CI 0	SC 0	P1	LO	# [<u> -1</u>	C	/ FM	SC FM	P 2	L1	# [<mark>-142</mark>	
Hajduczen	ia, Marek	Charter Comm	unications		W	/ienckows	ki, Natalie	General Moto	rs Company		
Comment	Type G	Comment Status D			EZ C	omment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status D			EZ
		3cw (Amendment #8) is appro				Incorre	ct formatting.				
	st that the order	of amendments be swapped, i ment #8.	.e., .3cy becor	nes Amendment #9	and Si	uggestedł	Remedy				
Suggested						Remov	e "bold" style f	rom "T" in "This".			
	•	ent number from #9 to #8 and	notify .3cw of t	he change.	P	roposed F	Response	Response Status W			
Proposed	Response	Response Status W	,	0		PROPO	OSED ACCEP	Т.			
	OSED ACCEPT				C	/ FM	SC FM	P 7	L 24	# I-15	
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		57				row, Robe		RMG Consult		<i>"</i> 110	
C/ FM	SC FM	P1	L10	# I-14		omment T		Comment Status D	ing		F
Grow, Rob		RMG Consultir	ıg				51	s double billing (TF editor abov	e list plus in the	e list here).	
Comment		Comment Status D	······································	000 0 mm (D0 0 h sin	EZ S	uggestedl		0 (•	,	
		nis project is likely to get to Re n't find any order dependency			y O		Mr. Hajduczen	ia at line 24.			
	3cy/D3.0.				P	roposed F		Response Status W			
Suggested	Remedy				1	•	DSED ACCEP	•			
		renumber to Amendment 8, 2.									
	v is not in proper endment 8.	order now), 3. remove cw des	cription on page	ge 12 and renumber	cy C	/ FM	SC FM	P 7	L 24	# I-143	
Proposed		Response Status W			W	ienckows	ki, Natalie	General Moto	rs Company		
'	OSED ACCEPT				C	omment T	51	Comment Status D			Ež
-		-				Particip one.	oant name is du	uplicated. All names of officer	s are removed f	from general list exc	ept
	umbered to Ame	ndment 8, t at line 28 (note that cw is not	in proper orde	r now)	c	uggestedl	Bamadu				
		tion on page 12 and renumber				00		"Hajduczenia, Marek" in gener	al list it is incluc	led above as the Ta	sk
C/ FM	SC FM	P1	L33	# I-16			Editor-in-Chief.	, .			51
				" [-10	P	roposed F	Response	Response Status W			
Grow, Rob Comment		RMG Consultir Comment Status D	ig		EZ	PROPO	DSED ACCEP	T.			
	51	llot close, it is unlikely D3.1 wi	II be created th	nis vear.	LZ						
Suggested											
	•	t in addition to the title page a	nd header draf	t date the convright	ear						
		t page 1, line 33 and page 2 lir									
Proposed	Response	Response Status W									
ropooda											

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **7** Li **24** Page 1 of 32 1/16/2023 11:05:38 AM

ΕZ

ΕZ

ΕZ

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ FM SC	C FM	P10	L 4	# I-17	CI 45 SC	45.2.1.244.1	P 26	L 23	# I-103
Grow, Robert		RMG Consulti	ng		Ran, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status D		EZ	Comment Type	тс	Comment Status A		MultiGBASE-T
	paragraph is d 802.3cy-20	published in the approved s 2x.	standard, so the	self reference should		non interleaving for 25GBASE-	is described in 149.3.2 T1"	.2.15 for MultiGE	ASE-T1 and
SuggestedRem	ledy				Duit the defin				F4 /in addition to
Change P8	02.3cy to IEE	EE Std 802.3cy-202x.					BASE-T1 in 1.4.407 inclu , and 10GBASE-T1).	Ides 25GBASE-	i i (in addition to
Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status W			Cimilarly in t		contance and in other n		4 046 4 45 0 4 046 0
PROPOSE	D ACCEPT.					•	sentence and in other p	laces (e.g., 45.2.	1.240.1, 45.2.1.240.2).
	• • • • • • •				SuggestedReme	-			
C/ 45 So	C 45.2.1.16	P 24	L 44	# I-30	Change both 10GBASE-T		MultiGBASE-T1" to "2.5	GBASE-T1, 5GB	ASE-T1, and
Zimmerman, Ge	eorge	Cisco System	s, Inc.,CME Con	sulting,CommScope,M	TUGBASE-T	1.			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status D		EZ	Implement e	lsewhere as ne	cessary.		
Table 45-19	9 is significan	tly separated from the editir	g instruction.		Response	Re	esponse Status C		
SuggestedRem	edy				ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.			
		, force new page before 45.3 d before editing instruction to			Changed				
Proposed Resp PROPOSE	onse D ACCEPT.	Response Status W			"149.3.2.2.1	5 for MultiGBA	E-T1 and 165.3.2.2.15	for 25GBASE-T	יי
					to				
C/ 45 SC	C 45.2.1.16	P 24	L 47	# I-144	1/03221	5 and 165 3 2 2	.15 for MultiGBASE-T1'		
	ailatalia	Conoral Motor	rs Company		149.0.2.2.1	J anu 105.5.2.2			
Wienckowski, N	valane	General Wold	5 Company						
Wienckowski, N Comment Type grammar		Comment Status D	oompany	EZ					
Comment Type grammar	E		oompany	EZ					
Comment Type grammar SuggestedRem	E nedy s shown follo	Comment Status D	ocompany	EZ					

Pa **26** Li **23**

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

CI 45	SC 45.2.1.244	l.1	P 26	L 23	# I-31	CI 45	SC 45	.2.1.244	l.1	P 26	L 29	# I-104
Zimmerma	an, George		Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.,CME Co	nsulting,CommScope,M	Ran, Adee				Cisco Syster	ms, Inc.	
Comment	Туре Е	Commer	nt Status A		MultiGBASE-T1	Comment	Type 1	ΓR	Commen	t Status A		
	ASE-T1 is a MUL comments marked		1 PHY as well.	This occurs in m	ultiple places in clause		1.2311.12 to the line			e undefined val	ues, the PHY wi	I communicate these
Suggested	Remedy					Tho to	rm "undof	inod" (a	nd comotim	os "not dofinad	") coome incorre	ect here - the values are
					BASE-T1." to "for or 25GBASE-T1."	define	d, but are	invalid i		es. All the othe		eems to use the word
Response ACCE Chang	PT IN PRINCIPLE	,	e Status C			value	s invalid ("undefir	ned"), saying		will communicate	t. In this case, since the e is likely not a
-	.2.2.15 for MultiG	BASE-T1 a	and 165.3.2.2.15	for 25GBASE-T	1"	expec		ave. To	prevent suc			nd does not support it i ame", the behavior
10						Suggested	Remedy					
"149.3	.2.2.15 and 165.3	3.2.2.15 for	MultiGBASE-T1	n						' and "not define 207, to "invalid".		I.1 and 45.2.1.245.1,
						Chang	e "will" to	"may" ii	n 45.2.1.244	4.1. Change "wi	Il indicate" to "in	dicates" in 45.2.1.245.
						secon		oh of 45	.2.1.245.1:			of 45.2.1.244.1 and th receives an invalid
						Response			Response	Status W		
						ACCE	PT IN PR	INCIPLE	Ξ.			
									"undefined' ot defined".	' in 45.2.1.244. <i>1</i>	1 and 45.2.1.245	.1, and in Table 45-20
										ese values" to "F ' to "indicates" i		tes these values" in
										es the meaning ch was not inter		Clause 149 PHY and

Pa **26** Li **29**

Proposed Resp	onses	IE	EE P802.3cy	D3.0 10G+ Auto Task	Force Initia	al Sponsor ba	llot comm	nents		
C/ 45 SC 4	5.2.1.245.1	P 27	L 9	# [-33	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.2	44.1	P 27	L 24	# <mark>I-32</mark>
Zimmerman, Geor	ge	Cisco System	ns, Inc.,CME Co	nsulting,CommScope,M	Zimmerm	an, George		Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.,CME Co	nsulting,CommScope,M
Comment Type	E Comr	ment Status A		MultiGBASE-T1	Commen	t Type E	Comm	ent Status A		MultiGBASE-T1
25GBASE-T1	is a MULTIGBAS	E-T1 PHY as well. ((MGBT1)		25GE	BASE-T1 is a ML	ILTIGBASE	-T1 PHY as well.	MGBT1)	
SuggestedRemedy	/				Suggeste	dRemedy				
		BASE-T1 and 165. d 10GBASE-T1; an						ASE-T1 and 165. 10GBASE-T1; an		
Response	Respo	nse Status C			Response	Э	Respon	se Status C		
ACCEPT IN P	RINCIPLE.				ACCI	EPT IN PRINCIF	LE.			
Changed					Chan	ged				
"described in 1	49.3.2.2.15 for M	lultiGBASE-T1 and	165.3.2.2.15 for	25GBASE-T1"	"149.	4.2.4.5 for Multi	GBASE-T1 a	and 165.4.2.4.5 fo	25GBASE-T1"	
to					to					
"described in 1	49.3.2.2.15 and 2	165.3.2.2.15 for Mul	ltiGBASE-T1"		"149.	4.2.4.5 and 165.	4.2.4.5 for	MultiGBASE-T1"		
C/ 45 SC 4	5.2.1.245.1	P 27	L10	# 1-34	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.2	46.1	P 27	L 26	# I-35
Zimmerman, Geor	ge	Cisco System	ns, Inc.,CME Co	nsulting,CommScope,M	Zimmerm	an, George		Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.,CME Co	nsulting,CommScope,M
Comment Type	E Comr	nent Status A		MultiGBASE-T1	Commen	t Type E	Comm	ent Status A		MultiGBASE-T1
25GBASE-T1	is a MULTIGBAS	E-T1 PHY as well. ((MGBT1)		25GE	BASE-T1 is a ML	ILTIGBASE	-T1 PHY as well.	MGBT1)	
SuggestedRemedy	/				Suggeste	dRemedy				
		BASE-T1 and 165.3 d 10GBASE-T1; an			T1." t	o "for 2.5GBASE				165-11 for 25GBASE- 65.5.1 and Table 165-
Response	Respo	nse Status C			11 fo	r 25GBASE-T1."				
ACCEPT IN P	RINCIPLE.				Response			se Status C		
Channed					ACCI	EPT IN PRINCIF	LE.			
Changed					Chan	aed				
"specified in 1	49.4.2.4.5 for Mul	tiGBASE-T1 and 16	5.4.2.4.5 for 250	GBASE-T1"		0				
to						ribed in 149.5.1 11 for 25GBASE		149–17 for MultiGE	BASE-T1 and in	165.5.1 and Table
"specified in 14	49.4.2.4.5 and 16	5.4.2.4.5 for MultiG	BASE-T1"		to					
					"desc	ribed in 149.5.1	Table 149-	-17, 165.5.1, and [·]	Table 165–11 for	MultiGBASE-T1"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/edito	rial G/general	Pa 27	Pag
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/ope	n W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	Li 26	1/16
SORT ORDER: Page, Line			

Page 4 of 32 /16/2023 11:05:38 AM

CI 45	SC 45.2.1.246.2	P 27	L 36	# I-36	C/ 45 SC 4	5.2.1.246.3	P 27	L 44	# I-37
Zimmerm	an, George	Cisco System	s. IncCME Con	sulting,CommScope,M	Zimmerman, Geor	ne	Cisco Syster	ns. IncCME Cor	sulting,CommScope,M
		ent Status A		MultiGBASE-T1		5	nent Status A	,,	MultiGBASE-T
25GE	BASE-T1 is a MULTIGBASE	-T1 PHY as well. (MGBT1)		25GBASE-T1	is a MULTIGBASE	E-T1 PHY as well.	(MGBT1)	
Suggeste	edRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	/			
	ge inserted text "for MultiGI BASE-T1, 5GBASE-T1, and						BASE-T1 and 165. d 10GBASE-T1; an		
Respons	e Respor	nse Status C			Response	Respor	nse Status C		
ACC	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.				ACCEPT IN P	RINCIPLE.			
Char	ged				Changed				
"spec	cified in 149.3.2.2.20 for Mu	ItiGBASE-T1 and 1	65.3.2.2.20 25GI	BASE-T1"	"defined in 149	0.3.2.2.20 for Multi	GBASE-T1 and 16	5.3.2.2.20 25GB	ASE-T1"
to					to				
"spec	cified in 149.3.2.2.20 and 16	5.3.2.2.20 for Mult	iGBASE-T1"		"defined in 149	0.3.2.2.20 and 165	.3.2.2.20 for MultiC	GBASE-T1"	
CI 45	SC 45.2.1.246.2	P 27	L 37	# I-105	CI 45 SC 4	5.2.3.87.2	P 28	L 12	# I-38
Ran, Ade	e	Cisco System	ns, Inc.		Zimmerman, Geor	ge	Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.,CME Cor	sulting,CommScope,M
Commen	t Type E Comm	ent Status D		EZ	Comment Type	TR Comm	nent Status A		
Also Suggeste Char	3.2.2.20 25GBASE-T1" in 45.2.1.246.3. edRemedy ge to "in 165.3.2.2.20 for 25 d Response Respor POSED ACCEPT.	5GBASE-T1", in bo ase Status W	th places.		165-13), hence definition says which never st frames. RFR≯ times in clause blocks out of 8 anyways. (note	e it does not appea within one rfer_tin arts (or resets) rfe (_CNT_LIMIT is a e 149, and 732 160 8 blocks received e - this appears to	ar to control the hig ner interval, this is r_timer appears to constant set to 88 0 bit times in clause according to the st	h_rfer state. Wh in disagreement count RFRX_CN frames. This equ e 165. Note the e tate diagram, whi base standard an	with the state diagram, T_LIMIT RS-FEC ates to 281 600 bit error rate is still 16
	SC 45 9 4 949 9	P 27	L 38	# <u>I</u> -145	SuggestedRemedy				
PRO	SC 45.2.1.246.2		ra Compony		P28 L10 & 11 frames"	(2 occurences): C	hange "within one	rfer_timer interva	" to "within 88 RS-FEC
PRO C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.246.2 wski, Natalie	General Moto	is Company		IIdillea				
PRO Cl 45 Vienckov Commen missi	wski, Natalie	General Moto ent Status D	is company	EZ	Add 149.3.7.2 asserted TRUI interval." to "B	E when the rfer_cr	nt reaches 16 errors at is asserted TRU	s in one rfer_time	Boolean variable that is
PRO Vienckov Commen missi Suggeste Inser	wski, Natalie t Type E Comm ng "for" edRemedy t "for" between 165.3.2.2.20	ent Status D		EZ	Add 149.3.7.2 asserted TRUI interval." to "B one RFRX_CN Delete definition	E when the rfer_cr polean variable tha IT_LIMIT interval." on of rfer_timer at	nt reaches 16 errors at is asserted TRU 165.3.7.2.3 (P67 L	s in one rfer_time E when the rfer_c	3oolean variable that is r
PRO Cl 45 Vienckov Commen missi Suggeste Inser Also	wski, Natalie t Type E Comm ng "for" edRemedy t "for" between 165.3.2.2.20 on P27L45.	ent Status D		EZ	Add 149.3.7.2 asserted TRUI interval." to "Bo one RFRX_CN	E when the rfer_cr polean variable tha IT_LIMIT interval." on of rfer_timer at	nt reaches 16 errors at is asserted TRU	s in one rfer_time E when the rfer_c	3oolean variable that is r

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **28** Li **12** Page 5 of 32 1/16/2023 11:05:38 AM

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

· · ·			
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.87.2	P 28	L13	# 1-39
Zimmerman, George		ms, Inc.,CME Con	sulting,CommScope,M
	omment Status D		EZ
165.3.8 does not define the h already referenced 149.3.8.1			reference to the
SuggestedRemedy			
delete "and 165.3.8"			
Proposed Response Res	sponse Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.			
CI 78 SC 78.5	P 30	L10	# <mark>I-81</mark>
Jonsson, Ragnar	Marvell Sem	iconductor, Inc.	
Comment Type TR Co	omment Status A		
Values for case-1 and case-2	2 are incorrect in table	78-4.	
SuggestedRemedy			
Change values for case-1 to 43.9296, 43.9296, and 38.60		d 10.6496. Chang	e values for case-3 to
Response Res	sponse Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
Changed values for case-1 to	o 15.9744, 15.9744, a	nd 10.6496.	
Changed values for case-3 to	o 45.2608, 45.2608, a	nd 39.9360.	
C/ 165 SC 165.1.3	P 31	L 31	# I-40
Zimmerman, George	Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.,CME Con	sulting,CommScope,M
Comment Type E Co	omment Status D		EZ
"an effective rate of 25 Gb/s	on each pair" - there i	s only one pair, so	"each" is redundant.
SuggestedRemedy			
delete "on each pair"			
Proposed Response Res	sponse Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR			
The second second second second			¹ -11
The comment is actually aga	inst page 37, not 31. I	Deleted "on each	Dair

C/ 105	SC 105.1.3	P 33	; <i>L</i>	48	# I-106
Ran, Adee		Cisco	Systems, Inc.		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D		E
The ec	ditorial instruction	is unclear (a reader	of this amendr	ment ma	y not have 802.3cz).
	GBASE-T1 should	e order in Figure 105 d appear after the par			2, the new paragraph AU (inserted by
Suggested	lRemedy				
		truction to "Insert a n 02.3cz-202x) as follo		at the e	nd of 105.1.3 (as
Proposed I	Response	Response Status	w		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.				
C/ 105	SC 105.1.3	P33	3 <i>I</i>	L 5 1	# <u>I</u> -107
Ran, Adee		Cisco	Systems, Inc.		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	A		
over a		gle balanced pair of o			nunication at 25Gb/s mission on a single
This te	ext is unnecessari	ly wordy.			
	ingle balanced pa	air of conductors"; the			nication over a point-to- palanced pair of
	ctors is the baseb	and medium.			
conduc		and medium. n "single balanced pa	ir of conducto	rs" once	
conduc	fficient to mentior		ir of conducto	rs" once	
conduc It is su Suggested Chang "25GB Sublay comm	ifficient to mentior <i>IRemedy</i> le the text of the n ASE-T1 represen /er (PCS) and Phy unication at 25Gb		d: vices using Cl nment (PMA) s int single balai	ause 16 sublayer, nced pai	5 Physical Coding for data

Pa **33** Li **51**

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 105								
	SC 105.1.3	P 34	L1	# I-108	C/ 165 SC 165.1	P 36	L 10	# I-7
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.		Grow, Robert	RMG Consult	ing	
Comment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		EZ	Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
after m	ulti-pair ones of	ati order (e.g. in Table 125–1 the same speed.	1), single twisted	d pair PHYs are listed	802.3-2022, 1.5 say	onym PHY in text "25GBASE-T1 s: "PHY Physical Layer device nere the optional Autonegotiatio	e (PHY)". Also,	the text is inconsistent
SuggestedF	2		(SuggestedRemedy		,	
0		r for 25GBASE-T" to "after the	e row for 25GB	ASE-1".		he corresponding PCS, PMA su	iblavers compris	se a 25GBASE-T1
Proposed R PROPC	Response DSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Physical Layer (PH)	()." to "Together, the correspond layers comprise a 25GBASE-T1	ding PCS, PMA,	and optional
C/ 105	SC 105.2	P 34	L 20	# I-109	Response	Response Status C		
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	s. Inc.		ACCEPT.			
Comment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status D	o,o.	EZ	C/ 165 SC 165.1	P 36	L16	# 1-93
		is phrased out of order; the t	table has been i	modified by 802.3cz,	Rolfe, Benjamin	Blind Creek A	ssociates	
not the	clauses.				Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
		IEEE Std 802.3cz-202x)" afte						
· Proposed R		the instruction.				ting a possibility with respect to		lard. As an informative standard. The correct
•		the instruction. Response Status W			statement this is sta	ting a possibility with respect to		
PROPC	Response DSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			statement this is sta word for that is "can	ting a possibility with respect to ".		
PROPC	Response		L 21	# [<u>I-110</u>	statement this is sta word for that is "can SuggestedRemedy	ting a possibility with respect to ".		
PROPC	Response DSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W P35 Cisco System:			statement this is sta word for that is "can <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "may" to "ca <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED ACCE	ting a possibility with respect to ". an" <i>Response Status</i> W		
PROPC Cl 105 Ran, Adee Comment T	Response DSED ACCEPT. SC 105.5 Type E	Response Status W		# [<u>-110</u> EZ	statement this is sta word for that is "can <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "may" to "ca <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED ACCE	ting a possibility with respect to ". an" <i>Response Status</i> W PT.		
PROPC C/ 105 Ran, Adee Comment T Table 1	Response DSED ACCEPT. SC 105.5 Type E 105-3 is also mod	Response Status W P35 Cisco Systems Comment Status D			statement this is sta word for that is "can SuggestedRemedy Change "may" to "ca Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	ting a possibility with respect to ". an" <i>Response Status</i> W PT. 1 <i>P</i> 36	the use of this s	standard. The correct
PROPC Cl 105 Ran, Adee Comment T Table 1 Suggestedf	Response DSED ACCEPT. SC 105.5 Type E 105-3 is also moo Remedy	Response Status W P 35 Cisco Systems Comment Status D dified by 802.3cz.	s, Inc.	EZ	statement this is sta word for that is "can SuggestedRemedy Change "may" to "ca Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEI Cl 165 SC 165.1.	ting a possibility with respect to ". an" <i>Response Status</i> W PT.	the use of this s	standard. The correct
PROPC Cl 105 Ran, Adee Comment T Table 1 SuggestedH Insert "	Response DSED ACCEPT. SC 105.5 Fype E 105-3 is also mod Remedy (as modified by 1	Response Status W P35 Cisco System: Comment Status D dified by 802.3cz.	s, Inc.	EZ	statement this is sta word for that is "can SuggestedRemedy Change "may" to "ca Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE CI 165 SC 165.1. Ran, Adee Comment Type E	ting a possibility with respect to ". an" <i>Response Status</i> W PT. 1 <i>P</i> 36 Cisco System	the use of this s <i>L</i> 28 is, Inc.	atandard. The correct # [<u>-111</u>
PROPC 2/ 105 2an, Adee 2omment T Table 1 2uggestedF Insert "(2roposed F	Response DSED ACCEPT. SC 105.5 Fype E 105-3 is also mod Remedy (as modified by Response	Response Status W P35 Cisco System: Comment Status D dified by 802.3cz. IEEE Std 802.3cz-202x)" afte Response Status W	s, Inc.	EZ	statement this is sta word for that is "can SuggestedRemedy Change "may" to "ca Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE CI 165 SC 165.1. Ran, Adee Comment Type E "The term 'MultiGBA	ting a possibility with respect to ". an" <i>Response Status</i> W PT. 1 <i>P</i> 36 Cisco System <i>Comment Status</i> D SE-T1' when used in this claus	the use of this s <i>L</i> 28 is, Inc.	atandard. The correct # [<u>-111</u>
PROPC Cl 105 Ran, Adee Comment T Table 1 SuggestedF Insert "(Proposed R	Response DSED ACCEPT. SC 105.5 Fype E 105-3 is also mod Remedy (as modified by 1	Response Status W P35 Cisco System: Comment Status D dified by 802.3cz. IEEE Std 802.3cz-202x)" afte Response Status W	s, Inc.	EZ	statement this is sta word for that is "can SuggestedRemedy Change "may" to "ca Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEI Cl 165 SC 165.1. Ran, Adee Comment Type E "The term 'MultiGBA Commas would mak	ting a possibility with respect to ". an" <i>Response Status</i> W PT. 1 <i>P</i> 36 Cisco System <i>Comment Status</i> D	the use of this s <i>L</i> 28 is, Inc.	atandard. The correct # [<u>-111</u>
PROPC Cl 105 Ran, Adee Comment T Table 1 SuggestedF Insert "(Proposed R	Response DSED ACCEPT. SC 105.5 Fype E 105-3 is also mod Remedy (as modified by Response	Response Status W P35 Cisco System: Comment Status D dified by 802.3cz. IEEE Std 802.3cz-202x)" afte Response Status W	s, Inc.	EZ	statement this is sta word for that is "can SuggestedRemedy Change "may" to "ca Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEI Cl 165 SC 165.1. Ran, Adee Comment Type E "The term 'MultiGBA Commas would mak SuggestedRemedy	ting a possibility with respect to ". an" <i>Response Status</i> W PT. 1 <i>P</i> 36 Cisco System <i>Comment Status</i> D SE-T1' when used in this claus as the parenthetical clearer.	the use of this s <i>L</i> 28 is, Inc. e refers to"	# [<u>-111</u>
Cl 105 Ran, Adee Comment T Table 1 SuggestedF Insert " Proposed R	Response DSED ACCEPT. SC 105.5 Fype E 105-3 is also mod Remedy (as modified by Response	Response Status W P35 Cisco System: Comment Status D dified by 802.3cz. IEEE Std 802.3cz-202x)" afte Response Status W	s, Inc.	EZ	statement this is sta word for that is "can SuggestedRemedy Change "may" to "ca Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEI Cl 165 SC 165.1. Ran, Adee Comment Type E "The term 'MultiGBA Commas would mak SuggestedRemedy	ting a possibility with respect to ". an" <i>Response Status</i> W PT. 1 <i>P</i> 36 Cisco System <i>Comment Status</i> D SE-T1' when used in this claus	the use of this s <i>L</i> 28 is, Inc. e refers to"	# [<u>-111</u>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa 36	Page 7 of 32
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	Li 28	1/16/2023 11:05:38 AM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line		

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 165 S	SC 165.1.2	P 36	L 34	# I-112		C/ 165	SC 165.1.3		P 38	L 7	#	I-114
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.			Ran, Adee			Cisco System	ns, Inc.		
Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status D			EZ	Comment 7	Type TR	Comment	Status A			
"The relati	ionship… are	shown" - mismatch								umption; it is an o		
SuggestedRer Change "a	<i>medy</i> are shown" to	"is shown"						ow link utilization able reduce pow		unspecified mea cified means).	ans), such	that a PHY
Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status W				Even if	EEE is suppo	ted, a device de	oes not necess	sarily save powe	r.	
•	ED ACCEPT.	,				Suggested	Remedy					
C/ 165 S	SC 165.1.2	P 36	L 35	# <mark>I-8</mark>			e periods of lov			ng periods of low ortunity for reduc		
Grow, Robert	TD	RMG Consult	ting			Response		Response S	Status W			
Comment Typ		Comment Status A connect to the medium whe	en the optional A	N sublayer is not		ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIF	LE.				
present.	,	,				Change	ed "is able to re	educe power co	nsumption dur	ring periods of lov	w link utili:	vation" to
present. SuggestedRer Change to clause, wh Clause 98	<i>medy</i> c: "The PHY shile the option	sublayers shown shaded in al Auto-Negotiation sublaye ASE-T1 PHY connects one C	r for a 25GBASE	-T1 PHY is define		"adapts power o	s signalling dur consumption"	ing periods of lo	ow link utilizatio	ring periods of lor on to provide opp EEE signalling in L12	oortunities	for reduced
present. SuggestedRer Change to clause, wh Clause 98	<i>medy</i> p: "The PHY shile the option 3. The 25GBA	sublayers shown shaded in l al Auto-Negotiation sublaye	r for a 25GBASE	-T1 PHY is define		"adapts power o Text wa C/ 165	s signalling dur consumption" as changed to SC 165.1.3	ing periods of lo	ow link utilizatio describe what P 38	EEE signalling ir	oortunities n this PHY #	for reduced ' does. I-41
present. SuggestedRer Change to clause, wh Clause 98 layer to the	<i>medy</i> 5: "The PHY shile the option 3. The 25GBA the medium."	sublayers shown shaded in l al Auto-Negotiation sublaye ASE-T1 PHY connects one (r for a 25GBASE	-T1 PHY is define		"adapts power o Text wa C/ 165 Zimmerman	s signalling dur consumption" as changed to SC 165.1.3 n, George	ing periods of lo	ow link utilization describe what P 38 Cisco System	on to provide opp	oortunities n this PHY #	for reduced ′ does. <u>I-41</u> ommScope,M
present. SuggestedRer Change to clause, wh Clause 98 layer to the Response ACCEPT.	<i>medy</i> 5: "The PHY shile the option 3. The 25GBA the medium."	sublayers shown shaded in l al Auto-Negotiation sublaye ASE-T1 PHY connects one (r for a 25GBASE	-T1 PHY is define		"adapts power of Text wa C/ 165 Zimmerman Comment 1	s signalling dur consumption" as changed to SC 165.1.3 n, George Type E	ing periods of lo more precisely Comment	ow link utilization describe what P38 Cisco System Status D	EEE signalling ir	n this PHY # nsulting,C	for reduced 7 does. [-41 ommScope,M <i>E</i> ,
present. SuggestedRer Change to clause, wh Clause 98 layer to the Response ACCEPT.	medy b: "The PHY shile the option 3. The 25GBA he medium."	sublayers shown shaded in l al Auto-Negotiation sublaye ASE-T1 PHY connects one C <i>Response Status</i> C	r for a 25GBASE Clause 4 Media A <i>L</i> 31	-T1 PHY is define Access Control (MA		"adapts power of Text wa C/ 165 Zimmerman Comment 1	s signalling dur consumption" as changed to SC 165.1.3 n, George Type E AM for 25GBA	ing periods of lo more precisely Comment	ow link utilization describe what P38 Cisco System Status D	EEE signalling in <i>L</i> 12 ns, Inc.,CME Con	n this PHY # nsulting,C	for reduced 7 does. [-41 ommScope,M E
present. SuggestedRer Change to clause, wh Clause 98 layer to the Response ACCEPT. 2/ 165 Stan, Adee Comment Typ	medy 5: "The PHY shile the option 3. The 25GBA the medium." SC 165.1.3 De T	sublayers shown shaded in I nal Auto-Negotiation sublaye ASE-T1 PHY connects one C <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 37 Cisco System Comment Status D	r for a 25GBASE Clause 4 Media A <i>L</i> 31	-T1 PHY is define Access Control (MA # [<u>1-113</u>		"adapts power of C/ 165 Zimmerman Comment 7 "The O Suggested	s signalling dur consumption" as changed to SC 165.1.3 n, George Type E AM for 25GBA Remedy	ing periods of lo more precisely <i>Comment</i> SE-T1 informat	w link utilization describe what P38 Cisco System Status D ion is exchang	EEE signalling in <i>L</i> 12 ns, Inc.,CME Con	oortunities n this PHY # nsulting,C word order	for reduced ' does. I-41 ommScope,M E
present. SuggestedRer Change to clause, wh Clause 98 layer to the Response ACCEPT. Cl 165 Stan, Adee Comment Type There is o	medy b: "The PHY shile the option 3. The 25GBA re medium." SC 165.1.3 be T ponly one pair in	sublayers shown shaded in I al Auto-Negotiation sublaye ASE-T1 PHY connects one C <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 37 Cisco System	r for a 25GBASE Clause 4 Media A <i>L</i> 31	-T1 PHY is define Access Control (MA # [<u>1-113</u>	AC)	"adapts power of Text wa Cl 165 Zimmerman Comment 1 "The O Suggested Change	s signalling dur consumption" as changed to SC 165.1.3 n, George Fype E AM for 25GBA Remedy e "The OAM fo	ing periods of lo more precisely <i>Comment</i> SE-T1 informat	w link utilization describe what P 38 Cisco System Status D ion is exchang information" to	EEE signalling ir <i>L</i> 12 ns, Inc.,CME Con yed" is awkward v	oortunities n this PHY # nsulting,C word order	for reduced ' does. I-41 ommScope,M E
present. SuggestedRer Change to clause, wh Clause 98 layer to the Response ACCEPT. C 165 Can, Adee Comment Typ There is o SuggestedRer	medy b: "The PHY shile the option 3. The 25GBA re medium." SC 165.1.3 be T ponly one pair in	sublayers shown shaded in I nal Auto-Negotiation sublaye ASE-T1 PHY connects one C <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 37 Cisco System <i>Comment Status</i> D	r for a 25GBASE Clause 4 Media A <i>L</i> 31	-T1 PHY is define Access Control (MA # [<u>1-113</u>	AC)	"adapts power of Text wa Cl 165 Zimmerman Comment T "The O Suggested Change T1" Proposed F	s signalling dur consumption" as changed to SC 165.1.3 n, George Fype E AM for 25GBA Remedy e "The OAM fo	ing periods of lo more precisely <i>Comment</i> SE-T1 informat r 25GBASE-T1 <i>Response</i> 5	w link utilization describe what P 38 Cisco System Status D ion is exchang information" to	EEE signalling ir <i>L</i> 12 ns, Inc.,CME Con yed" is awkward v	oortunities n this PHY # nsulting,C word order	for reduced ' does. I-41 ommScope,M E

Pa **38** Li **12**

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

	•		,			•			
C/ 165	SC 165.1.3	P 38	L13	# I-115	C/ 165	SC 165.1.3	1 P 38	L 29	# I-116
an, Ade	e	Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.		Ran, Adee	e	Cisco Syste	ems, Inc.	
comment	t Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
The to band	erm "out of band"	is defined in 1.4.442 as "us	ing a frequency t	hat is within the pass	"the F	CS receives eig	ht 25GMII data octets"		
of the		ility but outside a frequency	range normally u	sed for data	These	e could be either	data or control.		
transi	mission".				Suggeste	dRemedy			
The C	DAM signaling do	es not match this definition;	on the contrary, i	t is in-band, per the	Delete	e "data".			
defini	tion in 1.4.359: "v	vithin the bandwidth of the ir	formation channe	el".	Response	9	Response Status W		
There	e are several insta	ances of this incorrect use of	"out of band" in	the base standard.	ACCE	PT.			
		with through maintenance; b			01.405	CC 405 4 0	4 Doo	1.05	#
(500	comment R1-9 a	gainst P802.3cz D3.1)			C/ 165	SC 165.1.3		L 35	# <u>I-58</u>
	dRemedy	gamor 002.002 D0.1)			Jonsson,	-		niconductor, Inc.	-
••	•	25GBASE-T1 information is	ovebanged betw	1000 two 25CBASE T1	Comment	51	Comment Status D		E.
		t is, outside of the specified					or 8460-bit block		
		information is exchanged b		BASE-T1 PHYs in-band,	Suggeste	2			
by int	erleaving it with the	he 25 GB/s Ethernet data st	ream".		chang	je "a 8460-bit bl	ock" to "an 8460-bit block"		
Alterr	natively, delete the	e sentence to avoid the "bar	id" terms.		'	Response	Response Status W		
Response	e	Response Status W			PROF	POSED ACCEP	Т.		
ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPI	.E.			C/ 165	SC 165.1.3	1 P38	L 35	# I-146
		r 25GBASE-T1 information			Wienckow	/ski, Natalie	General Mo	otors Company	
		t is, outside of the specified			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		E.
		information is exchanged b 25 GB/s Ethernet data stre		BASE-11 PHYS by	gramr				
C/ 165	SC 165.1.3	P 38	L19	# 1-42	Suggeste	2			
	an, George		-	nsulting,CommScope,M		ge: a 8460-bit n 8460-bit			
Comment	. 0	Comment Status A		isuting,commocope,m		Response	Response Status W		
		ed pair of conductors." in ou	r zeal to referend	ce the conductors, we	•	POSED ACCEP	•		
have	left out of the over	erview any reference to the li	nk segment spec	ified in 165.7. Besides,					
		to the PMA is the link segme s and meet the specs, the P							
	dRemedy								
00		e balanced pair of conductor	s" to "over a link	segment meeting the					
	fications of 165.7			segment meeting the					
Response	e	Response Status C							
ACCE	EPT.								
YPE: TR	R/technical require	ed FR/editorial required GR	deneral required	d T/technical E/editorial G/	general		Pa	38	Page 9 of 32
		patched Alagopted Place				d II/upportiation			1/16/2022 11:0

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 38 Li 35

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 165	SC 165.1.3.1	P38	L35	# 1-59		C/ 165	SC 165.1.3.1	I P38	L 35	# I-117
Jonsson,	Ragnar	Marvell Sem	iconductor, Inc.			Ran, Adee	e	Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.	
Commen	t Type E	Comment Status D			ΕZ	Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		
	uld be better to intr FEC input superfra	roduce the term of "RS-FEC ame".	C input frame" he	ere before introduc	cing	additio	on to the usual m	ame", "superframe", "training neaning of "frame" as a MAC	frame (see 1.4.3	885); "frame" is often
Suggeste	edRemedy					used	with not quaimer,	leaving it to the reader to un	iderstand it from	the context.
rewri	te to "Next, a 10-bi	t OAM field is appended to	form an 8460-bi	t RS-FEC input fra	ame."			is unfortunate. Although it o		
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W						"codeword" for RS-FEC bloc may come up in maintenance		
PRO	POSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.					roject	hay come up in maintenance	e at some point. I	
		it OAM field is appended to I field is appended to form a				Frame "Supe "Train	e (referring to RS rframe" -> codev ing frame" - retai	sider the following terminolog FEC) -> codeword word group in (used in several other plac t (in the receive direction), "e	es) but only as a	qualified term
						Suggestee	dRemedy			
						Chang	ge to the termino	logy described in the comme	ent, with editorial	license.
							is not done, ensu	ure that all instances of "fram	ne" that do not re	fer to MAC frames are
						Response	•	Response Status C		
						ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	LE.		
						https:/ with th Addeo the pe	ne following title: d the following te eriod and relative	e 4 of rg/3/cy/public/aug22/jonsson Table 165-XXFrame aligni xt under the newly added: "T offset of the start of various ynchronized between master	ment parameters he information in frames. The valu	Table 165-XX shows

Pa **38** Li **35**

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 165 SC 165.1.3 P39 L32	# I-9	C/ 165	SC 165.1.3	P 39	L 46	# I-11	
Grow, Robert RMG Consulting		Grow, Rob	ert	RMG Consult	ing		
omment Type TR Comment Status A		Comment	Type E	Comment Status D			E.
Figure 165-2 eliminates the optional AN sublayer. (Problems with t		Putting	PHY and the pa	renthetical text on different l	ines makes read	lability worse.	
arrow at line 46, but also with MDI+ and MDI- at line 32.) This could feature to the figure is compared to the figure to the figure is compared to the figure to		Suggested	Remedy				
footnote (but mixing NOTE and footnote in the figure is somewhat n 3, or changing the figure to indicate the opptional AN layer is not sh		Put all	the text on one li	ne.			
uggestedRemedy		Proposed I		Response Status W			
I favor: "NOTE 3The optional AN sublayer is not shown between the	he PMA sublaver and	,	OSED ACCEPT.				
the MDI." Make consistent changes to Figure 165-3 (if adding the p							
Figure 165-3 will need a NOTE 1 and NOTE 2).		C/ 165	SC 165.1.3.2	P 40	L 17	# 1-2	
Pesponse Response Status C		Maguire, V	alerie	Copperopolis			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Comment	Type E	Comment Status D			E.
Added "NOTE 3The optional AN sublayer is not shown between t	he PMA sublaver and	Enclos	e the id est exam	ples in parenthesis to be co	nsistent with the	parent document.	
the MDI." to Figure 165-2	2	Suggested	Remedy				
Made consistent changes to Figure 165-3 adding "NOTE 2The op not shown between the PMA sublayer and the MDI." and renumber	tional AN sublayer is	Replac	e, "electrical para	ameters of the PMA, i.e., tes			
NOTE 1	ed existing note to	for the	transmitter and r	eceiver, are specified" with,	"electrical paran	neters of the PMA	(i.e.,
				al specifications for the trans	smitter and rece	ver) are specified".	•
7/165 SC 165.1.3 P39 L39	# I-10	Proposed I		Response Status W			
row, Robert RMG Consulting		PROP	OSED ACCEPT.				
Comment Type E Comment Status D	EZ	C/ 165	SC 165.1.4	P 40	L 51	# I-118	
The vertical interface lines are not consistent. On the left, the MII a arrow on the left at lines 30 through 35, but on the right, the MDI line		Ran, Adee		Cisco System	is Inc		
transect the line for MDI+/MDI		Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R	io, mo.		
uggestedRemedy			••	is performed by the PCS g	enerating contin	uous code-aroup	
Adjust the MDI+/MDI- signal lines and placement of the vertical MD	I line so that if	sequer		, , , , ,	0	0 1	
extended, it would transect the signal lines.		Tho "o	ontinuous codo a	roup sequences" seem to co	omo from multi i	oir DUVe This DL	JV
Proposed Response Response Status W				ses a sequence of PAM4 sy			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		paragra			,	C C	
Adjusted the MDI+/MDI- signal lines and placement of the vertical N	IDI line so that it would	Also, ir	n 165.3.2.2. P52	L29, and 165.3.2.3, P61 L50).		
transect the signal lines.		Suggested		.,			
Moved the vertical sync_link_control line to the left so it does not cr	oss the MID Interface		-	de-group sequences" to "a s	equence of PAN	14 symbols"	
"plane".		Unarry		as group sequences to a s	Squenee of T Al		
		Chang	e "code-groups"	to "symbols" in the other two	locations provid	led in the commen	ıt.
		Response		Response Status W			
		REJEC	CT.				
		The ter	rm "continuous c	ode-group sequences" is co	rect and has be	en used consistent	tly for
				codes. This PHY uses both			,
						-	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

 Pa
 40
 Page 11 of 32

 Li
 51
 1/16/2023
 11:05:38 AM

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Cl 165	SC 165.2.2.1.1	P 43	L 29	# I-147	7	C/ 165 SC	65.3.2.2	P 52	L 37	# I-119	
Wienckowsk	i, Natalie	General Moto	rs Company			Ran, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.		
Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status D			ΕZ	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D			AI
gramma SuggestedR						"the PCS that represe		nction shall use a 65B coding	g technique to g	enerate code-group	ps
Change: To: a 25	an 25GMII					65B blocks (FEC, OAM	represent da) before the	uate here; it seems to origin ata and control characters, b data is converted to PAM4 s	out there are add	litional processing s	steps
Proposed Re PROPO	esponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W					ted remedy	is a possible replacement te hould not be used.	ext; other change	es may be possible.	, but
C/ 165	SC 165.2.2.9.1	P 48	L 41	# 1-148	3	SuggestedRem	edv				
Vienckowsk	i, Natalie	General Moto	rs Company			Change the	•	tence to			
Comment Ty incorrect	•	Comment Status D			EZ	"the PCS Ti	ansmit func .3.2.2.21 to	tion shall use the transmit pl generate the data stream a			ated
SuggestedR	emedy					in rigule ro	5-5.				
		e TRUE and FALSE statem				Change the	PICS item a	accordingly.			
doucum	ent, e.g. remove	the "" and add a tab betw	een TRUE/FALS	SE and the des	cription.	Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status W			
Proposed Re	esponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				PROPOSEI	D ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			
	SED ACCELLI.					Open until 1	/17, AI for G	George			

Pa **52** Li **37**

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 165	SC 1	65.3.2.		P 52	L 54	# I-88		C/ 165	SC	165.3.2.2		P 53	L 11	#	I-120	
onsson, R	agnar		Μ	arvell Semio	conductor, Inc.			Ran, Adee				Cisco Syste	ms, Inc.			
omment T	Гуре	E	Comment Sta	tus A				Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment	Status A				
be ben	eficial to	o add an ii			nments can be o lain this relation		would	modulo	o 2 add		it is not state		s to denote a bitwi ompare to Figure			(or
uggested								legend	101 113	operations	•					
"The in	formatio	on in Table	e 165-XX shows	the period	2_01_08_22_22, and relative offs nich are synchro	et of the start of	various	0		Ū		-	it different, "plus s vithout explicit def	0	circle".	
Response			Response Sta	tus C						establishe see Table 2		n for XOR is a	gate symbol, and	in text th	e caret	
ACCE	PT IN PI	RINCIPLE						Suggested	•		,					
		om slide 4						00		,	the "circled	plus sign" in th	ne figures.			
with the Added the per	e followi the follo iod and	ing title: Ta owing text relative o	able 165-XXF under the newly	rame alignn / added: "Th of various f	tu_zimmerman_ nent parameters ne information in rames. The valu and slave."	Table 165-XX s	shows	Change <i>Response</i>	e to the		ol in Equatio <i>Response</i>		dd "where ^ denot	es the X	OR operati [,]	ion".
C/ 165	SC 1	65.3.2.2.2	2	P 53	L	# I-121		Add a I	egend	explaining	the "circled	plus sign" in 1	65.1.6.			
an, Adee			Ci	sco System	s, Inc.	-		C/ 165	SC	165.3.2.2.2	2	P 54	L17	#	I-60	
comment T	Гуре	Е	Comment Sta	tus R				Jonsson, R			_	-	iconductor, Inc.			
					-FEC transmiss			Comment 7	0	Е	Comment	Status D	inconductor, inc.			E
		Use of blo		es, 165.3.2.	2.3 through 165.	3.2.2.17, most c	of which	since th	he RS-	FEC enco	der/decoder	and interleave	r/deinterleaver are on blocks in Figur			ent
The hie	erarchy	is unnece	ssarily deep, an	d can be fla	ttened; 165.3 an	d 165.3.2 have		orderin	'					e 105-0		L
practic	ally the	same title						Suggested	Remea	ly						
uggested	Remedy	/						have se	eparate	RS-FEC	Encoder and	d interleaver bl	ocks in Figure 16	5-6 PCS	TX bit orde	ering
Move 1	63.3.2.2	2.3 throug	h 163.3.2.2.17 t	o be below	the current 163.	3.2.2.2.		Proposed F	Respon	ise	Response	Status W				
its thre		auses upv			5.3.2 ("PCS func se 165.3 ("Physic			PROPO	OSED .	ACCEPT.						
Response			Response Sta	tus C												
REJEC	CT.		-													
			s matching the s changes to the		Clause 165 with d.	the previous BA	SE-T1									

Pa **54** Li **17**

Proposed Responses IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments C/ 165 SC 165.3.2.2.3 P55C/ 165 P56 L18 L 20 # 1-63 SC 165.3.2.2.7 # I-123 Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor. Inc. Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status R Comment Type T Comment Status D Figure 165-7 PCS RX bit ordering should be placed in PCS Receive function section In this subclause the text refers to a corresponding subclause in 149 with "shall be as specified": also in 165.3.2.2.8: in 165.3.2.2.11 it is "shall be specified": but in all others SuggestedRemedy "is/are as specified". place somewhere in sections 165.3.2.3 PCS Receive function This is inconsistent, and results in having arbitrary PICS items. Response Response Status C REJECT. It seems that "shall" is unnecessary here and creates a burden for people who read the PICS (if there are any) ... The reference to Figure 165-7 is in subclause 165.3.2.2.2. No changes to the draft needed. SuggestedRemedy C/ 165 SC 165.3.2.2.3 P55L 20 # 1-62 Change all instances of references to 149.3.2.2.x to be consistent: "is/are as specified in <reference>". Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D ΕZ Delete PICS that become unnecessary as a result of this change. since the RS-FEC encoder/decoder and interleaver/deinterleaver are specified in different Proposed Response Response Status W sections, it would be better to have separate function blocks in Figure 165-7 PCS RX bit PROPOSED ACCEPT. ordering. SuagestedRemedv C/ 165 SC 165.3.2.2.11 P56 L34 # I-102 have separate RS-FEC decoder and deinterleaver blocks in Figure 165-7 PCS RX bit Blind Creek Associates Rolfe, Benjamin ordering. Comment Type T Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status W "Ordered set control characters shall be specified for MultiGBASE-T1 PHYs in PROPOSED ACCEPT. 149.3.2.2.11" is incorrect use of "shall". As written it is declaring a requirement of the standard not the implementation of the standard. The control characters "are as" specified C/ 165 SC 165.3.2.2.3 P55 L 47 # I-122 in 149.3.2.2.11? The control characters uses shall be those specified in 149.3.2.2.11? Are we mandating those control characters (and only those) be used or simply saying it's the Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. same as specified in the reference clause? I'm guessing from the prior clause the Comment Type **T** Comment Status D ΕZ later...but am probably wrong about that :-) "The value of the data/ctrl header is shown as a binary value. Binary values are shown with SuggestedRemedy the first transmitted bit (the LSB) on the left." Ordered set control characters are as specified for MultiGBASE-T1 PHYs in 149.3.2.2.11 data/ctrl header is a single bit - there is no LSB and no "first" transmitted bit. So this Proposed Response Response Status W sentence is meaningless and quite confusing. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Note that the value of the data/ctrl header bit is not shown in any figure in this clause: it Changed the text to read "Ordered set control characters are as specified for MultiGBASEonly appears in Figure 149–8, which is referenced along with 149.3.2.2.4 in 165.3.2.2.4. T1 PHYs in 149.3.2.2.11" + deleted the associated PICS item. Also the "notation conventions" in 165.3.2.2.3 already cover binary values. No need to repeat the same information. SuggestedRemedy Delete the quoted text. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 56 Li 34 Page 14 of 32 1/16/2023 11:05:38 AM

F7

F7

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 165 S	C 165.3.2.2	.15	P 57	L 24	# I-124	C/ 165	SC	165.3.2.2.	17	P 58	L 29	:	# I-125	
Ran, Adee			Cisco Systems	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee	9			Cisco Syster	ms, Inc.			
Comment Type	er er	Comment	Status D		EZ	Comment	Туре	Е	Commen	t Status D				E
and sugge	sts that "L-1"	is evaluated	first (despite ha		he subscript is unusual, eses).	The ne	ext sent		e three inst		e symbol size is it" as an adjectiv		symbol, af	er
		stead of a min	us sign.			The in	nitial ser	ntence is s	ufficient an	d there is no ne	eed to write "ten-	hit" ever	v time a sv	mhol
SuggestedRen	-										ols, this does not			
				65.3.2.2.16, and	d Figure 165–8),	Suggested	Reme	dv.						
change the	e dash to a m	ninus sign (or	en dasn).					•	RS-FEC" th	ree times in this	s paragraph.			
Preferably, minus sign		spaces aroun	d the multiplicat	tion sign and ad	d spaces around the	Proposed	Respor	nse		Status W	- F9 F			
Proposed Resp	oonse	Response S	Status W			PROP	OSED	ACCEPT.						
			E			01.105	00	405 0 0 0					# 1400	
				6532216 and	1 Figure 165_8)	C/ 165 Ran. Adee		165.3.2.2.	17	P 58 Cisco Svster	L 41 ms. Inc.	:	# I-126	
In this and changed th	all similar ex ne dash to a	pressions (in minus sign (oi	165.3.2.2.15, 10 r en dash).	·	d Figure 165–8), around the minus sign	Ran, Adee <i>Comment</i> The pr	e <i>Type</i> rimitive	TR	Commen	Cisco Syster t Status A				ably
In this and changed th Removed t instead.	all similar ex ne dash to a the spaces a	xpressions (in minus sign (or round the mul	165.3.2.2.15, 10 r en dash). tiplication sign a	and add spaces	around the minus sign	Ran, Adee <i>Comment</i> The pr an abu	e <i>Type</i> rimitive use of n	TR polynomia notation.	Commen al is x^10+x^	Cisco Syster t Status A	ms, Inc. it to 0x409 is con			ably
In this and changed th Removed t instead. Cl 165 S Jonsson, Ragn	all similar ex ne dash to a the spaces a C 165.3.2.2 ar	xpressions (in minus sign (or round the mul	165.3.2.2.15, 10 r en dash). Itiplication sign a <i>P</i> 57 Marvell Semico	and add spaces	around the minus sign # [<u>I-61</u>	Ran, Adee <i>Comment</i> The pr an abu Note th <i>Suggested</i>	e <i>Type</i> rimitive use of n hat 802	TR polynomia totation. 2.3cz uses	Commen al is x^10+x^	Cisco System <i>t Status</i> A 3+1; equating in	ms, Inc. it to 0x409 is con			ably
In this and changed the Removed the instead. Cl 165 S Jonsson, Ragn Comment Type	all similar ex ne dash to a the spaces a C 165.3.2.2. har E E	expressions (in minus sign (or round the mul .16 Comment s	165.3.2.2.15, 10 r en dash). Itiplication sign a <i>P</i> 57 Marvell Semico	and add spaces <i>L</i> 34 onductor, Inc.	around the minus sign	Ran, Adee <i>Comment</i> The pr an abu Note th <i>Suggested</i>	e <i>Type</i> rimitive use of n hat 802 d <i>Remec</i> e "0x409	TR polynomia totation. 2.3cz uses	Commen al is x^10+x^ simply x^10	Cisco System <i>t Status</i> A 3+1; equating in	ms, Inc. it to 0x409 is con			ably
In this and changed th Removed to instead. Cl 165 S Jonsson, Ragn Comment Type There are s	all similar ex ne dash to a the spaces a C 165.3.2.2. har E 90 parity sym	expressions (in minus sign (or round the mul .16 Comment s	165.3.2.2.15, 10 r en dash). tiplication sign a <i>P</i> 57 Marvell Semico <i>Status</i> D	and add spaces <i>L</i> 34 onductor, Inc.	around the minus sign # [<u>I-61</u>	Ran, Adee Comment The pr an abu Note th Suggested Delete	e <i>Type</i> rimitive use of n hat 802 <i>dRemec</i> e "0x409	TR polynomia totation. 2.3cz uses	Commen al is x^10+x^ simply x^10	Cisco System t Status A 3+1; equating in +x^3+1 (see 16	ms, Inc. it to 0x409 is con			ably
In this and changed th Removed t instead. Cl 165 S Jonsson, Ragn Comment Type There are S SuggestedRen change fro	all similar ex ne dash to a the spaces a C 165.3.2.2. har E 90 parity sym nedy m p1,33 to p e updated to	Apressions (in minus sign (or round the mul .16 <i>Comment</i> . nbols, the inde 1,89, and from	165.3.2.2.15, 16 r en dash). tiplication sign a <i>P</i> 57 Marvell Semica <i>Status</i> D ex goes up to 89 n pL,33 to pL,89	and add spaces <i>L</i> 34 onductor, Inc.) not 33	around the minus sign # [<u>I-61</u>	Ran, Adee Comment The pr an abu Note th Suggested Delete Response	e <i>Type</i> rimitive use of n hat 802 <i>dRemec</i> e "0x409	TR polynomia totation. 2.3cz uses	Commen al is x^10+x^ simply x^10	Cisco System t Status A 3+1; equating in +x^3+1 (see 16	ms, Inc. it to 0x409 is con			ably

Pa **58** Li **41**

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 165	SC 165.3.2.2.	17 P 58	L 43	# I-127	C/ 165	SC 165.3	2.2.17	P 59	L 46	# I-71	
Ran, Adee		Cisco Syster	ms, Inc.		Jonsson,	Ragnar		Marvell Sem	iconductor, Inc.		
Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Е	Comm	ent Status R			
"Equati	on (165–2) defin	es the message polynomia	ıl m(x)"		There	are two table	s marked Tab	ole 165-1, one on p	bage 59 and one o	on page 60.	
m(x) is of the d		polynomial, and it cannot b	be defined as suc	ch. It is a representation	Suggeste	dRemedy					
					Updat	e table numb	ers to avoid d	uplicate numbering	g.		
		es the parity polynomial p()	x) whose coeffici	ents are the parity	Response		Respor	se Status C			
	s p21 to p0" lv_the parity poly	nomial is not defined by thi	is equation but h	ov the calculation of the	, REJE						
		m(x) by $g(x)$, as indicated i									
Alaa th		stad in Figure 405 0 is not	ivet a shift as sist					ble. Note that Tab No changes to the		60 has "(continue	:d)"
Also, th	ie encoder illustr	ated in Figure 165-9 is not	just a snift regist	er.				-			
(See co	omment R1-22 a	painst P802.3cz D3.1)			C/ 165	SC 165.3	2.2.17	P 59	L 50	# I-129	
Suggestedl	Remedy				Ran, Adee			Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.		
Change	e the quoted sen	ences to, respectively,			Comment			ent Status D			EZ
		-FEC message are represe sage symbols m521 to m0				ble 165-1, the hould be fixed		ts that the first two	o columns are sep	earate from others.	
coenici		sage symbols moz r to mo	as shown in Eq	uation (103–2)	The ta	ble could be	mproved by a	adding a leftmost o	column with headi	ng "I" and values f	from
and								ls to "g_{i}", "g_{1:			
"The pa	arity polynomial r	(x) is calculated as the rem	nainder of polyno	omial division of m(x) by			is clearly des	cribed by its row a	and column headi	ngs.	
		to p0, as shown in Equation			Suggeste						
Change	from				Chan	ge the column	ruling to have	e regular line width	n between column	s 2 and 3.	
		the remainder from the di	ivision of m(x) by	g(x). This can be	Consi	der improving	the table as	suggested in the c	omment.		
	ted using the shift	t register implementation il	lustrated in Figu	re 165–9"	Proposed	Response	Respor	se Status W			
to The cal	culation of the c	pefficients of p(x) is illustrat	ted in Figure 165	-9"	PROF		PT IN PRINC	IPLE.			
Response		Response Status W							<i></i>		
ACCEF	от							cal column separa ght as the rest of			
					(iioiii						
C/ 165	SC 165.3.2.2.	17 P 59	L19	# I-128							
Ran, Adee		Cisco Syster	ms, Inc.								
Comment 7	Type E	Comment Status D		EZ							
Comma	as should be plac	ed before and after parent	heticals.								
Suggestedl	Remedy										
	mmas after "m_8	45" and after "p_0".									
Add co											
Add co Proposed F	Response	Response Status W									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **59** Li **50**

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 165 SC 165.3.2.2.18 P60 L27 # [1-130		C/ 165 SC 165.3.2.2.22 P61 L41 # 1-82
Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.		Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.
Comment Type T Comment Status D In this subclause there is no "shall" for the reference to the corresponding clause 149 subclause, unlike the subsequent ones. 149	AI	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Values in Table 165-2 are incorrect. SuggestedRemedy
Consistency		Change the values in Table 165-2 to: 16, 48, 15.9744, 28, and 9.3184
SuggestedRemedy Either add "shall" here or delete it from 165.3.2.2.19 through 165.3.2.2.21.		Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Adjust PICS accordingly.		Cl 165 SC 165.3.2.3 P61 L 50 # [-132
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Deleted "shall" statements from 165.3.2.2.19 through 165.3.2.2.21.		Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status R "The PCS Receive function accepts received code-groups provided by the PMA Receive function"
Adjusted PICS accordingly.		SuggestedRemedy
These were already reviewed in detail before. Where there is a "shall" statement, a		Response Response Status C
These were already reviewed in detail before. Where there is a "shall" statement, a corresponding "shall" exists in the respective subclause in Clause 149. Conversly, whe no "shall" is included in the the respective subclause in Clause 149, no "shall" stateme was included in this subclause. No changes to the draft needed.		REJECT. No suggested remedy was provided. C/ 165 SC 165.3.4 P 63 L 31
corresponding "shall" exists in the respective subclause in Clause 149. Conversly, when no "shall" is included in the the respective subclause in Clause 149, no "shall" statemer was included in this subclause. No changes to the draft needed. Deferred until 1/17 - AI for Steve C		REJECT. No suggested remedy was provided. Cl 165 SC 165.3.4 P 63 L 31 # [-133]
corresponding "shall" exists in the respective subclause in Clause 149. Conversly, when no "shall" is included in the the respective subclause in Clause 149, no "shall" statemer was included in this subclause. No changes to the draft needed. Deferred until 1/17 - AI for Steve C C/ 165 SC 165.3.2.2.22 P 61 L 9 # [I-131] Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.		REJECT. No suggested remedy was provided. CI 165 SC 165.3.4 P 63 L 31 # I-133 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Status D The content of this subclause (Side-stream scrambler polynomials) is not helpful; the PC scrambler is already addressed in 165.3.2.2.18 (by reference to 149.3.2.2.18, which has the required pointer to 149.3.4). There is no reference to this subclause in this draft. SuggestedRemedy
corresponding "shall" exists in the respective subclause in Clause 149. Conversly, wheno "shall" is included in the the respective subclause in Clause 149, no "shall" statemer was included in this subclause. No changes to the draft needed. Deferred until 1/17 - AI for Steve C Cl 165 SC 165.3.2.2.22 P 61 L 9 # [1-131] Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D The indented text seems to be a list of items, but is not formatted as such. There are some other lists in the draft where this should be applied too. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy	ent 	REJECT. No suggested remedy was provided. Cl 165 SC 165.3.4 P 63 L 31 # [-133] Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D The content of this subclause (Side-stream scrambler polynomials) is not helpful; the PC scrambler is already addressed in 165.3.2.2.18 (by reference to 149.3.2.2.18, which has the required pointer to 149.3.4). There is no reference to this subclause in this draft.
corresponding "shall" exists in the respective subclause in Clause 149. Conversly, wheno "shall" is included in the the respective subclause in Clause 149, no "shall" statement was included in this subclause. No changes to the draft needed. Deferred until 1/17 - Al for Steve C C/ 165 SC 165.3.2.2.22 P 61 L 9 # [1-131] tan, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D The indented text seems to be a list of items, but is not formatted as such. There are some other lists in the draft where this should be applied too.	ent 	REJECT. No suggested remedy was provided. Cl 165 SC 165.3.4 P 63 L 31 # [-133] Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D The content of this subclause (Side-stream scrambler polynomials) is not helpful; the PC scrambler is already addressed in 165.3.2.2.18 (by reference to 149.3.2.2.18, which has the required pointer to 149.3.4). There is no reference to this subclause in this draft. SuggestedRemedy Delete 165.3.4. Proposed Response Response Status W
corresponding "shall" exists in the respective subclause in Clause 149. Conversly, wheno "shall" is included in the the respective subclause in Clause 149, no "shall" statement was included in this subclause. No changes to the draft needed. Deferred until 1/17 - Al for Steve C Cl 165 SC 165.3.2.2.22 P 61 L 9 # [1-131] Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D The indented text seems to be a list of items, but is not formatted as such. There are some other lists in the draft where this should be applied too. SuggestedRemedy Change formatting to a dashed list (DL). Apply elsewhere as necessary with editorial	ent 	REJECT. No suggested remedy was provided. Cl 165 SC 165.3.4 P 63 L 31 # [-1.33] Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D The content of this subclause (Side-stream scrambler polynomials) is not helpful; the PC scrambler is already addressed in 165.3.2.2.18 (by reference to 149.3.2.2.18, which has the required pointer to 149.3.4). There is no reference to this subclause in this draft. SuggestedRemedy Delete 165.3.4. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 Pa 63
 Page 17 of 32

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 Li 31
 1/16/2023 11:05:39 AM

 SORT ORDER: Page, Line
 Page 17 of 32
 1/16/2023 11:05:39 AM
 1/16/2023 11:05:39 AM

Proposed Respon	1562 11		D3.0 10G+ Auto Tas			iot comments		
C/ 165 SC 165.	3.6 P65	L7	# I-66	C/ 165	SC 165.3.6	P 65	L 34	# I-84
onsson, Ragnar <i>Comment Type</i> TF		niconductor, Inc.		Jonsson, <i>Comment</i>	0	Marvell Semico Comment Status A	onductor, Inc.	
	correct Valid alert start for the M	laster at 0?			51	e_offset is not correctly aligned	in Figure 165-1	2.
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy			
C C	r master at location zero should	be removed from	Figure 165-12		ge the alighment nning of refresh f	of the arrow for lpi_slave_offse rame).	et in Figure 165	-12, to end at frame 4
Response	Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRIN	CIPLE.			•	PT IN PRINCIP	-		
	nges per slide 10 in 02.org/3/cy/public/jun22/jonssor	n_etal_3cy_01a_0	06_07_22.pdf	Imple	mented changes		tal 3cv 01a 06	6 07 22 pdf
C/ 165 SC 165.	3.6 P65	L 7	# I-64	C/ 165	SC 165.3.6	P66	L9	# [I-87
onsson, Ragnar	Marvell Sen	niconductor, Inc.						" 1-07
omment Type E	Comment Status A			Jonsson, <i>Comment</i>	0	Marvell Semico Comment Status A	onductor, Inc.	
In Figure 165-11,	the master is missing a valid ale	ert starting at 92.				_offset" and "lpi_master_offset"	' can ba confus	ing bocause they ar
SuggestedRemedy Add the missing v	alid alert start at 92 for master			simila	r to "lpi_offset" u	sed in clause 149, but have a refresh_start" and "lpi_master_	different meanir	
Response	Response Status C			Suggeste	dRemedy			
ACCEPT IN PRIN				Repla	ce all occurrence	es of "lpi_slave_offset" with "lpi ster_offset" with "lpi_master_re		_start" and replace all
	nges per slide 10 in 02.org/3/cy/public/jun22/jonssor	n_etal_3cy_01a_0	06_07_22.pdf	Response ACCE)	Response Status C		
C/ 165 SC 165.	3.6 P65	L16	# 1-83	1001				
lonsson, Ragnar	Marvell Sen	niconductor, Inc.						
comment Type E	Comment Status A							
The arrow for lpi_	slave_offset is not correctly aligr	ned in Figure 165	-11.					
uggestedRemedy								
Change the alignr (beginning of refre	nent of the arrow for lpi_slave_c esh frame).	offset in Figure 16	5-11, to end at frame 42					
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRIN	CIPLE.							
	nges per slide 10 in 02.org/3/cy/public/jun22/jonssor	n_etal_3cy_01a_0	06_07_22.pdf					

Pa **66** Li **9**

an, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. comment Type ER Comment Status D Al "Alert, a four RS-FEC frame long sequence (alert_length), shall start four frames after the beginning of any eighth RS-FEC frame counting from the start of the QR cycle" Al This is an awkwardly phrased sentence, and the "shall" seems inadequate; this is a description of the required alignment of the alert sequence. Also, the final sentence in this paragraph (only starting at frame 92) contradicts the beginning ("any"), adding to the confusion.	Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status D Al "Slow Wake" is mentioned here for the first time, and does not seem to be defined anywhere. It also appears in tables 165-4 and 165-5. After a long search I found an InfoField bit called "SlowWakeRequest" defined in 165.4.2.4.5. But there is no variable called "Slow Wake" and it is not defined that SlowWakeRequest in the PHY capability bits is sent based on some variable that has
 "Alert, a four RS-FEC frame long sequence (alert_length), shall start four frames after the beginning of any eighth RS-FEC frame counting from the start of the QR cycle" This is an awkwardly phrased sentence, and the "shall" seems inadequate; this is a description of the required alignment of the alert sequence. Also, the final sentence in this paragraph (only starting at frame 92) contradicts the 	"Slow Wake" is mentioned here for the first time, and does not seem to be defined anywhere. It also appears in tables 165-4 and 165-5. After a long search I found an InfoField bit called "SlowWakeRequest" defined in 165.4.2.4.5. But there is no variable called "Slow Wake" and it is not defined that SlowWakeRequest in the PHY capability bits is sent based on some variable that has
description of the required alignment of the alert sequence. Also, the final sentence in this paragraph (only starting at frame 92) contradicts the	165.4.2.4.5. But there is no variable called "Slow Wake" and it is not defined that SlowWakeRequest in the PHY capability bits is sent based on some variable that has
	another effect. SlowWakeRequest and "slow wake" are not the same thing, and readers should not be expected to link them.
This paragraph is followed by tables which seem to say the same thing in a more formal	SuggestedRemedy
way. Perhaps it is enough to point to the tables.	At the minimum, Change "slow wake" to "SlowWakeRequest" and add "(see 165.4.2.4.5)"
uggestedRemedy	in some appropriate place in the text.
Change to "Alert is a sequence of length alert_length RS-FEC frames (see Table 165-3) that can start only at the beginning of RS-FEC frame u for specific values of u (where u denotes the 0-based index of the RS-FEC frame counting from the start of the QR cycle).	Preferably, add a variable definition and a more detailed explanation of the SlowWakeRequest bit and the condition for sending alerts one way or the other; I assume is it the local SlowWakeRequest rather than the remote one that controls it?
When slow wake is 0, the valid locations for Alert are when u mod 8 = 4. When slow wake is 1, the only valid location for Alert is u=92."	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Alternatively, delete the text description and use a reference to tables 165-4 and 165-5.	P78 L40 – changed "immediately following a refresh" to "once per QR cycle"
roposed Response Response Status W	Pro L40 – changed infinedately following a fellesh to once per QR cycle P65 L4 – at the end of the line added the following "The alert signal is restricted to starting
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	at predetermined RS-FEC frame count values, where the allowed values depend on if the
Changed	SlowWakeRequest PHY capability bit is set." P65 L21 – changed "Slow Wake not active" to "SlowWakeRequest is not set"
"Alert, a four RS-FEC frame long sequence (alert_length), shall start four frames after the beginning of any eighth RS-FEC frame counting from the start of the QR cycle." to	P65 L39 – changed "Slow Wake active" to "SlowWakeReques't is set" P66 L21 – changed "Slow Wake is active" to "SlowWakeRequest is set" P66 L27 – changed "Slow Wake" to "SlowWakeRequest"
"Alert is a sequence of length alert_length RS-FEC frames (see Table 165-3) that shall	P66 L27 – changed "Slow Wake" to "SlowWakeRequest"
start four frames after the beginning of any eighth RS-FEC frame counting from the start of the QR cycle."	P66 L22 – changed "starting at RS-FEC frame 92" to "starting at RS-FEC frame 92 for master and at RS-FEC frame 44 for slave" P66 L18 – changed "Alert, a four RS-FEC frame long sequence (alert length), shall start"
can start only at the beginning of RS-FEC frame u for specific values of u (where u denotes the 0-based index of the RS-FEC frame counting from the start of the QR cycle).	to "Alert is a four RS-FEC frame long sequence (alert_length). When SlowWakeRequest is not set, alert shall start"
When slow wake is 0, the valid locations for Alert are when u mod $8 = 4$. When slow wake is 1, the only valid location for Alert is u=92."	
	Deferred to 1/17, AI for Ragnar
Deferred to 1/17, AI for Ragnar	

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 165 SC 165	.3.6.1	P 66	L 25	# I-65	C/ 165	SC 165.3.6	P66	L 41	# <mark>I-86</mark>
Jonsson, Ragnar		Marvell Semi	conductor, Inc.		Jonsson, F	Ragnar	Marvell Sem	conductor, Inc.	
Comment Type T	R Comme	nt Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Sentence above					The tx	_refresh_active	condition is not correct in tab	le 165-5.	
When Slow Wak starting at RS-FE		an be transmitted	d in only a single (QR cycle location,	Suggested	Remedy			
		e slave can only	transmit starting a	at RS-FEC frame 44.			e "lpi_master_offset – lpi_ref		
SuggestedRemedy							lpi_master_offset" to "lpi_ma lpi_master_offset+ lpi_refres		
			aph above table 1	65-4: QR cycle location,	Response		Response Status C		
	C frame 92 for th			r the slave, as shown	ACCE	PT.			
Response		e Status C			C/ 165	SC 165.7.2.	3 P67	L 31	# I-56
ACCEPT.					Zimmerma	in, George	Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.,CME Cor	nsulting,CommScope,
			1.00		Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
C/ 165 SC 165 Jonsson, Ragnar	.3.6	P 66 Marvell Semi	L 29 conductor, Inc.	# I-85		is no mention of ner is deleted as	f XGMII in 149.3.7.2.3 timers s well)	(note that this e	dit accomodates if the
Comment Type T	Comme	nt Status A			Suggested	Remedy			
The tx_refresh_a	ctive condition is	not correct in tab	le 165-4.			ce first sentence e following mod	of 165.3.7.2.3 with "The PC ifications:	S timers are as d	efined in 149.3.7.2.3
SuggestedRemedy					Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
In Table 165-4, c mod(u, lpi_qr_tin mod(u, lpi_qr_tin		fset" to "lpi_slave	e_offset ≤			OSED ACCEPT	•		
Response	, .	e Status C			C/ 165	SC 165.3.7.	3 P70	L 50	# I-12
ACCEPT.	Respond				Grow, Rob	ert	RMG Consul	ting	
					Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		
C/ 165 SC 165	.3.6.1	P 66	L 39	# I-136			ndatory, the functionality spe		
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.				rmative text, I assume the ac where in the draft.	tual normative m	andatory statements
Comment Type E	Comme	nt Status D		EZ					
			le 165-4? There is	s only one frame count	Suggested	-	lity in this figure is mandator	for a DUV with t	ha EEE aanahilitu
per PHY, no nee	d for two variables	6.					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		he EEE capability.
SuggestedRemedy					Proposed	,	Response Status W		
Change "v" to "u	in table 165-5.				PROP	OSED ACCEPT			
-									

Pa **70** Li **50**

C/ 165	SC 165.4.1	P 74	L	# <u>I-72</u>		C/ 165	SC 165.4.2.6	6 P 81	L 25	# I-94
Jonsson, F	Ragnar	Marvell Semi	conductor, Inc.			Rolfe, Ben	ijamin	Blind Creek	Associates	
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D			EZ	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
Suggested Add se add se Proposed	Remedy	_sigdet output from Link Sy igure 165-16. Figure 149–2 <i>Response Status</i> W		-	w to	"The r period It may paragi genera	eceiver may not ls of the SEND_S or may not not r raph is an inform ator, and then tal e? Which isn't a	nt to "may or may not", I'm n necessarily receive a contin S signal." necessarily? Figuring it out ative description of a possib lking about what the receive in optional behavior, but see	uous PN sequen from context didr le implementatio r may or may not	t work either, as the n of the PN sequence t or may not not
C/ 165	SC 165.4.2.4.	5 <i>P</i> 78	L 39	# 1-92		Suggested	dRemedy			
			conductor, Inc.	" 152		Delete	the sentence.			
	Туре Е	Comment Status D aling, there is 1 RS FEC fra	,	end of Refresh a	<i>EZ</i> and	Proposed PROP	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
Alert Suggested Chanc	2	only immediately following a	a refresh" to "trans	smit alert only in	slow	Cl 165 Rolfe, Ben	SC 165.4.4. 1 ijamin	I P86 Blind Creek	L 50 Associates	# I-95
wake a Proposed	alert time slot" <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				Suggested	ect use of "may". <i>Remedy</i>	Comment Status D . This should be "can".		
C/ 165 Ran, Adee Comment		5 P78 Cisco Syster Comment Status D	L 44 ns, Inc.	# I-137	EZ	Proposed	ge "may" to "can' <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
"The r	51	II be reserved and set to 0.	' - reserved bits a	re listed in the ta		C/ 165 Grow, Rob	SC 165.4.5	P 90 RMG Consu	L 51	# I-18
Also, r	eserved should b	e ignored on receipt, otherv	vise they can't be	defined in the fu	ture.	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D d with the file image.png atta	Ū	
Reser	ved fields are also	mentioned in 165.4.2.4.7	with insufficient ex	planation.				0 1 0		
Suggested	2						0	t required, the functionality is	s required.	
	je the quoted sen d upon receipt."	tence in 165.4.2.4.5 to "Res	served bits shall b	e transmitted as	0 and	Suggested NOTE	-	of this state diagram is only	y required when t	the PHY supports EEE
	e the last senten d upon receipt".	ce in 165.4.2.4.7 to "All rese	erved fields are tra	ansmitted as 0 a	nd	•	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
_ ,	Response	Response Status W								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **90** Li **51** Page 21 of 32 1/16/2023 11:05:39 AM IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

165 SC 165.5.1.1 P92 L18 # 1-4	C/ 165 SC 165.5.1.1 P93 L11 # I-5	
byer, Rich Aptiv - Signal and Power Solutions	Mcclellan, Brett Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.	
omment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D	
The BALUN in Figure 165-27 is not defined. Use of BALUN and spectrum analyzer for this measurement is not required. Eliminate the use of the BALUN and spectrum analyzer for the PSD measurement. If the Balun and spectrum analyzer is eliminated, then the PSD measurement can be made with digital signal analyzer (DSA) (a.k.a. Digital Scope or capturing device) instead of a BALUN and spectrum analyzer. If this proposal is accepted, then Figure 165-27 can be removed and existing Figure 165-25 can be referenced for the PSD measurement.	 "Figure 165–27—Transmitter test configuration 4 for power spectral density measurementand transmit power level measurement" There are only 3 test configurations defined in this subclause. The label for this configuration should be '3'. SuggestedRemedy change 'configuration 4' to 'configuration 3' and associated references, ie. page 95 line 	52
uggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status W	
Remove Figure 165-27 and reference Figure 165-25 for PSD mask test.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Change Figure 165-25 description from. "Transmitter test configuration 1 for transmitter droop, transmitter linearity, and jitter	The same comment disposition detail as in comment #i-4	
measurement"	C/ 165 SC 165.5.3 P93 L 51 # 1-3	
To, "Transmitter test configuration 1 and 4 for transmitter droop, transmitter linearity, jitter and	Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis	
power spectral density measurement and transmit power level measurements"	Comment Type E Comment Status D	ΕZ
Change references concerning Figure 165-27 as follows.	Enclose the id est example in parenthesis to be consistent with the parent document.	
•Remove wording in line 18 page 92 "Figure 165-27".	SuggestedRemedy	
•Remove Figure 165-27 on page 93.	Replace, "shall be AC-coupled, i.e., it shall present a high DC common-mode impedan	ce
•Change "165-27" on page 95 line 53 to "165-25".	at the MDI." with, "shall be AC-coupled (i.e., it shall present a high DC common-mode impedance at the MDI).".	
roposed Response Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
Removed Figure 165-27 and reference Figure 165-25 for PSD mask test.	Cl 165 SC 165.5.3 P93 L 53 # I-96	
Changed Figure 165-25 description from.	Rolfe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associates	
"Transmitter test configuration 1 for transmitter droop, transmitter linearity, and jitter measurement"	Comment Type T Comment Status D	ΕZ
To,	"There may be various methods for AC-coupling in actual implementations." is	<u></u>
"Transmitter test configuration 1 for transmitter droop, transmitter linearity, jitter and power	inappropriate use of "may". Should be "can" (stating a possibility, not a normative optic	on).
spectral density measurement, and transmit power level measurements"	SuggestedRemedy	
Changed references concerning Figure 165-27 as follows.	Change "may" to "can"	
• Removed wording in line 18 page 92 "Figure 165-27".	Proposed Response Response Status W	
 Removed Figure 165-27 on page 93. Changed "165-27" on page 95 line 53 to "165-25". 	PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
Also on P95L52 changed "configuration 4" to "configuration 1".		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 93 Li 53 Page 22 of 32 1/16/2023 11:05:39 AM

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 165	SC 165.5.3	P 94	L17	# I-19	C/ 165	SC 165.5.3.3.	1 P95	L13	# I-6	
Chang, Jae	e-yong	Keysight Tec	hnologies		Mcclellan,	Brett	Marvell Sem	niconductor, Inc.		
Comment	Type T	Comment Status D		EZ	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D			EZ
		e, all transmitter measure			Figure	165–25 is not co	nfiguration 3, it is configura	ation 1.		
made	at TP2 utilizing a te	st configuration that meet	s the specification	ns in 165.5.5.	Suggested	IRemedy				
Suggested	•					-	to 'configuration 1'			
made	at TP2 utilizing a te	e, all transmitter measure st system configuration th -Thomson low-pass filter	at meets the spe	ecifications in 165.5.5	Proposed	-	Response Status W			
Proposed	Response	Response Status W				00 405 5 5 4		1.05		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.				C/ 165	SC 165.5.5.1	P 98	L 35	# 1-98	3
					Rolfe, Ben	•	Blind Creek	Associates		
C/ 165	SC 165.5.3	P 94	L 22	# I-97	Comment		Comment Status D			EZ
Rolfe, Ben	,	Blind Creek A	Associates				ne IEEE SA Standards Boa ling normative language ("I		anual, a note to	o a figure
Comment	51	Comment Status D					ct word. BTW kudos for a		nere ;-).	
		t may not be testable in a nts are optional in a confo			Suggested	IRemedy				
		e omitted is what is mear			Chang	e "may" to "can"				
being	used incorrectly.				Proposed	Response	Response Status W			
Suggested						OSED ACCEPT.	,			
Delete	the sentence or rev	write with correct use of n	ormative langua	je.						
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W			C/ 165	SC 165.5.5.2	P 98	L 45	# <u>I-13</u>	38
PROP	OSED ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.			Ran, Adee		Cisco Syste	ms, Inc.		
Delete	d "Informative Anne	ex 165A provides informat	ion on naramete	rs associated with test	Comment	Type E	Comment Status D			EZ
		nay not be testable in an			Bad ju	stification				
C/ 165	SC 165.5.3.3	P 94	L48	# I-73	Suggested	lRemedy				
			•	# 1-73	fix it					
Jonsson, F	0		conductor, Inc.		Proposed	Response	Response Status W			
Comment		Comment Status D	de set etcles de	alah tikatan sa katuran	PROP	OSED ACCEPT.				
the con etc.	ter requirements hat mplexity of the PMA	ve become too strict, and implementation and the	complexity of the	e right balance between e clock generation, x-tal,						
Suggested	IRemedy									
to an u	injittered reference	n unjittered reference sha shall be less than 0.4 ps, s than 1ps when measure	when measured	with bandwidth from						
Proposed	Response	Response Status W								
,	OSED ACCEPT.									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **98**

Li 45

ΕZ

ΕZ

ΕZ

165 SC 165.6 P101 L3 # 1-99	C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.1 P102 L 43 # 1-55
fe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associates	Zimmerman, George Cisco Systems, Inc.,CME Consulting,CommScope
nment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type T Comment Status D
This sentence says that 25GBASE-T1 makes extensive use of functions that may not be provided. So a conforming implementation makes extensive use of functions not present	Lower limit of specification for link segment return loss is out of step with other parameter
sometimes. Pretty sure that is not what is meant. Not sure what is meant though. Does it	SuggestedRemedy
mean the optional functions may (or may not) be used WHEN they are provided? Is this an optional requirement, a recommendation, or a mandatory requirement to use these	Change 30 MHz to 10 MHz
functions when they are available? I can only guess. Also not sure what "extensive use"	Proposed Response Response Status W
would be in this context. Less than always and more than never. Hard to write a validation test for that!	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Well one guess is given in the proposed change.	Changed 30 MHz to 10 MHz. Also updated P102L51 from 30 to 10, and Figure 165-35.
ggestedRemedy	C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.2 P103 L 29 # [-20
25GBASE-T1 may make use of the management functions provided by the optional MDIO (Clause 45), and the communication and self-configuration functions provided by the	Larsen, Wayne CommScope
optional Auto-Negotiation (Clause 98), when those functions are available.	Comment Type T Comment Status X
posed Response Response Status W	Its good to have the time domain criteria in addition to the usual frequency domain. But t
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	REM peak criteria is sufficient, and ETM is not needed. The frequency domain provides sufficient protection against broad echo.
Removed "extensive" page 101, line 3	SuggestedRemedy
	Remove the ETM information from the title and table 165-15, and remove sections
165 SC 165.7.1.1 P 102 L 1 # I-139	165.7.1.3.4 and 165.7.1.3.6.
n, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.	Proposed Response Response Status O
nment Type TR Comment Status D	
Figure 165–34 does not illustrate an insertion loss - it is a limit line.	C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.2 P103 L 30 # 1-22
Also applies to Figure 165-35, Figure 165-36, Figure 165-37, Figure 165-38, and Figure	Larsen, Wayne CommScope
165-39 (different titles, but similar lack of "limit").	Comment Type T Comment Status X
ggestedRemedy Channe "The insertion less is illustrated in Figure 165, 24" to "The 250DAGE T1 link	If I understand well, the Nyquist frequency is 7031.25 MHz, and the reader is to measure
Change "The insertion loss is illustrated in Figure 165–34" to "The 25GBASE-T1 link segment insertion loss limit is illustrated in Figure 165–34".	4096 frequency points at 2.5 MHz spacing. If this is not right, please clarify it. This mear there will be frequency points at 7030 and 7032.5 MHz, but not at the Nyquist frequency,
	yet equation 165-22 requires an adjustment based on the frequency point at the Nyquist
Change the figure title to "Insertion loss calculated limit in Equation (165–19)". Add a label "meets equation constraint" above the plot in the figure.	frequency.
	SuggestedRemedy
Implement corresponding changes in the other figures listed in the comment and the text preceding them.	Adjust to provide a frequency point at the Nyquist frequency, or otherwise clarify.
posed Response Response Status W	Proposed Response Response Status O
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

Pa **103** Li **30**

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P104 L16	Cl 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P 104 L 45 # [-67] Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ Equation 165-26 looks bad. The exponential is better represented as a function than a power of e. The relative size of sigma and the summation range makes the equation look strange. EZ SuggestedRemedy Use exp(j*(2*pi*k_n)/(2*K_N)) and adjust the size of sigma. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P 105 L 3 # [-90] Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ Lasson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ Lasson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. EZ Equation 165-27 looks awkward. EZ
Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ confusing word order makes it sound like the 100 ohm resistive termination is part of the example of the plug-terminated cable. ESUggestedRemedy ESUggestedRemedy ESUGGESTEDRE ESUGGESTEDRE <td< th=""><th>Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ Equation 165-26 looks bad. The exponential is better represented as a function than a power of e. The relative size of sigma and the summation range makes the equation look strange. SuggestedRemedy Use exp(j*(2*pi*k_n)/(2*K_N)) and adjust the size of sigma. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P105 L 3 # 1-90 Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ</th></td<>	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ Equation 165-26 looks bad. The exponential is better represented as a function than a power of e. The relative size of sigma and the summation range makes the equation look strange. SuggestedRemedy Use exp(j*(2*pi*k_n)/(2*K_N)) and adjust the size of sigma. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P105 L 3 # 1-90 Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
confusing word order makes it sound like the 100 ohm resistive termination is part of the example of the plug-terminated cable. SuggestedRemedy change "the link segment side of the MDI, e.g., the plug if the cable is terminated in a plug, with the far end terminated in 100 \Ohm resistance." to "the link segment side of the MDI with the far end terminated in 100 \Ohm resistance. For example, if the cable is terminated in a plug, the measurement is on the cabling between the (de-embedded) plug and the far end termination." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P104 L16 # [-23]	Equation 165-26 looks bad. The exponential is better represented as a function than a power of e. The relative size of sigma and the summation range makes the equation look strange. SuggestedRemedy Use exp(j*(2*pi*k_n)/(2*K_N)) and adjust the size of sigma. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P105 L3 # I-90 Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
example of the plug-terminated cable. SuggestedRemedy change "the link segment side of the MDI, e.g., the plug if the cable is terminated in a plug, with the far end terminated in 100 \Ohm resistance." to "the link segment side of the MDI with the far end terminated in 100 \Ohm resistance. For example, if the cable is terminated in a plug, the measurement is on the cabling between the (de-embedded) plug and the far end termination." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P104 L16 # [-23]	power of e. The relative size of sigma and the summation range makes the equation look strange. SuggestedRemedy Use exp(j*(2*pi*k_n)/(2*K_N)) and adjust the size of sigma. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P105 L3 # I-90 Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
change "the link segment side of the MDI, e.g., the plug if the cable is terminated in a plug, with the far end terminated in 100 \Ohm resistance." to "the link segment side of the MDI with the far end terminated in 100 \Ohm resistance. For example, if the cable is terminated in a plug, the measurement is on the cabling between the (de-embedded) plug and the far end termination." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P104 L16 # [-23]	SuggestedRemedy Use exp(j*(2*pi*k_n)/(2*K_N)) and adjust the size of sigma. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P105 L 3 Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D
with the far end terminated in 100 \Ohm resistance." to "the link segment side of the MDI with the far end terminated in 100 \Ohm resistance. For example, if the cable is terminated in a plug, the measurement is on the cabling between the (de-embedded) plug and the far end termination." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P104 L16 # [-23]	Use exp(j*(2*pi*k_n)/(2*K_N)) and adjust the size of sigma. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P105 L3 # [-90 Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
with the far end terminated in 100 \Ohm resistance. For example, if the cable is terminated in a plug, the measurement is on the cabling between the (de-embedded) plug and the far end termination." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P104 L16 # [-23	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P105 L 3 # 1-90 Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
end termination." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P104 L16 # [-23	PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P105 L 3 # [-90] Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P104 L16 # [-23	Jonsson, RagnarMarvell Semiconductor, Inc.Comment TypeEComment StatusDEZ
C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P104 L16 # [-23	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
Larsen, Wayne CommScope	Equation 165-27 looks awkward.
Comment Type T Comment Status D	SuggestedRemedy
It seems this minor phase adjustment is to be made to the natrual phase of the whole	Increase the relative size of sigma compared to the summation limits.
frequency response, not to the unwrapped phase, but this is not clear.	Proposed Response Response Status W
SuggestedRemedy	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Clarify this is wrapped phase, if that is what is meant.	
Proposed Response Response Status W	C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P105 L9 # 1-25
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Larsen, Wayne CommScope
Added the following range statement on P104 L18 "for $0 < k <= K N$ " for the H k line in	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
equation (165-22)	typo in subscript, apparently
Cl 165 SC 165.7.1.3.3 P104 L 29 # 1-24	SuggestedRemedy In equation 165-28, change from RE(sub-k) to RE(sub-r)
Larsen, Wayne CommScope	Proposed Response Response Status W
Comment Type T Comment Status X TBD	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
The procedure in step 2b effectively throws away all the frequency repsonse above the Nyquist frequency.	
SuggestedRemedy	
Either make use of the frequency response points from Nyquist to 10,240 MHz or don't measure them.	
Proposed Response Response Status O	

Pa **105** Li **9**

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

CI 0	SC O	P 105	L11	# 1-74		C/ 165	SC	165.7.1.3.4	4	P 105	L 24	# <mark>I-76</mark>
onsson, F	Ragnar	Marvell Semic	conductor, Inc.			Jonsson, F	Ragnar			Marvell Semic	conductor, Inc.	
Comment There	51	<i>Comment Status</i> D or RE in equation (165-28)			EZ	<i>Comment</i> Confu	• •	E rly bracket	Comment in (165-30).	Status D		
Suggestea	IRemedy	,				Suggested	dRemec	dy	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
-		E from k to r: "RE_r(k)"						•	of (165-30)			
	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W				Proposed PROP	•	nse ACCEPT.	Response	Status W		
Chang	ged per suggested	d remedy but comment is aga	ainst 165.7.1.3.3			C/ 165	SC	165.7.1.3.4	4	P105	L 25	# I-26
C/ 165	SC 165.7.1.3.	.3 P 105	L12	# 1-44		Larsen, W	ayne			CommScope		
<u>zimmerma</u>	an, George	Cisco System	s. IncCME Con	sulting,CommScope	.M	Comment	Туре	т	Comment	Status D		
Comment	, U	Comment Status D	-, -,	5,	EZ				used in 165.7	7.1.3.3, it is con	fusing to use it a	gain here with a
typo o'	bscures technical	I meaning of the equation - t	here is no "r" - s	ubscript of RE (k)			ent meai	0				
should	d be "r", not "k"					Suggested		,				
Suggested	Remedy							nt letter.				
Chang	ge RE sub k to RE	E sub r on left hand side of E	quation 165-28			Proposed	,		Response			
Proposed	Response	Response Status W				PROP	POSED	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPL	E.		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.					See co	ommen	t #i-75				
C/ 165	SC 165.7.1.3.	.4 P105	L 24	# 1-75		C/ 165	SC	165.7.1.3.4	4	P105	L 36	# 1-27
lonsson, F	Ragnar	Marvell Semic	conductor, Inc.			Larsen, W	ayne			CommScope		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D			ΕZ	Comment	Туре	т	Comment	Status D		
	sequences are ir st of the section.	ntroduced as singular, but are	e always used as	s plural sequences in	1	errors	and mi	sunderstar	nding. Also,	delay is depend	lant on frequency	delay, subject to , you might consider
Suggested	lRemedy							t at each fro of frequenc		it, instead of ap	plying this estimation	ate of the delay
		of the insertion loss which is				Suggested		• •	у.			
H_k" to H_k,i"		s of the insertion loss which a	are represented a	as complex sequence	es	00		•	'Determine t	he delay by any	convenient met	hod'
roposed	Response	Response Status W				Proposed	Respor	nse	Response	Status W		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.							REJECT.		•••		
						Otherv estima	wise, it i ate the o	is a simple delay. This	linear fit to t delay repres	he phase. It is c ent the length c	of its less than de one of the widely of the cable and i eeded at this tim	

Pa **105** Li **36** Page 26 of 32 1/16/2023 11:05:39 AM

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 165	SC 165.7.1.3.4	P 105	L 40	# 1-48		C/ 165	SC 165.7.1	3.4	P 105	L 42	# I-79
Zimmerma	an, George	-	s, Inc.,CME Co	nsulting,CommSco	pe,M	Jonsson, F	Ragnar		Marvell Semio	conductor, Inc.	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D				Comment	Туре Т	Comme	nt Status D		
		ot defined. Is this meant to ication of how that variexs v			le	equation	ons. However, t	here is no m	etric to evaluate if	f the calculated d	onent of following elay is accurate or
Suggested	dRemedy							, the method	ecomes "confuse	a, so this must	be delected.
	ge N sub k to "N" or ng needed.	some other variable, alter	natively define	a new variable, or the	he		calculation of th			, and set an uppe	r limit on the allowed
Proposed	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉					rd error if the E				
PROP	OSED REJECT.					Proposed			e Status W		
NL L al	:ffene frem Niendie		the survey have a f	f		PROP	OSED REJEC				
delay		a constant that represents ned in the line immediately eded.			חוב				ient does not con changes being st		tail so that the task commenter.
C/ 165	SC 165.7.1.3.3	P 105	L 40	# I-91		C/ 165	SC 165.7.1	3.4	P 105	L 42	# <mark>I-78</mark>
Jonsson, F	Ragnar	Marvell Semic	onductor, Inc.			Jonsson, F	Ragnar		Marvell Semio	conductor, Inc.	
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D			ΕZ	Comment	-	Comme	nt Status D		
		enefit from better formatting].						x than it has to be ction of K_S and		and sum of k can be
Suggested		scripts for the summation s	woohala aaad t	a ha amallar and ali	anad	Suggested	Remedy				
		summation symbols	symbols need to	o de smaller and all	gnea	Replac	ce the sum of k	and sum of l	x^2 with fixed term	ns of K_s and N_	k
		Response Status W				Proposed	Response	Resnons	e Status W		
•	POSED ACCEPT.						OSED REJEC				
C/ 165	SC 165.7.1.3.4	P105	L 42	# 1-80					ient does not con changes being si		tail so that the task
Jonsson, F	0	Marvell Semic	onductor, Inc.				00 105 5 1	, 	5405		
Comment		Comment Status D				C/ 165	SC 165.7.1.	3.4	P 105	L 42	# 1-77
		32) relay on "unwrap" in (16 effilled) efficiency on "unwrap" in (16 effilled) effilled free effilled in the second se			ror	Jonsson, F	0		Marvell Semic	conductor, Inc.	
calcula	ations in (165-32) w	ould benefit from some me	chanism to det	tect incorrect		Comment	Туре Е	Comme	nt Status D		E
		liers, and make the corresp			ns.	Improp	per capitalizatio	n of pi in (16	5-32)		
Suggested	dRemedy					Suggestea	lRemedy				
Add e	xception handling for	or outliers in equation (165-	32).			Chang	e capitalized pi	in (165-32) t	o lower case pi		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W				Proposed	Response	Respons	e Status W		
PROP	, POSED REJECT.	,				PROP	OSED ACCEP	г.			
-											
		he comment does not cont specific changes being su			(

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa	10	5
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	Li	42	2
SORT ORDER: Page, Line			

Page 27 of 32 1/16/2023 11:05:39 AM

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.4 P105 L43 # [-46	Cl 165 SC 165.7.1.3.4 P105 L49 # 1-49
Zimmerman, George Cisco Systems, Inc.,CME Consulting,CommScope,M	Zimmerman, George Cisco Systems, Inc.,CME Consulting,CommScope,M
Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ	Comment Type T Comment Status D
equation typo - lower case "pi" is meant in the denominator, not a product operator (upper case pi). SuggestedRemedy	"With $k_s = 40$, and $N_k = 1600$, the linear fit is calculated" - is this trying to say that k_s and N_k are constants used in the calculation? If so, they should be explained and added to table 165-15.
change "pi" in denominator of equation 165-32 to lower case.	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Add explanatory text for the meaning of k_s and N_k to Table 165-15 and add these values there (apologies, the draft provides insufficient explanation for this commenter to offer a good suggestion). Change sentence at P105 L49 to read "Using the values of k_s and N_k in Table 165-15, the linear fit"
C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.4 P105 L48 # 1-51	Proposed Response Response Status W
Zimmerman, George Cisco Systems, Inc.,CME Consulting,CommScope,M	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Comment Type T Comment Status D	
Low frequency limit of 100 MHz is much higher than specification of other link segment parameters. Likely too high for echo and seems arbitrary.	Changed sentence at P105 L49 to read "Using the values of k_s and N_k in Table 165-15, the linear fit"
SuggestedRemedy	C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.4 P106 L2 # [1-45
Change 100 MHz to 10 MHz and 4.1 GHz to 4.01 GHz.	Zimmerman, George Cisco Systems, Inc.,CME Consulting,CommScope,M
Proposed Response Response Status W	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
PROPOSED REJECT.	IF the echo response is truncated, it should be truncated to the MAXIMUM of the two delay estimates, not the minimum, and the floor function further minimizes it.
The frequency range is chosen to be far away from band edges. The lower limit does not have to coincide with the lower limit used in IL measurment. It should ideally be much higher to avoid any phase variation due to effects other than latency of the channel. There	SuggestedRemedy change minimum to maximum and floor to ceil in equation 165-33.

change minimum to maximum and floor to ceil in equation 165-33.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The ETM is a measure of the behavior of micro-reflections and not major reflections. Note that the very near-end and very far-end major reflections of a channel measurement are not representative of what is seen in real deployment. Those major reflections are also function of MDI RL which are not included in isolated measurements of a cable harness. As such, these major reflections should ideally be excluded from the calculatio of any metric for micro-reflections. The truncation is intended to eliminate the far-end major reflection and any other potential ones due to double reflection. It is ok if the length estimation is on the low side as we may only lose a small portion of micro-reflections. But it is not ok to over-estimate the length which woud then include the far-end major refelection (and any other potential ones beyond that) in the echo pulse response. No change to the draft needed.

ETM is studied in the context of a large set of channel measurements and there was no

indication of the problem with this frequency range.

No change to the draft needed.

Pa 106 Li 2

Page 28 of 32 1/16/2023 11:05:40 AM

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Toposed Responses		002.00y D0		
C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.4	P106	L 6	# I-28	Cl 165 SC 165.7.1.3.4 P106 L13 # 1-89
arsen, Wayne	CommScope			Jonsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.
Comment Type T Commen	nt Status D			Comment Type E Comment Status D
It is illogical and dangerous to through				It is not clear what k value in REM(k) to use for the ETM(m)
delay. A short link with low IL cable secondary reflections that might be			hight have	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy		gnoroo.		Change "evaluated at Ndiscard_etm" to "evaluated at k=Ndiscard_etm"
Delete the 3rd row of equation 165	-34 and apply the sec	ond row for all	m < n An	Proposed Response Response Status W
alternative would be, increase L(su There are other alternatives.				PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response	e Status W			Cl 165 SC 165.7.1.3.5 P106 L16 # 1-21
PROPOSED REJECT.				Larsen, Wayne CommScope
The ETM is a measure of the beha				Comment Type T Comment Status D
not representative of what is seen function of MDI RL which are not ir As such, these major reflections sh metric for micro-reflections. The tru reflection and any other potential o estimation is on the low side as we is not ok to over-estimate the lengt (and any other potential ones beyo No change to the draft needed.	icluded in isolated me nould ideally be exclud incation is intended to nes due to double refl may only lose a smal h which woud then inc	easurements of ded from the ca eliminate the t lection. It is ok Il portion of mic clude the far-en	a cable harness. Iculatio of any far-end major if the length cro-reflections. But it	 phenomenon, not based on a particular way of measuring it. Also, it would benefit from a graphical illustration of the acceptance criteria like figure 165-35. SuggestedRemedy In 165.7.1.3.5, describe the return loss in energy returned per time interval, and the associated limits. Provide a graphical illustration. The present text can be retained as an example of determining compliance. Proposed Response Response Status W
C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.4	P106	L13	# 1-50	PROPOSED REJECT.
Zimmerman, George	Cisco Systems In		ting,CommScope,M	The proposed change in the comment does not contain sufficient detail so that the task
, 0	nt Status D		ung,commocopo,m	group can understand the specific changes being suggested by the commenter.
"to calculate the associated REM."	The ETM(m) is this R	EM calculated	for…" REM is not a	C/ 165 SC 165.7.1.3.5 P106 L17 # 1-29
single number, it is defined as a fu	nction of an argument	in equation 16	5-29. (REM(k)).	Larsen, Wayne CommScope
The definition for ETM needs to sp that "m" varies the partial response				Comment Type E Comment Status D
SuggestedRemedy				typo in reference, apparently
Replace text after "to calculate" in	step 8 with "to calcula	te each ETM(m	n) using the value of	SuggestedRemedy
g \sub n \sup m as the value of RE Ndiscard_etm."				Change the reference 165.7.3.2 to 165.7.3.3. Also on line 33, change 165.7.1.3.2 to 165.7.1.3.4.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa 106	Page 29 of 32
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	Li 17	1/16/2023 11:05:40 AM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line		

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

165 SC 165.7.1.3.4 P106 L 30 # 1-47	C/ 165 SC 165.7.2.1 P108 L 24 # 1-52
mmerman, George Cisco Systems, Inc.,CME Consulting,CommScope,M	Zimmerman, George Cisco Systems, Inc.,CME Consulting,CommScope,N
omment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
The truncation of the echo response based on delay length is fundamental to the ETM and creates the potential for missing reflections due to mismatch of short segments which can	Lower limit of specification for PSANEXT is impractical and out of step with other parameters
extend the resulting time delay of the echo response relative to the mean-square estimated link segment delay. Additionally, delay dispersion of low frequency echo is assumed to be	SuggestedRemedy
minimized - complicated and enabled by the 100 MHz cutoff on the measurement of IL. All	Change 1 MHz lower limit to 10 MHz
of the issues noted make the ETM less useful and more problematic than it is worth, in this	Proposed Response Response Status W
commenters opinion.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
uggestedRemedy	Changed 4 MUz lower limit to 40 MUz and undeted Figure 465-20
Delete 165.7.1.3.4 and 165.7.1.3.6. Change title of 165.7.1.3.2 to Residual echo metric. Delete last row of Table 165-15 (Ndiscard_etm). Delete PICS LSC4 (P128 L24)	Changed 1 MHz lower limit to 10 MHz and updated Figure 165-38
roposed Response Response Status \mathbf{W}	C/ 165 SC 165.7.2.2 P109 L18 # 1-53
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Zimmerman, George Cisco Systems, Inc.,CME Consulting,CommScope,
	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
165 SC 165.7.1.3.5 P106 L 37 # [-69]	Lower limit of specification for PSAACRF is impractical and out of step with other
nsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.	parameters
omment Type E Comment Status D EZ	SuggestedRemedy
The formatting of equation 165-36 needs improvement	Change 1 MHz lower limit to 10 MHz
IggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status W
The REM_Limit should be left aligned to the curly bracket, for both conditions. The range of	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
m for the upper line should be better separated , so that it is a limit and not part of the formula.	Changed 1 MHz lower limit to 10 MHz and updated Figure 165-39
oposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	C/ 165 SC 165.8.2.1 P109 L 21 # 1-54
FROFUSED ACCEFT.	Zimmerman, George Cisco Systems, Inc.,CME Consulting,CommScope,I
165 SC 165.7.1.3.5 P106 L 41 # I-68	Comment Type T Comment Status D
nsson, Ragnar Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.	Lower limit of specification for MDI return loss is out of step with other parameters
omment Type E Comment Status D	SuggestedRemedy
The statement "REM_Limit is the limit of REM as defined in Equation (165–35)" is	Change 5 MHz lower limit to 10 MHz
confusing, because REM_Limit is not defined in 165-35.	Proposed Response Response Status W
	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
uggestedRemedy Clarify the definition of REM_Limit	Changed 5 MHz lower limit to 10 MHz on page 110 line 21 and undated Figure 165-40
uggestedRemedy	Changed 5 MHz lower limit to 10 MHz on page 110 line 21 and updated Figure 165-40

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **109** Li **21** Page 30 of 32 1/16/2023 11:05:40 AM

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 165 S	C 165.9.2.2	P112	L	# I-100	C/ 165	SC 165.10	P112	L 32	# I-140
Rolfe, Benjamir	ı	Blind Creek As	sociates		Ran, Adee		Cisco Sys	tems, Inc.	
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		
limitation of	electromagr ope of this sta edy	may need to comply with mo netic interference" is using "m andard. Don't need the state	ay" in a state	nent of requirement that	preser measu unit of	tation of the sa red from the in data by the PH	is measured from the input me unit of data by the PHY put of a given unit of data a Y to the 25GMII" be measured separately in	' to the MDI. Rece at the MDI to the pr	ive data delay is resentation of the same
Proposed Resp		Response Status W			expose encodi	ed and the data ng and scramb	presented at the 25GMII is ling operations.	s not easy to identi	fy on the MDI due to the
C/ 165 S	C 165.9.2.2	P112	L 21	# I-101	delays	, but there is no	ne specification is indeed for separate definition; the re	ason is that the su	m _is_ measurable
Rolfe, Benjamir	ı	Blind Creek As	sociates		easily,	either internall	/ or using test equipment, u	using a loopback c	onfiguration.
	nce uses 'mag	Comment Status D y' incorrectly. This could be ' best to delete it.	'can" but reall	v this sentence contains			to _define_ the delays in ea they cannot be measured		not using the word
		best to delete it.			Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRem Delete sent					Chang Proposed		" to "is defined", twice in th Response Status W	e quoted sentence	S.
Proposed Resp PROPOSE	onse D ACCEPT.	Response Status W			•	OSED ACCEP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
C/ 165 S	C 165.9.2.2	P112	L 27	# I-13					
Grow, Robert		RMG Consultir	ıg						
Comment Type In general,		Comment Status D fer to implementations, not in	nplementers.	EZ					
SuggestedRem "and PHY in	<i>edy</i> mplementatic	ons conform"							
Proposed Resp PROPOSE	onse D ACCEPT.	Response Status W							

Pa **112** Li **32**

IEEE P802.3cy D3.0 10G+ Auto Task Force Initial Sponsor ballot comments

	165.10	P112	L 44	# I-141	C/ 165A	SC 165A.1	P	132	L 34	# I-70
Ran, Adee	C	Cisco Systems, In	IC.		Jonsson, R	agnar	Mary	ell Semicondu	uctor, Inc.	
Comment Type	TR Comment Sta	atus D			Comment T	• •	Comment Status			
long RS-FEC I multiple blocks actual delays o This means th	ts specified in Table 165- block size with large over s, required in practice to r of real implementations w e practical round-trip dela his is usually not consider	rhead (RS-FEC(9 mitigate error bur will not be much s ay will be about 1	36,846)!), and sts. Therefore maller than the	the interleaving of it is likely that the e specified maxima.	more th comple Suggested	nan 11m, which exity Re <i>medy</i>	should be removed ir i is not the intention a hould be removed	n Figure 165A- and this would	 Otherwise increase the 	, the cable can be echo canceler
Add to that the	e strong receiver required	d for channels wit	h insertion loss	s exceeding >30 dB	÷.	the text in the	paranthesis with "se	e 165.7"		
at the fundame	ental frequency, with PAM option of such receivers b	M4 modulation an			Proposed F PROP	•	Response Status	W		
	ncy and high power, com nical feasibility combinatio			l market	Remov	ed "at least"				
SuggestedRemedy	'y									
Provide an ove	alysis of expected power. erview of the targeted appency are acceptable for the	plications of 25GI		whether the expected						
· Proposed Respon	se Response Sta	atus W								
PROPOSED F	, REJECT.									
	change in the comment lerstand the specific char									
	costana ine opeoine onai									
group can und	165A.1	P 132	L 30	# 1-57						
group can und	165A.1	-		# I-57 Ilting,CommScope,M						
group can und C/ 165A SC 1 Zimmerman, Geor	165A.1	Cisco Systems, In								
group can und Cl 165A SC 1 Zimmerman, Geor Comment Type The clause 16 confusing in ca		Cisco Systems, In tatus D leed further definit jesting a link long	tion here, and	Ilting,CommScope,M EZ the parenthetical is						
group can und 2/ 165A SC 1 2 Sommerman, Geor 2 Comment Type The clause 16 2 confusing in co 2 connectors an	165A.1 rge C T Comment Sta 55 link segment doesn't no ontext of the figure, sugg- id length are requirement	Cisco Systems, In tatus D leed further definit jesting a link long	tion here, and	Ilting,CommScope,M EZ the parenthetical is						
group can und Cl 165A SC 1 Zimmerman, Geor Comment Type The clause 16 confusing in co connectors an SuggestedRemedy	165A.1 rge C T Comment Sta 55 link segment doesn't no ontext of the figure, sugg- id length are requirement	Cisco Systems, In tatus D leed further definit lesting a link long ts.	tion here, and er than 11m	Ilting,CommScope,M EZ the parenthetical is						

Pa **132** Li **34**