## Approved Responses

IEEE P802.3cy D3.2 10G+ Auto Task Force 2nd Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

C/ FM SC FM P13 L37 # R2-1

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status R

This IMO non-substantive, out-of-scope comment (unchanged text), and the commenter believes this would be appropriate to submit to the publication editor if another recirculation is not otherwise required. This is not the P802.3cz self description in the draft submitted to RevCom.

## SuggestedRemedy

Add "using graded-index glass optical fiber" at end of description.

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D3.1 and D3.2. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

Although the suggested remedy is an improvement to the draft it is an editorial issue that may be addressed by referral to the IEEE SA Editorial staff.

This suggested change will be passed to the IEEE staff editor for consideration during final editing.

Cl 165 SC 165.3.2 P52 L5 # R2-2

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Out of Scope comment on unchanged text. Questionable parentheses "(PHY)". In my opinion, this would be appropriate to submit to the publication editor if an additional recirculation is not otherwise required.

## SuggestedRemedy

Remove parentheses

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment does not apply to the substantive changes between IEEE P802.3cy D3.1 and D3.2. Hence it is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

Although the suggested remedy is an improvement to the draft it is an editorial issue that may be addressed by referral to the IEEE SA Editorial staff.

This suggested change will be passed to the IEEE staff editor for consideration during final editing.