average receive power values called out in 'Receiver OSNR' are not aligned with the min SuggestedRemedy Average receive power value in line 20 Either remove this damage threshold spec or add a TBD in the value cell SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace -16dBm with -12dBm Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 156 SC 156.8 P**74** L12 # 50 Zhang, Bo Marvell / Inphi # 47 C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 P73 L28 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Zhang, Bo Marvell / Inphi OSNR at TP3 value is not aligned with Transmitter in-band OSNR value Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Average receive power value called out in 'Receiver OSNR tolerance' is not aligned with the Replace 35dB with 34dB min Average receive power value in line 20 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace -16dBm with -12dBm Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 156 SC 156.8 P**74** L17 # 51 Zhang, Bo Marvell / Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X OSNR at TP3 value is not aligned with Transmitter in-band OSNR value SuggestedRemedy Replace 35dB with 34dB Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 51

Page 9 of 18 4/28/2021 11:44:52 AM

C/ 156 SC 156.8 P**74** L19 # 52 C/ 156A SC 156A.4 P88 L34 # 56 Marvell / Inphi Marvell / Inphi Zhang, Bo Zhang, Bo Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X OSNR at TP3 value is not aligned with Transmitter in-band OSNR value As the loss budget between TP2 to TP3 is less than 10dB, there is practically no usage for unamplified scenarios with Mux/dmux included SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace 35dB with 34dB Suggest remove this whole 156A.4 section Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O P**74 L9** # 53 C/ 156 SC 156.8 C/ 155 SC 155.1.2 P34 L19 # 57 Marvell / Inphi Zhang, Bo Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Address TBD for Average output power at TP3 400GAUI-n does not appear in this figure SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with 0dBm per Receiver spec Remove 400GAUI-n from the acronym definitions list Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 156 SC 156.8 P**74** L12 # 54 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.1 P38 L12 # 58 Zhang, Bo Marvell / Inphi Maniloff. Eric Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Address TBD for OSNR at TP3<35dB The statement that rate matching isn't required is correct, but not because of the GMP SuggestedRemedy process. Rate matching is not needed because AM's are not inserted. Replace TBD with -12dBm per Receiver spec SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Clarify sentence to indicate that rate-matching is not needed because AM's are not inserted on the transcoded blocks. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 156 SC 156.8 P74 # 55 L25 Zhang, Bo Marvell / Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X Address TBD for fiber chromatic dispersion slope

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Replace TBD with 0.05ps/km/nm/nm per P802.3ct spec

Response Status O

Comment ID 58

Page 10 of 18 4/28/2021 11:44:52 AM

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4.5 P**41** L5 # 59 C/ 156 SC 156.6 P69 L32 # 63 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Need complete OH diagram to indicate LDI and RPF locations. TP2 and TP3 need to be indexed to in figure 156-3 to define intra and inter-channel impacts of the black link SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add complete OH definitions/diagram including bit locations Replace TP2 with TP2 i and TP3 with TP3 i Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 SC 155.7 P60 C/ 155 L31 # 60 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P**72** L17 # 64 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Delay listed as 892.16 ns is incorrect, actual delay is ~4.5 us. Spectral excursion defines a single point on the transmit spectrum. To properly account for SuggestedRemedy both filtering and inter-channel crosstalk penalties the full spectral shape needs to be Update delay with actual value. specified. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace Spectral Excursion with a Maximum and minimum spectral mask. A supporting presentation will be available to define this. C/ 156 SC 156.1 P64 L25 # 61 Proposed Response Response Status O Maniloff. Eric Ciena Comment Status X Comment Type E C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P**72** L20 # 65 ZR is incomplete name Maniloff. Eric Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type T Replace ZR with 400GBASE-ZR A single value for the linewidth is insufficient for a coherent receiver. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Replace linewidth with a Laser Frequency Noise mask. C/ 156 SC 156.1.1 P64 L37 # 62 Proposed Response Response Status O Maniloff. Eric Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status X BER of 2.4E-4 is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Replace 2.4E-4 with correct value of ~1.26e-2

Response Status O

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P**72** L33 # 66 C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 P73 L33 # 70 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Laser RIN is missing from table Tx OSNR min is 34dB, this should be used in note b SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add an entry for RIN Average and an entry for RIN peak Replace 35 dB with 34 dB Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 156.7.1 P72 C/ 156 SC 156.8 P**74** L7 C/ 156 L28 # 67 Maniloff, Eric Maniloff, Eric Ciena Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Ripple is used in ITU-T G698.2 to define both the allowable loss/gain variations within the I-Q Offset should include both a max instantaneous and mean value passband and the passband. Ripple as used here should be used only to define the SuggestedRemedy loss/gain variations within the passband. Split I/Q offset into maximum instantaneous and mean values SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add a footnote to clarify that ripple is only defining the loss/gain variations withing th DWDM channel passband. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 P73 L24 # 68 Maniloff. Eric Ciena Comment Status X C/ 156 SC 156.8 P**74** L**7** # 72 Comment Type T Receiver OSNR specs should be defined relative to -12dBm Maniloff. Eric Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The specification needs to include a more detailed DWDM channel passband definition. Replace -16dBm with -12dBm Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add a passband definition for the DWDM channel. A supporting contribution will be presented. SC 156.7.2 P73 C/ 156 L27 # 69 Proposed Response Response Status O Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status X Receiver OSNR tolerance should be defined for Average Power (min)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Replace -16dBm with -12dBm

Response Status O

Comment ID 72

Page 12 of 18 4/28/2021 11:44:52 AM

C/ 156 SC 156.8 P**74** L11 # 73 C/ 156 P78 L17 SC 156.9.22 # 76 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X References to 35 dB should all be to 34dB, since this is the minimum Tx OSNR Inter-Channel Crosstalk is not a meaningful specification for a coherent receiver. The spectral distribution of the crosstalk needs to be defined. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace all references (lines 11, 12, 16, 19) to 35dB (12.5GHz) with 34 dB (12.5GHz) 156.9.22 should be modified to include an adjacent channel spectral attenuation for the Proposed Response Response Status O DWDM black link, and describe how this is used along with Tx spectrum to calculate the worst-case inter-channel crosstalk. Proposed Response Response Status O P**74** C/ 156 SC 156.8 L34 # 74 Maniloff, Eric Ciena SC 156.5.1 P**67** C/ 156 L16 # 77 Comment Type T Comment Status X Inter-Channel Crosstalk is not a meaningful specification for a coherent receiver. The Park. Charles Juniper Networks spectral distribution of the crosstalk needs to be defined. Comment Type E Comment Status X SugaestedRemedy Figure 156-2, Inter-Channel crosstalk should be replaced with a spectrally resolved attenuation definition PMD service interfaces in Fig. 156-2 need to be corrected. between adjacent ports on the DWDM Black Link. A supporting contribution will be SuggestedRemedy presented. "PMD:IS UNITDATA 0.request to PMD:IS UNITDATA 3.request" Proposed Response Response Status O "PMD:IS UNITDATA 0.indication to PMD:IS UNITDATA 3.indication" Proposed Response Response Status O # 75 C/ 156 SC 156.9.5 P76 L13 Maniloff. Eric Ciena C/ 156 SC 156.6 P69 L47 Comment Type T Comment Status X # 78 Laser Linewidth defined as a single parameter is insufficient for a coherent receiver Park, Charles Juniper Networks Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Table 156-4. A laser frequency noise mask should be included The channel number and corresponding optical frequency in Table 156-4 is reasonbale for Proposed Response Response Status O 75GHz grid, but not representing the channel center frequency for 100GHz grid. SuggestedRemedy Add new table summarizing the channel index number and center frequency for 100GHz arid including description in the text. Alternatively, refer the table 154-6 in IEEE802.3ct for 100GHz grid or refer ITU-T G.697.1 with description of channel index assignment for two different cases, 100G- and 75GHz grid.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 78

Response Status O

Page 13 of 18 4/28/2021 11:44:52 AM

SC 1.4.110c C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P72 L12 # 79 C/ 1 P19 L9 # 82 Dawe, Piers Park, Charles Juniper Networks Nvidia Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X In Table 156-6, nominal center frequency is referring Table 156-4, which indicating the Saying simply that 400GBASE-Z uses 400GBASE-R encoding is misleading the reader; this isn't just another BASE-R. A distinguishing feature is OTN-like GMP framing and center frequency of 75GHz grid spacing. clocking. Also, the next definition, for 400GBASE-ZR, says "using 400GBASE-Z Center frequency for 100GHz grid is different from that of 75GHz grid. encoding", phase and amplitude modulation and coherent detection, the same as this one. There has to be some difference between 400GBASE-R and 400GBASE-Z - and there is. Better to provide the channel index and corresponding optical frequency for 100GHz grid. the difference is GMP. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change context correspondingly Change "using 400GBASE-R encoding, a combination of phase and amplitude modulation..." to "using 400GBASE-R encoding, GMP retiming and framing, a combination Proposed Response Response Status O of phase and amplitude modulation...". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 P73 L14 # 80 Park. Charles Juniper Networks C/ 156 SC 156.2 P65 L19 # 83 Comment Type Т Comment Status X Dawe, Piers Nvidia In Table 156-7, nominal center frequency is referring Table 156-4, which indicating the Comment Type Comment Status X center frequency of 75GHz grid spacing. This says that the SIGNAL DETECT parameter can take on one of two values: OK or FAIL, while 156.5.4 says that SIGNAL DETECT is fixed to OK. Center frequency for 100GHz grid is different from that of 75GHz grid. SuggestedRemedy Better to provide the channel index and corresponding optical frequency for 100GHz grid. As this PMD can be used with non-amplified channels, it would be useful to change 156.5.4 SuggestedRemedy to allow a conventional signal detect function with two values when used with non-amplified channels change context correspondingly Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P28 L13 # 84 C/ FM SC FM P124 L20 # 81 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Е Comment Status X As 1.4.110c says that 400GBASE-Z is an "IEEE 802.3 family of Physical Layer devices", Missing tab in the format for some contents entries? it's not 400GBASE-R and needs introduction here. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fix or re-apply the template? Add a sentence introducing the 400GBASE-Z family. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 84

Page 14 of 18 4/28/2021 11:44:52 AM

SC 116.4 C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P28 L23 # 85 C/ 116 P30 L38 # 88 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X This says that 400GBASE-ZR uses 400GBASE-R encoding, while 1.4.110d says it uses Need an entry for the delay of the 400GBASE-Z PMA using 400GBASE-Z encoding. As the encoding is not regular 400GBASE-R encoding but SuggestedRemedy GMP retimed and framed, 400GBASE-Z encoding is right and 400GBASE-R encoding is Add a row for the delay of the 400GBASE-Z PMA wrong (seriously incomplete). Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Change "400GBASE-R encoding" to "400GBASE-Z encoding" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 116 SC 116.4 P30 L38 Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 116 SC 116.2.5 P30 L21 # 86 Comment Type T Comment Status X As this table contains entries for both 400GBASE-R and 400GBASE-Z Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε P802.3ck is changing this subclause and comes before this project in the list of For footnotes a and b, change 400GBASE-R to 400GBASE amendments. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Update the draft to include P802.3ck's changes as necessary C/ 116 SC 116.5 P31 L9 # 90 Proposed Response Response Status O Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Type T C/ 116 SC 116.2.5 P30 L25 # 87 As this table contains entries for both 400GBASE-R and 400GBASE-Z Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type TR Change "400GBASE-R" to "400GBASE" Clause 156 is for 400GBASE-ZR which isn't a 400GBASE-R PMD, it's a 400GBASE-Z Proposed Response Response Status O PMD.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change "400GBASE-R" to "400GBASE" in this sentence.

Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155 P33 L2 # 91

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

type what?

This PHY called "400GBASE-ZR" in this draft is similar in intent to 10GBASE-LW: the output from a BASE-R PCS is transmitted in telecoms style framing. While Z in the first position as an alternative to S, L or E, is familiar from unofficial specs as meaning 80 km or similar.

SuggestedRemedy

Complete the title: 400GBASE-ZW. Change 400GBASE-ZR to 400GBASE-ZW throughout, change 400GBASE-Z to 400GBASE-W throughout.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 156 SC 156.11 P79 L41 # 92

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

As we all know and Figure 156-2 shows, TP2 is not the MDI. Line 51 says see 156.5.1 which reminds us that "The optical transmit signal is defined at the output end of a single-mode fiber patch cord (TP2), between 2 m and 5 m in length". An equivalent sentence to this one in 156.11 has been deleted from 154.11.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the sentence "At the transmitter output the MDI coincides with TP2 and at the receiver input with TP3, as shown in Figure 156–2.".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 156A SC 156A.3

P**87** Nvidia L47

93

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status X

It is not clear what if anything "application" means here. Sometimes it's the wrong word technically: see 1.4.309 link segment.

SuggestedRemedy

- 1. Here, change "Examples of DWDM black link applications with OSNR..." to "DWDM black link example with OSNR..." (there is only one example here);
- 2. Change "For any application over any DWDM black link distance and any number of channels" to "For a particular DWDM black link distance and number of channels":
- 3. Change "Specifically in an example application of 40 channels" to "Specifically in an example with";

In 156A.4:

- 4. In 156A.4, change "Example of DWDM black link applications with OSNR" to "DWDM black link examples with OSNR" (there are four examples here);
- 5. Change "four examples of DWDM black link applications" to "four examples";
- 6. Change "conventional point-to-point Ethernet application where the PMDs" to "conventional point-to-point Ethernet link segment where the PMDs":
- 7. Change Table 156A-2--40 channel example DWDM black link application with ...

to: Table 156A-2--40-channel example with ... and similarly for the next three tables.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.1.3 P34 L38 # 94

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status X

This is so complicated and relies so heavily on references to a non-802.3 document that this definition by directive and reference risks ambiguity.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an annex with suitable examples (see Annex 119A for the idea). Large examples should can be made available separately on the web.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 P**77** L3 C/ 156 SC 156.6 P68 L37 # 95 # 98 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X This subclause is supposed to define transmitter in-band OSNR. It says "OSNR is defined Channels aren't transported, they are transmission paths. Signals may be transported or in 156.9.11." but does not say what "transmitter in-band" means. transmitted over or on channels SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Complete the definition Change "enable the transport of multiple DWDM channels over a single fiber" to "enable multiple DWDM channels over a single fiber" or "enable the transport of multiple DWDM Proposed Response Response Status O signals over a single fiber". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.5 P58 L48 # 96 Dawe, Piers Nvidia SC 156.9.15 C/ 156 P77 L28 # 99 Comment Type T Comment Status X Dawe. Piers Nvidia PMA:IS UNITDATA 0.indication to PMA:IS UNITDATA 3.indication Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Need to say whether transmitter impairments are included or not PMD:IS UNITDATA 0.indication to PMD:IS UNITDATA 3.indication SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Following 154.9.15 (P802.3ct), change "includes effects from impairments inside the DWDM black link." to "includes effects associated with impairments of the transmitter and inside the DWDM black link." Further, as the receiver should tolerate any compliant transmitter, not just its own transmitter, this would be better "includes effects associated C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.3 P49 L44 # 97 with impairments of a transmitter and inside a DWDM black link.". Dawe. Piers Nvidia Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X This isn't your grandfather's PMA. Frame alignment word (FAW), training sequence (TS), reserved symbols and pilot sequences (PS) are more like PCS functions, and complicated C/ 156 SC 156.9.15 P77 L25 # 100 enough that definition by directive risks ambiguity. Dawe. Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X As for a PCS: add an annex with suitable examples (see Annex 119A for the idea). Large examples should can be made available separately on the web. This subclause "Receiver OSNR" says "The Receiver shall be able to tolerate an OSNR". which sounds like OSNR tolerance. Yet the next subclause is called "Receiver OSNR Proposed Response Response Status O tolerance". The names are too similar.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Make changes to make it clear to the reader why there are two things and what the difference is. If possible, rename one of them. A reference to 156A,2 might help.

Response Status O

Cl 156 SC 156.10.2 P78 L38 # 101

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

As the sentence above says, laser safety should apply at the Tx MDI also. As we know, TP2 is not at the MDI.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "to the single channel points at TP2 and TP3, as shown in Figure 156-3," to "where the signals are in separate fibers, such as TP2 and TP3 in Figure 156-3".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 156A SC 156A.4 P88 L54 # 102

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This says "the PMDs at TP2 and TP3" yet we know that the PMD and TP2 are separated

This says "the PMDs at TP2 and TP3" yet we know that the PMD and TP2 are separated by a patch cord between 2 m and 5 m in length (see 156.5.1).

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "at TP2 and TP3".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 156 SC 156.5.1 P67 L7 # 103

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status X

TP2 and TP3 are test points for the PMD. The way this clause uses TP2 as a specification point for the DWDM black link is causing problems, because the PMD and TP2 are separated by a patch cord between 2 m and 5 m in length (see 156.5.1).

There is no need to the test point for the transmitter and the input to the "DWDM black link" to be at the same point.

The input to the "Fiber optic cabling (channel)" (see Figure 38-7, Figure 151-7 or many others) is the MDI.

There are plenty of names for the output of the PMD (such as "MDI", "PMD" or "transmitter"), or a new one could be invented.

SuggestedRemedy

Define the "DWDM channel" as from MDI to MDI, same as "Fiber optic cabling (channel)" in so many clauses, and or "link segment" (see 1.4.309). Use a figure like Figure 151-7 if appropriate.

TP2 can be shown within the "DWDM channel", or the transmitter can be connected to TP2 for testing and to the "DWDM channel" for use, which is more realistic.

Proposed Response Status O