Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3cy D2.21 10G+ Auto Task Force 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comments

008

C/ 165

 CI FM
 SC FM
 P10
 L3
 # 822

 Grow, Robert
 RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status R

This boxed paragraph is part of the published standard, so the self reference should be IEEE Std. not a project designation

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "P802.3cy" with "IEEE Std 802.3cy-202x"

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cy, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer.

C/ 1 SC 1.4.128a P22 L7 # 823

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status R 00S

Grammar, "a" should have been deleted in editing out "network".

SuggestedRemedy

"...specification for 25 Gb/s Ethernet ..."

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cy, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer.

SuggestedRemedy
25GBASE-T1 Physical Layer device (PHY)

Layer device. (See Figure 165-1.)

SC 165.1

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

P36

PHY is not the acronym for Physical Layer, the cited sublayers are appropriately a Physical

L11

824

008

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cy, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer.

tx_group130x65B - as it's 65 bits, lower case b would avoid ambiguity

SuggestedRemedy

Change tx group130x65B to tx group130x65b (6 instances)

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

008

oos

C/ 165 SC 165.1.3.1 P38 L 48 # 821 Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

"In the training mode (see 165.4.2.4), the PCS transmits and receives PAM2 training frames to synchronize to the PHY frame..." but "PHY frame" is not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "synchronize to the PHY frame..." to "synchronize to the RS-FEC superframes that follow, ..."

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cv D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cy, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer.

C/ 165 SC 165.2.2.2 P45 L3 # 825

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Status R Comment Type Ε The defined terms master PHY and slave PHY are lower case in 1.4.389 and 1.4.535 definitions. This amendment should follow that precident. Reconsider if MASTER and

SuggestedRemedy

SLAVE should be all caps.

Change MASTER PHY and SLAVE PHY to master PHY and SLAVE PHY throughout. (Pages 45, 63, 65, 81, 91, 97, 117.)

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

C/ 165 SC 165.2.2.4.3 P46 L 26 # 819 Dawe, Piers Nvidia 008 Comment Type E Comment Status R

This says that the effect of receipt of this primitive, PMA_UNITDATA.indication(rx_symb), is unspecified. That's not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "is unspecified" to "is specified in 165.3.2.3.1".

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

C/ 165 SC 165.3.2.2.16 P 57 L34 # 810 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status R oos

pL,33

SuggestedRemedy

pL,89?

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cv D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cv, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer.

C/ 165 SC 165.3.2.2.16 P58 L3 # 811 Nvidia Dawe, Piers

Comment Status R oos Comment Type Ε

#1 #2 #L

SuggestedRemedy

1 2 L (as in other figures, e.g. 65B block, 165B block 2 ...)

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3cy D2.21 10G+ Auto Task Force 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comments

Cl 165 SC 165.3.2.2.17 P58 L27 # 815

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status R OOS

Galois Field

SuggestedRemedy

Galois field

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

 CI 165
 SC 165.3.2.2.17
 P 58
 L 39
 # 812

 Dawe, Piers
 Nvidia

 Comment Type
 E
 Comment Status
 R
 OOS

Need to define all the items in the equation (except well-known functions and operators, and j here which is just a counter). Also, "alpha is a primitive element of the finite field defined by the primitive polynomial $0x409 = x^10 + x^3 + 1$ " is too vague; it's not clear if it means that alpha is defined by 0x409 (how), or that the finite field is defined by 0x409, or that alpha is 0x409, or what.

SuggestedRemedy

Add: "In this subclause, x is the indeterminate variable."

Change "In Equation (165-1), alpha is a primitive element of the finite field defined by the primitive polynomial $0x409 = x^10 + x^3 + 1$."

to an unambiguous definition, e.g.

"In Equation (165-1), alpha, a primitive element of the finite Galois field $GF(2^10)$, is the primitive polynomial $0x409 = x^10 + x^3 + 1$."

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

This says "mi,0 is the first bit transmitted" while on the next page "c935 = m845 is transmitted first". Seems contradictory.

SuggestedRemedy

Maybe this means: For each 10-bit message symbol mi, mi,0 is the first bit transmitted. Similarly for pi,0 on the next page.

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

 Cl 165
 SC 165.3.2.2.17
 P 59
 L 34
 # 814

 Dawe, Piers
 Nvidia

 Comment Type
 E
 Comment Status
 R
 OOS

GF add and GF multiply are not defined, although one can guess that GF means Galois field. Unfortunately, other clauses have used these terms without defining them, so we can't just point elsewhere in 802.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Please define or give a reference for Galois field addition and Galois field multiplication.

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

Cl 165 SC 165.3.2.2.17 P59 L54 # 808

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status R

oos

oos

Unfortunate page break splitting so many columns in the table.

SuggestedRemedy

Increase the orphan rows setting so the table stays on one page

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cy, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer.

Comment Type E Comment Status R

"It obtains block lock to the PHY frames during PAM2 training using synchronization bits provided in the training frames" but "PHY frame" is not defined. As we are in training, there will be training frames present.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "PHY frames" to "training frames"

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cy, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer.

Cl 165 SC 165.3.7.3 P68 L21 # 817

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status R 00S

Following D2.1 comment 785, there are three more 65B blocks to be changed to 64B/5B blocks.

Names can be more consistent.

Also, "65B RS-FEC" is a confusing name, as the FEC doesn't really operate on 65-bit blocks but on a 9360-bit payload, and 165.3.2.2.17 says "the particular Reed-Solomon code is denoted as RS-FEC(936,846)". There are two "64B/65B RS-FEC", three "65B RS-FEC frame" and 4 other "65B RS-FEC"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "65B transmitted blocks" to "64B/65B transmit(ted) blocks", "65B transmit block" to "64B/65B transmit(ted) block", "65B received blocks" to "64B/65B received blocks".

Here, "65B RS-FEC" can be changed to "RS-FEC".

Change the three "65B RS-FEC frame" to "RS-FEC frame"

Rename the remaining "65B RS-FEC" e.g. to RS-FEC(936,846).

With editorial licence.

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cy, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer.

C/ 165 SC 165.4 P73 L16 # 816

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Dawe, Fleis Inviula

Ε

802.3 specs define the sublayers in top-to-bottom order. Compare Clause 149, for example.

Comment Status D

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Swap 165.5 PMA electrical specifications and 165.4 Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

oos

Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3cy D2.21 10G+ Auto Task Force 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comments

CI 165 SC 165.4.2.4.10 P79 L43 # 818

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status R OOS

After cleaning up "Partial PHY frame count" (D2.1 comment 786), the draft uses "partial frame" 27 times and "partial PHY frame" three times

SuggestedRemedy

Change the three remaining "partial PHY frame" to "partial frame"

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cy, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer. Please consider listing specific locations where changes are needed.

 C/ 165
 SC 165.5.2
 P93
 L 25
 # 820

 Dawe, Piers
 Nvidia

 Comment Type
 E
 Comment Status
 R
 OOS

Do the wavy lines across the connectors represent other pairs in a multilane PHY as in Figure 136-2, or provision for other "alien crosstalk" signals in a bigger connector? 165.8.1 says "2-pin connector with a shield". Figure 136-2 shows Signal_i shield and Link shield. Also, the diagonal line and "25GBASE-T1" don't help. The figure title says it's 25GBASE-T1, pointers usually have arrowheads, and words such as "cable" or "bulk cable" would better represent the two signal lines.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the wavy lines, "25GBASE-T1" and diagonal line. Add the shield.

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cy, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer.

 CI 165
 SC 165.5.3.3
 P 95
 L 6
 # 804

 Dawe, Piers
 Nvidia

 Comment Type
 E
 Comment Status
 R
 OOS

In the explanation "this is equivalent...", "at least" should be deleted following the change to make the bandwidth at line 5 a value rather than a one-sided limit.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "at least"

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cy, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer.

C/ 165 SC 165.11.4.2.5 P118 L10 # 806

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status R OOS

PICS used "frame" twice and "PHV frame" 4 times. The permative material it refers to in

PICS uses "frame" twice and "PHY frame" 4 times. The normative material it refers to in 165.3.6.1 uses "RS-FEC frame" 10 times or more, "frame" once.

SuggestedRemedy

Here, change all "frame" and "PHY frame" to "RS-FEC frame". In 165.3.6.1, change "four frames after" to "four RS-FEC frames after".

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cy, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer.

Approved Responses IEEE P802.3cy D2.21 10G+ Auto Task Force 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comments

CI 165 SC 165.11.4.5 P128 L28 # 805

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status R OOS

Maximum link delay in PICS is out of date

SuggestedRemedy

Change 94 to 60

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D2.1 and D2.21. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The commenter is encouraged to re-submit the comment for initial IEEE-SA ballot. If the commenter is not a member of the Standards Association ballot group for P802.3cy, the comments may be submitted as non-binding via the Chair's Standard Offer.

Note that this issue is only associated with the PICS comment field synchronization and the technical content of the draft is correct as is.