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## Introduction

This presentation has 2 goals

1. Define a rule set to help judge trade-offs when adjusting the delivered power in Clause 169

Start with a simple rule set for system builders
Define interactions and limits on choices such as output power, node count, channel resistance
Define limits of voltage regions in the state machine so the system can be implemented with lowest possible complexity
2. Recompute delivered power for Type 0 and Type 1 systems

Market is asking for $24 \mathrm{~V}+/-10 \%$ Type 0 supply (currently 26V - 30V)
Change channel resistance to achieve objectives with new supply
Reallocate power on Type 1 system based on new channel resistance

## Network

 Construction Rules
## Network Construction Rules

How are unit load rules applied to network construction?

1. Power load on a mixing segment may not exceed 16 Units
2. Each unpowered node counts as 1 U until the limit of 16 U has been reached on the mixing segment.
3. After 16 units of load** been reached, no more powered nodes may be added. Unpowered nodes may be added, but the mixing segment length must be reduced by 1.5 meters per non-powered node*.

- *Based on tconn max of 0.1几 @ 20C and 20AWG cable. See tables for derating with other AWG

- **Power is limited to 16U by power coupling inductance allocation


## Max Power per Node Algorithm

I. Given a mixing segment resistance
I. e.g. 50 meter 20 gauge at 65 C
II. Given a T-connector resistance
I. e.g. $100 \mathrm{~m} \Omega$ between TC1 and TC2
III. Given a number of powered nodes
I. e.g. 16
II. Caped by mixing segment power coupling inductance allocation / droop spec
IV. Given a minimum MPD input voltage
I. Type $=32 \mathrm{~V}$, Type $=16 \mathrm{~V}$
II. Maintain voltage gap between operating regions: Type1/ TypeO, Type0 / Discovery_Low
V. Pick a worst case network configuration
I. Distance between nodes
I. e.g. $20 \mathrm{~cm}\left(\sim 8^{\prime \prime}\right)$
VI. Place nodes at the end of the mixing segment with specified separation
I. Last node is placed at 50 meter mark
VII. Maximize node power while keeping last node voltage above minimum MPD input voltage
VIII. PPSE should not be above 90W
VIII. Allow inaccuracy in PPSE measurements between 90 W and 100 W
IX. Mixing segment rules should be the same for Type 0 and Type 1 to avoid market confusion

Recalculate System Power Delivery

## Industrial Use Case : Vmpse,min $=21.6 \mathrm{~V}$ w/ different gauge

Equivalent distance to 50m, 20g @ 65C



Resistance of 50m cable @ temperature

| temp | : 65.00 | 40.00 | 25.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18awg | 2.47 | 2.26 | 2.13 |
| 20awg | 3.93 | 3.60 | 3.40 |
| 22awg | 6.96 | 6.37 | 6.02 |
| 23awg | : 8.78 | 8.04 | 7.59 |
| 24awg | 11.07 | 10.13 | 9.57 |



## Type 0 Power Delivery

- Based around $24 \mathrm{~V}(+/-10 \%)$ supply
- Vmpse_max $=26.4 \mathrm{~V}$
- Vmpse_min = 21.6V
- Choose 20AWG Cable (50m @ 65C)~4
- Clump 16 nodes at the end of the mixing segment
- Last node must stay above 16V
- Deliver at least 1W per MPD

| Option | Vpse | Ppse | Pmpd | Ploss | Ipse | Rchan | Vlast rconn sep pnode |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 21.600 | -20.456 | 16.000 | -4.456 | -0.947 | 7.129 | 16.354 | 0.2 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 2 | 21.600 | -19.786 | 16.000 | -3.786 | -0.916 | 5.529 | 17.222 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 1 |
| 3 | 21.600 | -20.698 | 16.000 | -4.698 | -0.958 | 7.129 | 16.188 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 1 |



- All options work well
- We can deliver 16 MPDs 1 W each @ 50 meters


## Type 0 Unit loads - Maximize Power

| Nodes $\times$ Load | Vpse | Ppse | Pmpd | Ploss | Ipse | Rchan | Vlast | Ilast nodes pnode |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $16 \times 1 u$ | 21.600 | -25.089 | 19.200 | -5.889 | -1.162 | 5.529 | 16.174 | 0.074 | 16 | 1.2 |
| $8 \times 2 u$ | 21.600 | -24.627 | 19.200 | -5.427 | -1.140 | 4.729 | 16.667 | 0.144 | 8 | 2.4 |
| $4 \times 4 u$ | 21.600 | -24.420 | 19.200 | -5.220 | -1.131 | 4.329 | 16.901 | 0.284 | 4 | 4.8 |
| $2 \times 8 u$ | 21.600 | -24.329 | 19.200 | -5.129 | -1.126 | 4.129 | 17.014 | 0.564 | 2 | 9.6 |
| $1 \times 16 u$ | 21.600 | -24.265 | 19.200 | -5.065 | -1.123 | 4.014 | 17.091 | 1.123 | 1 | 19.2 |




## Optimizing Type 1 Power Delivery

| Option | Vpse | Ppse | Pmpd | Ploss | Ipse | Rchan | Vlast | rconn sep | pnode |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 45.000 | -79.491 | 64.000 | -15.491 | -1.766 | 7.129 | 35.221 | 0.20 .20 | 4 |
| 2 | 45.000 | -77.317 | 64.000 | -13.317 | -1.718 | 5.529 | 36.790 | 0.10 .01 | 4 |
| 3 | 45.000 | -93.422 | 72.000 | $-21.422$ | $-2.076$ | 7.129 | 33.496 | 0.20 .20 | 4.5 |
| 4 | 45.000 | $-89.104$ | 72.000 | -17.104 | -1.980 | 5.529 | 35.754 | 0.10 .20 | 4.5 |
|  | 45.000 | -94.639 | 72.000 | $-22.639$ | -2.103 | 7.129 | 33.118 | 0.20 .01 | 4.5 |
| 6 | 45.000 | -90.082 | 72.000 | -18.082 | -2.002 | 5.529 | 35.432 | 0.10 .01 | 4.5 |

囚 Options 1 and 2 are only delivering 64 W total
囚 Options 3 and 5 deliver 72 W , nominal PSE output is $>90 \mathrm{~W}$
$\checkmark$ Options 4 and 6 deliver 72W with PSE output <= 90W
Requires $<=100 \mathrm{~m} \Omega$ connector resistance per node


## Type 1 Unit loads - Maximize Power

| Nodes | X | Load | Vpse | Ppse | Pmpd | Ploss | Ipse | Rchan | Vlast | Ilast nodes pnode |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | X | 1u | 45.000 | -89.104 | 72.000 | -17.104 | -1.980 | 5.529 | 35.754 | 0.126 | 16 | 4.5 |
| 8 | X | 2u | 45.000 | -87.944 | 72.000 | -15.944 | -1.954 | 4.729 | 36.545 | 0.246 | 8 | 9.0 |
| 4 | X | 4 u | 45.000 | -87.409 | 72.000 | -15.409 | -1.942 | 4.329 | 36.927 | 0.487 | 4 | 18 |
| 2 | X | 8 u | 45.000 | -87.170 | 72.000 | -15.170 | -1.937 | 4.129 | 37.113 | 0.970 | 2 | 36 |
| 1 | X | 16u | 45.000 | -87.003 | 72.000 | -15.003 | -1.933 | 4.014 | 37.240 | 1.933 | 1 | 72 |




## Maximized Power - Effect on Power Coupling Magnetics

## Type 0 (24V )

Previously suggested magnetic sizes

| Unit Size | Power (W) | IMPD (mA) | Size |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 63 | $3.2 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ |  |
| 2 | 2 | 125 | $3.2 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ |  |
| 4 | 4 | 250 | $3.2 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ | $\square$ |
| 8 | 8 | 500 | $4.5 \times 3.2 \times 2.5$ |  |
| 16 | 16 | 1000 | $7 \times 6 \times 3.5$ |  |
| MPSE | 23 | 1000 | $12 \times 12 \times 10.5$ |  |

New magnetic size estimates

| Unit Size | Power (W) | IMPD (mA) | Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1.2 | 74 | $3.2 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ |
| 2 | 2.4 | 144 | $3.2 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ |
| 4 | 4.8 | 284 | $3.2 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ |
| 8 | 9.6 | 564 | $4.5 \times 3.2 \times 2.5$ |
| 16 | 19.2 | 1123 | $7 \times 6 \times 3.5$ |
| MPSE | 25.9 | 1200 | $12 \times 12 \times 10.5$ |

## Maximized Power - Effect on Power Coupling Magnetics <br> Type 1 (48V)

Previously suggested magnetic sizes

| Unit Size | Power (W) | IMPD (mA) | Size |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 59 | $3.2 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ |  |
| 2 | 4 | 118 | $3.2 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ |  |
| 4 | 8 | 235 | $3.2 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ | $\square$ |
| 8 | 16 | 471 | $3.2 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ |  |
| 16 | 32 | 941 | $7 \times 6 \times 3.5$ |  |
| MPSE | 45 | 1000 | $12 \times 12 \times 10.5$ |  |

New magnetic size estimates

| Unit Size | Power (W) | IMPD (mA) | Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4.5 | 126 | $3.2 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ |
| 2 | 9.0 | 246 | $3.2 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ |
| 4 | 18 | 487 | $4.5 \times 3.2 \times 2.5$ |
| 8 | 36 | 970 | $7 \times 7 \times 7$ |
| 16 | 72 | 1933 | $7 \times 7 \times 7$ |
| MPSE | 90 | 2000 | $15 \times 15 \times 15$ |

## Large Changes in Type 1 Magnetic Sizes

 ANALOGDEVICES

## Potential System Type Power Modification

Adjust these headline numbers, ripple changes through Clause 169
This summarizes full extent of possible changes
Need to consider power coupling magnetics and maximum T-connector resistance before adoption
Table 169-1-System power types

|  | 30V Max MPSE | 50 V Max MPSE | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System type | 0 | 1 |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {MPSE(max) }}$ | $30-26.4$ | 50 | V |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {MPSE(min) }}$ | 26-21.6 | 45 | V |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{MPD}(\mathrm{min})}$ | 16 | 34 | V |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\text {MPSE(min) }}$ | 10001200 | 10002000 | mA |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {MPSE(min) }}$ | 26-25.9 | -45-90 | W |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {MPD_1U(max) }}$ | 1-1.2 | 24.5 | W |

## Proposed System Type Power Modification Comment 107

Adjust these headline numbers, ripple changes through Clause 169
This summarizes changes that can me made right now, along with text that changes the channel resistance
Table 169-1—System power types

|  | 24V Nom. <br> 30V Max <br> MPSE | 48V Nom. <br> M0V Max <br> MPSE | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System type | 0 | 1 |  |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\text {MPSE(max) }}$ | $-30-26.4$ | 50 | V |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\text {MPSE }(\min )}$ | $-26-21.6$ | 45 | V |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\text {MPD }(\min )}$ | 16 | 34 | V |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\text {MPSE(min) }}$ | 1000 | 1000 | mA |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {MPSE }(\min )}$ | $26-21.6$ | 45 | W |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {MPD_1U(max) }}$ | 1 | 2 | W |

## Changes to 169.2 - Comment 106

## Old Text:

169.2 Mixing segment

The dc loop resistance of the mixing segment shall be $12 \Omega$ or less, measured from edge termination to edge termination

## New Proposal:

169.2 Mixing segment

The mixing segment consists of cable, nodes(TCls), and terminations (see Figure 169-1).

1000 terminations are connected at the ends of the mixing segment and must be AC coupled. The maximum dc loop resistance of the mixing segment cable, not including nodes, shall be $4 \Omega$.
The mixing segment supports up to 17 in-line nodes, consisting of 1 MPSE and up to 16 MPDs or DTEs.

Each node may add a maximum of $200 \mathrm{~m} \Omega$ to the mixing segment loop resistance.

