

# Chief Editor's opening report

Tom Issenhuth, Huawei, P802.3cw Chief Editor

IEEE P802.3cw Task Force, 14 March 2022  
Plenary Teleconference Meeting

# 802.3cw Editorial team

Tom Issenhuth, Huawei

- Chief Editor and Editor for Clauses 00, 1, 30, 45, 78, 116, 119, 156, Annex 120A and Annex 156A

Dave Lewis, Lumentum

- Editor for Clause 155


# Status

## P802.3cw D1.4 Task Force ballot


- Opened on January 24, 2021, closed on March 11, 2022
- 47 day comment period
- Thanks to all those that commented
- Received comments posted

Description	Clause	T	TR	E	ER	Total
Front Matter	00			1		1
Introduction	1					0
Mgmt	30					0
Mgmt (MDIO)	45					
EEE	78					0
Introduction 20/400	116					0
PCS	119					0
40GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA	155			5		5
40GBASE-ZR PMD	156	10	22	10	2	44
PMA sublayer partitioning	Annex 120A					0
40GBASE-ZR Applications	Annex 156A					0
Total Comments		10	22	16	2	50

# Comment Distribution



# Comment History



# Meeting Schedule

- March 21<sup>st</sup>
- March 28<sup>th</sup>
- April 4<sup>th</sup>
- Any requests to remove comments from the bucket must be submitted by end of day AOE March 24<sup>th</sup>.
- Future dates if required to be announced.

# Comment Resolution Process

- Resolve comments against Draft 1.4
  - Any presentations associated with comments will be reviewed during comment resolution session.
  - TRs and ERs do not require signoff as to whether commenter is satisfied
- If there is no disagreement on the final proposed response the comment will be closed as proposed.
- If more than 2 people disagree, a straw poll on the area of concern will be taken and the majority position will decide the final response.
  - If the straw poll contains more than 2 choices, the choice with the lowest number of supporters will be removed. This process will continue until there are only 2 choices.

# Comment Resolution Process

- The order in which the comments are reviewed on a given call are subject to change
- Comments bracketed together with [ ] cover a common topic
- Where a comment number is underlined (e.g., 59) it contains the proposed response for the group of comments
- Comments colored blue have associated hyperlinked presentations



# Comment Resolution Process (.3ck,.3cu,.3ct,.3cw)

- Baseline Terminology
  - “Open” comments – comments that Task Force have not agreed upon a remedy
  - “Closed” comments – comments that Task Force have agreed upon a remedy
  - “Final” comments – Task Force has approved motion to adopt the responses to the closed comments
- Post updated comment database after each meeting
  - Allow individuals (other than commenter) two business days (AoE) to request on the reflector reconsideration of a “Closed” comment from the prior meeting
  - Individual needs to be present at next meeting to address
  - Normal procedures within the group will apply to determine if comment will be re-opened
- After all comments closed – normal procedures to adopt responses and generate next draft will be followed
  - Comments can not be re-opened after meeting where responses are adopted by TF

# Note about Comment Resolutions Going Forward

- Consensus building will be key
  - Rule #1 – Use the Reflector
  - Rule #2 – See Rule #1
- Reference: IEEE SA Balloting and Comment Resolution Process Guidelines (<https://standards.ieee.org/content/dam/ieee-standards/standards/web/governance/revcom/guidelines.pdf>)
  - Multiple reasons possible for rejecting comment given –
    - a statement that the CRG could not reach consensus on the changes necessary to address the comment;

Thanks!