C/ 155 SC 155.1.2	P 34	L 26	# 12	C/ 155	SC 155	.2.4.3	P 39	L 38	# 14
Huber, Tom	Nokia			Huber, Tor	n		Nokia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment	Туре Е		Comment Status D		bucket
Text says the 400GMII include them.	extender sublayers are show	wn in the figure, b	ut the figure does not	the figu	ure don't se	em to a	ce, two horizontal lines, and add any clarity. The figure ti		
SuggestedRemedy						ribes th	e structure clearly.		
	ence of the first paragraph o	of 155.1.2, beginn	ing with "The	Suggested					
sublayers of a 400GMI	Extended Sublayer."				•	and cur	ly brace, horizontal lines ar	nd 'Frame', leavi	ing only the frame itself
Proposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			in the f	0		_		
PROPOSED ACCEPT				Proposed F PROP	Response OSED AC	CEPT.	Response Status W		
Referenced example is is used with 400GBASE	addressed in new 120A-6 v E-ZR.	hich does show h	now extender sublayer	C/ 155	SC 155	.2.4.4.1	P 40	L 53	# 15
Change existing text "T	he sublayers of a 400GMII I	- 	(400GXS) from	Huber, Tor	n		Nokia		
	because the 00GBASE-ZR			Comment	Туре Т		Comment Status D		
0 0	CS and XS sublayers as des	cribed in 118.2."					gnment markers repeats so		
	OGMII Extender Sublayer (40 able to propagate FEC deg						ransmission order, and also is not included.	o doesn't mentio	on that the 3-bit status
sublayers as described		ade signaling act		Suggested	Remedy				
					e the claus				
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.1	P 39	L 14	# 13				sed to provide frame deline		
Huber, Tom	Nokia						FEC encoding and remove apped<1919:0> is construct		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D						scribed in 119.2.4.4.2. The		
matching as described	e matching not being neces in 119.2.4.1 has two purpos	es: making room	for alignment markers,	not ado frame.	ded. The r	esulting	1920-bit value is inserted i	in the AM field c	of each 400GBASE-ZR
AMs are not inserted in	ock domains. It is not neede to the stream of transcoded and because GMP handles	blocks (they are	nstead part of the	Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACO	CEPT.	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy									

mapping." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Modify the second sentence of the first paragraph to read: "The rate matching described in 119.2.4.1 is not required for the 400GBASE-ZR PCS because the transcoded block stream

is mapped into a 400GBASE-ZR frame structure that includes space for alignment markers, and clock compensation between the two clock domains is provided by this

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4.1 Page 1 of 7 10/25/2021 10:52:52 AM

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.4.3	P 41	L 18	# 16		C/ 155	SC
Huber, Tor	n	Nokia			<u> </u>	Huber, Tor	m

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The overhead in G.709.1 does not include the 'LDI' field described in 155.2.4.4.5; that is only in the 400ZR IA. As such the statement that the contents of the overhead are are described in G.709.1 clauses 8.1 and 9.2 is not accurate.

SuggestedRemedy

Since G.709.1 and the 400ZR IA have different descriptive techniques, and neither one uses the same bit numbering convention of 802.3, it may be more expedient to create a figure in P802.3cw that shows the structure of the first set of 320 bits rather than to try and reference either document. Revise the text to say: The overhead is organized into four sets of 320 bits that are interleaved in groups of 10 bits to form the 1280 bit field. The contents of the first 320 bits are as shown in Figure 155-X and described below. The contents of the second through fourth sets of 320 bits are all zeros.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

There are two options for consideration by the task force. Option 1: Use the format of the top instance of Figure 9-7b of G.709.1 with the unused fields such as GID, IID, MAP, CRC and AVAIL labeled as RES (reserved). Option 2: A more detailed version of Figure 155-8.

Add the 3 LDI bits to the STAT breakout in bits 6,7,8.

Add the JC1-6 bytes into the 2nd, 3rd and 4th frames of a 4-frame multi-frame. Renumber bits to match IEEE convention.

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.4.4	P 41	L 23	# 17
Huber, To	m	Nokia		
• •				

Comment Type E Comment Status D

155.2.4.4.4, 155.2.4.4.5, and 155.2.4.4.6 are all descibing specific aspects of the 400GBASE-ZR overhead field. As such, it would probably be better if they were renumbered to be subclauses of 155.2.4.4.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the numbering to 155.2.4.4.3.1 through 155.2.4.4.3.3.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The 2020 IEEE SA Standards Style Manual states subclauses can have a maximum of 5 numbers seperated by decimal points.

Change 155.2.4.4 "Alignment Marker (AM) and Overhead (OH) insertion" to "Alignment Marker (AM) and Pad insertion"

Change 155.2.4.4.3 400GBASE-ZR OH to 155.2.4.5 Overhead (OH) insertion.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.4.5	P 41	L 41	# 18
Huber, Ton	n	Nokia		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

More detail about the LDI field is needed. While it is generally better to cross-reference, and the intent is clearly to match the behavior in the 400ZR IA, the IA treats these bits as part of the STAT byte rather than a separate field, and it also refers back to am_sf<2:0> in its definition, so it would be better to describe how LDI<2:0> relates to tx_am_sf<2:0> directly. The text in the IA appears to align with the definitions of tx_am_sf<2:0> for PHY XS FEC Degrade signaling in 118.2.2 of 802.3 (the 'extra processing' in the IA seems to be described in this clause). The order of the bits in the Status byte is diffrent than in tx am sf<2:0>.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following text to paragraph 4:

The contents of LDI<2:0> are as follows:

LDI<2> corresponds to tx_am_sf<0> in 118.2.2. LDI<1> corresponds to tx_am_sf<2> in 118.2.2. LDI<0> corresponds to tx_am_sf<1> in 118.2.2.

Proposed Response	Response Status	w	
-------------------	-----------------	---	--

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.9	P 46	L 3	#	19
Huber, Tom	ı	Nokia			_

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The figure contains a mix of lighter and heavier horizontal lines. The heavier lines don't appear to mean anything.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the figure to remove the heavy lines, or make clear what they mean if there is an intended meaning to them.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

The figure is intended to show the ordering of 10976 codewords at the input to the CI, at the CI output / Hamming encoder input, and then the addition of 9 bits to each 119b codeword at the output of the Hamming encoder. Agree with the commenter that the lighter/heavier lines should be revised to a common width.

Cl	155	
SC	155.2.4.9	

Page 2 of 7 10/25/2021 10:52:52 AM

bucket

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.9	P 46	L 25	# 20	C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.7	P 49	L 6	# 23
Huber. Ton		Nokia		" 20	Huber. Ton		Nokia	2.	11 20
Comment 7		Comment Status D		bucket	Comment T		Comment Status D		bucke
	51	st column are shaded, presu	mably because			should be a hyph			buche
of pado	ding, but the shad	ing is not maintained in the	other columns.		Suggested	Remedy			
Suggested	Remedy					e to CRC-32			
		he pad blocks and relabel the tails of which blocks are pa			Proposed F PROPC	Response DSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W			C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.7.	2 P 49	L 48	# 24
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.							L 40	# 24
C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.10	P 46	L 38	# 21	Huber, Ton		Nokia Comment Status D		
Huber, Ton		Nokia	230	<i>π</i> 21	Comment 7 Addition		he LDI field and how it relate	es to ty am sf </td <td>2:0> in clause 118 is</td>	2:0> in clause 118 is
Comment 7		Comment Status D		bucket	needed			.5 to tx_am_51 -2	
	51	"It adds 9-bits of parity."		DUCKEL	Suggested	Remedy			
Suggestedl	Remedy						to the description of the LDI b ut may be changed to 155.2.		`
To may	ximize clarity, rew	ord as "It adds 9 parity bits."	1		Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
Proposed F	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			PROPO	OSED ACCEPT.	•		
					C/ 155	SC 155.4	P 61	L 10	# 8
C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.6	P 48	L 50	# 22	Lewis, Dav	id	Lumentum		
Huber, Ton		Nokia			Comment T	<i>уре</i> Т	Comment Status D		
Comment 7	51	Comment Status D		bucket	Detaile	d functions and s	state diagrams for 400GBAS	E-ZR PCS and	PMA are needed.
The title	le of the clause is	"CRC-32 check", but the tex	t is mostly abou	t error marking	Suggested	Remedy			
Suggestedl Revise	•	RC-32 check and error mark	ing"		If the ba	aseline is accept	sed baseline text and figures ted, the editor's note can be r	removed. The t	ask force could also
Proposed F	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				that the detailed use 155.4 can be	functions and state diagrams removed.	s are not neede	d, in which case
PROPU	USED ACCEPT.				Proposed F	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
					PROPO	DSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
					Contrib	ution to be consi	idered at a task force meetin	g.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 155	Page 3 of 7
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 155.4	10/25/2021 10:52:52 AM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

	P 61	L 17	# 9	C/ 156 SC 156.7.1	P 73	L 25	# 5
Lewis, David	Lumentum		_	Jackson, Kenneth	Sumitomo E	lectric	
Comment Type T Co. Management information for	<i>mment Status</i> D 400GBASE-ZR PCS ar	nd PMA is neede	ed.	Comment Type E Table 156-6, Laser frequer	<i>Comment Status</i> A ncy noise mask. Elimina	te TBDs?	
SuggestedRemedy Contribution with proposed ba If the baseline is accepted, th decide that management deta removed.	ne editor's note can be i	removed. The ta	ask force could also	SuggestedRemedy Make reference to 156.9.6 Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Laser frequency noise n	nask.	
Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR	sponse Status W			Remove TBD and replace	with "See 156.9.6"		
Contribution to be considered		g.		C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 Maniloff, Eric	P 74 Ciena	L 23	# 27
C/ 155 SC 155.6	P 61	L 23	# 10	,	Comment Status A		
Lewis, David	Lumentum			Receiver OSNR is only de	fined for average receive	e power = -12 dBn	n
Comment Type T Co.	mment Status D			SuggestedRemedy			
Loopback information is need	led.			Remove text "For average	receive power < -12 dBr	m"	
SuggestedRemedy				Response F	Response Status C		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR	ne editor's note can be r re not needed, in which sponse Status W RINCIPLE.	removed. The ta case subclause	ask force could also	Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In table 156-7 change "Receiver OSNR (min): For average receive power For average receive power	, r < −12 dBm		
Contribution with proposed ba If the baseline is accepted, th decide that looback details ar Proposed Response Res	ne editor's note can be r re not needed, in which sponse Status W RINCIPLE.	removed. The ta case subclause	ask force could also	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In table 156-7 change "Receiver OSNR (min): For average receive power For average receive power to	, r < −12 dBm		
Contribution with proposed ba If the baseline is accepted, th decide that looback details ar Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR	ne editor's note can be r re not needed, in which sponse Status W RINCIPLE.	removed. The ta case subclause	ask force could also	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In table 156-7 change "Receiver OSNR (min): For average receive power For average receive power	, r < −12 dBm		
Contribution with proposed ba If the baseline is accepted, th decide that looback details ar Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR Contribution to be considered	ne editor's note can be i re not needed, in which sponse Status W RINCIPLE. d at a task force meetin	removed. The ta case subclause g.	ask force could also 155.6 can be removed.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In table 156-7 change "Receiver OSNR (min): For average receive power For average receive power to	, r < −12 dBm		
Contribution with proposed ba If the baseline is accepted, th decide that looback details ar Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR Contribution to be considered C/ 155 SC 155.8 Lewis, David	ne editor's note can be i re not needed, in which sponse Status W RINCIPLE. d at a task force meetin P 63	removed. The ta case subclause g.	ask force could also 155.6 can be removed.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In table 156-7 change "Receiver OSNR (min): For average receive power For average receive power to	, r < −12 dBm		
Contribution with proposed ba If the baseline is accepted, th decide that looback details ar Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR Contribution to be considered C/ 155 SC 155.8 Lewis, David Comment Type T Co	ne editor's note can be i re not needed, in which sponse Status W RINCIPLE. d at a task force meetin P 63 Lumentum mment Status D	removed. The ta case subclause g. <i>L</i> 1	ask force could also 155.6 can be removed. # <u>11</u>	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In table 156-7 change "Receiver OSNR (min): For average receive power For average receive power to	, r < −12 dBm		
Contribution with proposed ba If the baseline is accepted, th decide that looback details ar Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR Contribution to be considered C/ 155 SC 155.8 Lewis, David Comment Type T Co. PICS tables are needed. SuggestedRemedy Contribution with proposed ta	ne editor's note can be i re not needed, in which sponse Status W RINCIPLE. d at a task force meetin P 63 Lumentum mment Status D ables will be made at a sponse Status W	removed. The ta case subclause g. <i>L</i> 1	ask force could also 155.6 can be removed. # <u>11</u>	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In table 156-7 change "Receiver OSNR (min): For average receive power For average receive power to	, r < −12 dBm		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 Page 4 of 7 10/25/2021 10:52:52 AM

C/ 156 SC 156.7.2	P74	L 26	# 28	C/ 156 SC	156.9.4	P 78	L 41	# 7
laniloff, Eric	Ciena			Jackson, Kenne	th	Sumitomo El	ectric	
omment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type		omment Status A		
Receiver OSNR tolera	nce is only defined for avera	ge receive power	⁻ = -12 dBm			ral mask (max and min t is set at -9 dB up to h		" vet the Figure
SuggestedRemedy						e baud rate 29.9?		, you no riguio
	rage receive power = -12 dBn	n" from receiver (OSNR tolerance	SuggestedReme	dy			
Response	Response Status C			If my unders	tanding is corre	ct, the figure should be	changed to refle	ct half the baud-rate.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	LE.			Response	Re	sponse Status C		
In table 156-7 change				ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.			
"Receiver OSNR tolera For average receive po				The value of	30.8 in figure 1	56-4 is correct but the r	eference in D1.2	to half the baud rate
to				incorrect.	ence before the	figure		
"Receiver OSNR tolera				from		C C		
C/ 156 SC 156.7.2	P74	L 30	# 30	"The lower n of 0.05."	nask is set at –9	dB up to half the baud	rate, and then fo	blows the RRC with ß
Issenhuth, Tom	Huawei			to				
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket	"The lower n	nask is set at –9	dB up to the -9dB poir	nt of an RRC with	ß of 0.05".
	nk line at the end of the table			C/ 156 SC	156.9.6	P 79	L 51	# 6
SuggestedRemedy				Jackson, Kenne	th	Sumitomo El	ectric	
Remove the blank line				Comment Type	E Co	omment Status A		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Labeling on table values.		5-Frequency vs spectr	al power density	needs to reflect the
C/ 156 SC 156.8	P75	L 41	# 26	SuggestedReme	dy			
Maniloff, Eric	Ciena			change 1.0 [^]	6 to 10^6 (remov	/e decimal) or 1.0e6		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Response		sponse Status C		
Interferometric crossta	alk is not required to be specif	fied for point-to-p	oint applications.	ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.			
SuggestedRemedy						Group editorial guideli		
Remove Interferometr	ic crosstalk from Table 156-8					/G_tools/editorial/requin a decimal point. Char		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C LE.					e labels in the figure to		
	rosstalk at TP3 (max) in Tabl ntations of a DWDM black lini							
Update 156.9.24 to pro	ovide more context for the foc	otnote.						
With editorial license.								
TYPE: TR/technical require	ed ER/editorial required GR/	deneral required	T/technical E/editorial G	/deneral		C/ 1	56	Page 5 of 7

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 Page 5 of 7 10/25/2021 10:52:52 AM

CI 156 SC 15	56.9.17	P 81	L 18	# 29	C/ 156	SC 156.10.1.2.	P 84	L 8	# 2
/laniloff, Eric		Ciena			Pittala, Fabio	D	Huawei		
omment Type	E Co	omment Status A			Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		
Add table refere	ence for Rece	iver OSNR tolerance			Requirer	nents on the cloc	k recovery unit should	be included.	
	ver OSNR tole r OSNR tolera Res	erance" to "The Receiv ance is defined." sponse Status C	er OSNR tolerar	ice is specified in Table	Recover recovery a fixed b Otherwis	igure 156-8 chan y". Include at the with a corner fre lock length of TB a modify Figure	D symbols." 156-8 adding a block r	e 156.10.1.2.2 the f nd a slope of TBD d named "Clock Recov	ollowing text "A clock B/decade is applied on very" after the
Change "Receiver OSNI to	R tolerance is	defined in TBD"			following	text "A clock rec	ck and add a new sub covery with a corner fre a fixed block length of	equency of TBD MH	
		specified in Table 156	-7. Receiver OS	SNR tolerance is	Response ACCEP1	IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C		
Maniloff, Eric Comment Type		P 81 Ciena omment Status A s not required for the do	L 32 efined application	# <u>25</u>	Frequen Recover beginnin	cy Offset Recove y" to "Clock and I g of 156.10.1.2.2	igure 156-7 from "Frea ry". Change title of 15 Frequency Offset Rec "A clock recovery witl is applied on a fixed bl	6.10.1.2.2 from "Fre overy" and add a ne a corner frequency	equency Offset w sentence at the of TBD MHz and a
	20 <i>Res</i> RINCIPLE.) and remove	sponse Status C Optical path OSNR pe Dptical path power pen			block in SuggestedRe	pe ER a mismatch betw Figure 156-8. emedy	I P 84 Huawei Comment Status A veen the title of subcla 0.1.2.1 as "Polarizatic		# 3
156 SC 15	56.10.1.1	P 83	L 6	# 1				II Demax	
ttala, Fabio		Huawei			Response ACCEP1		Response Status C		
The first box of of the frontend of the frontend of uggestedRemedy	Figure 156-7 correction. Bo st box of Figur iver"	omment Status A consists of a coherent oth boxes make a calibu re 156-7 as "Coherent I sponse Status C	rated coherent re	eceiver.	Cl 156 Issenhuth, T Comment Ty Number SuggestedR	SC 156.10.1.2.4 om pe T of block samples emedy	Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> A is TBD	L 5	# <u>31</u>
ACCEPT.					Replace <i>Response</i> ACCEP1		Response Status C		
	S: D/dispatch	ed A/accepted R/reje		T/technical E/editorial G/ ISE STATUS: O/open W/w	0	Z/withdrawn		156 2 156.10.1.2.1	Page 6 of 7 10/25/2021 10:52:52

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SC 156.10.1.2.1 10/25/ SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.	10.1.2.2	P 84	L 11	# 32	C/ 156	SC 156.13.	4.4 P 91	L 25	# 34
Issenhuth, Tom		Huawei			Issenhuth,	Tom	Huawei		
Comment Type T Comment Status A					Comment 1		Comment Status A		
Number of symbol	s is TBD					able needs to l Q (mean)"	be updated as "I-Q offset" was	s changed to "I-C	(max instantaneous)"
SuggestedRemedy					Suggested				
Replace TBD with	"1000"				00		o "I-Q (max instantaneous)" a	nd add entry for '	'I-Q (mean)" for
Response	Response St	tatus C				ise 156.9.12			
ACCEPT.					Response		Response Status C		
C/ 156 SC 156.	10.1.2.3	P 84	L13	# 4	ACCEF	Ϋ́Τ.			
Pittala, Fabio		Huawei			C/ 156A	SC 156A	P 95	L1	# 35
Comment Type TR					Issenhuth,	Tom	Huawei		
In Figure 156-8 there is a box "Carrier Phase Recovery" but no subclause is included to describe the functionality of this DSP block.					Comment 7	уре Т	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy		JIUCK.			Majorit specific		all of the annex no longer nee	eded with the ren	noval of the unamplified
Add a new subclau	use 156.10.1.2.3 title	ed "Carrier Pha	ase Recovery". D	escription text is TBD.	Suggested				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response St EPT IN PRINCIPLE				Delete	156A.2 onwar	d retaining 156A.1 which cont nex from the draft including re		
Add a new subclause 156.10.1.2.3 titled "Carrier Phase Recovery". Add Description text is TBD.					Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
C/ 156 SC 156.	10.1.2.4	P 84	L 19	# 33	For tas	k force discus	sion.		
Issenhuth, Tom		Huawei							
Comment Type T Number of symbol	Comment S Is is TBD	tatus A							
SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with									
Response	Response St	tatus C							

C/ 156A SC 156A