

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P94 L18 # 1 [REDACTED]
 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)
 PCS control 1 register speed selection bits need to be updated for 1.6 Tb/s. Similar issue for PHY and DTE XS control 1 registers
 SuggestedRemedy
 Bring Tables 45–234, 45-315, and 45-340 and update as necessary. Also after maintenance request https://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint_1437.pdf is considered include 800 Gb/s selection also.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4 P97 L37 # 3 [REDACTED]
 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)
 A control bit needs to be added for the variable "PHY_XS_enhanced_ptp_accuracy_enable" listed in "Table 171–2—MDIO PHY 800GXs to Clause 172 control variable mapping"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Create a new "TimeSync PHY XS configuration" register at location 4.1813 with a "PHY XS enhanced PTP accuracy enable" bit. Add an ability bit for for enhanced PTP accuracy in "TimeSync PHY XS capability (Register 4.1800)".
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.213g P93 L44 # 4 [REDACTED]
 Bruckman, Leon Nvidia
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 In Table 45–177g bins 2 and 3 shall also be described
 SuggestedRemedy
 In Table 45–177g show registers 1.2416, 1.2417, 1.2418 and 1.2419 for lane 0 error bins 2 and 3 (same structure as for error bin 1)
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 116 SC 116.3.3.3 P134 L51 # 5 [REDACTED]
 Bruckman, Leon Nvidia
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 Text can be improved
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: "and, for physical layer implementations that use the ILT function defined in Annex 178B, to indicate the ILT status."
 to: "and, to indicate the ILT status for physical layer implementations that use the ILT function defined in Annex 178B."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 116 SC 116.3.3.4 P135 L42 # 6 [REDACTED]
 Bruckman, Leon Nvidia
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 Text can be improved
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: "and, for physical layer implementations that use the ILT function defined in Annex 178B, to indicate the ILT status."
 to: "and, to indicate the ILT status for physical layer implementations that use the ILT function defined in Annex 178B."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 116 SC 116.3.3.4.1 P136 L11 # 7 [REDACTED]
 Bruckman, Leon Nvidia
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 Typo: "the lower higher sublayer"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: "the lower higher sublayer"
 to: "the next lower sublayer"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 170 SC 170.1 P168 L13 # 8 [REDACTED]
 Bruckman, Leon Nvidia
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A (editorial)
 Missing "the"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: "and 1.6 Tb/s Media Independent"
 to: "and the 1.6 Tb/s Media Independent"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 186 SC 186.2.3.4 P552 L19 # 9 [REDACTED]
 Bruckman, Leon Nvidia
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A (editorial)
 In Figure 186-5, the frames are contiguous, but they are shown with spaces between them
 SuggestedRemedy
 In Figure 186-5 make the frames contiguous, without space between them
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 186 SC 186.2.3.6 P553 L52 # 11 [REDACTED]
 Bruckman, Leon Nvidia
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 We should also define what does the receiver do with the unused bits.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add to the end of the first paragraph in the section: "and ignored by the receiver"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 186 SC 186.2.3.10 P558 L26 # 13 [REDACTED]
 Bruckman, Leon Nvidia
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)
 ITU-T refers to a OFBGk frame. It will be useful to specify the relationship between the
 FEC frame and the ITU-T OFBGk
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the following text at the end of the section: "The FEC frame in this standard
 corresponds to the OFBGk structure defined in ITU-T G.709.6"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 The specific frame that is used by 800GBASE-ER1 is OFBG84. It would be better to
 include this detail in 186.2.3.9, where the FEC frame is initially described, rather than in the
 clause about the scrambler.
 Add "The FEC frame in this standard corresponds to the OFBG84 structure define in ITU-T
 G.709.6." Implement with editorial license.

Cl 186 SC 186.2.4.6.3 P562 L51 # 14 [REDACTED]
 Bruckman, Leon Nvidia
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 The sentence: "If either..." is repeated in 186.2.4.7. No need (and may be confusing) to
 have the same requirement twice

SuggestedRemedy
 Delete last sentence of 186.2.4.6.3
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 186 SC 186.2.3.9 P557 L32 # 15 [REDACTED]
 Bruckman, Leon Nvidia
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 Four times in the clause the CRC32 is written as CRC-32
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change four times CRC-32 to CRC32 in the whole clause.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 186 SC 186.2.3.9 P557 L32 # 16

Bruckman, Leon

Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

The sentence: "extended by 29 CRC-32 and an additional 64 pad bits after the 29th CRC-32 (total 992 bits)," is hard to parse

SuggestedRemedy

Change to: "extended by 29 CRC32 values with an additional 64 pad bits after the 29th CRC32 (total 992 bits),"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Rewrite the first sentence as three sentence to be more clear.

Change:

Using the 512-row representation of the 800GBASE-ER1 PCS frame, groups of 116 rows (1 192 480 bits), extended by 29 CRC-32 and an additional 64 pad bits after the 29th CRC-32 (total 992 bits), form the set of 1 193 472 bits that will be input to the FEC encoder (denoted as the FEC frame in this clause).

To:

The FEC frame is formed from 116 rows of the 512-row representation of the 800GBASE-ER1 PCS frame (1 192 480 bits). Each group of four rows is extended with the CRC32 (see 186.2.3.8). The 29th group of four rows is further extended with a 64 bit pad. The FEC frame consists of 1 193 472 bits.

Cl 186 SC 186.3.3.1.2 P568 L50 # 18

Bruckman, Leon

Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

A frame carries 7296 symbols not 175 104

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "for a total of 175 104 symbols per frame"
To: "for a total of 175 104 symbols per multi-frame"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 178B SC 178B.5.3 P745 L26 # 24

Bruckman, Leon

Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

PRBS13 is mentioned twice, while PRBS31 is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "and for free-running PRBS13 and free-running PRBS13 these two symbols"
To: "and for free-running PRBS13 and free-running PRBS31 these two symbols"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 178B SC 178B.5.3.3 P747 L48 # 25

Bruckman, Leon

Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

This section defined the PRBS31 behavior, but in many places (including the title) it indicates PRBS13 instead

SuggestedRemedy

In section 178B.5.3.3 change 6 occurrences of PRBS13 to PRBS31

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 176 SC 176.1.4 P255 L1 # 26

Bruckman, Leon

Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

ILT does not require the clock to be passed through the PMA. The mission data requires it. ILT operates with local clock.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete: "In order to support the inter-sublayer link training (ILT) function,"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 184 SC 184.4.9 P506 L21 # 27

Huang, Kechao Huawei
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

In Figure 184-6, the bit "0" after "Seed X." (and "Seed Y:") is not necessary.

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 184-6, delete "0" after "Seed X."; delete "0" after "Seed Y:"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 186 SC 186.3.3.1.1 P568 L1 # 28

Huang, Kechao Huawei
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

The FEC codeword with 1376256 bits are mapped to 172032 DP-16QAM symbols, not 173032

SuggestedRemedy

Change "173032" to "172032" in Line 1;
 Change "173031" to "172031" in Line 2

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change "173032" to "172 032" in Line 1
 Change "173031" to "172 031" in Line 2

Cl 186 SC 186.3.3.1.2 P569 L17 # 29

Huang, Kechao Huawei
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

In Figure 186-12, the indexes of payload symbols should be modified such that the total number of payload symbols are 172032

SuggestedRemedy

In Frame 0: "S<0:29>", "S<30:92>", "S<93:155>" should be changed to "S<0:19>", "S<20:82>", "S<83:145>"
 In Frame 1: "S<14195:14257>" should be changed to "S<14185:14247>"
 In Frame 23: "S<164870:164922>", "S<164923:164985>", "S<171979:172041>" should be changed to "S<164860:164912>", "S<164913:164975>", "S<171969:172031>"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 186 SC 186.3.3.1.3 P570 L51 # 30

Huang, Kechao Huawei
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

In Table 186-4, there are 4 pilot symbols should be modified to aligned with that in OIF 800ZR.

SuggestedRemedy

Index 91 YQ: "-3" should be changed to "3"
 Index 35 XQ: "-3" should be changed to "3"
 Index 41 YI: "3" should be changed to "-3"
 Index 71 XI: "-3" should be changed to "3"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 186 SC 186.3.3.1.7 P574 L15 # 31

Huang, Kechao Huawei
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

In Figure 186-14, "Insert Reserved field" should be included

SuggestedRemedy

Add "Insert Reserved field (X)" function below the "Insert TS field (X)"
 Add "Insert Reserved field (Y)" function below the "Insert TS field (Y)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 177 SC 177.5.2 P298 L45 # 32

Huang, Kechao Huawei
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

"FS" should be changed to "FAS", as it is the shortened form of "Frame Alignment Sequence", see subclause 177.4.7.1.

SuggestedRemedy

In page 298, change "FS" to "FAS" in Lines 45, 46, 48, 49, 51;
 In page 298, change "FSs" to "FASs" in Line 47;
 In page 302, change "FS" to "FAS" in Line 12

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement with editorial license and discretion.

[Editor's note: CommentType changed from T to E per request from commenter.]

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 177 SC 177.6.2.1 P301 L8 # 33
 Huang, Kechao Huawei
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 "fs" should be changed to "fas", as it is the shortened form of "Frame Alignment Sequence", see subclause 177.4.7.1. Suggest to apply similar changes in subclause 177.6
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "fs" to "fas" in subclause 177.6.2.1, 177.6.2.3, and figures 177-9 and 177-10
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.
 [Editor's note: CommentType changed from T to E per request from commenter.]

Cl 177 SC 177.6.2.1 P301 L15 # 34
 Huang, Kechao Huawei
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 "frame sequence" should be changed to "frame alignment sequence"
 SuggestedRemedy
 In page 301, change "frame sequence" to "frame alignment sequence" in Lines 15,16,19.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.
 [Editor's note: CommentType changed from T to E per request from commenter.]

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P94 L17 # 35
 KABRA, LOKESH SYNOPSIS
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 Include update to 3.0.5:2 "Speed Selection" values corresponding to 800 Gb/s and 1.6 Tb/s in Table 45-211-- PCS control 1 register bit definitions
 SuggestedRemedy
 Modify 3.0.5:2 bit field "Speed selection" description
 Existing
 1 1 x x = Reserved
 Proposed
 1 1 1 x = Reserved
 1 1 0 1 = 1.6 Tb/s
 1 1 0 0 = 800 Gb/s
 Similar changes to be done in 4.0.5:2 and 5.0.5:2 bit field descriptions.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Resolve using the response to comment #1.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.2.7 P94 L17 # 36
 KABRA, LOKESH SYNOPSIS
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)
 Update "PCS receive link status (3.1.2)" description
 SuggestedRemedy
 Existing
 When a 10/25/40/50/100/200/400GBASE-R,
 Proposed
 When a 10/25/40/50/100/200/400/800GBASE-R, 1.6TBASE-R,
 Second change :
 Two instances of "(3.7.3:0)" to be corrected to "(3.7.4:0)".
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.6.1 P94 L44 # 37

KABRA, LOKESH SYNOPSIS

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Include update to "PCS type selection" values corresponding to 800 Gb/s and 1.6 Tb/s in Table 45-214-- PCS control 2 register bit definitions

SuggestedRemedy

Modify 3.7.4:0 bit field "PCS type selection" description

Existing
1 0 1 x = Reserved

Proposed
1 0 1 1 x = Reserved
1 0 1 0 1 = Select 1.6TBASE-R PCS type
1 0 1 0 0 = Select 800GBASE-R PCS type

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Also add editor's note referencing maintenance request 1437 that addresses the 800G rate. Implement with editorial licence.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.8 P94 L45 # 38

KABRA, LOKESH SYNOPSIS

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Add capability field for 800GBASE-R & 1.6TBASE-R in this register

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 45-216-- PCS Status 3 register bit definitions,

Existing
3.9.15:8 Reserved Value always 0

Proposed
3.9.15:10 Reserved Value always 0
3.9.15:9 1.6TBASE-R capable 1 = PCS is able to support 1.6TBASE-R PCS type
0 = PCS is not able to support 1.6TBASE-R PCS

type
3.9.15:8 800GBASE-R capable 1 = PCS is able to support 800GBASE-R PCS type
0 = PCS is not able to support 800GBASE-R PCS

type

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
It is Table 45-239 that contains the ability bits, so modify Table 45-239. Implement with editorial licence.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.8.1a P94 L46 # 39

KABRA, LOKESH SYNOPSIS

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Add new subsection

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.3.8.1a 1.6TBASE-R capable (3.9.9)
When read as a one, bit 3.9.9 indicates that the PCS is able to support the 1.6TBASE-R PCS type. When read as a zero, bit 3.9.9 indicates that the PCS is not able to support 1.6TBASE-R PCS type

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.8.1b P94 L47 # 40

KABRA, LOKESH SYNOPSIS

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Add new subsection

SuggestedRemedy

45.2.3.8.1b 800GBASE-R capable (3.9.8)
When read as a one, bit 3.9.8 indicates that the PCS is able to support the 800GBASE-R PCS type. When read as a zero, bit 3.9.8 indicates that the PCS is not able to support 800GBASE-R PCS type

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Also add editor's note referencing maintenance request 1439 that addresses the 800G rate. Implement with editorial licence.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.15.1 P94 L48 # 41
 KABRA, LOKESH SYNOPSIS
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)
 Update last line of 45.2.3.15.1
 SuggestedRemedy
 Existing
 "100GBASE-R, and in 119.3 for 200G/400GBASE-R."
 Proposed
 "100GBASE-R, in 119.3 for 200G/400GBASE-R, in 172.3 for 800GBASE-R, and in 175.8 for 1.6TBASE-R."
 Similar update required in 45.2.4.12.1, 45.2.5.12.1
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 175 SC 175.8 P245 L9 # 43
 KABRA, LOKESH SYNOPSIS
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 Incorrect Variable reference given in Table 175--3 for "loopback"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change 175.3 to 175.4
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 174 SC 174.4 P219 L28 # 44
 Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 Table 174-4 has an incorrect cross-reference to the PCS delay constraints
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the cross-reference from "175.4" to be "175.5".
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 176 SC 176.1.4 P254 L47 # 45
 Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 To convert from a AUI-2 to a AUI-1, a xBASE-R BM-PMA must be placed next to a xBASE-R SM-PMA.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: "... placed next to a 200GAUI-1 8:1 PMA."
 To: "... placed next to a 200GBASE-R 8:1 PMA."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 176 SC 176.1.5 P255 L50 # 46
 Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 Footnote (e) to Table 176-2 mentions the PMA to connect to a 800GBASE-LR1 Inner FEC is "For 800GBASE-R 8:16 only". But this looks like the wrong ratio of lanes for the 800GBASE-R PMA.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: "For 800GBASE-R 8:16 only"
 To: "For 800GBASE-R 4:32 only."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 176 SC 176.2 P257 L30 # 47

Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

In Table 176-5, the middle column for the value of align_status_mux or all_locked_demux is listed as "N/A" for three of the rows. "N/A", not-applicable, implies there is no value or the status variable does not exist in this case. But the status variables are always there and in these cases, when the SIGNAL_OK input value is (not OK), they would have the value 'false'. But when the input SIGNAL_OK has a value of (not OK), the output does not really depend on the status variable, and it is a "don't care" for the calculation of the output IS_SIGNAL.indication.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 176-5, Change the three entries of "N/A" for align_status_mux or all_locked_demux to "don't care" (or "false"). The same change from "N/A" to "don't care" should be applied to Table 176-6 on page 258.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "N/A" in Tables 176-5 and 176-6 to "don't care".
Apply this same change in Table 177-1 and Table 177-2.
Implement with editorial license.
[Editor's note: CC 177]

Cl 176 SC 176.4.4.2.1 P271 L10 # 48

Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

The definition of the variable "reset" refers to another variable "PMA_reset", but PMA_reset is not defined anywhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the definition of PMA_reset to the list of variables just prior to reset. PMA_reset = "Boolean variable that is true when set by a management entity and is false otherwise."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 176 SC 176.7.2 P280 L33 # 50

Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

It is stated that "During local loopback, the PMA continues to propagate data in the Tx direction and drives the Tx service interface below the PMA.". It is also stated in 176.7.3 on line 47 on the same page that "During remote loopback, the PMA continues to propagate data in the Rx direction and drives the Rx PMA service interface towards the PMA client." If both remote loopback and local loopback are enabled, then these statements are contradictory. The service interfaces cannot transmit both loopback data and propagated data.

SuggestedRemedy

The output data at each service interface should be defined when both local loopback and remote loopback are enabled (probably loopback data, not propagated data); or it must be stated that local loopback and remote loopback are mutually exclusive.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

On page 280, line 33...
replace: "During local loopback, the PMA continues to propagate data in the Tx direction and drives the Tx service interface below the PMA."
with: "During local loopback, the PMA continues to propagate data in the Tx direction."

And at line 47...
Replace:
"During remote loopback, the PMA continues to propagate data in the Rx direction and drives the Rx PMA service interface towards the PMA client"
with: "During remote loopback, the PMA continues to propagate data in the Rx direction."

Cl 178B SC 178B.4 P741 L49 # 51

Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

The cross-reference to the subclause with the definition of "tx_mode" is incorrect. This occurs three times in Annex 178B. On page 741, line 49, on page 742, line 16, and on page 743, line 4.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "(tx_mode = data, see 178B.13.2.1)"
To: "(tx_mode = data, see 178B.13.3.1)"
with update of the hyperlink to the correct subclause in all three places.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 172 SC 172.1.6 P204 L48 # 52
 Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 In Figure 172-2 (the block diagram of the 800G PCS), the lower interface says "PMA", but should be "PCS".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: "Service Interface below the PMA"
 To: "Service Interface below the PCS"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 171 SC 171.6.1 P183 L48 # 53
 Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 The cross-reference to the definition of FEC_degraded_SER and rx_local_degraded for DTE 1.6TXS is wrong. It should not be 175.2.6.2.2, rather it should be 175.2.5.3 and 175.2.5.5.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: "... defined in 175.2.6.2.2 for DTE1.6TXS, ..."
 To: "... defined in 175.2.5.3 and 175.2.5.5 for DTE 1.6TXS, ..."
 with updates of the hyperlinks to the correct subclauses.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 176 SC 176.4.1 P260 L4 # 55
 Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 In figure 176-2 near line 4, there is an input called PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request. This input is required if the sublayer above the PMA is another PMA or an AUI. However, when the sublayer above the PMA is a PCS, this input is not present. All possible PCS's, 200G/400G PCS (CL 119), 800G PCS (CL 172), and 1.6T PCS (CL 175) do not have this output at the service interface below the PCS.
 SuggestedRemedy
 A notation in Figure 176-2 should be added that PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request is not present when the sublayer above the PMA is a PCS or DTE XS.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 176 SC 176.3 P258 L34 # 56
 Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 Table 176-6 specifies how to set the output inst:IS_SIGNAL.request(SINGAL_OK) based on the input PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request(SIGNAL_OK) and the variable align_status_mux or all_locked_demux. However, when the sublayer above the PMA is a PCS, there is no PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request input.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Suggest adding two rows to Table 176-6 to account for the case where PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request input is not present. Add two rows with N/A for the IS_SIGNAL.request(SIGNAL_OK) input, and the output is based only on the internal variable being true or false. Something like:
 New row 1: | N/A | true | OK |
 +-----+-----+-----+
 New row 2: | N/A | false | READY |
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement the suggested but instead of N/A, use "no primitive".
 In addition, add a table footnote to "no primitive" to explain that "no primitive" means that PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request input is not present, for example, when the sublayer above the PMA is a PCS or PHY XS.
 Implement with editorial license.

Cl 176 SC 176.4.2.6 P268 L27 # 58

Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status D (withdrawn)

The PAM4 encode function should specify that PAM4 symbols be aligned to RS-FEC symbol boundaries. When the 2-bit PAM4 symbols are aligned to the 10-bit RS-FEC, there are exactly 5 PAM4 symbols within each RS-FEC symbol. However, if they are not aligned, then each RS-FEC symbol would contain the second bit of one PAM4 symbol, followed by the 8 bits of 4 PAM4 symbols, followed by the first bit of the next PAM4 symbol. The unaligned arrangement makes the RS-FEC error performance analysis more complicated since there is an unequal probability of the first and second bits of a PMA4 symbol being in error (RS-FEC performance for the symbol muxing 200G/lane interfaces has so far only been done for the "aligned case"). The aligned case should already be the norm for most or all implementations. Specifying it this way should just guaranteed the FEC performance is as already studied, and receiver implementations may also take advantage of this guarentee.

SuggestedRemedy

In subclause 176.4.2.6 "PAM4 encode" and 176.4.3.6 "PAM4 encode", add a requirement that the PAM4 symbols must align to the RS-FEC symbols such that each RS-FEC symbol contains 10 bits from exactly 5 full PAM4 symbols.

A similar requirement should be also be added to the PAM4 encoding description in 177.4.8. In this case, the PAM4 symbols should align with the start of a block of 8x Inner FEC codewords (see Fig. 177-6) after the circular shift.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 169 SC 169.3.2 P162 L34 # 59

Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

In Figure 169-3, the block labeled "800GBASE-R n:32 PMA" immediately above the 800GBASE-R PMD should be a "32:n PMA" (not n:32).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "800GBASE-R n:32 PMA" to "800GBASE-R 32:n PMA" on line 34 of page 162. Note that the "n" should also be in italics.

Consider changing it to "800GBASE-R 32:p PMA" and add a definition of p under the figure to be consistent with Figure 174-3 on page 217.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For the PMA immediately above the PMD, change "800GBASE-R n:32 PMA" to "800GBASE-R 32:p PMA", with "p" in italic font. Note that the "n" should also be in italics.

For the PMD service interface change "PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0:n-1" to "PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0:p-1" twice.

Add "p = NUMBER OF STREAMS OF DATA UNITS" to the legend.

Cl 174 SC 174.3.2 P217 L31 # 60

Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

In Figure 174-3, the signal "PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request" from the 1.6TBASE-R PCS to the 1.6TBASE-R 16:p PMA should be removed. The PCS does not have this output - see Figure 175.2 on page 226. No relavant PCS has this output at the service interface below the PCS - see also Fig. 172-2 (on page 198 of 802.3df-2014) and Fig. 119-2 (on page 4837 of 802.3-2022). See also the similar extender figure 169-3 for 800GMII on page 162.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request" out of the 1.6TBASE-R PCS in Figure 174-3.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 174 SC 174.3.2 P218 L20 # 61

Opsasnick, Eugene

Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

In Figure 174-4 (1.6T Inter-sublayer interfaces with Inner FEC), there is no AUI. The Inner FEC will (almost) always be in an optical module below an AUI connection to a host. It would be better to show the Inner FEC below an AUI in this figure since the layer stack shown, while logically correct, will never actually be used.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a "1.6T BASE-R 8:8 PMA" between the "1.6T BASE-R 16:8 PMA" on line 14 and the "1.6TBASE-R Inner FEC" on line 20. And then add the necessary inter-layer signals on the AUI connection between the two PMAs.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1.3 P314 L34 # 63

Ran, Adee

Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A TX fixture RLcc (bucket)

Test fixture RLcc parameters are TBD.
In 163.9.2.1.3 the specification is ≥ 6 dB up to 40 GHz.
The suggested remedy is the same minimum with the frequency range adopted for 802.3dj.
Alternatively, this specification can be deleted, since RLcc of a bare TP0-TP0v test fixture (without a DUT attached to it) may be impractical to measure.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "6 dB at all frequencies between 0.2 GHz and 67 GHz".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1.1 P323 L35 # 65

Ran, Adee

Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A TF IL, ILdd

TP0 to TP0v test fixture specifications has multiple TBDs.

As initial values, we can use the values from clause 163 scaled by a factor of 2.

SuggestedRemedy

Use:
ILdd between 3.4 dB and 10 dB at 53.125 GHz
ILD magnitude up to 0.4 dB from 0.05 GHz to 53.125 GHz
Tt is 0.005 ns

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comments #189 and #190 suggest a different ILdd range, different frequency range for ILD, and additional restrictions.

The CRG reviewed slide #28 in
https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/ran_3dj_01_2411.pdf.

A wide range of IL for the test fixture makes ERL measurement results inconsistent. Thus, there is preference to make the range narrower than what was used in 802.3ck.

The following straw poll was taken.
Straw poll #TF-1 (directional)
For the top of the frequency range for test fixture ILD in 178.9.2.1.1, I prefer:
A: 85 GHz
B: 67 GHz
A: 25 B: 40

Change from:
The insertion loss of the test fixture shall be between TBD dB and TBD dB at 53.125 GHz.
The magnitude of the insertion loss deviation of the test fixture shall be less than or equal to TBD dB from TBD GHz to 53.125 GHz. Insertion loss deviation is calculated as specified in 93A.4, where Tt is TBD ns, and fb and fr values are taken from Table 178-12.

To:
The insertion loss of the test fixture shall be between 3.4 dB and 4.4 dB at 53.125 GHz.
The magnitude of the insertion loss deviation of the test fixture shall be less than or equal to 0.2 dB from 0.05 GHz to 67 GHz. Insertion loss deviation is calculated as specified in 93A.4, where Tt is 0.005 ns, and fb and fr values are taken from Table 178-12.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1.2 P324 L23 # 66

Ran, Adeo Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A ERL

Multiple ERL limits are TBD.

Using 802.3ck as a reference:

For KR test fixture at Tp0v, in 163.9.2.1.2 the minimum is 15 dB.

For CR transmitter at TP2, in 162.9.4 the minimum is 7.3 dB.

For CR receiver at TP3, in 162.9.5 the minimum is 7.3 dB.

For copper cables, in 162.11.2 the minimum is 8.25 dB.

For C2C at Tp0v, in 120F.3.1 dERL is -3 dB (as it is in 802.3dj Table 178-6 for KR).

For C2C channel, in 120F.4.3 the minimum is 9.7 dB.

For C2M host, in 120G.3.1 and in 120G.3.3 the minimum is 7.3 dB.

For C2M module, in 120G.3.2 and in 120G.3.4 the minimum is 8.5 dB.

For mated test fixture, in 162B.4.2 the minimum is 10.3 dB.

Unless shown otherwise, the same ERL requirements are appropriate for this project.

SuggestedRemedy

Use the values in the comment to replace the corresponding TBDs in 178, 179, 176C, 176D, and 179B.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The CRG reviewed the presentation

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/mellitz_3dj_01_2411.pdf.

For KR test fixture at Tp0v, set minimum ERL to 15 dB.

For CR transmitter at TP2, set minimum ERL to 7.3 dB.

For CR receiver at TP3, set minimum ERL to 7.3 dB.

For copper cables, set minimum ERL to 8.25 dB.

For C2C at Tp0v, set minimum dERL to -3 dB.

For C2C channel, set minimum ERL to 9.7 dB.

For C2M host, set minimum ERL to 7.3 dB.

For C2M module, set minimum ERL to 8.5 dB.

For mated test fixture, set minimum ERL to 10.3 dB.

Cl 178 SC 178.10.2 P334 L35 # 67

Ran, Adeo Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Channel ILdd

Channel insertion loss (recommended) is a TBD equation.

As the editor's note says, this recommendation was not included in the baseline proposal and "Contributions in this area are encouraged".

SuggestedRemedy

A contribution providing a recommendation is solicited.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The normative channel specification is COM. The recommended maximum ILdd is provided in Table 178-11.

There has been no proposal for the recommended channel ILdd equation.

Replace the content of subclause 178.10.2 with a statement that the recommended max. ILdd at 53.125 GHz is 40 dB, with editorial license.

Cl 179 SC 179.11.7.2 P380 L17 # 68

Ran, Adeo Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A (editorial)

"mated test fixture" - it is "fixtures" everywhere else.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "mated test fixtures"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement with editorial license and discretion.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 180 SC 180.1 P389 L49 # 69

Ran, Adeo Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

The text in footnote b, "If one or two 200GAUI-n is implemented in a PHY", has a numeric mismatch (two / is).

The fact that one or two AUIs can be included is mentioned in footnote c. Footnote b is a condition for having additional PMAs, and does not need to repeat what footnote c states.

Also, footnote c uses "instantiated" instead of "implemented" when talking about the same thing. We should be consistent.

In D1.2, for KR and CR PHYs (where only one AUI can be included in a PHY), this statement was changed to "If a 200GAUI-n is implemented in a PHY <...>". This wording is correct for all PHYs.

There are 11 instances of "if one or two" with 200GAUI-n, 400GAUI-n, 800GAUI-n, and 1.6TAUI-n.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "If one or two" to "If a" (in this instance, "If a 200GAUI-n is implemented in a PHY"). Apply similarly for all instances.

Change "implemented in a PHY" to "instantiated in a PHY" (19 instances).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 180 SC 180.7.1 P399 L26 # 70

Ran, Adeo Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

The words "each lane" are not appropriate for "signaling rate", since it cannot be aggregated (unlike power and bit rate).

This was corrected in D1.2 in most places in the electrical clauses, but these words still appear in optical clauses (8 instances).

This comment is specific to the signaling rate parameter; other parameters are subject of other comments.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "each lane" from "signaling rate" in all optical Tx and Rx specifications tables. Apply in all optical PMD clauses.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 180 SC 180.7.1 P400 L10 # 72

Ran, Adeo Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

For RINxxOMA, it seems that the xx in this case should be 15.5 for 200G and 21.4 for other cases. But this is not clear that these are different parameters (and they have the same maximum value; does it make sense?)

Footnote c says "with "xx" referring to the value for Optical return loss tolerance.", but it should be the maximum value.

In previous PMD clauses the RIN parameter name included specific values. For example, in Table 167-7, RIN14OMA.

SuggestedRemedy

Either change footnote c to "Optical return loss tolerance (max)" and state clearly that this creates different parameters for 200G and for 400G/800G/1.6T, or preferably replace xx with numbers (separating to two rows).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 180 SC 180.9.11 P415 L3 # 74

Ran, Adeo Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A (editorial)

The dashed list item "N0 and N3 are to be measured <...>" is not part of the variable list for this equation; N0 and N3 are already defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the text of this item to a regular paragraph after the list.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 186 SC 186.2.3.1 P550 L1 # 76

Ran, Adeo Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A (editorial)

"One 800GMII data transfer is encoded into one 66-bit block. Idle characters are removed from the stream of 66b blocks"
 "66b" seems to refer to "66-bit block" in the previous sentence. This inconsistency is not helpful.

There are many similar instances of block sizes in this clause, such as 66B and 257B in 186.2.3.2, and 128B elsewhere. The "B" suffix is potentially confusing as it often denotes bytes. Although this format is common for the encoding/transcoding schemes, we should avoid using it for block sizes.

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instances of block sizes written as #b or #B to "#-bit" except in the transcoder labels (64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder). Also in subclause headings.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 174A SC 174A.6.1.1 P642 L22 # 77

Ran, Adeo Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A (editorial)

The counter variable names tbcoun and tbtcount are obscure and too similar to each other, making the text difficult to parse.
 There is no need to use such abbreviated names. The text would be clearer with variable naming similar to the PCS counter names e.g. in 175.2.5.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Rename tbcoun(k) to test_block_error_bin(k) and tbtcount to test_block_counter.

Apply elsewhere as necessary.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 174A SC 174A.6.1.4 P643 L31 # 78

Ran, Adeo Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

The description of the process can be simplified by initializing the distribution to that of BER_added (step c) and then iterating with i from 0 to p-1 (instead of treating i=0 as initial value). This would remove two steps (a and d) and yield the same result with fewer intermediate variables..

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the process as suggested.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 The suggested change is indeed an improvement to the draft. The method is simplified without changing the result.
 For illustration, the method rewritten as suggested is shown on the slide for Comment 78 in the following file:
https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/brown_3dj_03_2411.pdf
 Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 174A SC 174A.8 P645 L35 # 80

Ran, Adeo Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A (editorial)

In Table 174A-3 the last column has "in a PHY" but it is about an xMII extender.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "in an xMII Extender".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 179B SC 179B.4.1 P781 L47 # 84

Ran, Adeo Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

The signaling rate and reference receiver bandwidth have been adopted.
 (This was addressed by comment #442 against D1.1, but the resolution was not fully implemented).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace TBDs: f_b=106.25 GBd and f_r=0.55*f_b.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.
 [Editor's note: Changed page from 747 to 781]

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 179A SC 179A.5 P774 L34 # 85

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** (bucket)

Equations 179A-1 and 179A-2 have "TP2d" and "TP3d" which should be TP2 and TP3 (there is no "d" version). Also in the parameter list.

SuggestedRemedy

Change TP2d to TP2, and TP3d to TP3, in the equation and parameter list.

Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT.

Cl 179A SC 179A.5 P775 L7 # 86

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** (editorial)

In the "ILddCA,max (dB)" columns, the content should be numbers, and the cable assembly class should be in parentheses.

SuggestedRemedy

per comment.

Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 179A SC 179A.5 P776 L13 # 88

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** (editorial)

The horizontal locations of TP0d and TP5d (still) appear almost aligned with those of TP1 and TP4, but these are very different test points. This could be improved. Also, in the mated test fixture the test points should be annotated.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the TP0d line to the left and the TP5d line to the right, flush with the transmit and receive function, respectively. Extend the arrows appropriately.

In the mated test fixtures part of the diagram, add TP1 and TP2 labels on the top and TP4 and TP5 labels on the bottom, or in another way if preferred.

Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 179B SC 179B.4.2 P783 L2 # 89

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** Test fixtures

ERL is currently defined without a specified reference impedance. This means that the 100 Ohm specified for s-parameter measurements in 178A.1.3 is used.

But test fixtures transmission lines should be designed for impedance matching with the connectors which are practically lower impedance (92.5 Ohm it typical). Otherwise, when connected to boards or cables with 92.5 Ohms they will have a reflection, which will degrade all results (frequency and time domain)

Using a different reference impedance for measuring the test fixtures will encourage design with the correct impedance.

The suggested remedy is to specify a reference impedance of 92.5 Ohm differential for test fixture ERL. Optionally, this should apply to all test fixture S-parameter-based specifications.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an exception to the test fixture ERL calculation to use an impedance of 92.5 Ohm, with editorial license.

Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 179 SC 179.11 P372 L23 # 100

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R CA specifications

The four cable assembly classes are mentioned here and described as differing in only their maximum insertion loss, with reference to 179.11.2, but there is no indication of the classes there. The max Nyquist ILdd per class are listed in Table 179–13.

Also, there is nothing in this draft about cable reach. In previous standards there was some indication of the reach provided by the cable.

It would be helpful for readers to have in this subclause a table that lists the maximum reach and Nyquist ILdd for each cable assembly class. This is more important than the existing dashed list of CR1/CR2/CR4/CR8; the cable types per width are described in detail in Annex 179C and Annex 179D.

The suggested remedy is based on slide 5 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/23_07/tracy_3dj_01a_2307.pdf with lengths interpolated between 1 m and 2 m.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the reference from 179.11.2 to Table 179-13.
In Table 179-13, create four columns for CA-A through CA-D. Move the "Insertion loss at 53.125 GHz, ILdd (max)" values to these columns.
Add a row with expected reach in meters: CA-A: 1, CA-B: 1.33, CA-C: 1.66, CA-D: 2.
Make other parameters common to all classes (straddled cells).

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The CRG reviewed slide #37 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/ran_3dj_01a_2411.pdf.

There was no consensus to implement the changes shown on the slide.

Cl 179 SC 179.11.3 P374 L47 # 101

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A CA specifications

Cable assembly ERL parameters N and Nbx are TBD.
In 162.11.3 the values were 4500 and 0 respectively. In 802.3dj, the UI is halved and the maximum length is assumed to be the same (2 m for CA-D class).

SuggestedRemedy

Use N=9000 and Nbx=0.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 179 SC 179.11.5 P375 L15 # 102

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A CA specifications

Differential-mode to common-mode insertion loss equation is TBD. The reference in the text is to an equation in clause 162.

The parameter name in 178.10.5 was changed to "mode conversion insertion loss" to cover both ILcd and ILdc. It should be applied here too.

In 802.3ck the specification of this parameter are the same in KR (163.10.5) and CR (162.11.5). Therefore we can use the same equation and figure as in KR (178.10.5).

SuggestedRemedy

Rename the parameter to "mode conversion insertion loss" and use the same equation and figure as in 178.10.5. Implement with editorial license.
Change the reference in the text to point to the correct equation and figure.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 176C SC 176C.2 P677 L22 # 113

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Figure 178-2. The signals SLi and DLi are never defined in Annex 176C.

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 176C-2, add a note similar to the note in Figure 179-2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 178B SC 178B.5.4 P748 L27 # 114

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Mode "PAM4" is ambiguous compared with "PAM4 with precoding".

SuggestedRemedy

When referencing the test pattern mode change mode "PAM4" to "PAM4 without precoding". Propagate this change throughout Annex 178B as necessary.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 179 SC 179.8.4 P244 L4 # 115

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

Use of possessive "PMD's" not appropriate or necessary in a technical document. Since this clause is about the PMD, it is implicit that ILT here is for the PMD.

SuggestedRemedy

Either change "PMD's" to "PMD" or delete "PMD's"
Do the same in 179.9.4.1.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 175 SC 175.5 P244 L4 # 116

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

Several instances of acronym "BT" with defining this acronym. Typically, in this draft the it "bit times (BT)".

SuggestedRemedy

change "BT" to "bit times (BT)"
also, in 184.7 and 186.5

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 178B SC 178B.5 P744 L16 # 117

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

Figure 178B-3. Use of apostrophe <>followed by "s" is for possession, which is not the case here.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "3's" to "3s" and "0's" to "0s"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 186 SC 186.4.2.1 P578 L18 # 118

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

PCS_reset and PMA_reset definition refers to MDIO, rather than management in general.

SuggestedRemedy

Define reset, PCS_reset, and PMA_reset as done for the 1.6TBASE-R PCS in 175.2.6.2.2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Define the state variables as suggested. Implement with editorial license.

Cl 176C SC 176C.3.1 P679 L29 # 119

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

For consistency with PMD clauses, the error allocation subclause should be 2nd level heading right after the introduction.

SuggestedRemedy

Move 176C.3.1 to be immediately after 176C.1, with new heading number 176C.2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 176D SC 176D.4 P698 L42 # 120

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

For consistency with PMD clauses, the error allocation subclause should be 2nd level heading right after the introduction.

SuggestedRemedy

Move 176D.4 to be immediately after 176D.1, with new heading number 176D.2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 182 SC 182.9.1 P463 L9 # 121

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Table 182-16. The Inner FEC is specifically called 200GBASE-R Inner FEC, 400GBASE-R Inner, etc. Reference it by name.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Scrambled idle test pattern encoded by the Inner FEC used by 200GBASE-R, 400GBASE-R, 800GBASE-R, or 1.6TBASE-R"
To "Scrambled idle test pattern encoded by the 200GBASE-R, 400GBASE-R, 800GBASE-R, or 1.6TBASE-R Inner FEC"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement suggested remedy with editorial license

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.213c P91 L31 # 122

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

Use of possessive, e.g., lane 0's Inner FEC total bits register, is not necessary or appropriate for a technical document. It is sufficient and appropriate to use "lane 0 Inner FEC total bits registers".

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "lane 0's" with "lane 0" here and 4 other places in Clause 45.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 183 SC 183.9.5.1 P491 L21 # 123

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

In Table 183-5 footnote a the is reference to an annex describing statistical link design methodology. However, this annex does not exist. Also, it seems that all of the necessary background is provided in the reference to G.652 Appendix I.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete ", and the optical channel characteristics methodology described in Annex TBD"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement suggested remedy with editorial license

Cl 181 SC 181.1 P420 L9 # 130

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

Acronym WDM is first introduced here in the clause but is not defined. Use same wording as provided for WDM in subclause 1.5 (base standard).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "WDM" to "Wavelength division multiplexing (WDM)"
Do the same in 183.1.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 176C SC 176C.3.1 P679 L27 # 133

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

The "Error ratio allocation" subclause should not be a level 3 heading under service interfaces.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the heading number from "177C.3.1" to "176C.4" and renumber the subsequent level 3 headers.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 176C SC 176C.3.1 P679 L27 # 134

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

To be consistent with the various PMD clauses the error allocation subclause should be a level 2 heading immediately after the overview subclause.

SuggestedRemedy

Move "176C.3.1" to just before 176C.2 and change to a level 2 heading "176C.2".
Similarly, move 176D.4 to just before 176C.2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 119 SC 119.2.6.2.1 P148 L17 # 136

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

SIGNAL_OK parameter is now defined with four parameters {OK, IN_PROGRESS, READY, FAIL} rather than two {OK, FAIL}. The signal_ok variable value is not defined for the two new values, only for OK and FAIL.

SuggestedRemedy

In 119.2.6.2.1 in the definition of the signal_ok variable...
 Replace "It is true if the value was OK and false if the value was FAIL."
 With: "It is true if the value was OK and false otherwise."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 178B SC 178B P740 L8 # 137

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

ILT as defined in Annex 178B is relevant only to Physical Layer implementations that include physically instantiated links with 200 Gb/s or higher per lane. This should be clarified.

SuggestedRemedy

Add new subclause 178A.1 with title "Scope" and text as follows:
 "This clause defines inter-sublayer link training (ILT) for Physical Layer implementations that include one or more inter-sublayer links (ISLs) (see 178B.2) with data rate of 200 Gb/s or higher per lane."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In the suggested remedy there is a typo, it should say: "subclause 178B.1"
 Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 176 SC 176.7.4 P281 L8 # 138

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type T Comment Status D (withdrawn)

In 174A.6, a set of test methods are defined to measure the block error ratio for inter-sublayer links (ISLs). These test methods require the PRBS31Q error check to be enhanced to include block error checkers and block error bin counters as defined in 174A.6.1.1 and 174A.6.1.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Define block error counting and related counters. A contribution on this topic will be provided.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 178 SC 178.8.1 P320 L50 # 140

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Figure 178-2. The signals SLi and DLi are never defined in Clause 178.

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 178-2, add a note similar to the note in Figure 179-2. Do the same for Figure 176C-2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 178A SC 178A.1.10.2 P737 L5 # 141

Banas, David Keysight Technologies, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status R (bucket)

The current definition of Ani yields an effective DER0 twice that intended, because it considers only the left tail of the distribution, while both left and right tails contribute to DER0.

SuggestedRemedy

P(-Ani) = DER0/2

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

DER is (and always has been) defined to be the area under the left (or negative) tail of the noise and interference distribution function. DER is not equivalent to a PAM-L symbol error ratio. The conversion between DER and a PAM-L symbol error ratio (SER) is clarified in NOTE 2 under 178A.1.10.2. The factor of (2L-2)/L in this conversion accounts for all of the possible ways the distribution of noise and interference amplitude can cross a PAM-L decision threshold.

Cl 176C SC 176C.2 P678 L11 # 153

Dudek, Mike Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

Figure 176D-2 is still confusing. The boxes around what are called components don't include the package, which is part of what is being called a component in the text.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from "C2C component transmitter" and "C2C component receiver" to "C2C transmitter" and "C2C receiver" or "C2C transmitter device" and "C2C receiver device" or less preferred "C2C transmit function" and "C2C receive function" (as used in figure 178-2)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the text to "C2C transmitter" and "C2C receiver".

Cl 176C SC 176C.4.3.1 P681 L18 # 154

Dudek, Mike Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

The only references to a PMA management function in 802.3dj are in clause 186 which isn't relevant to this AUI interface. The correct control function to be used for this C2C interface is the same as the one used in Clauses 178 and 179. The reference to the description is blank.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the sentence. "The transmitter output may be manipulated using the control function or PMA management interface as described in ."
Add a new paragraph "The transmitter output may be manipulated using the Type E1 Inter Sublayer link training function as described in Annex 178B.10"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 179A SC 179A.4 P774 L12 # 161

Dudek, Mike Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

TP5 should be TP5d in Table 179A-1 as stated in the text.

SuggestedRemedy

Change TP5 to TP5d

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.213b P90 L51 # 164

He, Xiang Huawei
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

Add MDIO register for newly added "align_status" variable, see 177.4.1 and 177.11. It might be confusing to put it in 45.2.1.213b since the registers now in the table are for Inner FEC receive direction. We could

SuggestedRemedy

In 45.2.1.213b, add a new row above "Inner FEC lock 7" for the "align_status" in 177.4.1 and 177.11:
 Bit(s) / Name / Description / R/W
 1.2401.8 / align_status / alignment marker lock status for Inner FEC transmit direction / RO
 And change "1.2401.15:8" to "1.2401.15:9" in the first row.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 There need to be bits for all 8 FEC lanes so use bits 1.2401.15 to 1.2401.8 for "Inner FEC alignment".

Add new bit definitions of the form: "1.2401.8 / Inner FEC alignment 0 / 1 = lane 0 is aligned / RO" etc.

Implement with editorial license.

Cl 171 SC 171.1.1 P177 L9 # 166

Huber, Thomas Nokia
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

The "can be" was changed to "may be" in D1.2, but the corresponding statement for 800G at the bottom of the preceding page is still "can be", making the wording inconsistent between the two rates.

SuggestedRemedy

Other similar extender sublayer clauses also use "can be". Change the "may be" back to "can be".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 180 SC 180.9.1 P410 L9 # 170

Huber, Thomas Nokia
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

In Table 180-16, the cross-references for the PRBS31Q, PRBS13Q, and SSPRQ patterns are incorrect; PRBS13Q is defined in 120.5.11.2.1, PRBS31Q in 120.5.11.2.2, SSPRQ in 120.5.11.2.4

SuggestedRemedy

Correct the references.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license

Cl 181 SC 181.9.1 P434 L17 # 171

Huber, Thomas Nokia
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

In Table 181-11, the cross-references for the PRBS31Q, PRBS13Q, and SSPRQ patterns are incorrect; PRBS13Q is defined in 120.5.11.2.1, PRBS31Q in 120.5.11.2.2, SSPRQ in 120.5.11.2.4

SuggestedRemedy

Correct the references.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license

Cl 182 SC 182.9.1 P463 L9 # 172

Huber, Thomas Nokia
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

In Table 182-16, the cross-references for the PRBS31Q and PRBS13Q patterns are incorrect; PRBS13Q is defined in 120.5.11.2.1, PRBS31Q in 120.5.11.2.2

SuggestedRemedy

Correct the references.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license

Cl 183 SC 183.9.1 P488 L9 # 173

Huber, Thomas

Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

In Table 183-12, the cross-references for the PRBS31Q, PRBS13Q, and SSPRQ patterns are incorrect; PRBS13Q is defined in 120.5.11.2.1, PRBS31Q in 120.5.11.2.2, SSPRQ in 120.5.11.2.4

SuggestedRemedy

Correct the references.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license

[matt] implement what?

[tom] fixed wording

Cl 184 SC 184.4.3 P500 L17 # 174

Huber, Thomas

Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

pcsla[q,i] is defined both here and in the first bullet at line 21, using slightly different words.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the sentence at line 17.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 184 SC 184.4.9 P505 L15 # 175

Huber, Thomas

Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Table 184-2 and Table 184-4 (in 184.4.11.1) both show the entire pilot sequence. The first table shows it as bit pairs, the second as 4-level signal values as defined by the mapping in Table 184-3. It seems unnecessary to duplicate the information in both formats. The concept of the pilot sequence needs to be introduced in 184.4.9, at least up through Table 184-1 with the generator polynomial and seeds. Some of the information in 184.4.11.1 is also useful to understand, ie., that the values of the pilot sequence are chosen such that they will produce symbols that use the 'outer' points of the constellation, but otherwise the information in 184.4.11.1 seems unnecessary since 184.4.11 is about mapping bit pairs to symbols, and that mapping is itself the same for all bits in the DSP frame

SuggestedRemedy

Insert this text in 184.4.9, following table 184-1:

The bit-pairs that compose the pilot sequence are shown in table 184-2. They are selected such that they will produce symbols that use the outer 16QAM constellation points, as shown in figure 184-2.

Move figure 184-7 to be above table 184-2.

Delete clause 184.4.11.1.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 187 SC 187.5.1 P598 L47 # 177

Huber, Thomas

Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Missing a reference to the clause where the tests and measurements for the transmitter are defined.

SuggestedRemedy

In the text "... all transmitter measurements and tests defined in are made at TP2...", insert "187.8 and 187.9" between "in" and "are"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 187 SC 187.5.2 P600 L4 # 179

Huber, Thomas

Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

The title of Table 187-2 needs to be modified - the PMD only deals with analog signals, not DP16QAM symbols. The table is indicating how those analog signals received from the PMA can be mapped to the inputs to the modulator.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the title to "Allowed analog signal to modulator input mappings"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 179C SC 179C.3.1 P802 L8 # 187

D'Ambrosia, John

Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

Looks like cut / paste error
Reference to Annex 162C is incorrect for Annex 179C.3.1
Wrong PMDs are referenced

SuggestedRemedy

Correct 1st sentence to
The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to Annex 179C, MDIs for 200GBASE-CR1, 400GBASE-CR2, 800GBASE-CR4, and 1.6TBASE-CR8 shall complete the following protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Most of the PICS items needs to be updated.
Implement suggested remedy and update the PICS items with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1.1 P323 L35 # 189

Mellitz, Richard

Samtec

Comment Type TR Comment Status A TF IL, delay

The insertion loss and the delay for the test fixture needs to be tightly controlled to minimize the variability. That is because there will be load variability in the measurement equipment. The idea should be to add enough loss so as not to significantly signal degrade the signal but dampen the effects of test equipment load variability.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:

The insertion loss of the test fixture shall be between 4 dB and 5 dB at 53.125 GHz. With a delay between 500 and 650 ps. (based on 1.2 dB /inch and 150 ps /inch and e_r approximately 3.2)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #65.

Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1.1 P323 L36 # 190

Mellitz, Richard

Samtec

Comment Type TR Comment Status A TF ILdd

The fixture frequency content needs to extend beyond the Nyquist rate. S-parameter measurements are required for this test fixture for ERL. This fixture is also required for s-parameter measurements when computing COM for receiver compliance. A transition time of 5 ps is used for ERL computation and is trending to around 4 ps for COM. A frequency range needs to be chosen to minimize the Gibbs Phenomena. There can be significant error due to this for ERL or COM computation. Filtering can help, however, there is still an error. Consider the data has a sinc response, the loss difference of between 53 GHz and 85 GHz with a BT filter is about 10 dB which is just about amount of filtering need to minimize this error. The loss difference between 53 GHz and 67 GHz is about 4 dB which is likely to start showing this error.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:

The magnitude of the insertion loss deviation of the test fixture shall be less than or equal to 0.2 dB from 0.05 GHz to 85 GHz. Insertion loss deviation is calculated as specified in 93A.4, where Tt is 0.005 ns, and fb and fr values are taken from Table 178-12.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #65.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1.2 P324 L23 # 191

Mellitz, Richard

Samtec

Comment Type TR Comment Status A TF ERL

Consider ERL of 7 dB maybe minimal, 10 dB may be marginal, 15 dB may be good, and about 20 dB may be very good. Since ERL was scaled with T_r then relative amount of reflection from the test fixture should be the same as in 803.3ck.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:

The ERL at TP0v shall be greater than or equal to 15 dB.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #66.

Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1.2 P324 L17 # 192

Mellitz, Richard

Samtec

Comment Type TR Comment Status A TF Nbx

N_bx in the Table 187A-7 should be 0 so test fixture will not interfere with measurement as in IEEE802.3ck.

SuggestedRemedy

Relace with the row 5 with:

Equalizer length associated with reflection signal: N_bx : 0

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The following straw poll was taken.

Straw poll #TF-2 (decision)

For N_bx of a test fixture in 178.9.2.1.2, I support:

A: 16

B: 0

A: 19 B: 33

In Table 178-7, change the value of N_bx from 16 to 0.

Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1.3 P324 L33 # 193

Mellitz, Richard

Samtec

Comment Type TR Comment Status R TF skew

CD or DC are better quality inductor of line the quality of line imbalance because it will catch skew and should augment CC.

SuggestedRemedy

Add section:

178.9.2.1.x Test fixture differential-mode to common-mode return loss

The differential-mode to common-mode return loss of the test fixture at either port shall be less than or than or equal to 10 dB at all frequencies between 0.2 GHz and 85 GHz.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment does not provide sufficient justification to support the suggested remedy.

Cl 176D SC 176D.1 P696 L14 # 195

Li, Tobey

MediaTek

Comment Type ER Comment Status A (editorial)

Typo in "400 Gb/s two-lane Attachment Unit Interface (200GAUI-2 C2M)"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "200GAUI-2 C2M" to "400GAUI-2 C2M".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 176D SC 176D.1 P696 L44 # 196

Li, Tobey

MediaTek

Comment Type ER Comment Status A (editorial)

Figure 176D-1,
200GAUI-1 shall be 200 Gb/s 1-LANE ATTACHMENT UNIT INTERFACE.
400GMII shall be 400 Gb/s MEDIA INDEPENDENT INTERFACE

SuggestedRemedy

Line 44, change "200GAUI-1 = 100 Gb/s 1-LANE ATTACHMENT UNIT INTERFACE" to "200GAUI-1 = 200 Gb/s 1-LANE ATTACHMENT UNIT INTERFACE"

Line 47, change "400GMII = 200 Gb/s MEDIA INDEPENDENT INTERFACE" to "400GMII = 400 Gb/s MEDIA INDEPENDENT INTERFACE"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement with editorial license and discretion.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 178A SC 178A.1.4.3 P727 L42 # 197

Li, Tobey MediaTek

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

Shaunt capacitance is defined in 93A.1.2.2

SuggestedRemedy

Change the reference of shunt capacitor C1 from 93A.1.2.2a to 93A.1.2.2

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 178A SC 178A.1.6 P728 L24 # 198

Li, Tobey MediaTek

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

Transmitter equalizer is defined in 178A.1.6.1

SuggestedRemedy

Change the reference to transmitter equalizer transfer function from 178A.1.2 to 178A.1.6.1

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 182 SC 182.9.1 P463 L32 # 199

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

In Table 182-17... The last pattern listed is "valid 200GBASE-R, 400GBASE-R, 800GBASE-R or 1.6TBASE-R signal". But this is not correct. It should be encoded by the Inner FEC, similar to test pattern 5. Given we repeated refer to this valid BASE-R signal, why not just define it as a test pattern.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 182-16 add a new test pattern as follows:

Pattern: 7

Pattern description: "Valid 200GBASE-R, 400GBASE-R, 800GBASE-R, or 1.6TBASE-R signal encoded by the 200GBASE-R, 400GBASE-R, 800GBASE-R, or 1.6TBASE-R Inner FEC.

In Table 182-17 replace "valid 200GBASE-R, 400GBASE-R, 800GBASE-R or 1.6TBASE-R signal" with "7".

Similarly update Table 183-12 and Table 183-13.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license

Cl 186 SC 186.3.1.3 P565 L47 # 203

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Now that the receive signal names are sufficiently unique compared to the transmit signal names AND it is already explained in 187.5.3, the note at the bottom of Figure 186-11 is no longer required.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the note at the bottom of Figure 186-11.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[Editor's note: Changed the Clause/Subclause from 00/0 to 186/186.3.1.3]

Cl 179 SC 179.9.4.9 P364 L4 # 204

Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Equation (179-9) and Figure 179-4 do not agree.

SuggestedRemedy

In Equation (179-9), change " $4 \leq f < 40$ " to " $4 \leq f < 44$ ".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The intended equation was with a breaking point at 44 GHz as written in the suggested remedy, consistent with the test fixture specifications.

Implement the suggested remedy and additionally change " $40 \leq f \leq 60$ " to " $44 \leq f \leq 60$ ".

Cl 179 SC 179.9.4.10 P364 L46 # 205

Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Equation (179-10) and Figure 179-5 do not agree.

SuggestedRemedy

In Equation (179-10), change " $6(f-12.89)/(35-12.89)$ " to " $5(f-12.89)/(35-12.89)$ ". Make the same change to Equation (179-20).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 180 SC 180.8.3.1.1 P406 L2 # 220
 Johnson, John Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 MDI nomenclature is inconsistent with Annex 180A here, as well as in 180.8.3.1.2 and 180.8.3.1.3.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "MDI pin" to "MDI position" in the text and tables to be consistent with nomenclature used in Annex 180A.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 180 SC 180.9.5.1 P413 L20 # 221
 Johnson, John Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 The nomenclature of footnote (c) in Table 180-19 should match the nomenclature in Table 180-7.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change footnote (c) to read: "The optical return loss tolerance (max) from Table 180-7 is applied at TP2." as in footnote (c) of Table 182-19.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 181 SC 181.7.2 P429 L27 # 222
 Johnson, John Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 In "lanec", footnote "c" should be superscripted
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make "c" superscripted.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 182 SC 182.8.3.1.1 P459 L25 # 223
 Johnson, John Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 MDI nomenclature is inconsistent with Annex 180A here, as well as in 182.8.3.1.2 and 182.8.3.1.3.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "MDI pin" to "MDI position" in the text and tables to be consistent with nomenclature used in Annex 180A.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 183 SC 183.9.5.1 P491 L4 # 224
 Johnson, John Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 If no informative Annex is planned in D1.3, remove the reference in footnote (a)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make footnote (a) consistent with other PMD clauses. Remove the phrase, "and the optical channel characteristics methodology described in Annex TBD".
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 185A SC 185A.2.2 P814 L51 # 225
 Johnson, John Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 grammar: "comprises of"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "comprises of" to "comprises"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 185A SC 185A.2.2.1 P815 L15 # 226

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

The text suggests that the residual spec values are given in Table 185A-2, but only the parameters are in this table. The specs are given in tables in the PMD clauses.

SuggestedRemedy

Reword this sentence along the lines of, "Post-calibration residual parameters for the calibrated coherent detector front-end are listed in Table 185A-2. The values assigned to these parameters are defined by the Physical Layer specification that invokes the method."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 180 SC 180.9.5.1 P413 L12 # 229

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

PMD types in Table 180-19 are wrong

SuggestedRemedy

Change PMD types from DRn-2 to DRn in Table 180-19

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license

Cl 182 SC 182.7.2 P454 L35 # 235

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

The requirement of no aggressors for 200G-DR1-2 only applies to single lane devices. If a DR1-2 PMD shares a multi-lane device with other DRn-2 PMDs, then the aggressor lanes must be used.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Table 182-8 footnote (e) to read: "No aggressors needed for 200GBASE-DR1-2 in a single lane device." as in footnote (e) of Table 180-8.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license

Cl 185 SC 185.6.2 P532 L34 # 239

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

ETCC inequality is pointing the wrong way

SuggestedRemedy

Change condition to read: "for $1 < ETCC \leq 3.4$ dB"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 176 SC 176.3 P258 L34 # 248

Shrikhande, Kapil Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

In Table 176-6, when the sublayer above the PMA is a PCS, there is no PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request input (no PCS drives this signal). The table does not cover the common case of an m:n PMA with a PCS above.

SuggestedRemedy

Add two additional rows to the table with N/A in the left most column (no input value), and determine the output value of inst:IS_SIGNAL.request SIGNAL_OK signal depending only on the value of the align_status_mux variable. Alternative would be to have the PCS drive a signal to the PMA.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement using response to comment #56.

Cl 176 SC 176.3 P258 L26 # 249

Shrikhande, Kapil Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

The subclause is about the service interface below the PMA. Therefore, the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive should be inst:IS_SIGNAL.indication, and the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request primitive should be inst:IS_SIGNAL.request.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace PMA with inst as outlined in the comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 180 SC 180.9.13 P415 L28 # 300
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 121.8.10 is the Wrong reference
 SuggestedRemedy
 It should be 121.8.9
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 183 SC 183.9.13 P493 L11 # 303
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 121.8.10 is the Wrong reference
 SuggestedRemedy
 It should be 121.8.9
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 181 SC 181.9.13 P439 L8 # 301
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 121.8.10 is the Wrong reference
 SuggestedRemedy
 It should be 121.8.9
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 179B SC 179B.2 P778 L12 # 310
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum
 Comment Type T Comment Status R (bucket)
 Figure is not visiable just the labels are visiable
 SuggestedRemedy
 Please use an import that is visiable in pdf
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 See Editor's note: "Figure 179B-1 equations have not been adopted, and serve as placeholders."
 There is no graphic to display in Draft 1.2.

Cl 182 SC 182.9.13 P468 L4 # 302
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum
 Comment Type T Comment Status R (bucket)
 121.8.10 is the Wrong reference
 SuggestedRemedy
 It should be 121.8.9
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 182.9.13 is "Stressed receiver sensitivity" and the current cross reference is to "Stressed receiver sensitivity" which is correct. The suggested remedy points to "Receiver sensitivity" which is incorrect.
 Note editorial comment #300 is the same comment against 180.9.13 and will not be implemented.

Cl 179B SC 179B.4.1 P782 L12 # 311
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum
 Comment Type T Comment Status R (bucket)
 Figure is not visiable just the labels are visiable
 SuggestedRemedy
 Please use an import that is visiable in pdf
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 See Editor's note: "Figure 179B-2 equations have not been adopted, and serve as placeholders."
 There is no graphic to display in Draft 1.2.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 176D SC 176D.7.13.2 P715 L4 # 319
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 Extra character
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the "e" between step and 176D.7.12.2
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 171 SC 171.9 P195 L1 # 321
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 Need to update PICS to include path data delay for time synchronization (see 171.6b) .
 See 175.9.4.7 as an example for what was done for the 1.6TBASE-R PCS in Clause 175.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Updated PICS to include path data delay for time synchronization. See 175.9.4.7 as an example.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 171 SC 171.9 P195 L1 # 322
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 Need to add a PICS item to address optional support for Enhanced PTP accuracy (see 171.6a).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Update PICS to add an item for optional support of Enhanced PTP accuracy (referencing 171.6a)
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 176 SC 176.12 P252 L1 # 323
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 Need to update PICS to include path data delay for time synchronization (see 176.10) .
 See 175.9.4.7 as an example for what was done for the 1.6TBASE-R PCS in Clause 175.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Updated PICS to include path data delay for time synchronization. See 175.9.4.7 as an example.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 177 SC 177.12 P311 L1 # 324
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 Need to update PICS to include path data delay for time synchronization (see 177.10) .
 See 175.9.4.7 as an example for what was done for the 1.6TBASE-R PCS in Clause 175.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Updated PICS to include path data delay for time synchronization. See 175.9.4.7 as an example.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 184 SC 184.10 P519 L1 # 325
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)
 Need to update PICS to include path data delay for time synchronization (see 184.8) . See 175.9.4.7 as an example for what was done for the 1.6TBASE-R PCS in Clause 175.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Updated PICS to include path data delay for time synchronization. See 175.9.4.7 as an example.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 186 SC 186.8 P589 L1 # 326

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket)

Need to update PICS to include path data delay for time synchronization (see 186.6) . See 175.9.4.7 as an example for what was done for the 1.6TBASE-R PCS in Clause 175.

SuggestedRemedy

Updated PICS to include path data delay for time synchronization. See 175.9.4.7 as an example.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 180 SC 180.1 P389 L46 # 327

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

Is there a reason that "90-Time synchronization" was added as the last row in the Table 180-1. According to "https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_09/nicholl_3dj_01a_2409.pdf" , slide 24, it should have been added at the top of the table. Similar comment for Table 180-2, 180-3, 180-4. and against equivlanet tables in clauses 178, 179, 181, 182, 183, 185 and 187.

SuggestedRemedy

Move "90-Time synchronization" row to the top of Table 180-1 in accordance with "https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_09/nicholl_3dj_01a_2409.pdf" , slide 24. Similar change to Table 180-2, 180-3, 180-4, and to equivalent tables in clauses 178, 179, 181, 182, 183, 185 and 187.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 180A SC 180A.2 P807 L24 # 329

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

The second pargraph is referencing 16-position optical connectors and the 3rd paragraph then goes on to reference 12-position optical connectors. But the following sections then switch the order with 180A.3 referring to 12-position optical connectors and 180A.4 referring to 16-position optical connectors.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest switching the order of the 2nd and 3rd paragraphs in 180A.2, to match the order of the subsequent subclauses 180A.3 and 180A.4.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 179C SC 179C.2.1 P796 L51 # 332

Kocsis, Sam Amphenol

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

SFF-TA-1031 Rev 1.0 does not include SFP224

SuggestedRemedy

Add an Editor's note: The reference for SFP224 does not currently include 200G per lane specificatoins but it's expected to include before publication of this standard.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 179C SC 179C.2.3 P798 L42 # 337

Kocsis, Sam Amphenol

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

SFF-TA-1027 Rev 1.0 does not include QSFP224

SuggestedRemedy

Add an Editor's note: The reference for QSFP224 does not currently include 200G per lane specificatoins but it's expected to include before publication of this standard.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 179C SC 179C.2.4 P799 L36 # 338
 Kocsis, Sam Amphenol
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 QSFP-DD MSA Revision to 7.?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Update QSFP-DD MSA Revision to 7.1
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 179C SC 179C.2.5 P800 L22 # 341
 Kocsis, Sam Amphenol
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 OSFP MSA Revision to 5.0?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Update OSFP MSA Revision to 5.1
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 179C SC 179C.2 P796 L35 # 344
 Kocsis, Sam Amphenol
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 Editor's note is no longer needed
 SuggestedRemedy
 See contribution kocsis_3dj_01_2411
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 176C SC 176C.4.3 P680 L24 # 361
 Sakai, Toshiaki Socionext
 Comment Type T Comment Status A ERL
 In "Table 176C-1 Transmitter electrical characteristics at TP0v", Difference effective return loss, dERL (min) is still TBD. In "Table 176C-3 Receiver characteristics at TP5v", the dERL value for receiver is "-3dB". In CL178 (KR), the ERL values for transmitter and receiver are the same. (-3dB)
 There is no reason not to set the dERL value for transmitter to "-3dB".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change C2C transmitter dERL value from "TBD" to "-3dB".

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Resolve using the response to comment #66.

Cl 177 SC 177.5.2 P298 L32 # 362
 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)
 Where flow 0 is "will be" identified once the lock process is complete, it's not possible to fail to do that.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "may be" to "is"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 176 SC 176.1.4 P255 L1 # 372
 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)
 Forwarding of the clock is a necessary function for the PMA regardless of ILT. Since the PMA does not do any PPM compensation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the last paragraph of 176.1.4 that begins with "In order to support the inter-sublayer link training"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Resolve using response to comment # 26.

Cl 176 SC 176.1.3 P253 L34 # 373

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

Eleven items is a bit more than what I'd considered to be several.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Several terms" to "The following terms"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 176 SC 176.2 P256 L47 # 374

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

The last several paragraphs of 176.2 are dealing with specific types of PMAs and the SIGNAL_OK function. We have 3 different types of PMAs whose functionality we do group into different sub-clauses later on, so making each its own sub-clause of 176.2 I think would organize it better.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert this heading "176.2.1 PMA service interface for m:n PMA" before the paragraph that begins with "In the transmit direction, the m:n PMAs"
 Insert this heading "176.2.2 PMA service interface for n:m PMA" before the paragraph that begins with "In the transmit direction, the n:m PMAs"
 Insert this heading "176.2.3 PMA service interface for n:n PMA" before the paragraph that begins with "In the transmit direction, the n:n PMAs"
 Insert this heading "176.2.4 SIGNAL_OK for the PMA service interface" before the paragraph that begins with "The PMA receives signal status"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 176 SC 176.4.4.2.1 P271 L45 # 376

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

The mapping of SIGNAL_OK to signal_ok_*mux is an active mapping of the service interface to status value.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "It is true if the value was OK" to "It is true when the value is OK" in both signal_ok_mux and signal_ok_demux definitions.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 176 SC 176.2 P257 L39 # 377

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

Noting that there is a clock propagation in addition to the actual listed primitives should occur right after we list out those parameters and before we fully define them.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the last paragraph of 176.2 and 176.3 to be after the bullet list of interface primitives.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 177 SC 177.2 P290 L37 # 378

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

Noting that there is a clock propagation in addition to the actual listed primitives should occur right after we list out those parameters and before we fully define them.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the last paragraph of 177.2 to be after the bullet list of interface primitives.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 171 SC 171.6a P184 L17 # 379
 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 Enhanced PTP should likley come after the "normal" TimeSync function of path delay information.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Flip-flop Enhanced PTP accuracy and Path data delay for time synchronization
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 171 SC 171.9 P195 L0 # 380
 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)
 No PICS for TimeSync functions
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add PICS similar to Table 175-4 to Clause 171 but also add in the Enhanced PTP accuracy
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 171 SC 171.6a P184 L18 # 381
 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)
 The opening paragraph is not accurately representing the Enhanced PTP accuracy functionality.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Update the first paragraph to read:
 If the sublayer below the 800GXS is an 800GBASE-ER1 PCS, the enhanced PTP accuracy feature provides the indication of where in the 800GMII stream 800GBASE-R alignment markers once existed. This indicator allows for subsequent insertion of 800GBASE-R alignment markers into the same spot in the data stream.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 177 SC 177.4.2 P291 L45 # 383
 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)
 With the addition of the deskew process the Convolutional interleaver no longer uses the PMA lanes directly but rather the deskewed lanes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the word "deskewed" before PMA in the first sentence of 177.4.2.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 177 SC 177.4.2 P291 L47 # 384
 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)
 No mechanism to identify the RS-FEC symbol boundaries is provided.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the sentence that begins with "The four RS-FEC symbols in each RS-FEC symbol-quartet are from four different RS-FEC codewords" to "Using the RS-FEC boundaries found by the Alignment and Deksew process (see 177.4.1) the convolutioner interleaver creates groups of four RS-FEC symbols from four RS-FEC codewords."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 177 SC 177.4.2 P291 L52 # 385
 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
 Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)
 There is a , in the 1536 number.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the comma
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement with editorial license and discretion.

EEE P802.3dj D1.2 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comment

Cl 177 SC 177.5.2 P298 L22 # 386

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

Steps a) and b.2) and c) tell us what step to proceed to but b.1) does not.

SuggestedRemedy

Add go to step c) to end of step b) 1)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 177 SC 177.5.2 P298 L27 # 387

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

The phrase "at least 140" is intending the minimum value of invalid codewords in which you take this branch. Alternative wording could be used to improve clarity of the function.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "at least 140" to "140 or more"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.

Cl 177 SC 177.6.3 P303 L29 # 390

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

The exit from CW_CHECK_1 and CW_CHECK_2 for values of 13 have the wrong variable name

SuggestedRemedy

Change valid_cw=13 to valid_cw_cnt=13 two places Fig 177-9

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 1 SC 1.3 P50 L41 # 398

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket)

The OSFP specification has been updated. Notice that 1.3 says "Standards may be subject to revision, and parties subject to agreements based on this standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated below"

SuggestedRemedy

Update OSFP from Rev 5.0, October 2, 2022 to Rev 5.1, September 12th, 2024, or remove the date and revision number from the reference.
Update any other references as appropriate if new revisions are published.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Update OSFP from Rev 5.0, October 2, 2022 to Rev 5.1, September 12th, 2024.

Cl 184 SC 184.2 P498 L43 # 420

Kota, Kishore Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial)

ADC input signals in Figure 184-2 are labelled RX_Ai, RX_Aq, RX_Bi and RX_Bq. I think the labels A/B are used to highlight the fact that the polarization angle at the receiver is not necessarily aligned with the X/Y polarizations at the transmitter. However, A/B are somewhat arbitrary and do not clearly reflect the fact that those are orthogonal polarizations.

SuggestedRemedy

My suggestion is to use H/V (for horizontal and vertical) instead of A/B because it is common to use these letters in coherent DSPs instead of X/Y to indicate orthogonal polarizations. i.e. use RX_Hi, RX_Hq, RX_Vi, RX_Vq. Same change would also apply to uses of these names in 184.5.1 on page 508, lines 45, 47 and 51 and in 184.5.2 on page 509, line 5 and 184.5.7 on page 510, line 10.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement with editorial license and discretion.