C/ FM SC FM P1 L 26 # [43] Grow, Robert Robert M Grow Consulting Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Don't forget to update copyright year here and next page, and in the footer when producing the next draft Don't say that a clause "adds" something, the text dates with the state of the standard in the past. Say it includes description, and as in the middle sentence quoted here. SuggestedRemedy Update framemaker variable and inspect front pages to update copyright year as necessary. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT.	s or specifies, as elsewhere in this er specifications. Clause 153 and
Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Don't forget to update copyright year here and next page, and in the footer when producing the next draft Don't say that a clause "adds" something, the text dates with the state of the standard in the past. Say it includes description, and as in the middle sentence quoted here. SuggestedRemedy Update framemaker variable and inspect front pages to update copyright year as necessary. Comment Type T Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status W Clause 150 and Clause 151 add 400 Gb/s Physical Laye Clause 154 specify 100 Gb/s operation over DWDM cha 160 add 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s bidirectional Phy to	and the reader isn't concerned s or specifies, as elsewhere in this er specifications. Clause 153 and
Don't forget to update copyright year here and next page, and in the footer when producing the next draft SuggestedRemedy Update framemaker variable and inspect front pages to update copyright year as necessary. Droposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Don't say that a clause "adds" something, the text dates with the state of the standard in the past. Say it includes description, and as in the middle sentence quoted here. SuggestedRemedy Change: Clause 150 and Clause 151 add 400 Gb/s Physical Laye Clause 154 specify 100 Gb/s operation over DWDM cha 160 add 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s bidirectional Phy to	and the reader isn't concerned s or specifies, as elsewhere in this er specifications. Clause 153 and
the next draft SuggestedRemedy Update framemaker variable and inspect front pages to update copyright year as necessary. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. W with the state of the standard in the past. Say it includes description, and as in the middle sentence quoted here. SuggestedRemedy Change: Clause 150 and Clause 151 add 400 Gb/s Physical Laye Clause 154 specify 100 Gb/s operation over DWDM cha 160 add 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s bidirectional Phy to	s or specifies, as elsewhere in this er specifications. Clause 153 and
SuggesteaRemedy Update framemaker variable and inspect front pages to update copyright year as necessary. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change: Clause 150 and Clause 151 add 400 Gb/s Physical Laye Clause 154 specify 100 Gb/s operation over DWDM cha 160 add 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s bidirectional Phy to	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Response Response Clause 151 add 400 Gb/s Physical Layer 160 add 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s bidirectional Phy to	
Proposed Response Response Status W Clause 150 and Clause 151 add 400 Gb/s Physical Layer Clause 154 specify 100 Gb/s operation over DWDM cha 160 add 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s bidirectional Phy to	
PROPOSED ACCEPT. Clause 154 specify 100 Gb/s operation over DWDM cha 160 add 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s bidirectional Phy to	
C/FM SC FM P3 L 19 # I-96 Clause 150 and Clause 151 include additional 400 Gb/s	Physical Layer specifications.
Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Clause 153 and Clause 154 specify 100 Gb/s operation	
Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket specifications.	b/s bidirectional Physical Layer
This heading "Notice and Disclaimer of Liability Concerning the Use of IEEE Standards Proposed Response Response Status W	
SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
Take out the gratuitous capitals, ask staff to fix the template	
Proposed Response Response Status W	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	

While considering this comment, it was noted that the current draft frontmatter is not aligned to the current template. Update the draft frontmatter to the current template.

C/ FM SC FM

C/00 SC 0	P	L	# I-19	C/ 00	SC 0		Р		L	# I-33
Ran, Adee	Cisco Syste	ems, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisco	o Systems,	Inc.	
Comment Type TF				Comment	Туре Е	(Comment Status	D		bucke
*** Comment sub	omitted with the file ran_3dc_01_	0122.pdf attache	d ***	URLs	n the draft	have vari	ous font styles, s	ometimes i	n adjacent line	es.
	le instances of the terms "signal ses (PMD transmit and receive fu						of URLs is blue ι this format.	Inderlined te	ext. Places wh	ich are in different
In all cases, these	e terms refer to continuously mo	dulated electrical	or optical signals. But in	Suggestea	Remedy					
communication pa	parlance, "stream" typically denot , blocks.). The appropriate term f	tes a series of dis	crete entities (bits,	P182 I P206 I P217 I	.54 .1 .48	line form	at to the URLs in	the followin	g locations:	
	of the PMD Transmit function su subclauses, probably due to an			P241 L P242 L P1587	.54					
	f "signal stream" are in AUI-C2M ither "clean signal" or "clean patt		ould be changed to	P1638 P1643 P2665	L11					
	be corrected for clarity and consist nave been inherited by multiple p			P2997 P4713 P4900	L53 L31					
uggestedRemedy				P5514 P5518						
	ng presentation ran_3dc_01_012 reams" and the proposed change			P6279 P6280	L54 L50, 51, 52	2, 53, 54				
roposed Response	Response Status W			P6398 P6584						
PROPOSED ACC	CEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			P6965	L54					
	nanges described in <https: www<br="">01_0122.pdf> slides 5 and 6 with</https:>			P6966 P6967 P6976	L54					
(page 6847, line 1 "Bounded uncorre	age 6657, line 51), 83E.3.4.1.1 (10) change: related jitter provides a source of the signal stream."		<i></i>	Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACC		Response Status	W		
	related jitter provides a source of the signal."	bounded high pro	obability jitter							
"The stressed sig uncorrelated jitter	page 6846, line 26) and 120E.3.4 gnal is generated by adding sinus r to a clean pattern."									
	gnal is generated by adding sinus r to a clean signal."	soidal jitter, rando	om jitter, and bounded							
VDE: TR/technical r	required ER/editorial required G	R/general require	ad T/technical E/editorial (2/general				C/ 00		Page 2 of 37

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 00 SC 0

CI 00	SC 0	Р	L	# <u>I-3</u>	C/ 00	SC 0	Р	L	# I-4			
Berger,	Catherine	Editorial Co	ordination		Berger, C	atherine	Editorial Coo	rdination				
Inter subo as " body Any	Interspersed normative and informative text is not allowed. As such, neither clauses nor subclauses shall be labeled as informative. Currently you have many subclauses labeled as "(informative)". These labels will need to be removed and all subclauses with the main body of the text will be considered normative as per their placement in the document. Anything that really needs to be informative only should be set in a note or appear in an annex to the document.					Comment Type G Comment Status D Have you looked at the list of Normative References recently. It is a fairly exten Does a user of IEEE Std 802.3 really need to have all those documents on han to implement this standard? SuggestedRemedy						
Ren infor infor Propose	nove "informative" rmative and you d	labels in the main text of the on't want it to be included as E or move to an annex <i>Response Status</i> W T IN PRINCIPLE.			PROI IEEE forma opera	Std 802.3 inclu at frames for a	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. udes physical layer specification number of industry applications medium, are defined for each a	. Multiple media	, and data rates of			
infor	rmative content to	content from the normative p a NOTE or annex as needed f the proposed changes will b	1.		of ap for th etc. A	olications, med e medium and any given user	references is the collection of i ia, and rates. The references do medium-dependent interface, n of IEEE Std 802.3 is unlikely to to have all of the documents at	efine applicatior nethods for para implement the o	n-specific requirements ameter measurement, entirety of the standard			

clause(s) of interest.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 00 SC 0

able to determine which documents are required based on the references cited in the

Note that the normative references are being updated in response to other comments.

Page 3 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:27 PM

C/ 1	SC 1.3	P 178	L 43	# 1-89	C/ 1	SC 1.3	P 181	L 18	# I-103
Maytum, I	Michael	None-Retired			Dawe, Pier	s J G	NVIDIA		
Comment The IE refere implet norma retriev It is re draft f and re Follow Feder SELV based 60364 Suggested Remo	Type GR EEE SA Standa ences are those ment the standa ative references vable equired to meet fails the IEEE Sp etrievable. wing the IEC 64/ ration, Spain and and PELV volta d on temperature 4-7-716. You can dRemedy	Comment Status D and Style Manual requires that in documents that contain material and. Further, reference to unpubli for compliance as long as they a the SELV requirements in IEC 6 A Standards Style Manual requir /2413/CDV Brazil, France, Germ d United Kingdom all cast negati ages will be aligned and it appea e rise and not current value. The nnot test for compliance if the do	that must be shed drafts m are; dated, rea 0364-7-716:20 ements of dat any, Norway, ve votes. Con rs wire curren IEC, ANSI W cument isn't a	understood and used to aay be used as adily available and 0XX, yet the current ted, readily available Portugal, Russian nment results were that t capability will be 'ebstores do not list IEC available.	Comment T IEC 61: 68-6, T 100GB charact 200GB 200GB SR4, in dated e improve Suggested As ther the 200 Proposed F	Type T 280-1-4 is refi est-pattern de ASE-SR10 able ASE-SR4, 40 ASE-SR4.2] a ASE-VR2, 40 a draft]. None entries, for the ement on 200 Remedy e is no guidar 03 entry.	Comment Status D erred from Table 68-3, 10GBAS efinitions and related subclause otical transmit characteristics, T e 138-8, Transmit characteristic OGBASE-SR8] and Table 150- and Table 167-7, Transmit chara OGBASE-VR4, 100GBASE-SR of these mentions is dated. In e 2003 and 2009 editions. 2009 3.	es, Table 86-6, 4 Table 95-6, 100G cs [for 50GBASE -7, Transmit char racteristics [for 1 81, 200GBASE-S the normative r 9 is current, and s	0GBASE-SR4 or BASE-SR4 transmit E-SR, 100GBASE-SR2, racteristics [for 00GBASE-VR1, BR2, and 400GBASE- eferences there are two says it is an
PROF Since the fo	IEC 60364-7-7 Ilowing changes ove IEC 60364-7	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE. 16 may not be published by the t s with editorial license. 7-716:20XX from the list of norma o IEC 60364-7-716:20XX in 33.7	ative reference	e.					
		2), 145.6.1 and 145.7.3.8 (item P		(item PSEEST), 104.8,					
C/ 1	SC 1.3	P 179	L 21	# <u>I-</u> 104					
Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA							
Comment IEC 6		Comment Status D 7 (chromatic dispersion) is withdr	awn	references					
Suggested Chang	<i>dRemedy</i> ge 2007 to 2013	3							
	Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W							

C/ 1 SC 1.3

C/ 1 SC 1.3 P 181 L 53 # 1	22	C/ 1	SC 1.4		P		1	# 1-90	
Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.	52	Maytum, N			r None-I	Potirod	L	# 1-90	
Comment Type G Comment Status D	references	Comment			Comment Status				elv
There is no document in the URL in footnote 12. The footnote mentions a draft "At the time IEEE Std 802.3-2015 was published",		The IE	EE Standa	ards Dict	tionary Online only on People need to fin	defines the			CIV
irrelevant for this revision.		Suggested	Remedy						
IEC 61076-3-113 is a reference in two places, 54.8.1 MDI connectors and 85.11 40GBASE-CR4 MDI connectors. I think the specification is equivalent to SFF-84 which a document is openly available at https://members.snia.org/document/dl/2	70, for	existing extra lo	g IEC defir ow voltage	nitions a (ELV)	nitions should be add re: aplying with the follow		-	-	
SuggestedRemedy					.s. a.c. or 70 V d.c.;		n normai co	nullons	
Delete footnote 12.					ous low voltage by a		sic insulatio	n.	
Consider replacing the reference to IEC 61076-3-113 with a reference to SFF-84 adding a note that the two are equivalent.	170, or	Non-pr		uits com	plying with ELV limi				
Proposed Response Response Status W					hazardous low volta ion for an earth con		inforced/dol	ible insulation;	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.									
The status of IEC 61076-3-113, Ed. 1.0 has been "Deleted item/Abandoned" sin September 2006 per <https: f?p="103:38:606235050298791::::FSP_ORG_ID,FSP_A<br" ords="" www.iec.ch="">E,FSP_PROJECT_ID:1373,20,13062>.</https:>		Non-pr * shall * may l	rimary circu be separat	uits com ited from ted to fu	ige (PELV) iplying with ELV limi i hazardous low volta inctional earth, the p	age by rei	inforced/dou		ion
Remove IEC 61076-3-113, Ed. 1.0 from the list of normative references in 1.3 (in footnote 12).	nciuding		nal extra lo rimarv circu		ge (FELV) plying with ELV limi	ts and the	e followina p	rovisions:	
		* sepai	rated from	hazardo	ous low voltage by a	t least ba	sic insulatio	n.	
Add following normative reference to 1.3. "SFF-8470, Rev 3.3, April 3, 2006, Specification for Shielded High Speed Serial	Multilane		be connec [:] earth conn		inctional earth, the p	protective	(earth) cond	luctor, or have provisi	ion
Copper Connector".		Note 1		ELV do	es not fulfil the reinf	orced/dou	uble insulatio	on safety requirement	ts
Replace references to "IEC 61076-3-113" with "SFF-8470" in the following locati editorial license): 54.8.1 (2 instances), 54.10.4.5 (item CA10), 54.10.4.6 (item MI		Proposed I	Response		Response Status	w			
85.11.1.2 (2 instances), 85.13.4.5 (item CA14), and 85.13.4.6 (item MDC2).	,	PROP	OSED RE.	JECT.					
		the one one ins to IEC	e instance stance of th 60950-1 to	of "PEL` he "SEL` o define	V" from the draft. Th V" from the draft. Th	ne respon ne respon nstance o	ise to comm ise to comm of "SELV". A	o comment I-62 remo ent I-89 removes all t ent I-74 adds a refere s a result, there is no draft.	but ence

C/ 1 SC 1.4

C/ 1	SC 1.4.298	P 208	L 8	# <u>I-98</u>	C/ 3	SC	3.4	P 244	L 53	# <mark>1</mark> -75	
Dawe, Pi	ers J G	NVIDIA			Thomps	on, Geof	frey	GraCaSI S.A.			
Comment	t Type TR	Comment Status D			Commer	nt Type	ER	Comment Status D			bucke
to a r chan single It is ir	eceiving DWDM nel is from TP2 to e-mode fiber pato mportant not to n	annel: The transmission path PHY (TP3)" yet 1.4.216, blac o TP3, and Clause 154 makes ch cord (TP2), between 2 m an nislead test engineers in a def	k link approach, s clear that TP2 nd 5 m in length,	implies that the DWDM s the output end of a not at the MDI.	Ethe oper of se	erType ba ation is f	ased fram ully legitin 2.1 standa	enced footnote seems like a h es were "outside" the scope o nate within the standard and is ards it is time to elevate the no	f the standard. s, in fact, funda	Now that Type ba mental to the ope	ration
	•	g on all optical PMD types.			Suggest	edReme	dy				
	dRemedy				Dele	ete the fo	otnote "31	1" designation in line 16 and a	djust the value	of subsequent for	tnote
DWD	M PHY (TP3)" to	ssion path from a transmitting o "The transmission path from				•	according				
	'3 at a receiving <i>Response</i>	DWDM PHY." Response Status W			fram	ies may l	be ignored	nd replace it with the following d, discarded, or used in a priva or MAC control is beyond the	ate manner. The	e use of such fran	ies by
PRO	POSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.			Propose			,	300pc 01 1113 31		
	ge the definition				,	,	ACCEPT	Response Status W			
	transmission pat	th from TP2 associated with a /."	transmitting DW	DM PHY, to TP3 at a	C/ 6	SC	6.4	P13	L 16	# 1-22	
CI 3	SC 3.2.6	P 242	L 16	# I-76	Fieldser	id, Andre	W	None - Self-fu	nded		
Thompso	on, Geoffrey	GraCaSI S.A			Commer	nt Type	G	Comment Status D			bucke
	• •	Comment Status D ith another comment, I notice	d a prominent "c	<i>bucket</i> hallenge" in a different	depı defir	ecated n	nethods d section 3	ne relevant definitions) indications indications in recons (ue to improvements in recons (3.1) of both pulverise and shrees" (in fact, pulverise allows fo	truction technol d do not specif	ogy. However, the y the size of the	e
The s straig	electability of lin	e 16 forward on page 242 of t er. You sort only select from t			why disir	these monthese monthese monthese monthese monthese the second sec	ethods are on line 10.	e inappropriate as their definiti . (The definition of disintegrate nent parts to which the device	ons also fit the in section 3.1	definition of also does not spe	
		doesn't work.			Suggest	edReme	dy				
00	edRemedy all text in the dra	aft selectable.						t a proposed change as the co sing this issue for clarification.		fect other areas o	f the
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W			Propose	d Respo	nse	Response Status W			
PRO	POSED REJECT	г.			PRC	POSED	REJECT	•			
them. straig	. The text (includ	n unable to reproduce the prol ing the referenced section) ap er. The observed behavior ma e draft. It is unclear what corre	pears to be sele y be specific to t	ctable in a he tool used by the	not	defined ir	n 3.1. The	to be a comment on IEEE P80 phrase "small particles" is no do not correspond to a valid l	t used in the dra	aft. The subclause	
	ango to the drof		,	<i>,</i> ,	No c	hange to	the draft				

No change to the draft.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 6 SC 6.4 Page 6 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:27 PM

C/ 6 SC 6.4	P 13	L 18	# 1-23		CI 28	SC 28.2.3.	4 7	P 947	L 28	# 1-2	
Fieldsend, Andrew	r اع None - Self-fu		# 1-23		Lusted, Kent	00 20.2.3.	 .1	Intel Corpora		# 1-2	
Comment Type G	Comment Status D	inded		bucket	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comm	niter Corpora	luon		bucket
The second part of this p	aragraph should refer to the rise and shred options from				Per claus shall use	e 73.7.7.1 the encodi	Next Page E ng shown in	incoding, the IEEE Figure 73-7 and F	gure 73-8 for the		Page
SuggestedRemedy					and T bit	s. These bit	s shall funct	ion as specified in	28.2.3.4."		
Separate this into two pa beginning "Depending on	agraphs, starting the secon the" on line 18.	nd paragraph a	at the sentence					n is described. Sp tted is the inverse			
Proposed Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉				therefore	, may assui	me a value o	of logic one or zero			
PROPOSED REJECT. This does not appear to b	e a comment on IEEE P80	02.3. The terms	s "shred" and "pul	verise"		es the conf d Base Pag		11 equal to the C) or the C1 field i	n the AN73 Link	
are not used in the draft. valid location in the draft.	The subclause, page, and	line number do	not correspond to	оа	Base Pa	ge starts wit	h D0. Howe	er Figure 73-6, ther ever, if one reads t it is says D0 shall l	ne text just above	e the figure (in se	ection
No change to the draft.								tually D10 (which i			case,
C/ 22 SC 22.2.4.2	P 722	L 26	# 1-42					= D11 (C1 in the		- /	
Grow, Robert	Robert M Gro	w Consulting								se page).	
captilizing the word when Clause 45, but applies to	Comment Status D n capitalization of "register" combined with a register n other clauses as well. (Inc time.) The unnecessary of	umber. This is onsistencies g	s most significant o back to Clause	in 22 so	"The initi	4.7, change al value of t	he Toggle b	it in the first Next F nerefore, may assu			bit 11
specific register. E.g., "R	egister 0" in Clause 22 or "l on is when associated with	Register 1.0" in	n Clause 45. Less	6	"The initi			it in the first Next F /ord and, therefore			
SuggestedRemedy					Proposed Re	sponse	Respor	nse Status W			
replace won't work becau	nnecessary capitalization. se sometimes, the word "R arch and replace can be d assary capitalization.	Register" leads	a sentence and n		"The initi	al value of t		CIPLE. it in the first Next F herefore, may assu			bit D11
Proposed Response	Response Status W						,				

Replace "Register" with "register" throughout the draft where "Register" is not at the start of a sentence, is not part of a phrase that is a proper noun (e.g., a parameter name), and is not preceded by "(" as part of a Clause 45 heading. All with editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 28 SC 28.2.3.4.7 Page 7 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:27 PM

C/ 28B	SC 28B	P 6362	L 6	# 1-88	C/ 28B	SC 28B.3	P 6363	L 41	# I-79
Lusted, Ke		P 6362 Intel Corporati		# 1-00	Lusted, Ke		Intel Cor		# 1-/9
,		Comment Status D	OII	harden (Joration	
that it i this Ar cable I	rrent title for this is relevant cont nnex are specifi PMD types. To	s Annex is "IEEE 802.3 Selector ent for all Auto-Negotiation imp c to AN over twisted pair, not th improve the clarity, the Annex ed pair PMD types.	lementations. le Cl 73 AN fo	However, the details in r backplane and copper	and the suppor	st paragraph aft ere has the lowe ting much high	Comment Status D ere the list says that 10BA est priority. However, an er rates) may not have 10 st common denominator b	implemention (part BASE-T capability	icularly devices while the spec suggests
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy			
twisted	d-pair". Conside	"IEEE 802.3 Selector Base Pa er a note in the Annex to disting	juish twisted-p			ve the sentence vest priority."	"10BASE-T is the lowest	common denomin	ator and therefore has
,	-	CI 98 AN for single differential-	pair media		Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
Proposed		Response Status W			PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
PROP	OSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.			Chang	e paragraph to	the following:		
In Ann Negoti		at the end of the first paragraph	: "for devices	using Clause 28 Auto-	"The ra	ationale for this	hierarchy is straightforwa s. Second, full duplex sol		
		at the beginning of the first para fields for devices using Clause			their ha broade	alf duplex count r spectrum of c	erparts. Third, higher pric	rity is given to PH T2 is ahead of 100	′ types that run on BASE-TX and 100BASE-
Negoti	iation".	end of the first paragraph: "for beginning of the first paragrap			100BA techno	SET4 runs acro logies specified	of configurations. 100BAS oss a broader spectrum o herein should not be cha b its appropriate place in t	f copper cabling. T anged. As each nev	ne relative order of the vector of the vecto
messa	age code fields t	for devices using Clause 98 Au	to-Negotiation	l."			 If a vendor-specific tech echnologies should be m 		
C/ 28B	SC 28B.3	P 6363	L 26	# I-78			any appropriate priority l		vendor specific
Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel Corporati	on						
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		bucket					
PMD te mean (p245,	echnical details that the MAC s line 44). Seve	ne term "full duplex" ambiguous (transmit and receive on the si ublayer meets the requirements ral of the PHY types listed are t he list) which is confusing	ame physical s of the secon	set of wires) or it can d list in Clause 4.1.1					
Suggested	Remedy								
for iter	ms in the list that	at use "full duplex", change "full	duplex" to "fu	ll duplex MAC mode".					
Makes	similar changes	to the third sentence in the firs	t paragraph a	fter the list.					
Proposed PROP	Response OSED REJECT	Response Status Z							
This co	omment was W	ITHDRAWN by the commenter							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 28B SC 28B.3 Page 8 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:27 PM

C/ 28B	SC 28B.3	P 6363	L 41	
--------	----------	--------	-------------	--

Lusted. Kent

1-81

Comment Type т Comment Status D

While the rationale for the priority heirarchy of the list in the subclause is straightforward. not all of the guiding principles are listed. Specifically, the preference for higher speeds at the top and lower speeds at the bottom is only given by the statement that 1000BASE-T has a higher priority than 100 Mb/s technologies. Additionally, nothing is said about rates >1Gb

Intel Corporation

SuggestedRemedy

Consider rewriting the first few sentences of the paragraph to be something like: "The rationale for this hierarchy is straightforward. First, higher rates are always higher in priority than lower rates. Second, full duplex solutions are always higher in priority than their half duplex counterparts. Third, higher priority is given to PHY types that run on broader spectrum of copper cabling. For example, 100BASE-T2 is ahead of "

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve with comment I-79. The response to comment I-79 is: "PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change paragraph to the following:

"The rationale for this hierarchy is straightforward. First, higher rates are always higher in priority than lower rates. Second, full duplex solutions are always higher in priority than their half duplex counterparts. Third, higher priority is given to PHY types that run on broader spectrum of copper cabling. 100BASE-T2 is ahead of 100BASE-TX and 100BASE-T4 because 100BASE-T2 runs across a broader spectrum of copper cabling and can support a wider base of configurations. 100BASE-T4 is ahead of 100BASE-TX because 100BASET4 runs across a broader spectrum of copper cabling. The relative order of the technologies specified herein should not be changed. As each new technology is added, it should be inserted into its appropriate place in the list, shifting technologies of lesser priority lower in priority. If a vendor-specific technology is implemented, the priority of all IEEE 802.3 standard technologies should be maintained, with the vendor specific technology inserted at any appropriate priority location." "

C/ 28C	SC 28C.5	P 6367	L 26	# 1-77
Lusted, Ken	t	Intel Corporation		
Comment Tv	vpe TR	Comment Status D		bucket

Comment Type Comment Status D TR

The first sentence of the second paragraph in the sub-clause is confusing because both hexidecimal and binary representation of values are used in the text for different parts of the OUI/CID (i.e. manufacturer's IEEE-assigned OUI/CID vs. manufacturer-selected userdefined user code). Adding to the confusion is the use of both binary and hexidecimal values in the top part of Figure 28C-1 for the OUI/CID values.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the binary representation of the value of the manufacturer-selected user-defined user code in the text to the hexidecimal representation (e.g. "CE-1F-C")

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Change:

"and the manufacturer-selected user-defined user code associated with the OUI or CID is 1100 1110 0001 1111 1100 2"

to:

"and the manufacturer-selected user-defined user code associated with the OUI or CID is 0xCE1FC (binary 1100 1110 0001 1111 1100).

C/ 28C SC 28C.5

CI 30	SC 30.3.2.1.2	P 1050	L 6	# I-51	C/ 30	SC 30	0.5.1.1.3	3	P 1112	L 38	# I-110
Grow, Rol	pert	Robert M Gro	w Consulting		Anslow, P	eter			IEEE, Indepe	ndent for this ba	llot
that w note the items alphar followe Lookir insert projec Suggested As we aPhyT is for r Extens Re-so Beacu alphar My se	h someone my w e are generating i hat at line 6, sort of following don't ha numeric in the firs ed by 50/25GBAS ng at proposed an order in these am t I chair). <i>IRemedy</i> revisit lower data 'ypeList, and aMA ew enumerations sion: Attribute enu- rt the enumeration use we no longer h numeric sort ordel cond choice woul	Comment Status D ant to quote Emerson to me ncreasing inconsistency in t order is clause number in the ve any discernable order, the t column. Looking at aMAU E followed by 50GBASE sp mendments 3-5 to the 20xx me endments (nor for the"yet to a rates for new applications, UType will increase. We new s of these attributes and mal- umeration sort order on the " hs in D3.0 as required by the nave enumeration values inco- consistent with our modific d be to insert at the end of the ments added since dropping	he sort order of le Description color en 2.5GBASE a Type, one exam read over almos evision, I cannot be assigned a n the number of en- ted to make clea- te it available to tools and resour e convention cho- luded in our spe- ation of IEEE Sto- te xxBASE grou	MIB items. Please lumn; but 1000BASE ppears to be ining will see 50GBASE t two pages. discern a consistent number" amendment htries for aPhyType, ar what the insert point editors (e.g., rces" page) osen. ecifications, I favor a yle consistent with 1.4. ping, but this would be	the Bi "A rea the op not su This tr A norn MDI a secon aPCS A PH' suppo FEC e Suggested Chang "A rea the op not su "For 2 across	Std 802.3 EHAVIOU Id-only va potional PC pport RS- ext now m mal 200G nd would d of the tv FECBypa Y that doe out PCS FI error indic dRemedy ge: id-only va potional PC poport RS- pot	IR DEFII lue that S FEC a -FEC ac nakes no or 4000 not sup wo sente assIndica EC (see ation by lue that S FEC ac BASE-R support	made char NDED AS: indicates if error indica ross the Mi o sense. Ethernet I port RS-FE clause quote tationAbility oft RS-FEC Clause 119 pass ability indicates if error indica ross the Mi , a read-on is the option	section now read: a PHY that supports tion bypass ability DI, this attribute is PHY supports PC C (e.g., Clauses 9 ed above means t is not applicable t (e.g., Clauses 91 and therefore w in 119.2.5.3. a PHY that support tion bypass ability DI, this attribute is by value that indic nal PCS FEC error	orts RS-FEC acr (see 119.2.5.3) not applicable." S FEC (see Clau 21, 108, 134) acr hat the o the PHYs it wa , 108, 134) acros rould never supp orts RS-FEC acr (see 119.2.5.3) not applicable." ates if a PHY that r indication bypa	use 119) across the ross the MDI. The as intended for. ss the MDI would not port the optional PCS oss the MDI supports . For a PHY that does ' to: at supports PCS-FEC
PROP The ap <https< td=""><td>://www.ieee802.o</td><td>Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. efinition sort order described rg/3/WG_tools/editorial/requ Id a non-intuitive progressio</td><td>irements/words.</td><td></td><td>Proposed PROF</td><td>Response POSED A</td><td></td><td>,</td><td>e Status W</td><td></td><td></td></https<>	://www.ieee802.o	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. efinition sort order described rg/3/WG_tools/editorial/requ Id a non-intuitive progressio	irements/words.		Proposed PROF	Response POSED A		,	e Status W		
30.5.1		ned in 30.3.2.1.2 (aPhyType in order of increasing data i umerically									

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.33

CI 30	SC 30.6.1.1.8	P 1117	L 3	# 1-34	C/ 33	SC 33.1.1	P 131	1	L	# 1-74
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.		Maytum, N	Michael	None-F	Retired		
http://w This is that in t	QUENCE that me ww.ieee802.org, a normative defi	Comment Status D eets the requirements of the /3/selectors/selectors.html" nition. The URL points to a 8 the IEEE 802.3 standard cor r reference.	302.3 web page		Voltag This s power comm	ety-A PSE desi le) power into th tatement does ed device, such only PELV and	Comment Status I gned to the standard do ne wiring plant. not reflect industry praction as a camera or network a fixed voltage supply a ice often has a functional	es not introc ice where th c bridge, are s the intend	ne PoE inje e sold as a	ctor and network system. The injector is
		identical description and tab		the standard document,	Suggested					
		is definition instead of an ext	ternal URL.				flect industry practice to ed to the standard only s	supplies SE	LV (Safetv	Extra Low Voltage) or
Suggested	R <i>emedy</i> e the quoted sen	topoo to			PELV		ra Low Voltage) power i			
		eets the requirements of the	selector field de	finitions in Annex 28A".	Proposed		Response Status	N		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W					T IN PRINCIPLE.	•		
PROPC	OSED ACCEPT.						.V (Safety Extra Low Vol ow Voltage) power, as d			
					projec projec includ excee projec STD 8	ts which develo t requirements es 'Regardless d the limits of S t objectives <ht< td=""><td>s provided as documenta ped this Clause. The init document <https: ieee8<br="">of the final voltage selec ELV per IEC 950.'. The tps://ieee802.org/3/at/ob ue to comply to the limite 0950.'.</https:></td><td>tial IEEE P8 02.org/3/af/ ted, the DT IEEE P802. jectives.htm</td><td>302.3af DT /requiremer E power m .3at DTE P nl [ieee802</td><td>E Power via MDI hts.pdf [ieee802.org]> ax voltage shall not ower Enhancements .org]> includes 'IEEE</td></ht<>	s provided as documenta ped this Clause. The init document <https: ieee8<br="">of the final voltage selec ELV per IEC 950.'. The tps://ieee802.org/3/at/ob ue to comply to the limite 0950.'.</https:>	tial IEEE P8 02.org/3/af/ ted, the DT IEEE P802. jectives.htm	302.3af DT /requiremer E power m .3at DTE P nl [ieee802	E Power via MDI hts.pdf [ieee802.org]> ax voltage shall not ower Enhancements .org]> includes 'IEEE
					comm clarify Voltag	enter suggests the SELV bein e) power into th	d for historical reference would, in effect, be an a g referenced by this item he wiring .' will be change efined by IEC 60950-1, ir	ttempt to re the text '. r ed to read '.	evise that h non-SELV (. non-SELV	istory. However, to Safety Extra Low
					C/ 33	SC 33.3.7.2	2.1 <i>P</i> 115	55	L 50	# I-26
					Ran, Adee	9	Cisco S	Systems, Ind	с.	
					<i>Comment</i> Equat	51	Comment Status		other equa	<i>bucket</i> tions.
					Suggested	Remedy	to match other equation		·	
					Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEP	Response Status V	N		

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 33
 Page 11 of 37

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 33.3.7.2.1
 1/12/2022 1:54:28 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 33.3.7.2.1
 SC 33.3.7.2.1
 SC 33.3.7.2.1

			-		-				
CI 33 SC	C 33.4.9.1	P 1369	L 12	# 1-30	C/ 33	SC 33.8.3.5	P 1399	L 10	# <mark>I-31</mark>
Maguire, Valeri	e	The Siemon C	Company		Maguire,	√alerie	The Siemo	n Company	
Comment Type	E Co	omment Status D		bucket	Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		bucke
SuggestedRem Replace, "ir Proposed Resp	nserted as conne	of the accepted resolution of the accepted resolution with "inserted as a sponse Status W		#108 against draft 2.0.	PICS marks phras purpo Suggeste	Per Merriam-Webst s used to express ske e (like putting the tex se, the use of quotes dRemedy	on" and "telecommunica ter, scare quotes (also c epticism or derision con xt "so-called" in front of t s here doesn't seem cor	called shudder quo cerning the use of the word). Unless rrect.	otes) are quotation f the enclosed word or there's another
CI 33 S(C 33.4.9.1.1	P 1369	L 34	# 1-25			nserted as a "connection s a connection or teleco		
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.		Proposed	Response F	Response Status W		
Comment Type	E Co	omment Status D		bucket	PROF	POSED ACCEPT.			
Equation (3	33-17) has a stray	r period after the number	r 100.		C/ 40	SC 40.3.1.3.5	P 1586	L 51	# 1-36
SuggestedRem					Ran, Ade	Э	Cisco Syste	ems, Inc.	
Delete the p	period				Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		bucket
Proposed Resp PROPOSE	oonse Re D ACCEPT.	sponse Status W				error_n=1 when the ed by means of sym	condition (tx_enable_n ' nbol substitution"	* tx_enable_n-2) =	: 1, error indication is
CI 33 SC	C 33.7.1	Р	L	# I-69			ondition <condition>, <s< td=""><td></td><td></td></s<></condition>		
Maytum, Micha	iel	None-Retired				her "when the condit i <condition>, <state< td=""><td>tion <condition> is satisf ment>".</condition></td><td>ied, <statement>"</statement></td><td>or preferably just</td></state<></condition>	tion <condition> is satisf ment>".</condition>	ied, <statement>"</statement>	or preferably just
Comment Type	GR Co	omment Status D		elv					
interface lin (Mains pow	nked to another ne vered injectors an	d network powered device	t device is othe ces are the exc	er than an FELV system. eption) The isolation	signal	ed by means of sym	=1 when (tx_enable_n * nbol substitution" is clean oclause, once in 40.3.1.3	r	1, error indication is he corresponding PICS.
		and PELV provides doub o one winding by reinford			Suggeste				
transformer	rs should be mark	ked with concentric squa	ire symbol on th	he safety label. To my	00	ge "when the condition	on" to "when" in		
windings. E imposing ar	thernet transform	ges like AC mains do not ner manufactures would nstruction requirement. I a wired Ethernet isolatio	have an additic Looking at old b	onal burden by 802.3 ballot comments the	P158	5 5 L51 7 L24, L25, L39, L42			
SuggestedRem	nedy								
		ed for an interpretation or evident from the Web po			In PIC PCT1		wing items: PCT7, PCT	11, PCT12, PCT1	4, PCT15, PCT16,
essays.co.u terms-and-o		ats-going-on-electric-sho	ock-and-extra-lo	ow-voltage-elv-related-	•	Response F POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Proposed Resp PROPOSE	oonse Re D REJECT.	sponse Status W			FROF	USED ACCEPT.			
This comm	ent does not prop	oose any change to the o	draft.						
COMMENT ST		hed A/accepted R/reject		d T/technical E/editorial G/ NSE STATUS: O/open W/w	0	d U/unsatisfied Z/w	ci vithdrawn SC d	40 40.3.1.3.5	Page 12 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:

Page 12 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:28 PM

CI 44	SC 44.1.4	.4 P 1716	L 17	# I-53	C/ 45	SC 45	.2.1	P 1725	L 24	# I-100			
Grow, F	lobert	Robert M G	row Consulting		Dawe, Pi	ers J G		NVIDIA					
10 (app cas mul Sugges Pick	Comment Type E Comment Status D row_order, bucket 10 Gigabit introduced tables for the various PHY Types operating at that data rate. There appears to be no consistent order for inclusion within this table. This is perhaps another case where consistency might be valuable as we now frequently have projects that address multiple data rates. SuggestedRemedy Pick a sort order for this table. Consider if sort order should be consistent with clause 30 aPHYType and aPHYTypeList. Pick a sort order for this table.						Comment Type E Comment Status D capability registers vs. ability registers. In Section 4, ability appears 1331 times (incluin the contents), nearly all in Clause 45. capability appears 445 times, about 2/3 in 45 mostly related to EEE and timeSync, I believe. SuggestedRemedy For Clause 45 register names, change "capability" to "ability". Proposed Response Response Status W						
	ed Response	Response Status W			PRO	POSED RE	JECT.	,					
The cap http 1. Ir 2. Ir 3. C The 4. P 5. "(6. A Cha	re is an establis tured for Table 7 s://www.ieee802 hcreasing speed hcreasing reach ecreasing numb following supple HY "family desig Copper" PHYs p Iphanumeric sol	(maximum supported distance	2802.3cj D2.0. See 2p0-Comments-Fir 2 over the medium uded to address sp ssigned a reach of	e: hal-byID.pdf#page=14). Decial cases	of "ca 10P/2 EEE EEE FEC Time Time Time Time Time Time	apability ["]	Fhe latte y 3 instar capabi capabili XS cap PMD ca apability capabili	nces] lity 1 lity 2 ability ability apability y ty names have been in place fo	or several years,	, e.g., 10P/2B capability			
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	BASE-T1 [15 BASE-T [10 BASE-LRM [22 BASE-SR [40 BASE-SW [40 BASE-LX4 [10 BASE-LX4 [10 BASE-LR [10 BASE-LW [10 BASE-LW [10]	m reach, 1 lane]) m reach]						eSync registers since 2011. Ild cause disruption to other					

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.72.3 P 2030 L 23

Zimmerman, George

1-7 ADI, APL Group, Cisco, CommScope, Marvell, SenT

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status D

Bit 3.2291.8 is a copy of bit 0.8 - however, bit 3.2291.8 has the OPPOSITE control sense (for 3.2291.8, half = 1, and for 0.8, half = 0). Additionally, the bit only has meaning when the PHY CAN do full duplex, but there is no text indicating that the bit has no effect when the PHY cannot do full duplex, and no way to indicate whether the PHY does full duplex. The proposed remedy does this in a way that is backwards compatible to PHYs in the market not able to do full duplex. Note that unless someone has built a full-duplex PHY, all implementations should be compatible since the reserved bit should be read as zero.

SugaestedRemedv

Add a bit to the PCS status register (bit 3.2292.6, currently reserved) Insert a new row, and adjust reserved row in the 10BASE-T1S PCS Status Register (3.2292), table 45-299, 4.2.3.73, p. 2030, line 42:

Add 3.2292.6 Full-duplex capability

1 = PHY capable of full-duplex operation 0 = PHY not capable of full-duplex operation Status R/O

On page 2031, line 7, Add 4.2.3.73.2 Full-duplex capability (3.2292.6)

When read as a one, bit 3.2292.6 indicates that the 10BASE-T1S PHY is capable of fullduplex operation. When read as a zero, bit 3.2292.6 indicates that the 10BASE-T1S PHY is not capable of full-duplex operation.

Change 4.2.72.3 (duplex mode), p 2030, line 21 As follows:

Change "This bit shall be ignored when the Auto-Negotiation enable bit 7.512.12 is set to one or when bit 3.2292.6 indicates the PHY is not capable of full-duplex operation. If a PHY reports via bit 3.2292.6 that it is capable of operating in full-duplex mode, the value of bit 3.2291.8 shall correspond to the mode in which the PHY can operate, and any attempt to change the setting of bit 3.2291.8 shall be ignored. If the PHY reports via bit 3.2292.6 that it is not capable of operating in full-duplex mode, the value of bit 3.2291.8 is undefined.

Bit 3.2291.8 is an inverted copy of bit 0.8 (see Table 22-7) and setting or clearing either bit shall clear or set the

other bit, when the PHY reports via bit 3.2292.6 that it is capable of operating in full-duplex mode."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Allocate bit 3.2292.6 in the 10BASE-T1S PCS status register as follows: Insert a new row in Table 45-299: 3.2292.6 | Full-duplex capability | 1 = PHY capable of full-duplex operation 0 = PHY not capable of full-duplex operation I RO and adjust the reserved row for bits 3.2292.6:0 accordingly.

Insert a new subclause 4.2.3.73.2 titled "Full-duplex capability (3.2292.6)" with text: "When read as a one, bit 3,2292.6 indicates that the 10BASE-T1S PHY is capable of fullduplex operation. When read as a zero, bit 3.2292.6 indicates that the 10BASE-T1S PHY

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

is not capable of full-duplex operation."

In 45.2.72.3, change:

"This bit shall be ignored when the Auto-Negotiation enable bit 7.512.12 is set to one.

Bit 3.2291.8 is a copy of bit 0.8 (see Table 22-7), and setting or clearing either bit shall set or clear the other bit."

to:

"This bit shall be ignored when the Auto-Negotiation enable bit 7.512.12 is set to one or when bit 3.2292.6 indicates the PHY is not capable of full-duplex operation. If the PHY reports via bit 3.2292.6 that it is not capable of operating in full-duplex mode, the value of bit 3.2291.8 is undefined.

Bit 3.2291.8 is an inverted copy of bit 0.8 (see Table 22-7). When the PHY reports via bit 3.2292.6 that it is capable of operating in full-duplex mode. setting bit 0.8 or bit 3.2291.8 shall clear the other bit, and clearing bit 0.8 or bit 3.2291.8 shall set the other bit."

All with editorial license.

CI 49 S	C 49.3	P 2319 L 19	# I-113
Anslow, Peter		IEEE, Independent for this ballot	
Comment Type	E	Comment Status D	bucket

The Support column of the Clause 49 PICS does not contain entries that are appropriate to the entries in the Status column. The first incorrect row is for item *JTM.

SuggestedRemedy

In the Clause 49 PICS for items with status of: "M" change the Support entry to "Yes []" "O" change the Support entry to "Yes [] No []" "Something:M" change the Support entry to "Yes [] N/A []" "Something:O" change the Support entry to "Yes [] No [] N/A []" "O Number" change the Support entry to "Yes [] No []"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 49 SC 49.3

CI 52	SC 52.5.1	P 2388	L 43	# I-101	C/ 52	SC 52.8.1 8	P 2398	L 8	# I-28
Dawe, Piers	JG	NVIDIA			Ran, Adee		Cisco Sy	vstems, Inc.	
	eferences in C	Comment Status D lause 52, 53 and 58 should se 158 (for SMF) or 167 (for		<i>references</i> current ones as used		cond row of Tal	<i>Comment Status</i> D ble 52-19 has SJ value e n the units of f (which are		
SuggestedRe	,		,				a number of UI (as the o	. ,	
ANSI/TIA For chror 52.9.10.2	/EIA-455-203- natic dispersio	ge ANSI/TIA/EIA-455-203-2 2001 from the normative ref n, change ANSI/TIA/EIA-45 9.10.2 and 58.7.9.2. Remov	erences. 5-175A-92 to IEC	C 60793-1-42 in	12, Ta Table	ole 95-11, Table 159-10, Table 8	any similar tables - Table 9 114-10, Table 121-12, ⊺ 6A-7 (with different expr anding is that f is in Hz in	Table 138-13, Table essions, but all lack	king the unit of f).
Proposed Re PROPOS	,	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			It is su	ggested to state	the value as "2×10^5 H . This would be clear for	z / f" here, and sim	ilarly in other tables with
In Table :	52-7 footnote f	, change "ANSI/TIA/EIA-455	5-203-2001" to "II	EC 61280-1-4".	Suggested	Remedy			
Delete th	e entry for "AN	ISI/TIA/EIA-455-203-2001" ii	n 1.3 Normative	references.	Chang	e "10^5 / f" to "1	0^5 Hz / f" in Table 52-1	9.	
In 52.9.10 "IEC 607		53.9.10.2, and 58.7.9.2, char	nge "ANSI/TIA/E	IA-455-175A-92" to			in Table 87-13, Table 88 le 138-13, Table 150-12,		
Delete th	e entry for "AN	ISI/TIA/EIA-455-175A-92" in	1.3 Normative re	eferences.	Proposed I	Response	z" in the numerator of the <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE.		
						,	88-13, Table 95-11, Tabl 58-12, Table 159-10, and	'	1-12, Table 138-13, nge "10^5 / f" to "10^5 Hz
					In Tab	e 89-12, chang	e "10^6 / f" to "10^6 Hz /	f".	

In Table 53-11 change "93750" to "93 750 Hz".

C/ 52 SC 52.8.1 8

C/ 52	SC 52.9.3	P 2400	L 17	# I-107	CI 52	SC 52.13	P 2414	L 34	# I-102
Dawe, F	Piers J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Pie	ers J G	NVIDIA		
TIA We 612	reference for aver 455-95-B (2019) F may need to keep 80-1-1 is for single	Comment Status D rage optical power measureme FOTP-95 Absolute Optical Pow its successor if we can't find a mode.	ver Test for Opti	cal Fibers and Cables.	as use	ld references in ed in more recer current IEC stan	Comment Status D clauses 52 and 53 and others it clauses. dards address the right "meth		references aced with current ones
SuggestedRemedy Here and in 52.15.3.9, 53.13 and 53.15.4.5, change "ANSI/TIA/EIA-455-95" to "IEC 61280- 1-1 or ANSI/TIA-455-95-B". In 1.3, change "ANSI/TIA/EIA-455-95-1986" to "ANSI/TIA-455-95-B-2019". A similar change could be made in 38.6.2, 38.12.4.5 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 52.9.3, 52.15.3.9 item OM3, 53.9.2, and 53.15.4.5 item OM3, change "ANSI/TIA/EIA-455-95". In 1.3, change "ANSI/TIA/EIA-455-95-1986" to "ANSI/TIA/EIA-455-95". In 1.3, change "ANSI/TIA/EIA-455-95-1986" to "ANSI/TIA/EIA-455-95-2019".		 Here and in 53.13, change: Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with ANSI/TIA/EIA-526-14A/method B, and ANSI/TIA/EIA-526-7/method A-1. to: Insertion loss measurements of installed fiber cables are made in accordance with IEC 61280-4-1, Method 2 for multimode cabling and IEC 61280-4-2 for single-mode cabling. In MMF clauses, change ANSI/TIA/EIA-526-14A/method B to IEC 61280-4-1. In SMF clauses, change ANSI/TIA/EIA-526-7/method A-1 to IEC 61280-4-2. In 75.9.1 and similar/related places, change IEC 61280-4-2:2000 to IEC 61280-4-2. In 1.3, change IEC 61280-4-2:2000 to IEC 61280-4-2:2014. Delete the entry for IEC 61280-4-1:2003, change IEC 61280-4-1:2009 to IEC 61280-4- 1:2019/AMD1:2021. 							
					Proposed PROP	Response POSED REJECT	Response Status W		
					measi IEC 6 Witho equiva refere Simila reasoi	urement method 1280-4-1:2019, o ut an analysis of alent to ANSI/TI/ nce. Irly, without an a nable to delete ti	ANSI/TIA/EIA-526-14A-1998 "method B". does not contain a "Method 2" f whether a method exists in If A/EIA-526-14A/method B, it is nalysis of the changes made he entry for IEC 61280-4-1:20 C 61280-4-1:2019/AMD1:2021	EC 61280-4-1:2 not reasonable between the thr 03, or change tl	019/AMD1:2021 that is to change this ee versions, it is not
					, measu Witho ANSI/ Simila	urement method ut an analysis of TIA/EIA-526-7/n ırly, without an a	ANSI/TIA/EIA-526-7-1998 for "method A-1". f whether a method exists in IB nethod A-1, it is not reasonabl nalysis of the changes made the reference from IEC 61280	EC 61280-4-2:2 e to change this between the two	014 that is equivalent to s reference. o versions, it is not

C/ 52 SC 52.13

CI 52	SC 52.14.4	P 2417	L 46	# I-60	C/ 69	SC	69.1.1	P 3015	L 13	# I-1
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.		Brown, N	latthew		Huawei Techr	nologies Canada	a
Comment T		Comment Status D	TP2 as defined	bucket	Commen		T	Comment Status D	for the various h	buc
MDI" While it the sam testing, (channe that reco In contra example defined 88, 89, 9 The NO be seen	is true that con le as the MDI) a the MDI is whee el) which is typic eiver compliance ast, some newe e in 86.10.3.3: ' in 86.5.1, not a 95, 121, 122, 1 TE that is not s a, the old versio P802.3db. It sh less.	sting is performed at TP2 and npliance testing for transmitte and not at the MDI, TP3 is at ire the signal is applied; TP3 i cally replaced by test equipme ce testing is not done at the N er clauses use text specific to 'NOTE-Transmitter compliand the MDI". This text is correc 23, 124, 139, 140, 151, 154, ' specific to transmitters still app n was inherited by some new hould be changed to be specifi	rs is performed a the MDI, and for s the end of the ent in receiver te IDI is incorrect a transmitter com te testing is perfor t, and it appears 159, 160). pears in 11 place clauses, and pe	at TP2 (which is not receiver compliance Fiber optic cabling sting. Thus, claiming nd confusing. pliance testing. For ormed at TP2 as in 15 clauses (86, 87, es, listed below. As can rsist in the currently	layer back fuller targe Dele servi servi <i>Proposed</i> PRO [Edit The Char Back Gb/s (BEF provi	s in the plane Pl context t has ch edReme te "provid ce interf d Respo POSED pr chang words "co ge from plane E to better ding a E	backplan MD claus is require aanged, re dy ding a bit acce or 20 acce". <i>nse</i> ACCEPT ged page or 200 Gb thernet su than or e BER bette	specify the BER requirements e introduction clause since it is es. Also, with the addition of F ed. Finally, with each new gen- equiring this backplane introdu rerror ratio (BER) better than o 00Gb/s providing a BER better <i>Response Status</i> W T IN PRINCIPLE. from 3018] /s" belong in the sentence. upports the IEEE 802.3 full dup , 25 Gb/s, 40 Gb/s, 50 Gb/s, o qual to 10^-12 at the MAC/PL r than or equal to 10^-13 at the upports the IEEE 802.3 full dup , 25 Gb/s, 40 Gb/s, 50 Gb/s, 1	s explicitly speci EC and error bu eration of Etherr ction clause to b or equal to10-12 than or equal to than or equal to plex MAC opera e MAC/PLS serv plex MAC opera	fied in each of the inst considerations a net rates the BER be updated needlessly at the MAC/PLS o 10-13 at theMAC/PLS o 10-13 at theMAC/PLS iding a bit error ratio ice or 200 Gb/s vice interface.
SuggestedF Change	2	e 11 subclauses listed in the	comment to mat	ch the text in						
0		references to the definition of								
Proposed R PROPO	•	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.								
Change	"Compliance to	.9.4, 59.9.4, 60.11.4, 112.10. esting is performed at TP2 an verformed at TP2 as defined in	d TP3 as define							
		change "Compliance testing i mitter compliance testing is p								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 69 SC 69.1.1

70 SC 70	.9.1	P 3037	L 16	# I-91		CI 73	SC	73.6.4		P 3107	L 4	# I-80
/ienckowski, Nata	ie	General Motor	rs Company			Lusted, Ke	ent			Intel Corporat	ion	
omment Type		omment Status D		bı	ucket	Comment		TR	Comment			bu
Change text ref	erencing J.2 1	o match other reference	e statements.								erences to "Aut r backplane and	o-Negotiation for
uggestedRemedy								the title.	nowever, this	clause is An Io	г раскріане ан	u copper cable
Change: shall c		eral safety requirements	s as specified in	12		Suggested	Remed	ly				
Also change on	P3056L16, F	23092L16, P3522L52, P 6L51, P5444L12, P5482	3795L12, P3850	L47, P4965L34,				o-Negotia Assemb		lane Ethernet" t	o "Auto-Negotia	ation for Backplane ar
roposed Response	e Res	sponse Status W				Proposed	Respor	nse	Response S	Status W		
PROPOSED A	CCEPT IN PF	RINCIPLE.				PROP	OSED	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	E.		
		nsistent wording of an e	ssentially identic	al reference to J.2		In the	clause	title, back	plane and cop	oper cable asse	mbly are not ca	apitalized.
There are seve	across different clauses. There are several different versions of the statement referring to J.2 in the standard. Th most prevalent one is "All equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the general safety requirements as specified in J.2" (14 instances, and one additional with "annex").							o-Negotia assembly		lane Ethernet" t	o "Auto-Negotia	ation for backplane an
most prevalent safetv requirem							SC	73A.2		P 6570	L 46	# 1-85
Unmaintained o	Unmaintained clauses excluded, there are additional 32 instances of different statement as shown in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dc/comments/ran_3dc_03_0122.xlsx.					Lusted, Kent Intel Corporation						
as shown in http	os://www.ieee	802.org/3/dc/comments	s/ran_3dc_03_01	22.xisx.		Comment Type TR Comment Status D buck						
		y the requirements, but ferable to avoid the wor				In the second sentence, the order of the bits U0 to U10 is not consistent with the other U bits in the sentence, or other adjacent sentences. Furthermore, the order of the U0 to U10 is opposite of the D bits next to it.						
Change all refe to the following		in "General safety" subo	clause, as listed	in ran_3dc_03_012	22,	Suggested	Remed	ly				
to the following	lexi.					In the	second	sentence	e, change "bits	s U0 to U10" to	"bits U10 to U0	
	ject to this cla	ause shall conform to th	e general safety	requirements in J.	2"	Proposed	Respor	nse	Response S	Status W		
or "Equipment sub as appropriate.	ject to this ar	nnex shall conform to the	e general safety	requirements in J.2	2"	PROF	OSED	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	E.		
	147.10.1, reta	in the suffix "or IEC 610)10-1, as approp	riate".		"The u bits of OUI o bits of	informa the OU r CID bi	Itted code JI or CID it in bit 26 JI or CID	(bits 23:13) in 5 (bit U10) of th	age Next Page bits 26:16 (bits ne unformatted	U0 to U10) with code field, the r	the most significant 1 n the most significant next 11 most significa the most significant b
										ed inconsistent U26 to U16)".	with the rest of	this sentence,
						Impler	ment the	e suggest	ted remedy.			

CI 73A SC 73A.2

C/ 73A SC 73A.2 P6570

Lusted, Kent



L 51

1-86

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

*** Comment submitted with the file

73A.2_message_code5_OUI_issue.pdf;73A.2_message_code5_OUI_issue.pptx attached ***

Intel Corporation

There is a specification gap between the IEEE 802.3 Annex 73A.2 and the Ethernet Technology Consortium (ETC) for the unformatted code field of the Unformatted Next Page for message code 5.

The IEEE text in 73A.2 specifies that user-defined user code values are located in bits D8:D0, D26:D16 (U8:U0, U21 to U11 respectively) and that remaining unformatted code field bits shall be sent as zero and ignored on receipt.

The IEEE text in Annex 28C (which was likely the original source for Annex 73A) states that up to three unformatted code fields can be transmitted in each extended unformatted page, the first in U0:10, second in U11:21, third in U27:27. (see p6365, line 25).

The Ethernet Technology Consortium uses Next Page Message code 5 to exchange various capabilities defined in their specification.

Two issues exist. First, the ETC spec assumes three user-code fields while Annex 73A.4 defines two. (note that Annex 28C has three user-code fields) Second, the ETC uses bit D43 (U38) for functionality which should be a reserved zero bit per the IEEE 802.3 Annex 73A.2 text.

Given that implementations are already in the field and compatible with each other based on assimilating information from Annex 28C, Annext 73A, and the ETC spec, a clarification of the IEEE 802.3 specification would be helpful.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the last two sentences of the first paragraph (begging with "The unformatted code field of the Unformatted Next Page." and ending with "ignored on receipt" in the subclause to be:

"The unformatted code field of the Unformatted Next Page shall contain the remaining least significant 2 bits of the OUI or CID (bits 1:0) in bits 10:9 (U10 and U9) with OUI or CID bit 1 in bit 10 (bit U10) with the bits 8:0, 26:16, 43:32 (bits U8 to U0, U21 to U11, U38 to U27) as a user-defined user code value that is specific to the OUI or CID transmitted. The remaining unformatted code field bits in the Message Next Page and the Unformatted Next Page shall be sent as zero and ignored on receipt."

Update FIgure 73A-1 as required.

see accompanying presentation.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 73A SC 73A.2 Page 19 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:28 PM

Note that <https://www.ieee802.org/3/dc/comments/l-

86%2073A.2_message_code5_OUI_issue_r1.pdf> was provided as an update to the file submitted with the comment.

73A.2 defines a mapping of the four user codes defined in 28C.6 into Clause 73 Message/Unformatted next pages (i.e., the "payload" for message code 5 is common between 28C.6 and 73A.2). The text cited from Annex 28C pertains to the mapping of multiple message/unformatted pages into extended next pages for Clause 28 Auto-Negotiation on twisted pair. This does not apply to Clause 73 Auto-Negotiation backplane and copper cable assembly.

The mapping for message code 5 is clearly defined in 73A.2. There is no indication that there is an interoperability issue between compliant implementations of the standard. The issue raised by the commenter is that another organization is using "reserved" bits in the message code 5 mapping for other purposes. This proprietary usage is beyond the scope of IEEE Std 802.3.

73A.2 states that "The remaining unformatted code field bits in the Message Next Page and the Unformatted Next Page shall be sent as zero and ignored on receipt." As a result, the extended mapping resulting from the proposed change will not be correctly parsed by existing implementations compliant to the standard. The proposal provides no means to support existing implementations that are prohibited from reading the extended payload. The result would be that existing compliant implementations would be deemed noncompliant.

/73A SC 73A.2 P6571 L1 # 1-82	C/ 73A SC 73A.2 P6571 L5 # 1-83
usted, Kent Intel Corporation	Lusted, Kent Intel Corporation
omment Type TR Comment Status D bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status D
The first sentence of the second paragraph in the sub-clause is confusing because both	global broadcast bit "g" should be italics
hexidecimal and binary representation of values are used in the text for different parts of the OUI/CID (i.e. manufacturer's IEEE-assigned OUI/CID vs. manufacturer-selected user-	SuggestedRemedy
defined user code). Adding to the confusion is the use of both binary and hexidecimal	change to italics
values in the top part of Figure 28C-1 for the OUI/CID values.	Proposed Response Response Status W
uggestedRemedy	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change the binary representation of the value of the manufacturer-selected user-defined	
user code in the text to the hexidecimal representation (e.g. "CE-1F-C")	The comment is about the sentence "For clarity, the position of the global broadcast g is
roposed Response Response Status W	illustrated".
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	This sentence also appears in 28C.6 (with g in italic) and in 98C.6 (with g upright), so it is
The binary representation is helpful for connecting the text to the figure. However, to	not clear whether it should be italicized.
address the issue raised in this comment, it can be stated as a representation of a	However, the term "global broadcast" is unclear, as it is not defined and does not appear
hexadecimal number.	anywhere else in 802.3. It seems to be related to the usage of OUI/CID in MAC addresses
Hexadecimal notation with dashes is specific to MAC addresses. The general	See page 6 of "Guidelines for Use of Extended Unique Identifier (EUI), Organizationally
representation is with the prefix 0x.	Unique Identifier (OUI), and Company ID (CID)" (https://standards.ieee.org/content/dam/ieee-
Change	standards/standards/web/documents/tutorials/eui.pdf), where the least significant bit of
Change "and the manufacturer-selected user-defined user code associated with the OUI or CID is	Octet 0 is called "the I/G bit" and "g" denotes group address, not "global broadcast".
1100 1110 0001 1111 1100_2"	Since the I/G bit has no significance for Auto-Negotiation message codes, the sentence
to "and the manufacturer-selected user-defined user code associated with the OUI or CID is	above does not add any clarity.
0xCE1FC (binary 1100 1110 0001 1111 1100)".	In the generic definition of the OUI in subclause 9.3 of IEEE Std 802, which is a normative
	reference, the same bit is referred to as "the M bit". This name can be used and referred t
	the document instead.
	Change "the position of the global broadcast g is illustrated" to "the position of the M bit[]
	illustrated", with [] being a footnote with text "See IEEE Std 802, subclause 9.3".
	Change "g" in the figure to "M".
	Apply in 28C.6 , 73A.2, and 98C.6.

CI 73A SC 73A.2

/ 73A SC 73A.2 P 6571 L 34 # I-84	CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P 3327 L 47 # 1-8
usted, Kent Intel Corporation	Parsons, Earl CommScope, Inc.
omment TypeEComment StatusDbuckethyperlink to registers 7.2 and 7.3 don't work.	Comment Type G Comment Status D row_order, bucket In this table the row for 100GBASE-KR2 should be above the row for 100GBASE-CR10.
uggestedRemedy Make links to registers 7.2 and 7.3	SuggestedRemedy Move the row for 100GBAE-KR2 above 100GBASE-CR10.
roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: page changed from 332 to 3327, and subclause changed from 78.1 to 78.1.4]
Registers do not have hyperlinks elsewhere in the standard.	Resolve using the response to comment I-114.
However, the register numbers appear here without any context. No other registers are mentioned in annex 73A. Apparently these are MDIO register addresses, but it is not	C/ 78 SC 78.1.41 P 3326 L 23 # 1-52
stated. This could be improved.	Grow, Robert Robert M Grow Consulting
Change "(registers 7.2 and 7.3)." to the following text, followed by a paragraph break:	Comment Type E Comment Status D row_order, bucket
"If a Clause 45 MDIO is implemented, the AN device identifier is accessible through registers 7.2 and 7.3 (see 45.2.7.3)." 76A SC 76A.1 P 6584 L 54 # [-112]	Table 78-1 does not seem to have a consistent logical order other than grouping by data rate. 10BASE are in clause order, 1000BASE are in neither clause order or PHY Type name alphanumeric order, etc. With 25GBASE hitting a dozen entries with amendments in process, perhaps there should be a convention for order of these EEE PHY Type names.
IEEE, Independent for this ballot	SuggestedRemedy
<i>E</i> Comment Status D bucket In footnote 10, "The tables in the annex are" should be "The tables in this annex are".	Pick a sort order for this table. Consider if sort order should be consistent with clause 30 aPHYType and aPHYTypeList.
uggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status W
Change "The tables in the annex are" to "The tables in this annex are".	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: subclause changed from 78.1.41 to 78.1.4]
roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	There is an established principle for the row order of tables such as these. This was
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	captured for Table 78-1 in comment #65 against P802.3cj D2.0 (See
78 SC 78.1.4 P 3327 L 47 # [-114	https://www.ieee802.org/3/cj/comments/P8023-D2p0-Comments-Final-byID.pdf#page=14):
nslow, Peter IEEE, Independent for this ballot	1. Increasing speed.
omment Type E Comment Status D row_order, bucket	 Increasing reach (maximum supported distance over the medium). Decreasing number of lance
Comment #65 against P802.3cj D2.0 defined the order of items in Table 78-1. See:	Decreasing number of lanesThe following supplemental rules address are included to address special cases
http://www.ieee802.org/3/cj/comments/P8023-D2p0-Comments-Final-byID.pdf#page=14 According to this, 100GBASE-KR2 was inserted in the wrong place by IEEE Std 802.3cd-	4. PHY "family designations, by convention, are assigned a reach of 0
2018	5. "Copper" PHYs precede "Fiber" PHYs (all else being equal)
ggestedRemedy	6. Alphanumeric sort (all else being equal)
Move the row for 100GBASE-KR2 to be after the row for 100GBASE-KP4 and before the row for 100GBASE-CR2	Table 78-1 is consistent with the principles above except for a single swap noted in comment I-114.
roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Resolve using the response to I-114.
YPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial O OMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/ ORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	5

C/ 80	SC 80.1.4	P 339	L 36	# 1-9	C/ 80	SC 8	30.1.4	P 3390	L 48	# <mark>I-5</mark> 4
Parsons, I	Earl	CommScope	, Inc.		Grow, Ro	bert		Robert M Grov	w Consulting	
Comment	Type G	Comment Status D		row_order, bucket	Comment	Туре	E	Comment Status D		row_order, bucke
		cy, the SR entries should be i 100GBASE-SR2 should be sv		easing lanes.				nce been organized by clause ne case, only grouping of data		
Suggested	dRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy	У			
Move	the row for 1000	BASE-SR2 to be below the r	ow for 100GBA	SE-SR4.				s table as well as Table 80-2		
roposed	Response	Response Status W						tent with clause 30 aPHYType	e and aPHYTy	peList.
		IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed	,		Response Status W		
[Edito	r's note: Page ch	nanged from 339 to 3391]			PROF	POSED A	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Impler	ment the sugges	ted remedy.			captu	red for Ta	able 78-1	I principle for the row order of I in comment #65 against P80 g/3/cj/comments/P8023-D2p0)2.3cj D2.0. Se	e:
					2. Inc 3. De The fo 4. PH 5. "Co	creasing ollowing s Y "family opper" Pl	reach (ma number suppleme / designa HYs prec	aximum supported distance o of lanes ental rules address are includ tions, by convention, are assi ede "Fiber" PHYs (all else be all else being equal)	ed to address a gned a reach o	special cases
					the ro			e 80-2, move the row for 40G -CR4 (7 m reach) and 40GBA		
					lanes) to be af		e 80-3, move the row for 1000 ow for 100GBASE-KP4 (about reach).		
					as: 100G 100G	BASE-SI BASE-SI	R4 [100 r R2 [100 r	e rows for 100GBASE-SR10, n reach, 4 lanes] n reach, 2 lanes] m reach on OM4 from Table		R2, and 100GBASE-SR4
					In Tal	ole 80-4, after the	move the	e row for 100GBASE-SR10 (1 00GBASE-SR4 (100 m reach	50 m reach or	
								e row for 100GBASE-SR2 (10 m reach, 4 lanes).	0 m reach, 2 l	anes) to be after

CI 80 SC 80.1.4 Page 22 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:28 PM

	00		D0405	1.40	"		00	00F 0 4			" 1.400	
C/ 83 Ron Ada		83.3	P 3495 Cisco System	L 16	# 1-29	C/ 83E Dawe, Pie		83E.3.1	<i>P</i> 6649 NVIDIA	L 1	# I-106	
Ran, Ade		TR	Comment Status D	is, inc.		Comment			Comment Status D			huaka
Commen			ed with the file image.png;ran_	3dc 02 0122 pc	If attached ***			E lieves that	these table titles are better w	ithout the brai	koto	bucke
	ommen	t Submitte	a with the me maye.phg,ran_	_000_02_0122.pc								
		ngress " a onsistent r	and "egress" appear in several meaning.	places without b	eing defined in 802.3,		ve the		Table 83E-1 (at TP1a), Table at TP4)	83E-3 (at TP4	l), Table 120E-1 (at	t
			are used with the implied mear ium", respectively. This should			Proposed	Respo	`	Response Status W			
			hey are used with other meani , it would be preferable to use			C/ 90	SC	90.1	P 3679	L 12	# <u>I</u> -41	
Suggeste	estedRemedy					Zimmerm	an, Ge	orge	ADI, APL Gro	up, Cisco, Cor	mmScope, Marvell,	SenT
00	Add definitions in 1.4 for ingress/egress:											bucke
			ction of data and signals from ction of data and signals from						defined in 90.5" is the first us be spelled out.	se of gRS that	I can find in the dra	aft.
Chan and i	nge "egr n 62.4.4	ess" and 1.2 (PICS	"egress power" to "PSD mask item 10PPMD-27).	" 62.3.5.1.3 (both	n heading and body)	Suggestee Chang define	ge "with	•	sublayer defined" to "with the	generic Reco	nciliation Sublayer ((gRS)
Chan	nge "egr	ess" to "tr	ransmission" and "ingress" to	"reception" in 90	1 and 90.4.1.2.	Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Status W			
Seea	accomp	anying pr	esentation ran_3dc_02_0122.			PROF	OSED	ACCEPT	•			
Proposed	d Respo	onse	Response Status W									
PRO	POSED	ACCEPT	T IN PRINCIPLE.									
1.4.x	egress	: The dire	ress and ingress to 1.4: ction of data and signals from action of data and signals from									
"Spe	cificatio		the heading from "Egress con ress power control are describe "									
In 62	.4.4.2 it	em 10PP	MD-27, change "Egress contro	ol" to "PSD mask	control".							
			/ledge of packet egress and in eption time".	gress time" to "k	nowledge of packet							

In 90.4.1.2 change "corresponding to the egress and ingress of packets" to "corresponding to the transmission and reception of packets"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 90 SC 90.1 Page 23 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:28 PM

C/ 91	SC 91.5.2.6	P 3696	L 32	# 1-37	C/ 104	SC 104.8.1	Р	L	# I <u>-70</u>		
Nicholl, Sl	nawn	Xilinx			Maytum, N	lichael	None-Retired				
Comment	Type E d	Comment Status D			Comment 7	Гуре G	Comment Status D		e		
		d is inserted into an area es confusion. The diagrar					ernational Electrotechnical Co n of Electrical installation guid				
Suggested		Ũ			Voltage	e (ELV) the guid	e covers SELV (Safety Extra FELV (Functional Extra-Low	Low Voltage),			
followi - Rer - Ado 0-3). - Rer tx_scr - If simila - Al same - Not	ng proposed change nove the arrow from d shading to the final The shading should I olace "tx_scrambled" ambled blocks" "Resumption of 257- r text change to Figu ongside the new text colour as the newly s	the diagram cell/column of the table (i. oe different colour from the with "Resumption of 257- bit tx_scrambled blocks" is re 119-5 and Figure 119-7 , add an "=" (equal symbo shared area o consistent with latest P86	e. for the rows 5-bit pad shac bit blocks" or "F 5 chosen, then p 1) and a rectanc	pertaining to FEC lane ling. Resumption of 257-bit propose to make gle that is shaded the	SELV i hazard power design 2017 - 1: Gen non-SE PELV i other th circuit i FELV h are fulf	s used in situati (swimming poo at extra-low volte ed according to Safety of transfe eral requiremen ELV circuits (exc s for general us- nan in the high-r may earthed at o has an output vo illed, appropriate	ons where the operation of el- ls, amusement parks, etc.). T age from the secondary windi national or to international (IE ormers, reactors, power suppl ts and tests) standard. SELV luding FELV) by double or rei e where low voltage is require isk locations requiring SELV.	ectrical equipm his measure d ngs of isolating C 61558-1, 3rd ly units and coor circuits shall b inforced insulat ed, or preferred PELV is like S equirements re 60364-4-41 mu	epends on supplying transformers especially d Edition, September mbinations thereof - Part e insulated from other tion. for safety reasons, ELV, but the secondary lating to SELV or PELV ust be taken to ensure		
Proposed	Response R	esponse Status W			SuggestedRemedy						
	OSED ACCEPT IN I				This raises the question is IEC 60364-7-716 the right standard to specify the safety requirents of Ethernet isolating transformers.						
1. Rer 2. Ado	nove the "tx_scramb I shading to the final	anges to Figure 91-4 with ed" and the corresponding column of the table in the	g arrow from the the rows correst	e diagram.	Proposed F PROP	Response OSED REJECT.	Response Status W				
3. Ne>	t to the shaded recta	the shading for the 5-bit p ingle and string "= 5-bit pa	d", add a secor		This co	omment does no	t propose any change to the	draft.			
	0 ,	the string "= Resumption			C/ 104	SC 104.9.4.4	P 4424	L 33	# <mark>I-5</mark>		
C/ 96	SC 96.5.4.2	P 3928	L 32	# 1-35	Zimmerma	n, George	ADI, APL Gro	up, Cisco, Con	nmScope, Marvell, SenT		
Ran, Adee		Cisco Systems	s, Inc.		Comment		Comment Status D		bucke		
Comment	51	Comment Status D		bucket	PICS e	entry COMEL2 s	ays PDTA:M, Requirement sa	ays it refers to I	PD type E		
	age 3884).	restarted here (footnote 1), previous tooli	hole was numbered	Suggested	Remedy					
Suggested	- ,				Change	e PDTA:M to PD	DTE:M				
00	ct footnote numbering	g in section 7.			Proposed I	Response	Response Status W				
		esponse Status W			PROP	OSED ACCEPT					
, 000000											

C/ 104 SC 104.9.4.4

C/ 105 SC 1	105.1.3	P 4431	L 19	# I-55	C/ 108	SC	108.5.1.1		P 4465	L 8	# <mark>I-111</mark>
Grow, Robert		Robert M Grov	w Consulting		Anslow, P	Peter			IEEE, Indepe	ndent for this ba	allot
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status D		row_order, bucket	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment S	Status D		bu
longer appear not have the s the middle of t	s to be the ame orde the 25GBA	e been organized by clause case, only grouping of data r of PHY Types. In Table 10 ASE-R PMDs.	rates is consiste	ent. Table 105.2 does	The second paragraph of 108.5.1.1 contains: "It forms a bit stream from the primitives by concatenating requests with the bits of primitive in order to form tx_data-group<0> to tx_data-group<15> (see Figure 49-6 This is somewhat confusing as Figure 49-6 does not contain tx_data-group<0> to group<15>, but rather rx_data-group<0> to rx_data-group<15>.						ee Figure 49-6)."
SuggestedRemed	,	ulu aa augusuuista ta Tabla (
		ply as appropriate to Table 1 ent with clause 30 aPHYType			Same	e issue i	for the sec	ond paragraph	of 74.7.4.1.1.		
Proposed Respon		Response Status W			Suggestee	dReme	dy				
PROPOSED A		Add the following note after the second paragraph of 108.5.1.1:									
FROFUSED		NOTEFigure 49-6 shows rx_data-group<0> to rx_data-group<15> because the processing in that figure is in the Rx path. However, the reverse gearbox in this subclause									
Table 105-1 (p	Table 105-1 (page 4431) lists PHYs and is ordered by medium type.					is in the Tx path so it uses tx_data-group<0> to tx_data-group<15>.					
	Table 105-2 (page 4432) also lists PHYs, and is ordered by clause number (of the PMD					Add the same note after the second paragraph of 74.7.4.1.1.					
clause, except	t for 25GB	ASE-T which is fully specifie	ed in a single cla	use).	Proposed Response Response Status W						
) is different - it lists a variety			PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
		customary for similar delay of fication for the full PHY. No of			The comment identifies an issue with the current text in two subclause. The suggested note can resolve the issue, but since Figure 49-6 is labeled "PCS Receive bit ordering" it i						
		105-2 are both related to PH hed in other clauses (by rea		clature, it makes sense	prefer and 7		use the te	rms receive ar	id transmit , w	hich are also us	ed in both clause 10
Reorder the ro	ows in tabl	e 105-1 and in table 105-2 a	s follows:				0	after the secon	1 0 1	f 108.5.1.1: lata-group<15>	bacquise the
25GBASE-KR	R-S										er, the reverse gearbo
25GBASE-KR	-				in this	subcla					group<0> to tx_data-
25GBASE-CR					group	<15>.					
25GBASE-CR 25GBASE-T	ς				Add th	he sam	e note afte	er the second p	aragraph of 74	47411	
25GBASE-SR	R				,						
25GBASE-LR											
25GBASE-ER	R										

C/ 108 SC 108.5.1.1

C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P 4809	L 33	# <mark>I-10</mark>	C/ 118	SC 118.1.3	P 4832	L 25	# <u>I-49</u>		
Parsons,	Earl	CommScope	e, Inc.		Ran, Ade	e	Cisco System	ns, Inc.			
	aintain consister	Comment Status D cy move the column for 200G 200GBASE-DR4 PMD in Tab		<i>bucke</i> D to be between	"A 20 n:"	0GMII Extender n	Comment Status D nay use any of the following				
Move	edRemedy the column for 2 BBASE-DR4 PME	200GBASE-SR4 PMD to be b) in Table 116-4.	etween 200GAL	II-4 C2M and	list. It betwe	em b in 120.1.4 s een two adjacent 2	ive term for the family of elec ays "200GAUI-n is a physica 200GBASE-R PMA sublayers s not make sense.	al instantiation of	the connection		
	pposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.					Figure 118-1 should be referenced to explain where the 200GAUI-n is placed.					
sorte	d so that the PM fit that clause nu	-4 is technically correct as currently presented. While the columns could be that the PMDs appear as a diagonal of "M" entries, the current version has the t clause numbers are in increasing order, which some users of the standard				Similarly for the 400GMII Extender in the next paragraph. SuggestedRemedy Change the quoted sentence to: "A 200GMII Extender may use any of the following electrical interfaces for the connectior between its PMA sublayers, as shown in Figure 118-1:"					
					"A 40	0GMII Extender n	nce of the second paragraph nay use any of the following o yers, as shown in Figure 118	electrical interfac	ces for the connection		
					, PROI	<i>l Response</i> POSED ACCEPT or's note: Page ch	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. anged from 4831 to 4832]				
					"A 20		ntence to: nay use any of the following o yers (200GAUI-n), as shown				
					Chan	as the first contar	and of the second paragraph	to:			

Change the first sentence of the second paragraph to: "A 400GMII Extender may use any of the following electrical interfaces for the connection between its PMA sublayers (400GAUI-n), as shown in Figure 118-1:"

C/ 118 SC 118.1.3

typically read A common p expression in and in 91.5.2 between the n are usually this convention There are se 119.2.4.4.1 F Figure 119-6 Figure 119-8	ion "every 81 920 d as "times", but it of phrasing in the stan nvolving multiplicat 2.6: "every 20 × 16: number of blocks written with no tho ion consistently. everal similar expre P4853 L41 (this on	dard is " <n> <k>-bit bl on (for example in 82. 884 66-bit blocks"), but and the block-length usands separator to a ssions in clause 119:</k></n>	common. The n in this sentence ocks" where n 2.19.2.2 "n × 1 t with no multip number k. The	e. itself may be an 6384 66-bit blo blication symbol e numbers cons	n ocks" I stituting	in seve tx_scr Suggested Propos	<i>Type</i> E ure 119-6 ' eral places ambled is <i>IRemedy</i>	s for an 257 bits	area of 36x257-bit	arker inserf		crambled is mentioned 40x257-bit. However,
The expressi typically read A common p expression in and in 91.5.2 between the n are usually this convention There are se 119.2.4.4.1 F Figure 119-6 Figure 119-8	ion "every 81 920 d as "times", but it of phrasing in the stan nvolving multiplicat 2.6: "every 20 × 16: number of blocks written with no tho ion consistently. everal similar expre P4853 L41 (this on	257-bit blocks" is und loes not make sense i dard is " <n> <k>-bit bl on (for example in 82 884 66-bit blocks"), but n and the block-length usands separator to a ssions in clause 119:</k></n>	in this sentence ocks" where n 2.19.2.2 "n × 1 t with no multip number k. The	e. itself may be an 6384 66-bit blo blication symbol e numbers cons	ymbol is in ocks" i stituting	In Figu in seve tx_scra Suggested Propos	ure 119-6 ' eral places ambled is <i>IRemedy</i>	"200GBA s for an 257 bits	SE-R alignment ma area of 36x257-bit	arker inserf		
typically read A common p expression in and in 91.5.2 between the n are usually this convention There are se 119.2.4.4.1 F Figure 119-6 Figure 119-8	d as "times", but it o phrasing in the stan nvolving multiplicat 2.6: "every 20 × 163 number of blocks / written with no the ion consistently. everal similar expre P4853 L41 (this on	loes not make sense i dard is " <n> <k>-bit bl on (for example in 82 884 66-bit blocks"), but n and the block-length usands separator to a ssions in clause 119:</k></n>	in this sentence ocks" where n 2.19.2.2 "n × 1 t with no multip number k. The	e. itself may be an 6384 66-bit blo blication symbol e numbers cons	n ocks" I stituting	in seve tx_scr Suggested Propos	eral places ambled is <i>IRemedy</i>	s for an 257 bits	area of 36x257-bit			
expression in and in 91.5.2 between the n are usually this convention There are se 119.2.4.4.1 F Figure 119-6 Figure 119-8	nvolving multiplicat 2.6: "every 20 × 163 number of blocks i written with no tho ion consistently. everal similar expre P4853 L41 (this on	on (for example in 82 384 66-bit blocks"), but a and the block-length usands separator to a ssions in clause 119:	2.19.2.2 "n × 1 t with no multip number k. The	6384 66-bit blo blication symbol e numbers cons	ocks" I stituting	Propos	-					
typically read as "times", but it does not make sense in this sentence. A common phrasing in the standard is " <n> <k>-bit blocks" where n itself may be an expression involving multiplication (for example in 82.2.19.2.2 "n × 16384 66-bit blocks" and in 91.5.2.6: "every 20 × 16384 66-bit blocks"), but with no multiplication symbol between the number of blocks n and the block-length number k. The numbers constitutin n are usually written with no thousands separator to avoid confusion. It is suggested to us this convention consistently. There are several similar expressions in clause 119: 119.2.4.4.1 P4853 L41 (this one) Figure 119-8, 6 instances Figure 119-8, 6 instances 119.2.4.4.2, P4856 L2 119.2.4.6 P4856 L48 119.2.5.5 P4862 L36 and L42 In addition, there are some instances of "<n> <k>-bit blocks" with thousands separators the number n. These separators reduce clarity and would better be removed. 133.2.1 P5251 L10 (twice) 133.2.2 P5251 L22 (twice) 133.2.4 P5252 L20 134.5.2.7 P5263 L5 152.5.3.6 P6136 L39 <i>tggestedRemedy</i> Edit the listed instances to have no multiplication symbol between the number of blocks and the block-length number, and no thousands separators in the numbers.</k></n></k></n>			rators in	bits)" - Rep blocks - Rep - Not will rer - Note modifi <i>Proposed</i> PROP In Figu Chang places Chang In Figu Chang Chang Chang	place (in tw place (in tw place "tx_s e that this main cons that Figur ed to retai <i>Response</i> OSED AC ure 119-6: e "am_ma e "tx_scra ure 119-8: e "am_ma e "tx_scra e "tx_scra	vo places crambled diagram istent wit e 119-8 ' n consist CCEPT IN apped 4x ambled 30 ambled 40 apped 8x ambled 32) "tx_scrambled 36 d 40x257-bit blocks" is consistent with la h Figure 161-4	257-bit blo 257-bit blo 2 with "40x; atest P802 ment marl 9-6 W 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107	bocks" with "ar ocks" with "3 257-bit tx_sci .3ck/D3.0 Fig ker insert per ed (4 x 257 bi -bit tx_scram -bit tx_scram ed (8 x 257 bi -bit tx_scram	gure 161-4 and ideally riod" should be similarly its)" in two places. bled blocks" in two bled blocks". its)" in two places. bled blocks" in two		
roposed Respo PROPOSED		onse Status W										
	he comment and a	onably common in the Iditional examples suc		,	•							
have been in	n the standard since e method of includi	en in the standard sin 2017 and were discu ng a thousands separa	ssed in the P80	02.3bs Task Fo	orce as							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.1 Page 27 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:28 PM

C/ 120	SC 120.5.7.2	P 4894	L 18	# I-99
Dawe, Piers	s J G	NVIDIA		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This text has been modified recently. Now there are requirements "If the PMA is connected to the service interface of a PMD that uses the PMD control function". There is no indication as to which PMDs use the PMD control function, or whether it depends on PMD type, an option, or what. There is a parenthetical reference to 136.8.11 which describes the PMD control function at great length but does not say which PMDs use it. 136.8.11 says "The PMD shall implement... (not "use", nor "support"), so a Clause 136 PMD (50GBASE-CR, 100GBASE-CR2, and 200GBASE-CR4) might. But it's not definite, and one cannot tell whether any or all of the many other PHY, XS and AUI types that use the Clause 120 PMA don't. do. or sometimes do "use the PMD control function". String searches on such a vast document are impractical, especially to attempt to prove a negative.

Notice that the criterion is "uses the PMD control function" which the text does not tie to precoding ability.

Further, there are multiple definitions of "PMD control function", for example in 72.6.10 and 92.7.12. so "the PMD control function" is an unsatisfactory identifier. The reader could believe they don't apply because they relate to different PMA types, but the draft is making work for the reader who must then trust that what he thinks is sensible is what the draft means but doesn't sav clearly enough. Same problem in 135.5.7.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "a PMD that uses the PMD control function (136.8.11)" to "a 200GBASE-CR4 or 200GBASE-KR4 PMD when training is not disabled by the management variable mr training enable (see 136.7 and 136.8.11),".

Change "a PMD that supports the PMD control function but training is disabled" to "a 200GBASE-CR4 or 200GBASE-KR4 PMD when training is disabled".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The first paragraph of 120.5.7.2 starts: "For PMA lanes connected to the PMD service interface of a 200GBASE-CR4 or 200GBASE-KR4 PMD, the PMA shall provide 1/(1+D) mod 4 precoding capability on each transmit lane and may optionally provide 1/(1+D) mod 4 decoding capability on each receive lane. Precoding is implemented as specified in 135.5.7.2."

The first paragraph of 135.5.7.2 starts: "A PMA shall provide 1/(1+D) mod 4 precoding capability on each output lane that is part of a 50GAUI-1 C2C or 100GAUI-2 C2C link. or connected to the PMD service interface of a 50GBASE-CR, 50GBASE-KR, 100GBASE-CR2, or 100GBASE-KR2 PMD.'

Therefore, a list of PMDs that require the precoding capability that is the subject of the referenced paragraph (and a similar paragraph in Clause 135) are included. Reference to the corresponding PMD clauses will clarify that they use the PMD control function.

C/ 121	SC 121.8.3	P 4922	L 10	# I-105
Dawe, Pier	rs J G	NVIDIA		
Comment	Type T	Comment Status D		bucket

Comment Status D т

Figure 53-6, Optical power measurement test set-up, is very basic and appears in a subclause that describes how to do a lane-by-lane optical power measurement of a WDM transmitter by enabling / disabling the wavelengths. A transmitter for parallel fibres is likely to be tested differently, with a breakout cable. For a serial non-WDM transmitter, the figure is harmless but doesn't help much, and Clause 52 does not refer to this figure or another. Nor do 86.8.4.2 for 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10. or 95.8.3 for 100GBASE-SR4. A similar comment has been submitted to P802.3db where there should be a guorum of expertise to advise on the issue.

SugaestedRemedv

For the other parallel optics PMDs, delete ", per the test setup in Figure 53-6" in 121.8.3, 124.8.3, 138.8.3 and 150.8.3.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 121.8.3 and 124.8.3, delete ", per the test setup in Figure 53-6".

In 138.8.3 and 150.8.3 delete ", per the set up shown in Figure 53-6".

C/ 121 SC 121.8.3

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3	P 4927	L 18	# I-109	C/ 125	SC 125.3		P 5022	L 25	# I-56
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Grow, Ro	bert		Robert M Gro	w Consulting	
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		buc	cet Commen	tType E	Comm	ent Status D		row_order, bucket
	¹ 13.28125 GHz" is ambiguou zation and an optical signal. andwidth2						ed after the BASE PMD preceding the), the opposite
SuggestedRemedy				00	dRemedy				
	andwidth of approximately 1	3 28125 CHz wi	th a fourth-order Bess		a sort order for	this this and	similar sublayer de	lay tables.	
	121.8.5.1 (and one in 121.8.			Proposed	<i> Response</i> POSED REJEC	,	se Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			The	ix sublaver dela	av tables in ti	he draft are varied i	n the sublavers	that they contain
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Table	125-3 contains	rows for P⊦	IYs, a PMD sublaye	er, a combined F	PCS and PMA, and two
"-3 dB bandwidth" only bandwidth" appears 55	appears twice in the draft (in	Annex 86A), w	hereas "3 dB				rent interleaving op ot result in an impr		a specific sort order for draft.
bandwidth appears 55	umes.			C/ 126	SC 126.2.2	2.11.1	P 5039	L 27	# I-46
In 121.8.5.3, change "fi	Iter with a bandwidth of" to "I	filter with a 3 dB	bandwidth of".	Wu, Mau	-Lin		MediaTek Inc		
To keep the draft aligned	ed, also change the places ir	n the draft that ir	clude exceptions to th	s Commen	t <i>Type</i> T	Comm	ent Status D		bucket
text.			·				_Data (see Figure		
In 124.8.5, 140.7.5, and bandwidth of".	d 151.8.5, change "filter with	a bandwidth of"	to "filter with a 3 dB		", instead of "Pl 26)". This shall b		ked for "False, PCS	is not in state F	PCS_Data (see Figure
				Suggeste	dRemedy				
C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.4	P 4928	L 3	# <mark>I-61</mark>	Char	0			400.00) "	
Ran, Adee	Cisco System	ns, Inc.					DATA (see Figure	126-26)."	
	Comment Status D er for 200GBASE-DR4 is a 5	tap, T spaced,	<i>buc</i> feed-forward equalizer		Response POSED ACCEF		se Status W		
(FFE), where T is the s	ymbol period."			C/ 126	SC 126.2.2	.12.1	P 5039	L 51	# 1-47
	are compound adjectives, a	nd should be wr	itten with a hyphen, jus	t Wu, Mau	-Lin		MediaTek Inc		
like "feed-forward".				Commen	tType T	Comm	ent Status D		bucket
Similar text is used in 1	22.8.5.4, 138.8.5.1, 140.7.5.	.1, 150.8.5.1, an	d 160.7.5.4.	For '	RUE', 'PHY is				reasons why "PCS",
SuggestedRemedy						checked for '	'False, PCS is not	currently perforn	ning a fast retrain".
	'T-spaced" in the 6 instance	s listed in the co	omment.		shall be a typo.				
Proposed Response	Response Status W				dRemedy				
PROPOSED ACCEPT	•				ge to SF_PHY is not	currently per	forming a fast retra	in "	
					Response		se Status W		
	138.8.5.1, 139.7.5.4, 140.7. ed" to: "5-tap, T-spaced"	.5.1, 150.8.5.1, a	and 160.7.5.4:		POSED ACCEF	,			
Unange Utap, i space	να το. υ-ταρ, τ-οραυσα			110					
	d ER/editorial required GR/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					C/ 12	-	Page 29 of 37

TTE. Tratechnica required Enveational required Oragene	Tarrequired Tricerinical Erealional Orgeneral	0/ 120	1 age 25 01 57
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 126.2.2.12.1	1/12/2022 1:54:28 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 126	SC 126.3.4	P 5056	L 34	# I-45	C/ 131	SC 131.	1.3	F	^o 5234	L 37	# I-57
Wu, Mau-L	Lin	MediaTek Inc.			Grow, Rob	ert		Ro	bert M Grov	w Consulting	
Comment [®] *** Cor		<i>Comment Status</i> D d with the file Comments to IE	EE 802.3-2021	<i>bucket</i> , D3.0.pdf attached ***	Comment [·] No cor		er for t	Comment Statu he PHY Types in		1-1 through Tab	row_order, bucket ble 131-3.
The de locatio	•	s of 'Sdn' is not correct due to	the parenthesis	put at the wrong	Suggested Pick a		onsist	ent with other intr	oductory cl	auses.	
Suggested	Remedy				Proposed I	Response		Response Statu	s W		
		equences' of 'Sdn' to			PROP	OSED REJ	ECT.				
replace	ed by '+' surroun	4]+(Scrn[19]+Scrn[24])), when ded by circle, which means X on is included in the "supportin	OR operation.	above shall be	stated	in the respo	onse to	o comment #65 a	gainst P802	2.3cj D2.0 (See	ent with the principles
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			https://	www.ieee8	02.org/	/3/cj/comments/F	°8023-D2p0)-Comments-Fi	nal-byID.pdf#page=14)
	OSED ACCEPT							ne order as the co ss the three tables		n of tables 131	-2 and 131-3, so the
Sdn =	Scrn[9]+Scrn[14	equences' of 'Sdn' to l]+Scrn[19]+Scrn[24], where th rcle, which means XOR opera		oove shall be replaced	No cha	anges requi	red.				
-	· · ·			"	C/ 131	SC 131.	4	F	⁹ 5239	L 24	# 1-58
C/ 128	SC 128.9.1	P 5195	L 29	# 1-92						- ···	
					Grow, Rob	ert		Ro	bert M Grov	w Consulting	
Wienckow	ski, Natalie	General Motor	rs Company		Grow, Rob			Ro Comment Statu		w Consulting	row_order, bucke
Wienckow Comment	Type E	General Motor <i>Comment Status</i> D g J.2 to match other reference		bucket	<i>Comment</i> This ta	<i>Type</i> E ble is anoth		Comment Statu	ıs D	Ū	row_order, bucke we are consistent from
Wienckow Comment Chang	<i>Type</i> E ge text referencin	Comment Status D			<i>Comment</i> This ta	<i>Type</i> E ble is anoth to clause (o		<i>Comment Statu</i> he group of delay	ıs D	Ū	
Wienckow Comment Chang Suggested Chang	<i>Type</i> E ge text referencing <i>Remedy</i> ge: conform to th	Comment Status D Ig J.2 to match other reference e general safety requirements	e statements. in J.2		Comment This ta clause Suggested	<i>Type</i> E ble is anoth to clause (<i>Remedy</i>	clause	<i>Comment Statu</i> he group of delay	<i>us</i> D constraints	s tables where v	
Wienckow Comment Chang Suggested Chang To: cc	Type E ge text referencin IRemedy ge: conform to the ponform to the get	Comment Status D g J.2 to match other reference e general safety requirements neral safety requirements as s	e statements. in J.2		Comment This ta clause Suggested	<i>Type</i> E ble is anoth to clause (<i>Remedy</i> sort order f	clause	Comment Statu he group of delay is 105 and 125).	us D constraints sublayer del	s tables where v	_ ·
Wienckow Comment Chang Suggested Chang To: cc Also cl	Type E le text referencin Remedy le: conform to th onform to the get hange on P5929	Comment Status D Ig J.2 to match other reference e general safety requirements heral safety requirements as s L24	e statements. in J.2		Comment This ta clause Suggested Pick a Proposed I	<i>Type</i> E ble is anoth to clause (<i>Remedy</i> sort order f <i>Response</i>	clause: or this	Comment Statu he group of delay s 105 and 125). this and similar s	us D constraints sublayer del	s tables where v	
Wienckow Comment Chang Suggested Chang To: cc Also cl Proposed I	Type E ge text referencin IRemedy ge: conform to the ponform to the get	Comment Status D g J.2 to match other reference e general safety requirements heral safety requirements as s L24 Response Status W	e statements. in J.2		Comment This ta clause Suggested Pick a Proposed I PROP	Type E ble is anoth to clause (r Remedy sort order fr Response OSED ACC 131-4 is sor	clauses or this EPT II ted by	Comment Statu he group of delay is 105 and 125). this and similar s <i>Response Statu</i> N PRINCIPLE.	us D constraints sublayer del rs W n in the state	s tables where v lay tables. ck, from the MA	we are consistent from C towards the medium,
Wienckow Comment ⁻ Chang Suggested Chang To: cc Also cl Proposed I PROP	Type E ge text referencin <i>Remedy</i> ge: conform to th pnform to the gen hange on P5929 <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D g J.2 to match other reference e general safety requirements heral safety requirements as s L24 Response Status W	e statements. in J.2		Comment This ta clause Suggested Pick a Proposed I PROP	Type E ble is anoth to clause (r Remedy sort order fr Response OSED ACC 131-4 is sor	clauses or this EPT II ted by	Comment Statu he group of delay is 105 and 125). this and similar s <i>Response Statu</i> N PRINCIPLE.	us D constraints sublayer del rs W n in the state	s tables where v lay tables. ck, from the MA	we are consistent from
Wienckow Comment Chang Suggested Chang To: cc Also cl Proposed I PROP	Type E ge text referencin <i>Remedy</i> ge: conform to th pnform to the gen hange on P5929 <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D g J.2 to match other reference e general safety requirements heral safety requirements as s L24 Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.	e statements. in J.2		Comment This ta clause Suggested Pick a Proposed I PROP Table and the 5GBAS	Type E ble is anoth to clause (i Remedy sort order fr Response OSED ACC 131-4 is sor e PMDs are 125-3 has n	clause or this EPT II ted by order nostly	Comment Statu he group of delay is 105 and 125). this and similar s <i>Response Statu</i> N PRINCIPLE. sublayer positior ed by clause num PHY types with n	us D constraints sublayer del as W n in the stac uber. This o o division to	s tables where v lay tables. ck, from the MA order is consistence o subclauses. T	we are consistent from C towards the medium, ent with Table 105-3.
Nienckow Comment Chang Suggested Chang To: cc Also cl Proposed I PROP	Type E ge text referencin <i>Remedy</i> ge: conform to th pnform to the gen hange on P5929 <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D g J.2 to match other reference e general safety requirements heral safety requirements as s L24 Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.	e statements. in J.2		Comment This ta clause Suggested Pick a Proposed I PROP Table and the 5GBAS and cla	Type E ble is anoth to clause (i Remedy sort order fr Response OSED ACC 131-4 is sor e PMDs are 125-3 has n SE-KR whic ause numbe	EPT II EPT II or this EPT II ted by order order hostly h has ers.	Comment Statu he group of delay is 105 and 125). this and similar s <i>Response Statu</i> N PRINCIPLE. r sublayer positior ed by clause num PHY types with n two rows, with or	us D constraints sublayer del rs W n in the stac ober. This o o division to der inconsis	s tables where v lay tables. ck, from the MA order is consiste o subclauses. T stent with the su	C towards the medium, nt with Table 105-3. The exception is
Vienckow Comment Chang Suggested Chang To: cc Also cl Proposed I PROP	Type E ge text referencin <i>Remedy</i> ge: conform to th pnform to the gen hange on P5929 <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D g J.2 to match other reference e general safety requirements heral safety requirements as s L24 Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.	e statements. in J.2		Comment This ta clause Suggested Pick a Proposed I PROP Table and the 5GBAS and cla	Type E ble is anoth to clause (i Remedy sort order fr Response OSED ACC 131-4 is sor e PMDs are 125-3 has n SE-KR whic ause numbe	EPT II EPT II or this EPT II ted by order order hostly h has ers.	Comment Statu he group of delay is 105 and 125). this and similar s <i>Response Statu</i> N PRINCIPLE. r sublayer positior ed by clause num PHY types with n two rows, with or	us D constraints sublayer del rs W n in the stac ober. This o o division to der inconsis	s tables where v lay tables. ck, from the MA order is consiste o subclauses. T stent with the su	C towards the medium, ent with Table 105-3. The exception is ublayer stack position

C/ 131 SC 131.4

C/ 136	SC 136.8.11.7.1	P 5326	L 33	# I-40
Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom Inc		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

The definition of lost_training_lock states "or the detection of a non-compliant input signal has occurred for 1ms". The original intent of this phrase was to identify if the remote end has stopped transmission of the training frames (e.g. squelched its transmitter). However, when the transmitter is in the transmit disable state (136.8.7) it is providing a specification compliant signal. We don't want to monitor for a signal that is below the Transmitter steady-state voltage minimum and above the Differential pk-pk output voltage with Tx Disabled (see Table 136-11).

In addition the "or" implies that you must do both a 20ms monitor of loss of frame_lock AND detect the signal is no longer transmitting, since the variable is to assert if EITHER of the scenarios occur.

Lastly, this variable is used to exit out of the TRAINING_LOCAL and TRAINING_REMOTE states in which you are constantly receiving training frames, so the remote end would only squelch if it were to go to the QUIET state or be reset. The faster you follow along, the more robust the system will be (you enter QUIET before the remote end can return to TRAIN_LOCAL). Thus, mandating a 1ms delay upon squelch detection does not provide any improvement to the system.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the definition of lost_training_lock to be:

Boolean variable that indicates disruption in the reception of training frames from the link partner. When use_quiet_in_training is TRUE and the PMD control function (see Figure 136-7) is in TRAIN_LOCAL or TRAIN_REMOTE states, this variable is set to TRUE if local_tf_lock is FALSE continuously for a period of 20 ms, and may also be set to TRUE upon detection of an input signal consistent with a transmitter operating in the QUIET operating mode (see 136.8.2). It is set to FALSE otherwise.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 136	SC 136.9.3	.1.5	P 5336	L 32	# I-50
Ran, Adee	•		Cisco Syster	ms, Inc.	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment	t Status D		bucket
		set to zero by c(0) will be set		efficient request c	of "no equalization" for
The re	quirements to	set to zero are	only for c(-2), c	c(-1) and c(1).	
Suggested	lRemedy				
'Any o				set to zero by as	serting a coefficient
•	Response OSED ACCEF	Response PT IN PRINCIP	Status W LE.		
'Any c equali	zation" for that	pt c(0) may be coefficient'.		Ū	cient request of "no
C/ 137	SC 137.9.2		P 5360	L 39	# 1-59
Ben-Artsi,				iconductor, Inc.	
1 an a measu wavefe No sue manip For re wavefe	asure some of ppropriate mea ure in a specific orm according ch measureme ulate Tx equali ference In a pa orm may option	the characterist asurement envit bandwidth and to allowed equi- nt environment zation during T uragraph precedually be manipu	ronment and se d one may also alization capabi t was described x compliance n ding table 120D llated." and "A	etting needs to be need to manipul ilities. I in the text nor w neasurements. I-1 it is stated tha	t: "The transmit output a fourth-order Bessel-
Suggested					
-Appe given -Appe	nd to the first s in Table 120D-	1): "with a mea a): Linear fit p 3.1.3).	isurement syste ulse peak is me	em as specified in	the specifications n 120D.3.1". smit equalization off

-Append to exception b): The state of the transmit equalization may be manipulated and controlled by the PMD control function specified in 136.8.11, or by equivalent means.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 137 SC 137.9.2 Page 31 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:28 PM

bucket

C/ 138	SC 138.5.2	P 5378	L 10	#	I-20
Ran, Adee		Cisco Sys	stems, Inc.		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

"The four optical power levels in the signal stream in order from lowest to highest shall correspond to tx_symbols zero, one, two, and three, respectively"

"tx_symbols" is undefined. Tx_symbol is the parameter of the service interface primitive PMD:IS_UNITDATA_i.request. The sentence above refers to the possible values of this parameters.

The corresponding text in other clauses refers to the values of tx_symbol. For example, in 121.5.2: "The highest optical power level in each signal stream shall correspond to tx_symbol = three and the lowest shall correspond to tx_symbol = zero".

The same issue exists in similar text in 139.5.2, 140.5.2, 150.5.2, 160.5.2, and in corresponding PICS items.

The text could be changed to match that of 121.5.2, but to prevent possible misunderstanding, the proposed change is more subtle.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "correspond to tx_symbols zero, one, two, and three, respectively" to "correspond to tx_symbol values zero, one, two, and three, respectively".

Implement in 138.5.2, 139.5.2, 140.5.2, 150.5.2, 160.5.2, and in PICS items in 138.11.4.1, 139.13.4.1, 140.12.4.1, 150.11.4.1, 160.12.4.1.

In addition, change PICS item F6 in 151.13.4.1 to match the text in 151.5.2 (which does not require correction).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 138.5.2, 139.5.2, 140.5.2, 150.5.2, 160.5.2, 138.11.4.1 item F5, 139.13.4.1 item F6, 140.12.4.1 item F5, 150.11.4.1 item F5, and 160.12.4.1 item F5: Change "correspond to tx symbols zero, one, two, and three, respectively" to: "correspond

to tx_symbol values zero, one, two, and three, respectively".

The modulation format used in Clause 151: "4-level pulse amplitude modulation (PAM4) format" is the same as for that used in Clauses 138, 139, 140, 150, and 160, so there is no reason that the text mapping levels to symbols should not cover all four levels here too.

In 151.5.2:

Change "The highest optical power level in each signal stream shall correspond to tx_symbol = three and the lowest shall correspond to tx_symbol = zero." to: "The four optical power levels in the signal in order from lowest to highest shall correspond to tx symbol values zero, one, two, and three, respectively."

In 151.13.4.1 item F6:

Change "correspond to tx_symbols zero, one, two, and three, respectively" to: "correspond to tx_symbol values zero, one, two, and three, respectively".

C/ 138 SC 13	8.5.3 P 537	78 L 19	# I-21
Ran, Adee	Cisco S	Systems, Inc.	
Comment Type 1	R Comment Status)	bucket

"The four optical power levels in each signal in order from lowest to highest shall correspond to rx_symbols zero, one, two, and three, respectively"

"rx_symbols" is undefined. Rx_symbol is the parameter of the service interface primitive PMD:IS_UNITDATA_i.indication. The sentence above refers to the possible values of this parameters.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "correspond to rx_symbols zero, one, two, and three, respectively" to "correspond to rx_symbol values zero, one, two, and three, respectively".

Implement in 138.5.3, 139.5.3, 140.5.3, 150.5.3, 160.5.3, and in PICS items in 138.11.4.1, 139.13.4.1, 140.12.4.1, 150.11.4.1, 160.12.4.1.

In addition, change PICS item F9 in 151.13.4.1 to match the text in 151.5.3 (which does not require correction).

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 138.5.3, 139.5.3, 140.5.3, 150.5.3, 160.5.3, 138.11.4.1 item F8, 139.13.4.1 item F9, 140.12.4.1 item F8, 150.11.4.1 item F8, and 160.12.4.1 item F8: Change "correspond to rx_symbols zero, one, two, and three, respectively" to: "correspond to rx symbol values zero, one, two, and three, respectively".

The modulation format used in Clause 151: "4-level pulse amplitude modulation (PAM4) format" is the same as for that used in Clauses 138, 139, 140, 150, and 160, so there is no reason that the text mapping levels to symbols should not cover all four levels here too.

In 151.5.3:

Change "The higher optical power level in each signal shall correspond to rx_symbol = three and the lowest shall correspond to rx_symbol = zero." to: "The four optical power levels in each signal in order from lowest to highest shall correspond to rx_symbol values zero, one, two, and three, respectively."

In 151.13.4.1 item F9:

Change "correspond to rx_symbols zero, one, two, and three, respectively" to: "correspond to rx_symbol values zero, one, two, and three, respectively".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 138 SC 138.5.3 Page 32 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:28 PM

C/ 138	SC 138.6	P 5380	L 16	# I-24	C/ 142	SC	142.2.4.2	P 5516	L 11	# <mark>I-15</mark>
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.		Kramer, G	len		Broadcom Co	rporation	
Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		
100GBA physica	ASE-SR2, 200G	gnments (within a group of tra BASE-SR4, or 400GBASE-S lanes, as the RS-FEC sublay	R8 <> there i	s no need to define the	The bu interlea	ıllet list aved fir	that descr st and ther	with the file FEC_Encoding_ bes the FEC encoding proc punctured. This is not corro st punctured and then interly	ess states that ect. The figure	t parity bits are first 142-5 properly shows
		nly in 100GBASE-SR2. In 20 pable of receiving the lanes in						lues are provided for parity before puncturing and 10 c		
SuggestedR	Remedy				Suggested	Remed	ly			
		C sublayer is capable" to "as	the RS-FEC ar	d PCS sublayers are	Modify	the FE	C encodin	g process description as she	own in FEC_er	ncoding_process.pdf
capable					Proposed I	Respor	ise	Response Status W		
Proposed R	Response DSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			PROP	OSED	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
capable	9".	C sublayer is capable" to "as			the foll	owing	link.	d remedy. Note that the refe g/3/dc/comments/I-15%20F		, ,
C/ 142	SC 142.2.4.2	P 5514	L 19	# I-16	C/ 142	SC	142.2.4.3	P 5516	L 38	# I-11
Kramer, Gle	en	Broadcom Co	rporation		Kramer, G	len		Broadcom Co	rporation	
Comment T		Comment Status D			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		bucke
	of FEC encodir	does not convey the intendeo ng.	d meaning. This	section describes the	(permi	utation)	of each ot	ote that the interleaver and o her." The word "area" proba ntence is repeated on line 5	bly was intend	ed to be "are a". Note
	-	processing" with "FEC encod	ling process"		Suggested	Remed	lv			
Proposed R		Response Status W			Elimina the inte is,	ate the erleave	repetition l r and de-in	by deleting the following two terleaver area reverse mapp Omega networks are just th	oing (permutat	on) of each other. That
					Proposed I PROP	,	nse ACCEPT.	Response Status W		

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.3 Page 33 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:28 PM

C/ 142 S	SC 142.2.4.3	P 5518	L 1	# I-17	C/ 142	SC 142.3	1 P 5529	L 27	# I-14	
Kramer, Glen		Broadcom Co	orporation		Kramer, Gl	en	Broadcom	Corporation		
omment Typ	e TR Cor	nment Status D			Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status D			
		he file New_figure_14			There i side) s	is a mistake hould actuall	n Figure 142-12. The box that y say "Information bit interleav	t shows "Parity bit /er"	interleaver" (lower left	
Figure 142-8 lacks the necessary details to allow a succesul implementation. Neither this figure, por the surrounding text evolution whether the 8 stages go from left to right or from						Remedy				
figure, nor the surrounding text explain whether the 8 stages go from left to right or from right to left. Also, no explanation is given for which bits in a 256-bit block are controlled by					Modify	as indicated				
each 2x2	switch.				Proposed F	Response	Response Status W			
SuggestedRei	medy				PROP	OSED ACCE	PT.			
Modify figure 142-8 as shown in the attached file New_figure_142-8.pdf. The new figure clarifies the order of switch stages that matches the model used to produce the test vectors					C/ 142A	SC 142A.	1 <i>P</i> 6976	L 19	# [<u>-</u> 13	
snown in <i>i</i>	shown in Annex 142C. Also, mapping of bits to switches is illustrated.				Kramer, Gl	en	Broadcom	Corporation		
	5517, add the follow	ng sentence at the en	d of the first para	agraph, after the words	Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status D			
"and each switch has two inputs and two outputs as shown.": "The inputs and outputs of switch i (i = 0127) are connected to bits ix2 and ix2+1 of a 256- bit data chunk." (To editor: all four occurrences of 'i' are in italics)					*** Comment submitted with the file 8023dc_142A_1_clean.pdf;8023dc_142A_1_diff.pdf attached ***					
roposed Res		oonse Status W	are in italies)		The text shows the 128-bit sequence that is used to control 128 switches. However, there is no indication which bit is intended for which switch. It is ambiguous whether the least-					
•	ED ACCEPT IN PR						the left side) controls switch			
the followi	ing link.	edy. Note that the refe		5	The model that was used to generate the test vectors shown in Annex 142A had the least significant bit controlling switch 0 and the most significant bit controlling switch 127. Also, for each subsequent stage, the bit sequence was rotated left, not right as implied on lines 26 and 33.					
/ 142	SC 142.2.5.1	P 5521	L 44	# 1-97	Suggested	Remedy				
Dawe, Piers J	G	NVIDIA			Modify the subclause 142A.1 as shown in the attached files 8023dc_142A_1_clean.pdf and					
comment Typ	e E Cor	nment Status D		bucket	8023dd	c_142A_1_di	ff.pdf.			
"a FEC" a	ppears 10 times, "a	n FEC" 51 times			The proposed new text also uses the bit sequence format similar to what is done in subclause 142.1.3.1					
SuggestedRei Make ther	medy m all the same				Proposed I	Response	Response Status W			
		0			PROP	OSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.			
Proposed Res		bonse Status W								
PROPUS	ED ACCEPT IN PR	NCIPLE.				ient the suge owing link.	ested remedy. Note that the r	eterenced files ma	ay be accessed using	
P802.3by https://ww	D3.0: w.ieee802.org/3/by/		3by_D30_comme	mment i-19 against ent_final_responses_by			02.org/3/dc/comments/I-13_sເ	ipporting_docs.zip	<	
		nent i-44 against D3.0 comments/P8023-D3p		al-byID.pdf#page=16						

C/ 142A SC 142A.1

C/ 142A SC 142A.2	P 6978	L 16	# I-12	C/ 146	SC 146.8.6	P 5880	L	# 1-62		
Kramer, Glen	Broadcom Corpo	oration		Maytum, M	ichael	None-Retire	d			
Comment Type E In Table 142A-2, the sec	Comment Status D ond row that shows the bit orde	er shall be part	<i>bucket</i> of the table header.	Comment 7 PELV i	<i>ype</i> TR s mentioned, bu	Comment Status D t not explained		elv		
SuggestedRemedy Make the line between ro every page where the tak	ws 2 and 3 thick. Make sure th le header is repeated.	ne rows 1 and 2	are repeated on		Re <i>medy</i> e 232 add Protective Extr	a Low Voltage				
Apply the same change t Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	o tables 142A-3 through 142A- Response Status W	-6.		Change) SED ACCEPT e 146.8.6 first se			institut of short since its of		
C/ 142A SC 142A.2 Kramer, Glen	P 6982 Broadcom Corpo	L 32 pration	# I-18	"The wire pair of the MDI shall withstand without damage the application of any wire to the other wire of the same pair or ground potential, as per Tab all operating conditions, for an indefinite period of time." To						
Comment Type TR *** Comment submitted v 8023dc_142A_corrected nc_post_intlv.txt attached	_test_vectors.pdf;ldpc_tv4_pos	st_enc_pre_intl	v.txt;ldpc_tv5_post_e	"The w any wir	e to the other wi ating conditions	DI shall withstand without da ire of the same pair or grour , for an indefinite period of t	per Table 146-9, under			
In the table 142A-4, the last vector TV3[56] is incorrect. It does not match the vecor produced from TV2[56] using the described deinterleaving process. (Vectors TV3[0] through TV3[55] are all correct.)				Delete the entire note at the end of subclause 146.8.6. The current draft of IEEE P802.3dd Power over Data Lines of Single Pair Ethernet (Maintenance #17), which is a draft amendment to this revision, proposes the deletion of note at the end of 146.8.6. As this note contains the only instance of PELV in the entire IEEE P802.3 draft, implementing the						
As a result of the incorre	ct TV3[56], all the TV4 and TV	5 vectors are ir	orrect as well.			IEEE P802.3, rather than wa e complete remedy above, l				
SuggestedRemedy The attached file 8023dc Machine-readable files a Idpc_tv4_post_enc_pre_ Idpc_tv5_post_enc_post	ntlv.txt	pdf shows the	correct test vectors.	docum	ented by slide 4			-		
The new vector values a	e confirmed by two independe	ent implementat	ions.							
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W									
the following link.	remedy. Note that the referen	-	be accessed using							

<https://www.ieee802.org/3/dc/comments/I-18_supporting_docs.zip>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 146 SC 146.8.6 Page 35 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:29 PM

C/ 147	SC 147.3.2.7	P 5902	L 17	# I-6	C/ 149	SC 149.3.2.	3 P 5992	L 13	# I-94
immerma	n, George	ADI, APL O	Group, Cisco, Con	nmScope, Marvell, SenT	Wienckow	vski, Natalie	General Moto	rs Company	
omment 7	ype TR	Comment Status D		bucket	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		buck
		ents from 802.3cg, and to			Need	to correct source	e of alert_detect. The source i	is correctly show	n in Figure 149-2.
		and seeing the entry cond s for the PHY to transmit a			Suggested	dRemedv			
		LENT through the "B" bra			00		fresh cycle continues until the	link synchroniza	tion detect asserts
original	ly defined), beca	use tx_cmd = COMMIT or	n entry to SILENT	. However, if SILENT is	alert_			-	
		e.g., through reset, or fron PLCA control SD), tx_sym				•	cycle continues until the PMA	Receive functio	n asserts alert_detect
		md = COMMIT is set, and			,	Response	Response Status W		
		ve need to set tx_sym to C		MMIT state, just to	PROF	POSED ACCEPT	Γ.		
		s and get the correct, expe	ected behavior.		C/ 149	SC 149.4.1	P 6026	L 44	# 1-93
Suggestedl	-				Wienckow	vski, Natalie	General Moto	rs Company	
	_ /	MIT" into the "COMMIT" s	lale		Comment	Type T	Comment Status D		buck
Proposed Response Response Status W					Remove send_s_sigdet signal that doesn't exit LINK SYNCRONIZATION state.				
PROPO	OSED ACCEPT.				Suggested	dRemedv			
C/ 148	SC 148.4.4.6	P 5949	L 20	# I-39	00		et dashed line and name.		
Zimmerma	n, George	ADI, APL O	Group, Cisco, Con	nmScope, Marvell, SenT	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status D			PROF	OSED ACCEPT	Г.		
		n enters RESYNC from an			C/ 149	SC 149.4.1	P 6026	L 44	# 1-95
		lone), the values of tx_cmo ay be sent. Since expiration							# 1-95
		and undesired behavior.				vski, Natalie	General Moto	rs Company	h
Suggestedl	Remedy				Comment		Comment Status D		buck
		E" and "committed <= FAI	_SE" into RESYN	C state in Figure 148-3-	Add missing alert_detect in Figure 149-26.				
	Control state dia	gram, part a			Suggester				
Proposed F	•	Response Status W					PMA receive up to PMA SERV	ICE INTERFACE	= labeled alert_detect.
PROPO	DSED ACCEPT.				•	Response POSED ACCEP1	Response Status W		

C/ 149 SC 149.4.1

CI J	SC J.1	P 6317	L	# <mark>I-66</mark>
Maytum,	Michael	None-Retired		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The J.1 test procedure should only be used for equipment having a single wired Ethernet port. Recent multiport equipment testing showed a J.1 problem. One test house found the tested port withstood a 6 kV 1.2/50 voltage impulse. A second test house found the port broke down with a 2 kV impulse. The 2 kV test house got a lower breakdown voltage because it terminated the untested ports. This gave a path to earth and the actual breakdown was initially inter-port. Ethernet ports tend to be grouped together and have multiple link connections. In the end, the 6 kV test house conceded it was realistic to test with the untested ports terminated. Terminations on untested wired Ethernet ports are necessary to unify testing as several manufacturers have now replaced the Bob Smith termination network with alternative design techniques.

SuggestedRemedy

Either state that J.1 testing only applies to equipment with a single Ethernet port or state when testing, untested Ethernet ports shall be terminated using a network such as defined in IEC 61156-1, Multicore and symmetrical pair/quad cables for digital communications - Part 1: Generic specification. For more details see https://ict-surge-protection-essays.co.uk/downloads/whats-going-on-termination-of-untested-wired-ethernet-twisted-pairs/

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert the following new note at the end of J.1: "NOTE 3 - Implementers should consider the effect of whether other ports are terminated or unterminated when testing the insulation of multi-port devices."

C/ J	SC J.1	P 6317	L	# I-65
Maytum,	Michael	None-Retired		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

The three test voltages a) or b) or c) could be used by a manufacturer for verifying an isolating transformer. However, the voltages of a) and b) do not represent conditions that occur in the field and should not be used to verify the entire wired Ethernet interface which may have components that suffer hazardous breakdown under non-impulse conditions. IEC 60664-1, Insulation coordination for equipment within low-voltage supply systems - Part 1: Principles, requirements and tests warns "While tests with AC and DC voltages of the same peak value as the impulse test voltage specified in Table F.6 verify the withstand capability of clearances, they more highly stress solid insulation because the voltage is applied for a longer duration. They can overload and damage certain solid insulations. Technical committees should therefore consider this when specifying tests with AC or DC voltages as an alternative to the impulse voltage test given in 6.4.5.". In addition, test voltages a) and b) do not have defined prospective short-circuit currents leading to possible damaging high currents.

SuggestedRemedy

Limit the test voltages a), b) for verifying transformer isolation and use impulse test voltage c) for transformer isolation verification and port withstand voltage testing. Equipment resistibility standards use impulse testing for wired Ethernet port voltage withstand testing and J.1 should recognise that.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Commenter provides insufficient information to implement a remedy. Additionally, CRG disagrees with the commenter on only using certain tests for verifying transformer isolation, because the specification applies to the port, not the a single component of the Ethernet port (e.g., a transformer).

C/ J SC J.1 Page 37 of 37 1/12/2022 1:54:29 PM