# Baseline specifications for optical PMDs based on 200G/lane for 500m and 2km

Guangcan Mi, Ruoxu Wang Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd



#### Introduction

- The task force has finished the 1<sup>st</sup> Task Force review of P802.3df D1.0, which defines Optical PMDs based on 100G/lane optics.
- The hot issue of 200G/lane optics has been on the choice of technical solution for 10km/40km applications.
- However, the first deployed 200G/lane optics is likely to be targeting applications ≤2km, considering the technical difficulties in longer reach and the large number of shipments in this application space. So far, only a few contributions have been proposed, i.e. welch\_3df\_01b\_220602, welch\_3df\_01a\_221011, ingham\_3df\_01\_221011.
- This contribution joins the discussion on baselines of 200G/lane optical PMDs for applications up to 2km.

Related Objectives								
DRx(500m)	DRx-2	FR4						
400Gb/s over 2 pairs								
800Gb/s over 4pairs	800Gb/s over 4pair	800Gb/s over 4λs						
1.6Tb/s over 8pairs	1.6Tb/s over 8pairs							



#### Fundamental Specs of the Optical Channel

	800G-DR4	800G-DR4-2	800G-FR4	
Assumed Wavelength Plan	1304.5-1317.5	1304.5-1317.5	1264.5 to 1277.5 1284.5 to 1297.5 1304.5 to 1317.5 1324.5 to 1337.5	
power budget (dB)				
channel IL(dB)	3	4	4	Table 124-8. Table 151-9
max discrete reflectance (dB)	-45	-35	-35	
allocation for penalties (dB)				
max positive dispersion (ps/nm)	0.8	3.2	6.6	
min negative dispersion (ps/nm)	-0.93	-3.7	-11.7	☐
DGD_max (ps)	1.14	2.28	2.28	
optical return loss(min) (dB)	37	25	25	

$DGD_max = PMD$	<u>_max</u> * sqrt(Lkm) *	S
-----------------	---------------------------	---

	PMD_Q	PMD_max	S	L(km)	DGD_max (ps)
100GBASE-FR 400GBASE-FR4	0.2	0. 43	3.75	2	2.3
800G-DR4	0.2	0.45	3.75	0.5	1.14
800G-DR4-2 800G FR4	0.2	0. 43	3. 75	2	2. 28

Same as in P802.3df D1.0 for 400GBASE-DR4-2



#### MPI penalty – 500m application





#### For DR (500m) applications, MPI penalty 0.1dB

I OTALIZACION ASSUNCE ALIGN	.u															
				N=							ER	dER	1/1-dER	inner/ou	uter OMA	
Baseline BER	average phase=			4							3.5	0.447	1.8073	0.33	1	
4.9E-03	3.141941689															
	PMD											PMD				
	R1	R 2	R 3	R 4	R 5	R 6	R7	R 8	R 9	R 10	R 11	R 12				
	Rpmd	RconF	RconG	RconG	RconH	RconK	RconK	RconH	RconG	RconG	RconF	Rpmd		N value	used to	rat
Reflection level inputs->	-26	-45	-55	-55	-55	-55	-45	-45	-55	-55	-45	-26		4		
	phase between	phase between	phase b	phase b	phase b	phase betw	phase bet	phase be	phase b	etween						
	int1-int2	int2-int3	int3-int4	int4-int5	int5-int6	int6-int7	int7-int8	int8-int9	int9-int1	int10-in	int11-in	t12				





## MPI penalty – 2km application

Random phase between refle	ctors, random	selection of mo	dulatio	on leve	ls										
Polarization assumed align	ed			PAM-N									1		
_				N=							ER	dER	1/1-dEF	inner/o	uter OM/
Baseline BER	average phase=			4							3.5	0.447	1.8073	0.33	1
2.0E-03	3.106680048														
	PMD											PMD			
	R1	R 2	R 3	R 4	R 5	R 6	R7	R 8	R 9	R 10	R 11	R 12			
	Rpmd	RconF	RconG	RconG	RconH	RconK	RconK	RconH	RconG	RconG	RconF	Rpmd		N value	e used to
Reflection level inputs->	-26	-35	-55	-55	-55	-55	-35	-35	-55	-55	-35	-26		4	
	phase between	phase between	phase b	phase b	phase b	phase betw	ephase be	t phase be	e phase b	etween					
	int1-int2	int2-int3	int3-int4	int4-int5	int5-int6	int6-int7	int7-int8	int8-int9	int9-int1	(int10-ir	int11-in	t12			



Random phase between reflect	ctors, random	selection of mo	dulatio	n leve	els										
Polarization assumed aligne	ed			PAM-N									1		
				N=							ER	dER	1/1-dEF	inner/o	outer OM/
Baseline BER	average phase=			4							3.5	0.447	1.8073	0.33	1
4.9E-03	3.118309958														
	PMD											PMD			
	R 1	R 2	R 3	R 4	R 5	R 6	R7	R 8	R 9	R 10	R 11	R 12			
	Rpmd	RconF	RconG	RconG	RconH	RconK	RconK	RconH	RconG	RconG	RconF	Rpmd		N valu	e used to
Reflection level inputs->	-26	-35	-55	-55	-55	-55	-35	-35	-55	-55	-35	-26		4	
	phase between	phase between	phase b	phase b	phase b	phase betw	phase be	hphase be	phase b	etween					
	int1-int2	int2-int3	int3-int4	int4-int5	int5-int6	int6-int7	int7-int8	int8-int9	int9-int1	int10-in	int11-in	t12			

For 2km applications(DR-2 & FR) , MPI penalty 0.3dB



#### DGD penalty



kuschnerov\_3df\_01b\_221012

- For DR (500m) applications the estimated DGD penalty is well below 0.2dB, even with limited component bandwidth and FFE only at Rx side
- In 2km applications the influence of system impairments and the benefits of strengthened Rx DSP algorithm starts to show.

DGD	1.14ps (500m)	2.28ps (2km)
Rx Sensitivity Penalty	0.2dB	0.4dB



#### Proposed Link Budget of the Fiber Link

	800G-DR4	800G-DR4-2	800G-FR4
		<b>0</b> 4	
power budget (dB)	6.7	8.1	8.4
channel IL (dB)	3	4	4
max discrete reflectance (dB)	-45	-35	-35
allocation for penalties (dB)	3.7	4.1	4.4
max positive dispersion (ps/nm)	0.8	3.2	6.6
min negative dispersion (ps/nm)	-0.93	-3.7	-11.7
DGD_max (ps)	1.14	2.28	2.28
optical return loss(min) (dB)	37	25	25
MPI Penalty (dB)	0.1	0.3	0.3
DGD Penalty (dB)	0.2	0.4	0.4
TDECQ max (dB)	3.4	3.4	3.7



## Revisit the experimental result

- As stated in <u>kuschnerov\_3df\_01b\_221012</u>, with FEC limit @4.85e-3, with a strengthened algorithm, FFE+MLSE for the data
  - ~-8dBm OMA Rx sensitivity
  - Leaves about  $\sim$ 3dB for a Rx sensitivity of -5dBm
- Same applies to assuming FEC limit @2e-3, with a strengthened Rx algorithm, FFE+MLSE for the data
  - ~-7.3dBm OMA
  - Leaves >3dB for a Rx Sensitivity of -4dBm
- The performance can still be improved as the 200G/lane technology evolves
  - Current data were acquired with bandwidth-limited components
  - Room to improve Rx Noise factors





#### Proposed Transmitter Baseline

		800G-DR4	800G-DR4-2	800G-FR4		
D	Description		Value	•	Unit	
Signaling R	ate, each lane(range)		TBD		GBd	
Modu	ulation Format		PAM4		-	
Lane Wavelength( Range)		1304.5-1317.5	1304.5-1317.5	1264.5 to 1277.5 1284.5 to 1297.5 1304.5 to 1317.5 1324.5 to 1337.5	nm	
Average Launcl	n Power, each lane(max)	4	4	4	dBm	
Average Launch	n Power, each lane(m,in)	-2.9	-2.8	-2.8	dBm	
Transmitte	er OMA_outer max	4.2	4.2	4.2	dB	
	TDECQ<1.4dB	-0.8	0.2	0.2	dBm	
Transmitter OMA <sub>outer min</sub>	1.4dB≤TDECQ≤TDECQ_max	-2.2+TDECQ	-1.5+TDECQ	-2.8 d 4.2 0.2 d -1.2+TDECQ d 3.7 2	dBm	
TE	DECQ(max)	3.4	3.4	3.7		
	TECQ	same as TDECQ				
TD	ECQ-TECQ	TBD	TBD	TBD	dB	
Average launch power of	f OFF transmitter, each lane(max)	-15	-15	-16	dBm	
Extione	ction Ratio (min)	3.5	3.5	3.5	dB	
Transmit	ter Transition time	TBD	TBD	TBD	Ps	
over/ur	nder-shoot(max)	TBD	TBD	TBD	%	
R	RIN <sub>x</sub> OMA <sup>a</sup>		-139	-139	dB/Hz	
Optical Retur	rn loss tolerance(max)	21.4	17.1	17.1	dB	
Transmitte	er reflectance(max)	-26	-26	-26	dB	
<sup>a</sup> : x refers to the value of	Optical return loss tolerance(max)	of each column.				

Remained the same as 100G/lane, friendly to implementations using SiP-based Transmitter and shared laser source, for low cost.



#### Proposed Receiver Baseline

		800G-DR4	800G-DR4-2	800G-FR4			
E	Description		Value		Unit		
Signaling R	ate, each lane(range)	TBD					
Modu	ulation Format		PAM4	-	-		
Lane Wa	welength( Range)	1304.5-1317.5	1304.5-1317.5	1264.5 to 1277.5 1284.5 to 1297.5 1304.5 to 1317.5 1324.5 to 1337.5	nm		
Damage t	hreshold, each lane	5	5	5	dB		
Average receive Power, each lane(max)		4	4	4	dBm		
Average receiv	e Power, each lane(min)	-6	-7.1	-7.2	dBm		
Receiver	r OMA_outer max	4.2	4.2	3.7	dB		
Receiver	reflectance(max)	-26	-26	-26	dB		
Deciover Sensitivity	TECQ<1.4dB	-4.1	-4.5	-4.5	dBm		
OMA <sub>outer</sub> , each lane(max)	1.4dB≤TECQ≤TECQ_max	-5.5+TECQ	-5.9+TECQ	-5.9+TECQ	dBm		
Stressed receiver sensit	ivity (OMA <sub>outer</sub> ), each lane(max)	TBD	TBD TBD TBD		dBm		
Conditions of stresse	ed receiver sensitivity(SECQ)	3.4	3.4	3.7	dB		

<sup>a</sup>: x refers to the value of Optical return loss tolerance(max) of each column.



#### Summary

- A set of link budgets for optical PMDs based on 200G-PMA4 technology for up to 2km applications was proposed.
- The transmitter and receiver baselines were proposed, bearing the awareness of
  - > DR(500m) application will continue benefit from the established SiP ecosystem, i.e. low cost and vast deployment.
  - > 2km FR4 application, using CWDM, is subject to non-negligible CD penalty, leading to a different TDECQ max value, unlike the days of 100G/lane.
  - > Final numbers for OMA\_outer may still need to be revisited, pending the decision on FEC and FEC limit
- From the current simulation and experiment result an advanced Rx algorithm is most likely required to close the link
  - $\geq\,$  With Soft decision FEC ,  $\,$  BCJR can be used for such purpose
  - > Reference receiver for the TDECQ needs to be optimized for this change.
  - > Further investigation on the definition of such reference receiver to facilitate interoperability is needed.



# Thank you.

#### 把数字世界带入每个人、每个家庭、 每个组织,构建万物互联的智能世界。

Bring digital to every person, home and organization for a fully connected, intelligent world.

