C/ 155 SC 155.1.2	P 34	L 26	# 12	C/ 155	SC 155	.2.4.3	P 39	L 38	# 14
Huber, Tom	Nokia			Huber, Tor	n		Nokia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment	Туре Е		Comment Status D		bucket
Text says the 400GMII include them.	extender sublayers are show	wn in the figure, b	ut the figure does not	the figu	ure don't se	em to a	ce, two horizontal lines, and add any clarity. The figure ti		
SuggestedRemedy						ribes th	e structure clearly.		
	ence of the first paragraph o	of 155.1.2, beginn	ing with "The	Suggested					
sublayers of a 400GMI	Extended Sublayer."				•	and cur	ly brace, horizontal lines ar	nd 'Frame', leavi	ing only the frame itself
Proposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			in the f	0		_		
PROPOSED ACCEPT				Proposed F PROP	Response OSED AC	CEPT.	Response Status W		
Referenced example is is used with 400GBASE	addressed in new 120A-6 v E-ZR.	hich does show h	now extender sublayer	C/ 155	SC 155	.2.4.4.1	P 40	L 53	# 15
Change existing text "T	he sublayers of a 400GMII I	- 	(400GXS) from	Huber, Tor	n		Nokia		
	because the 00GBASE-ZR			Comment	Туре Т		Comment Status D		
0 0	CS and XS sublayers as des	cribed in 118.2."					gnment markers repeats so		
	OGMII Extender Sublayer (40 able to propagate FEC deg						ransmission order, and also is not included.	o doesn't mentio	on that the 3-bit status
sublayers as described		ade signaling act		Suggested	Remedy				
					e the claus				
C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.1	P 39	L 14	# 13				sed to provide frame deline		
Huber, Tom	Nokia						FEC encoding and remove apped<1919:0> is construct		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D						scribed in 119.2.4.4.2. The		
matching as described	e matching not being neces in 119.2.4.1 has two purpos	es: making room	for alignment markers,	not ado frame.	ded. The r	esulting	1920-bit value is inserted i	in the AM field c	of each 400GBASE-ZR
AMs are not inserted in	ock domains. It is not neede to the stream of transcoded and because GMP handles	blocks (they are	nstead part of the	Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACO	CEPT.	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Modify the second sentence of the first paragraph to read: "The rate matching described in 119.2.4.1 is not required for the 400GBASE-ZR PCS because the transcoded block stream

is mapped into a 400GBASE-ZR frame structure that includes space for alignment markers, and clock compensation between the two clock domains is provided by this

Response Status W

mapping." Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4.1 Page 1 of 7 10/22/2021 1:41:24 PM

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.4.3	P 41	L 18	# 16	C/ 155	SC
Huber, Tor	m	Nokia			 Huber, To	m

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The overhead in G.709.1 does not include the 'LDI' field described in 155.2.4.4.5: that is only in the 400ZR IA. As such the statement that the contents of the overhead are are described in G.709.1 clauses 8.1 and 9.2 is not accurate.

SuggestedRemedy

Since G.709.1 and the 400ZR IA have different descriptive techniques, and neither one uses the same bit numbering convention of 802.3, it may be more expedient to create a figure in P802.3cw that shows the structure of the first set of 320 bits rather than to try and reference either document. Revise the text to say: The overhead is organized into four sets of 320 bits that are interleaved in groups of 10 bits to form the 1280 bit field. The contents of the first 320 bits are as shown in Figure 155-X and described below. The contents of the second through fourth sets of 320 bits are all zeros.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

There are two options for consideration by the task force. Option 1: Use the format of the top instance of Figure 9-7b of G.709.1 with the unused fields such as GID, IID, MAP, CRC and AVAIL labeled as RES (reserved). Option 2: A more detailed version of Figure 155-8.

Add the 3 LDI bits to the STAT breakout in bits 6.7.8.

Add the JC1-6 bytes into the 2nd, 3rd and 4th frames of a 4-frame multi-frame. Renumber bits to match IEEE convention.

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.4.4	P 41	L 23	# 17	
Huber, Tor	n	Nokia			
<u> </u>					

Comment Type E Comment Status D

155.2.4.4.4, 155.2.4.4.5, and 155.2.4.4.6 are all descibing specific aspects of the 400GBASE-ZR overhead field. As such, it would probably be better if they were renumbered to be subclauses of 155.2.4.4.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the numbering to 155.2.4.4.3.1 through 155.2.4.4.3.3.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The 2020 IEEE SA Standards Style Manual states subclauses can have a maximum of 5 numbers seperated by decimal points.

Change 155.2.4.4 "Alignment Marker (AM) and Overhead (OH) insertion" to "Alignment Marker (AM) and Pad insertion"

Change 155.2.4.4.3 400GBASE-ZR OH to 155.2.4.5 Overhead (OH) insertion.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.4.5	P 41	L 41	# 18
Huber, Ton	n	Nokia		

Comment Type **T** Comment Status D

More detail about the LDI field is needed. While it is generally better to cross-reference. and the intent is clearly to match the behavior in the 400ZR IA, the IA treats these bits as part of the STAT byte rather than a separate field, and it also refers back to am sf<2:0> in its definition, so it would be better to describe how LDI<2:0> relates to tx am sf<2:0>directly. The text in the IA appears to align with the definitions of tx am sf<2:0> for PHY XS FEC Degrade signaling in 118.2.2 of 802.3 (the 'extra processing' in the IA seems to be described in this clause). The order of the bits in the Status byte is diffrent than in tx am sf<2:0>.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following text to paragraph 4:

The contents of LDI<2:0> are as follows:

LDI<2> corresponds to tx am sf<0> in 118.2.2. LDI<1> corresponds to tx am sf<2> in 118.2.2. LDI<0> corresponds to tx am sf<1> in 118.2.2.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
-------------------	-----------------	---

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.9	P 46	L 3	# 19
Huber, Tom	ı	Nokia		

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The figure contains a mix of lighter and heavier horizontal lines. The heavier lines don't appear to mean anything.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the figure to remove the heavy lines, or make clear what they mean if there is an intended meaning to them.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

The figure is intended to show the ordering of 10976 codewords at the input to the CI, at the CI output / Hamming encoder input, and then the addition of 9 bits to each 119b codeword at the output of the Hamming encoder. Agree with the commenter that the lighter/heavier lines should be revised to a common width.

C/ 155	Page 2 of 7
SC 155.2.4.9	10/22/2021 1:41:24 PM

bucket

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.9	P 46	L 25	# 20	C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.7	P 49	L 6	# 23
Huber, Tom		Nokia			Huber, Tom	I	Nokia		
	6 rows in the firs	Comment Status D st column are shaded, presung is not maintained in the o		<i>bucket</i> they are the 6 blocks		hould be a hyph	Comment Status D en in CRC32		bucke
	the shading of t	he pad blocks and relabel the train of which blocks are pa			Proposed R	to CRC-32	Response Status W		
Proposed Re		Response Status W			C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.7.	2 P 49	L 48	# 24
PROPOS	SED ACCEPT.				Huber, Tom	I	Nokia		
Cl 155 Huber, Tom	SC 155.2.4.10	P 46 Nokia	L 38	# 21	Comment T		Comment Status D the LDI field and how it relate	s to tx am sf<	2·N> in clause 118 is
Comment Typ	pe E	Comment Status D		bucket	needed			3 to tx_an1_31 4	
		"It adds 9-bits of parity."		buoker	SuggestedF	Remedy			
SuggestedRe	emedy						to the description of the LDI b ut may be changed to 155.2.4		
To maxim	nize clarity, rewo	ord as "It adds 9 parity bits."			Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W		
Proposed Res	sponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			PROPC	SED ACCEPT.			
			/ =0	" 22	C/ 155	SC 155.4	P 61	L 10	# 8
	SC 155.2.5.6	P 48	L 50	# 22	Lewis, Davi	d	Lumentum		
Huber, Tom	_	Nokia			Comment T		Comment Status D		
Comment Typ		Comment Status D		bucket	Detailed	I functions and	state diagrams for 400GBAS	E-ZR PCS and	PMA are needed.
		"CRC-32 check", but the tex	a is mostly abou	it error marking	SuggestedF	Remedy			
SuggestedRe Revise th	•	C-32 check and error marki	ng"		If the ba	seline is accept	sed baseline text and figures ted, the editor's note can be r	emoved. The t	ask force could also
Proposed Re	•	Response Status W				hat the detailed se 155.4 can be	functions and state diagrams e removed.	s are not neede	ed, in which case
PROPOS	SED ACCEPT.				Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W		
					PROPC	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
					Contribu	ution to be cons	idered at a task force meeting	g.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 155	Page 3 of 7
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 155.4	10/22/2021 1:41:24 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/155 SC 155.5 P61 L17 # 9	C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P73 L 25 # 5
Lewis, David Lumentum	Jackson, Kenneth Sumitomo Electric
Comment Type T Comment Status D Management information for 400GBASE-ZR PCS and PMA is needed.	Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 156-6, Laser frequency noise mask. Eliminate TBDs?
SuggestedRemedy Contribution with proposed baseline text and figures will be made at a task force m If the baseline is accepted, the editor's note can be removed. The task force could decide that management details are not needed, in which case subclause 155.5 ca removed.	l also
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Remove TBD and replace with "See 156.9.16"
Contribution to be considered at a task force meeting.	C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 P 74 L 23 # 27 Maniloff, Eric Ciena
C/ 155 SC 155.6 P61 L 23 # 10	Comment Type T Comment Status D
Lewis, David Lumentum	Receiver OSNR is only defined for average receive power = -12 dBm
Comment Type T Comment Status D	SuggestedRemedy
Loopback information is needed.	Remove text "For average receive power < -12 dBm"
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status W
SuggestedRemedy Contribution with proposed baseline text and figures will be made at a task force m If the baseline is accepted, the editor's note can be removed. The task force could decide that looback details are not needed, in which case subclause 155.6 can be Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Contribution with proposed baseline text and figures will be made at a task force m If the baseline is accepted, the editor's note can be removed. The task force could decide that looback details are not needed, in which case subclause 155.6 can be Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. also removed. In table 156-7 change "Receiver OSNR (min): For average receive power < -12 dBm For average receive power >= -12 dBm" to
Contribution with proposed baseline text and figures will be made at a task force m If the baseline is accepted, the editor's note can be removed. The task force could decide that looback details are not needed, in which case subclause 155.6 can be Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Halso removed. In table 156-7 change "Receiver OSNR (min): For average receive power < -12 dBm For average receive power >= -12 dBm"
Contribution with proposed baseline text and figures will be made at a task force mill the baseline is accepted, the editor's note can be removed. The task force could decide that looback details are not needed, in which case subclause 155.6 can be Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Contribution to be considered at a task force meeting. L1 # 11 Lewis, David Lumentum Lumentum L1 L1 L1	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. also removed. In table 156-7 change "Receiver OSNR (min): For average receive power < -12 dBm For average receive power >= -12 dBm" to
Contribution with proposed baseline text and figures will be made at a task force mill the baseline is accepted, the editor's note can be removed. The task force could decide that looback details are not needed, in which case subclause 155.6 can be Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Contribution to be considered at a task force meeting. 11 C/ 155 SC 155.8 P 63 L 1 # 11 Lewis, David Lumentum Comment Type T Comment Status D	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. also removed. In table 156-7 change "Receiver OSNR (min): For average receive power < -12 dBm For average receive power >= -12 dBm" to
Contribution with proposed baseline text and figures will be made at a task force mains in the baseline is accepted, the editor's note can be removed. The task force could decide that looback details are not needed, in which case subclause 155.6 can be Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Contribution to be considered at a task force meeting. C/ 155 SC 155.8 P63 L1 Lewis, David Lumentum Comment Type T Comment Status D PICS tables are needed.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. also removed. In table 156-7 change "Receiver OSNR (min): For average receive power < -12 dBm For average receive power >= -12 dBm" to

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 Page 4 of 7 10/22/2021 1:41:24 PM

Jackson, Kenneth Sumitomo Electric Comment Type T Comment Status D Figure 156-4-Transmit spectral mask (max and min) The text says, "lower mask is set at -9 dB up to half the baud rate", yet the Figure shows (30.8,-9). Isn't half the baud rate 29.9? SuggestedRemedy If my understanding is correct, the figure should be changed to reflect half the baud-rate. Proposed Response Response Status W
Figure 156-4-Transmit spectral mask (max and min) The text says, "lower mask is set at -9 dB up to half the baud rate", yet the Figure shows (30.8,-9). Isn't half the baud rate 29.9? SuggestedRemedy If my understanding is correct, the figure should be changed to reflect half the baud-rate.
The text says, "lower mask is set at -9 dB up to half the baud rate", yet the Figure shows (30.8,-9). Isn't half the baud rate 29.9? SuggestedRemedy If my understanding is correct, the figure should be changed to reflect half the baud-rate.
shows (30.8,-9). Isn't half the baud rate 29.9? SuggestedRemedy If my understanding is correct, the figure should be changed to reflect half the baud-rate.
If my understanding is correct, the figure should be changed to reflect half the baud-rate.
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
The value of 30.8 is correct. Change sentence before the figure from "The lower mask is set at -9 dB up to half the baud rate, and then follows the RRC with ß of 0.05." to "The lower mask is set at -9 dB up to the -9dB point of an RRC with ß of 0.05".
C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P79 L 51 # 6
Jackson, Kenneth Sumitomo Electric
Comment Type E Comment Status D
Labeling on plot (Figure 156-5-Frequency vs spectral power density) needs to reflect the table values.
SuggestedRemedy
change 1.0^6 to 10^6 (remove decimal) or 1.0e6
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change the labels in the figure to 1.0e6 as an example to match the values in Table 156

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 Page 5 of 7 10/22/2021 1:41:24 PM

C/ 156 SC 156.9.17	P 81	L 18	# 29	C/ 156	SC 156.10.1.2	. P 84	L 8	# 2
Maniloff, Eric	Ciena			Pittala, Fa		Huawe		
	nment Status D			Comment		Comment Status	D	
Add table reference for Receiv						ock recovery unit sho		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Change "Receiver OSNR toler 156-7. Receiver OSNR tolerar		ver OSNR tolerar	nce is specified in Table	Recov	ery". Include at the	e beginning of subcla		following text "A clock
Proposed Response Resp	oonse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤				ry with a corner fr block length of T		z and a slope of TBD	dB/decade is applied on
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.			Otherv	vise modify Figure	e 156-8 adding a bloo	k named "Clock Rec	
Change "Receiver OSNR tolerance is to	defined in TBD"			followi	ng text "A clock re			.2) containing the Hz and a slope of TBD
to "Receiver OSNR tolerance is a defined as TBD"	specified in Table 15	6-7. Receiver OS	SNR tolerance is	Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT I	Response Status N PRINCIPLE.	W	
C/ 156 SC 156.9.20	P 81	L 32	# 25				requency Offset Rec 156.10.1.2.2 from "F	
Maniloff, Eric	Ciena			Recov	ery" to "Clock and	Frequency Offset R	ecovery" and add a n	ew sentence at the
Comment Type T Con Optical Path Power penalty is	nment Status D	defined applicatio	n	0	0	,	with a corner frequend I block length of TBD	cy of TBD MHz and a symbols."
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 156	SC 156.10.1.2		Ū	# 3
Remove 156.9.20						Huawe		# 3
Proposed Response Resu	oonse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			Pittala, Fa Comment		Comment Status		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI				There	51			nd the corresponding
Delete 156.9.20 and remove (GHz) from Table 156-8 and O				Suggested	Remedy	.10.1.2.1 as "Polariza	ation Demux"	
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.1	P 83	L 6	# 1	Proposed I		Response Status		
Pittala, Fabio	Huawei			,	OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status	vv	
Comment Type TR Con	nment Status D							
The first box of Figure 156-7 o				C/ 156	SC 156.10.1.2	.1 <i>P</i> 84	L 5	# 31
of the frontend correction. Bot	n boxes make a call	brated conerent re	eceiver.	Issenhuth,		Huawe		
SuggestedRemedy Rename the first box of Figure Coherent Receiver"	156-7 as "Coherent	Receiver" instea	d of "Calibrated	Comment Numbe	<i>Type</i> T er of block sample	<i>Comment Status</i> es is TBD	D	
	oonse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤			Suggested Replac	<i>Remedy</i> ce TBD with "1000)"		
THOI OULD ACCEPT.				Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status	w	
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/e					76.00		C/ 156	Page 6 of 7

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SC 156.10.1.2.1 10/22/2021 1:41:24 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.2	P 84	L 11	# 32	C/ 156 SC 156.13.	4.4 P 91	L 25	# 34
Issenhuth, Tom	Huawei			Issenhuth, Tom	Huawei		
Comment Type T Cor	nment Status D			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
Number of symbols is TBD					be updated as "I-Q offset" wa	s changed to "I-Q	(max instantaneous)"
SuggestedRemedy				and "I-Q (mean)"			
Replace TBD with "1000"				SuggestedRemedy	- "! O (man) in stantan (man)" -		O (magan)" fan
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Change "I-Q offset" to "I-Q (max instantaneous)" and add entry for "I-Q (mean)" for subclause 156.9.12			
				Proposed Response Response Status W			
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.3	P 84	L 13	# 4	PROPOSED ACCEP	Т.		
Pittala, Fabio	Huawei			C/ 156A SC 156A	P 95	L 1	# 35
	nment Status D			Issenhuth, Tom	Huawei		
In Figure 156-8 there is a box describe the functionality of th		very" but no subc	lause is included to	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy				Majority and possibly specification	all of the annex no longer ne	eded with the rem	oval of the unamplifie
Add a new subclause 156.10.	1.2.3 titled "Carrier Pl	hase Recovery". I	Description text is TBD.	SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT.	oonse Status W				d retaining 156A.1 which cont nex from the draft including re		
	Rad	1.40	# 00	Proposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉		
C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4	P 84	L 19	# 33	PROPOSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
Issenhuth, Tom Huawei				For task force discussion.			
Comment Type T Cor Number of symbols is TBD	nment Status D						
SuggestedRemedy							
Replace TBD with "1000"							

C/ 156A SC 156A