C/ 169 SC 169.5 P108 L22 # 164 C/ 168 SC 168.9.4 P85 L41 # 173 Copperopolis; aff'l w/ CME Consulting Jones, Chad Cisco Systems, Inc. Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ This condition is an event in time, not in location. Table 168-4 allowed to span across pages. This table is not that big that it needs to span pages. Can we force it to stay together? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "Where the MPD PI..." with "When the MPD PI..." change table attributes to disallow spanning pages. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. P43 # 167 C/ 148 SC 148.4.4.6 L44 C/ 168 SC 168.10.2.1 P86 L48 # 174 Jones, Chad Cisco Systems, Inc. Jones, Chad Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ the transition from COMMIT to ABORT, the arrowhead does not touch the boundary of Text is awkwardly spaced, looks like spacing setting is set to "justify" instead of "align left". ABORT Also on page 87, line 5 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy make arrowhead for the transition from COMMIT to ABORT touch the boundary of ABORT. change line spacing attributes to match the surrounding text, i.e. "align left" instead of Proposed Response Response Status W "whole line justify". PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 168 SC 168.6.3 P77 L29 # 171 Jones, Chad Cisco Systems, Inc. C/ 169 SC 169.4 P98 L42 # 179 Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Jones, Chad Cisco Systems, Inc. rouge "0" and "180" floating in the drawing. Delete these. Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy item e), we added react in the last cycle. Reading again it should have been "react to". Delete the "0" and "180" that seem to have no purpose in Fig 168-13 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Add "to" in item e): "To sense, react TO, and recover from..." PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W OBE - see comment 255. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add "to" in item e): "To sense, react to, and recover from..."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 179

Page 1 of 6 6/10/2024 8:38:09 AM

C/ 169 SC 169.4.3 P99 L18 # 180 C/ 169 SC 169.4.10 P107 L46 # 187 Jones, Chad Cisco Systems, Inc. Jones, Chad Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Comment Status D EΖ PI should be MPI. PI should be MPI. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy replace PI with MPI. change PI to MPI Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SC 169.4.6 C/ 169 P105 L34 # 184 C/ 169 SC 169.4.11 P107 L53 # 188 Jones, Chad Jones, Chad Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 need an "if" before "short circuit detected is true" but I think this is better as a bulletized two errors on this line. An extra "it" after MPSE that isn't needed. V_{Discovery} looks like a cut paste error where _{Discovery} should be subscript without the curly brackets or underscore. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy either add to "...if overload detected is TRUE, IF short circuit detected is TRUE, or if..." delete "it" after MPSE and make V_{Discovery} match Table 169-3 item 2 OR bulletize: Proposed Response Response Status W full operating voltage shall be removed from the TCI for any of the following reasons: PROPOSED ACCEPT. *in the absence of the MPD MPS *if overload detected is TRUE *if short circuit detected is TRUE C/ 169 SC 169.4.6 P105 L36 # 185 *if commanded to do so by a management entity. Jones, Chad Cisco Systems. Inc. Proposed Response Response Status W F7 Comment Type E Comment Status D PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. three things on this line: Change to read: DISCOVERY LOW again full operating voltage shall be removed from the TCI for any of the following reasons: need "the" before discovery event current *in the absence of the MPD MPS and need a comma after discovery event current *if overload detected is TRUE SuggestedRemedy *if short circuit detected is TRUE *if commanded to do so by a management entity. change to: "entrance of a DISCOVERY LOWx state and measurement of the discovery

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

event current. IDiscovery."

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

C/ 169 SC 169.5 P108 L22 # 189 C/ 1 SC 1.4.405a P21 L19 # 207 Jones, Chad Cisco Systems, Inc. CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Marvell, OnSem Zimmerman, George Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ "Where the MPD PI is not exposed" either this is MPD MPI (which we just said two words Multidrop Powered Device and Multidrop Powe Sourcing Equipment definitions need to be earlier) or this is MPI. I'd suggested the latter. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change MPD PI to MPI Change format so the words defined in 1.4.405a and 1.4.405b are bold. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 169 SC 169.5.4 P116 **L1** # 192 CI 79 SC 79.3.9.3 P36 L19 # 210 CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Marvell, OnSem Jones, Chad Cisco Systems, Inc. Zimmerman, George Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ three things here: Editing instruction is imprecise. The new section will not be right after 79.3.8, but rather, need a comma after TRUE in the first paragraph. after the last subsection in 79.3.8, which is 79.3.8.3 Need a comma after FALSE in the second paragraph. SuggestedRemedy Two periods at the end of the second paragraph. Change 79.3.8 to 79.3.8.3 in editing instruction. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W add comma after TRUE on line 1. add comma after FALSE on line 4. delete extra period at PROPOSED ACCEPT. end of line 5. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 169 SC 169.3 P98 L19 # 230 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Marvell, OnSem SC FM P9 C/ FM **L1** # 204 Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ The top row of Table 169-1 got messed up. The word contact should not be there, and Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Marvell, OnSem System type should not be bold. Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 SuggestedRemedy Stds board secretary is now Alpesh Shah Delete header "Contact" in first column (leaving header blank), Make first body row SuggestedRemedy (System type) not bold. Change Konstantinos Karachalios to Alpesh Shah Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 230 Page 3 of 6 6/10/2024 8:38:10 AM

C/ 169 SC 169.4.3 P99 # 231 C/ 169 SC 169.5.3.6 P115 L17 # 235 L31 CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Marvell, OnSem CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Marvell, OnSem Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E EΖ Figure 169-2 text is in the wrong font - should be sans-serif like other figures (Arial or Nomenclature in state diagrams is "=" for an equality condition, not "==" similar - editor to check) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace == with = on output branches from PON EVAL and PON LOAD ON in Figure Change fonts in figure 169-2 to align with Figure 169-1. 169-8 (8 instances) Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 169 SC 169.5.3.2 P109 L47 # 232 C/ 169 SC 169.5.3.6 P115 L17 # 236 CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Marvell, OnSem CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Marvell, OnSem Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type T Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ I believe V_MPD is a variable, not a constant. Vtype1 th should be have type1 th in subscript SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete V MPD and definition at P109 L47-48 Change Vtype1 th to V type1 th (subscript type1 th) on output branches of PON EVAL and PON LOAD ON (6 instances) Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 169 SC 169.5.3.3 P110 L42 # 234 C/ 169 SC 169.5.5 P117 L25 # 238 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Marvell, OnSem Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Marvell, OnSem Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type E V_Mark_th, V_Off_MPD, V_Reset_th, V_type0_th, and V_type1_th are constants, they are listed in the constant section. They can't also be variables. V TYPE0 TH and V TYPE1 TH have case inconsistent with variables in state diagrams SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete V_Mark_th, V_Off_MPD, V_Reset_th, V_type0_th, and V_type1_th from the Make V TYPE0 TH and V TYPE1 TH V type0 th and V type1 th as in state diagrams variables section (along with their descriptions - (P110 L42 through P111 L5, except P110 Proposed Response Response Status W L49-51 (V MPD)...)

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

C/ 169 SC 169.5.5 P117 # 239 C/ 168 SC 168.9.2 P85 L23 # 259 L39 CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Marvell, OnSem **Rockwell Automation** Zimmerman, George Brandt, David Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D EΖ I MPD DISABLED has case inconsistent with other values Missing word. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change I MPD DISABLED to I MPD Disabled in Table 169-8 and 169.5.5.1 (P118 L2) Change "determined Equation" to "determined using Equation". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P8 L12 C/ 148 SC 148.4.7.5 P**50** L2 # 245 # 262 CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Marvell, OnSem Zimmerman, George Baggett, Tim Microchip Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 "Task Force name" should not be in Chair's title... Transition into DISABLED state has mispelled variable "ldplca en" in second term. Term should refer to variable "dplca en" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete "Task Force name" on P8 L12 from chair's title Change "plca reset +!Idplca en +!plca en" Proposed Response Response Status W to "plca reset + !dplca en + !plca en" PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. SC 168.2 C/ 168 P56 L12 # 254 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation C/ 148 SC 148.4.7.5 P50 L29 # 263 Comment Type E Comment Status D EΖ Baggett, Tim Microchip Units should have space. Comment Status D ΕZ Comment Type Ε SuggestedRemedy Second entry in LEARNING state refers to DPLCA AGING in caps. This is a variable (defined in 148.4.7.2 P47L52) and not a constant. As such it should be in lower case letters. Change "50m" to "50 m". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change "DPLCA_AGING" to "dplca_aging" PROPOSED ACCEPT. (note to editor - nonbreaking space) Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 168 P**77** L32 SC 168.6.3 # 255 Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 Figure 168-13, 180 is misplaced from the BALUN. SugaestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Move "180" to just below "0".

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

Comment ID 263

Page 5 of 6 6/10/2024 8:38:10 AM

EΖ

C/ 168 SC 168.2 P56 L9 # 266 Baggett, Tim Microchip ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D

Sentence incorrectly refers to the "10BASE-T1S PHY defined in Clause 148". Clause 148 is PLCA. The 10BASE-T1S reference should be to Clause 147.

When corrected, the sentence will still be awkwardly repetitive referring to the "10BASE-T1S PHY defined in Clause 147 when the Clause 147 PHY is running half-duplex in multidrop mode". Delete repetition.

Finally, "operation ON the" is awkwards and should probably be "operation OF the".

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "The 10BASE-T1M PHY builds on the operation on the 10BASE-T1S PHY defined in Clause 148 when the Clause 147 PHY is running half-duplex in multidrop mode."

To: "The 10BASE-T1M PHY builds on the operation of the 10BASE-T1S PHY defined in Clause 147 when running half-duplex in multidrop mode."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (align with usage of half duplex)

Change: "The 10BASE-T1M PHY builds on the operation on the 10BASE-T1S PHY defined in Clause 148 when the Clause 147 PHY is running half-duplex in multidrop mode."

To: "The 10BASE-T1M PHY builds on the operation of the 10BASE-T1S PHY defined in Clause 147 when running half duplex in multidrop mode."

C/ 168 SC 168.12.4.7 # 271 P94 L27

Schreiner, Stephan Rosenberger Hochfrequenztechnik

Comment Type T Comment Status D Item TCI1 Feature says without PMA loading. This is in contradiction to e.g. 168.9.1 " PMA

loads specified for the TCI are to be connected if the DTE is electrically disconnected from the TCI.". Similiar for TCI3

SuggestedRemedy

Remove TCI1 and TCI3 from table

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID