

IEEE P802.3cw D1.5 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 6th Task Force review comments

CI FM SC FM P L # 1

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

With P802.3/D3.2 at RevCom, it is appropriate to update the cw draft for consistency with IEEE Std 802.3-202x. The draft is currently inconsistent, in some places recognizing that it will not be an amendment to the 2018 revision, and in many places assuming it will be an amendment to the 2018 revision.

SuggestedRemedy

Multiple comments have been submitted but time does not allow this commenter to review all at this time. Editors should update draft for consistency with P802.3/D3.2 as the base document and include the current six amendments assigned numbers (as recognized in front matter), and any other amendments expected to be approved prior to this project.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI FM SC FM P L # 2

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status X

To someone not active on the project, content of Clauses 155 and 156 look like they may be based on other clauses.

SuggestedRemedy

Editor's might want to look at changes made during the revision to clauses on which Clauses 155 and 156 are based to look for other style changes. Examples I searched on and commented include capitalization of register, elimination of must, misuse of "PHY", but I am less sure of how correcting misuse of "comprise" and "comprising" and "implementer" were handled in P802.3.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI FM SC FM P 1 L 27 # 3

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Misuse of acronym PHY (see P802.3/D3.2, 1.5).

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "(PHY)"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI FM SC FM P 2 L 1 # 4

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This will be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-202x as stated on the cover page.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "2018" with "200x"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI FM SC FM P 2 L 5 # 5

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Capitalization of forward error correction in P802.3 was made consistent, this capitalization is not consistent with that used in P802.3/D3.2.

SuggestedRemedy

"forward error correction"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI FM SC FM P 2 L 51 # 10

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Some information in this copyright block has been updated.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the IEEE-SA front matter with that found in a current template.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI FM SC FM P 3 L 7 # 8

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status X

IEEE page numbering style has changes no more Roman numeral front matter numbering.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the second paragraph of the note.

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cw D1.5 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 6th Task Force review comments

CI FM SC FM P 3 L 21 # 9
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X
 This isn't the current IEEE SA mandated front matter.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace the IEEE-SA front matter with that found in a current template.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI FM SC FM P 9 L 15 # 11
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X
 This is not the current FM Introduction (e.g., first paragraph and Section Nine have been modified at a minimum).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Get current Introduction from P802.3/D3.2.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI FM SC FM P 10 L 45 # 12
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Typo.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "04" with "104".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI FM SC FM P 11 L 27 # 13
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Not the current P802.3/D3.0 self description.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Update with the current P802.3de self description (D3.0 or later as appropriate.)
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI FM SC FM P 11 L 33 # 14
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X
 Will cw really be Amendment 7? There are three projects targeting June 2023 RevCom ahead of cw. While I have no issue with writing your amendment as if it will be #7 for now, I would not put a number here just now.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Amendment x, Amendment ?, or similar.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 1 SC 1.4 P 21 L 6 # 15
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X
 Update insert point.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "Insert the following two new definitions after 1.4.144a "400GBASE-VR4" (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3db-202x):"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 12 L 22 # 16
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X
 Update insert point.
 SuggestedRemedy
 ",,after 400GBASE-VR4 (inserted by IEEE Std 802.3db-202x)..."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cw D1.5 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 6th Task Force review comments

CI 45 SC 45.2 P 23 L 3 # 6
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X
 Base text error.
 SuggestedRemedy
 P802.3/D3.2 has this "MIDO Interface registers"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 116 SC 116.1.2 P 31 L 8 # 18
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X
 P802.3db/D3.0 modifies this list inserting a new item and re-lettering the last item to be "I)".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "i) in the editing instruction (also adding a reference to IEEE Std 802.3db-202x) making the new item "j)". Review clause to assure all P802.3db changes are incorporated in instructions and base text that is being modified.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P 29 L 8 # 17
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X
 Though I have some experience in 802.3, I do not have the knowledge of PHY type details to provide with confidence where this insert should be. The rules chosen in the resolution of P802.3/D3.0, comment #-52 are:
 1. Increasing speed.
 2. Increasing reach (maximum supported distance over the medium).
 3. Decreasing number of lanes
 The following supplemental rules address are included to address special cases 4. PHY "family designations, by convention, are assigned a reach of 0
 5. "Copper" PHYs precede "Fiber" PHYs (all else being equal)
 6. Alphanumeric sort (all else being equal)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Using these rules, and consider the 6 400GBASE inserts being done by P802.3db to determine the correct insert point. (I don't think the insert points in P802.3db/D3.0 follow these rules.)
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 3 # 20
 Issenhuth, Tom Huawei
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Insertion point states as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cu-20xx. This document is an amendment to P802.3/D3.2 which includes all modifications from 802.3cu so this reference is no longer valid.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove reference to P802.3cu. Review entire document and remove any references to amendments included in P802.3/D3.2 and update references as required for amendments to P802.3/D3.2.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 116 SC 116 P 28 L # 19
 Issenhuth, Tom Huawei
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Page numbering for clause 116 is incorrect
 SuggestedRemedy
 Correct the page numbering in clause 116 to align with the rest of the document
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 6 # 21
 Issenhuth, Tom Huawei
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Table 116-4 was changed to 116-5 in P802.3/D3.2. There may be other instances of Table or subclause numbering changing with P802.3/D3.2.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change Table 116-4 to Table 116-5. Review the entire document and change Table or subclause numbering to align with P802.3 D3.2.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cw D1.5 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 6th Task Force review comments

Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.6 P 47 L 1 # 7
Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
Comment Type ER Comment Status X
Use of the word "must" is deprecated.
SuggestedRemedy
Rewrite to "shall" or other choice of grammar. Also p. 73, l. 43; p. 75, l. 41, 42; p. 85, l. 34;
p. 91, l. 35; and p. 94, l. 26.
Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.5.2.1 P 61 L 14 # 23
Sluyski, Mike Cisco Systems
Comment Type TR Comment Status X
faw_valid is TBD
SuggestedRemedy
replace TBD with "8 symbols in a single frame"
Validation methodology to be provided
Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 156 SC 156.9.1 P 86 L 35 # 22
Issenhuth, Tom Huawei
Comment Type E Comment Status X
In Table 156-10 pattern description is stated as "Scrambled idle encoded by SC-FEC".
400GBASE-ZR uses CFEC not SC-FEC
SuggestedRemedy
Change pattern description to read "Scrambled idle encoded by CFEC"
Proposed Response Response Status O