w, Robert RMG Consulting ment Type E Comment Status A To someone not active on the project, content of Clauses 155 and 156 look like they may be based on other clauses. gestedRemedy Editor's might want to look at changes made during the revision to clauses on which Clauses 155 and 156 are based to look for other style changes. Examples I searched on and commented include capitalization of register, elimination of must, misuse of "PHY", but I am less sure of how correcting misuse of "comprise" and "comprising" and "implementer" were handled in P802.3. poonse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ensure correct usage of words "comprise", "comprising" and "implementer" based on usage in P802.3 D3.2. See respone to comment 7. With editorial license.	Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type ER Comment Status A Misuse of acronym PHY (see P802.3/D3.2, 1.5. SuggestedRemedy Delete "(PHY)" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "adds Physical Layer (PHY) specifications" to "adds Physical Layer specification C/ FM SC FM P 2 L 1 # 4 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A This will be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-202x as stated on the cover page. SuggestedRemedy Replace "2018" with "200x" Replace "2018" with "200x"						
To someone not active on the project, content of Clauses 155 and 156 look like they may be based on other clauses. gestedRemedy Editor's might want to look at changes made during the revision to clauses on which Clauses 155 and 156 are based to look for other style changes. Examples I searched on and commented include capitalization of register, elimination of must, misuse of "PHY", but I am less sure of how correcting misuse of "comprise" and "comprising" and "implementer" were handled in P802.3. bonse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ensure correct usage of words "comprise", "comprising" and "implementer" based on usage in P802.3 D3.2. See respone to comment 7. With editorial license.	Misuse of acronym PHY (see P802.3/D3.2, 1.5. SuggestedRemedy Delete "(PHY)" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "adds Physical Layer (PHY) specifications" to "adds Physical Layer specification C/ FM SC FM P 2 L 1 # 4 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A This will be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-202x as stated on the cover page. SuggestedRemedy						
gestedRemedy Editor's might want to look at changes made during the revision to clauses on which Clauses 155 and 156 are based to look for other style changes. Examples I searched on and commented include capitalization of register, elimination of must, misuse of "PHY", but I am less sure of how correcting misuse of "comprise" and "comprising" and "implementer" were handled in P802.3. <i>conse</i> <i>Response Status</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ensure correct usage of words "comprise", "comprising" and "implementer" based on usage in P802.3 D3.2. See respone to comment 7. With editorial license.	Delete "(PHY)" Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "adds Physical Layer (PHY) specifications" to "adds Physical Layer specification C/ FM SC FM P 2 L 1 # Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A This will be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-202x as stated on the cover page. SuggestedRemedy						
Clauses 155 and 156 are based to look for other style changes. Examples I searched on and commented include capitalization of register, elimination of must, misuse of "PHY", but I am less sure of how correcting misuse of "comprise" and "comprising" and "implementer" were handled in P802.3. <i>bonse</i> Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ensure correct usage of words "comprise", "comprising" and "implementer" based on usage in P802.3 D3.2. See respone to comment 7. With editorial license.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "adds Physical Layer (PHY) specifications" to "adds Physical Layer specification C/ FM SC FM P 2 L 1 # 4 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A This will be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-202x as stated on the cover page. SuggestedRemedy						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ensure correct usage of words "comprise", "comprising" and "implementer" based on usage in P802.3 D3.2. See respone to comment 7. With editorial license.	C/ FM SC FM P 2 L 1 # Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A This will be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-202x as stated on the cover page. SuggestedRemedy						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ensure correct usage of words "comprise", "comprising" and "implementer" based on usage in P802.3 D3.2. See respone to comment 7. With editorial license.	Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A This will be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-202x as stated on the cover page. SuggestedRemedy						
usage in P802.3 D3.2. See respone to comment 7.	Comment TypeEComment StatusAThis will be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-202x as stated on the cover page.SuggestedRemedy						
usage in P802.3 D3.2. See respone to comment 7.	This will be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-202x as stated on the cover page. SuggestedRemedy						
	Replace "2018" with "200x"						
M SCFM P L # 1							
w. Robert RMG Consulting	Response Response Status C						
iment Type ER Comment Status A	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
With P802.3/D3.2 at RevCom, it is appropriate to update the cw draft for consistency with	See response to comment 1						
IEEE Std 802.3-202x. The draft is currently inconsistent, in some places recognizing that it will not be an amdendment to the 2018 revision, and in many places assuming it will be an amendment to the 2018 revision.	C/FM SC FM P 2 L 5 # 5						
	Grow, Robert RMG Consulting						
gestedRemedy Multiple commonte have been submitted but time does not allow this commonter to raviow	Comment Type E Comment Status A bu						
Multiple comments have been submitted but time does not allow this commenter to review all at this time. Editors should update draft for consistency with P802.3/D3.2 as the base document and include the current six amendments assigned numbers (as recognized in	CapItalization of forward error correction in P802.3 was made consistent, this capitalization is not consistent with that used in P802.3/D3.2.						
front matter), and any other amendments expected to be approved prior to this project.	SuggestedRemedy						
ponse Response Status C	"forward error correction"						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C						
Review the entire draft and ensure all references are to IEEE Std 802.3-202x, not IEEE Std	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
802.3-2018.	Change "Forward Error Correction (FEC)" to "forward error correction (FEC)"						
With editorial license.							
E: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial	G/general C/ FM Page 1 of 7						
IMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W							

C/FM SC FI	M P 2	L 51	# 10	C/ FM	SC F	M		P 9	L 15	# 11
Grow, Robert	RMG	Consulting		Grow, Rob	ert		R	MG Consu	ulting	
,	ER Comment Status ion in this copyright block ha		bucket		not the		,		aragraph and Sec	<i>buc</i> ction Nine have been
SuggestedRemedy Replace the IEI Response	, EE-SA front matter with that <i>Response Status</i>	•	late.	Suggested	Remedy		n from P802.3/D3	.2.		
ACCEPT IN PR	,		e IEEE 802.3 Working	Response ACCEI	PT IN P	RINCIPL	<i>Response Stat</i> E.	us C		
Group FrameM	laker template					ction as emplate	required to match	Version	5.0 of the IEEE 80	02.3 Working Group
C/ FM SC FI Grow, Robert		Consulting	# 8	Propos	ed resp	onse up	date:			
51	E Comment Status		bucket	Modify	introdu	ction to r	match introductior	in IEEE 8	802.3 P802.3/D3.2	2
SuggestedRemedy			non matter numbering.	C/ FM Grow, Rob Comment T Typo. Suggested	Гуре	E		P 10 MG Consu <i>rus</i> A	L 45 ulting	# <u>12</u> buc
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RMG ER Comment Status	Consulting A	# 9 bucket		e "04" v	vith "104	". Response Stat	us C		
SuggestedRemedy	EE-SA front matter with that	found in a current temp	late.	C/ FM Grow, Rob Comment 7		Ē		P 11 MG Consu <i>us</i> A	L 27 ulting	# <u>13</u> buc
Response ACCEPT IN PF	Response Status RINCIPLE.	C		Not the Suggested			/D3.0 self descrip	tion.		
Modify front ma FrameMaker te	atter to match front matter in emplate	Version 5.0 of the IEEE	802.3 Working Group	Response			Response Stat		(D3.0 or later as a	appropriate.)
Proposed respo	onse update:			ACCE	PT IN P	RINCIPL	.E.			
Modify front ma	atter to match front matter in	IEEE 802.3 P802.3/D3.	2	Modify	IEEE S	td 802.3	de-202x descripti	on to mate	ch description in II	EEE P802.3de/D3.0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ FM	Page 2 of 7
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC FM	5/23/2022 10:48:38 AM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/FM SC FM	P 11	L 33	# 14	C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1	1.2	P 12	L 22	# 16
Grow, Robert	RMG Consul	ting		Grow, Ro	bert		RMG Consu	ılting	
ahead of cw. While I	Comment Status A ndment 7? There are three p have no issue with writing you			Upda	te insert point.	Comment	Status A		
I would not put a numl SuggestedRemedy	ber here just now.			00	er 400GBASE-\	/R4 (inserted by	y IEEE Std 802	2.3db-202x)"	
Amendment x, Amend	,			Response ACCI	9 EPT IN PRINCIF	Response S PLE.	Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.			Chan	ge insertion poi	nt to "after 4000	GBASE-SR16	as follows:"	
Change "Amendment	7" to "Amendment x"			With	editorial license				
C/ 1 SC 1.4	P 21	L 6	# 15	C/ 45	SC 45.2		P 23	L 3	# 6
Grow, Robert	RMG Consul	ting		Grow, Ro	bert		RMG Consu	ulting	
<i>Comment Type</i> ER Update insert point.	Comment Status A			<i>Comment</i> Base	<i>Type</i> ER text error.	Comment	Status A		
SuggestedRemedy "Insert the following tw IEEE Std 802.3db-202	vo new definitions after 1.4.14	4a "400GBASE	-VR4" (as inserted by	Suggeste P802	<i>dRemedy</i> .3/D3.2 has this	"MIDO Interfac	e registers"		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C			Response ACCI	e EPT IN PRINCIF	Response S PLE.	Status C		
Modify to read "Insert	the following two new definition Std 802.3db-202x):" and modi				ke in suggested zation of "Regis			nterface registers" face registers"	. Issue was the

With editorial license.

C/ 45 SC 45.2

C/78 SC 7	8.1.4	P 29	L 8	# 17	C/ 116	SC 116.1.2	P 31	L 8	# 18
Grow, Robert		RMG Consu	Iting		Grow, Robe	ert	RMG Cons	ulting	
Comment Type	ER Commer	nt Status A			Comment T	Type ER	Comment Status A		
				ge of PHY type details	P802.3	db/D3.0 modifi	es this list inserting a new it	em and re-letteri	ng the last item to be "I)".
	confidence where t , comment #i-52 ar		be. The rules ch	osen in the resolution	Suggested	Remedy			
1. Increasing s	peed.						ng instruction (also adding a		
	each (maximum sup number of lanes	oported distance	over the medium).			'j)". Review clause to assur se text that is being modified		nanges are incorporated
The following s	upplemental rules a			pecial cases 4. PHY	Response		Response Status C		
	ations, by convention IYs precede "Fiber"				,	PT IN PRINCIP	,		
	ic sort (all else bein		eing equal)						
uggestedRemedy							ertion point to "Insert item k 802.3ck-202x) as follows:".) at end of lettere	d list in 116.1.2 (as
Using these rul	les, and consider th	e 6 400GBASE	inserts being done	e by P802.3db to			,		
determine the other these rules.)	correct insert point.	(I don't think the	e insert points in F	2802.3db/D3.0 follow			03.2, the 400GBASE optical "Change Table 116-5 as fol		5-5. In 116.1.4 change
Response	Respons	e Status C			0		0		
ACCEPT IN P	,						o Table 116-5 and modify a dding new columns for 155 a		n with Table 116-5 from
.							-		
	on point from "Inser EE Std 802.3cu-20x			able 78–1 (as 1 400GBASE-ZR after	In 116.2 167 as	2.5 keep editing inserted by 80	g instructions as written as I 3.3dB D3.0. Modify paragra	EEE Std 802.3cl oph to match curr	(-202x included Clause ent wording in 802 3ck
400GBASE-LR	4-6 as follows (unc	hanged rows not					e 156 at the end of the sent		
400GBASE-ZH	at end of Table 78	–1:"			With ec	ditorial license.			
With editorial li	cense						D a a	1.0	# 00
/ 116 SC 1	16	P 28	L	# 19	C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P 28	L 3	# 20
ssenhuth, Tom		Huawei			Issenhuth,		Huawei Comment Status A		
comment Type	E Commer	nt Status A		bucket	Comment T	51	as modified by IEEE Std 80	2 3cu-20vy This	document is an
Page numberir	ng for clause 116 is	incorrect					B/D3.2 which includes all mo		
SuggestedRemedy					is no lo	nger valid.			
Correct the page	ge numbering in cla	use 116 to align	with the rest of the	e document	Suggested	-			
esponse ACCEPT.	Response	e Status C			amendi		P802.3cu. Review entire do l in P802.3/D3.2 and update		
					Response		Response Status C		
					-		•		

ACCEPT.

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4	P 28	L 6	# 21	C/ 155 SC 15	5.5.2.1	P 61	L 14	# 23
ssenhuth, Tom	Huawei			Sluyski, Mike		Cisco System	าร	
Comment Type E Com Table 116-4 was changed to 1 or subclause numbering change			her instances of Table	faw_valid is TBD	R Comment S	tatus A		
SuggestedRemedy Change Table 116-4 to Table 1 subclause numbering to align	116-5. Review the en		d change Table or	·	n "8 symbols in a sing dology to be provideo			
ACCEPT.				Response	Response Si	atus C		
X 155 SC 155.2.5.6	P 47	L 1	# 7	ACCEPT IN PRI	NCIPLE.			
Grow, Robert	RMG Consult ment Status A recated.	ing			quence is considered n described in 155.3.3		at least TBD sym	bols match the know
SuggestedRemedy Rewrite to "shall" or other choi	ce of grammar. Also	p. 73, l. 43; p. 75	, l. 41, 42; p. 85, l. 34;		s considered to be va cribed in 155.3.3.3.1		6 bits match the	44 known bits of the
p. 91, I. 35; and p. 94, I. 26. Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace "must" with "shall" or	onse Status C similar language used	l in P802.3/D3.2	throughout the	Cl 156 SC 156 Sluyski, Mike Comment Type T In Table 156-6 E				# 24
document.				SuggestedRemedy	0	()		
With editorial license.				Replace TBD wit	h 12			
C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1	P 61 Lumentum	L 50	# 32		ed on maniloff_3Cw_(Il be provided in a su			a_220223. Further
	ment Status A			Response	Response St	atus C		
omment Type TR Com	Because the AM field is protected by C-FEC, the error rate in the amp matching should be				NCIPLE.			
Because the AM field is protect								
				Replace "TBD" v	<i>r</i> ith "12"			
Because the AM field is protect extremely low. A single match				Replace "TBD" v	<i>v</i> ith "12"			
Because the AM field is protect extremely low. A single match amp_valid.	to the full 1920 bit fie to the sequence is co the pattern described in	eld should be add ponsidered to be v n 155.2.4.4.1." to	quate to declare alid if at least TBD "The sequence is	Replace "TBD" v	<i>r</i> ith "12"			
Because the AM field is protect extremely low. A single match amp_valid. SuggestedRemedy Change the last sentence from bits match the known bits of th considered to be valid if all bits 155.2.4.4.1."	to the full 1920 bit fie to the sequence is co the pattern described in	eld should be add ponsidered to be v n 155.2.4.4.1." to	quate to declare alid if at least TBD "The sequence is	Replace "TBD" v	<i>i</i> ith "12"			

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1

C/ 156	SC 156.8	P 85	L 28	# 33	C/ 156	SC 156.9.1	P 86	L 35	# 22
Lewis, Da	vid	Lumentum			Issenhuth	Tom	Huawei		
Comment		Comment Status A			Comment		Comment Status A		
chara		bassband min & max characters e 156-8, it is not necessary to the tight to the tigh					description is stated as "S FEC not SC-FEC	crambled idle end	coded by SC-FEC".
,		uon.			Suggested	•			
Suggested	-	r from Table 156-8 and delete	Table 156-0	Remove the test nattern	Chang	e pattern descrip	tion to read "Scrambled idle	e encoded by CF	EC"
	r adjacent chann	lel isolation from Table 156-1			Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C		
Response		Response Status C			C/ 156	SC 156.9.13	P 90	L 35	# 26
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	_E.			Sluyski, M		Cisco Syste		
Retair	n existing adiace	nt channel isolation paramete	r. associated ta	bles. table entries and	Comment		Comment Status A		
definit	• •	, i	,	,		51	lance (mean) is TBD		
Repla	ce TBDs in table	156-9 with values on slide 8	of maniloff 3cv	01 220523.pdf.	Suggested	Remedv	. ,		
•			-			•	amplitude imbalance (mea	n) is the center va	alue between the
7 156 Sluyski, N	SC 156.8 like	P 85 Cisco System	L 30 ns	# 25		tional amplitude ne signal.	difference of the in-phase c	omponent I and o	quadrature component
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			Response		Response Status C		
Interfe	erometric crossta	lk at TP3 (max)d in Table 156	6-8		ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
Remo	<i>IRemedy</i> ve parameter fro ope for this speci	m table. Remove note (d). Al fication.	DM applications	can be considered Out-	amplit	ude imbalance (n	"The I-Q amplitude imbalar nean) is the center value b se component I and quadra	etween the propo	rtional amplitude
Response		Response Status C				•	· ·	•	
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	•			C/ 156	SC 156.9.14	P 90	L 39	# 27
Detein				an the surd to surd limb	Sluyski, M		Cisco Syste	ms	
	•	note. Use of ADMs are not e See Note 1 in 156.6.	excluded as long	as the end to end link	Comment The I-	<i>Type</i> T Q phase error (m	Comment Status A ax) is TBD		
Chang	ge "TBD" to "-35"				Suggested	Remedy			
							phase error (max) is the lar t I and quadrature compon		
					Response		Response Status C		
					ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Ε.		
					the lar	gest proportiona	"The I-Q phase error (max) I phase difference of the in- nal. Measured relative to l	phase componer	
		ed ER/editorial required GR/					C/ 1		Page 6 of 7
OMMEN	ib/d SLITATS T	snatched Alaccented R/reie	cted RESPO	NSE STATUS Olonen W/	written C/closed	7/withdrawn	SC 1	56 9 14	5/23/2022 10:48:38

	1		5 -
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 156.9.14	5/23/2022 10:48:38 AM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

	P 90	L 43	# 28	C/ 156	SC 156.10.1.	21	P 94	L 45	# 30
Sluyski, Mike	Cisco Syster		# 20	Sluvski, N		2.4	Cisco Syster		# 50
	Comment Status A			Comment		Comment S ions include T	Status A	10	
SuggestedRemedy Add definition: The I-Q phase error (min) is the largest negative proportional phase difference of the in-phase component I and quadrature component Q of the signal.					0	is filtered usir	ıg a 3rd-order	super gaussian f	filter with RRC = 0.2
Measured relative to LO	component and quadra			Response		Response S	status C		
Response F	Response Status C			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				LATE	COMMENT				
			0 1 1 1 1 1		ge definition from is filtered using a				TBD roll-off." to "The 0.2."
Change definition from "The largest negative propo quadrature component Q	ortional phase difference of	of the in-phase c	omponent I and	<i>CI</i> 156 Sluyski, N	SC 156.10.1 . like	2.6	P 95 Cisco Syster	L 3	# 31
C/ 156 SC 156.9.16	P 90	L 46	# 29	Comment		Comment S			
Sluyski, Mike	Cisco Syster	ns		FIR fil	ter is defined with	n TBD TBD tap	os		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Suggested	dRemedy				
The I-Q quadrature skew	(max) is TBD				est to use Equaliz 20223	er definition u	sed in OMA to	determine EVM	of Rahn_3cw-
uggestedRemedy				Response		Response S	totuo C		
Add definition: The I-Q qu in-phase component I and			tive skew between the			,			
	Response Status C	a of the signal.							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					ge "The signal is zed using an FIR			with TBD TBD ta	aps" to "The signal is
Change definition from "TI (max) is the maximum rela component Q of the signa	ative skew between the in								

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 Page 7 of 7 5/23/2022 10:48:38 AM