C/ 45 SC 45.	.2.3.1	P 94	L18	# 1	C/ 45	SC 4	45.2.1.213	g	P 93	L 44	# 4
Marris, Arthur		Cadence Des	ign Systems		Bruckman	, Leon			Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comi	ment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment S	Status A		(buck
			be updated for 1.6	6 Tb/s. Similar issue	In Tab	ole 45-17	77g bins 2	and 3 shall als	so be describ	bed	
for PHY and DT	E XS control 1	registers			Suggested	dRemed	y .				
SuggestedRemedy				A1 6			0	0	, ,	.2418 and 1.2419	for lane 0 error bins 2
		nd 45-340 and upda w.ieee802.org/3/ma				`	structure as	s for error bin	,		
considered inclue					Response			Response S	tatus C		
Response	Respo	nse Status C			ACCE	PI.					
ACCEPT.					C/ 116	SC 1	116.3.3.3		P 134	L 51	# 5
C/ 177 SC 177	7 11	P306	L36	# 2	Bruckman	, Leon			Nvidia		
Marris, Arthur		Cadence Des		π Ζ	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment S	Status A		(editor
Comment Type T	Com	ment Status A	igh Systems	(bucketp)	Text c	an be in	nproved				
		in the transmit path	However align	(1997)	Suggested	dRemed	v				
					Chanc	ne [.] "and	for nhysic	al laver imple	mentations th	hat use the II T fu	nction defined in Ann
		erences 119.2.6.2.2					, for physic ate the ILT		mentations th	nat use the ILT fu	nction defined in Anne
defined in Table functionality.					178B, to: "ar	to indicand, to inc	ate the ILT dicate the I	status." ILT status for I		nat use the ILT fu	
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy	177-2 which ret	erences 119.2.6.2.2	2 which is describi	ing receive PCS	178B, to: "ar	to indicand, to inc	ate the ILT	status." ILT status for I			
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy	177-2 which rei	erences 119.2.6.2.2	2 which is describi		178B, to: "ar	to indicand, to indicand, to indicand, to indicand	ate the ILT dicate the I	status." ILT status for I	physical layei		
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the aligi transmit operatio	177-2 which ref n_status variab	erences 119.2.6.2.2	2 which is describi	ing receive PCS	178B, to: "an functic <i>Response</i> ACCE	to indica nd, to inco on define PT IN P	ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne PRINCIPLE	status." ILT status for j x 178B." <i>Response</i> S	physical layer tatus C		
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the aligi transmit operatio	177-2 which rei n_status variab on <i>Respo</i>	erences 119.2.6.2.2	2 which is describi	ing receive PCS	178B, to: "an functic <i>Response</i> ACCE	to indica nd, to inco on define PT IN P	ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne PRINCIPLE	status." ILT status for _I x 178B." <i>Response</i> S	physical layer tatus C		
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the aligi transmit operatio Response ACCEPT IN PRI	177-2 which rei n_status variab on <i>Respo</i> INCIPLE.	erences 119.2.6.2.2 le to something diffe nse Status C	2 which is describi	ing receive PCS	178B, to: "an functic <i>Response</i> ACCE	to indica nd, to inco on define PT IN P ment wit	ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne PRINCIPLE	status." ILT status for j x 178B." <i>Response</i> S	physical layer tatus C		
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the alig transmit operatio Response	177-2 which rei n_status variab on <i>Respo</i> INCIPLE.	erences 119.2.6.2.2 le to something diffe nse Status C	2 which is describi	ing receive PCS	178B, to: "ar functic <i>Response</i> ACCE Impler	to indica nd, to inco on define PT IN P ment wit	ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne RINCIPLE h editorial	status." ILT status for j x 178B." <i>Response S</i> License and d	physical layer tatus C iscretion.	r implementations	s that use the ILT
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the alig transmit operatio Response ACCEPT IN PRI Resolve using th	177-2 which ref n_status variab on <i>Respo</i> INCIPLE. ne response to c	erences 119.2.6.2.2 le to something diffe nse Status C	2 which is describi	ing receive PCS	178B, to: "ar functio <i>Response</i> ACCE Impler	to indica nd, to ind on define PT IN P ment wit SC 1 , Leon	ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne RINCIPLE h editorial	status." ILT status for j x 178B." <i>Response S</i> License and d	physical layer <i>itatus</i> C iscretion. <i>P</i> 135 Nvidia	r implementations	s that use the ILT
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the alig transmit operatio Response ACCEPT IN PRI Resolve using th Cl 45 SC 45.	177-2 which ref n_status variab on <i>Respo</i> INCIPLE. ne response to c	erences 119.2.6.2.2 le to something diffe nse Status C comment #54.	2 which is describiterent which makes	ing receive PCS s clear it is referring to	178B, to: "ar functio Response ACCE Impler C/ 116 Bruckman Comment	to indica nd, to ind on define PT IN P ment wit SC 1 , Leon	ate the ILT dicate the I PRINCIPLE h editorial 116.3.3.4 E	status." ILT status for j x 178B." <i>Response S</i> license and d	physical layer <i>itatus</i> C iscretion. <i>P</i> 135 Nvidia	r implementations	s that use the ILT
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the alig transmit operatio Response ACCEPT IN PRI Resolve using th	177-2 which ref n_status variab n <i>Respo</i> INCIPLE. he response to c .2.4	erences 119.2.6.2.2 le to something diffe nse Status C comment #54.	2 which is describiterent which makes	ing receive PCS s clear it is referring to	178B, to: "ar functio <i>Response</i> ACCE Impler <i>Cl</i> 116 Bruckman <i>Comment</i> Text c	to indic: nd, to inco on define PT IN P ment wit SC 1 , Leon <i>Type</i> can be in	ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne PRINCIPLE h editorial 116.3.3.4 E nproved	status." ILT status for j x 178B." <i>Response S</i> license and d	physical layer <i>itatus</i> C iscretion. <i>P</i> 135 Nvidia	r implementations	s that use the ILT
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the aligi- transmit operatio Response ACCEPT IN PRI Resolve using th Cl 45 SC 45. Marris, Arthur	177-2 which ref n_status variab m Response NCIPLE. he response to c .2.4 Comr	erences 119.2.6.2.2 le to something diffe nse Status C comment #54. P97 Cadence Des nent Status A	2 which is describiterent which makes	ing receive PCS s clear it is referring to # 3	178B, to: "ar functio Response ACCE Impler C/ 116 Bruckman Comment Text c Suggested	to indica ad, to indi- on define PT IN P ment wit SC 1 , Leon <i>Type</i> an be in <i>dRemed</i>	ate the ILT dicate the I ad in Anne PRINCIPLE h editorial 116.3.3.4 E nproved	Status." ILT status for j x 178B." <i>Response S</i> license and d <i>Comment S</i>	physical layer itatus C iscretion. P135 Nvidia Status A	r implementations	s that use the ILT # <u>6</u> (editor
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the aligi transmit operatio Response ACCEPT IN PRI Resolve using th CI 45 SC 45. Marris, Arthur Comment Type T A control bit neer "PHY_XS_enhar	177-2 which ref n_status variab m <i>Respo</i> NCIPLE. he response to c .2.4 f <i>Comr</i> ds to be added nced_ptp_accur	erences 119.2.6.2.2 le to something diffe nse Status C comment #54. P97 Cadence Des nent Status A for the variable acy_enable" listed in	2 which is describiterent which makes	ing receive PCS s clear it is referring to # 3	178B, to: "ar functio Response ACCE Impler C/ 116 Bruckman Comment Text c Suggested Chang 178B,	to indica ad, to indi- on define PT IN P ment wit <i>SC</i> 1 , Leon <i>Type</i> an be in <i>dRemed</i> ge: "and, to indica	ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne PRINCIPLE h editorial 116.3.3.4 E nproved (y , for physic ate the ILT	status." ILT status for j x 178B." <i>Response S</i> license and di <i>Comment S</i> cal layer imple status."	physical layer tatus C iscretion. P135 Nvidia Status A	r implementations	s that use the ILT # <u>6</u> <i>(editor</i> nction defined in Anne
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the alig transmit operatio Response ACCEPT IN PRI Resolve using th CI 45 SC 45. Marris, Arthur Comment Type T A control bit need	177-2 which ref n_status variab m <i>Respo</i> NCIPLE. he response to c .2.4 f <i>Comr</i> ds to be added nced_ptp_accur	erences 119.2.6.2.2 le to something diffe nse Status C comment #54. P97 Cadence Des nent Status A for the variable acy_enable" listed in	2 which is describiterent which makes	ing receive PCS s clear it is referring to # 3(bucket)	178B, to: "ar function Response ACCE Impler Cl 116 Bruckman Comment Text c Suggested Chang 178B, to: "ar	to indica ad, to inco on define FT IN P ment with SC 1 , Leon <i>Type</i> an be in <i>dRemed</i> ge: "and, to indica ad, to inco	ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne PRINCIPLE h editorial 116.3.3.4 E nproved by , for physic ate the ILT dicate the I	Status." ILT status for parameters <i>Response S</i> Ilicense and de <i>Comment S</i> cal layer imple status." ILT status for parameters	physical layer tatus C iscretion. P135 Nvidia Status A	r implementations	s that use the ILT # <u>6</u> <i>(editor</i> nction defined in Anne
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the aligi transmit operatio Response ACCEPT IN PRI Resolve using th CI 45 SC 45. Marris, Arthur Comment Type T A control bit need "PHY_XS_enhar Clause 172 control SuggestedRemedy	177-2 which ref n_status variab <i>Respo</i> NCIPLE. The response to control 2.4 Communication of the communication o	le to something diffe <i>Inse Status</i> C comment #54. P97 Cadence Des <i>ment Status</i> A for the variable acy_enable" listed in pping"	2 which is describiterent which makes L37 ign Systems n "Table 171-2-Mi	ing receive PCS s clear it is referring to # 3 <i>(bucket)</i> DIO PHY 800GXS to	178B, to: "ar functio Response ACCE Impler C/ 116 Bruckman Comment Text c Suggested Chang 178B, to: "ar functio	to indica ad, to indi- on define PT IN P ment wit SC , Leon Type an be in dRemed ge: "and, to indica on define	ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne PRINCIPLE h editorial 116.3.3.4 E nproved (y , for physic ate the ILT	Status." ILT status for parameters ILT status for parameters Response S Ilicense and definition Comment S Comment S cal layer imple status." ILT status for parameters x 178B."	physical layer status C iscretion. P135 Nvidia Status A ementations the physical layer	r implementations	s that use the ILT # <u>6</u> <i>(editor</i> nction defined in Anne
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the aligi- transmit operatio Response ACCEPT IN PRI Resolve using th CI 45 SC 45. Marris, Arthur Comment Type T A control bit neer "PHY_XS_enhar Clause 172 contri SuggestedRemedy Create a new "Ti	177-2 which ref n_status variab <i>Respo</i> NCIPLE. he response to c .2.4 f <i>Comr</i> ds to be added nced_ptp_accur rol variable map	erences 119.2.6.2.2 le to something diffe <i>inse Status</i> C comment #54. <i>P</i> 97 Cadence Des <i>nent Status</i> A for the variable acy_enable" listed in oping"	2 which is describiterent which makes be the second	ting receive PCS s clear it is referring to # 3 (bucket) DIO PHY 800GXS to	178B, to: "ar functio Response ACCE Impler Cl 116 Bruckman Comment Text c Suggested Chang 178B, to: "ar functio Response	to indica d, to indica on define PT IN P ment wit SC , Leon <i>Type</i> an be in <i>dRemed</i> ge: "and, to indica id, to indica	ate the ILT dicate the I PRINCIPLE h editorial 116.3.3.4 E nproved y , for physic ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne	status." ILT status for p x 178B." <i>Response S</i> license and d <i>Comment S</i> cal layer imple status." ILT status for p x 178B." <i>Response S</i>	physical layer status C iscretion. P135 Nvidia Status A ementations the physical layer	r implementations	s that use the ILT # <u>6</u> <i>(editor</i> nction defined in Anne
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the aligi transmit operatio Response ACCEPT IN PRI Resolve using th Cl 45 SC 45. Marris, Arthur Comment Type T A control bit neer "PHY_XS_enhar Clause 172 control SuggestedRemedy Create a new "Ti enhanced PTP a	177-2 which ref in_status variab <i>Respo</i> INCIPLE. The response to control 2.4 The Communication of the control the added inced_ptp_accur rol variable map imeSync PHY > accuracy enable	erences 119.2.6.2.2 le to something diffe <i>inse Status</i> C comment #54. <i>P</i> 97 Cadence Des <i>nent Status</i> A for the variable acy_enable" listed in oping" (S configuration" reg " bit. Add an ability	2 which is describiterent which makes be the second	ing receive PCS s clear it is referring to # 3 <i>(bucket)</i> DIO PHY 800GXS to	178B, to: "ar function Response ACCE Impler Cl 116 Bruckman Comment Text c Suggester Chang 178B, to: "ar function Response ACCE	The indication of the indicati	ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne PRINCIPLE h editorial 116.3.3.4 E nproved <i>y</i> , for physic ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne PRINCIPLE	status." ILT status for p x 178B." <i>Response S</i> license and d <i>Comment S</i> cal layer imple status." ILT status for p x 178B." <i>Response S</i>	physical layer status C iscretion. P135 Nvidia Status A ementations the physical layer status C	r implementations	s that use the ILT # <u>6</u> <i>(editor</i> nction defined in Anne
defined in Table functionality. SuggestedRemedy Rename the aligi- transmit operatio Response ACCEPT IN PRI Resolve using th CI 45 SC 45. Marris, Arthur Comment Type T A control bit neer "PHY_XS_enhar Clause 172 contri SuggestedRemedy Create a new "Ti enhanced PTP a	177-2 which ref m_status variab m	erences 119.2.6.2.2 le to something diffe <i>inse Status</i> C comment #54. <i>P</i> 97 Cadence Des <i>nent Status</i> A for the variable acy_enable" listed in oping"	2 which is describiterent which makes be the second	ting receive PCS s clear it is referring to # 3 (bucket) DIO PHY 800GXS to	178B, to: "ar function Response ACCE Impler Cl 116 Bruckman Comment Text c Suggester Chang 178B, to: "ar function Response ACCE	The indication of the indicati	ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne PRINCIPLE h editorial 116.3.3.4 E nproved <i>y</i> , for physic ate the ILT dicate the I ed in Anne PRINCIPLE	status." ILT status for p x 178B." <i>Response S</i> license and d <i>Comment S</i> cal layer imple status." ILT status for p x 178B." <i>Response S</i>	physical layer status C iscretion. P135 Nvidia Status A ementations the physical layer status C	r implementations	s that use the ILT # <u>6</u> <i>(editor</i> nction defined in Anne

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 116	SC 116.3.3.4	.1 P136	L11	# 7	C/ 186	SC 186.2.3.5	5.1	P 553	L 31	# 10
Bruckman, L	Leon	Nvidia			Bruckman, L	eon	I	Nvidia		
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment S	tatus A		AM acronym
Typo: "t	the lower higher	sublayer"			The acro	onym AM is ov	reloaded and cr	eates confusi	ion	
SuggestedR	Remedy				SuggestedR	emedy				
	e: "the lower high next lower subla				•	the name of th		U	nt Marker, abrevia	ated as GAM
Response		Response Status C			Response	T IN PRINCIPL	Response St	atus C		
ACCEP	ΥТ.				While th	e use of AM de	oes potentially c		ion, the name of t /here it's meaning	he field is chosen to is "Alignment
C/ 170	SC 170.1	P168	L13	# 8	Mechan			,		J
Bruckman, L	Leon	Nvidia			Update	Clause 186 to	remove the amb	piquous use c	of the AM acronvr	n by changing the
Comment Ty Missing		Comment Status A		(editorial)	"Ålignm	ent Mechanism		iMP Alignmer		AM)". Add a note that
SuggestedR	Remedy				Impleme	ent with edtoria	al license.			
		Media Independent" edia Independent"			C/ 186	SC 186.2.3.6	6	P 553	L 52	# 11
Response		Response Status C			Bruckman, L	.eon	I	Nvidia		
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPL				Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment S	tatus A		(bucket)
Impleme	ent with editoria	I license and discretion.			We sho	uld also define	what does the r	eceiver do w	ith the unused bit	S.
C/ 186	SC 186.2.3.4	P 552	L19	# 9	SuggestedR	emedy				
Bruckman, L	Leon	Nvidia			Add to t	ne end of the fi	irst paragraph in	the section:	"and ignored by t	the receiver"
Comment Ty		Comment Status A		(editorial)	Response		Response St	tatus C		
In Figur	re 186-5, the fra	mes are contigous, but the	/ are shown with s	paces between them	ACCEP	Г.				
_	Domody									
SuggestedR	reineuy				CI 196	SC 106 2 2 6	2 1 0	DEEC	1.06	# 10
00	-	ne frames contigous, without	It space between t	them	C/ 186	SC 186.2.3.6		P556	L 26	# 12
In Figure	-	ne frames contigous, withou Response Status C	ut space between i	them	Bruckman, L	.eon	I	Nvidia	L 26	
In Figure Response	-	Response Status C	ut space between t	them	Bruckman, L Comment Ty	eon vpe TR	l Comment S	Nvidia Status A	-	# <u>12</u> PTP
In Figure Response ACCEP	re 186-5 make th PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C	ut space between t	them	Bruckman, L Comment Ty Pointers	eon /pe TR like the AML a	I	Nvidia Status A	-	
In Figure Response ACCEP	re 186-5 make th PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.	ut space between t	them	Bruckman, L Comment Ty Pointers SuggestedR	eon vpe TR like the AML a emedy	Comment S are prone to wro	Nvidia Status A ong interpreta	tion	PTP
In Figure Response ACCEP	re 186-5 make th PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.	ut space between a	them	Bruckman, L Comment Ty Pointers SuggestedR Add an removed	eon /pe TR like the AML a <i>emedy</i> example of the	Comment S are prone to wro AML value. It c ted immediately	Nvidia Status A ong interpretat	tion a figure, or just te	
In Figure Response ACCEP	re 186-5 make th PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.	ut space between :	them	Bruckman, L Comment Ty Pointers SuggestedR Add an removed	eon <i>type</i> TR like the AML a <i>emedy</i> example of the d AM was locat	Comment S are prone to wro AML value. It c ted immediately	Nvidia Status A ong interpretation can either be a before the N	tion a figure, or just te	PTP xt that says: "If the
In Figure Response ACCEP	re 186-5 make th PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.	ut space between	them	Bruckman, L Comment Ty Pointers SuggestedR Add an removed the valu Response	eon <i>type</i> TR like the AML a <i>emedy</i> example of the d AM was locat	Comment S are prone to wro AML value. It c ted immediately vill be 0xXX" Response St	Nvidia Status A ong interpretation can either be a before the N	tion a figure, or just te	PTP xt that says: "If the
Response ACCEP	re 186-5 make th PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.	ut space between	them	Bruckman, L Comment Ty Pointers SuggestedR Add an removed the valu Response ACCEP	Leon ype TR like the AML a like the AML a like the AML a like the AML a a of the AML w T IN PRINCIPL figure and text	Comment S are prone to wro AML value. It c ted immediately vill be 0xXX" Response St LE.	Nvidia Status A ong interpretation can either be a before the N tatus C	tion a figure, or just te th 66B block in th	PTP xt that says: "If the

C/ 186	SC 186.2.3.10	D P558	L 26	# 13	C/ 186	SC 186.2.3	.9	P 557	L 32	# 16
Bruckman,	, Leon	Nvidia			Bruckman,	Leon		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Туре Т	Comment	Status A		(bucket,
	refers to a OFBG ame and the ITU	ikj frame. It will be usefull to : -T OFBGkj	specify the relati	onship between the	The sentence: "extended by 29 CRC-32 and an additional 64 pad bits after the 29th 32 (total 992 bits)," is hard to parse					
Suggested	Remedy				Suggestea	IRemedy				
		the end of the section: "The BGkj structure defined in ITU		is standard		je to: "extende 2 (total 992 bit		alues with an	additional 64 pao	d bits after the 29th
Response		Response Status C			Response		Response S	Status C		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLI	E.			ACCE	PT IN PRINCI	PLE.			
		is used by 800GBASE-ER1			Rewrit	e the first sent	ence as three se	ntence to be r	nore clear.	
	e this detail in 186 about the scram	6.2.3.9, where the FEC frame	e is initially desc	irbed, rather than in the	Chang	ı <u>۵</u> .				
Clause	about the sciam	bior.			0		presentation of t	he 800GBASE	E-ER1 PCS fram	e, groups of 116 rows
		this standard corresponds to	o the OFBG84 s	tructure define in ITU-T	(1 192	480 bits), exte	nded by 29 CRC	-32 and an ac	ditional 64 pad b	oits after the 29th CRC-
G.709	.6." Implement wi	th editorial license.			· · ·	,,	rm the set of 1 1 frame in this cla		at will be input to	the FEC encoder
C/ 186	SC 186.2.4.6.	3 P562	L 51	# 14	(denot			use).		
Bruckman,	Leon	Nvidia			To:					
Comment		Comment Status A		(bucket)						ion of the 800GBASE- ed with the CRC32 (see
	51	" is repeated in 186.2.4.7. N	o pood (and ma	()						bit pad. The FEC
	me requirement t		lo neeu (anu ma	y be confusing) to have		consists of 1 1				
Suggested	Remedy				C/ 186	SC 186.2.4	.4	P 561	L19	# 17
Delete	last sentence of	186.2.4.6.3			Bruckman,	Leon		Nvidia		
Response		Response Status C			Comment		Comment			Error marking
ACCE	PT.					51			CRC-32 erros are	e detected in their row
C/ 186	SC 186.2.3.9	P 557	L 32	# 15	Suggestea	lRemedy				
		Nvidia	202	# 15	Add sp	pecification that	t OH fields shall	be ingored if a	a CRC32 error wa	as detected in their row.
Bruckman,				(hughest)	Response		Response S	Status C		
Comment	51	Comment Status A	0.00	(bucket)		PT IN PRINCI	,			
Fourti	mes in the clause	e the CRC32 is written as CR	(C-32		AGGE					
Suggested	lRemedy									overhead has to be
Chang	e four times CRC	C-32 to CRC32 in the whole of	lause.							nsmission errors). The PCS frame itself.
Response		Response Status C			niterit (inting is to replace		i signal, not the r	
ACCE	PT.									blocks in the GMP
							/ith /E/ blocks wh	ien the CRC32	2 is failed. Define	how the overhead is
					nandle	u when a CRU	SZ entor occurs.			
					Implen	nent with edito	rial license.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 17

Page 3 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

	L 50	# 18	C/ 187	SC 187.5.1	P 599	L 32	# 21
Bruckman, Leon Nvidia			Bruckman	, Leon	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucketp
A frame carries 7296 symbols not 175 104			The na	aming of the ana	log signals in Figure 187-5 is	wrong	
SuggestedRemedy			Suggested	dRemedy			
Change: "for a total of 175 104 symbols per frame" To: "for a total of 175 104 symbols per multi-frame"				ure 187-5 chang ence of RX_AQ	ge the second occurrence of F to RX_BQ	RX_AI to RX_BI	and the second
Response Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT.			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	LE.		
C/ 186 SC 186.3.3.2.1 P574	L 44	# 19	Resolv	ve using the resp	oonse to comment #421.		
Bruckman, Leon Nvidia			C/ 187	SC 187.5.3	P 600	L 25	# 22
Comment Type TR Comment Status A		Sublayer interface	Bruckman	, Leon	Nvidia		
The analog receive signals were ramed (see Figure 18	B6-11 and its f	ootnote)	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucketp
SuggestedRemedy			The na	aming of the ana	log signals is wrong		
Change: "Four analog signals RX_XI, RX_XQ, RX_YI, To: "Four analog signals RX_AI, RX_AQ, RX_BI, and	and RX_YQ"		Suggested	dRemedy			
Response Response C	KA_BQ				the paragraph change the se ence of RX_AQ to RX_BQ	econd occurrence	e of RX_AI to RX_BI
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Response		Response Status C		
Resolve using the response to comment #421.			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	_E.		
C/ 186 SC 186.3.3.2.2 P575	L 20	# 20	Resolv	ve using the resp	oonse to comment #421.		
Bruckman, Leon Nvidia			C/ 187	SC 187.6.3	P 603	L 43	# 23
Comment Type TR Comment Status A		(bucketp)	Bruckman	, Leon	Nvidia		
The I and Q components shall also be identified			Comment		Comment Status A		Optical channe
SuggestedRemedy			In tabl	e 187-7, the Cha	annel insertion loss for ER1-2	0 is 6.5 dB, but v	with a loss of 0.25
Add to the list: "Identify the I and Q component of eac	h polarization'			n and 2 dB for th d be 7 dB	e 2 dB total connection and s	plice loss define	d in 187.7.2.1 the value
Response Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Suggested In tabl		the Channel insertion loss for	r ER1-20 to 7 dB	6
Add I and Q to the existing line with X and Y, similar to			Response	0	Response Status C		
"Identification of the X and Y polarizations and the I ar using the multi-frame alignment signal, training seque			•	PT IN PRINCIPI			
Implement with editorial license.				ble 187-7 for ER1 budget from 7 to	-20 change the Channel inse o 7.5.	rtion loss from 6	5.5 to 7 and change the
			In Tab	le 187-8 for ER1	-20 change the Channel inse	rtion loss from 6	6.5 to 7.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 23

Page 4 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

C/ 178B SC 178B.5.3	P 745	L 26	# 24	C/ 184	SC 184.4.9	P 506	L 21	# 27
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			Huang, Keo	chao	Huawei		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status A		(buckei
PRBS13 is mentioned	twice, while PRBS31 is missi	ng.		In Figu	re 184-6, the bit "	0" after "Seed X:" (and "Se	ed Y:") is not neo	cessary.
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestedl	Remedy			
0	running PRBS13 and free-run	0	5	In Figu	re 184-6, delete "	0" after "Seed X:"; delete "	0" after "Seed Y:"	
	ng PRBS13 and free-running	PRBS31 these t	wo symbols"	Response		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCEF	РТ.			
ACCEPT.				C/ 186	SC 186.3.3.1.1	1 <i>P</i> 568	L1	# 28
C/ 178B SC 178B.5.3	.3 P747	L 48	# 25	Huang, Kec		Huawei	21	# 20
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			Comment 1		Comment Status A		(bucket
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)		51	1376256 bits are mapped	to 172032 DP-16	•
	e PRBS31 behavior, but in m	any places (incl	uding the title) it	173032		107 0200 bits are mapped	10 172032 DI -10	
indicates PRBS13 inst	ead			Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Change	e "173032" to "17	2032" in Line 1;		
In section 178B.5.3.3	change 6 occurences of PRB	S13 to PRBS31		Change	e "173031" to "17	2031" in Line 2		
Response	Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT.								
C/ 176 SC 176.1.4	P 255	<i>L</i> 1	# 26		e "173032" to "17 e "173031" to "17			
Bruckman. Leon	Nvidia	21	# 20	C/ 186	SC 186.3.3.1.2		L17	# 29
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)				L17	# 29
51	e clock to be passed through	the PMA. The r	, ,	Huang, Keo		Huawei		1
ILT operates with loca	clock.			Comment 7	51	Comment Status A dexes of payload symbols s	bould be modified	<i>(buckei)</i>
SuggestedRemedy					r of payload symb			u such that the total
Delete: "In order to sup	oport the inter-sublayer link tra	aining (ILT) func	ion,"	Suggestedl				
Response	Response Status C				2	'S<30:92>", "S<93:155>" s	hould be change	d to "S<0:19>".
ACCEPT.				"S<20:8	82>", "S<83:145>	•	0	
						4257>" should be changed):164922>", "S<164923:16		
						164912>", "S<164913:1649		
				Deenenee				
				Response		Response Status C		

C/ 186	SC 186.3.3.1.	3 P 570	L 51	# 30	C/ 177	SC 177.6.2.1	P 301	L 8	# 33
luang, Keo	chao	Huawei			Huang, Kecha	10	Huawei		
Comment 7	Туре т	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Typ	e E Con	nment Status A		(editoria
In Tabl 800ZR		e 4 pilot symbols should be	modified to align	ed with that in OIF		d be changed to "fas' e", see subclause 177			e Alignment ges in subclause 177.6
Suggestedl	Remedy				SuggestedRe	medy			
Index 9	91 YQ: "-3" should	d be changed to "3"			Change "f	fs" to "fas" in subclau	se 177.6.2.1, 177.6.2	2.3, and figures 1	77-9 and 177-10
		be changed to "3"			Response	Resp	onse Status C		
		be changed to "-3" be changed to "3"			ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
Response		Response Status C			Implemen	t with editorial license	e and discretion.		
ACCEF	PT.				[Editor's n	note: CommentType o	changed from T to E	per request from	commenter.]
C/ 186	SC 186.3.3.1.	7 P 574	L15	# 31	C/ 177	SC 177.6.2.1	P 301	L15	# 34
Huang, Keo	chao	Huawei			Huang, Kecha	10	Huawei		
Comment 1	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Typ	e E Con	nment Status A		(editoria
In Figu	ire 186-14, "Inser	Reserved field" should be i	included		"frame se	quence" should be cl	nanged to "frame alig	nment sequence) "
Suggestedl	Remedv				SuggestedRe	medy			
00	2	eld (X)" function below the "I	nsert TS field (X)"	In page 30	01, change "frame se	quence" to "frame al	ignment sequen	ce" in Lines 15,16,19.
Add "In	nsert Reserved fie	eld (Y)" function below the "I	nsert TS field (Y)"	Response	Resp	onse Status C		
Response		Response Status C			ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
ACCEF	PT.								
C/ 177	SC 177.5.2	P 298	L 45	# 32	Implemen	t with editorial license	e and discretion.		
			L 4 5	# 32	[Editor's n	ote: CommentType o	changed from T to E	per request from	commenter.]
Huang, Keo		Huawei		<i>.</i>					
Comment 7	51	Comment Status A		(editorial)					
	nould be changed nce", see subclau	l to "FAS", as it is the shorte ise 177.4.7.1.	ened form of "Fra	ime Alignment					
Suggestedl	Remedy								
In page	e 298, change "F	S" to "FAS" in Lines 45, 46, Ss" to "FASs" in Line 47; S" to "FAS" in Line 12	48, 49, 51;						
Response		Response Status C							
		•							
	nent with editorial	license and discretion.							
Implerr									
Implerr									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 6 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

CI 45	SC 45.2.3.1	P 94	L 17	# 35	C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.6.1	1	P 94	L 44	# 37
KABRA, L	OKESH	SYNOPSYS			KABRA,	OKESH	SY	NOPSYS		
Comment	Type TR Comi	ment Status A		(buck	et) Commen	t Type T	Comment Stat	tus A		(buckei
	e update to 3.0.5:2 "Spee le 45-211 PCS control 1			800 Gb/s and 1.6 Tb/			S type selection" vontrol 2 register bit		sponding to 800	Gb/s and 1.6 Tb/s in
Suggested	IRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
Modify	3.0.5:2 bit field "Speed s	election" description			Modi	fy 3.7.4:0 bit field	"PCS type selection	on" descripti	ion	
Existin 1 1 x x	ig = Reserved				Exist 1 0 1	ng x x = Reserved				
1101	sed (= Reserved = 1.6 Tb/s) = 800 Gb/s				101	1 x = Reserved 0 1 = Select 1.67	TBASE-R PCS typ GBASE-R PCS ty	pe		
Simila	r changes to be done in 4	0.5.2 and 5.0.5.2 bit	field description	IS	Respons	Э	Response Stat	us C		
Response	-	onse Status C				EPT IN PRINCIPL				
•	PT IN PRINCIPLE.					add editor's note r		enance requ	est 1437 that a	ddresses the 800G rate.
	ve using the response to o	comment #1.			— C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.8		P 94	L 45	# 38
C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.2.7	P 94	L17	# 36	KABRA,			NOPSYS	243	# 30
KABRA, L	OKESH	SYNOPSYS			Commen		Comment Stat	tus A		(bucke
Comment	51	ment Status A		(buck	et) Add	capability field for	800GBASE-R & 1	.6TBASE-R	in this register	· ·
Update	e "PCS receive link status	s (3.1.2)" description			Suggeste	dRemedy				
Suggested	IRemedy				In Ta	ble 45-216 PCS	Status 3 register	bit definition	S,	
Existin When	ig a 10/25/40/50/100/200/40	00GBASE-R,			Exist 3.9.1	0	Value always	0		
Propos When	sed a 10/25/40/50/100/200/40	00/800GBASE-R, 1.6	TBASE-R,		Prop 3.9.1	osed	·	Value alway	c ()	
	d change : stances of "(3.7.3:0)" to b	be corrected to "(3.7.4	4:0)".		3.9.1		E-R capable	1 = PCS is	able to support	1.6TBASE-R PCS type rt 1.6TBASE-R PCS
Response	Respo	onse Status C			type 3.9.1		SE-R capable		able to support	800GBASE-R PCS type
ACCE	PT.				5.9.1	5.6 800GBA				t 800GBASE-R PCS
					type					
					Respons		Response Stat	us C		
					It is 7	EPT IN PRINCIPL able 45-239 that openation of the second s	contains the ability	y bits, so mo	odify Table 45-2	39.

Comment ID 38

Page 7 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

	l5.2.3.8.1a	P 94	L 46	# 39	C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.15		P 94	L 48	# 41
KABRA, LOKESH		SYNOPSYS			KABRA, L	OKESH	SY	NOPSYS		
Comment Type Add new subs		ent Status A		(bucket)	<i>Comment</i> Updat	<i>Type</i> T e last line of 45.2	Comment Stat .3.15.1	us A		(bucke
SuggestedRemed	V				Suggested	Remedy				
When read as PCS type. Wh	en read as a zero,	e (3.9.9) licates that the PCS bit 3.9.9 indicates th			Existir "100G		119.3 for 200G/40	0GBASE-R	."	
1.6TBASE-R	• •				Propo		3 for 200G/400GE	ASE-R in 1	172 3 for 800GB	ASE-R, and in 175.8
Response	Respor	nse Status C				TBASE-R.	0101 2000/40001		172.5 101 0000D	
ACCEPT.					Simila	r undate required	in 45.2.4.12.1, 4	5 2 5 12 1		
CI 45 SC 4	l5.2.3.8.1b	P 94	L 47	# 40	Response		Response Stat			
KABRA, LOKESH		SYNOPSYS			ACCE					
Comment Type	T Comm	ent Status A		(bucket)						
Add new subs	ection				C/ 45	SC 45.2.4.13		P 97	L 34	# 42
SuggestedRemed	V				KABRA, L		_	NOPSYS		
	0GBASE-R capabl		is able to suppr	ort the 800GBASE-R	Comment	<i>Type</i> T e second line of p	Comment Star	us A		(bucket
PCS type. Wh	en read as a zero,	bit 3.9.8 indicates th			•		aragraph			
800GBASE-R					Suggested Existir					
Response ACCEPT IN P Also add edito	RINCIPLE.	ose Status C g maintenance reque	est 1439 that ad	dresses the 800G rate.	"This	register is only re	quired when the 2 described in 119.		SE-R capability	is supported. The test-
Implement wit	h editorial licence.				capab	register is require ility is supported.	The test-pattern	methodology	y is described in	-R or 1.6TBASE-R 119.2.4.9 for 1 for 1.6TBASE-R."
					Simila	r update required	in 45.2.5.13.			
					Simila <i>Response</i>		in 45.2.5.13. Response Stat	us C		
					Response		Response Stat	us C		

Comment ID 42

C/ 175 SC 175.8	P245	L9	# 43	C/ 176 SC 176.1	5 P255	L 50	# 46
KABRA, LOKESH	SYNOPSYS	29	π 45	Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom	250	# 40
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket
Incorrect Variable ref SuggestedRemedy	erence given in Table 1753 for	"loopback"	(Footnote (e) to Tab	le 176-2 mentions the PMA to co R 8:16 only". But this looks like t		BASE-LR1 Inner FEC
Change 175.3 to 175				SuggestedRemedy			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP				Change: "For 800G To: "For 800GBASE			
Implement with edito	rial license and discretion.			Response	Response Status C		
C/ 174 SC 174.4	P 219	L 28	# 44	ACCEPT.			
Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom			C/ 176 SC 176.2	P 257	L 30	# 47
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom		
Table 174-4 has an ii	ncorrect cross-reference to the F	CS delay cons	traints	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		(bucke
SuggestedRemedy Change the cross-ref Response ACCEPT.	erence from "175.4" to be "175.4 <i>Response Status</i> C	5".		is listed as "N/A" for the status variable of and in these cases, value 'false'. But w	middle column for the value of a r three of the rows. "N/A", not-ap does not exist in this case. But t when the SIGNAL_OK input val hen the input SIGNAL_OK has a e status variable, and it is a "don	oplicable, implies he status variabl ue is (not OK), t value of (not OI	s there is no value or les are always there hey would have the K), the output does not
C/ 176 SC 176.1.4	P 254	L 47	# 45	IS_SIGNAL.indicati			
Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom			SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type TR To convert from a AL R SM-PMA.	Comment Status A JI-2 to a AUI-1, a xBASE-R BM-F	PMA must be p	<i>(bucket)</i> aced next to a xBASE-	all_locked_demux t	nge the three entries of "N/A" fo o "don't care" (or "false"). The sa o Table 176-6 on page 258.		
SuggestedRemedy				Response	Response Status C		
Change: ". placed ne	xt to a 200GAUI-1 8:1 PMA." a 200GBASE-R 8:1 PMA."			0	IPLE. bles 176-5 and 176-6 to "don't c ange in Table 177-1 and Table 1		
					orial license.	11-2.	

C/ 176	SC 176.4.4.2.	1 P 271	L10	# 48	C/ 176	SC 176.7.1	.2 P280	L13	# 49
Opsasnick	, Eugene	Broadcom			Opsasnick	, Eugene	Broadcom		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
	defined anywhere	able "reset" refers to another	variable "PMA_	reset", but PMA_reset	interfac "By de	ces, TX input/o fault, precoding	f 176.7.1.2 describes four inde utput and RX input/output. Th g on the Tx output or Rx output	e last sentense	of this paragraph state
Add th	e definition of PM	A_reset to the list of variable	s just prior to res	set. PMA_reset =	•		t is not mentioned.		
"Boole	an variable that is	true when set by a manager	ment entity and i	s false otherwise."	Suggested				
					for all 4	4 enables. "By	disabled, then change the last default precoding is disabled o "By default, precoding is disab	on the Tx input, T	x output, Rx input and
Implen	nent suggested re	medy with editorial license.			Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
					PROP	OSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
					state w		tput and RX output was added mpletes without precoding en n 178B.13.3.		
					Also, ir diagrar		ons LINK_READY should be F	PATH_READY to	match the state
						the sentence: 280, line 13, in	"By default, precoding on the - 176.7.1.2.	Tx output or Rx o	output is disabled." on
							aragraphs in 178B.13.3 to add ubsequent data on the corresp		
					In 176.	.7.1.2, 177.4.8.	2, and 178B13.3 change "LINI	<_READY" to "P	ATH_READY".
					Implen	nent with editor	ial license.		

[Editor's note: CC 177 178B]

C/ 176 SC	176.7.2	P 280	L 33	# 50	C/ 172	SC	172.1.6	P 204	L 48	# 52
Opsasnick, Euge	ne	Broadcom			Opsasnick	, Eugei	ne	Broadcom		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		(bucket
direction and on line 47 on propagate da PMA client."	drives the the same p ata in the R If both rem re contradio	ocal loopback, the PMA cont Tx service interface below th bage that "During remote loo k direction and drives the Rx lote loopback and local loopb ctory. The service interfaces	e PMA.". It is oback, the PMA PMA service in oask are enable	also stated in 176.7.3 continues to terface towards the d, then these	should Suggested Chang	l be "P(<i>Remec</i> ge:"Serv ervice I	CS". dy vice Interfa	ck diagram of the 800G PCS ace below the PMA" elow the PCS" <i>Response Status</i> C), the lower inte	erface says "PMA", but
SuggestedReme	dy				ACCE					
The output da remore loopt	ata at each back are en	service interface should be o abed (probably loopback data k and remote loopback are r	a, not propagate	ed data); or it must be	C/ 171	SC	171.6.1	P183	L 48	# 53
Response	·	Response Status C			Opsasnick Comment	, U	ne TR	Broadcom Comment Status A		(bucket
and drives th with: "During And at line 4' Replace: "During remo drives the Rx	ing local lo e Tx service local loopb 7. te loopback PMA servi	opback, the PMA continues t e interface below the PMA." ack, the PMA continues to p k, the PMA continues to prop ce interface towards the PM/ pback, the PMA continues to	ropagate data in agate data in th A client"	n the Tx direction." ne Rx direction and	To: ".	<i>Remed</i> ge: ". de defined pdates	efined in 17 1 in 175.2.5	75.2.6.2.2 for DTE1.6TXS, ." 5.3 and 175.2.5.5 for DTE 1.6 erlinks to the correct subclaus <i>Response Status</i> C		
		•								
	178B.4	P 741	L 49	# 51						
Dpsasnick, Euge		Broadcom		<i>"</i>						
occurs three page 743, lin SuggestedReme Change: "(tx_ To: "(tx_mod	times in An e 4. <i>dy</i> _mode = da e = data, se	Comment Status A he subclause with the definit inex 178B. On page 741, line ata, see 178B.13.2.1)" ee 178B.13.3.1)" link to the correct subclause	e 49, on page 7	42, line 16, and on						
Response	л ше пурег	Response Status C	in an unee plac							
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE	•								

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 177	SC 177.4.1	P 291	L 34	# 54	C/ 176	SC 176.3	P 258	L 34	# 56		
Opsasnick,	Eugene	Broadcom			Opsasnick	, Eugene	Broadcom				
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		Inner FEC deskew	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)		
and 1.6 informa 400G d	T since the convo tion. 800GBASE o not). The aligni	ion is needed for 200GBAS olutional interleaver requires -R and 1.6TBASE-R require ment lock and deskew funct hin Clause 176 SM-PMA R	the AM or RS- the deskew fur ions can be des	symbol boundary nction (while 200G and scribed with references	Table 176-6 specifies how to set the output inst:IS_SIGNAL.request(SINGAL_OF on the input PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request(SIGNAL_OK) and the variable align_status all_locked_demux. However, when the sublayer above the PMA is a PCS, there PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request input. SuggestedRemedy						
SuggestedF	Remedy				00	,	s to Table 176-6 to account	for the case whe	ere		
the alig 800G a	nment lock (comr nd 1.6T. A preser	unction for 200G and 400G non to 200G/400G/800G/1. ntation will be made with a r	ST) and the nec nore specific pr	essary deskew for oposal.	PMĂ:I IS_SI0 variab	S_SIGNĂL.reques GNAL.request(SIG le being true or fal ow 1: N/A	st input is not present. Add t NAL_OK) input, and the out se. Something like:	wo rows with N/	A for the		
present	and Table 177-2	00G require alignment lock can remove the row with "C			New re	++ ow 2: N/A	++ false READY				
(a) and	(b).				Response		Response Status C				
Response		Response Status C			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE	•				
ACCEP	PT IN PRINCIPLE						d but instead of N/A, use "no				
Implem Cl 176	ent the changes of SC 176.4.1	on slides 5, 6, and 7 of he_3 P 260	L 4	h editorial license. # 55	PMA i	S_SIGNAL.reques s a PCS or PHY X nent with editorial		ample, when the	e sublayer above the		
Opsasnick,	Eugene	Broadcom									
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	C/ 176	SC 176.3	P 260	L 26	# 57		
		4, there is an input called Pl			Opsasnick	, Eugene	Broadcom				
		bove the PMA is another Pl is a PCS, this input is not p			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		pma variables		
200G/4	00G PCS (CL 119	9), 800G PCS (CL 172), and rface below the PCS.			Alignn	nent marker lock b	I "all_locked_demux" betwee locks should be "all_locked_ ariable later in 176.4.4.2.1 ar	_demux<0:(n-1);	>" since this variable is		
SuggestedF A notati		2 should be added that PM	A:IS_SIGNAL.re	equest is not present	are ne	eded. However, a	a better name for this variable nents submitted separately v	e might be "lane			
		the PMA is a PCS or DTE			Suggested						
	PT IN PRINCIPLE					Change "all_locked_demux" to "lane_locked_demux<0:(n-1)>" in Figure 176-2 and redefi all_locked_demux as "true when lane_locked_demux <y> is true for all y."</y>					
Implem	ent suggested rei	medy with editorial license.			Response		Response Status C				
					Define all_loc all_loc	ked_demux <y> w</y>	Ls (PMA lanes) which are th ith new variable pmal_locked uggested remedy.				

Implement with editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 57

Page 12 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

C/ 176	SC 1	76.4.2.6	P 268	L 27	# 58
Opsasnick,	Eugene	;	Broadcom		
Comment T	ype	т	Comment Status D		(withdrawn)

The PAM4 encode function should specify that PAM4 symbols be aligned to RS-FEC symbol boundaries. When the 2-bit PAM4 symbols are aligned to the 10-bit RS-FEC, there are exactly 5 PAM4 symbols within each RS-FEC symbol. However, if they are not aligned, then each RS-FEC symbol would contain the second bit of one PAM4 symbol, followed by the 8 bits of 4 PAM4 symbols, followed by the first bit of the next PAM4 symbol. The unaligned arrangement makes the RS-FEC error perfomance analysis more complicated since there is an unequal probability of the first and second bits of a PMA4 symbol being in error (RS-FEC performance for the symbol muxing 200G/lane interfaces has so far only been done for the "aligned case"). The aligned case should already be the norm for most or all implementations. Specifying it this way should just guarenteed the FEC performace is as already studied, and receiver implementations may also take advantage of this guarentee.

SuggestedRemedy

In subclause 176.4.2.6 "PAM4 encode" and 176.4.3.6 "PAM4 encode", add a requirement that the PAM4 symbols must align to the RS-FEC symbols such that each RS-FEC symbol contains 10 bits from exactly 5 full PAM4 symbols.

A similar requirement should be also be added to the PAM4 encoding description in 177.4.8. In this case, the PAM4 symbols should align with the start of a block of 8x Inner FEC codewords (see Fig. 177-6) after the circular shift.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 169	SC 169.3.2	P 162	L 34	# 59
Opsasnick, E	lugene	Broadcom		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)

In Figure 169-3, the block labeled "800GBASE-R n:32 PMA" immediately above the 800GBASE-R PMD should be a "32:n PMA" (not n:32).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "800GBASE-R n:32 PMA" to "800GBASE-R 32:n PMA" on line 34 of page 162. Note that the "n" should also be in italics.

Consider changing it to "800GBASE-R 32:p PMA" and add a definition of p under the figure to be consistent with Figure 174-3 on page 217.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For the PMA immediately above the PMD, change "800GBASE-R n:32 PMA" to "800GBASE-R 32:p PMA", with "p" in italic font.Note that the "n" should also be in italics. For the PMD service interface change "PMD:IS UNITDATA 0:n-1" to "PMD:IS UNITDATA 0:p-1" twice. Add "p = NUMBER OF STREAMS OF DATA UNITS" to the legend.

C/ 174	SC 174.3.2	P 217	L 31	# 60
Opsasnick,	Eugene	Broadcom		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)

In Figure 174-3, the signal "PMA:IS_SIGNAL.request" from the 1.6TBASE-R PCS to the 1.6TBASE-R 16:p PMA should be removed. The PCS does not have this output - see Figure 175.2 on page 226. No relavant PCS has this output at the service interface below the PCS - see also Fig. 172-2 (on page 198 of 802.3df-2014) and Fig. 119-2 (on page 4837 of 802.3-2022). See also the similar extender figure 169-3 for 800GMII on page 162.

SugaestedRemedv

Remove "PMA:IS SIGNAL.request" out of the 1.6TBASE-R PCS in Figure 174-3.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Response

C/ 174	SC 174.3.2	P 218	L 20	# 61	C/ 178	SC 1	78.9.2.1.		L 34	# 63
Opsasnick	k, Eugene	Broadcom			Ran, Adee			Cisco Sy	stems, Inc.	
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment Status A		TX fixture RLcc (bucke
FEC would shown	vill (almost) alwa be better to sho n, while logically	Inter-sublayer interfaces with I ays be in an optical module be by the Inner FEC below an AU correct, will never actually be	ow an AUI conr I in this figure si	ection to a host. It	In 163. The su Alterna	9.2.1.3 ggesteo tively, t	the specit tremedy his specif		vith the frequency since RLcc of a l	y range adopted for 802.3dj. bare TP0-TP0v test fixture
Suggested	2				Suggested			, , , ,		
		8:8 PMA" between the "1.6T B EC" on line 20. And then add t			00			equencies between 0.2	GHz and 67 GH	17"
		en the two PMAs.			U U			•		
Response		Response Status C			Response	т		Response Status C		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			ACCEF	-1.				
Impler	ment with editor	ial license and discretion.								
C/ 178	SC 178.9.2	P 323	L 4	# 62						
Ran, Adee	;	Cisco System	s, Inc.							
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucketp)						
the me https:/ effect	easurement poi //www.ieee802.c	resses an assumption that meant. A contribution in July 2024, org/3/dj/public/24_07/calvin_3d showing the effect of "Slew range.	<i>.</i> j_01b_2407.pdf	, demonstrates this						
Simila	r editor's notes	appear in 179.9.4, 176D.3.3, a	nd 176E.4.4.							
While	further work is	still encouraged, the editor's no	otes should not	question the effect.						
Suggested	dRemedy									
		otes, replace "based on the as address the dependence of n								
Response	-	Response Status C	-							
The su Chang		LE. I in the comment are out of dat dicated in the suggested reme		notes in 178.9.2,						

C/ 178	SC 178.9.2	P 322	L 46	# 64	C/ 178	SC 178.9.2.	1.1 P32	23 L35	#	65
Ran, Adee		Cisco Systems	s, Inc.	_	Ran, Adee		Cisco	Systems, Inc.		
Comment Ty	rpe T C	Comment Status A		TX J4u_03	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	Α		TF IL, ILdd
		ere two different specific			TP0 to	TP0v test fixtu	re specifications has m	nultiple TBDs.		
		based on the different BE atios. The limit values we			As initi	al values, we c	an use the values from	n clause 163 scaler	d by a factor of	2
		ximum values are a cons			Suggested				a by a labtor of	2.
	La constitució de la constitución d			e weed. "Oten also del la c	Use:	Remedy				
		ates correlated errors. The ver than the expected ave				etween 3.4 dB a	and 10 dB at 53.125 G	Hz		
		beaks should not be high				agnitude up to 0 005 ns).4 dB from 0.05 GHz t	o 53.125 GHz		
		o specifications, J3u and			Response		Response Status	С		
faster to	measure, but if J4u	i is measurable for an AL	JI it is also mea	surable for a PMD.	ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.			
		o specs too. The maximu			Comm	onts #180 and ·	#190 suggest a differe	nt II dd range, diffe	arent frequency	range for
accordin channel	0,,,	unting for measurement of	degradation due	to package or host		nd additional res		nt iEuu range, une	sient nequency	range for
SuggestedR	emedy				The CI	RG reviewed sli	ide #28 in			
		ge J3u_03 to J4u_03 with		kimum values as in C2C	https://	www.ieee802.c	org/3/dj/public/24_11/ra	an_3dj_01_2411.pc	df.	
(Table 1	76C-1): 0.118 for cla	ass A and 0.12 for class	В.		A wide	range of IL for	the test fixture makes	ERL measuremen	t results incons	sistent. Thus,
For CR (Table 179-7), chang	ge J3u_03 to J4u_03 with	h maximum valu	ues:			make the range narrow			
0.128, 0	.126, and 0.143 for I	HL, HN, and HH, respec	tively.		The fel	lowing straw po	ull was taken			
Change	the definitions acco	rdingly, and in other plac	es as necessar	v with editorial license.		coll #TF-1 (dire				
Response		esponse Status C			For the	top of the freq	uency range for test fiv	ture ILD in 178.9.2	2.1.1, I prefer:	
	LIN PRINCIPLE.				A: 85 (B: 67 (
AUULF					A: 25 E					
	G reviewed the cont		0.0444			- f				
nttps://w	ww.ieee802.org/3/d	j/public/24_11/ran_3dj_0	ioa_2411.pdf.		0	e from: sertion loss of tl	he test fixture shall be	between TBD dB :	and TBD dB at	53.125 GHz
Impleme	ent the changes on s	slide 8 of ran_3dj_06a_2	411 with editoria	al license.	The ma	agnitude of the	insertion loss deviation	n of the test fixture	shall be less th	han or equal
							GHz to 53.125 GHz. In TBD ns, and fb and fr	sertion loss deviat	ion is calculate	ed as specified
						are taken from	,			
					To: The ins	sertion loss of t	he test fixture shall be	between 3.4 dB ar	nd 4 4 dB at 53	125 GHz
					The ma	agnitude of the	insertion loss deviation	n of the test fixture	shall be less th	han or equal
							Hz to 67 GHz. Insertio	n loss deviation is	calculated as s	specified in
						where It is 0.0 are taken from	05 ns, and fb and fr Table 178-12			
					Values					
TYPE: TR/te	chnical required FR	R/editorial required GR/c	peneral required	T/technical E/editorial G/	general			Comment ID 65	1	Page 15 of 103
COMMENT	STATUS: D/dispatcl			NSE STATUS: O/open W/w		Z/withdrawn				11/13/2024 9:41
SORT ORDE	ER: Comment ID			-						

C/ 178	SC	178.9.2.1.	2	P 324	L 23	# 66		C/ 178	SC	178.10.2		P 334	L 35	# 67
Ran, Adee				Cisco System	s, Inc.			Ran, Adee				Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.	
Comment T	уре	TR	Commer	nt Status A			ERL	Comment	Туре	TR	Commer	nt Status A		Channel ILdd
Multiple Using 8 For KR For CR For CQ For C20 For C20 For C20 For C20 For C20 For C20 Sources SuggestedF	Multiple ERL limits are TBD. Using 802.3ck as a reference: For KR test fixture at Tp0v, in 163.9.2.1.2 the minimum is 15 dB. For CR transmitter at TP2, in 162.9.4 the minimum is 7.3 dB. For CR receiver at TP3, in 162.9.5 the minimum is 7.3 dB. For copper cables, in 162.11.2 the minimum is 8.25 dB. For C2C at Tp0v, in 120F.3.1 dERL is -3 dB (as it is in 802.3dj Table 178-6 for KR). For C2C channel, in 120F.4.3 the minimum is 9.7 dB. For C2M host, in 120G.3.1 and in 120G.3.3 the minimum is 7.3 dB. For C2M module, in 120G.3.2 and in 120G.3.4 the minimum is 8.5 dB. For mated test fixture, in 162B.4.2 the minimum is 10.3 dB. Unless shown otherwise, the same ERL requirements are appropriate for this project. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Use the values in the comment to replace the corresponding TBDs in 178, 179, 176C, 176D, and 179B.							Chann As the and "C Suggested A contr Response ACCEH The no provide There I Replac	el inse editor contribu <i>Reme</i> ribution PT IN ormativ ed in T has be	ertion loss (r's note say utions in th edy n providing PRINCIPLI ve channel Fable 178-1 een no prop content of s	s, this recol is area are a recomme <i>Response</i> E. specificatio 1. posal for the subclause 1	encouraged". endation is solic e <i>Status</i> C n is COM. The r e recommended	s not included in ited. ecommended m channel ILdd eq	
			mment to r	eplace the corres	sponding TBDs i	n 178, 179, 176C	,	C/ 179	SC	179.11.7.2	2	P 380	L17	# 68
Response			Response	e Status C				Ran, Adee				Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.	
The CR https://v For KR For CR For CR For C20 For C20 For C20 For C20 For C20	C revi www.ie test fix transn receiv oper ca C at Tp C chan M host M mod	ee802.org ature at Tp nitter at Tl er at TP3, bles, set r b0v, set m nel, set m nel, set m ule, set m	oresentation /3/dj/public 0v, set min 22, set minimu ninimum ER nimum ERL to nimum ER	/24_11/mellitz_3 imum ERL to 15 imum ERL to 7.3 um ERL to 7.3 dE RL to 8.25 dB. RL to -3 dB. L to 9.7 dB.	dB. dB. 3.			Suggested Chang Response ACCEI	d test fi <i>Reme</i> re e to "n PT IN	edy nated test f PRINCIPLI	s "fixtures" "ixtures" <i>Response</i> =.	nt Status A everywhere else e Status C d discretion.		(editorial)

C/ 180	SC 180.1	P389	L 49	# 69	C/ 180	SC 180.7.1	P 399	L 32	# 71
Ran, Adee		Cisco Systems	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		Tx optical paramete
misma The fa	ttch (two / is). ct that one or two	fone or two 200GAUI-n is in AUIs can be included is mer tional PMAs, and does not r	ntioned in footn	ote c. Footnote b is a	not cle the wa not hav	ar; it seems tha y it is written ma ve "each lane" -	appear in some Tx parameter t all specifications in Table 18 ay be interpreted otherwise (e is it an aggregate specification	30-7 apply to ea e.g. Transmitter on?)	ach lane separately - but power excursion does
	ootnote c uses "in We should be con	stantiated" instead of "imple sistent.	mented" when t	alking about the same	apply f	or the sum of a) there is a similar situation, b Il lanes (total average power, h, e.g., "(total of all lanes)".		
statem		PHYs (where only one AUI c to "If a 200GAUI-n is implen			The sa be per		ist in Rx characteristics in Tal	ole 180-8 and T	able 181-6. All seem to
					Clause	es 182 and 183	are similar. This should prefe	rably be aligned	d across optical clauses.
There 1.6TAI		of "if one or two" with 200GA	UI-n, 400GAUI	n, 800GAUI-n, and	Suggested	Remedy			
Suggested							m the specific parameter nam		
00	,	o "If a" (in this instance, "If a	200GAUI-n is i	mplemented in a			ing that the transmit (or recein nless specified otherwise.	ver) characteris	stics apply separately to
PHY")	. Apply similarly fo				Implen	nent for both Tx	and Rx across the multi-lane		
Response		Response Status C				so in references	to the parameter names, wit	h editorial licen	se.
	PT IN PRINCIPLE nent with editorial	license and discretion.				PT IN PRINCIP			
C/ 180	SC 180.7.1	P 399	L 26	# 70	the val	ue provides lim	lane" is fundamental, stating its for each lane, such as opti	cal power. Also	the rate is per lane,
Ran, Adee		Cisco Systems	s, Inc.		otherw	ise there may b	e unequal rates leading to the sing, such as TECQ, where it	e same total rat	e. For some parameters
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Modify	the table accor	dingly with editorial license.		50.
	ords "each lane" a gated (unlike powe	re not appropriate for "signa r and bit rate).	ling rate", since	it cannot be	Apply	o similar tables	in Clause 181, 182, and 183	as well.	
	as corrected in D1 r in optical clauses	 2 in most places in the election (8 instances). 	ctrical clauses, l	out these words still					
	omment is specific comments.	to the signaling rate param	eter; other para	meters are subject of					
Suggested	IRemedy								
	"each lane" from in all optical PMD	"signaling rate in all optical ∃ clauses.	Tx and Rx spec	fications tables.					
Response		Response Status C							
	PT IN PRINCIPLE	license and discretion.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 71

Page 17 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

SC 180.7.1	P 400	L10	# 72		C/ 180	SC	180.9.11	P 415	L 3	# 74
	Cisco System	s, Inc.			Ran, Adee			Cisco Systems	s, Inc.	
Гуре Е	Comment Status A			(editorial)	Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status A		(editorial)
ases. But this i	s not clear that these are differ								l <.>" is not pa	rt of the variable list for
te c says "with	"xx" referring to the value for C	Optical return lo	ss tolerance.", b	ut it	00			n to a regular paragraph afte	r the list.	
ious PMD claus	ses the RIN parameter name in	ncluded specifi	c values. For exa	ample,				Response Status C		
Remedy										
s different parar	meters for 200G and for 400G/				C/ 181	SC	181.6.	P 426	L17	# 75
imbers (separa	o <i>i</i>				Ran, Adee			Cisco Systems	s, Inc.	
					Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		Lane assignments
-					particu	lar opt	ical lane, a	s the PCS is capable of rece	iving lanes in a	any arrangement".
SC 180.7.2	P 402	L 3	# 73			,	,	0		
	Cisco System	s, Inc.						5(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Type T	Comment Status R	o for roosiyor o			Also ir	183.6				
				JQ.	Suggested	Remed	dy			
Remedy			ify.		require	ement t	o associat	e the PMD lanes (as defined	at the service	
	Response Status C				Response			Response Status C		
T. dication of pass	and fail regions is not the inte	nt of the figures	s. intended to sh	ow the	ACCE	PT IN I	PRINCIPLE	Ξ.		
					Resolv	e usin	g the respo	onse to comment #129.		
	Type E JaxOMA, it set ases. But this in naximum value te c says "with be the maximu ous PMD claus e 167-7, RIN14 Remedy change footnot different para mbers (separa PT IN PRINCIP tent with editor SC 180.7.2 Type T 180-4 does not Figure 181-4, Remedy bels (e.g. "pass tr. lication of pass ersus TDECQ.	Cisco Systems Type E Comment Status A AuxOMA , it seems that the xx in this case sho ases. But this is not clear that these are differ naximum value; does it make sense?) te c says "with "xx" referring to the value for C be the maximum value. Tous PMD clauses the RIN parameter name in a 167-7, RIN14OMA. Remedy change footnote c to "Optical return loss toleral different parameters for 200G and for 400G/ mbers (separating to two rows). Response Status C PT IN PRINCIPLE. tent with editorial license and discretion. SC 180.7.2 P402 Cisco Systems Type T Comment Status R 180-4 does not show the pass and fail regions Figure 181-4, Figure 182-4, and Figure 183-4 Remedy bels (e.g. "pass region" and "fail region") in the Response Status C T. lication of pass and fail regions is not the inte ersus TDECQ. It's clear from the Y-axis that the	Cisco Systems, Inc. Type E Comment Status A AxxOMA , it seems that the xx in this case should be 15.5 for ases. But this is not clear that these are different parameters maximum value; does it make sense?) te c says "with "xx" referring to the value for Optical return lo be the maximum value. Tous PMD clauses the RIN parameter name included specifie a 167-7, RIN14OMA. Remedy change footnote c to "Optical return loss tolerance (max)" and a different parameters for 200G and for 400G/800G/1.6T, or p mbers (separating to two rows). Response Status C PT IN PRINCIPLE. Tous PMD Clauses and discretion. SC 180.7.2 P402 L3 Cisco Systems, Inc. Type T Comment Status R 180-4 does not show the pass and fail regions for receiver se Figure 181-4, Figure 182-4, and Figure 183-4. Remedy bels (e.g. "pass region" and "fail region") in the figures to clar Response Status C T. lication of pass and fail regions is not the intent of the figures ersus TDECQ. It's clear from the Y-axis that the curve show	Cisco Systems, Inc. Type E Comment Status A AxxOMA , it seems that the xx in this case should be 15.5 for 200G and 21.4 ases. But this is not clear that these are different parameters (and they have naximum value; does it make sense?) te c says "with "xx" referring to the value for Optical return loss tolerance.", b be the maximum value. ous PMD clauses the RIN parameter name included specific values. For exa the the maximum value. Ous PMD clauses the RIN parameter name included specific values. For exa the 167-7, RIN14OMA. Remedy change footnote c to "Optical return loss tolerance (max)" and state clearly the different parameters for 200G and for 400G/800G/1.6T, or preferably replace mbers (separating to two rows). Response Status C PT IN PRINCIPLE. To comment Status R R R optical p 180-4 does not show the pass and fail regions for receiver sensitivity vs. TEC Figure 181-4, Figure 182-4, and Figure 183-4. Remedy bels (e.g. "pass region" and "fail region") in the figures to clarify. Response Status C T. Iication of pass and fail regions is not the intent of the figures, intended to sh ersus TDECQ. It's clear from the Y-axis that the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum of the sense state the curve shows the maximum	Cisco Systems, Inc. Type E Comment Status A (editorial) AxxOMA, it seems that the xx in this case should be 15.5 for 200G and 21.4 for ases. But this is not clear that these are different parameters (and they have the naximum value; does it make sense?) It is is not clear that these are different parameters (and they have the naximum value; does it make sense?) It c says "with "xx" referring to the value for Optical return loss tolerance.", but it be the maximum value. It is is not clear that they are referring to the value for Optical return loss tolerance.", but it be the maximum value. ouss PMD clauses the RIN parameter name included specific values. For example, a 167-7, RIN140MA. For example, a 167-7, RIN140MA. Remedy Change footnote c to "Optical return loss tolerance (max)" and state clearly that this is different parameters for 200G and for 400G/800G/1.6T, or preferably replace xx mbers (separating to two rows). Response Status C P402 L3 T3 Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. T3 Cisco Systems, Inc. Type T Comment Status R R optical parameter 180-4 does not show the pass and fail regions for receiver sensitivity vs. TECQ. Figure 181-4, Figure 182-4, and Figure 183-4. Remedy Response Status C T Comment Status R Toptical parameter 180-4 does not show the pass and fail region") in the figures to c	Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran, Adee Type E Comment Status A (editorial) MaxXOMA , it seems that the xx in this case should be 15.5 for 200G and 21.4 for The data seems (and they have the haximum value; does it make sense?) The data seems (and they have the haximum value; does it make sense?) to c says "with "xx" referring to the value for Optical return loss tolerance.", but it be the maximum value. Suggested outs PMD clauses the RIN parameter name included specific values. For example, a f67-7, RIN140MA. Response Remedy adformation of the formation of the formation of the formation of pass and fail regions is not the intent of the figures, intended to show the persus TDEQO. It's clear from the Y-axis that the curve shows the maximum value of Response	Cisco Systems, Inc. Type E Comment Status A (editorial) WXOMA , it seems that the xx in this case should be 15.5 for 200G and 21.4 for ases. But this is not clear that these are different parameters (and they have the haximum value; does it make sense?) te c says "with "xx" referring to the value for Optical return loss tolerance.", but it be the maximum value. ous PMD clauses the RIN parameter name included specific values. For example, a f67-7, RIN14OMA. Remedy thange footnote c to "Optical return loss tolerance (max)" and state clearly that this different parameters for 200G and for 400G/800G/1.6T, or preferably replace xx mbers (separating to two rows). Response Status C TT IN PRINCIPLE. tent with editorial license and discretion. SC 180.7.2 P402 L3 Wave for the V-axis that the curve shows the maximum value of T. Sc endy requirement to twavelengths outside of the SuggestedRemeer Change the requirement to wavelengths ACCEPT IN F Inclication of pass and fail regions is not the intent of the figures, intended to show the resus TDECQ. It's clear from the Y-axis that the curve shows the maximum value of Cisco Systems is not the intent of the figures, intended to show the resus TDECQ. It's clear from the Y-axis that the curve shows the maximum value of Cisco Systems is not the intent of the figures, intended to show the resus TDECQ. It's clear from the Y-axis that the curve shows the maximum value of Cisco Systems is not the intent of the figures, intended to show the resus TDECQ. It's clear from the Y-axis that the curve shows the maximum value of Cisco Systems is not the intent of the figures, intended to show the resus TDECQ. It's clear from the Y-axis that the curve shows the maximum value of Cisco Systems is not the intent of the figures, intended to show the resus TDECQ. It's clear from the Y-axis that the curve shows the maximum value of Cisco Systems is not the intent of the figures, intended to show the resus TDECQ. It's clear from the Y-axis that the curve shows	Cisco Systems, Inc.Ran, AdeeType E comment Status A (editorial)(editorial)txxOMA, it seems that the xx in this case should be 15.5 for 200G and 21.4 for aases. But this is not clear that these are different parameters (and they have the naximum value; does it make sense?)The dashed list item "Nu this equation; N0 and N SuggestedRemedy Move the text of this iter Responseto c says "with "xx" referring to the value for Optical return loss tolerance,", but it be the maximum value.SuggestedRemedy Move the text of this iter Responseto a 167-7, RIN140MA.Remedy change footnote c to "Optical return loss tolerance (max)" and state clearly that this different parameters for 200G and for 400G/800G/1.6T, or preferably replace xx mbers (separating to two rows).ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Implement with editorialT IN PRINCIPLE tent with editorial license and discretion.Response Status C Comment Status RThe NOTE says "There particular optical lane, a However, with ILT, the a wavelengths must be fix outside of the PMD.Ype TComment Status RRx optical parameter figure 181-4, Figure 182-4, and Figure 183-4.Remedy uels (e.g. "pass region" and "fail region") in the figures to clarify.Also in 183.6.Response Status CT. this equation of pass and fail regions is not the intent of the figures, intended to show the resus TDECQ. It's clear from the Y-axis that the curve shows the maximum value ofResponse ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran, Adee Cisco Systems twoMA, it seems that the xx in this case should be 15.5 for 200G and 21.4 for asks. But this is not clear that these are different parameters (and they have the naximum value; does it make sense?) Ran, Adee Comment Status A te c says "with "xx" referring to the value for Optical return loss tolerance.", but it be the maximum value. The dashed list item "N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured these the this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured the equiter and this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured the endset of this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are to be measured this equation; N0 and N3 are tobast dec	Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Sype E Comment Status A (editorial) bxoCMA, it seems that the xx in this case should be 15.5 for 200G and 21.4 for base, But this is not clear that these are different parameters (and they have the haximum value; does it make senser)? E Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. be the maximum value; does it make senser?) the dashed list item "N0 and N3 are to be measured <.>" is not part that these are different parameters (and they have the baximum value; does it make senser?) The dashed list item "N0 and N3 are to be measured <.>" is not particular paragraph after the list. core PMD clauses the RIN parameter name included specific values. For example, a f67-7, RIN140MA. The medy Move the text of this item to a regular paragraph after the list. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with editorial license and discretion. Response Status C P402 L3 # 73 Cisco Systems, Inc. Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status A Yppe T Comment Status R Rx optical parameter The NOTE says "There is no requirement to associate a particular optical lare, as the PCS is capable of receiving lares in in the assignment of lare numbers on the PMD set wavelengths must be fixed, because precoding (which is negotiate outside of the PMD.

C/ 186	SC 186.2.3.1	P 550	L1	# 76	C/ 174A	SC 174A	6.1.4	P 643	L 31	# 78
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Comment T	<i>уре</i> т	Comm	ent Status A		(bucket
from th	ne stream of 66b seems to refer to	nsfer is encoded into one 66- blocks" "66-bit block" in the previous			BER_a value).	dded (step	c) and then iter remove two ste		to p-1 (instead of	stribution to that of of treating i=0 as initial esult with fewer
186.2.3	3.2, and 128B el	instances of block sizes in th sewhere. The "B" suffix is po	tentially confusi	ng as it often denotes	Suggested Rewrite		s as suggested	d.		
	Although this for using it for block	mat is common for the encod	ding/transcoding	g schemes, we should	Response		Respon	se Status C		
labels Response	e all instances o	f block sizes written as #b or B/257B transcoder). Also in s <i>Response Status</i> C E.			The sug without For illus the follo https://v	changing tl stration, the owing file: www.ieee80	nge is indeed le result. method rewrith 2.org/3/dj/publ	·	s shown on the s	nethod is simplified slide for Comment 78 in
Implem	nent with editoria	l license and discretion.			C/ 174A	SC 174A		P 644	L14	# 79
C/ 174A	SC 174A.6.1.	1 P642	L 22	# 77	Ran, Adee	00 174A	0.1.5	Cisco System		# 19
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.		Comment 7	vpe TR	Comm	ent Status D	15, 110.	Error ratio PC
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		(editorial)					uld be similar to	the one in 174A.6.1
These		ames tbecount and tbtcount a difficult to parse.			(analyti			jecting real errors		
other, i There	is no need to use	e such abbreviated names. T		e clearer with variable	The pro	acco ctorto	with the ourrow	at aton a continua	a like the one of	1714 6 1 1 atops d a
other, i There i naming Suggested	is no need to use g similar to the P IRemedy		5.2.5.3.		(but usi calcula	ng the FEC te the (sing	bin counters a e) histogram.	and total counter in Then it can continu	nstead of the PM ue using either 1	174A.6.1.1 steps d-e A ones) and step f to 74A.6.1.3 (mask) or calculated histogram).
other, i There i naming Suggested Renam	is no need to use g similar to the P IRemedy	e such abbreviated names. T CS counter names e.g. in 17 test_block_error_bin(k) and	5.2.5.3.		(but usi calcula	ng the FEC te the (sing .1.4 (convo	bin counters a e) histogram.	and total counter in Then it can continu	nstead of the PM ue using either 1	A ones) and step f to 74A.6.1.3 (mask) or
other, i There i naming Suggested Renam	is no need to use g similar to the P <i>IRemedy</i> ne tbecount(k) to	e such abbreviated names. T CS counter names e.g. in 17 test_block_error_bin(k) and	5.2.5.3.		(but usi calcula 174A.6 <i>Suggestedl</i>	ng the FEC te the (singl .1.4 (convol Remedy	bin counters a e) histogram.	and total counter in Then it can continu Igle histogram with	nstead of the PM ue using either 1	A ones) and step f to 74A.6.1.3 (mask) or
other, i There i naming Suggested Renam Apply e Response	is no need to use g similar to the P <i>IRemedy</i> ne tbecount(k) to	e such abbreviated names. T CS counter names e.g. in 17 test_block_error_bin(k) and cessary. <i>Response Status</i> C	5.2.5.3.		(but usi calcula 174A.6 <i>Suggestedl</i>	ng the FEC te the (singl 1.4 (convol Remedy the proces	bin counters a e) histogram. ution of the sin s as suggested	and total counter in Then it can continu Igle histogram with	nstead of the PM ue using either 1	A ones) and step f to 74A.6.1.3 (mask) or

Cl 174A	SC 174	A.8	P645	L35	# 8	30	C/ 176D	SC	176D.5.3		P 700	L 22	# 82
Ran, Adee			Cisco S	ystems, Inc.			Ran, Adee				Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.	
Comment T	ype EF	र	Comment Status	N N		(editorial)	Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comme	ent Status A		Output voltage range
In Table	e 174A-3 tl	he last	column has "in a PH	Y" but it is about	an xMII extende								ble 176D-1 points to
SuggestedF Change	R <i>emedy</i> e to "in an :	xMII Ex	tender".								ote a, saying that st pattern is used		ent uses the method in
Response			Response Status	;			The foo	otnote	is not requ	ired since	there is a full dea	scription in 176	0.7.1.
	PT IN PRIN ent with ec		license and discretion).			the val	ues tha	at can occu	ur in missio	on data, unless th		S13Q is not indicative of alization attenuate low
C/ 174A	SC 174	A.8	P645	L38	# 8	31					PRBS13Q.		
Ran, Adee			Cisco S	ystems, Inc.									ny data pattern, not just uirement that does not
Comment T	ype TF	2	Comment Status)	Erro	or ratio budget							asurement specification).
			ates, the AUIs within I of the extender.	an extender car	have much large	er BER while		does r					he reference to 93.8.1.3 hich is inappropriate for
			s to divide the BERto o-PHY link.	tal between C2C	and C2M in a ra	tio of 1:3,	This als	so app			t in Table 176D-2 o the measureme		KR transmitter output
SuggestedF	•						Suggested		-	110 1033 10			
In Table C2M.	e 174A-3, (change	"BER per sublayer"	values to 5.53e-	5 for C2C and 1.6	6e-4 for			ote a in this	table.			
		es 1760	C and 176D to addres	s the Extender	case.						ng that differentia any pattern prese		requirements apply at
Proposed R	Response		Response Status	v			any eq	ualizai	lion setting		any pattern prese		
Mathem		is prop	osal works well. How ice. If not useful in pr								d Table 179-7, de nce to 176D.7.1	elete footnote a a	and replace the
complic	ate the C2	2C and	C2M specifications.		-		A prese	entatio	on with mea	asurement	results and a de	tailed suggested	d remedy is planned.
A contri	ibution sho	owing h	ow this might be use	d in practice is e	ncouraged.		Response			Respons	se Status C		
							The CF https:// and the https://	RG rev www.ie e relate www.ie	ed presetna eee802.org	e 9 of g/3/dj/publi ation g/3/dj/publi	c/24_11/ran_3dj_ c/24_11/ran_3d_	05a_2411.pdf.	
							that the	e patte	ern for VCN	1_LF is PR			 (.1), with the exceptions e, and the probability for
							Implem	nent wi	ith editorial	l license.			

Comment ID 82

C/ 176D SC	176D.6.2	P 705	L 5	# 83	C/ 179B	SC	179B.4.1	P 781	L 47	# 84	
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisco Sys	tems, Inc.		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		reference host channel	Comment 7	уре	TR	Comment Status A		(b	bucket)
		arameters for the host cha n (176D.7.12.2, currently Th		ich should be used for		as ado	dressed by	eference receiver bandw comment #442 against			
		ge models with "test 1 / tes			Suggested	Remed	dy				
		ges), We need to have one opriate for this annex.	package mode	er with a set of	Replac	e TBD	s: f_b=106	6.25 GBd and f_r=0.55*f_	b.		
		s adopted but the PCB leng	gth is TBD.		Response			Response Status C			
the adopted	die-to-die ch	age model, PCB model, ar nannel ILdd of 32 dB (since			ACCEF [Editor'		: Changed	page from 747 to 781]			
to that of the	HCB).				C/ 179A	SC	179A.5	P 774	L 34	# 85	
,	opted ILdd o	f 32 dB should be used as	the high-loss ta	arget for the module input	Ran, Adee			Cisco Sys	tems, Inc.		
test setup.					Comment 7	уре	TR	Comment Status A		(b	bucket)
SuggestedReme	•							179A-2 have "TP2d" and		ould be TP2 and TP3	3
		age model" row and set "T 45 mm (one value).	ransmission li	ne 1 length" in the "Class	(there i	s no "o	d" version).	. Also in the parameter lis	st.		
1 0		de zp as in Table 179-18.	Specific values	will be included in a	Suggested		-				
separate pres	sentation.				Change	PP20	d to TP2, a	nd TP3d to TP3, in the e	quation and para	meter list.	
Refer to this and in 176D.		ost channel parameters" in	Table 176D-9	(interference tolerance)	Response ACCEF	РТ.		Response Status C			
		TBDs in "Test channel inse			C/ 179A	SC	179A.5	P 775	L 7	# 86)
	1 (Low loss)	: min=9.25 dB, max:10.25	dB (mated test	fixture allocation is 9.75	Ran, Adee			Cisco Sys	tems, Inc.		
dB) Module test 2	2 (High loss)): min=31.5 dB, max=32.5 (dB (maximum	TP0d-TP1a loss is 32 dB)	Comment 7	уре	ER	Comment Status A		(ea	ditorial)
Response		Response Status C)" columns, the content s be in parentheses.	hould be number	s, and the cable	
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE				Suggested	-					
		ollowing contribution:			per cor		-				
https://www.i	ieee802.org/	'3/dj/public/24_11/ran_3dj_	02a_2411.pdf		Response			Response Status C			
Update Table	e 176D-5 pe	r slide 8 of ran_3dj_02a_24	411.		ACCEF			•			
Implement w	ith editorial	license, including considera	ation of renami	ng the parameter z_p^(h).	impion		th caltona				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 86

C/ 179A	SC	179A.5	P 775	L 22	# 87	C/ 179A	SC	179A.5	F	^{>} 776	L13	# 88
Ran, Adee			Cisco System	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cis	co System	ns, Inc.	
Comment Ty	ype	TR	Comment Status A		CA specifications	Comment 7	Гуре	ER	Comment State	us A		(editoria
53.125 (ue 16 GHz).	is defined This is a	I only here (Table 179A-3-Mir budget table in an informative	e annex about "c		and TP	4, but	these are	s of TP0d and TP5 very different test fixture the test poin	points. Th	his could be impro	ned with those of TP1 oved.
			ints TP0d and TP5d" - not the ative requirement for cable as			Suggested	Reme	dy				
We curr If no equ	ently uation	have a mi	inimum loss for cable assembed, we should (at least for the	olies as a TBD e					he left and the TP ctively. Extend the			th the transmit and
SuggestedR		-							es part of the diagr			Is on the top and TP4
	the re	eferences	n 179.11.2 with a value of 16 to "ILdd_CA,min" from Table					PRINCIPL				
Response			Response Status C			Implem	ient w	ith editoria	I license and discr	etion.		
		PRINCIPL				C/ 179B	SC	179B.4.2	F	^{>} 783	L 2	# 89
			ssembly characteristics sumn I.2 16 dB.	nary) includes In	sertion loss at 53.125	Ran, Adee			Cis	co System	ns, Inc.	
GHZ, ILC	uu (m	11) 179.11	1.2 TO UD.			Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment State	us A		Test fixture
		reference cify 16 dB	ed subclause 179.11.2 include	es an equation w	ith many TBDs and				d without a specifie rameter measuren			his means that the 100
			179.11.2 with a statement the Delete the editor's note in this		cable assembly ILdd at	connec	tors w	hich are p	ractically lower im	pedance (92.5 Ohm it typic	e matching with the al). Otherwise, when
Update	refere	nces to th	ne minimum ILdd to point to 1	79.11.2 as nece	ssary.				cables with 92.5 (quency and time de		/ will have a refle	ction, which will
Impleme	ent wi	th editoria	I license.					rent referei ect impeda		r measurin	ng the test fixture	s will encourage design
												Ohm differential for test er-based specifications
						Suggested	Reme	dy				
						Add an editoria			e test fixture ERL c	alculation	to use an imped	ance of 92.5 Ohm, with
						Response			Response Statu	ıs C		
						ACCEF	PT IN I	PRINCIPL	E.			
						Implem	nent th	e suggeste	ed remedy with ed	litorial lice	nse.	

C/ 178A	SC 178	BA	Р	L	# 90	C/ 179	SC	179.9.5.4	P 349	L 42	# 91
Ran, Adee			Cisco Systems,	Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
Comment Ty	уре т		Comment Status R		al measurement methodology	Comment 7	Гуре	т	Comment Status R		Rx test methodology
referenc Also, the Also, An	ced by an e Tx and	nex 176 Rx test D has a	methodologies of clause 178 "methodology" subclause tha	are re-us	sed exactly in Annex 176C.	profile a how mu	and a uch ma	binary resu argin a DUT	r jitter tolerance is defined It (pass/fail). This does not I has. For this test, the mar error ratio achieved (which	provide a clear gin should be i	means of assessing n terms of jitter stress,
It would	l ha profo	rahla ta	have all the common specific	otiona in	a single leastion:	The jitte	er stre	ss definitio	n has been like that for a lo	ng time - and s	hould be improved.
- Linear - Transn	fit proce mitter way	dure /eform (coefficient step size and rang n-mode PtP specifications (s	jes)	C C	amplitu	de at	40 MHz; an	defined based on a param d all jitter test cases are de y, but scaled by SJ_0).		
- RLM - Jitter	and SNF		are common) and dERL			defined	l as ha	aving the m	the maximum SJ_0 that the aximum no lower than 0.05 requirements.		
			blerance (separate calibration b, but the remainder is comm			This wo	ould al	low defining	g the margin over the speci	fication in a sta	ndardized way
toleranc	ce.					If accept	oted, t	his change	should be applied in KR, C	2C, and C2M a	s well.
This sho places.	ould be d	one with	appropriate parameterization	n to enab	le referencing from multiple		the d	lefinition of	jitter tolerance as a value ra meter SJ_0 as described in		ocedure. Change the test
Gb/s per expansio	er lane ele	ectrical c lress oth	e right place - it is currently ti channels" but as the editor's r ner link components too (as w	note hints	, it is considered for				er tolerance" in Table 179-1 Delete the test requirement		
SuggestedR						Implem	ent fo	r CR, KR, C	C2C, and C2M, with editoria	al license.	
Create a PMDs a	a new sul and AUIs,	and mo	under 178A with subclauses we the details of the commor			Response REJEC	T.		Response Status Z		
Update t Change	the reference the title the title ent with e	ences. of Annex	x 178A adding the words "and license.	d interfac	es".	This co	mmer	nt was WITI	HDRAWN by the commente	er.	
A preser	ntation ill	ustrating	g the result of this proposal w	ill be sub	mitted if necessary.						
Response			Response Status Z								
REJECT	т.										
This con	mment w	as WIT⊦	IDRAWN by the commenter.								

C/ 179	SC 1	79.11.7.2		P 380	L10	# 92	Cl	179 SC	C 179.9.4.4		P 361	L 52	# 93
Ran, Adee	e		Ci	sco Systems	, Inc.		Ra	n, Adee			Cisco Systen	ns, Inc.	
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Sta	tus A		Host cha	nnel Co	mment Type	т	Comment S	tatus A		Tx AC common mode
			r each host desi on of COM.	ignation in Ta	able 179-18 are	e TBD. These values		distribution"	. This does		reme spikes		ne measured de noise to occur in a
with a to TP: It is si	suitable 2 or TP3	reference to TP5" va to assume	package and m lues in Table 17	ated test fixto 79A-1.	ure, the ILdd w	d such that, combine rould match the "TP0 ackage class B for h	d	common me specification errors can c	ode noise wi n can cause occur in addi	thout creating errors in the re	errors. There eceiver, curre at are current	fore we should ntly at a probat ly modeled by	vels of occasional assume that the current sility of 1e-4. These COM. Additionally, they
	be prefe	erable to tra				I to Table 179-18. It I be the columns.		We should probability.	not allow pot	tential sources	of errors tha	are not budge	ted to have such high
A deta	ailed prop	posal for th	e table content	is planned.				The sugges	ted probably	of 1e-7 is low	enough to e	hable it to be us	ed for all interfaces. This
Response		RINCIPLE	Response Stat	us C							•	ion is not for sp and be very fa	pecific points in the list.
			age from 359 to	380 and line	e from 46 to 10	0]	Su	ggestedRem	edy				
- The C	RG revie	ewed the fo	ollowing contribu 3/dj/public/24_1	ution:		1		of the cumu	lative distrib ne definition	oution. for KR, C2C, a		easured distrib	ution, from 5e-6 to 1-5e-6
Updat	te Table	179-18 per	slide 7 of ran_3	3dj_02a_241	1.		Re	sponse		Response Si	tatus C		
Imple	ment with	h editorial I	icense, includin	g considerati	ion of renaming	g the parameter z_p^	·(h).		PRINCIPLE	∃. onse to comme	ont #82		

C/ 179	SC	179.9.4.4	P361	L 53	# 94	C/ 179	SC ·	179.9.5.2	P 366	L 3	# 96
Ran, Adee			Cisco Systems	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
Comment T	Туре	т	Comment Status A		Tx AC common mode	Comment 7	Гуре	т	Comment Status R		Rx test methodology
"measu method	ured dis d, e.g.,	stribution" i whether or	surement method is not spe epresents. The distribution of not whether the sampling is JI and the sampling phase.	an depend on	the measurement	amplitu require	de whi ment ir	ch has an 1 Table 179	r amplitude tolerance is de associated "shall". This tes 9-10 is in terms of voltage. a long time - but it can be i	t can either pa	
measur correlat cause la	rement ted witl large e	of different the signation the signation	nce per PRBS13Q repetition tial noise used in SNDR) ma l; conversely, capturing a tes ulation to create a distributio r parts in the test pattern.	ay miss commo st pattern with r	n-mode that is nany times per UI can	preset The tes defined	1. st resul l as hav	t would be ving the ma	defined as having a param the maximum A_0 that the aximum no lower than 1200 juirements.	DUT can tole	ate. Compliance will be
the test	t patter		st having excessive noise ar gested change ensures that nent.					more like er the spec	the way tests are performe ification).	ed in many prac	ctical cases (e.g. checking
Suggested	Remea	ly						of amplitu	de tolerance in 176D.7.11	was written in a	a similar manner to this
			distribution is created from r hat include between 2 to 3 s		over the whole	propos		ia ahanga	should be applied in KD or		
Response		n pattorn, t	Response Status C					-	should be applied in KR ar	id C2C as well	•
ACCEF						Suggested Rewrite		•	amplitude tolerance based	on the definition	on in 176D.7.11.
						Implem	ent for	CR, KR, a	and C2C, with editorial licer	ise.	
C/ 179		179.9.5	P365	L 39	# 95	Response			Response Status Z		
Ran, Adee		_	Cisco Systems	s, Inc.	<i></i>	REJEC	т.				
	ords "ea		Comment Status A re not helpful for "signaling r ot special. Also it cannot be a			This co	mment	t was WITI	HDRAWN by the comment	er.	
			.2 in most places in the elec Table 176D-3, and Table 17		but these words still						
Suggested	Remed	ly									
Delete	"each	lane" from	the signaling rate in the 3 tal	oles mentioned							
Response ACCEP	PT IN F	RINCIPLE	Response Status C								
As the			ates, "The receiver on each la ore it is not required to add "								
in Table	e 179-										

C/ 179	SC 179.9.5.3	P366	L 30	# 97	C/ 179	SC	179.9.5.3.3	B P367	L 38	# 98
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisco Syste	ems, Inc.	
Comment Ty	/pe TR	Comment Status A		Rx test parameters	Comment T	Гуре	TR	Comment Status R		Rx test methodolog
Comment Ty, Test cha Since we for each The low- 179A-3. SuggestedRe Specific Response ACCEPT This CR0 https://w Impleme Add an e	Annel and Cable e have the die-t host class, the closs test chann <i>temedy</i> numbers will be T IN PRINCIPLI G reviewed the rww.ieee802.org	Comment Status A e assembly insertion loss at a o-die maximum loss of 40 dl high-loss test channel ILdd el is similar but with the min e provided. Response Status C E. following contribution: a/3/dj/public/24_11/ran_3dj_1 to Table 179-11 on slide 6 de er the table stating that the in	53.125 GHz are B, and the host should be straig imum channel j 03_2411.pdf of ran_3dj_03_2	TBD. channel ILdd allocation ghtforward. barameters in Table	Comment T The cal complic It is rela- terms of It can b exact C COM th calibrat Complia dB. This is checkin If accep Suggestedf It is pro numeric ratio. TI noise is dB". A simila noise is Do eith A. Imple B. Add minimu Response REJEC The CR	ibratio cated. ated to ated to ated to ated to ated to ated to and the ed by ance o simple g for r oted, the <i>Remeo</i> oposed c value he calo s adde ar char s adde er of the ement an edi m CO	n of the ad the fact the st with a sp blified if ins alue require DUT requi additive no can then be er to descril margin ove his change dy to rewrite e, namely, culation of d per 179.5 hige should d near the he following the above tor's note s M value, bu	Comment Status R ditional noise in steps f-h at compliance with receive ecific COM target and a b tead of describing how to ed for passing, the test re- res in order to meet the r ise with the appropriate s e defined as having the te be and more like the way r the specification). should be applied in KR, steps f-h and the test pro the minimum COM requir COM still uses the value 0.5.3.4. The Rx specificat	of the procedure ver interference to inary result (pas o calibrate the noi sult would be del equired block err spectrum. st result (minimu tests are perform C2C, and C2M a cedure to make to ed by the DUT to of sigma_ne in e ion would then be ver tests for KR a eference port to change the equired for imple	e in 179.9.5.3.3 is quite blerance is defined in s/fail). se in order to reach the fined as the minimum or ratio; and COM is m COM) no higher than 3 med in many cases (e.g. as well. the result of the test a o meet the block error quation 179-14 and the ecome "COM_min <= 3 and C2C where white he result of the test to a

01 470		470 6 5 6		Deec	1.00	# [22]	01.470	00	470.44	0.50	1.00	# 422
C/ 179		179.9.5.3.		P 369	L 22	# 99	C/ 179	SC	179.11	P 372	L 23	# 100
Ran, Ade		_		Cisco Systems	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee	-		Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.	
Comment		T	Comment S	Status A		Rx test methodology	Comment T		TR	Comment Status R		CA specifications
Equat respe	tions 17 ctively,	and are wr	itten with fb a	s a parameter,	but the values	5 through 162-17 of f1 and f2 are fixed out not identical.	their m	aximu	m insertio	ly classes are mentioned he n loss, with reference to 179 x Nyquist ILdd per class are	0.11.2, but there	is no indication of the
It is n	ot clear	whether f1	and f2 should	d be scaled to t	he new fb. If th	ney are, then the figure can be replaced with				this draft about cable react provided by the cable.	n. In previous sta	andards there was some
refere	ences to	clause 16	2.	f1 and f2 are fi			reach a	and Ny	∕quist ILdd	eaders to have in this subcla for each cable assembly cl	ass. This is more	e important than the
Suggeste	dReme	dy							ed list of C	CR1/CR2/CR4/CR8; the cab	le types per wid	th are described in detail
Creat	e Figure	e 179-6 bas	sed on the equ	uations.			in / un k	57 110				
Response ACCE		PRINCIPLE	Response S E.	Status C			https://	www.ie	eee802.or	is based on slide 5 in g/3/dj/public/23_07/tracy_3c m and 2 m.	lj_01a_2307.pdf	with lengths
Gene	rate Fig	ure 179-6 l	based on equ	ations (179-18)	and (179-19)	(without changing f1 and	Suggested	Reme	dy			
f2, wh	nich are	related to	f_hp = 6 GHz)).			In Tabl 53.125 Add a i	e 179- GHz, row wit	-13, create ILdd (max th expecte	rom 179.11.2 to Table 179- four columns for CA-A thro)" values to these columns. d reach in meters: CA-A: 1, common to all classes (stra	ugh CA-D. Move CA-B: 1.33, CA	
							Response			Response Status C		
							REJEC	CT.				
									viewed slid eee802.org	e #37 in g/3/dj/public/24_11/ran_3dj_	_01a_2411.pdf.	
							There	was no	o consensi	us to implement the change	s shown on the s	slide.
							C/ 179	SC	179.11.3	P 374	L 47	# 101
							Ran, Adee			Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.	
							Comment T	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		CA specifications
							In 162.	11.3 th	he values	arameters N and Nbx are T were 4500 and 0 respective umed to be the same (2 m t	ly. In 802.3dj, the	e UI is halved and the
							Suggested	Reme	dy			
							Use N=	=9000	and Nbx=	0.		
							Response			Response Status C		
							ACCEF					

Comment ID 101

C/ 179	SC 179.11.5	P 375	L15	# 102	C/ 182	SC 182.7.1	P 452	L 47	# 105
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.		Welch, Bria	an	Cisco		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		CA specifications	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		SER+TDECQ
		mmon-mode insertion loss ec	uation is TBD.	The reference in the	Curren	t TDECQ - TEC	CQ (max) value is "TBD"		
text is	to an equation ir	i clause 162.			Suggested	Remedy			
		n 178.10.5 was changed to "m hould be applied here too.	node conversion	n insertion loss" to cover			외 (max) and Target PAM4 s ed), respectively per welch_		to2.5 dB and 4.8 x 10^-
In 802	3ck the specific	ation of this parameter are the	same in KR (1	63 10 5) and CR	Response		Response Status C		
		ve can use the same equation				PT IN PRINCIP			
Suggested	IRemedy				Resolv	e using the resp	conse to comment #146.		
		r to "mode conversion insertio		e the same equation and	C/ 182	SC 182.7.2	P 454	L 27	# 106
		mplement with editorial licens n the text to point to the corre		1 figure	Welch, Bria	an	Cisco		
Response		Response Status C		ingure.	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		SER+TDECQ
ACCE	PT	Response Status C			Curren	t SECQ value is	s "TBD"		
AUUL					Suggested	Remedy			
C/ 182	SC 182.7.1	P 452	L 43	# 103			rget PAM4 symbol error ratio	o to 3.4 dB and 4.	8 x 10^-4 (both must be
Velch, Bria	an	Cisco			-	ed), respectively	per welch_3dj_01_1125		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		SER+TDECQ	Response		Response Status C		
Curren	nt TDECQ (max)	value is "TBD"				PT IN PRINCIP	E.		
Suggested	lRemedy					<u> </u>			
		and Target PAM4 symbol erro pectively per welch_3dj_01_1		B and 4.8 x 10^-4 (both	C/ 182 Welch, Bria	SC 182.9.5 an	P 465 Cisco	L 9	# 107
Response		Response Status C			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		SER+TDECQ
	PT IN PRINCIPL				Curren	t Target PAM4	symbol error ratio is 9.6 x 10)^-3	
Resolv	e using the resp	onse to comment #146.			Suggested	Remedy			
C/ 182	SC 182.7.1	P 452	L 45	# 104	Update	e Target PAM4	symbol error ratio to 4.8 x 10)^-4 per welch_3d	lj_01_1124
Nelch, Bria	an	Cisco			Response		Response Status C		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		SER+TDECQ		PT IN PRINCIP			
Curren	nt TECQ (max) v	alue is "TBD"			Resolv	e using the res	conse to comment #146.		
S <i>uggested</i> Update		nd Target PAM4 symbol error	ratio to 3.4 dB	and 4.8 x 10^-4 (both					
		pectively per welch_3dj_01_1		`					
Response		Response Status C							
	PT IN PRINCIPL								
Resolv	e using the resp	onse to comment #146.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 183 S	SC 183.7.1	P 480	L 34	# 108	C/ 183	SC 183.7.2	P 482	L 30	# 111
Welch, Brian		Cisco			Welch, Bria	an	Cisco		
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status A		SER+TDECQ	Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status A		SER+TDECQ
Current TE	DECQ (max)	value is "TBD"			Curren	t SECQ value is	s "TBD"		
SuggestedRer	nedy				Suggested	Remedy			
		and Target PAM4 symbol erro pectively per welch_3dj_01_1		3 and 4.8 x 10^-4 (both			rget PAM4 symbol error ratio t per welch_3dj_01_1125	to 3.4 dB and 4.8	x 10^-4 (both must be
Response		Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
	IN PRINCIPL sing the resp	E. onse to comment #146.				PT IN PRINCIPI	LE. conse to comment #146.		
C/ 183 S	SC 183.7.1	P 480	L 37	# 109	C/ 183	SC 183.9.5	P 489	L 48	# 112
Welch, Brian		Cisco			Welch, Bria	an	Cisco		
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status A		SER+TDECQ	Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status A		SER+TDECG
Current TE	ECQ (max) va	alue is "TBD"			Curren	t Target PAM4	symbol error ratio is 9.6 x 10 ^A	-3	
SuggestedRer	nedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Update TE	ECQ (max) ar	nd Target PAM4 symbol error	ratio to 3.4 dB a	and 4.8 x 10^-4 (both	Update	Target PAM4	symbol error ratio to 4.8 x 10^	-4 per welch_3dj	_01_1124
must be cl	hanged), resp	pectively per welch_3dj_01_1	125		Response		Response Status C		
Response		Response Status C			ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPI	_E.		
	IN PRINCIPL				Resolv	e using the resp	conse to comment #146.		
		onse to comment #146.			C/ 176C	SC 176C.2	P 677	L 22	# 113
C/ 183 S	SC 183.7.1	P 480	L 38	# 110	Brown, Mat	t	Alphawave Se	emi	
Welch, Brian		Cisco			Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)
Comment Type		Comment Status A		SER+TDECQ	Figure	178-2. The sigr	als SLi and DLi are never def	ined in Annex 17	6C.
Current T	DECQ - TEC	Q (max) value is "TBD"			Suggested	Remedv			
SuggestedRer	nedy				00		a note similar to the note in F	igure 179-2.	
		A) (max) and Target PAM4 syr ed), respectively per welch_30		o2.5 dB and 4.8 x 10^-	Response		Response Status C	0	
Response	0	Response Status C	- –		ACCEF	PT.			
ACCEPT I	IN PRINCIPL sing the resp	,							

C/ 178B	SC 178B.5.4	P 748	L 27	# 114	C/ 178B SC 17	8B.5	P 744	L16	# 117
Brown, Matt		Alphawave Ser	ni		Brown, Matt		Alphawave Ser	mi	
Comment Typ	pe T	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Type	E	Comment Status A		(editorial)
	Ũ	ous compared with "PAM4 w	ith precoding".		Figure 178B-3. l case here.	Use of apo	strophe <'>followed by "s"	is for possession	on, which is not the
	erencing the tes	t pattern mode change mod is change throughout Annex			SuggestedRemedy Change "3's" to	"3s" and "	0's" to "0s"		
Response		Response Status C			Response	I	Response Status C		
	IN PRINCIPLE.	d remedy with editorial licens	e.		ACCEPT IN PR		ense and discretion.		
C/ 179	SC 179.8.4	P 244	L 4	# 115	C/ 186 SC 18	6.4.2.1	P 578	L 18	# 118
Brown, Matt		Alphawave Ser	ni		Brown, Matt		Alphawave Ser	mi	
Comment Typ	pe E	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Comment Type	Г	Comment Status A		(bucket)
SuggestedRe Either cha	emedy	MD, it is implicit that ILT her			,	_ /	and PMA_reset as done for	r the 1.6TBASE	-R PCS in 175.2.6.2.2.
Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C				INCIPLE. variables	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT Implemen	IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C	L 4	# 116	ACCEPT IN PRI Define the state CI 176C SC 17	INCIPLE. variables	as suggested. Implement v P679	L 29	ense. # 1 <u>19</u>
Response ACCEPT Implemen Cl 175	IN PRINCIPLE nt with editorial I	Response Status C	-	# [116	ACCEPT IN PRI Define the state CI 176C SC 176 Brown, Matt	INCIPLE. variables 6C.3.1	as suggested. Implement v P 679 Alphawave Ser	L 29	# 119
Response ACCEPT Implemen Cl 175 Brown, Matt Comment Typ Several in	IN PRINCIPLE int with editorial I SC 175.5 De E instances of acro	Response Status C icense and discretion. P244	ni	(editorial)	ACCEPT IN PR Define the state Cl 176C SC 176 Brown, Matt Comment Type	INCIPLE. variables 6C.3.1 E with PMD	as suggested. Implement v P679 Alphawave Ser Comment Status A clauses, the error allocatic	L 29 mi	# 119 (editorial)
Response ACCEPT Implemen Cl 175 Brown, Matt Comment Typ Several in "bit times	IN PRINCIPLE at with editorial I SC 175.5 DE E Instances of across (BT)".	Response Status C icense and discretion. P244 Alphawave Ser Comment Status A	ni	(editorial)	ACCEPT IN PR Define the state Cl 176C SC 176 Brown, Matt Comment Type E For consistency	INCIPLE. variables 6C.3.1 E with PMD	as suggested. Implement v P679 Alphawave Ser Comment Status A clauses, the error allocatic	L 29 mi	# 119 (editorial)
Response ACCEPT Implemen Cl 175 Brown, Matt Comment Typ Several in "bit times SuggestedRe	IN PRINCIPLE int with editorial I SC 175.5 De E instances of acro (BT)". emedy	Response Status C icense and discretion. P244 Alphawave Ser Comment Status A onym "BT" with defining this a	ni	(editorial)	ACCEPT IN PR Define the state Cl 176C SC 17 Brown, Matt Comment Type E For consistency heading right aft SuggestedRemedy	INCIPLE. variables 6C.3.1 E with PMD ter the intro	as suggested. Implement v P679 Alphawave Ser Comment Status A clauses, the error allocatic	L 29 mi on subclause sh	# 119 <i>(editorial,</i> hould be 2nd level
Response ACCEPT Implemen Cl 175 Brown, Matt Comment Typ Several in "bit times SuggestedRed change "E	IN PRINCIPLE at with editorial I SC 175.5 DE E Instances of across (BT)".	Response Status C icense and discretion. P244 Alphawave Ser Comment Status A onym "BT" with defining this a	ni	(editorial)	ACCEPT IN PR Define the state Cl 176C SC 17 Brown, Matt Comment Type E For consistency heading right aft SuggestedRemedy	INCIPLE. variables 6C.3.1 E with PMD ter the intro	as suggested. Implement v P679 Alphawave Ser Comment Status A clauses, the error allocatic oduction.	L 29 mi on subclause sh	# 119 (editorial) nould be 2nd level

C/ 176D	SC 176D.4	P 698	L 42	# 120	C/ 183	SC	183.9.5.1	P 491	L 21	# 123
Brown, Ma	tt	Alphawave Se	emi		Brown, Mat	tt		Alphawave Se	emi	
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		(bucket)
headin	g right after the i	MD clauses, the error allocati ntroduction.	on subclause sł	ould be 2nd level	metho	dology.	. However,	a the is reference to an ann this annex does not exist. A in the reference to G.652 Ap	lso, it seems th	
Suggested	•	nediately after 176D.1, with n		bor 176D 0	Suggested			·		
Response		Response Status C	ew neading nun		Delete	", and	the optical	channel characteristics in Annex TBD"		
	PT IN PRINCIPL nent with editoria	E. I license and discretion.			Response ACCEI	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE	Response Status C		
C/ 182	SC 182.9.1	P 463	L 9	# 121	Implen	nent su	uggested re	medy with editorial license		
Brown, Ma	tt	Alphawave Se	emi		C/ 183	SC	183.9.5.1	P 491	L 23	# 124
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Brown, Mat	tt		Alphawave Se	emi	
		r FEC is specifically called 2	00GBASE-R Inr	er FEC, 400GBASE-R	Comment		т	Comment Status A		(bucketp)
miner,	etc. Reference it	by name.			In Tabl	ء. 183_	5 footnote	c it says "The optical return	loss is applied :	at TP2 " And in a later
R, or 1	rambled idle test .6TBASE-R Inne	-	GBASE-R, 4000	BASE-R, 800GBASE-	adjuste	ed so th		es the following text "The op ansmitter is tested with the o 39-11."		d variable reflector are
Response		Response Status C			Suggested					
	PT IN PRINCIPL nent suggested r	E. emedy with editorial license			00		,	he back-reflection is applied	at TP2."	
CI 45	SC 45.2.1.21		L 31	# 122	Chang	e "The	channel pr	ovides an optical return loss eflection with return loss spe	s specified in Ta	
Brown, Ma	tt	Alphawave Se	emi		Response			Response Status C		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(editorial)	ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE	E.		
approp		, lane 0's Inner FEC total bits cal document. It is sufficient '.			"The o			footnote c from s applied at TP2"		
Suggested	Remedy				to "The b	ack-ref	flection is a	pplied at TP2"		
	e "lane 0's" with	"long O" have and 4 other pla	ces in Clause 4	5.						
Replac		lane o nere and 4 other pla								
		Response Status C						tence of the 2nd to last para n optical return loss specifie		
Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL nent with editoria	Response Status C		-	"The cl to	hannel	provides a		ed in Table 183-	-15"

Comment ID 124

Page 31 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

C/ 183	SC 183.9.5.1	P 491	L 21	# 125	C/ 178B	SC 17	78B.4.2	P 743	L 8	# 127
Brown, Mat		Alphawave Se			Brown, Mat			Alphawave Ser	-	
Comment	Туре т Сог	mment Status A		Optical channel	Comment 7	уре	т	Comment Status D		Retimer
conver channe about s this sta Suggested Either	stress testing the scope atement? <i>Remedy</i> (a) delete footnote c or	oscilloscope." 183.9.5. itter compliance testin O/E converter. Is ther	.1 specifies cha g. It seems rath re something su	racteristics of a test er obvious that this isn't	constra link par worst c is 0.628 Derivat phase(d_phas	ined whe ther rece ase jitter 33 UI/us. ion: () = 0.05 $e(t) / d_t$	en the so eiver to tr r. Worst o / 2 * sin(t = 0.05 /	e pointing out that the output ource switches from local cloc rack, the phase transition sho case jitter is 0.05 UI peak to p 2*pi*f_jitter*t). 2 * 2 * pi * f_jitter cos(2*pi*f_ = 0.05 UI * pi * 4 MHz = 0.628	k to recovere uld be no wor beak at 4 MHz jitter*t)	d clock. In order for the se than would occur with
	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				Suggested	Remedy				
Footno	te b was intended to clarge using the response to	, ,	ninimum" in the	insertion column.	For eac The ph than 0.	h interfa ase at th 5283 UI /	ace that s ne transm / us.	supports ILT specify the that t itter output shall deviate from prough Clause 183.		
C/ 183	SC 183.9.5.1	P 491	L11	# 126	Proposed F	Response	е	Response Status W		
Brown, Mat	tt	Alphawave Se	mi					N PRINCIPLE. 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 1760	176D 170E	01
Comment		nment Status A		Optical channel				medy in 179B.4.2 rather thar		
types is insertio then ei someth Suggested	le 183-5 In the column s "Minimum". It is not e on loss specified in Tab ther use this value (0 d ning else then provide a <i>Remedy</i> "Minimum" in Table 18	vident what this means le 183-9 "Optical chan B) or reference this tab bit more context, perh	s. Perhaps it me nel characteristi ble (e.g., with a	eans the minimum cs". If that is that case footnote). If it means			editorial er this sho	license. ould be a requirement (shall)	or recommen	dation (should).
Response		ponse Status C								
	PT IN PRINCIPLE.									
In Tabl	le 183-15 replace the te	xt of footnote b with								
	alue "Minimum" implies does not significantly st			ould be sufficiently low						
Implem	nent the same change i	n clauses 180, 181 an	d 182.							
With e	ditorial license.									

180 SC 180.6 P398 L36 # 128	C/ 181 SC 181.1 P420 L9 # 130
rown, Matt Alphawave Semi	Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi
omment Type T Comment Status A Lane assignments	Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial
In addition to mapping signal lanes to fiber positions within a PHY, the fibers such that the transmitting signal lane number (SLi) is the same as the receiving signal lane number (DLi) at the other end of the fiber. See Figure 180-2 and Figure 178B-1. The requirement should be written such that it is relevant to the break-out cases defined in Annex 180A.	Acronym WDM is first introduced here in the clause but is not defined. Use same wording as provided for WDM in subclause 1.5 (base standard). SuggestedRemedy
uggestedRemedy	Change "WDM" to "Wavelength division multiplexing (WDM)" Do the same in 183.1.
In 180.6 add the following paragraph: "Each fiber between the tranmitter of one PHY and the receiver of another PHY shall connect to the same signal lane number. For example, a fiber connects SL1 at the transmitting end to DL1 at the receiving end." Do the same for 182.6.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with editorial license and discretion.
esponse Response Status C	Cl 178 SC 178.2 P318 L51 # 131
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi
In 180.6 add a new second paragraph	Comment Type T Comment Status D Error ratio
"Each fiber between the tranmitter of one PHY and the receiver of another PHY shall connect to the same signal lane number. For example, a fiber connects SL1 at the transmitting end to DL1 at the receiving end." Do the same for 182.6.	176D.3.1, 185.2. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> A contribution to address this will be provided.
	Proposed Response Response Status W
V 183 SC 183.6 P 479 L 30 # 129 rown, Matt Alphawave Semi	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. A presentation was not submitted however this was addressed for 180.2, 181.2, 182.2, and 183.2 in the resolution to comment #433.
omment Type T Comment Status A Lane assignments	
The note at the end of 183.6 should have been deleted. "NOTE-There is no requirement to associate a particular electrical lane with a particular optical lane, as the PCS is capable of receiving lanes in any arrangement." The explicit assignment of signal lanes to optical lanes is required in order to support ILT, similar to mapping to connector positions and fibers in 180.6 and 182.6. This evident when viewing Figure 183-2 which depicts lane assignments along with the mapping of lanes to wavelengths in 183.6.	The wording in 176.3.1 and 176D.3.1 is sufficient as written. For the sake of consistency with the change adopted in the response to comment #433, the make the following substitutions for the PMD expected error ratio In 178.2 "A PMD is expected to meet the block error ratio specifications in 174A.6, measured at the
uggestedRemedy	PMA adjacent to the PMD, with BERadded equal to 1.6 x 10^5."
Change the note to read: "NOTEEach functional lane, denoted SLi at the transmitter and DLi at the receiver, is mapped to a specific wavelength to support ILT operation." Change the note in 181.6 as well.	In 179.2 "A PMD is expected to meet the block error ratio specifications in 174A.6, measured at the PMA adjacent to the PMD, with BERadded equal to 1.6 x 10^5."
	Implement with editorial license.
esponse Response Status C	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 131

Page 33 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

C/ 174A	SC 174A.6.1.5	<i>P</i> 644	L 3	# 132	C/ 176	SC	176.7.4	F	281	L 8	# 135
Brown, Matt		Alphawave Se	ni		Brown, Ma	att		Alp	nawave S	emi	
Comment Ty	/pe T	Comment Status D		Error ratio PCS	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Statu	s D		pma counters
made to SuggestedRe	the test method	easuring block error ratio usir lology using a PMA as define			This m are re	nethodo quired	ology gene	erates and check a ine attached to a P	PRBS310	Q sequence in th	ut the use of a PCS. e PMA. New counters sociated with the
		s this will be provided.			Suggested	dReme	dy				
Proposed Re	,	Response Status W			Define	e new c	ounters as	s summarized in 17	4A.6.1.1.		
	SED ACCEPT I using the respo	N PRINCIPLE. nse to comment #210.			Proposed PROP	,		Response Statu	s W		
C/ 176C	SC 176C.3.1	P 679	L 27	# 133	The co	ommer	nt refers to	176A.5, but should			
Brown, Matt		Alphawave Se	mi					(s) for comment #7 g/3/dj/public/24_11			al contribution:
Comment Ty	/pe E	Comment Status A		(editorial)		/ ** ** ** .1	0002.01	g/3/aj/pablic/24_11	blown_o	uj_00_2411.pui	
		n" sublclause should not be	a level 3 headi	ng under service	C/ 119	SC	119.2.6.2	.1 F	148	L17	# 136
interface					Brown, Ma	att		Alp	nawave Se	emi	
SuggestedR					Comment	Туре	т	Comment Statu	s A		(bucket
level 3 h		nber from "177C.3.1" to "176	C.4" and renu	mber the subsequent	READ	Y, FAI	L} rather th		. The sign		I_PROGRESS, alue is not defined for
Response		Response Status C					,	ly for OK and FAIL			
	T IN PRINCIPLE	license and discretion.			Suggested		•	finition of the sizes	l alvuaria		
								finition of the signa value was OK and			IL."
C/ 176C	SC 176C.3.1	P 679	L 27	# 134	With:	"It is tru	ue if the va	lue was OK and			
Brown, Matt		Alphawave Se	mi			otherwi	se."				
Comment Ty	/pe E	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Response			Response Statu	s C		
		e various PMD clauses the e tely after the overiew subcla		subclause should be a	ACCE	PT.					
SuggestedR	emedy										
		before 176C.2 and change to just before 176C.2.	o a level 2 hea	ding "176C.2".							

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement with editorial license and discretion.

C/ 178B SC 178B	P 740	L 8	# 137	Cl 182	SC 182.9.1	P 463	L 9	# 139
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	mi		Brown, Matt		Alphawave S	emi	
Comment Type T C	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Ty	rpe T	Comment Status A		(bucketp
ILT as defined in Annex 178 include physically instantiat clarified.	3B is relevant only to Phy ed links with 200 Gb/s or	sical Layer implicht	lementations that e. This should be	Since th	e PMD types d	tern 3, currently PRBS31Q is lefined in Clause 182 use Inr C, similar to Pattern 5.	s defined for use ner FEC, the PR	e for receiver sensitivity. BS31Q should be
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedR	emedy			
Add new subclause 178A.1 "This clause defines inter-su that include one or more int or higher per lane."	ublayer link training (ILT)	for Physical La		200GBA defining	SE-R, 400GB	ge test pattern 4 from "PRBS ASE-R, 800GBASE-R, or 1.6 e in Table 183-12.		
Response R	esponse Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				ACCEP	IN PRINCIPL	E.		
In the suggested remedy th Implement the suggested re C/ 176 SC 176.7.4			78B.1" # 138	The CR0 https://w	G reviewed slic ww.ieee802.or	des 6 through 9 of issenhuth_ g/3/dj/public/24_11/issenhutl	_01a h_3dj_01_2411.	pdf
		-•	# 138	More wo	rk is required r	regarding a PRBS31 encode	d by the inner F	EC transmit path. A
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	imi		future co	ntribution is er	ncouraged.		·
	Comment Status D		(withdrawn)	There w	as agreement :	that the other pattern genera	tors were missir	ha and should be added
IN 1744 6 3 Set of test met	hods are defined to meas	e PRBS31Q err	or check to be		test pattern ge	nerators for PRBS13Q, PRB		0
sublayer links (ISLs). These enhanced to include block e	error checkers and block	error bin counte			the inner FFC			
sublayer links (ISLs). These enhanced to include block e 174A.6.1.1 and 174A.6.1.2.	error checkers and block	error bin counte		output o	the inner FEC	, transmit path.		
sublayer links (ISLs). These enhanced to include block e 174A.6.1.1 and 174A.6.1.2. SuggestedRemedy	error checkers and block				the inner FEC	, transmit patn.		
sublayer links (ISLs). These enhanced to include block e 174A.6.1.1 and 174A.6.1.2.	error checkers and block					, transmit patn. P 320	L 50	# 140
sublayer links (ISLs). These enhanced to include block e 174A.6.1.1 and 174A.6.1.2. SuggestedRemedy Define block error counting provided.	error checkers and block			With edi	torial license.			# <mark>140</mark>
sublayer links (ISLs). These enhanced to include block e 174A.6.1.1 and 174A.6.1.2. SuggestedRemedy Define block error counting provided.	error checkers and block and related counters. A d			With edi	SC 178.8.1	P 320		
sublayer links (ISLs). These enhanced to include block e 174A.6.1.1 and 174A.6.1.2. SuggestedRemedy Define block error counting provided. Proposed Response R PROPOSED REJECT.	error checkers and block and related counters. A c esponse Status Z	contribution on t		With edi C/ 178 Brown, Matt Comment Ty	SC 178.8.1	P 320 Alphawave S	emi	(bucke
sublayer links (ISLs). These enhanced to include block e 174A.6.1.1 and 174A.6.1.2. SuggestedRemedy Define block error counting provided. Proposed Response	error checkers and block and related counters. A c esponse Status Z	contribution on t		With edi Cl 178 Brown, Matt Comment Ty Figure 1	SC 178.8.1 pe T 78-2. The sign	P 320 Alphawave S Comment Status A	emi	(bucket
sublayer links (ISLs). These enhanced to include block e 174A.6.1.1 and 174A.6.1.2. SuggestedRemedy Define block error counting provided. Proposed Response Re PROPOSED REJECT.	error checkers and block and related counters. A c esponse Status Z	contribution on t		With edi Cl 178 Brown, Matt Comment Ty Figure 1 SuggestedR	torial license. SC 178.8.1 pe T 78-2. The sign emedy	P 320 Alphawave S Comment Status A	emi fined in Clause	(bucke
sublayer links (ISLs). These enhanced to include block e 174A.6.1.1 and 174A.6.1.2. SuggestedRemedy Define block error counting provided. Proposed Response Re PROPOSED REJECT.	error checkers and block and related counters. A c esponse Status Z	contribution on t		With edi Cl 178 Brown, Matt Comment Ty Figure 1 SuggestedR In Figure	torial license. SC 178.8.1 pe T 78-2. The sign emedy	P 320 Alphawave S <i>Comment Status</i> A als SLi and DLi are never de	emi fined in Clause	(bucket

C/ 178A	SC 178A.1.10	.2 P737	L 5	# 141	C/ 185	SC 185.9.2		P 538	L 46	# 144
Banas, Dav	id	Keysight Teo	chnologies, Inc.		Fetz, Brian			Keysight Tec	nnologies	
Comment T	<i>уре</i> т	Comment Status R		(bucket)	Comment	Туре Т	Comment	Status A		TQN
		Ani yields an effective DEI ail of the distribution, while			an acc	eptable maximu		e on current ger	neration test equ	ipment and 100kHz is
SuggestedF	Remedy				Suggested	•	6 00111			
	= DER0/2				0	e line width value			DIE	
Response		Response Status C			Response		Response	Status C		
REJEC	т				ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIPL	LE.			
DER is	(and always has	been) defined to be the ar			Resolv	e using the resp	oonse to comn	nent #143		
		distribution function. DER is etween DER and a PAM-L s			C/ 180	SC 180.7.2		P 401	L 29	# 145
NOTE 2	2 under 178A.1.1	10.2.The factor of (2L-2)/L i	n this conversion	accounts for all of the	Dudek, Mik			Marvell		
•	e ways the distril n threshold.	bution of noise and interfere	ence amplitude ca	an cross a PAM-L	Comment		Comment	Status A		Rx optical paramete
									Tx lanes within	a given limit and there is
C/ 187	SC 187.9.1	P 608	L 28	# 142	no rest	riction on the dif	fference in los	ses between th	e lanes in the o	ptical channel.
Fetz, Brian		Keysight Teo	chnologies							tch the MaxOMA of the th was rejected with the
Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status A			1.X. 111	S IS SIMILAL LO CC	Jiiiiieiit 109 a		10 I III D I .Z WIIIU	IT Was relected with the
Commone i	ypo I			TQM		ent "The propose				
		not available on current ge	neration test equ		comme		ed value is inc	correct for DR-2	/4/8 and would	only apply to multiple proposed value is
A line w		not available on current ge	neration test equ		comme	n a single modu	ed value is inc	correct for DR-2	/4/8 and would	only apply to multiple
A line w an acce	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximun	not available on current ge	neration test equ		comme DR1s i	n a single modu ct?	ed value is inc	correct for DR-2	/4/8 and would	only apply to multiple
A line w an acce SuggestedF	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximun Remedy	not available on current ge			comme DR1s i incorre <i>Suggested</i> Chang	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA outer	ed value is inc ile. " What is " r of each aggr	correct for DR-2 the justification resor lane from	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB.	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD
A line w an acce SuggestedF	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximun Remedy	not available on current ge n value.			comme DR1s i incorre Suggested Chang to 4.2d	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA outer B in Table 181-6	ed value is inc ile. " What is r of each aggr 6. Add a foo	correct for DR-2 the justification resor lane from otnote to this ro	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB. w in Table 181-1	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD 6 that is smilar to the
A line w an acce SuggestedF change Response	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximun Remedy	not available on current ge n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta <i>Response Status</i> C			comme DR1s i incorre <i>Suggested</i> Chang to 4.2d one in	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA outer B in Table 181-6	ed value is inc ile. "What is r of each aggr 6. Add a foo o aggressors	esor lane from the justification resor lane from otnote to this ro needed for 200	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB. w in Table 181-1	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD
A line w an acce SuggestedF change Response ACCEP	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximun Remedy line width value PT IN PRINCIPLI	not available on current ge n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta <i>Response Status</i> C E.			comme DR1s i incorre Suggested Chang to 4.2d one in Response	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA oute B in Table 181-6 Table 180-8 " No	ed value is inc ile. "What is r of each aggr 6. Add a foo o aggressors <i>Response</i>	esor lane from the justification resor lane from otnote to this ro needed for 200	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB. w in Table 181-1	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD 6 that is smilar to the
A line w an acce SuggestedF change Response ACCEP	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximun Remedy line width value PT IN PRINCIPLI	not available on current ge n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta <i>Response Status</i> C			comme DR1s i incorre Suggested Chang to 4.2d one in Response ACCEI	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA oute B in Table 181-6 Table 180-8 " N PT IN PRINCIPL	ed value is inc ile. "What is r of each aggr 6. Add a foo o aggressors <i>Response</i> _E.	esor lane from othote to this ro needed for 200 Status C	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB. w in Table 181-1	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD 6 that is smilar to the
A line w an acce SuggestedF change Response ACCEP Resolve	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximun Remedy line width value PT IN PRINCIPLI	not available on current ge n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta <i>Response Status</i> C E.			comme DR1s i incorre Suggested Chang to 4.2d one in Response ACCEI	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA oute B in Table 181-6 Table 180-8 " No	ed value is inc ile. "What is r of each aggr 6. Add a foo o aggressors <i>Response</i> _E.	esor lane from othote to this ro needed for 200 Status C	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB. w in Table 181-1	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD 6 that is smilar to the
A line w an acce Suggestedf change Response ACCEP Resolve Cl 185	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximun Remedy line width value PT IN PRINCIPLI e using the respo	not available on current ge n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta <i>Response Status</i> C E. onse to comment #143.	able L12	ipment and 100kHz is	comme DR1s i incorre Suggested Chang to 4.2d one in Response ACCEI	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA oute B in Table 181-6 Table 180-8 " N PT IN PRINCIPL	ed value is inc ile. "What is r of each aggr 6. Add a foo o aggressors <i>Response</i> _E.	esor lane from othote to this ro needed for 200 Status C	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB. w in Table 181-1	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD 6 that is smilar to the
A line w an acce SuggestedF change Response ACCEP Resolve C/ 185 Fetz, Brian	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximum Remedy line width value PT IN PRINCIPLI e using the response SC 185.9.1	not available on current ge n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta <i>Response Status</i> C E. onse to comment #143. <i>P</i> 538	able L12	ipment and 100kHz is	comme DR1s i incorre Suggested Chang to 4.2d one in Response ACCEI	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA oute B in Table 181-6 Table 180-8 " N PT IN PRINCIPL	ed value is inc ile. "What is r of each aggr 6. Add a foo o aggressors <i>Response</i> _E.	esor lane from othote to this ro needed for 200 Status C	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB. w in Table 181-1	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD 6 that is smilar to the
A line w an acce Suggestedf change Response ACCEP Resolve Cl 185 Fetz, Brian Comment T A line w	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximum Remedy line width value PT IN PRINCIPLI e using the response SC 185.9.1	not available on current ge n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta <i>Response Status</i> C E. onse to comment #143. <i>P</i> 538 Keysight Teo <i>Comment Status</i> A not available on current ge	able L12 chnologies	ipment and 100kHz is # 143 TQM	comme DR1s i incorre Suggested Chang to 4.2d one in Response ACCEI	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA oute B in Table 181-6 Table 180-8 " N PT IN PRINCIPL	ed value is inc ile. "What is r of each aggr 6. Add a foo o aggressors <i>Response</i> _E.	esor lane from othote to this ro needed for 200 Status C	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB. w in Table 181-1	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD 6 that is smilar to the
A line w an acce Suggestedf change Response ACCEP Resolve Cl 185 Fetz, Brian Comment T A line w an acce	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximum Remedy Ine width value PT IN PRINCIPLI e using the response SC 185.9.1 Type T vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximum	not available on current ge n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta <i>Response Status</i> C E. onse to comment #143. <i>P</i> 538 Keysight Teo <i>Comment Status</i> A not available on current ge	able L12 chnologies	ipment and 100kHz is # 143 TQM	comme DR1s i incorre Suggested Chang to 4.2d one in Response ACCEI	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA oute B in Table 181-6 Table 180-8 " N PT IN PRINCIPL	ed value is inc ile. "What is r of each aggr 6. Add a foo o aggressors <i>Response</i> _E.	esor lane from othote to this ro needed for 200 Status C	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB. w in Table 181-1	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD 6 that is smilar to the
A line w an acce Suggestedf change Response ACCEP Cl 185 Fetz, Brian Comment T A line w an acce	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximum Remedy line width value PT IN PRINCIPLI e using the response SC 185.9.1 SC 185.9.1 yidth of 30kHz is eptable maximum Remedy	not available on current ge n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta <i>Response Status</i> C E. onse to comment #143. <i>P</i> 538 Keysight Teo <i>Comment Status</i> A not available on current ge	able <i>L</i> 12 chnologies neration test equ	ipment and 100kHz is # 143 TQM	comme DR1s i incorre Suggested Chang to 4.2d one in Response ACCEI	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA oute B in Table 181-6 Table 180-8 " N PT IN PRINCIPL	ed value is inc ile. "What is r of each aggr 6. Add a foo o aggressors <i>Response</i> _E.	esor lane from othote to this ro needed for 200 Status C	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB. w in Table 181-1	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD 6 that is smilar to the
A line w an acce Suggestedf change Response ACCEP Cl 185 Fetz, Brian Comment T A line w an acce	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximum Remedy line width value PT IN PRINCIPLI e using the response SC 185.9.1 SC 185.9.1 yidth of 30kHz is eptable maximum Remedy	not available on current gen n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta <i>Response Status</i> C E. onse to comment #143. <i>P</i> 538 Keysight Teo <i>Comment Status</i> A not available on current gen n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta	able <i>L</i> 12 chnologies neration test equ	ipment and 100kHz is # 143 TQM	comme DR1s i incorre Suggested Chang to 4.2d one in Response ACCEI	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA oute B in Table 181-6 Table 180-8 " N PT IN PRINCIPL	ed value is inc ile. "What is r of each aggr 6. Add a foo o aggressors <i>Response</i> _E.	esor lane from othote to this ro needed for 200 Status C	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB. w in Table 181-1	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD 6 that is smilar to the
A line w an acce Suggestedf change Response ACCEP Resolve Cl 185 Fetz, Brian Comment T A line w an acce Suggestedf change Response	vidth of 30kHz is eptable maximum Remedy line width value PT IN PRINCIPLI e using the response SC 185.9.1 SC 185.9.1 yidth of 30kHz is eptable maximum Remedy	not available on current gen n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta <i>Response Status</i> C E. onse to comment #143. <i>P</i> 538 Keysight Tea <i>Comment Status</i> A not available on current gen n value. from 30kHz to 100kHz in ta <i>Response Status</i> C	able <i>L</i> 12 chnologies neration test equ	ipment and 100kHz is # 143 TQM	comme DR1s i incorre Suggested Chang to 4.2d one in Response ACCEI	n a single modu ct? <i>Remedy</i> e the OMA oute B in Table 181-6 Table 180-8 " N PT IN PRINCIPL	ed value is inc ile. "What is r of each aggr 6. Add a foo o aggressors <i>Response</i> _E.	esor lane from othote to this ro needed for 200 Status C	/4/8 and would of for saying the p 2.9dB to 4.2dB. w in Table 181-1	only apply to multiple proposed value is Change this from TBD 6 that is smilar to the

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 36 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

C/ 182	SC 182.7.1	P 430	L 43	# 146
Dudek, Mike	9	Marvell		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		TDECQ

The value of TDECQ is TBD. Other specifications are related to this. Having a value that can be confirmed later moves the project forward. A presentation in support of this will be provided.

SuggestedRemedy

ChangeTDECQ(max) TBD to 3.4dB to match DR spec. Also Change TECQ(max) to 3.4dB, TDECQ-TECQ to 2.5dB, Stessed eye closure in table 182-8 to 3.4dB and stressed receiver sensitivity to -1.5dBm, (or -2.2dBm if another comment that reduces the OMAouter is accepted). In table 182-9 change the allocation for penalties to 3.8dB and the Power budget (for max TDECQ) to 7.8dB. Note that the proposed value of 3.4dB is matching the value where the curves stop in figures 182-3 and 182-4. If a different value is chosen these figures would need to be modified. Add an editor's note below table 182-7 "Editor's note (to be removed by D2.0): The maximum value of TDECQ is 3.4 dB. This maximum value and related specifications may need adjustment if receivers have trouble with this value of TECQ calculated with the higher value of SER used in this clause. Further study of this area is encouraged.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The following presentations were reviewed by the CRG: rodes 01

Response Status C

welch_01 dudek_01 dhiasi 03

Straw poll TF-3 (direction)

I support the following SER value to be used for TDECQ/TECQ for the 2km PMDs defined in Clause 182 and 183. A: 9.6E-3

B: 4.8E-4

A: 45 B: 24

Straw poll TF-4 (direction)

I support setting the TDECQ and TECQ maximum values to 3.4 dB each for the 2km PMDs defined in Clause 182. Yes: 50 No: 6

Straw poll TF-5 (direction) I support setting the TDECQ and TECQ maximum values to 3.4 dB each for the 2km PMDs defined in Clause 183. Yes: 49 No: 6 Per straw poll TF-3 there was consensus to leave the SER value for the 2km PMDs defined in clauses 182 and 183.

Implement the DR1-2 values in the proposed column of slide 10 of dudek_01 for all the PMDs defined in clause 182 with the exception of OMAouter of each aggressor lane to be addressed in another comment.

Implement the FR4 values in the proposed column of slide 10 of dudek_01 for 800GBASE-FR4.

With editorial license.

C/ 183	SC 183.7.1	P 480	L 34	# 147
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status A		TDECQ

The value of TDECQ for FR4 is TBD. Other specifications are related to this. Having a value that can be confirmed later moves the project forward. A presentation in support of this comment will be provided.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 183-6 ChangeTDECQ(max) TBD to 3.4dB. Also Change TECQ(max) to 3.4dB, and the inequality in the conditions on page480 line 29 from TBD to 3.4dB. TDECQ-TECQ to 2.5dB, Stessed eye closure in table 183-7 to 3.4dB and stressed receiver sensitivity to - 1.2dBm. In table 183-8 change the allocation for penalties to 3.9dB and the Power budget (for max TDECQ) to 7.9dB. Delete the editor's notes on page 481 line 35 and page 483 line 26. Add an editor's note below table 183-6 "Editor's note (to be removed by D2.0): The maximum value of TDECQ is 3.4 dB. This maximum value and related specifications may need adjustment if receivers have trouble with this value of TECQ calculated with the higher value of SER used in this clause. Further study of this area is encouraged.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #146.

C/ 183	SC 183.7.3	P483	L 52	# 148
0/ 103	00 103.7.3	/ 485	LJZ	# 140
Dudek, Mi	ke	Marvell		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Power budget
		-8 is incorrect. When calcul ion for MPI and DGD penalti		
Suggested	dRemedy			
Chang 0.5dB	5	e allocation for MPI and DGD	penalties in foo	tnote f from 0.4dB to

Response Status **C**

ACCEPT.

Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 148

Page 37 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

Even if the package class is known of a transmitter of unknown S parameters it is only The PCS known what the maximum package loss might be. The package loss of the specific port of the package being used could have maybe 8dB less loss than this maximum loss. This would result in the interference test being performed with 8dB too little loss which is The PCS unacceptable. SuggestedRemedy Delete this option. If another Response Response Status C ACCEPT. P644 L1 # 150 Proposed Response PROPOS						
Comment TypeTRComment Status ARX ItolComment TypeEven if the package class is known of a transmitter of unknown S parameters it is only known what the maximum package loss might be. The package loss of the specific port of the package being used could have maybe 8dB less loss than this maximum loss. This would result in the interference test being performed with 8dB too little loss which is unacceptable.Comment TypeComment TypeSuggestedRemedy Delete this option.Response Status CIf another replace th the test." i.e "stream each laneIf another replace the the test." i.e "stream each laneCl 174ASC 174A.6.1.5P644L1# 150Dudek, MikeMarvellError ratio PCSComment TypeTRComment Status DError ratio PCSThis subsection describes a method that only works for the complete PCS to PCS link and should not be included in 174A.6 whose title is "inter-sublayer links" and whose first sentence says "This subclause defines test methods for an ISL (see 178B.2) with 200 Gb/sComment Type	C/ 178	SC 178.9.3.3	B P327	L 53	# 149	C/ 174A S
Even if the package class is known of a transmitter of unknown S parameters it is only known what the maximum package loss might be. The package loss of the specific port of the package being used could have maybe 8dB less loss than this maximum loss. This would result in the interference test being performed with 8dB too little loss which is unacceptable. The PCS SuggestedRemedy Ianother Ianother Delete this option. Response Response Status C If another If another If another replace th Ianother Ianother C/ 174A SC 174A.6.1.5 P644 L1 # 150 Dudek, Mike Marvell Marvell Proposed Res Comment Type TR Comment Status D Error ratio PCS This subsection describes a method that only works for the complete PCS to PCS link and should not be included in 174A.6 whose title is "inter-sublayer links" and whose first sentence says "This subclause defines test methods for an ISL (see 178B.2) with 200 Gb/s Suggested Res	Dudek, Mil	ke	Marvell			Dudek, Mike
known what the maximum package loss might be. The package loss of the specific port of the package being used could have maybe 8dB less loss than this maximum loss. This would result in the interference test being performed with 8dB too little loss which is Interference SuggestedRemedy Delete this option. If another Response Response Status C If another ACCEPT. If another If another If each lane Cl 174A SC 174A.6.1.5 P644 L1 # 150 Dudek, Mike Marvell Marvell Proposed Res Comment Type TR Comment Status D Error ratio PCS This subsection describes a method that only works for the complete PCS to PCS link and should not be included in 174A.6 whose title is "inter-sublayer links" and whose first sentence says "This subclause defines test methods for an ISL (see 178B.2) with 200 Gb/s SuggestedRes	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		RX Itol	Comment Typ
SuggestedRemedy If another replace th the test." Delete this option. Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. CI 174A SC 174A.6.1.5 P644 L1 # 150 Dudek, Mike Marvell PROPOS Resolve u Comment Type TR Comment Status D Error ratio PCS This subsection describes a method that only works for the complete PCS to PCS link and should not be included in 174A.6 whose title is "inter-sublayer links" and whose first sentence says "This subclause defines test methods for an ISL (see 178B.2) with 200 Gb/s Vite 200 Gb/s	known of the would	what the maxim package being u result in the inte	num package loss might be. Ised could have maybe 8dB le	The package lo ess loss than thi	ess of the specific port s maximum loss. This	The PCS in noise to express to express to express the symbols in lanes that
Delete this option. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 174A SC 174A.6.1.5 P644 L1 # 150 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status D Error ratio PCS This subsection describes a method that only works for the complete PCS to PCS link and should not be included in 174A.6 whose title is "inter-sublayer links" and whose first sentence says "This subclause defines test methods for an ISL (see 178B.2) with 200 Gb/s	Suaaestea	IRemedv				
Response Response Status C i.e "stream ACCEPT. Ise Science i.e "stream Cl 174A SC 174A.6.1.5 P644 L1 # 150 Proposed Res Dudek, Mike Marvell Marvell PROPOS Resolve u Comment Type TR Comment Status D Error ratio PCS Resolve u This subsection describes a method that only works for the complete PCS to PCS link and should not be included in 174A.6 whose title is "inter-sublayer links" and whose first sentence says "This subclause defines test methods for an ISL (see 178B.2) with 200 Gb/s Status D	00	2				replace th
C/ 174A SC 174A.6.1.5 P644 L1 # 150 PROPOS Dudek, Mike Marvell Resolve u Resolve u Comment Type TR Comment Status D Error ratio PCS This subsection describes a method that only works for the complete PCS to PCS link and should not be included in 174A.6 whose title is "inter-sublayer links" and whose first sentence says "This subclause defines test methods for an ISL (see 178B.2) with 200 Gb/s	•	PT.	Response Status C			the test." i.e "strear each lane
Dudek, Mike Marvell PROPOS Comment Type TR Comment Status D Error ratio PCS This subsection describes a method that only works for the complete PCS to PCS link and should not be included in 174A.6 whose title is "inter-sublayer links" and whose first sentence says "This subclause defines test methods for an ISL (see 178B.2) with 200 Gb/s PROPOS	CL 174A	SC 174A 6 1	5 P644	/ 1	# 150	Proposed Res
This subsection describes a method that only works for the complete PCS to PCS link and should not be included in 174A.6 whose title is "inter-sublayer links" and whose first sentence says "This subclause defines test methods for an ISL (see 178B.2) with 200 Gb/s				<i>L</i> I	# 150	PROPOSI Resolve u
should not be included in 174A.6 whose title is "inter-sublayer links" and whose first sentence says "This subclause defines test methods for an ISL (see 178B.2) with 200 Gb/s	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		Error ratio PCS	
	should senter	not be included ice says "This si	in 174A.6 whose title is "inter ubclause defines test method	r-sublayer links"	and whose first	

SuggestedRemedy

Separate this procedure into a separate subclause (174A.7 renumbering the other subclauses). Rewrite the section to use FEC symbols and the code-word error counters rather than just 10-bit symbols.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #210.

C/ 174A	SC 174A.6.1.5	P 644	L 30	# 151
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status D		Error ratio PCS

is working on a per interface level rather than a per lane basis therefore applying each of the n lanes one at a time will not result in there being 16 or more errored in the 544 symbols (as three guarter of these 16 symbols will be distributed across at would have no or very few errors).

emedy

r comment is accepted to use FEC symbols and the code-word error counters his note with "Note- for this test method noise must be added to all the lanes for If the other comment is not accepted then better describe what "streams" are ams of bits on each physical lane" and make the blocks being 544 symbols on e. The Block error ratio will then be the average of NE/NT of each of the lanes.

esponse Response Status W

SED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. using the response to comment #210.

C/ 174A	SC 174A.8	P 645	L 9	# 152
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Typ	pe TR	Comment Status A		Error ratio budget

The BER allocated per sublayer in the 200G C2C is 0.08e-4. However the allocation for the 100G or lower C2C AUI that can be part of the Phy is 0.1e-4.

SuggestedRemedy

Either change the allocation for the C2C AUI's to 0.1e-4 reducing the PMD allocation to 2.24e-4 for the optical PHYs and 2.72e-4 for the electrical PHYs and change the BER added in the optical clauses to 6.8e-5 for PMA to PMA and 3.4e-5 for the measurements at the PCS or Add a footnote to the use of clauses 120B and 120D and 120F in Table 180-1 and the equivalent tables in the other PMD clauses (178,179, 181,etc) Stating. "Useable without restriction in extenders. If 120B, 120D or 120F C2C links are used in the main link the DER0 used in the common calculation for the channel is reduced from 1e-5 to 0.67e-5.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the following with editorial license.

In the tables that define the sublayers that may be used for a PHY, e.g., Table 180-1 for all 200G PMD clauses, add a footnote to the use of clauses 120B, 120D, and 120F stating (with some rewording)...

"If 120B, 120D, or 120F C2C AUI are used in a PHY which also uses a C2M AUI as defined in Annex 176D, the DER0 used in the COM calculation for the channel is reduced from 1e-5 to 0.67e-5."

The editorial team is encouraged to consider other ways to implement this that does not proliferate a large number of footnotes.

[Editor's note: CC: many]

C/ 176C S	SC 176C.2	P 678	L11	# 153
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)

Figure 176D-2 is still confusing. The boxes around what are called components don't include the package, which is part of what is being called a component in the text.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from "C2C component transmitter" and "C2C component receiver" to "C2C transmitter" and "C2C receiver" or "C2C transmitter device" and "C2C receiver device" or less preferred "C2C transmit function" and "C2C receive function" (as used in figure 178-2)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the text to "C2C transmitter' and 'C2C receiver'.

C/ 176C S	C 176C.4.3.1	P681	L 18	# 154
Dudek, Mike		Marvell		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		(bucket)

The only references to a PMA management function in 802.3dj are in clause 186 which isn't relevant to this AUI interface. The correct control function to be used for this C2C interface is the same as the one used in Clauses 178 and 179. The reference to the description is blank.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the sentence. "The transmitter output may be manipulated using the control function or PMA management

interface as described in ."

Add a new paragraph "The transmitter output may be manipulated using the Type E1 Inter Sublayer link training function as described in Annex 178B.10

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 176C SC 1	76C.4.4	P 685	L 45	# 155	C/ 176D	SC 1	176D.7.12.	4 <i>P</i> 714	L 37	# 158
Dudek, Mike		Marvell			Dudek, Mil	ke		Marvell		
Comment Type	T Com	ment Status A			tol Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		(bucketp)
The insertion lo	oss should includ	de the package as is	done for clause	178.	It would	ld be go	od to clarif	/ that Preset 1 is maximum	amplitude.	
SuggestedRemedy					Suggested	Remed	ly			
replace the foo	tnote b to table 1	176C-4 with footnote	b to table 178-1	9				he DUT transmit a scramble		
Response	Respo	onse Status C						to transmitters in the DUT to equalization turned off (pre		
ACCEPT IN PF	RINCIPLE.				Response			Response Status C	Set 1 condition,	
Change the tax	a of footnote b of	f Table 1760 4 to ali	n with factactal	of Toble 179 10 with			RINCIPLE	•		
editorial license			jn with toothote	o of Table 178-10, with	Preset			nd can be used as the spec	cification, with it	ts explanation in
C/ 176D SC 1	76D.5.6	P 703	L10	# 156		e from				
Dudek, Mike		Marvell				nitters i t 1 conc		transmit a scrambled idle p	attern with equa	alization turned off
Comment Type	TR Com	ment Status A		single-ended toleran	u u					
		tolerance range of -0 1.05V seems incorre		DC common-mode			n the DUT zation)".	transmit a scrambled idle p	attern at preset	1 (maximum amplitude
SuggestedRemedy					C/ 178B	SC 1	178B.4.2	P 742	L 49	# 159
Change the sin	gle ended voltag	ge tolerance range to	-0.4 to 1.4V		Dudek, Mil	ke		Marvell		
Response	Respo	onse Status C			Comment		т	Comment Status D		(bucketp)
ACCEPT.							be availab	le in one interface" doesn't	make sense.	
0/ 4707 00 4		0744	101	"	Suggested	Remed	lv			
	76D.6.12.1	P 711	L 34	# 157	00		,	be available from one inter	face"	
Dudek, Mike		Marvell			Proposed	, Resnon	ise	Response Status W		
,		ment Status A		(bucke	ιp) '		ACCEPT.			
Incomplete ser in the editor's n		s to be completed to	make the test co	mplete as pointed our		OOLD /				
SuggestedRemedy										
		d then delete the edit	or's note)							
Response	```									
ACCEPT.	Respo	onse Status C								
AUGEPT.										

C/ 178B SC	C 178B.4.3	P 744	L 2	# 160	C/ 178	SC 178.1		P 314	L 36	# 163
Dudek, Mike		Marvell			Dudek, Mike		N	larvell		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		Extender	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Sta	atus A		50 or 100 pp
and these p	aths will be b	eans that a link including ext prought up independently an the co-ordination of moving	d move to data	mode independently. If	tolerance	whereas the	20PMA is allowed tolerance for the			
needs to be					SuggestedRe					
SuggestedRem	edy						clause 120PMA s etween PCSs the			
		mode independently is OK.					ame footnote to al			
	of the move to the are encourt	data mode between an ext	ender and the m	nain path is desirable	Response		Response Sta	atus C		
Proposed Resp		Response Status W			ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP	LE.			
PROPOSE The differer	D REJECT. ht paths are in	ndependent as described. Note is not required for techr	ical completene	ss of the draft.	transmitte	er.	ested remedy is t			
C/ 179A S(C 179A.4	P774	L12	# 161						alent statement, with sponding tables in all
Dudek, Mike		Marvell	- 12	" 101	PMD clau			# 102, 101 till		oponding tableo in an
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		(bucket)	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.2	13b	P 90	L51	# 164
		able 179A-1 as stated in th	e text.		He, Xiang			luawei	-••	
SuggestedRem	edv				Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment St			(bucke
Change TP	5 to TP5d						newly added "alig	gn_status" v	ariable, see 177.	4.1 and 177.11. It
Response		Response Status C				confusing to eive direction.		3b since the	e registers now in	the table are for Inner
ACCEPT.					SuggestedRe	emedy				
C/ 179D SC	C 179D.1.1	P 805	L15	# 162			new row above "I	nner FEC lo	ck 7" for the "alig	n_status" in 177.4.1
Dudek, Mike		Marvell			and 177. Bit(s) / N	ame / Descrip	otion / R/W			
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		Cable assemblies						transmit direction / RO
		o have the QSFP-DD1600 t				ige "1.2401.1	5:8" to "1.2401.1		st row.	
		otherwise it is implied that for ed for 200GBASE-CR1.	or some reason	that connector	Response		Response Sta	atus C		
SuggestedRem						IN PRINCIP		s so use hite	1 2401 15 to 1 1	2401.8 for "Inner FEC
00		1600 to OSFP1600 with 8 s	upportable PMD	S	alignmen			.5 50 050 bit	5 1.2401.10 10 1.	
Response		Response Status C			Add new	bit definitions	s of the form: "1.2	401.8 / Inne	r FEC alignment	0 / 1 = lane 0 is
		,			aligned /				g	
		d remedy with editorial licen	90							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 164

Page 41 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

0/ 477	00.4		Deer		"	01 474	00.4		D.(07		# 407
C/ 177	SC 17	7.8	P 305	L15	# 165	C/ 171	SC 1	/1.8	P187	L17	# 167
He, Xiang			Huawei			Huber, The			Nokia		
and a the fol 200GI	constrain conservat lowing de E/400GE/8	tive estim lay const 800GE/1.	Comment Status A D. Based on convolutional in nation of Inner FEC decoding raints in number of pause_q 6TE, respectively:	g latency of 51.2		functic Suggested	dditional on is not IRemedy	part of cl	Comment Status R he enhanced_ptp_accuracy_ lause 172, but instead is part	t of 186.	•
Suggested In Tab	le 177-5	Use 130/	/150/190/270 pause_quanta te the rest based on these n		GE/800GE/1.6TE,	enhan desira colum	ced_ptp ble, cha	_accurac nge the ti same wa	e for PHY 800GXS to Clause y_enable information, or, if a itle of Table 171-2 to refer to y, and include in each row th	a separate table add "and Claus	with a single row is not se 196", change the last
Response			Response Status C			Response			Response Status C		
Basec https:/ Repla 116 fo 138 fo 182 fo	/www.ieee	ssion of s e802.org/	slides 20 and 21 of '3/dj/public/24_11/nicholl_3d nta values in Table 177-5 wit			specific reside based in Clause "[PCS] and in	PHY_XS cally relation Clause on RAM use 186 e 186. To PHY_X the 800	ated to co se 171, i. IL in the to contro o enable S]_enhar	ed_ptp_accuracy_enable" co ontrolling the aspects of the e generating TAML in the tra receive direction. There is a I the aspects of the "enhance this feature a user would hav oced_ptp_accuracy_enable" ER1 PCS. No changes are re cussion.	"enhanced_ptp nsmit direction separte and ec ed_ptp_accurac ve to set the control variable	_accuracy" feature that and inserting AMs uivalent control variable cy" feature that reside in s in both the PHY XS
and up	odate the	other val	ues based on these pause q	uanta values.		C/ 171	SC 1	71.9.4.1	P 196	L 50	# 168
Remo	ve the edi	itor's note	e in subclause 177.8.			Huber, Tho <i>Comment</i>		т	Nokia Comment Status A		(bucketp)
at the betwe Suggestee	<i>Type</i> can be" wa bottom of en the two dRemedy similar ex	T as chang f the prec o rates.	P177 Nokia Comment Status A ed to "may be" in D1.2, but t eding page is still "can be", ublayer clauses also use "ca	making the wor	ding inconsistent	The P an 800 the M Suggested Add a Response ACCE	TP accu OGBASE DIO varia <i>IRemed</i> y PICS ite PT IN P	racy feat -ER1 PC able can y em for 'su RINCIPL	ure should be a PICS item the SS (i.e., we want all implement turn it on or off if users prefer upports the enhanced PTP and Response Status C	ntations to have r to not use it). ccuracy' feature	I on being connected to the feature available;
Response ACCE			Response Status C								

C/ 180	SC 180.8.	3 P 405	L 36	# 169
Huber, Thor	nas	Nokia		
Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status R		Breakout

The 'breakout applications' in Annex 180A are creating additional MDIs for the lower speed DRn PHYs. The text in this clause needs to be more clear that there are multiple MDIs. In cases where there is only one PMD using an MPO connector, we specify exactly what fiber positions are used to carry which lanes as part of the definition of the MDI. That property must also be true when a single connector provides the MDI for multiple PMDs. E.g., it's not any arbitrary set of four positions in a 12-position MPO that can compose an MDI for a 400GBASE-DR2; there are two specific sets of four positions that can do that on a module that has 800G of capacity, and only one set on a module that has 400G of capacity.

SuggestedRemedy

Rework clause 180.8.3 (and 182.8.3) to indicate that there are multiple MDIs based on different connectors and module capacities, and point to annex 180A for the details. Move the information about the mapping of PMD signals to fiber positions in the connectors and the other details about the MDIs to an annex so they don't have to be replicated in 180 and 182.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Resolve using the response to comment #188.

C/ 180	SC 180.9.1	P 41	0 L9	# 170
Huber, The	omas	Nokia		
	le 180-16, the cro correct; PRBS130		PRBS31Q, PRBS	<i>(bucket)</i> 13Q, and SSPRQ patterns n 120.5.11.2.2, SSPRQ in
Suggested Correc	<i>IRemedy</i> t the references.			
	PT IN PRINCIPL nent the suggest	Response Status E. ed remedy with editori		

C/ 181	SC	181.9.1	P 434	L1	7 #	171
Huber, The	omas		Nokia			
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status	4		(bucke
	correct	; PRBS13Q	ss-references for the last is defined in 120.5.1			
Suggested	lReme	dy				
Correc	t the r	eferences.				
Response			Response Status	;		
		PRINCIPLE	 remedy with editoria 	al license		
C/ 182	SC	182.9.1	P 46 3	L9	#	172
Huber, The	omas		Nokia			
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status	A		(bucke
			ss-references for the l defined in 120.5.11.2.1			rns are
Suggested	lReme	dy				
Correc	t the r	eferences.				
Response			Response Status	2		
		PRINCIPLE				
C/ 183	SC	183.9.1	P 48 8	L9	#	173
Huber, The	omas		Nokia			
Comment	Type	т	Comment Status	A		(bucke
	correct	; PRBS13Q	ss-references for the l is defined in 120.5.1			
Suggested	lReme	dy				
Correc	t the r	eferences.				
Response			Response Status	;		
Impler [matt]	nent th impler	PRINCIPLE ne suggeste nent what? ording	d remedy with editoria	al license		

<u></u>				
C/ 184	SC 184.4.3	P 500	L17	# 174
Huber, The	omas	Nokia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)
pcsla[q,i] is defined bot	h here and in the first bullet a	at line 21, using s	lightly different words.
Suggested	dRemedy the sentence at	line 17		
Delete	e the sentence at			
Response		Response Status C		
ACCE	PT.			
C/ 184	SC 184.4.9	P 505	L15	# 175
Huber, The	omas	Nokia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)
		184-4 (in 184.4.11.1) both sh rs, the second as 4-level sig		

table shows it as bit pairs, the second as 4-level signal values as defined by the mapping in Table 184-3. It seems unncessary to duplicate the information in both formats. The concept of the pilot sequence needs to be introduced in 184.4.9, at least up thorugh Table 184-1 with the generator polynomial and seeds. Some of the information in 184.4.11.1 is also useful to understand, ie., that the values of the pilot sequence are chosen such that they will produce symbols that use the 'outer' points of the constellation, but otherwise the information in 184.4.11.1 seems unnecessary since 184.4.11 is about mapping bit pairs to symbols, and that mapping is itself the same for all bits in the DSP frame

SuggestedRemedy

Insert this text in 184.4.9, following table 184-1:

The bit-pairs that compose the pilot sequence are shown in table 184-2. They are selected such that they will produce symbols that use the outer 16QAM constellation points, as shown in figure 184-2.

Move figure 184-7 to be above table 184-2.

Delete clause 184.4.11.1.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

	SC 18	37.3.1.2.1	P	597	L 38	# 176
Huber, Tho	mas		Noki	а		
Comment	Туре '	т	Comment Status	5 A		(bucke
	mes of th ASE-ER		components wer	e chanç	ged from X and Y to	A and B in the
Suggested Chang		r to A and	В			
Response			– Response Status	C		
,	PT IN PR	INCIPLE.		U		
Resolv	e using t	he respon	se to comment #	421.		
C/ 187	SC 18	87.5.1	P	598	L 47	# 177
Huber, Tho	mas		Noki	а		
Comment [·]	Туре .	т	Comment Status	6 A		(bucl
are de	0					for the transmitter
Suggested In the t	fined. <i>Remedy</i> text ". all	transmitte				ade at TP2.", insert
Suggested In the t	fined. <i>Remedy</i> text ". all	transmitte 9" betwee	r measurements	and tes		
Suggested In the t "187.8 Response ACCEI	fined. <i>Remedy</i> æxt ". all and 187. PT IN PR	transmitte 9" betwee	r measurements n "in" and "are"	and tes	s defined in are ma	
Suggested In the t "187.8 Response ACCEI	fined. <i>Remedy</i> æxt ". all and 187. PT IN PR	transmitte 9" betwee INCIPLE. gested rem	r measurements n "in" and "are" <i>Response Status</i> nedy with editoria	and tes	s defined in are ma	
Suggested In the t "187.8 Response ACCEI Implen	Fined. Remedy text ". all 1 and 187. PT IN PR hent sugg SC 18	transmitte 9" betwee INCIPLE. gested rem	r measurements n "in" and "are" <i>Response Status</i> nedy with editoria	and tes C I license	s defined in are ma	ade at TP2.", insert
Suggested In the t "187.8 Response ACCEI Implen Cl 187 Huber, Tho Comment	fined. Remedy ext ". all : and 187. PT IN PR nent sugg SC 18 omas Type	transmitte 9" betwee INCIPLE. gested rem 87.5.1	r measurements n "in" and "are" Response Status nedy with editoria P	and tes C I license 599 a S A	s defined in are ma a. L 33	ade at TP2.", insert
Suggested In the t "187.8 Response ACCEI Implen C/ 187 Huber, Tho Comment In figur	fined. Remedy eext ". all and 187. PT IN PR nent sugg SC 18 omas Type re 187-5,	transmitte 9" betwee INCIPLE. gested rem 87.5.1	r measurements n "in" and "are" Response Status nedy with editoria Person Noki Comment Status	and tes C I license 599 a S A	s defined in are ma a. L 33	ade at TP2.", insert # 178
Suggested In the f "187.8 Response ACCEI Implen Cl 187 Huber, Tho Comment In figur Suggested	Fined. Remedy ext ". all and 187. PT IN PR nent sugg SC 18 SC 18 omas Type re 187-5, Remedy	transmitte 9" betwee INCIPLE. gested rem 37.5.1 T the receiv	r measurements n "in" and "are" Response Status nedy with editoria Person Noki Comment Status	and tes C I license 599 a S A vo sets	s defined in are ma a. L 33	ade at TP2.", insert # 178
Suggested In the t "187.8 Response ACCEI Implen Cl 187 Huber, Tho Comment In figur Suggested Chang Response	fined. <i>Remedy</i> text ". all 1 and 187. PT IN PR nent sugg <i>SC</i> 18 omas <i>Type</i> re 187-5, <i>Remedy</i> e the sec	transmitte 9" betwee CINCIPLE. gested rem 87.5.1 T the receiv	r measurements n "in" and "are" Response Status hedy with editoria Pe Noki Comment Status e signals show tw	and tes C I license 599 a S A vo sets I BQ	s defined in are ma a. L 33	ade at TP2.", insert # 178

C/ 187 SC 187.5.2	P 600	L 4	# 179	C/ 180A SC	180A	P 807	L 10	# 182
luber, Thomas	Nokia			Huber, Thomas		Nokia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		Annex 1804
DP16QAM symbols. T	2 needs to be modified - the P he table is indicating how those	se analog signals		What we cal The title sho		t" is really about alternative MI that.	DIs for PMDs the	at use parallel fibers.
	o the inputs to the modulator.			SuggestedReme	edy			
SuggestedRemedy				Change the	title to "Su	pport of multiple PMDs in a sin	ngle multi-positio	on connector"
Change the title to "All	owed analog signal to moduat	tor input mapping	gs"	Response		Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPI	LE.		
				Resolve usin	ng the resp	conse to comment #312.		
C/ 187 SC 187.5.3 Huber, Thomas	Р 600 Nokia	L 25	# 180	C/ 180A SC	0 180A.1	P 807	L15	# 183
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		(bucketp)	Huber, Thomas		Nokia		
51	t, both polarizations are being	identified as A	(δάσκειρ)	Comment Type	т	Comment Status R		Annex 180
SuggestedRemedy Change the second AI	and AQ to BI and BQ			should als be	e more cle	e clear that this annex applies ear that new MDIs are being sp er comments)		
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedReme	edy			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	_E.			Rewrite the o				
Resolve using the resp	oonse to comment #421.			uses multiple	e fiber paiı	how a multi-position conncetor rs can also be used to provide bsets of those fiber pairs to ea	the MDIs for mu	ultiple lower speed
C/ 187 SC 187.8.1	P606	L14	# 181	Response	0	Response Status C		
luber, Thomas	Nokia			REJECT.		, -		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Test pattern	Deserves				
	in Table 187-10 is not aligned ts to PRBS31 rather than srat		tion of test patterns in	Resolve usin	ng the resp	oonse to comment #188.		
SuggestedRemedy								
Change the table to de	escribe PRBS31 and point to c	ause 186.2.3.1	3.					
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	-E.							
Implement suggested	remedy with editorial license.							

C/ 180A	SC 180A.2	P 807	L 24	# 184	C/ 180A	SC 18	0A.4	P809	L 1	# 186
Huber, Tho	omas	Nokia			Huber, Tho	mas		Nokia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R		Annex 180A	Comment T	уре -	г	Comment Status R		Annex 180A
being b		and third paragraphs makes wer speed ones, which is not			positio	n connec	tor. Th	reworked to be a complete sp is would include the informati tly in 180.8.3		
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy				
	e the text:				Reorga	nize the	materia	al as described.		
		ctor provides the MDI for PMI is connector can also be used			Response			Response Status C		
	PMDs by alloca	ting groups of one, two, or for			REJEC	T.				
•					Resolv	e using tl	ne resp	onse to comment #188		
		ctor provides the MDI for PMI h either 8 or 4 positions, respe			C/ 179C	SC 17	9C.3.1	P802	L 8	# 187
		e the MDIs for multiple lower sas the MDI for each lower spe		allocating groups of	D'Ambrosia	, John		Futurewei, U.S	S. Subsidiary o	f Huawei
	two liber pairs a	· ·	ed PiviD.		Comment T	уре .	TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)
Response REJEC		Response Status C			Refere	ike cut / nce to Ar PMDs ai	nex 16	2C is incorrect for Annex 179	C.3.1	
Resolv	e using the resp	conse to comment #188.			Suggested	Remedy				
C/ 180A	SC 180A.3	P 807	L 35	# 185	Correct	1st sent				
Huber, Tho	omas	Nokia			The su for	pplier of	a proto	col implementation that is cla	med to confori	n to Annex 179C, MDIs
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R		Annex 180A		ASE-CR	1, 400G	BASE-CR2, 800GBASE-CR	1, and 1.6TBA	SE-CR8 shall complete
		reworked to be a complete sp his would include the informat				owing pro entation		nance statement (PICS) prof	orma.	
positio	ns that is currer	ntly in 180.8.3			Response			Response Status C		
Suggested	Remedy					PT IN PR	-			
Reorga	anize the materi	al as described.						needs to be updated. emedy and update the PICS	itoms with odit	orial license and
Response		Response Status C			discreti		coleu I	chiedy and update the FICO		
REJEC	CT.									
Resolv	e using the resi	conse to comment #188.								
	U									

C/ 180A S	C 180A	P 807	L1	# 188	C/ 178	SC	178.9.2.1.	.1 P 323	L 36	# 190
D'Ambrosia, Jol	hn	Futurewei, U.S	6. Subsidiary of	Huawei	Mellitz, Ri	chard		Samtec		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		Annex 1804	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		TF ILdd
breakout im Additionally despite the	plementati , Clauses 1 annex ther	en in an ethernet standards ap on, and doesn't address the M 180 and 182 are making norma n providing additinoal MDI Con	IDI choices of the ative statements	e DRx / DRx-2.	meas paran of 5 p	uremen neter me s is use	ts are requestion to the request to	ontent needs to extend beyon uired for this test fixture for E nts when computing COM for computation and is trending ten to minimize the Gibbs Ph	RL. This fixture receiver comp to around 4 ps	e is also required for s- liance. A transition time for COM. A frequency
179C.	nex 180A u	sing the approach for CR MDI	s used in Clause	e 179 and Annex	error error. 85 Gł	due to tl Conside Iz with a	his for ERI er the data a BT filter	or COM computation. Filte has a sinc response, the loc is about 10 dB which is just a e loss difference between 53	ring can help, h ss difference of about amount o	however, there is still an between 53 GHz and f filtering need to
Response		Response Status C						this error.		
REJECT.					Suggeste	dReme	dy			
https://www	.ieee802.o	mbrosia_02a rg/3/dj/public/24_11/dambrosia	_ ,		to 0.2	nagnitu dB fron	n 0.05 GH	nsertion loss deviation of the z to 85 GHz. Insertion loss d 5 ns, and fb and fr values are	eviation is calcu	ulated as specified in
proposed b	y dambrosi	to use the approach used by a _02a there is insufficient detaired proposal is encour	ail in the presen		Response ACCE		PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
C/ 178 S	C 178.9.2.1	I.1 P323	L 35	# 189	Reso	ve using	g the respo	onse to comment #65.		
Mellitz, Richard		Samtec			C/ 178	SC	178.9.2.1.	2 P324	L 23	# 191
Comment Type		Comment Status A		TF IL, delay	Mellitz, Ri	chard		Samtec		
		the delay for the test fixture n. . That is because there will b			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		TF ERL
equipment.	The idea s	hould be to add enough loss s the effects of test equipment	o as not to sign		about	20 dB ı	may be ve	naybe minimal, 10 dB may b ry good. Since ERL was sc fixture should be the same a	aled with T_r th	
SuggestedRem	edy								S III 003.3CK.	
delay betwe	en 500 an	ne test fixture shall be betweer d 650 ps. (based on 1.2 dB /in			<i>Suggeste</i> Chan The E	ge to:	-	be greater than or equal to 1	5 dB.	
approximat	ely 3.2)				Response)		Response Status C		
Response		Response Status C			ACCE	EPT IN I	PRINCIPL	E.		
ACCEPT IN	N PRINCIPI	_E.			_					
					Roco	VA LICINA	a the receiv	onse to comment #66.		

C/ 178 SC	178.9.2.1.2	P 324	L17	# 192	C/ 178A S	SC 178A.1.3	P 724	L15	# 194
/lellitz, Richard		Samtec			Mellitz, Richar	d	Samtec		
Comment Type	TR Comme	ent Status A		TF Nbx	Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status R	S-µ	parameter measuremer
in IEEE802.3 SuggestedRemed Relace with th Equalizer leng Response ACCEPT IN F	ck. <i>dy</i> he row 5 with: gth associated with r <i>Respon</i> s	eflection signal: N se Status C		with measurement as	described conversion computati There can however, difference about amo and 67 GH extrapolat	in equation 1 on for the ERL on. A frequen be significant there is still ar of between 5 ount of filtering dz is about 4 c on is used ex	to convert frequency doma 78A-11. A source transition t computation and is trending cy range needs to be chose error due to this for ERL or nerror. Consider the data ha 3 GHz and 85 GHz with a B 9 need to minimize this error 4B which is likely to start sho tend to the time step frequer from the source transition tin	ime of 5 ps is us to around 4 ps f n to minimize the COM computations a sinc frequence filter is about 10 The loss different wing this error. F nocy however this	ed in this time for the COM e Gibbs Phenomena. on. Filtering can help, cy response, the loss 0 dB which is just ence between 53 GHz Frequency is not sufficient to
•	F-2 (decision)						oss or crosstalk to reduce G		strapolation often does
For N_bx of a A: 16	a test fixture in 178.9.	.z. i.z, i support:			SuggestedRei	nedy			
B: 0 A: 19 B: 33						nmended that	the scattering parameters b ncy no greater than 10 MHz		
In Table 178-	7, change the value	of N_bx from 16 to	<i>i</i> 0.		Response		Response Status C		
C/ 178 SC	178.9.2.1.3	P 324	L 33	# 193	REJECT.				
/lellitz, Richard		Samtec			The comm	nent does not	provide sufficient data to jus	tify the suggeste	d remedy.
Comment Type	TR Comme	ent Status R		TF skew			us to implement the change.		
	e better quality indicto ould augment CC.	or of line the quality	y of line imbaland	ce because it will catch	Further wo	ork on this top	ic is encouraged.		
					C/ 176D	SC 176D.1	P 696	L 14	# 195
00	dy								
Add section:		al-mode to commo	n-mode return lo	25	Li, Tobey		MediaTek		
Add section: 178.9.2.1.x T	Fest fixture differentia			ss at either port shall be	Li, Tobey Comment Typ	e ER	MediaTek Comment Status A		(editoria
Add section: 178.9.2.1.x T The differentia	Fest fixture differentia	mode return loss	of the test fixture	at either port shall be	Comment Typ Typo in "4	00 Gb/s two-la		e	(editoria
178.9.2.1.x T The differenti less than or th Response	Fest fixture differentia al-mode to common- han or equal to 10 dE	mode return loss	of the test fixture	at either port shall be	Comment Typ Typo in "4 (200GAUI	00 Gb/s two-la -2 C2M)"	Comment Status A	e	(editoria
Add section: 178.9.2.1.x T The differenti less than or th Response REJECT.	Fest fixture differentia al-mode to common- han or equal to 10 dE <i>Respon</i> s	mode return loss of at all frequencies se <i>Status</i> C	of the test fixture s between 0.2 GH	at either port shall be Hz and 85 GHz.	Comment Typ Typo in "4 (200GAUI SuggestedRei	00 Gb/s two-la -2 C2M)" nedy	Comment Status A ane Attachment Unit Interfac	e	(editoria
Add section: 178.9.2.1.x T The differenti less than or th Response REJECT.	Fest fixture differentia al-mode to common- han or equal to 10 dE	mode return loss of at all frequencies se <i>Status</i> C	of the test fixture s between 0.2 GH	at either port shall be Hz and 85 GHz.	Comment Typ Typo in "4 (200GAUI SuggestedRei	00 Gb/s two-la -2 C2M)" nedy	Comment Status A	e	(editoria

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 176D	SC 176D.1	P 696	L 44	# 196	C/ 182	SC	182.9.1	P 463	L 32	# 199
_i, Tobey		MediaTek			Brown, Ma	tt		Alphawave Se	emi	
Comment 1	Type ER	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		(bucket
200GA	III shall be 400 G	0 Gb/s 1-LANE ATTACHMEN Gb/s MEDIA INDEPENDENT		FACE.	R or 1. similar	.6TBAS	SE-R signa	ast pattern listed is "valid 20 I". But this is not correct. It s Given we repeated refer to n.	should be encod	led by the Inner FEC,
00		GAUI-1 = 100 Gb/s 1-LANE A		NIT INTERFACE" to	Suggested	Remed	dy			
"200GA Line 47	UI-1 = 200 Gb/s , change "4000	s 1-LANE ATTACHMENT UN SMII = 200 Gb/s MEDIA INDE DEPENDENT INTERFACE"	IT INTERFACE	"	Patteri Patteri	n: 7 n descr	iption: "Va	ew test pattern as follows: lid 200GBASE-R, 400GBA		
Response		Response Status C			signal FEC.	encode	ed by the 2	00GBASE-R, 400GBASE-R	, 800GBASE-R	, or 1.6TBASE-R Inner
	PT IN PRINCIPL ent with editoria	E. I license and discretion.			In Tab signal"	' with "7	7".	"valid 200GBASE-R, 400G 83-12 and Tabley 183-13.	BASE-R, 800G	BASE-R or 1.6TBASE-R
C/ 178A	SC 178A.1.4.	3 P 72 7	L 42	# 197	Response			-		
Li, Tobey		MediaTek			•		PRINCIPLI	Response Status C		
Comment 7	51	Comment Status A defined in 93A.1.2.2		(bucket)			-	z. ed remedy with editorial licer	nse	
					C/ 185	SC	185.8.1	P 536	L 8	# 200
Suggested	•	f aburt ann aiter Of fram 00	A 4 0 0a ta 00 A	100	Brown, Ma	tt		Alphawave S	emi	
•	e the reference c	of shunt capacitor C1 from 93	A.1.2.2a to 93A	.1.2.2	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		Test patter
Response ACCEF	РТ.	Response Status C						d 800GBASE-LR1" but does	not define wha	t this is.
					Suggestea		,			
C/ 178A	SC 178A.1.6	P 728	L 24	# 198		le "valio Iner FE		SE-LR1" to "valid 800GBAS	E-R signal enco	ded by the 800GBASE-
Li, Tobey		MediaTek						comment against 182.9.1)	consider definin	a a test pattern number
Comment 7 Transm		Comment Status A s defined in 178A.1.6.1		(bucket)	for this	s signal le 185-		ew test pattern.		3 F
Suggestedl	Remedy						iption: "Va	lid 800GBASE-R signal enc	oded by the 800	GBASE-LR1 Inner FEC
	-	o transmitter equalizer transfe	er function from	178A.1.2 to 178A.1.6.1	Response			Response Status C	,	
Response		Response Status C			•		PRINCIPLI	•		
ACCEF	Ϋ́Τ.				Pattern Pattern And in	n: 7 n descr the Ta	iption: "Va	ew test pattern. lid 800GBASE-R signal enc e "valid 800GBASE-LR1 sig)GBASE-LR1 Inner FEC

C/ 184	SC 184.5.1	P 508	L 44	# 201	C/ 179	SC 179.9.	4.9	P 364	L 4	# 204
Brown, Ma	att	Alphawave Se	emi		Healey, Ad	lam		Broadcom Inc		
	hat the signal name priately renamed, th	Comment Status A es between the PMD receiv ne service interface parame			Suggested	on (179-9) an <i>Remedy</i>	d Figure 179	ent Status A -4 do not agree. = f < 40" to "4 <= f	- 11"	(bucke
rx_sig rx_sig rx_sig rx_sig		_aq bi _bq	184, 185, 186, a	and 187.	Response ACCE The int remed	PT IN PRINC tended equati	Respor IPLE. on was with a with the test	ose Status C a breaking point at a ixture specification	44 GHz as writte s.	en in the suggested <= 60" to "44 <= f <=
Response		Response Status C			C/ 179	SC 179.9.	4.10	P364	L 46	# 205
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				Healey, Ad	lam		Broadcom Inc		
Resol ^y	ve using the respor	nse to comment #421. P 574	L44	# 202	Comment Equati	51		<i>ent Status</i> A 9-5 do not agree.		(bucket
signal Suggested Renar Response ACCE	Type T ignal names RX_XI names in Figure 18 dRemedy ne the signals to R PT IN PRINCIPLE.	Alphawave Se Comment Status A , RX_XQ, RX_YI, and RX_Y 86-11 and in 187.5.3. x_AI, Rx_AQ, Rx_AI, Rx_A <i>Response Status</i> C 	YQ need to be r			ation (179-10 change to Equ	uation (179-2		" to "5(f-12.89)/(35-12.89)". Make the
name: longer	<i>Type</i> T hat the receive sigr s AND it is already r required.	P 565 Alphawave Se <i>Comment Status</i> A nal names are sufficiently un explained in 187.5.3, the no	nique compared							
Suggested Delete	•	ttom of Figure 186-11.								
Response		Response Status C								
ACCE	PT.	he Clause/Subclause from	00/0 to 186/186	5.3.1.3]						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 50 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

	.4.6 P362	L 51	# 206	C/ 179	SC 179.9.5.3	.3 P36	7 L	16	# 208
Healey, Adam	Broadcom Inc	.		Healey, Adam	1	Broade	com Inc.		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		SNDR	Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status	Α		Rx test methodology
to each of the initial	DR "shall meet the requirement v conditions defined in Table 179 ement and it therefore seems ur	-8." The COM re	ference transmitter will	178A, it is step in the	s no longer ne e process. It i	nel model is included ecessary to treat the c s now simply a matter anel model, or that the	oncatenation o	f host chann ch paramete	nels as a separate
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	medy				
under similar condit	equirement to be relative to what tions (as is done for vf, Rpeak, a ne proposed method.	COM reference nd ERL). A contr	transmitter will provide ribution will be provided	measured COM is c	between the alculated usir	nd b) into the following Tx and Rx test reference of the the receiver hos	nces shown in t channel, pac	Figure 110- kage, and de	-3b. Second, that evice models in
Response	Response Status C					nding to the class of t "tests" being defined			
ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.			calculated	d for all of the	tests defined for a giv	en host class	and the COM	M value for the test
The CRG reviewed	the contribution					the lowest value from e content of Annex 17		ther informa	ition in items a) and
https://www.ieee802	2.org/3/dj/public/24_11/healey_3	dj_01_2411.pdf.		Response		Response Status			
Implement the char for difference SNDF	nges on slides 3-4, 6-7 of healey R as 0 dB.	_3dj_01_2411, v	vith the minimum limit	The CRG	IN PRINCIPL viewed slide w.ieee802.or		n 3di 01a 24	11.pdf.	
Apply to clauses 17	8 and 179, annexes 176C and 1	76D, with editori	al license.	Implemer		s suggested in slide 2		•	with editorial license.
C/ 178 SC 178.9.	.3.3 P329	L18	al license. # 207		it the change	s suggested in slide 2	0 of ran_3dj_0	1a_2411 of v	
<i>Cl</i> 178 <i>SC</i> 178.9 . Healey, Adam	3.3 P329 Broadcom Inc	L18	# 207	C/ 179	It the change: SC 179.9.5.3	s suggested in slide 2 .3 P36	0 of ran_3dj_0 8 <i>L</i>	•	with editorial license. # 209
C/ 178 SC 178.9. Healey, Adam Comment Type T	3.3 P329 Broadcom Inc Comment Status A	L 18	# 207 RX Itol	<i>Cl</i> 179 Healey, Adam	It the change SC 179.9.5.3	s suggested in slide 2 .3 P36 Broad	0 of ran_3dj_0 8 <i>L</i> com Inc.	1a_2411 of v	# 209
Cl 178 SC 178.9. Healey, Adam Comment Type T Table 178-10 note of achieve the target C	3.3 P329 Broadcom Inc	L18 c. broadband noise to a procedure in	# 207 RX Itol e calibration used to	Cl 179 Healey, Adam Comment Typ	nt the change: SC 179.9.5.3 De T	s suggested in slide 2 .3 P36	0 of ran_3dj_0 8 <i>L</i> com Inc. A	1a_2411 of v 14	# 209 Rx test methodology
Cl 178 SC 178.9. Healey, Adam Comment Type T Table 178-10 note of achieve the target C appropriate for spec	3.3 P329 Broadcom Inc <i>Comment Status</i> A c) refers to 93C.2 step 7) for the COM value. 93C.2 step 7) refers	L18 c. broadband noise to a procedure in	# 207 RX Itol e calibration used to	Cl 179 Healey, Adam Comment Typ Equation	t the change SC 179.9.5.3 De T (179-13) is in	s suggested in slide 2 .3 P36 Broade Comment Status	0 of ran_3dj_0 8 <i>L</i> com Inc. A	1a_2411 of v 14	# 209 Rx test methodology
Cl 178 SC 178.9. Healey, Adam Comment Type T Table 178-10 note of achieve the target C appropriate for spec SuggestedRemedy Define a new broad	3.3 P329 Broadcom Inc <i>Comment Status</i> A c) refers to 93C.2 step 7) for the COM value. 93C.2 step 7) refers	L18 broadband noise to a procedure in to a procedure in to a procedure in	# 207 RX Itol e calibration used to n 93A.2 that is not	Cl 179 Healey, Adam Comment Typ Equation 178A. SuggestedRe	t the change SC 179.9.5.3 De T (179-13) is in <i>medy</i> ution will be p	s suggested in slide 2 .3 P36 Broade Comment Status	0 of ran_3dj_0 8 <i>L</i> com Inc. A finition of trans	1a_2411 of v	# 209 <i>Rx test methodology</i> It noise in Annex
Cl 178 SC 178.9. Healey, Adam Comment Type T Table 178-10 note of achieve the target C appropriate for spec SuggestedRemedy Define a new broad will be provided with	3.3 P329 Broadcom Inc <i>Comment Status</i> A c) refers to 93C.2 step 7) for the COM value. 93C.2 step 7) refers cifications based on Annex 178A band noise calibration procedure	L18 broadband noise to a procedure in to a procedure in to a procedure in	# 207 RX Itol e calibration used to n 93A.2 that is not	Cl 179 Healey, Adam Comment Typ Equation 178A. SuggestedRe A contribu	t the change SC 179.9.5.3 De T (179-13) is in <i>medy</i> ution will be p	s suggested in slide 2 .3 P36 Broade <i>Comment Status</i> consistent with the de	0 of ran_3dj_0 8 L com Inc. A finition of trans	1a_2411 of v	# 209 <i>Rx test methodology</i> It noise in Annex
Cl 178 SC 178.9. Healey, Adam Comment Type T Table 178-10 note of achieve the target C appropriate for spec SuggestedRemedy Define a new broad will be provided with	3.3 P329 Broadcom Inc <i>Comment Status</i> A c) refers to 93C.2 step 7) for the COM value. 93C.2 step 7) refers cifications based on Annex 178A band noise calibration procedure n a detailed proposal. This would <i>Response Status</i> C	L18 broadband noise to a procedure in to a procedure in to a procedure in	# 207 RX Itol e calibration used to n 93A.2 that is not	Cl 179 Healey, Adam Comment Typ Equation 178A. SuggestedRe A contribu of Annex Response ACCEPT	t the change SC 179.9.5.3 De T (179-13) is in <i>medy</i> ution will be p 178A. IN PRINCIPL	s suggested in slide 2 .3 P36 Broade <i>Comment Status</i> consistent with the de rovided with detailed of <i>Response Status</i> .E.	D of ran_3dj_0 8 L com Inc. A finition of trans changes to alig C	1a_2411 of v	# 209 <i>Rx test methodology</i> It noise in Annex
Cl 178 SC 178.9. Healey, Adam Comment Type T Table 178-10 note of achieve the target C appropriate for spec SuggestedRemedy Define a new broad will be provided with Response ACCEPT IN PRINC The CRG reviewed	3.3 P329 Broadcom Inc <i>Comment Status</i> A c) refers to 93C.2 step 7) for the COM value. 93C.2 step 7) refers cifications based on Annex 178A band noise calibration procedure n a detailed proposal. This would <i>Response Status</i> C	L18 broadband noise to a procedure in e for Annex 178 also apply to 17	# 207 RX Itol e calibration used to n 93A.2 that is not	Cl 179 Healey, Adam Comment Typ Equation 178A. SuggestedRe A contribu of Annex Response ACCEPT	t the change SC 179.9.5.3 De T (179-13) is in <i>medy</i> ution will be p 178A. IN PRINCIPL	s suggested in slide 2 .3 P36 Broade <i>Comment Status</i> consistent with the de rovided with detailed of <i>Response Status</i>	D of ran_3dj_0 8 L com Inc. A finition of trans changes to alig C	1a_2411 of v	# 209 <i>Rx test methodology</i> It noise in Annex
Cl 178 SC 178.9. Healey, Adam Comment Type T Table 178-10 note of achieve the target C appropriate for spec SuggestedRemedy Define a new broad will be provided with Response ACCEPT IN PRINC The CRG reviewed https://www.ieee802	3.3 P329 Broadcom Inc <i>Comment Status</i> A c) refers to 93C.2 step 7) for the COM value. 93C.2 step 7) refers cifications based on Annex 178A band noise calibration procedure to a detailed proposal. This would <i>Response Status</i> C CIPLE. the following contribution:	L18 broadband noise to a procedure in the for Annex 178A d also apply to 17 dj_02_2411.pdf	# 207 RX Itol e calibration used to n 93A.2 that is not A COM. A contribution 76C.4.4.4.	Cl 179 Healey, Adam Comment Typ Equation 178A. SuggestedRe A contribu of Annex Response ACCEPT	t the change SC 179.9.5.3 De T (179-13) is in <i>medy</i> ution will be p 178A. IN PRINCIPL	s suggested in slide 2 .3 P36 Broade <i>Comment Status</i> consistent with the de rovided with detailed of <i>Response Status</i> .E.	D of ran_3dj_0 8 L com Inc. A finition of trans changes to alig C	1a_2411 of v	# 209 <i>Rx test methodology</i> It noise in Annex

Page 51 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

-				
C/ 174A	SC 174A.6.1.5	5 P644	L 5	# 210
Healey, Ad	am	Broadcom Inc		
Comment	Туре т	Comment Status D		Error ratio PCS
A meth defined		block error ratio using PCS	-based measure	ements has not been
Suggested	Remedy			
A cont	ribution will be pro	ovided with a detailed propos	sal for a calculat	tion procedure.
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
Pendin	•	N PRINCIPLE. le following contribution: //3/dj/public/24_11/healey_3	di 03 2411.pdf	
C/ 176D	SC 176D.5.3	P700	L 50	# 211
Rysin, Alex	ander	NVIDIA		
Comment .	Type TR	Comment Status A		Jitte
noise a	and do not reflect	ements at TP1a are highly af actual uncorrelated jitter. The sal channels between TP0d	nese effects are	exacerbated by the

noise and do not reflect actual uncorrelated jitter. These effects are exacerbated by the characteristics of practical channels between TP0d and TP1a - loss and reflections, and are highly dependent on the transmitted signal amplitude. Accounting only for the faster edges does not work for practical channels at 106.25 Gbd rate and the currently proposed numbers cannot be met (and sometimes cannot be measured) even with commercial test equipment PPG. The issue was demonstrated in rysin_3dj_01a_2407.

SuggestedRemedy

Other method of uncorrelated jitter measurement should be considered.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #213.

C/ 176D SC 176D.5.4	P 701	L 47	# 212
Rysin, Alexander	NVIDIA		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Jitter

J4u and JRMS measurements at TP4 are highly affected by the effects of slew rate and noise and do not reflect actual uncorrelated jitter. These effects are exacerbated by the characteristics of practical test fixtures - loss and reflections, and are highly dependent on the transmitted signal amplitude. Accounting only for the faster edges does not work for practical channels at 106.25 Gbd rate. The issue was demonstrated in rysin_3dj_01a_2407.

SuggestedRemedy

Other method of uncorrelated jitter measurement should be considered.

Response	Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Resolve using the respon	

C/ 179	SC 179.9.4	P 357	L 22	# 213
Rysin, Ale	xander	NVIDIA		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		Jitter

J3u and JRMS measurements at TP2 are highly affected by the effects of slew rate and noise and do not reflect actual uncorrelated jitter. These effects are exacerbated by the characteristics of practical channels between TP0d and TP2 - loss and reflections, and are highly dependent on the transmitted signal amplitude. Accounting only for the faster edges does not work for practical channels at 106.25 Gbd rate and the currently proposed numbers cannot be met (and sometimes cannot be measured) even with commercial test equipment PPG. The issue was demonstrated in rysin_3dj_01a_2407.

SuggestedRemedy

Other method of uncorrelated jitter measurement should be considered.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The referenced presentation is https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/rysin_3dj_01a_2407.pdf.

The CRG reviewed slide 25 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/ran_3dj_01a_2411.pdf, and the contribution https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/ran_3dj_06a_2411.pdf.

There was consensus on items 1 and 3 of the proposal on slide 7 of ran_3dj_06a_2411. There was a concern about turning off circuits in the lanes not under test. This may be addressed by an informative NOTE. The commenter agreed to remove item 2. Further work on the related change is encouraged.

Implement items 1 and 3 of slide 7 of ran_3dj_06a_2411, with editorial license, for clauses 178 and 179, and annexes 176C and 176D.

Comment ID 213

Page 52 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

C/ 181	SC 181.8	P 432	L17	# 214	C/ 187	SC 187.	7	P 604	L 44	# 217
Stassar, P	eter	Huawei			Stassar, Pet	er		Huawei		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Ty	/pe TF	c c	Comment Status A		Optical channel
which Suggested	is wrong. The (Remedy	return loss (ORL) is the same a DRL should be the same as for e optical return loss to 27 dB m	100GBASE-DR	urn loss tolerance, and 200GBASE-DR1.	DWDM coheren is very r	specificati t TX/RX s arrow. Th	ons in Cla pecified a	need to tie the CD range	nd far too wide 7.7 nm. The rai	for a single channel nge is +/- 1.8 GHz which
Response		Response Status C			SuggestedR	emedy				
	PT IN PRINCIF							b stating "at 1547.7 nm" emove the reference to n		
https:/	www.ieee802.	lide 3 of issenhuth_01 org/3/dj/public/24_11/issenhuth_		odf	Response ACCEP	T IN PRIN		esponse Status C		
Impler C/ 185	SC 185.7	sted remedy with editorial licens	L19	# 215				b to "At 1550 nm" and ir max) and Negative dispe		and 187-8 remove note
Stassar, P	eter	Huawei			C/ 187	SC 187.	7.2.2	P 605	L	# 218
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		Optical channel	Stassar, Pet	er		Huawei		
wavele cohere	ength range as ent TX/RX spec	he wavelength range 1304.5 nm the DR specifications in Clause ified at 228.675 THz which is 13 88 - 1311.11 nm.	s 180 and 182	and far too wide for a		y the max	mum disc	Comment Status A crete reflectance is TBD, or coherent interfaces. Es		
Suggested	·					n sensitiv	,			
00		e note b stating "at 1311 nm".			SuggestedR	emedy				
Response		Response Status C			Remove	subclaus	e 187.7.2	.2		
	PT IN PRINCIF				Response ACCEP	T IN PRIN		esponse Status C		
In note	b change "Ov	er the wavelength range 1304.5	nm to 1317.5 i	nm." to "At 1311 nm".	Resolve	using the	response	to comment #216.		
C/ 185	SC 185.7.2	.2 P535	L 8	# 216	C/ 185A	SC 185) D E	P820	L1	# 219
Stassar, P	eter	Huawei					4.2.5		<i>L</i> I	# 219
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		Optical channel	Issenhuth, T			Huawei Comment Status A		TOM
specifi		im discrete reflectance is TBD, l sary for coherent interfaces and				oclause "T	-	lation" is incomplete.		TQM
Suggested					SuggestedR			anagad in the ournerting	n reconstation to	he provided
	/e subclause 1	85.7.2.2				ine subcia	•	oposed in the supporting	presentation to	be provided.
Response		Response Status C			Response			esponse Status C		
ACCE	PT.				ACCEP	T IN PRIN	CIPLE.			
					Resolve	using the	response	to comment #246		
	ta alamia - 1	red ER/editorial required GR/g	a a a a a l y a su sta					0-	ent ID 219	Page 53 of 103

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

11/13/2024 9:41:03 PM

-									
C/ 180 S	C 180.8.3.1.1	P 406	L 2	# 220	C/ 182	SC 182.8.3.1	.1 <i>P</i> 459	L 25	# 223
Johnson, John		Broadcom			Johnson,	John	Broadcom		
Comment Type	E Co	mment Status A		(editorial)	Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		(editorial
MDI nomer 180.8.3.1.3		stent with Annex 180A I	nere, as well as	in 180.8.3.1.2 and	MDI n 182.8		consistent with Annex 180A I	here, as well as	in 182.8.3.1.2 and
SuggestedRem	ledy				Suggestee	dRemedy			
	DI pin" to "MDI po ure used in Annex	sition" in the text and ta 180A.	ables to be cons	istent with		ge "MDI pin" to "N nclature used in <i>i</i>	IDI position" in the text and ta Annex 180A.	ables to be cons	istent with
Response	Res	sponse Status C			Response		Response Status C		
	N PRINCIPLE. with editorial licen	se and discretion.				PT IN PRINCIPL	E. I license and discretion.		
C/ 180 S	C 180.9.5.1	P 413	L 20	# 221	C/ 183	SC 183.9.5.1	P 491	L 4	# 224
Johnson, John		Broadcom			Johnson,	John	Broadcom		
Comment Type	E Co	mment Status A		(editorial)	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(editorial
	calture of footnote	e (c) in Table 180-19 sh	ould match the	nomenclature in Table	lf no ii	nformative Anne»	is planned in D1.3, remove t	he reference in	footnote (a)
180-7.					Suggested	dRemedy			
	otnote (c) to read:	"The optical return loss	s tolerance (max	() from Table 180-7 is		()	sistent with other PMD clause methodology described in A		phrase, "and the optical
		e (c) of Table 182-19.			Response		Response Status C		
Response		sponse Status C				PT IN PRINCIPL			
	N PRINCIPLE. with editorial licen	se and discretion.			Implei	ment with editoria	al license and discretion.		
					C/ 185A	SC 185A.2.2	P 814	L 51	# 225
C/ 181 S	C 181.7.2	P 429	L 27	# 222	Johnson,	John	Broadcom		
Johnson, John		Broadcom			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(editorial
Comment Type		mment Status A		(editorial)	gramr	nar: "comprises	of"		
In "lanec", f	footnote "c" should	d be superscripted			Suggested	dRemedv			
SuggestedRem	ledy				00	ge "comprises of	to "comprises"		
Make "c" si	uperscripted.				Response		Response Status C		
Response	Res	sponse Status C			•		'		
	N PRINCIPLE. with editorial licen	se and discretion.				-	al license and discretion.		

C/ 185A SC 185A.2.2.1	P 815	L15	# 226	C/ 180	SC 180.7.2	P 401	L 29	# 228
ohnson, John	Broadcom			Johnson, J	ohn	Broadcom		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Rx optical paramete
The text suggests that the parameters are in this table SuggestedRemedy Reword this sentence alon calibrated coherent detector	e. The specs are given in g the lines of, "Post-calibra	tables in the PM ation residual pa	ID clauses.	OMA a penalti paralle	it TDECQ(max es. Because t I fiber cabling a	I receiver sensitivity (max) is no not minus the maximum channel ne fibers in a DRn PHY (n>1) w and connectors, the Aggressor I e same insertion loss as the lar	insertion loss ithout breakou anes for SRS	and MPI+DGD ut share the same testing should be
these parameters are defir				Suggested	Remedy			
	Response Status C			2.9 dBi minus To cov	m to 0.9 dBm, 0.1dB MPI+DG er the case of	le 180-8, change the value of C which is equal to 4dBm TX OM D penalty. preakout, add text to footnote (e te PMDs as described in Anne)	A(max), minutes), "If the devised	s 3dB max insertion loss, ce is being used to
C/ 180 SC 180.7.1	P 399	L 48	# 227	lane sh	nould be equal	to the value of Outer Optical Mo		
Johnson, John	Broadcom				nax) given in Ta	able 180-7." ation will be submitted for the N	ovinlonany	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Tx optical parameter	Response	oning presente	Response Status C	ov pieriary.	
Transmitter power excursion	on (max) is TBD in Table 1	80-7 for all DRn	PMDs		PT IN PRINCIF			
SuggestedRemedy				ACCER		LC.		
In existing 100G PHYs fror reduction in overshoot at C low OMA to ~ 14% at OMA	MA(max), i.e. maximum a (max).	llowable OS is r	educed from 22% at	https://		on johnson_01a org/3/dj/public/24_11/johnson_3 G.	3dj_01a_2411	.pdf
Change TBD to 2.3 dB in 1 with 100G PHYs.	able 180-7. This results in	OS at OMA(ma	ax) = 14.6%, consistent	Straw p	poll O-1			
A supporting presentation	will be submitted for the No	ov plenary.						
Response F	Response Status C			I suppo	ort			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				which a		x as the value of the aggressor num aggressor difference inclu		
Supporting presentation jo https://www.ieee802.org/3/ was heard by the CRG.		dj_01a_2411.pd	lf		nder test have	values in johnson_01a which a he same insertion loss except i		
Change TPEmax from TBI	0 to 2.3dBm per slide 4.			A: 17 B: 11				

C/ 180 SC 180.	9.5.1 <i>P</i> 413	L12	# 229	C/ 181	SC 181.7.2	P 429	L 32	# 231
Johnson, John	Broadcom			Johnson, Joh	n	Broadcom		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Ty	ре т	Comment Status A		Rx optical paramete
SuggestedRemedy	le 180-19 are wrong es from DRn-2 to DRn in Table 18	0-19		receiver (OMAout	sensitivity (O	R4 PHYs, OMAouter of each a MAouter) plus the Difference ir thin ±0.1dB. The same metho	n receive power	between any two lanes
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRe	emedy			
ACCEPT IN PRIN	CIPLE.					500 in Table 181-6, change the		
Implement with ec	litorial license			differenc	e in receive p	3.4 dBm, which is equal to -0.7 power between lanes. ation will be submitted for the N	,	x) plus 4.1 dB maximum
C/ 181 SC 181.	7.1 P427	L 31	# 230	Response		Response Status C		
lohnson, John	Broadcom			ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP	LE.		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Tx optical parameter	a				
Transmitter power	excursion (max) is TBD in Table	181-5 for 800GE	ASE-FR4-500			on johnson_01a org/3/dj/public/24_11/johnson_3	3di 01a 2411 n	odf
SuggestedRemedy					rd by the CRC		50j_01a_2411.p	
reduction in overs low OMA to ~ 14% Change TBD to 2. with 100G PHYs.	9 dB in Table 181-5. This results	allowable OS is in OS at OMA(m	reduced from 22% at		SC 181.9.5.	r OMA (dBm) value to 1.3. 1 P437 Broadcom	L10	# 232
A supporting pres	entation will be submitted for the I	Nov plenary.		Comment Ty	ре т	Comment Status A		(bucketp
Response	Response Status C			Lane lab	les {L0, L1, L	2, L3} in Table 181-14 should l	be {0, 1, 2, 3}	
	CIPLE.			SuggestedRe	emedy			
ACCEPT IN PRIN				Change		.0, L1, L2, L3} in Table181-14 t 181-3.	to {0, 1, 2, 3}, in	order to match lane
Supporting preser https://www.ieee8	ntation johnson_01 02.org/3/dj/public/24_11/johnson_	3dj_01a_2411.p	df	0				
Supporting preser	02.org/3/dj/public/24_11/johnson_	.3dj_01a_2411.p	df	Response		Response Status C		
Supporting preser https://www.ieee8 was heard by the	02.org/3/dj/public/24_11/johnson_	_3dj_01a_2411.p	df	•	IN PRINCIP			
Supporting preser https://www.ieee8 was heard by the	02.org/3/dj/public/24_11/johnson_ CRG.	<u>.</u> 3dj_01a_2411.p	df	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP	LE.		

C/ 182	SC 182.7.1	P 452	L 50	# 233	C/ 182	SC 182.7.	2	P 454	L 29	# 234
ohnson, J	ohn	Broadcom			Johnson, J	ohn		Broadcom		
omment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Tx optical parameter	Comment	Туре Т	Con	nment Status A		Rx optical paramete
Transmitter power excursion (max) is 2 dB in Table 182-7 for all DRn-2 PMDs. This value results in overshoot at OMA(max) being restricted to only 10.3%, which is less than existing 100G PHYs. SuggestedRemedy In existing 100G PHYs from P803.2cu, TPE(max) was chosen to give approximately 8% reduction in overshoot at OMA(max), i.e. maximum allowable OS is reduced from 22% at low OMA to ~ 14% at OMA(max). Change 2 dB to 2.3 dB in Table 182-7. This results in OS at OMA(max) = 14.6%, consistent with 100G PHYs. A supporting presentation will be submitted for the Nov plenary. Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						tt TDECQ(ma es. Because I fiber cabling ered to have <i>Remedy</i> Rn-2 PHYs in BD to -0.2 dE intus 0.4dB M er the case of ut lower line nould be equa nax) given in	x), minus t the fibers and conne he same i Table 182- m, which i IPI+DGD p f breakout, tate PMDs I to the va Table 182-	is equal to 4.2 dBm T. benalty. , add text to footnote as described in Anne lue of Outer Optical M -7."	I insertion loss) without break lanes for SRS ane under test. of OMAouter of X OMA(max), n (e), "If the device x 180A, OMAc Modulation Amp	and MPI+DGD out share the same testing should be each aggressor lane ninus 4 dB max insertion
Suppo	rting presentat	ion johnson_01				orting preser	tation will	be submitted for the N	Nov plenary.	
https://		org/3/dj/public/24_11/johnson_3	3dj_01a_2411.	pdf	Response ACCE	PT IN PRINC	,	oonse Status C		
Chang	e TPEmax fror	n 2 to 2.3dBm per slide 4.			https:// was he	eard by the C	.org/3/dj/p RG.	on_01a public/24_11/johnson_ dBm) value to 4.2.	3dj_01a_2411.	pdf
					C/ 182	SC 182.7.	2	P 454	L 35	# 235
					Johnson, J	ohn		Broadcom		
					Comment		Con	nment Status A		(bucke
					DR1-2					single lane devices. If a en the aggressor lanes
					Suggested	Remedy				
								(e) to read: "No aggreatment of the second sec		for 200GBASE-DR1-2 in
					Response		Resp	oonse Status C		
						PT IN PRINC		edy with editorial licer	ise	

C/ 183 S	C 183.7.1	P 480	L 41	# 236	C/ 185	SC 185.6.1	P 531	L 42	# 238
Johnson, John		Broadcom			Johnson, Johi	า	Broadcom		
Comment Type	T e	Comment Status A		Tx optical parameter	Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status A		Tx optical paramete
value resul	ts in overshoot a	n (max) is 3.1 dB in Table t OMA(max) being restric			The units conventio		ansmitter in-band OSNR (min)	do not follow	IEEE standard
existing 10					SuggestedRe	medy			
SuggestedRem							dB (12.5GHz)" is to indicate the		
reduction in low OMA to Change 3. consistent	n overshoot at C o ~ 14% at OMA 1 dB to 3.8 dB ir with 100G PHYs	Table 183-7. This results	allowable OS is	reduced from 22% at	Descriptic is adequa Propose t	n than in the tely spelled c o change the	This is more properly given a Units column, or it can be left but in 185.9.12. spec Description to "Transmit "dB". The spec limit is unchar	out completel tter OSNR in 1	y since the test method
		vill be submitted for the N	ov plenary.		Response		Response Status C		
Response		esponse Status C			ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	.E.		
ACCEPT II	N PRINCIPLE.				In Table 1	OF F change	"Transmitter in-band OSNR (r		
Supporting presentation johnson_01 https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/johnson_3dj_01a_2411.pdf was heard by the CRG.					"Transmit and chang	ter OSNR in	a 12.5 GHz resolution bandwic om "dB (12.5 GHz)" to "dB".		
Change TF	Emax from 3.1	o 3.8dBm per slide 4.			In 185.8.1 "(referred	2 change to 12.5 GHz)	"		
C/ 183 S	C 183.9.5.1	P 491	L 4	# 237	to	10 12.5 (112)			
Johnson, John		Broadcom			"(in a 12.5	5 GHz resolut	ion bandwidth)"		
Comment Type	T e	Comment Status A		(bucketp)	With edito	orial license.			
Lane lables	s {L0, L1, L2, L3	in Table 183-15 should I	be {0, 1, 2, 3}						
SuggestedRem	nedv				C/ 185	SC 185.6.2	P 532	L 34	# 239
	•	, L2, L3} in Table183-15 t	o {0 1 2 3} in	order to match lane	Johnson, Johi	า	Broadcom		
	its in Table 183-		0 (0, 1, 2, 0),		Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status A		(bucke
	F	esponse Status C			ETCC ine	quality is poir	nting the wrong way		
Response					SuggestedRe	medy			
•	N PRINCIPLE.								
	_	dy with editorial license.			Change c	ondition to re	ad: "for 1 < ETCC <= 3.4 dB"		

C/ 187	SC 187.6.1	P 602	L 42	# 240	C/ 185	SC 185	6.1	P 5 31	L 50	# 243
Johnson, Jo	ohn	Broadcom			Maniloff, E	ric		Ciena		
Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status A		Tx optical parameter	Comment	Туре Т		Comment Status A		Tx optical paramete
		ansmitter in-band OSNR (min)) do not follow l	EEE standard	Tx free	quency Slev	v rates a	nd clock phase noise need	d definition	
conven					Suggested	dRemedy				
Suggested	-				A con	tribution wit	n update	d values will be provided		
		B (12.5GHz)" is to indicate th This is more properly given a			Response		F	Response Status C		
		Units column, or it can be left			ACCE		ICIPLE.			
	uately spelled of			2 E Olla hand (min)"	The fe			was reviewed by the OD		
		spec Description to "Transmi "dB". The spec limit is uncha						was reviewed by the CRC /dj/public/24_11/maniloff_:		
Response	0	Response Status C	0				•			
•	PT IN PRINCIPL	,			Impler 8 and		anges hig	ghlighted in green for Tabl	les 185-5 and ?	185-6 as shown on slides
. .					0 and	0.				
Resolv	e using the resp	onse to comment #238.			Add a	nd modify t	ne param	eter definitions stated on	slide 8 to 185.8	3.
C/ 185	SC 185.5.5	P 530	L 5	# 241	With e	ditorial lice	nse.			
/laniloff, Er	ic	Ciena			C/ 185	SC 185	6.0	P532	L 40	# 244
Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status A		Tx optical parameter			0.2		L 40	# 244
A value	e is needed for th	ne Signal Detection Criteria. C	Currently for a N	linimum Tx Power, the	Maniloff, E			Ciena		
		dBm with no impairments. Ba OdBm, a value of -19dBm is i		x Avergage Power for	Comment			Comment Status A		Rx optical paramete
Suggestedl			coommentaed					nition. Based on the availa evious standards of 50kRa		
00		185-3 with -19dBm				mended.	5 1			
Response					Suggested	dRemedy				
ACCEF	т	Response Status C			Repla	ce TBD for	State of p	polarization (max) with 50	kRad/s	
ACCEI	1.				Response		F	Response Status C		
C/ 185	SC 185.6.1	P 531	L 33	# 242	ACCE	PT IN PRIM	ICIPLE.			
Maniloff, Er	ic	Ciena			Resol	ve using the	respons	se to comment #243.		
Comment 1	Гуре Т	Comment Status A		TQM	116301	ve using the	respons	se to comment #245.		
The Tra	ansmitter Quality	/ being developed is ETCC. T	his should be ι	updated in Table 185-5.						
Suggestedl	Remedy									
Replac 3.4dB.	e Transmitter Qu	uality Metric in Table 185-5 wi	th ETCC with a	a maximum value of						
Response		Response Status C								
	PT IN PRINCIPL									
with"dE	3". In Table 187-	"Transmitter quality metric" to -5 change "Transmitter quality								
In Tabl	e 185-5 change 3". In Table 187-	"Transmitter quality metric" to								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 244

Page 59 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:04 PM

C/ 185 SC	C 185.6.2	P 532	L 40	# 245	C/ 176	SC 176.3	P 258	L 34	# 248
Maniloff, Eric		Ciena			Shrikhan	de, Kapil	Marvell		
Comment Type	т С	Comment Status A		Rx optical parameter	Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket
A value of R recommend	`` '	required. An additional 0	.5dB above the	Tx X/Y imbalance is	PMA	IS_SIGNAL.requ	the sublayer above the PMA is lest input (no PCS drives this n:n PMA with a PCS above.		
SuggestedReme	2					edRemedy			
Replace TB	D for Polarizatio	on dependent loss (max)	with 2.0dB			•	vs to the table with N/A in the	loft most column	(no input value) and
Response ACCEPT IN	Re I PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status C			deter on th	mine the output	value of inst:IS_SIGNAL.reque gn_status_mux variable. Altern	est SIGNAL_OK	signal depending only
Resolve usi	ng the response	e to comment #243.			Response	е	Response Status C		
	C 185.9	P 537	L 45	# 246	ACC	EPT IN PRINCIP	LE. onse to comment #56.		
Maniloff, Eric <i>Comment Type</i>	тс	Ciena Comment Status A		TQM	C/ 176	SC 176.3	P 258	L 26	# 249
51		th ETCC. More details or	n the implement	tation are needed.	Shrikhan		Marvell		
SuggestedReme	ədv				Commen		Comment Status A		(bucket
	•	tails on the ETCC measu	urement method	doloav will be provided.		51	ut the service interface below	the PMA. Theref	
Response	Re	esponse Status C					cation primitive should be inst: lest primitive should be inst:IS		
ACCEPT IN	I PRINCIPLE.				Suggeste	dRemedy			
The followin	g presentation v	was reviewed by the CRO	G maniloff_01a		Repla	ace PMA with ins	t as outlined in the comment.		
https://www	.ieee802.org/3/d	lj/public/24_11/maniloff_:	3dj_01a_2411.p	odf.	Response	e	Response Status C		
Implement s	slides 7 through	12 with editorial license.			ACC	EPT.			
C/ 176 SC	C 176.4.4.2.1	P 271	L 50	# 247					
Shrikhande, Kap	bil	Marvell							
Comment Type	TR C	Comment Status A		pma variables					
to true wher	n all PCSLs with	er, there is a boolean var in an input lane are locke t is set to true when all ir	ed. However in	addition, there should					
SuggestedReme	edy								
Add boolear all_locked_o	n variable all_loc demux <y> is true</y>	cked_demux. This variab e for all y = 0 to (n-1), an e demultiplexing direction	d false otherwis						
Response	Re	esponse Status C							
	I PRINCIPLE.	comment # 57.							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						0		Da wa 00 a (400

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 249

Page 60 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:04 PM

C/ 180 SC 180.5.1 P396 L1 # 250	C/ 182 SC 182.5.1 P449 L1 # 252
Ghiasi Quantum	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum
Comment Type T Comment Status R Signa	l ok Comment Type T Comment Status R Signa
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX	The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function	k Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function
Response Response Status C	Response Response Status C
REJECT.	REJECT.
Adding the lines as suggested does not enhance the clarity of the draft.	Resolve using the response to comment #250
Figure 178B-1-ILT function in AUI components and PMDs provides the clarity that the	C/ 183 SC 183.5.1 P476 L18 # 253
comment is requesting.	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum
C/ 181 SC 181.5.1 P423 L12 # 251	Comment Type T Comment Status R Signa
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum	The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX
Comment Type T Comment Status R Signa	
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX	lok
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX SuggestedRemedy	I ok SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX	I ok SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C	I ok SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT block should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function	I ok SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C REJECT.
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C	I ok SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #250.
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C REJECT.	I ok SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #250. Cl 182 SC 182.5.1 P476 L2 # 254
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C REJECT.	I ok SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #250. Cl 182 SC 182.5.1 P476 L2 # 254 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type T Comment Status A PMA tag
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C REJECT.	I ok SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #250. C/ 182 SC 182.5.1 P476 L2 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type T Comment Status A
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C REJECT.	I ok SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT block should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #250. Cl 182 SC 182.5.1 P476 L2 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type T Comment Status A PMA ka Inner FEC TX/RX function is a PMA Suggest to replace with PMA Transmit or Receive Function (Inner FEC), if there is no root
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C REJECT.	I ok SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #250. C/ 182 SC 182.5.1 P476 L2 # 254 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type T Comment Status A PMA to the status A SuggestedRemedy Suggest to replace with PMA Transmit or Receive Function (Inner FEC), if there is no root then just put in the text
The Signal_OK and ILT fucntion are hanging in the air and not clear how they propgate from TX to RX SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C REJECT.	I ok SuggestedRemedy Just like global_PMD_signal_detect that touches all 4 PMD Receive function the ILT bloc should also touch/connect to all 4 PMA transmit function and PMA Receive function Response Response Status C REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #250. Cl 182 SC 182.5.1 P476 L2 # 254 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type T Comment Status A PMA late Inner FEC TX/RX function is a PMA Suggest to replace with PMA Transmit or Receive Function (Inner FEC), if there is no root then just put in the text Response Response Status C

Comment ID 254

C/ 183	SC 183.5.1	P 449	L18	# 255	C/ 183	SC	183.2	P 474	L 45	# 257
Ghiasi, A	li	Ghiasi Quantu	um		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	ım	
Commen	t Type T	Comment Status A		PMA label	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status R		TDECQ KER
Inner	FEC TX/RX func	tion is a PMA						surement requrie Golden HW	receiver that ma	ay not exist and even
Suggeste	dRemedy				then m	nay intr	oduce its	own set of block erros.		
Sugg	est to replace wit	h PMA Transmit or Receive F	unction (Inner F	EC), if there is no room	Suggested	dReme	dy			
then	just put in the text	t						dation is to measure block TE		,
Response	9	Response Status C						RQ waveform and only using all the blocks data are used th		
ACCI	EPT IN PRINCIPL	_E.			TDEC	Q". Ini	tial conve	ersation with Oscope supplier	s that this meas	surement is feasible and
Reso	lve using the rest	oonse to comment #254						ge any limit or introduce any r ed to "Block TDECQ". See Gl		
	we using the resp				Response		be change		11asi_3uj_02_24	+11
C/ 181	SC 181.2	P 421	L 45	# 256	REJE			Response Status C		
Ghiasi, A	li	Ghiasi Quantu	um				a the resp	conse to comment #259.		
Commen	t Туре Т	Comment Status R		TDECQ KER					•	
		surement requrie Golden HW	receiver that ma	ay not exist and even	C/ 182	SC	182.2	P 446	L 46	# 258
	,	own set of block erros.			Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	ım	
Suggeste	edRemedy				Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status R		TDECQ KER
captu	iring 10x the SSP	dation is to measure block TE RQ waveform and only using	worst 10% of bl	ock data for "Block				surement requrie Golden HW own set of block erros.	receiver that ma	ay not exist and even
		all the blocks data are used th rsation with Oscope supplier i			Suggested	dReme	dy			
		ge any limit or introduce any r						idation is to measure block TE		
TDEC	CQ will be change	ed to "Block TDECQ". See Gl	hiasi_3dJ_02_24	11				RQ waveform and only using all the blocks data are used th		
Response	e	Response Status C						ersation with Oscope supplier		
REJE					we wo	on't nee	d to chan	ge any limit or introduce any r	new test limit. T	he current average
Reso	ive using the resp	oonse to comment #259			TDEC	Q will b	be change	ed to "Block TDECQ". See Gl	nasi_3dJ_02_24	411

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Resolve using the response to comment #259

EEE D802 2di D1 2 200 Ch/a 400 Ch/a 800 Ch/a and 1 6 Th/a Eth nent

C/ 180	SC 180.2	P393	L 45	# 259	C/ 181	SC 181.9.5	P 436
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quant	um		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Q
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R		TDECQ KER	Comment T	ype T	Comment Status R
		surement requrie Golden HW own set of block erros.	receiver that ma	y not exist and even	conditio	n in the TDECC	garding block errors and setup to make sure T
Suggested	Remedy				operatio		
captur TDEC TDEC we wo	ing 10x the SSP Q" limit. When a Q". Initial conve n't need to chang	dation is to measure block TI RQ waveform and only using all the blocks data are used th rsation with Oscope supplier ge any limit or introduce any ed to "Block TDECQ". See G	worst 10% of blo he reporting value is that this meas new test limit. Th	ock data for "Block e would be "Average urement is feasible and ne current average	in missio operatin https://w	MD under test h on mode with th og with PRBS31	as an optional AUI (C2I ne clock driving SSPRQ Q pattern and worst ca g/3/dj/public/24_09/ghia
Response	-	Response Status C			Response	-	Response Status C
REJE					REJEC ⁻ Resolve		onse to comment #260.
The fo	llowing contribut	ion was reviewed by the CRG	Э.		C/ 180	SC 180.7.3	P 404
https:/	/www.ieee802.or	rg/3/dj/public/24_11/ghiasi_30	dj_02_2411.pdf		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Q
The co	ommenter is enco	ouraged develop this further	and review at fut	ure ad hoc meetings.	Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status R
	is no consensus	to make the proposed chang	ges at this time.		of 15.5 (dB only becuas	for penalties covers 200 e PC connectors with 3 400G-DR2, 800G-DR4
There C/ 180	SC 180.9.5	P 376	L 22	# 260			t not in case of 200G-DI
C/ 180		P 376 Ghiasi Quant		# 260		n loss used but	t not in case of 200G-DI
<i>Cl</i> 180 Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment</i>	Туре Т	Ghiasi Quanti Comment Status R	um	TDECQ	dB retur <i>SuggestedR</i> Add not	n loss used but Remedy e to 200G-DR1	t not in case of 200G-Df with allocation for pena B incase of 4 connector
Cl 180 Ghiasi, Ali Comment With c	<i>Type</i> T concern rasied re	Ghiasi Quant	um DECQ captures ji	TDECQ tter, need additional	dB retur SuggestedR Add not 6 conne	n loss used but Remedy e to 200G-DR1	with allocation for pena B incase of 4 connector
Cl 180 Ghiasi, Ali Comment With c	<i>Type</i> T concern rasied re ion in the TDECC	Ghiasi Quant Comment Status R garding block errors and if TI	um DECQ captures ji	TDECQ tter, need additional	dB retur <i>SuggestedR</i> Add not	n loss used but Remedy e to 200G-DR1 ectors and 0.2 d	with allocation for pena
Cl 180 Ghiasi, Ali Comment With c condit	<i>Type</i> T concern rasied re ion in the TDECC ion	Ghiasi Quant Comment Status R garding block errors and if TI	um DECQ captures ji	TDECQ tter, need additional	dB retur SuggestedR Add not 6 conne Response REJEC	n loss used but Remedy e to 200G-DR1 ctors and 0.2 d T.	with allocation for pena B incase of 4 connector <i>Response Status</i> C
Cl 180 Ghiasi, Ali Comment With c condit operat Suggestec If the F in miss operat	<i>Type</i> T concern rasied re ion in the TDECC ion <i>IRemedy</i> PMD under test h sion mode with th ing with PRBS31	Ghiasi Quant Comment Status R garding block errors and if TI	um DECQ captures ji Q is representativ e TDECQ is mea overed from the A terference toleran	TDECQ tter, need additional e of worst case sured with the module UI input. The AUI is	dB retur SuggestedR Add not 6 conne Response REJEC This is a Table 14 complet	n loss used but Remedy e to 200G-DR1 ctors and 0.2 d T. a resubmission 40-12 does not e revision of the	with allocation for pena B incase of 4 connector <i>Response Status</i> C of previous comment show 0.4 dB MPI penal e DR1 spec is needed.
Cl 180 Ghiasi, Ali Comment With c condit operat Suggestec If the F in miss operat	Type T concern rasied re ion in the TDECC ion <i>IRemedy</i> PMD under test h sion mode with the ing with PRBS31 /www.ieee802.or	Ghiasi Quant Comment Status R garding block errors and if TI Q setup to make sure TDECC has an optional AUI (C2M) the he clock driving SSPRQ reco 1Q pattern and worst case int	um DECQ captures ji Q is representativ e TDECQ is mea overed from the A terference toleran	TDECQ tter, need additional e of worst case sured with the module UI input. The AUI is	dB retur SuggestedR Add not 6 conne Response REJEC This is a Table 14 complet incompl	n loss used but Remedy e to 200G-DR1 ctors and 0.2 d T. a resubmission 40-12 does not e revision of the ete. A complet	with allocation for pena B incase of 4 connector

The proposed remedy is not sufficiently detailed to implement to the satisfaction of the commenter.

ECQ captures jitter, need additional is representative of worst case

TDECQ is measured with the module vered from the AUI input. The AUI is erference tolerance applied, see _01a_2409.pdf

C/ 180	SC 180.7.3	P 40 4	L11	# 262
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantum		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status R		Power budget

which has optical return loss tolerance RL are used. The assumed 0.1 dB MPI APC connectors with better than 45 re connector RL will be 35 dB.

ncreased to 0.4 dB per table 140-12 for

D1.1 which was "REJECT. .4 dB MPI penalty is needed then a fore the proposed remedy is the power budget is necessary."

dget was not provided as requested.

-					
Cl 182	SC 182.9.5	P 465	L 22	# 263	C/ 180
Ghiasi, Al	i	Ghiasi Quante	um		Ghiasi, Ali
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R		TDECQ	Comment Ty
condi	tion in the TDECC	garding block errors and if TI Q setup to make sure TDECC			Maximun cursors
in mis opera	dRemedy PMD under test h sion mode with th ting with PRBS31	has an optional AUI (C2M) the ne clock driving SSPRQ reco IQ pattern and worst case int	vered from the A erference tolerar	UI input. The AUI is nce applied, see	SuggestedRe Given the with "Nur min-value listed une
https:	//www.ieee802.or	g/3/dj/public/24_09/ghiasi_3c	lj_01a_2409.pdf		Response
Response REJE		Response Status C			ACCEPT
Resol	ve using the resp	onse to comment #260			In Table
C/ 183	SC 183.9.5	P 490	L 3	# 264	Move the
Ghiasi, Al	i	Ghiasi Quanti	um		IN the se
Comment	Type T	Comment Status R		TDECQ	cursor ta
With	concern rasied re	garding block errors and if TI a setup to make sure TDECC			With edit
opera					[Editor's
Suggeste	dRemedy				C/ 181
		has an optional AUI (C2M) the			Ghiasi, Ali
		ne clock driving SSPRQ reco			Comment Ty
	//www.ieee802.or	g/3/dj/public/24_09/ghiasi_3o Response Status C		····	Maximun cursors
REJE		Response Status			SuggestedRe
	÷	onse to comment #260.			Given the with "Nur

Cl 180	SC 180.9.5	P 412	L 33	# 265
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantum		
Comment Typ	pe T	Comment Status A		Tap weights

Im equalizer pre-cursors equal 3 also implies that we could have 0, 1, or 2 pre-

Remedy

he intention that equalizer doesn't float repalce "Maximum equalizer pre-cursors" umber of equalizer pre-cursors tap" and put 3 also in the min or create table with ue-max. Make post taps i explicit 3 to 11. Feedforward equalizer length should be nder Value col as 15, this is not a max as there is no Min!

Response Status C

T IN PRINCIPLE.

e 180-18 change Feed-forward equalizer (FFE) length to only list one value of 15. ne Maximum and Minimum column headings below this row.

second row change "Maximum equalizer pre-cursors" to "Number of equalizer pretaps".

litorial license.

s note: changed clause from 181 to 180 and subclause from 181.9.5 to 180.9.5]

C/ 181	SC 181.9.5	P 436	L 33	# 266
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Tap weights
Maxim curso		e-cursors equal 3 also implies	that we could	have 0, 1, or 2 pre-
Suggestee	dRemedy			
with "I min-va	Number of equaliz alue-max. Make	equalizer doesn't float repalc zer pre-cursors tap" and put 3 post taps i explicit 3 to 11. Fe as 15, this is not a max as the	also in the mi edforward equ	n or create table with

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #265 [Editor's note: changed clause from 182 to 181 and subclause from 182.9.5 to 181.9.5]

-										
C/ 183 SC	C 183.9.5	P 490	L 23	# 267	C/ 180	SC ·	180.9.5	P 412	L 36	# 269
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	n	
Comment Type	T Co	mment Status A		Tap weights	Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status A		Tap weights
Maximum e cursors	equalizer pre-curso	ors equal 3 also implies	that we could ha	ave 0, 1, or 2 pre-				nit C(-2)=0.2 is too restricted (-2) can be as large as 0.25	given that we h	nave C(-1)=0.5, to
SuggestedRem	ledy				Suggested	Remed	ly			
		izer doesn't float repale		•	Recom	end to	increase (C(-2) positive limit to +0.25 fro	om 0.2, see gl	niasi_3dj_01_2411
		e-cursors tap" and put 3 aps i explicit 3 to 11. Fe			Response			Response Status C		
		this is not a max as the		lizer length should be	ACCEF	T IN F	RINCIPLE			
Response	Res	ponse Status C			Resolv	e using	g the respo	onse to comment #268		
ACCEPT IN	N PRINCIPLE.				C/ 180	SC ·	180.9.5	P 412	L 37	# 270
Resolve us	ing the response to	o comment #265			Ghiasi, Ali	00	100.0.0	Ghiasi Quantu		" 210
C/ 180 S	C 180.9.5	P 412	L35	# 268	Comment 1	vne	т	Comment Status A		Tap weights
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu						nit C(1)=0.05 is too restricted	in cases of fas	, ,
Comment Type	T Co	mment Status A	1111	Tap weights				very beneficial		
51		-1)=0.05 is too restricte	ad	Tap weights	Suggested	Remed	ly			
SuggestedRem		1)=0.03 is too restricte	ŭ					C(1) positive limit to +0.2 from se see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411	n 0.05 helpful c	on fast transmitters to
Recomend	to increase C(-1)	positive limit to +0.1 fro	om 0.05, see ghi	asi_3dj_01_2411	Response			Response Status C		
Response	Res	ponse Status C			•		RINCIPLE	,		
ACCEPT IN	N PRINCIPLE.	·						onse to comment #268		
	eviewed ghiasi_01				C/ 180	SC ·	180.9.5	P 412	L 39	# 271
https://www	/.ieee802.org/3/dj/j	public/24_11/ghiasi_3d	lj_01_2411.pdf		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	n	
Expand Tal	ble 180-18 to C(-3)) to i(>=7) and incorpor	ate the proposed	J FFE values on slide	Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status A		Tap weights
9.							positive lin 3dj_01_24	nit C(2)=-0.1 and C(2)=0.2 is 11	too restricted a	and exceed limited data
With editori	al license.				Suggested	Remed	ly			
								C(2) positive limit to +0.3 from as prior tap weight. C(2) neg		
								s 0.129, recomending to incre		
					Response			Response Status C		
							RINCIPLE	=		

C/ 180	SC 180.9.5	P 412	L 39	# 272	C/ 181	SC 181	9.5	P 436	L 35	# 275
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	m	
Comment T	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Tap weights	Comment	Туре Т	C	omment Status A		Tap weight
		mit C(3)=-0.1 is too restricted	and exceed lin	nited data in the	TDECO	Q taps posi	tive limit C	(-1)=0.05 is too restricted	b	
0 =	_3dj_01_2411				Suggested	Remedy				
Suggested					Recom	nend to incl	ease C(-1)	positive limit to +0.1 from	m 0.05, see gh	iasi_3dj_01_2411
		C(3) positive limit to -0.15 from hiasi_3dj_01_2411 data show	()	5	Response		Re	sponse Status C		
Response	gan and a set a	Response Status C		,0 00 01.20		PT IN PRIN	-			
	PT IN PRINCIPLI				Resolv	e using the	response	to comment #268		
Resolv	ve using the respo	onse to comment #268			C/ 181	SC 181	9.5	P 436	L 36	# 276
C/ 180	SC 180.9.5	P 412	L 39	# 273	Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	m	
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu		11 210	Comment	Туре Т	C	omment Status A		Tap weight
Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Tap weights	TDECO	Q taps posi	tive limit C	(-2)=0.2 is too restricted	given that we h	ave C(-1)=0.5, to
	51	nit C(4)=0.1 is too restricted a	and exceed limit	1 0	correct	for C(-1)=	0.5 C(-2) c	an be as large as 0.25		
	_3dj_01_2411				Suggested	Remedy				
Suggested	 IRemedy				Recom	nend to incl	ease C(-2)	positive limit to +0.25 fro	om 0.2, see gh	iasi_3dj_01_2411
00	,	C(4) positive limit to -0.15 from	m 0 1 and C(4)	negative from -0.1 to -	Response		Re	sponse Status C		
		hiasi_3dj_01_2411 with some			ACCE	PT IN PRIN	ICIPLE.			
Response		Response Status C			Resolv	e using the	response	to comment #268		
	PT IN PRINCIPLI				C/ 181	SC 181	9.5	P 436	L 37	# 277
Resolv	ve using the respo	onse to comment #268			Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	m	
C/ 180	SC 180.9.5	P 412	L 39	# 274	Comment T	Τνρε Τ	С	omment Status A		Tap weight
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m			• •	tive limit C	(1)=0.05 is too restricted	in cases of fas	1 0
Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Tap weights	use po	sitive tap c	an be very	beneficial		
TDECO	Q taps negative li	mit C(5)=-0.1 is too restricted	and exceed lin	nited data in the	Suggested	Remedy				
ghiasi_	_3dj_01_2411							positive limit to +0.2 from	n 0.05 helpful o	n fast transmitters to
Suggested	lRemedy				reduce	the BW ar	nd noise se	e ghiasi_3dj_01_2411		
Pocom		5(4) positive limit to -0.15 fror hiasi_3dj_01_2411 with some			Response ACCEF	PT IN PRIN		esponse Status C		
	iven the data in g									
	iven the data in g	Response Status C		-	Resolv	e using the	response	to comment #268		

C/ 181	SC 181.9.5	P 436	L 39	# 278	C/ 181	SC 1	81.9.5	P 436	L 39	# 281
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantun	า	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Tap weights	Comment	Гуре	т	Comment Status A		Tap weights
	Q taps positive li ghiasi_3dj_01_24	mit C(2)=-0.1 and C(2)=0.2 is 411	too restricted a	and exceed limited data		Q taps n _3dj_01_		imit C(5)=-0.1 is too restricted	and exceed I	imited data in the
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy	/			
on tap	can be as much	C(2) positive limit to +0.3 from as prior tap weight. C(2) neg	ative limit ghias	si_3dj_01_2411 data				5(4) positive limit to -0.15 from hiasi_3dj_01_2411 with some		
show c 0.2.	an be as large a	is 0.129, recomending to incre	ease C(2) nega	tive limit from -0.1 to -	Response			Response Status C		
Response		Response Status C					RINCIPL the resp	E. onse to comment #268		
	PT IN PRINCIPL e using the resp	.E. onse to comment #268			C/ 182	SC 1	82.9.5	P 465	L 35	# 282
C/ 181	SC 181.9.5	P 436	L 39	# 279	Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantun	า	
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m		Comment		Т	Comment Status A		Tap weights
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Tap weights	TDEC	2 taps p	ositive li	mit C(-1)=0.05 is too restricted		
	ົງ ຊ taps negative l _3dj_01_2411	limit C(3)=-0.1 is too restricted	and exceed lir		Suggested Recom			C(-1) positive limit to +0.1 fron	n 0.05, see g	hiasi_3dj_01_2411
Suggested	Remedy				Response			Response Status C		
		C(3) positive limit to -0.15 fro ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 data show					RINCIPL the resp	E. onse to comment #268		
Response		Response Status C			C/ 182	SC 1	82.9.5	P465	L36	# 283
	PT IN PRINCIPL				Ghiasi, Ali	001	02.3.3	Ghiasi Quantun		# 205
Resolv	e using the resp	onse to comment #268			Comment	Tvne	т	Comment Status A	1	Tap weights
C/ 181	SC 181.9.5	P 436	L 39	# 280				mit C(-2)=0.2 is too restricted g	iven that we	, ,
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m					(-2) can be as large as 0.25		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Tap weights	Suggested	Remedy	/			
		mit C(4)=0.1 is too restricted a	and exceed limi	ted data in the	Recorr	end to i	ncrease	C(-2) positive limit to +0.25 fro	m 0.2, see g	hiasi_3dj_01_2411
0 =	_3dj_01_2411				Response			Response Status C		
	iend to increase	C(4) positive limit to -0.15 fro ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 with some					RINCIPL the resp			
Response		Response Status C		-						
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	•								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 67 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:04 PM

	SC 182.9.5	P 465	L 37	# 284
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	tum	
Comment T	уре т	Comment Status A		Tap weights
	taps positive lin	nit C(1)=0.05 is too restricte very beneficial	ed in cases of fast	transmitter ability to
SuggestedF	Remedy			
		C(1) positive limit to +0.2 fr e see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411		n fast transmitters to
Response		Response Status C		
	T IN PRINCIPLE e using the respo	nse to comment #268		
C/ 182	SC 182.9.5	P 465	L 39	# 285
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	tum	
Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status A		Tap weights
	taps positive lin hiasi_3dj_01_24	nit C(2)=-0.1 and C(2)=0.2	is too restricted a	nd exceed limited data
SuggestedF	Remedy			
Baaam				
on tap o	can be as much a	(2) positive limit to +0.3 m as prior tap weight. C(2) no 0.129, recomending to inc	egative limit ghias	
on tap o show ca	can be as much a	as prior tap weight. C(2) no	egative limit ghias	i_3dj_01_2411 data
on tap o show ca 0.2. <i>Response</i> ACCEP	can be as much a an be as large as PT IN PRINCIPLE	as prior tap weight. C(2) no 0.129, recomending to inc Response Status C	egative limit ghias	i_3dj_01_2411 data
on tap o show ca 0.2. <i>Response</i> ACCEP	can be as much a an be as large as PT IN PRINCIPLE	as prior tap weight. C(2) no 0.129, recomending to inc Response Status C	egative limit ghias	i_3dj_01_2411 data
on tap o show ca 0.2. Response ACCEP Resolve	can be as much a an be as large as PT IN PRINCIPLE e using the respo	as prior tap weight. C(2) no 0.129, recomending to inc <i>Response Status</i> C nse to comment #268	egative limit ghias prease C(2) negat	i_3dj_01_2411 data ve limit from -0.1 to -
on tap o show ca 0.2. Response ACCEP Resolve C/ 182	can be as much a an be as large as PT IN PRINCIPLE a using the respo SC 182.9.5	as prior tap weight. C(2) no 0.129, recomending to inc Response Status C nse to comment #268 P465	egative limit ghias prease C(2) negat	i_3dj_01_2411 data ve limit from -0.1 to -
on tap o show ca 0.2. Response ACCEP Resolve Cl 182 Ghiasi, Ali Comment T TDECO	can be as much a an be as large as PT IN PRINCIPLE a using the respo SC 182.9.5 Type T	as prior tap weight. C(2) no 0.129, recomending to inc Response Status C nse to comment #268 P465 Ghiasi Quan	egative limit ghias crease C(2) negat <i>L</i> 39 tum	i_3dj_01_2411 data ive limit from -0.1 to - # 286 Tap weights
on tap o show ca 0.2. Response ACCEP Resolve Cl 182 Ghiasi, Ali Comment T TDECO	can be as much a an be as large as T IN PRINCIPLE e using the respo SC 182.9.5 SC 182.9.5 Type T a taps negative lin 3dj_01_2411	as prior tap weight. C(2) no 0.129, recomending to inc Response Status C nse to comment #268 P465 Ghiasi Quan Comment Status A	egative limit ghias crease C(2) negat <i>L</i> 39 tum	i_3dj_01_2411 data ive limit from -0.1 to - # 286 Tap weights
on tap o show ca 0.2. Response ACCEP Resolve Cl 182 Ghiasi, Ali Comment T TDECQ ghiasi_S SuggestedF Recome	can be as much a an be as large as T IN PRINCIPLE a using the respo SC 182.9.5 SC 182.9.5 Type T taps negative lin 3dj_01_2411 Remedy end to increase C	as prior tap weight. C(2) no 0.129, recomending to inc Response Status C nse to comment #268 P465 Ghiasi Quan Comment Status A	egative limit ghias crease C(2) negat <i>L</i> 39 tum ed and exceed lim rom 0.1 and C(3)	i_3dj_01_2411 data ve limit from -0.1 to - # 2 <u>86</u> <i>Tap weights</i> hited data in the negative from -0.1 to -
on tap o show ca 0.2. Response ACCEP Resolve Cl 182 Ghiasi, Ali Comment T TDECQ ghiasi_S SuggestedF Recome	can be as much a an be as large as T IN PRINCIPLE a using the respo SC 182.9.5 SC 182.9.5 Type T taps negative lin 3dj_01_2411 Remedy end to increase C	as prior tap weight. C(2) no 0.129, recomending to inc Response Status C nse to comment #268 P465 Ghiasi Quan Comment Status A mit C(3)=-0.1 is too restrict C(3) positive limit to -0.15 fi	egative limit ghias crease C(2) negat <i>L</i> 39 tum ed and exceed lim rom 0.1 and C(3)	i_3dj_01_2411 data ve limit from -0.1 to - # 2 <u>86</u> <i>Tap weights</i> hited data in the negative from -0.1 to -

Resolve using the response to comment #268

C/ 182	SC 182.9.5	P4	65	L39	# 287
Ghiasi, Ali	00 102.0.0		i Quantum		11 201
Comment	Түре т	Comment Status	Α		Tap weights
TDEC		nit C(4)=0.1 is too re	stricted ar	nd exceed lim	, ,
Suggested	Remedy				
		C(4) positive limit to hiasi_3dj_01_2411 v			negative from -0.1 to - ng 0.1
Response		Response Status	С		
	PT IN PRINCIPLI e using the respo	E. onse to comment #20	68		
C/ 182	SC 182.9.5	P40	65	L 39	# 288
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghias	i Quantum	า	
		Comment Status mit C(5)=-0.1 is too		and exceed li	<i>Tap weights</i> mited data in the
Suggested	Remedy				
		5(4) positive limit to - hiasi_3dj_01_2411 v			negative from -0.1 to - ng -0.1
Response		Response Status	С		
	PT IN PRINCIPLI	E. onse to comment #20	68		
C/ 183	SC 183.9.5	P49	90	L 35	# 289
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghias	i Quantum	า	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Α		Tap weights
TDECO	Q taps positive lin	nit C(-1)=0.05 is too	restricted		
Suggested	Remedy				
Recom	end to increase	C(-1) positive limit to	+0.1 from	n 0.05, see gl	niasi_3dj_01_2411
	PT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status =. onse to comment #20			

C/ 183	SC 183.9.5	P 490	L 22	# 290	C/ 183	SC 183.9.5	P49	90	L 25	# 293
Shiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghias	i Quantum		
comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status A		Tap weights	Comment	Туре т	Comment Status	Α		Tap weights
		mit C(-2)=0.2 is too restricted C(-2) can be as large as 0.25	given that we h	ave C(-1)=0.5, to		Q taps negative _3dj_01_2411	limit C(3)=-0.1 is too i	restricted and	d exceed lim	ited data in the
SuggestedR	emedy				Suggested	lRemedy				
Recome	nd to increase	C(-2) positive limit to +0.25 fr	om 0.2, see gh	iasi_3dj_01_2411						negative from -0.1 to -
Response		Response Status C			0.15 gi	iven the data in g	ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 d	lata show car	n be as large	e as 0.129
ACCEPT	T IN PRINCIPL	.E.			Response		Response Status	С		
		onse to comment #268				PT IN PRINCIPI				
[Editor's	note: changed	I clause from 181 to 183 and s	subclause from	181.9.5 to 183.9.5]		0 1	oonse to comment #26 d clause from 181 to 1		lause from 1	[81.9.5 to 183.9.5]
/ 183	SC 183.9.5	P 490	L 23	# 291		-				
hiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m		C/ 183	SC 183.9.5	P49	90	L 26	# 294
omment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status A		Tap weights	Ghiasi, Ali		Ghias	i Quantum		
,	,	mit C(1)=0.05 is too restricted	l in cases of fas	1 0	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Α		Tap weights
		e very beneficial		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			imit C(4)=0.1 is too re	stricted and e	exceed limit	ed data in the
					abiaci	3di 01 2411				
uggestear	emedy				-	_3dj_01_2411				
		C(1) positive limit to +0.2 from	n 0.05 helpful o	n fast transmitters to	Suggested	Remedy				
Recome	nd to increase	C(1) positive limit to +0.2 fror ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411	n 0.05 helpful o	n fast transmitters to	Suggested Recom	Remedy nend to increase				negative from -0.1 to -
Recome reduce t	nd to increase		n 0.05 helpful o	n fast transmitters to	Suggested Recom 0.15 gi	Remedy nend to increase	ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 v	vith some tap		
reduce t Response ACCEPT	nd to increase he BW and noi IN PRINCIPL	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 <i>Response Status</i> C .E.	n 0.05 helpful o	n fast transmitters to	Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in g	ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 v Response Status	vith some tap		
Recome reduce ti Response ACCEPT Resolve	nd to increase he BW and noi IN PRINCIPL using the resp	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 <i>Response Status</i> C E. ionse to comment #268.			Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response ACCEI	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in PT IN PRINCIPI	ghiaśi_3dj_01_2411 w <i>Response Status</i> _E.	vith some tap C		
Recome reduce th Response ACCEPT Resolve	nd to increase he BW and noi IN PRINCIPL using the resp	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 <i>Response Status</i> C .E.			Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response ACCEI Resolv	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in PT IN PRINCIPL ve using the resp	ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 v Response Status	vith some tap C 58	os exceeding	J 0.1
Recome reduce th esponse ACCEPT Resolve [Editor's	nd to increase he BW and noi IN PRINCIPL using the resp	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 <i>Response Status</i> C E. ionse to comment #268.			Suggested Recorr 0.15 gi Response ACCEI Resolv [Editor	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in g PT IN PRINCIPL ve using the resp 's note: changed	ghiaśi_3dj_01_2411 w <i>Response Status</i> LE. bonse to comment #20 d clause from 181 to 1	vith some tap C 58 83 and subcl	es exceeding	9 0.1 181.9.5 to 183.9.5]
Recome reduce ti esponse ACCEPT Resolve [Editor's	nd to increase he BW and noi T IN PRINCIPL using the resp note: changed	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 <i>Response Status</i> C E. ionse to comment #268. I clause from 181 to 183 and s	subclause from	181.9.5 to 183.9.5]	Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response ACCEH Resolv [Editor C/ 183	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in PT IN PRINCIPL ve using the resp	ghiaśi_3dj_01_2411 v Response Status LE. oonse to comment #20 d clause from 181 to 1 P48	vith some tap C 88 83 and subcl 30	os exceeding	J 0.1
Recome reduce ti esponse ACCEPT Resolve [Editor's / 183 hiasi, Ali	nd to increase he BW and noi T IN PRINCIPL using the resp note: changed SC 183.9.5	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 <i>Response Status</i> C E. ionse to comment #268. I clause from 181 to 183 and s <i>P</i> 490	subclause from	181.9.5 to 183.9.5]	Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response ACCEI Resolv [Editor C/ 183 Ghiasi, Ali	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in g PT IN PRINCIPL ve using the resp 's note: changed SC 183.7.1	ghiaśi_3dj_01_2411 w Response Status LE. bonse to comment #20 d clause from 181 to 1 P4t Ghias	vith some tap C 68 83 and subcl 30 i Quantum	es exceeding	9 0.1 181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # <u>[295</u>
Recome reduce th esponse ACCEPT Resolve [Editor's / 183 hiasi, Ali omment Ty TDECQ	nd to increase he BW and noi T IN PRINCIPL using the resp note: changed SC 183.9.5 ype T taps positive lii	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 <i>Response Status</i> C .E. onse to comment #268. I clause from 181 to 183 and s <i>P</i> 490 Ghiasi Quantu <i>Comment Status</i> A mit C(2)=-0.1 and C(2)=0.2 is	subclause from <i>L</i> 24 m	181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # 292 Tap weights	Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response ACCEI Resolv [Editor C/ 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in g PT IN PRINCIPL re using the resp 's note: changed SC 183.7.1 Type T	ghiaśi_3dj_01_2411 w Response Status LE. oonse to comment #20 d clause from 181 to 1 P4t Ghias Comment Status	vith some tap C 68 83 and subcl 30 i Quantum R	lause from 1	9 0.1 181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # <u>[295</u> <i>Tap weight</i> s
Recome reduce th esponse ACCEPT Resolve [Editor's / 183 hiasi, Ali omment Ty TDECQ	nd to increase he BW and noi T IN PRINCIPL using the resp note: changed SC 183.9.5 rpe T	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 <i>Response Status</i> C .E. onse to comment #268. I clause from 181 to 183 and s <i>P</i> 490 Ghiasi Quantu <i>Comment Status</i> A mit C(2)=-0.1 and C(2)=0.2 is	subclause from <i>L</i> 24 m	181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # 292 Tap weights	Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response ACCEF Resolv [Editor C/ 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment TDECC	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in g PT IN PRINCIPL ve using the resp 's note: changed SC 183.7.1 Type T Q taps negative	ghiaśi_3dj_01_2411 w Response Status LE. bonse to comment #20 d clause from 181 to 1 P4t Ghias	vith some tap C 68 83 and subcl 30 i Quantum R	lause from 1	9 0.1 181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # <u>[295</u> <i>Tap weight</i> s
Recome reduce th esponse ACCEPT Resolve [Editor's / 183 hiasi, Ali omment Ty TDECQ in the gh uggestedR	nd to increase he BW and noi T IN PRINCIPL using the resp note: changed SC 183.9.5 ype T taps positive lin iasi_3dj_01_24 emedy	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 Response Status C E. ionse to comment #268. I clause from 181 to 183 and s P490 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status A mit C(2)=-0.1 and C(2)=0.2 is 411	subclause from <i>L</i> 24 m too restricted a	181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # 292 <i>Tap weights</i> nd exceed limited data	Suggested Recorr 0.15 gi Response ACCEI Resolv [Editor C/ 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment TDECC ghiasi_	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in a PT IN PRINCIPL ve using the resp 's note: changed SC 183.7.1 Type T Q taps negative _3dj_01_2411	ghiaśi_3dj_01_2411 w Response Status LE. oonse to comment #20 d clause from 181 to 1 P4t Ghias Comment Status	vith some tap C 68 83 and subcl 30 i Quantum R	lause from 1	9 0.1 181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # <u>295</u> Tap weights
Recome reduce th esponse ACCEPT Resolve [Editor's / 183 hiasi, Ali omment Ty TDECQ in the gh uggestedRe Recome	nd to increase he BW and noi T IN PRINCIPL using the resp note: changed SC 183.9.5 ype T taps positive lin iasi_3dj_01_24 emedy nd to increase	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 Response Status C E. ionse to comment #268. I clause from 181 to 183 and s P490 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status A mit C(2)=-0.1 and C(2)=0.2 is 411 C(2) positive limit to +0.3 from	subclause from <i>L</i> 24 m too restricted a n 0.2 given that	181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # 292 <i>Tap weights</i> nd exceed limited data C(-1)=-0.6 the follow	Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response ACCEI Resolv [Editor Cl 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment TDECC ghiasi_ Suggested	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in a PT IN PRINCIPL ve using the resp 's note: changed SC 183.7.1 Type T Q taps negative _3dj_01_2411 IRemedy	ghiaśi_3dj_01_2411 w Response Status LE. d clause from 181 to 1 P4t Ghias Comment Status limit C(5)=-0.1 is too n	vith some tap C 58 83 and subcl 30 i Quantum R restricted and	lause from 1 L 35	9 0.1 181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # [<u>295</u> <i>Tap weight</i> s ited data in the
Recome reduce the esponse ACCEPT Resolve [Editor's / 183 hiasi, Ali comment Ty TDECQ in the ghe uggestedRe Recome on tap ca	nd to increase he BW and noi T IN PRINCIPL using the resp note: changed SC 183.9.5 ype T taps positive lin iasi_3dj_01_24 emedy and to increase an be as much	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 Response Status C E. ionse to comment #268. I clause from 181 to 183 and s P490 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status A mit C(2)=-0.1 and C(2)=0.2 is 411 C(2) positive limit to +0.3 from as prior tap weight. C(2) neg	subclause from <i>L</i> 24 m too restricted a n 0.2 given that gative limit ghias	181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # 292 <i>Tap weights</i> nd exceed limited data C(-1)=-0.6 the follow si_3dj_01_2411 data	Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response ACCEI Resolv [Editor C/ 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment TDECC ghiasi_ Suggested Recom	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in a PT IN PRINCIPL ve using the resp 's note: changed SC 183.7.1 Type T Q taps negative _3dj_01_2411 IRemedy nend to increase	ghiaśi_3dj_01_2411 v Response Status LE. oonse to comment #20 d clause from 181 to 1 P48 Ghias Comment Status limit C(5)=-0.1 is too n	vith some tap C 58 83 and subcl 30 i Quantum R restricted and 0.15 from 0.1	lause from 1 L 35 d exceed lim 1 and C(5) r	9 0.1 181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # 295 <i>Tap weights</i> ited data in the megative from -0.1 to -
Recome reduce th esponse ACCEPT Resolve [Editor's / 183 hiasi, Ali omment Ty TDECQ in the gh uggestedRe Recome on tap ca	nd to increase he BW and noi T IN PRINCIPL using the resp note: changed SC 183.9.5 ype T taps positive lin iasi_3dj_01_24 emedy and to increase an be as much	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 Response Status C E. ionse to comment #268. I clause from 181 to 183 and s P490 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status A mit C(2)=-0.1 and C(2)=0.2 is 411 C(2) positive limit to +0.3 from	subclause from <i>L</i> 24 m too restricted a n 0.2 given that gative limit ghias	181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # 292 <i>Tap weights</i> nd exceed limited data C(-1)=-0.6 the follow si_3dj_01_2411 data	Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response ACCEI Resolv [Editor C/ 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment TDECC ghiasi_ Suggested Recom	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in a PT IN PRINCIPL ve using the resp 's note: changed SC 183.7.1 Type T Q taps negative _3dj_01_2411 IRemedy nend to increase iven the data in a	ghiaśi_3dj_01_2411 v Response Status LE. Donse to comment #20 d clause from 181 to 1 P48 Ghias Comment Status limit C(5)=-0.1 is too n e 5(4) positive limit to - ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 v	vith some tap C 68 83 and subcl 30 i Quantum R restricted and 0.15 from 0.1 vith some tap	lause from 1 L 35 d exceed lim 1 and C(5) r	9 0.1 181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # 295 <i>Tap weights</i> ited data in the megative from -0.1 to -
Recome reduce ti esponse ACCEPT Resolve [Editor's / 183 hiasi, Ali omment Ty TDECQ in the gh uggestedR Recome on tap c: show ca 0.2.	nd to increase he BW and noi T IN PRINCIPL using the resp note: changed SC 183.9.5 ype T taps positive lin iasi_3dj_01_24 emedy and to increase an be as much	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 Response Status C E. ionse to comment #268. I clause from 181 to 183 and s P490 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status A mit C(2)=-0.1 and C(2)=0.2 is 411 C(2) positive limit to +0.3 from as prior tap weight. C(2) neg	subclause from <i>L</i> 24 m too restricted a n 0.2 given that gative limit ghias	181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # 292 <i>Tap weights</i> nd exceed limited data C(-1)=-0.6 the follow si_3dj_01_2411 data	Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response ACCEF Resolv [Editor Cl 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment TDECC ghiasi_ Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response	IRemedy nend to increase iven the data in the PT IN PRINCIPL ve using the resp 's note: changed SC 183.7.1 Type T Q taps negative _3dj_01_2411 IRemedy nend to increase iven the data in the	ghiaśi_3dj_01_2411 v Response Status LE. oonse to comment #20 d clause from 181 to 1 P48 Ghias Comment Status limit C(5)=-0.1 is too n	vith some tap C 68 83 and subcl 30 i Quantum R restricted and 0.15 from 0.1 vith some tap	lause from 1 L 35 d exceed lim 1 and C(5) r	9 0.1 181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # 295 <i>Tap weights</i> ited data in the megative from -0.1 to -
Recome reduce ti esponse ACCEPT Resolve [Editor's / 183 hiasi, Ali omment Ty TDECQ in the gh uggestedRe Recome on tap ca show ca 0.2. esponse	nd to increase he BW and noi T IN PRINCIPL using the resp note: changed SC 183.9.5 ype T taps positive lin iasi_3dj_01_24 emedy and to increase an be as much	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 Response Status C E. conse to comment #268. I clause from 181 to 183 and s P490 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status A mit C(2)=-0.1 and C(2)=0.2 is 411 C(2) positive limit to +0.3 from as prior tap weight. C(2) neg as 0.129, recomending to increase Response Status C	subclause from <i>L</i> 24 m too restricted a n 0.2 given that gative limit ghias	181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # 292 <i>Tap weights</i> nd exceed limited data C(-1)=-0.6 the follow si_3dj_01_2411 data	Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response ACCEH Resolv [Editor C/ 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment TDECC ghiasi_ Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response REJEC	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in p PT IN PRINCIPL ve using the resp 's note: changed SC 183.7.1 Type T Q taps negative _3dj_01_2411 IRemedy nend to increase iven the data in p CT.	ghiaśi_3dj_01_2411 v Response Status LE. Donse to comment #20 d clause from 181 to 1 P48 Ghias Comment Status limit C(5)=-0.1 is too n e 5(4) positive limit to - ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 v	vith some tap C 58 83 and subcl 30 i Quantum R restricted and 0.15 from 0.1 vith some tap C	lause from 1 <i>L</i> 35 d exceed lim 1 and C(5) r s exceeding	9 0.1 181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # [<u>295</u> <i>Tap weights</i> ited data in the negative from -0.1 to - g -0.1
Recome reduce ti Response ACCEPT Resolve [Editor's 7/ 183 Shiasi, Ali Comment Ty TDECQ in the gh SuggestedR Recome on tap ca show ca 0.2. Response ACCEPT Resolve	nd to increase he BW and noi T IN PRINCIPL using the resp note: changed SC 183.9.5 ype T taps positive lin iasi_3dj_01_24 emedy nd to increase an be as much n be as large a T IN PRINCIPL using the resp	ise see ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 Response Status C E. conse to comment #268. I clause from 181 to 183 and s P490 Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status A mit C(2)=-0.1 and C(2)=0.2 is 411 C(2) positive limit to +0.3 from as prior tap weight. C(2) neg as 0.129, recomending to increase Response Status C	too restricted a n 0.2 given that pative limit ghias pase C(2) negat	181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # 292 Tap weights and exceed limited data C(-1)=-0.6 the follow si_3dj_01_2411 data tive limit from -0.1 to -	Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response ACCEH Resolv [Editor C/ 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment TDECC ghiasi_ Suggested Recom 0.15 gi Response REJEC	Remedy nend to increase iven the data in a PT IN PRINCIPL ve using the resp 's note: changed SC 183.7.1 Type T Q taps negative _3dj_01_2411 IRemedy nend to increase iven the data in a CT.	ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 v Response Status LE. bonse to comment #20 d clause from 181 to 1 P48 Ghias Comment Status limit C(5)=-0.1 is too n e 5(4) positive limit to - ghiasi_3dj_01_2411 v Response Status	vith some tap C 58 83 and subcl 30 i Quantum R restricted and 0.15 from 0.1 vith some tap C	lause from 1 <i>L</i> 35 d exceed lim 1 and C(5) r s exceeding	9 0.1 181.9.5 to 183.9.5] # [<u>295</u> <i>Tap weights</i> ited data in the negative from -0.1 to - g -0.1

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 176D SC 176D.7.1	3.2 P715	L 18	# 296	C/ 183	SC 183.8	P 463	L17	# 299
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	ım		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Rx test methodology	Comment Typ	be T	Comment Status R		Optical channe
	e frequencies are seperated b			Optical re	turn losses ar	e TBD for FR4 and LR4		
and B the frequencies and sensitivity issue in	are seperated by a decade wh this band	hich may mask p	oossible jitter peaking	SuggestedRe	medy			
SuggestedRemedy						lant as FR4-500 propose to υ	use 17.1 dB for I	R4 and 15.6 dB for
<i>,</i>	t point between case A and B	at frequency of	0.125 MHz with iitter	•	al return losse			
amplitude of 1.6 UI			,	Response		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			REJECT.				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Resolve using the resp	E. oonse to comment #418.			183-9 wh	ich has optical	mment is referring to. The re return loss parameters but th	ney are not TBD	
C/ 183 SC 183.7.3	P 483	L 39	# 297			ced page and line are not for		
Shiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	m			nent does not d remedy is ac	provide sufficient information	to understand t	he problem that the
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Power budget		-	-		
FR4 power budget is T	BD			C/ 180	SC 180.9.13	P 415	L 28	# 300
SuggestedRemedy				Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m	
channel lossfor FR4 is budget of 8.3 dB	=4.0 dB with addition of alloca	ation penalties o	f 4.3 dB result in power	Comment Typ 121.8.10	be E is the Wrong r	Comment Status A		(editorial
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRe	medv			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			00	be 121.8.9			
Resolve using the resp	oonse to comment #146.			Response		Response Status C		
	P 480	L 35	# 298		IN PRINCIPL t with editoria	E. I license and discretion.		
C/ 183 SC 183.7.1	-·· · -	m					L 8	# 301
	Ghiasi Quantu			C/ 181	SC 181.9.13	P439		
Shiasi, Ali Comment Type T	Comment Status A		TDECQ		SC 181.9.13	P 439 Ghiasi Quantu	_ _	
Shiasi, Ali Comment Type T johnson_3df_01a_2210	Comment Status A 011 presentation which includ	le both dispersic	on penalty for FR4 and	Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m	
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type T johnson_3df_01a_2210 LR4 was used to set th	Comment Status A	le both dispersic , and given sligh	on penalty for FR4 and ly lower dispersion	Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ	pe E	Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status A	m	(editorial
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type T johnson_3df_01a_2210 LR4 was used to set th	Comment Status A 011 presentation which includ ie LR4 TDECQ limit to 3.9 dB,	le both dispersic , and given sligh	on penalty for FR4 and ly lower dispersion	Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ 121.8.10	be E is the Wrong r	Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status A	m	
Shiasi, Ali Comment Type T johnson_3df_01a_2210 LR4 was used to set th penalty for FR4 the sar SuggestedRemedy see ghiasi_3dj_03_241	Comment Status A 011 presentation which includ ie LR4 TDECQ limit to 3.9 dB,	de both dispersic , and given sligh ion penalty of 3.	on penalty for FR4 and ly lower dispersion 4 dB	Ghiasi, Ali Comment Tyµ 121.8.10 SuggestedRe	be E is the Wrong r	Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status A	m	
Shiasi, Ali Comment Type T johnson_3df_01a_2210 LR4 was used to set th penalty for FR4 the sar SuggestedRemedy see ghiasi_3dj_03_241 TDECQ= 3.4 dB	Comment Status A 011 presentation which includ le LR4 TDECQ limit to 3.9 dB, me presentation show dispersi	de both dispersic , and given sligh ion penalty of 3.	on penalty for FR4 and ly lower dispersion 4 dB	Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment Tyµ</i> 121.8.10 <i>SuggestedRe</i> It should	be E is the Wrong r medy	Ghiasi Quantur Comment Status A eference	m	
Shiasi, Ali Comment Type T johnson_3df_01a_2210 LR4 was used to set th penalty for FR4 the sar SuggestedRemedy see ghiasi_3dj_03_241	Comment Status A 011 presentation which includ le LR4 TDECQ limit to 3.9 dB, me presentation show dispersi	de both dispersic , and given sligh ion penalty of 3.	on penalty for FR4 and ly lower dispersion 4 dB	Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ 121.8.10 SuggestedRe It should Response	be E is the Wrong r medy be 121.8.9	Ghiasi Quantur Comment Status A eference Response Status C	m	
Comment Type T johnson_3df_01a_2210 LR4 was used to set th penalty for FR4 the sar CuggestedRemedy see ghiasi_3dj_03_241 TDECQ= 3.4 dB TECQ= 3.0 dB	Comment Status A 011 presentation which includ le LR4 TDECQ limit to 3.9 dB, me presentation show dispersi	de both dispersic , and given sligh ion penalty of 3.	on penalty for FR4 and ly lower dispersion 4 dB	Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ 121.8.10 SuggestedRe It should Response ACCEPT	be E is the Wrong r medy be 121.8.9 IN PRINCIPL	Ghiasi Quantur Comment Status A eference Response Status C	m	
thiasi, Ali <i>comment Type</i> T johnson_3df_01a_2210 LR4 was used to set th penalty for FR4 the sar <i>cuggestedRemedy</i> see ghiasi_3dj_03_241 TDECQ= 3.4 dB TECQ= 3.0 dB TDECQ-TECQ (max)=	Comment Status A D11 presentation which includ le LR4 TDECQ limit to 3.9 dB, me presentation show dispersi 11 for additional details with fol s2.5 dB Response Status C	de both dispersic , and given sligh ion penalty of 3.	on penalty for FR4 and ly lower dispersion 4 dB	Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ 121.8.10 SuggestedRe It should Response ACCEPT	be E is the Wrong r medy be 121.8.9 IN PRINCIPL	Ghiasi Quantu Comment Status A eference Response Status C E.	m	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 301

121.8.10 is the Wrong reference DGD_max is TBD SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C REJECT. 112.9.13 is "Stressed receiver sensitivity" and the current cross reference is to "Stressed receiver sensitivity" which is correct. The suggested remedy points to "Receiver sensitivity" which is correct. The suggested remedy points to "Receiver sensitivity" which is correct. The suggested remedy points to "Receiver sensitivity" which is correct. The suggested remedy points to "Receiver sensitivity" which is correct. The suggested remedy points to "Receiver sensitivity" which is correct. The suggested remedy points to "Receiver sensitivity" which is correct. The suggested remedy points to "Receiver sensitivity" which is incorrect. DGD_max is TBD Note editorial comment #300 is the same comment against 180.9.13 and will not be implemented. In Table 183-9 for Ret change DGD_max from "TBD" to "2.3" C1 183 SC 183.7.1 # 1803 C1 183 SC 183.7.2 P482 L31 # 1804 C1 183 SC 183.7.2 P482 L31 # 1804 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Shasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Goditional Correct Type T Conneent Status A Rx optical parametriphyse ges philes (.3d, 0.3, 2411 for additional details with following limits for TDECO-TECO (Imax)-25 dB SuggestedRemedy see along phisals, Ali Ghiasi Quantum	hinei Ali								
121.8.10 is the Wrong reference DGD_max is TBD SuggestedRemedy Rsponse Rsponse Status C REJECT. 182.9.13 is "Stressed receiver sensitivity" and the current cross reference is to "Stressed receiver sensitivity" which is incorrect. Note editorial comment #300 is the same comment against 180.9.13 and will not be implemented. Par kuschnerov. 3d _01_2211. contribution DGD_max is 7ED C/ 183 SC 183.9.13 P493 L11 # 303 Damiesi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum Ghiasi Quantum Comment Status A C/ 183 SC 183.9.13 P493 L11 # 303 Dissi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum Ghiasi Quantum Comment Status A TDECO. SegentsedRemedy It should be 121.8.9 SC 183.7.2 P492 L31 # 304 Stass, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Comment Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Table 182-9 for TCQ are TBD SegentsedRemedy It should be 121.8.9 SC 183.7.2 P492 L31 # 304 Stressed receiver sensitivity Ghiasi Quantum Comment Status Response Status C C/ 183 SC 183.7.2 P492 L31 # 304 Response Status	nasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	m		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m	
Tis should be 121.8.9 Response Response Status C REJECT. Response Status C 132.9.13 is "Stressed receiver sensitivity" which is correct. The suggested remedy points to "Receiver sensitivity" which is correct. Note editional comment #300 is the same comment against 180.9.13 and will not be implemented. Response Status C C1 183 SC 183.9.13 P493 L11 # 503 Shiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type T Comment Status A TDECO. SuggestedRemedy Its hould be 121.8.9 Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. TDECO. Implement with editorial license and discretion. C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement Wire ditorial license and discretion. C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Sitess, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type T Comment Status A TDECO. SuggestedRemedy Sitess, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Sitessed receiver sensitivity (OMAULE) (MAULE) Response Status C C1183 SC 183.7.2 P482 L31 # 204 Sitessed receiver sensitivity (OMAULE) (MAULE) Response Status C SuggestedRemedy Si				(bucket)			Comment Status A		Optical channe
132.9.13 is "Stressed receiver sensitivity" and the current cross reference is to "Stressed receiver sensitivity" which is correct. The suggested remedy points to "Receiver sensitivity" which is correct. The suggested remedy points to "Receiver sensitivity" which is correct. Mesponse Response Status C Note editorial comment #300 is the same comment against 180.9.13 and will not be implemented. In Table 183-9 for FR4 change DGD_max from "TBD" to "2.3" C1 183 SC 183.9.13 P493 L11 # 303 Shiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial) 121.8.10 is the Wrong reference (editorial) SuggestedRemedy It should be 121.8.9 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P482 L31 # 204 Shiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum SuggestedRemedy Is should be 121.8.9 Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with editorial license and discretion. Response Status A Response Status C C1 183 SC 183.7.2 P482 L31 # 204 Shais, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type Correct Q(Imax)=2.5 dB SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy	It should be 121.8.9 esponse Respon	nse Status C			Per kusc value on	hnerov_3df_(
implemented. Ghiasi Quantum C/ 183 SC 183.9.13 P493 L11 # 303 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type T Comment Status A TDECQ, TECQ, and TDECQ-TECQ are TBD 121.8.10 is the Wrong reference SuggestedRemedy It should be 121.8.9 Kesponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with editorial license and discretion. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See ghiasi_3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for TDECQ = 3.0 dB TDECQ - TECQ (Imax)=2.5 dB Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status A Response Status C C/ 183 SC 183.7.2 P482 L31 # 304 TDECQ - TECQ (Imax)=2.5 dB SuggestedRemedy see also ghiasi_3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for TDECQ = 3.0 dB TDECQ - TECQ (Imax)=2.5 dB Comment Type T Comment Status A Rx optical parameter ponson_3df_01a_221011 presentation can also be used to address TBDs for the stressed sensitivity Ghiasi_Quantum SuggestedRemedy see also ghiasi_3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for Stressed receiver sensitivity (UMAcuter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressede receiver sensitivi	182.9.13 is "Stressed receiver se receiver sensitivity" which is corr				ACCEPT		LE.)" to "2.3"	
C/ 183 SC 183.9.13 P493 L11 # 303 C/ 183 Camment Status A (editorial) 121.8.10 is the Wrong reference (editorial) Suggested/Remedy It should be 121.8.9 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Implement with editorial license and discretion. Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy C/ 183 SC 183.7.2 P482 L31 # 304 Comment Type T Comment Status A Rx optical parameter pinnson_3df_01a_221011 presentation can also be used to address TBDs for the stressed sensitivity Response V Response to comment #146. Suggested/Remedy see also ghiasi_3d_0.0.2411 for additional details with following limits for Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed receiver sensitivity (Stressed receiver sensitivity (Stressed receiver sensitivity (Stressed receiver sens Status C	Note editorial comment #300 is f	the same comment a	against 180.9.1	3 and will not be	C/ 182	SC 182.7.1	P 452	L 45	# 306
Chiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial) 121.8.10 is the Wrong reference SuggestedRemedy It should be 121.8.9 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with editorial license and discretion. (editorial) C/ 183 SC 183.7.2 P482 L 31 # 304 Comment Type T Comment Status A Response Comment Type T Comment Status A Rx optical parameter johnson_3df_01a_221011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-2 links is a dispersion about 1/5 of LR4 SuggestedRemedy Exponse Response Status C C/ 183 SC 183.7.2 P482 L 31 # 304 Comment Type T Comment Status A Rx optical parameter johnson_3df_01a_221011 presentation can also be used to address TBDs for the stressed see also ghiasi. 3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed eve clousure for PAM4(SECQ), each lane is the max TDECQ=3.4 dB Response Response Status C	implemented.				Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m	
Sinds, All Gliab Qualituit Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial) 121.8.10 is the Wrong reference (editorial) (editorial) (Editorial) SuggestedRemedy It should be 121.8.9 (editorial) (Editorial) Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy<	183 SC 183.9.13	P 493	L11	# 303	Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A		TDEC
Comment Type E Comment Status A (editorial) 121.8.10 is the Wrong reference (editorial) SuggestedRemedy It should be 121.8.9 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with editorial license and discretion. C/I 183 SC 183.7.2 P 482 L 31 Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Response 3d (ST 2) Joint Status A Rx optical parameter johnson .3df_012_212/1011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-221011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-221011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-221011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-221011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-221011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-221011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-221011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-221011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-221011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-221011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-221011 presentation which include both dispersion penalty for FR4 and DR-221011 presentation which include both dispersion appendix for Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB = -1.2 dBm	hiasi. Ali	Ghiasi Quantu	m						
It should be 121.8.9 Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with editorial license and discretion. C/ 183 SC 183.7.2 P482 L31 # 304 Shiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type T Comment Status A Rx optical parameter johnson_3df_01a_221011 presentation can also be used to address TBDs for the stressed sensitivity SuggestedRemedy see also ghiasi_3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed eye clousure for PAM4(SECQ), each lane is the max TDECQ=3.4 dB Response Response Status C Response Response Status C				(editorial)	LR4 was	used to set t	he LR4 TDECQ limit to 3.9 dB		
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with editorial license and discretion. C/ 183 SC 183.7.2 P482 L 31 # 304 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Ghiasi Quantum C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C SuggestedRemedy see also ghiasi_3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed eye clousure for PAM4(SECQ), each lane is the max TDECQ=3.4 dB Response Response Status C Response Response Status C	uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	emedy			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with editorial license and discretion. TECQ= 3.0 dB CI 183 SC 183.7.2 P482 L 31 # 304 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type T Comment Status A Rx optical parameter iphnson_3df_01a_221011 presentation can also be used to address TBDs for the stressed sensitivity Rx optical parameter is the max TDECQ=3.4 dB Response Response to comment #146. SuggestedRemedy see also ghiasi_3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed eye clousure for PAM4(SECQ), each lane is the max TDECQ=3.4 dB Response Response Status C Response Response Status C C	It should be 121.8.9						11 for additional details with fo	llowing limits for	r
Cl 183 SC 183.7.2 P482 L31 # 304 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Ghiasi Quantum ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #146. Comment Type T Comment Status A Rx optical parameter johnson_3df_01a_221011 presentation can also be used to address TBDs for the stressed sensitivity Resolve using the response to comment #146. SuggestedRemedy see also ghiasi_3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed eye clousure for PAM4(SECQ), each lane is the max TDECQ=3.4 dB Response Response Status C		nse Status C			TECQ= 3	3.0 dB	=2.5 dB		
Chinasi Sc 163.7.2 F462 L31 # 304 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type T Comment Status A Rx optical parameter johnson_3df_01a_221011 presentation can also be used to address TBDs for the stressed sensitivity Resolve using the response to comment #146. SuggestedRemedy see also ghiasi_3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed eye clousure for PAM4(SECQ), each lane is the max TDECQ=3.4 dB Response Response Response Status C	Implement with editorial license	and discretion.			Response		Response Status C		
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum Comment Type T Comment Status A Rx optical parameter johnson_3df_01a_221011 presentation can also be used to address TBDs for the stressed sensitivity SuggestedRemedy see also ghiasi_3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed eye clousure for PAM4(SECQ), each lane is the max TDECQ=3.4 dB Response Response Status C		P482	/ 31	# 304	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP	LE.		
Comment Type T Comment Status A Rx optical parameter johnson_3df_01a_221011 presentation can also be used to address TBDs for the stressed sensitivity SuggestedRemedy see also ghiasi_3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed eye clousure for PAM4(SECQ), each lane is the max TDECQ=3.4 dB Response Response Status					Resolve	using the res	ponse to comment #146.		
johnson_3df_01a_221011 presentation can also be used to address TBDs for the stressed sensitivity SuggestedRemedy see also ghiasi_3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed eye clousure for PAM4(SECQ), each lane is the max TDECQ=3.4 dB Response Response Status C	,			Ry ontical parameter					
see also ghiasi_3dj_03_2411 for additional details with following limits for Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed eye clousure for PAM4(SECQ), each lane is the max TDECQ=3.4 dB Response Response Status	johnson_3df_01a_221011 prese		used to addres						
Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max)=-3.7 dB + 2.5 dB=-1.2 dBm Stressed eye clousure for PAM4(SECQ), each lane is the max TDECQ=3.4 dB Response Response Status C	uggestedRemedy								
	Stressed receiver sensitivity (OM	Aouter) (max)=-3.7	dB + 2.5 dB=-1	.2 dBm					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Respo	nse Status C							
	, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.								

C/ 182 S	SC 182.7.3	P 455	L37	# 307	C/ 179A	SC 1	79A.5	P 777	L 28	# 309
	102.7.5			# <u>507</u>	-	001	134.3			# 303
Ghiasi, Ali	-	Ghiasi Quantur	m		Ghiasi, Ali	T	-	Ghiasi Quantu	m	
Comment Typ		Comment Status A		Power budget	Comment 7		Т	Comment Status R		CA specifications
Power buc SuggestedRer	0	cation for penalties are TBDs						dering 2.45 dB connector loss been the min loss is one MC		ne MCB loss, where our
	-	1 for additional datails by lays	reging Table 10	0.0 but increasing the	Suggested	Remedy	/			
loss by 0.7 Power buc	75 dB to supp	1 for additional details by leve port 2000 m instead of 500 m t TDECQ= 7.8 dB =3.8 dB			Given t	the MCE ire 179A	3 loss is 2	2.7 dB and connector loss is 2 ther figure where host channe		
Response		Response Status C			Response			Response Status C		
ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	E.			REJEC See the		nse to co	mment #512 against D1.1:		
Resolve u	ising the resp	onse to comment #146								
C/ 179A	SC 179A.5	P 698	L	# 308	https://	/www.iee	ee802.or	presentation g/3/dj/public/24_09/diminico_3		
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantur	m					shown on slide 8 of the prese or 2.45 dB. The maximum num		
Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status R		Tx spec methodology				clause/subclause from 176E		
specificati It makes r	ions as was c no sense to u	ications is ineffective and. Not lemonstrted by Rysin_3dj_01_ se transmit jitter at TP1a wher ut is VEO, VEC, and possibly	2407.pdf n TP1a is actua			is no cor		is unclear. to implement a change. P 778	L12	# 240
SuggestedRei	medy					30 1	79B.Z			# 310
Replace C	Duput jitter an	d SNDR with, see ghiasi_01_2	2407		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quantu	m	
VEO=8 m VEC=10.7		-			Comment T Figure		T isiable ju:	Comment Status R st the labels are visiable		(bucket)
lf you wan	nt jitter then w	e should consider adding EW.			Suggested					
Response		Response Status C			00	,		nat is visibale in pdf		
REJECT. Resolve u		onse to comment #404.			<i>Response</i> REJEC	CT.		Response Status C		
					See Ec placeho		ote: "Figu	ure 179B-1 equations have no	t been adopted	I, and serve as
					There i	is no gra	aphic to c	display in Draft 1.2.		

C/ 179B SC 179B.4.	1 P 782	L12	# 311	C/ 176D	SC 176D.5.	3 P 700	L 34	# 313
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	um		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quant	um	
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		(bucket)	Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status A		Output voltage rang
Figure is not visiable j	ust the labels are visiable					max of 900 mV or Vf of 450 r		
SuggestedRemedy					•	may result in compatability iss	sue with legacy	module
Please use an import	that is visibale in pdf			SuggestedF				
Response	Response Status C					600 mV to 500 mV which offer as shown in simms_3dj_01a_		t but with reduced
REJECT.				Also if v	ve increase Vf	to 600 mV the current commo otherwise it will be very diffc	on mode voltage	
See Editor's note: "Fig	gure 179B-2 equations have n	ot been adopted	, and serve as	came fr				
placeholders."				Response		Response Status C		
There is no graphic to	display in Draft 1.2.				T IN PRINCIP using the res	LE. ponse to comment #345.		
CI 180A SC 180A.0	P 807	L 9	# 312	C/ 176D	SC 176D.5.	¥ <i>P</i> 701	L31	# 314
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quant	um			30 17 0D.3 .	-		# 314
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Annex 180A	Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quant	um	0.1
lower optics rate is ac	tualy lower MAC rate			Comment T	•	Comment Status A /max of 900 mV or Vf of 450 r	m) (increasing)	Output voltage range
SuggestedRemedy					,	may result in compatability iss	, 0	
Add and say Iwoer M/	C rate, also MAC rate to othe	er instacnes in th	is clause	SuggestedF	Remedv		0,1	
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.			Reduce crosstal Also if v	Vf max from (k penalty as w ve increase Vf	500 mV to 500 mV which offe as shown in simms_3dj_01a_ to 600 mV the current comm	_2409 on mode voltage	e would need to scale up
Change the title of An "Support of breakout a	nex 180A from and mapping to lower rate opt	ical PMDs"		by the race fr) otherwise it will be very diffc	ult to meet com	mon mode limits that
to				Response		Response Status C		
	-DR1, 200GBASE-DR1-2, 400 GBASE-DR4-2, 1.6TBASE-D	,	,		T IN PRINCIP using the res	LE. ponse to comment #345.		

C/ 176D	SC 176D.5.3	P 700	L 49	# 315	C/ 176D	SC	176D.6.2		P 704	L 22	# 317
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	um		Ghiasi, Ali				Ghiasi Quant	um	
Comment 7	<i>уре</i> Т	Comment Status R		Tx spec methodology	Comment 7	Туре	т	Comment	Status A		Reference modul
		fective output compliance te			The mo	odule r	eference p	ackage is TB	D		
		d VEC with with JRMS, EO. is sufficent for receive comp		out any demonstration	Suggested	Remed	ly				
	method works	given all the data presentate			1st leve	el pack					d when the module has m of pacakge A", see
ghiasi_ convers measur Add ed	3dj_01a_2409 bi sion in thre same rement and calib itor note encoura	CQ/EECQ already captrues at also captures amplitude pr way as receiver will observe uration we need to do the fol ging data if current jitter test	enalty and the e the penalty. I llwing: t method can b	effect of PM to AM EECQ for receive stress	For the two tes	e modu st case		e model in mo	dule input ITC		e package class A with the method of CR
complia Response	ince and encoura	age data on EECQ for receiv Response Status C	e compliance.								
REJEC	т.				Implem	nent wi	th editorial	license.			
Resolve	e using the respo	onse to comment #404.			C/ 176D	SC	176D.6.2		P 706	L 38	# 318
/ 176D	SC 176D.5.4	P 701	L 46	# 316	Ghiasi, Ali				Ghiasi Quant	um	
hiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	ım		Comment 7	Туре	т	Comment	Status R		Rx test methodolog
omment T	vpe T	Comment Status R		Tx spec methodology	Typical as KR/		gain for C	2M is just few	/ dB's, and the	ere is no reason t	o have the same gDC1
We cur	renlty have no ef	fective output compliance te		C2M or input caliburtion		-					
		d VEC with with JRMS, EO.		out any demonstration	Suggested		<i>ly</i> 1 to -12 dB				
	•	is sufficent for receive comp	bliance.			eguc	1 10 - 12 06				
uggested	•	where all the data areas and the	d and a 20 day		Response	\ -		Response S	Status C		
RTLR c ghiasi_ convers measu	leveloping. TDE 3dj_01a_2409 bi sion in thre same rement and calib	given all the data presentate CQ/EECQ already captrues at also captures amplitude p way as receiver will observe uration we need to do the fol ging data if current jitter test	the jitter as sh enalty and the e the penalty. I llwing:	own in effect of PM to AM EECQ for receive stress	It is un calibrat	mmen clear w te the i	/hat benefi noise in inp	t the change volution tests. Even	would achieve n if the typical	. The reference r	suggested remedy. receiver is only used to mited as stated (without g the range.
		age data on EECQ for receiv			C/ 176D	SC	176D.7.13	.2	P 715	L 4	# 319
esponse		Response Status C			Ghiasi, Ali				Ghiasi Quant	um	
REJEC Resolve		onse to comment #404.			Comment T Extra c		E er	Comment	Status A		(editoria
					Suggested	Remed	lv				
					00		•	n step and 17	6D.7.12.2		
					Response			Response S	Status C		
							PRINCIPLE	•			

Comment ID 319

C/ 176D	SC 176D.7.13.2	P 715	L 5	# 320	C/ 171	SC 171.9	P195	L1	# 322
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quantu	m		Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco System	าร	
Comment 7	Гуре т С	Comment Status R		Rx test methodology	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket
at maxi	imum input stress if t	tolerance is not compreh the noise source is turned	off then you	turn on the SJ source.	Need 171.6a		item to address optional supp	ort for Enhance	ed PTP accuracy (see
	all the concern about ver compliant links.	block erros not having co	omprehensive	JTOL only will result in	Suggested	IRemedy			
	•				Update	e PICS to add a	an item for optional support of	Enhanced PTP	accuracy (referencing
Suggested	-	ward an entities of COM a	and antiant int		171.6a	a)			
		veral generation of C2M a I in table 176D-10 is appli			Response		Response Status C		
integra	te to 0.05 UI.					PT IN PRINCIE	PLE. sted remedy with editorial licer	200	
Response	R	esponse Status C				field the sugge	sted remedy with editorial licer	136.	
REJEC					C/ 176	SC 176.12	P 252	L1	# 323
		e receiver specification me teroperability of CR PHYs			Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco System	is	
domon			for matiple g		Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		(buckei
		vide sufficient detail to im					to include path data delay for example for what was done for		
	work and consensu entation is encourac	s building towards a comp ned	plete proposal	that would be ready for	Suggested	IRemedy			
C/ 171	SC 171.9	P195	L1	# 321	Update		ude path data delay for time s	ynchronization.	See 175.9.4.7 as an
Nicholl, Ga	ry	Cisco Systems	5		Response		Response Status C		
Comment 7	rype TR (Comment Status A		(bucket)	ACCE	PT.			
Need to	o update PICS to inc	lude path data delay for t ble for what was done for t	time synchron he 1.6TBASE	ization (see 171.6b) . -R PCS in Clause 175.	C/ 177	SC 177.12	P311	L1	# 324
Suggested	Remedy				Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco System	าร	
Update	d PICs to include pa	ath data delay for time syr	nchronization.	See 175.9.4.7 as an	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket
exampl Response		esponse Status C					to include path data delay for example for what was done for		
•	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				Suggested	IRemedy			
Implem	nent the suggested re	emedy with editorial licens	se.		Update examp		ude path data delay for time s	ynchronization.	See 175.9.4.7 as an
					Response		Response Status C		
					ACCE	PT IN PRINCIF			
					Impler	nent suggested	d remedy with editorial license.		

(bucket)

(bucket)

(bucket)

C/ 184	SC 184.10	P 519	L1	# 325	C/ 180	SC 180.7.3	P 402	L 46	# 328
Nicholl, Gar	у	Cisco Systems			Nicholl, Ga	ry	Cisco System	าร	
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		Power budge
175.9.4	.7 as an example	include path data delay for t e for what was done for the 1			are no	requirements	states that "Link penalties are and are not meant to be tested GD penalties" If memory serve	d. This value inc	cludes an allocation of
SuggestedF Updated example	d PICs to include	e path data delay for time syr	chronization.	See 175.9.4.7 as an	assum same v	ption of only M alue of penalty	was based on running the Jon IPO connectors (much lower r / be assumed for a 200GBASE	eturn loss) in the	e channel. Can the
Response		Response Status C			(highe	return loss)?			
	T IN PRINCIPLE ent the suggeste	d remedy with editorial licens	е.		discret	e reflectances	shows a very different set of al in the channel for 200GBASE	-DR1 versus in	the channel for
C/ 186	SC 186.8	P 589	L1	# 326			GBASE-DR4/1.6TBASE-DR8. ed when calculating the worst		
Nicholl, Gar	У	Cisco Systems			Lundo	atond the deal	re to have a single link budget	and appaalated	MRI/DCD populity for
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)			GBASE-DR2, 800GBASE-DR		
		include path data delay for t of or what was done for the 1			should	n't we use the	worst case value which I assu- likely to be higher than the sta	ime would be fo	r 200GBASE-DR1 with
SuggestedF	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Updated example		e path data delay for time syr	chronization.	See 175.9.4.7 as an			King MPI spreadsheet for the 2 MPI/DSP penality is greater th		
Response		Response Status C			out in r accord		late the associated link budge	t in Table 180-9	for all PMDs
	-	medy with editorial license.			Response	-	Response Status C		
C/ 180	SC 180.1	P 389	L 46	# 327	REJEC				
Nicholl, Gar	у	Cisco Systems					ly is not written in the form of a	a proposal to mo	odify the draft, but in the
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status A		(editorial)	form o	a task to be c	arried out by a volunteer.		
180-1. A slide 24 2, 180-3	According to "http , it should have I 3, 180-4.	0-Time synchronization" was os://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/pul been added at the top of the ables in clauses 178, 179, 18	blic/24_09/nic able. Similar	holl_3dj_01a_2409.pdf", comment for Table 180-	The su	ggested remed	ly does not provide sufficient o	detail to impleme	ent.
SuggestedF	Remedy								
"https:// change	www.ieee802.org	nization" row to the top of Ta g/3/dj/public/24_09/nicholl_30 180-3, 180-4, and to equivale	lj_01a_2409.p	odf", slide 24. Similar					
Response		Response Status C							
	T IN PRINCIPLE ent with editorial	•							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 328

Page 76 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:04 PM

C/ 180A SC 180A.2	P 807	L 24	# 329	Cl 179C SC	179C.2.1	P 796	L 51	# 332
Nicholl, Gary	Cisco Systems			Kocsis, Sam		Amphenol		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Comment Type	E	Comment Status A		(editorial)
	referencing 16-position optic			SFF-TA-1031	Rev 1.0 do	es not include SFP224		
	ce 12-position optical connect 0A.3 referring to 12-position of			SuggestedRemed	ly			
referrring to 16-position				Add an Editor	's note: The	e reference for SFP224 does	not currently ir	nclude 200G per lane
SuggestedRemedy				specificatoins	but it's exp	ected to include before publ	ication of this s	tandard.
	rder of the 2nd and 3rd parag ises 180A.3 and 180A.4.	aphs in 180A.2	e, to match the order of	Response ACCEPT IN F	-	Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			Implement wit	in editorial li	icense and discretion.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL				C/ 179C SC '	179C.2.2	P 798	L15	# 333
Implement with editoria	license and discretion.			Kocsis, Sam		Amphenol		
CI 179C SC 179C.2.1	P 797	L11	# 330	Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		MDI illustrations
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Figure 179C-3	3 is missing			
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		MDI illustrations	SuggestedRemed	ly			
Figure 179C-1 is missir	ıg			Add for SFP-I	DD224 cable	e assembly plug from kocsis	s_3dj_01_2411	on slide TBD
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C		
Add for SFP224 cable a	assembly plug from kocsis_3c	j_01_2411 on s	slide TBD	ACCEPT IN F	RINCIPLE.			
Response	Response Status C			Resolve using	the respon	se to comment #330.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	, E.			C/ 179C SC	179C.2.2	P 798	L 29	# 334
The CRG reviewed the		04 0444 malf		Kocsis, Sam		Amphenol		
https://www.ieeeouz.org	g/3/dj/public/24_11/kocsis_3dj	_01_2411.pdl.		Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		MDI illustrations
For Figures 179C-1 thre detailed in slides 4-8 of	bugh 179C-10, use the corres kocsis_3dj_01_2411.	oonding figures	from Annex 162C, as	Figure 179C-4	0			
Implement with editoria	license			SuggestedRemed	-		04 0444	
					JD224 PML) receptacle from kocsis_3d	J_01_2411 on s	
C/ 179C SC 179C.2.1	P 797	L 28	# 331	Response		Response Status C		
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			ACCEPT IN P		ise to comment #330.		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		MDI illustrations	resolve using				
Figure 179C-2 is missir	ıg							
SuggestedRemedy Add for SFP224 PMD r	eceptacle from kocsis_3dj_01	_2411 on slide	TBD					
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	•							

C/ 179C SC 179C.2		L 12	# 335	C/ 179C SC 179C.2.4	P 799	L 36	# 338
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol		
Comment Type T Figure 179C-5 is mis	Comment Status A ssing		MDI illustrations	Comment Type E Comm QSFP-DD MSA Revision to 7.?	ent Status A		(editorial)
SuggestedRemedy Add for QSFP224 ca	able assembly plug from kocsis_	_3dj_01_2411 or	slide TBD	SuggestedRemedy Update QSFP-DD MSA Revision	to 7.1		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Resolve using the re	Response Status C IPLE. ssponse to comment #330.			Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with editorial license a	nse Status C and discretion.		
C/ 179C SC 179C.2	2.3 P 799	L 27	# 336	C/ 179C SC 179C.2.4	P 799	L 52	# 339
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol		
Comment Type T Figure 179C-6 is mis	Comment Status A		MDI illustrations	Comment Type T Comm Figure 179C-7 is missing	ent Status A		MDI illustrations
SuggestedRemedy Add for QSFP224 P	MD receptacle from kocsis_3dj_	01_2411 on slid	e TBD	SuggestedRemedy Add for QSFP-DD1600 cable ass	embly plug from kc	ocsis_3dj_01_24 [.]	11 on slide TBD
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Resolve using the re	Response Status C IPLE. esponse to comment #330.			Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to co	ose Status C comment #330.		
C/ 179C SC 179C.2	2.3 P 798	L 42	# 337	C/ 179C SC 179C.2.4	P 800	L13	# 340
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol		
Comment Type E SFF-TA-1027 Rev 1	Comment Status A .0 does not include QSFP224		(editorial)	Comment Type T Comm Figure 179C-8 is missing	ent Status A		MDI illustrations
	: The reference for QSFP224 do s expected to include before pub			SuggestedRemedy Add for QSFP-DD1600 PMD reco Response Respor	eptacle from kocsis	s_3dj_01_2411 or	n slide TBD
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Implement with edite	Response Status C IPLE. orial license and discretion.			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to co			

C/ 179C SC 179C.2.5	P 800	L 22	# 341	CI 179C SC 179C.2	P 796	L 35	# 344
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol		
Comment Type E OSFP MSA Revision to	Comment Status A 5.0?		(editorial)	Comment Type E Editor's note is no lo	Comment Status A		(editoria
SuggestedRemedy Update OSFP MSA Rev	ision to 5.1			SuggestedRemedy See contribution koc	sis_3dj_01_2411		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Implement with editorial				Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status C PLE.		
C/ 179C SC 179C.2.5	P800	L 4 1	# 342	Implement with edito	rial license and discretion.		
Kocsis. Sam	Amphenol	L41	# 342	C/ 178 SC 178.9.2	P 322	L 18	# 345
Comment Type T Figure 179C-9 is missing	Comment Status A		MDI illustrations	Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TR	NVIDIA Comment Status A		Output voltage rang
SuggestedRemedy Add for OSFP1600 cabl	e assembly plug from kocsis	; 3di 01 2411 on	slide TBD	should be reduced to	Differential pk-pk voltage (max) 1.0V to be consistent with Vf o		led as 1.2V. This
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy	k ak veltage (mev) to 1 0) (who	n Tranamittar a	nablad
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Resolve using the respo	,			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	k-pk voltage (max) to 1.0V whe Response Status C	en fransmiller e	nabled
C/ 179C SC 179C.2.5	P 801	L12	# 343	The CRG reviewed s	lides 5-8 of the editorial present		
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol				org/3/dj/public/24_11/ran_3dj_0 /ww.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_		
Comment Type T Figure 179C-10 is missi	Comment Status A		MDI illustrations		simms_3dj_01a_2411 with Ane		
30 y		01 2411 on slide	TBD	The following straw p			
SuggestedRemedy Add for OSFP1600 PME	D receptacle from kocsis_3dj			Straw poll #E-1 (dire	ctional):		

Comment ID 345

CI 470 60 470 40 4							
C/ 178 SC 178.10.1	P333	L12	# 346	C/ 179 SC 179	.9.5 P365	L 40	# 349
Simms, William (Bill)	NVIDIA			Simms, William (Bill)	NVIDIA		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Output voltage range	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Output voltage rang
Table 178-13 has Ane to 0.482 to match Vf of	set to 0.578V which is consist 0.5V	stent with 0.6Vf	but should be reduced		the Amplitude tolerance set to Vf reduced to 0.5V	1.2V. This shoul	d be reduced to 1.0V to
SuggestedRemedy Reduce Ane to 0.482				SuggestedRemedy Change Amplitud	e tolerance to 1.0V		
Response	Response Status C			Response	Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Resolve using the resp	E. conse to comment #345.			ACCEPT IN PRIN Resolve using the	ICIPLE. e response to comment #345.		
C/ 179 SC 179.9.4	P 356	L 40	# 347	C/ 179 SC 179	.9.5.2 P366	L 4	# 350
Simms, William (Bill)	NVIDIA			Simms, William (Bill)	NVIDIA		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Output voltage range	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Output voltage rang
	fferential pk-pk voltage (max		led as 1.2V. This	Amplitude toleran reduced to 0.5V	ce set to 1.2V. This should be	e reduced to 1.0V	to be consistent with Vf
should be reduced to 1	.0V to be consistent with Vf	of 0.500		reduced to 0.5 v			
	.0V to be consistent with Vf	of 0.500		SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy	.0V to be consistent with Vf		enabled	SuggestedRemedy	e tolerance to 1.0V		
SuggestedRemedy			enabled	SuggestedRemedy	e tolerance to 1.0V Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy Reduce Differential pk- Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	pk voltage (max) to 1.0V where Response Status C		enabled	SuggestedRemedy Change Amplitud Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy Reduce Differential pk- Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Resolve using the resp	pk voltage (max) to 1.0V when Response Status C .E.		# <u>348</u>	SuggestedRemedy Change Amplitud Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C ICIPLE. e response to comment #345.	L 34	# 351
SuggestedRemedy Reduce Differential pk- Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Resolve using the resp Cl 179 SC 179.9.4	pk voltage (max) to 1.0V when <i>Response Status</i> C E.	en Transmitter e		SuggestedRemedy Change Amplitud Response ACCEPT IN PRIN Resolve using the	Response Status C ICIPLE. e response to comment #345.	L34	# 351
SuggestedRemedy Reduce Differential pk- Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Resolve using the resp	pk voltage (max) to 1.0V who Response Status C E. ponse to comment #345. P356	en Transmitter e		SuggestedRemedy Change Amplitud Response ACCEPT IN PRIN Resolve using the CI 179 SC 179	Response Status C NCIPLE. e response to comment #345. .11.7.1 P378 NVIDIA	L 34	# <u>351</u> Output voltage rang
SuggestedRemedy Reduce Differential pk- Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Resolve using the resp Cl 179 SC 179.9.4 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TR Table 179-7 has Trans	pk voltage (max) to 1.0V who Response Status C E. ponse to comment #345. P 356 NVIDIA	en Transmitter e <i>L</i> 51 /f (range) 0.4 to	# <u>348</u> Output voltage range	SuggestedRemedy Change Amplitud Response ACCEPT IN PRIN Resolve using the Cl 179 SC 179 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type	Response Status C NCIPLE. e response to comment #345. .11.7.1 P378 NVIDIA R Comment Status A Ane set to 0.578V which is co		Output voltage rang
SuggestedRemedy Reduce Differential pk- Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Resolve using the resp Cl 179 SC 179.9.4 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TR Table 179-7 has Trans	pk voltage (max) to 1.0V who Response Status C E. ponse to comment #345. P356 NVIDIA Comment Status A mitter steady-state voltage, N	en Transmitter e <i>L</i> 51 /f (range) 0.4 to	# <u>348</u> Output voltage range	SuggestedRemedy Change Amplitud Response ACCEPT IN PRIN Resolve using the Cl 179 SC 179 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TH Table 179-17 has	Response Status C NCIPLE. e response to comment #345. .11.7.1 P378 NVIDIA R Comment Status A Ane set to 0.578V which is co		Output voltage rang
SuggestedRemedy Reduce Differential pk- Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Resolve using the resp Cl 179 SC 179.9.4 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TR Table 179-7 has Trans should be reduced to C SuggestedRemedy	pk voltage (max) to 1.0V who Response Status C E. ponse to comment #345. P356 NVIDIA Comment Status A mitter steady-state voltage, N	en Transmitter e <i>L</i> 51 /f (range) 0.4 to h Vf of 0.500	# <u>348</u> Output voltage range	SuggestedRemedy Change Amplitud Response ACCEPT IN PRIN Resolve using the Cl 179 SC 179 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TH Table 179-17 has to 0.482 to match	Response Status C NCIPLE. e response to comment #345. .11.7.1 P378 NVIDIA R Comment Status A Ane set to 0.578V which is co Vf of 0.5V		Output voltage rang
SuggestedRemedy Reduce Differential pk- Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Resolve using the resp Cl 179 SC 179.9.4 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TR Table 179-7 has Trans should be reduced to C SuggestedRemedy	pk voltage (max) to 1.0V who Response Status C E. ponse to comment #345. P356 NVIDIA Comment Status A mitter steady-state voltage, V A to 0.5 to be consistent wit	en Transmitter e <i>L</i> 51 /f (range) 0.4 to h Vf of 0.500	# <u>348</u> Output voltage range	SuggestedRemedy Change Amplitud Response ACCEPT IN PRIN Resolve using the Cl 179 SC 179 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TH Table 179-17 has to 0.482 to match SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C NCIPLE. e response to comment #345. .11.7.1 P378 NVIDIA R Comment Status A Ane set to 0.578V which is co Vf of 0.5V		Output voltage rang

	D = - 1						
C/ 176C SC 176C.5.1	P688	L 9	# 352	C/ 176D SC 176D		L19	# 355
Simms, William (Bill)	NVIDIA			Simms, William (Bill)	NVIDIA		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Ane	Comment Type TR			Output voltage rang
Table 176C-7 has Ane to 0.482 to match Vf of	set to 0.578V which is consi 0.5V	stent with 0.6Vf	but should be reduced		the Differential pk-pk voltage (m/ / to be consistent with Vf of 0.50		bled as 1.2V. This should
SuggestedRemedy Reduce Ane to 0.482				SuggestedRemedy Reduce Differentia	l pk-pk voltage (max) to 1.0V w	nen Transmitter	enabled
Response	Response Status C			Response	Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL				ACCEPT IN PRIN	, -		
Resolve using the resp					response to comment #345.		
C/ 176D SC 176D.5.3	P 700	L 24	# 353	C/ 176D SC 176D	0.5.4 P701	L 31	# 356
Simms, William (Bill)	NVIDIA			Simms, William (Bill)	NVIDIA		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Output voltage range	Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Output voltage rang
	Differential pk-pk voltage (ma be consistent with Vf of 0.500		led as 1.2V. This should		Transmitter steady-state voltage oe consistent with Vf of 0.500	e, Vf (max) 0.6	V. This should be
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Reduce Differential pk-	ok voltage (max) to 1.0V whe	en Transmitter e	enabled	change Transmitte	er steady-state voltage, Vf (range	e) to 0.4 to 0.5	/
Response	Response Status C			Response	Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Resolve using the resp	=:			ACCEPT IN PRING Resolve using the	CIPLE. response to comment #345.		
C/ 176D SC 176D.5.3	P 700	L 34	# 354	C/ 176D SC 176D	0.5.5 P702	L 27	# 357
Simms, William (Bill)	NVIDIA			Simms, William (Bill)	NVIDIA		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Output voltage range	Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Output voltage rang
	smitter steady-state voltage, 4 to 0.5 to be consistent wit		to 0.6 V. This range	Table 176D-3 has be consistent with	the Amplitude tolerance set to 1 Vf reduced to 0.5V	.2V. This shou	Id be reduced to 1.0V to
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
change Transmitter ste	ady-state voltage, Vf (range)) to 0.4 to 0.5V		Change Amplitude	tolerance to 1.0V		
Response	Response Status C			Response	Response Status C		
	E.			ACCEPT IN PRIN	CIPLE.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL							

C/ 176D SC 176D.5.6								
	P 703	L17	# 358	C/ 176C SC	C 176C.4.3	P 680	L 24	# 361
Simms, William (Bill)	NVIDIA			Sakai, Toshiaki		Socionext		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Output voltage range	Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		ER
Table 176D-4 has the A be consistent with Vf red SuggestedRemedy Change Amplitude toler		V. This should	be reduced to 1.0V to	loss, dERL value for re the same. ((min) is still ⁻ ceiver is "-3d -3dB)	nitter electrical characteristic TBD. In "Table 176C-3 Rece B". In CL178 (KR), the ERL o set the dERL value for trar	iver characterist values for transr	ics at TP5v", the dERL nitter and receiver are
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRem	edy			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				Change C2	C tranmitter	dERL value from "TBD" to "-	3dB".	
Resolve using the respo	onse to comment #345.			Response		Response Status C		
C/ 176D SC 176D.6.2	P 706	L 9	# 359	ACCEPT IN				
Simms, William (Bill)	NVIDIA			Resolve usi	ng the respo	nse to comment #66.		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A set to 0.578V which is consi	atant with 0 6\/f	Output voltage range	C/ 177 SC	0 177.5.2	P 298	L32	# 362
to 0.482 to match Vf of		Sterit with 0.001	but siloulu be leuuceu	Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
uggestedRemedy				Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		(bucket
Reduce Ane to 0.482 Response	Response Status C				0 is "will be"	indentified once the lock pro	ocess is complet	1
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				SuggestedRem	<i>edy</i> ay be" to "is"			
Resolve using the respo				Change In				
Resolve using the respo	onse to comment #345.	L36	# 360	Response		Response Status C		
Resolve using the response C/ 176D SC 176D.7.11	onse to comment #345. P 710	L 36	# 360	Response				
Resolve using the response C/ 176D SC 176D.7.11 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TR	P710 NVIDIA Comment Status A		Output voltage range	Response ACCEPT IN				
Resolve using the response C/ 176D SC 176D.7.11 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TR	onse to comment #345. P 710 NVIDIA		Output voltage range	Response ACCEPT IN Implement			L3	# 363
Resolve using the response of 176D SC 176D.7.11 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TR Amplitude tolerance set reduced to 0.5V	P710 NVIDIA Comment Status A		Output voltage range	Response ACCEPT IN Implement	I PRINCIPLE	medy with editorial license.	L3	# 363
Resolve using the response Cl 176D SC 176D.7.11 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TR Amplitude tolerance set	P710 P710 NVIDIA Comment Status A to 1.2V. This should be red		Output voltage range	Response ACCEPT IN Implement : Cl 177 SC	I PRINCIPLE suggested re	medy with editorial license.	L 3	# 363 Inner FEC syn
Resolve using the response Cl 176D SC 176D.7.11 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TR Amplitude tolerance set reduced to 0.5V SuggestedRemedy Change Amplitude tolerance Response	P710 P710 NVIDIA Comment Status A to 1.2V. This should be red ance to 1.0V Response Status C		Output voltage range	Response ACCEPT IN Implement : Cl 177 SC Slavick, Jeff Comment Type Why is the	I PRINCIPLE suggested re C 177.6.3 T	medy with editorial license. P 304 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A onsidered optional? This is a		Inner FEC syn
Resolve using the response 2 176D <i>SC</i> 176D.7.11 2 <i>SC</i> 176D.7.11 2 <i>SC</i> 176D.7.11 3 <i>SC</i> 176	P710 P710 NVIDIA Comment Status A to 1.2V. This should be red ance to 1.0V Response Status C E.		Output voltage range	Response ACCEPT IN Implement : Cl 177 SC Slavick, Jeff Comment Type Why is the	I PRINCIPLE suggested re C 177.6.3 T dotted box co function main	medy with editorial license. P 304 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A onsidered optional? This is a		Inner FEC syn
Resolve using the response 2/ 176D SC 176D.7.11 2/ 176D SC 176D.	P710 P710 NVIDIA Comment Status A to 1.2V. This should be red ance to 1.0V Response Status C E.		Output voltage range	Response ACCEPT IN Implement a Cl 177 SC Slavick, Jeff Comment Type Why is the the monitor SuggestedRem	I PRINCIPLE suggested re C 177.6.3 T dotted box co function man	medy with editorial license. P 304 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A onsidered optional? This is a	a new diagram/c	Inner FEC syn
Resolve using the response Cl 176D SC 176D.7.11 Simms, William (Bill) Comment Type TR Amplitude tolerance set reduced to 0.5V SuggestedRemedy Change Amplitude tolerance Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	P710 P710 NVIDIA Comment Status A to 1.2V. This should be red ance to 1.0V Response Status C E.		Output voltage range	Response ACCEPT IN Implement a Cl 177 SC Slavick, Jeff Comment Type Why is the the monitor SuggestedRem	I PRINCIPLE suggested re C 177.6.3 T dotted box co function man	P304 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A onsidered optional? This is a ndatory.	a new diagram/c	Inner FEC syn

C/ 177	SC 177.10	P306	L 47	# 364	C/ 184 SC 184.8	P 51
Slavick, Je	ff	Broadcom			Slavick, Jeff	Broade
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		TimeSync	Comment Type T	Comment Status

Support of the "optional" path delay information should be presented as the first information of this section not the last.

SuggestedRemedy

```
Change 177.10 to be:
```

177.10 Path data delay (optional)

Support for the optional path data delay information is indicated by the status variables Inner_FEC_delay_ns_TX_ability, Inner_FEC_delay_subns_TX_ability, Inner_FEC_delay_subns_RX_ability. Path delay information is utilized by protocols such as time synchronization (see Clause 90).

When path delay information is supported, the transmit and receive path data delay values are reported as if the DDMP (data delay measurement point) occurs on the first symbol on FEC flow 0 after the 1024-bit pad insertion (see 177.4.7), corresponding to the longest delay for transmit and the shortest delay for receive. See 90.7 for more information.

Four separate delays are reported in the following eight path data delay status variables:

- Inner_FEC_delay_ns_TX_max, Inner_FEC_delay_subns_TX_max
- Inner_FEC_delay_ns_TX_min, Inner_FEC_delay_subns_TX_min
- Inner_FEC_delay_ns_RX_max, Inner_FEC_delay_subns_RX_max
- Inner_FEC_delay_ns_RX_min, Inner_FEC_delay_subns_RX_min

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The task force reviewed slides 3 to 15 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/nicholl_3dj_01_2411.pdf.

Update subclause 177.10 as shown on slide 8 of nicholl_3dj_01_2411, adding a reference to the MDIO mapping table.

Implement with editorial license.

C/ 184	SC 184.8	P 516	L 31	# 365
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Tvp	e T	Comment Status A		TimeSvnc

Support of the "optional" path delay information should be presented as the first information of this section not the last.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 184.8 to be:

184.8 Path data delay (optional)

Support for the optional path data delay information is indicated by the status variables Inner_FEC_delay_ns_TX_ability, Inner_FEC_delay_subns_TX_ability, Inner_FEC_delay_subns_RX_ability. Path delay information is utilized by protocols such as time synchronization (see Clause 90).

When path delay information is supported, the transmit and receive path data delay values are reported as if the DDMP (data delay measurement point) occurs on dspfo[3,1894] (see 184.4.10), corresponding to the longest delay for transmit and the shortest delay for receive. See 90.7 for more information.

Four separate delays are reported in the following eight path data delay status variables: - Inner_FEC_delay_ns_TX_max, Inner_FEC_delay_subns_TX_max - Inner_FEC_delay_ns_TX_min, Inner_FEC_delay_subns_TX_min - Inner_FEC_delay_ns_RX_max, Inner_FEC_delay_subns_RX_max - Inner_FEC_delay_ns_RX_min, Inner_FEC_delay_subns_RX_min

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The task force reviewed slides 3 to 15 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/nicholl_3dj_01_2411.pdf.

Update subclause 184.8 as shown on slide 9 of nicholl_3dj_01_2411, adding a reference to the MDIO mapping table.

Implement with editorial license.

C/ 186	SC 186.6.1	P 586	L 5	# 366
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Typ	pe T	Comment Status A		TimeSync

Support of the "optional" path delay information should be presented as the first information of this section not the last.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 186.6.1 to be:

186.6.1 PCS path data delay (optional)

Support for the optional path data delay information is indicated by the PCS status variables PCS_delay_ns_TX_ability, PCS_delay_subns_TX_ability, PCS_delay_ns_RX_ability, and PCS_delay_subns_RX_ability. Path delay information is utilized by protocols such as time synchronization (see Clause 90).

When path delay information is supported, the transmit and receive path data delay values are reported as if the DDMP (data delay measurement point) occurs on the start of the first non-fixed-stuff 257-bit GMP word of the tributary 0 multi-frame, where the start of the 800GBASE-ER1 tributary frame is also the start of a FEC frame, taking into account the maximum (transmit) and minimum (recieve) data delay through the GMP mechanism. This corresponds to the PCS's longest delay for transmit and the shortest delay for receive. See 90.7 for more information.

Four separate delays are reported in the following eight path data delay status variables:

- PCS_delay_ns_TX_max, PCS_delay_subns_TX_max
- PCS_delay_ns_TX_min, PCS_delay_subns_TX_min
- PCS_delay_ns_RX_max, PCS_delay_subns_RX_max
- PCS_delay_ns_RX_min, PCS_delay_subns_RX_min

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The task force reviewed slides 3 to 15 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/nicholl_3dj_01_2411.pdf.

Update subclause 186.6.1 as shown on slide 10 of nicholl_3dj_01_2411, adding a reference to the MDIO mapping table.

Also fix typo for "BASEER1", and add reference to the definition of the delay mapping point.

Implement with editorial license.

C/ 186	SC 186.6.2	P 586	L 25	# 367
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A		TimeSync

Support of the "optional" path delay information should be presented as the first information of this section not the last.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 186.6.2 to be:

186.6.2 PMA path data delay (optional)

Support for the optional path data delay information is indicated by the PMA status variables PMA_delay_ns_TX_ability, PMA_delay_subns_TX_ability, PMA_delay_ns_RX_ability, and PMA_delay_subns_RX_ability. Path delay information is utilized by protocols such as time synchronization (see Clause 90).

When path delay information is supported, the transmit and receive path data delay values are reported as if the DDMP (data delay measurement point) occurs on the first data symbol of the PMA frame S<0>, corresponding to the longest delay for transmit and the shortest delay for receive. See 90.7 for more information.

Four separate delays are reported in the following eight path data delay status variables: - PMA_delay_ns_TX_max, PMA_delay_subns_TX_max - PMA_delay_ns_TX_min, PMA_delay_subns_TX_min - PMA_delay_ns_RX_max, PMA_delay_subns_RX_max - PMA_delay_ns_RX_min, PMA_delay_subns_RX_min

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The task force reviewed slides 3 to 15 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/nicholl_3dj_01_2411.pdf.

Update subclause 186.6.2 as shown on slide 11 of nicholl_3dj_01_2411, adding a reference to the MDIO mapping table and add reference to the definition of the delay mapping point.

Implement with editorial license.

C/ 171	SC 171.6b	P184	L 47	# 368
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A		TimeSync

Support of the "optional" path delay information should be presented as the first information of this section not the last.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 171.6b to be:

171.6b Path data delay (optional)

171.6b.1 PHY XS path data delay

Support for the optional path data delay information is indicated by the PHY XS status variables PHY_XS_delay_ns_TX_ability, PHY_XS_delay_subns_TX_ability, PHY_XS_delay_ns_RX_ability, and PHY_XS_delay_subns_RX_ability. Path delay information is utilized by protocols such as time synchronization (see Clause 90).

When path delay information is supported and the PCS_timesync_multilane_ability variable true (see 90.7.1), the transmit and receive path data delay values are reported as if the DDMP (data delay measurement point) is the start of the set of interleaved RS-FEC codewords, corresponding to the longest delay for transmit and the shortest delay for receive. See 90.7 for more information.

Four separate delays are reported in the following eight path data delay status variables:

- PHY_XS_delay_ns_TX_max, PHY_XS_delay_subns_TX_max
- PHY_XS_delay_ns_TX_min, PHY_XS_delay_subns_TX_min
- PHY_XS_delay_ns_RX_max, PHY_XS_delay_subns_RX_max
- PHY_XS_delay_ns_RX_min, PHY_XS_delay_subns_RX_min

171.6b.2 DTE XS path data delay

Support for the optional path data delay information is indicated by the DTE XS status variables DTE_XS_delay_ns_TX_ability, DTE_XS_delay_subns_TX_ability, DTE_XS_delay_ns_RX_ability, and DTE_XS_delay_subns_RX_ability. Path delay information is utilized by protocols such as time synchronization (see Clause 90).

When path delay information is supported and the PCS_timesync_multilane_ability variable true (see 90.7.1), the transmit and receive path data delay values are reported as if the DDMP (data delay measurement point) is the start of the set of interleaved RS-FEC codewords, corresponding to the longest delay for transmit and the shortest delay for receive. See 90.7 for more information.

Four separate delays are reported in the following eight path data delay status variables:

- DTE_XS_delay_ns_TX_max, DTE_XS_delay_subns_TX_max

- DTE_XS_delay_ns_TX_min, DTE_XS_delay_subns_TX_min

- DTE_XS_delay_ns_RX_max, DTE_XS_delay_subns_RX_max

- DTE_XS_delay_ns_RX_min, DTE_XS_delay_subns_RX_min

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The task force reviewed slides 3 to 15 of

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/nicholl_3dj_01_2411.pdf.

Update subclause 171.6b as shown on slide 12 and 13 of nicholl_3dj_01_2411 with editorial license.

CI 175 SC 175.6	P 244	L10	# 369
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		TimeSync

Support of the "optional" path delay information should be presented as the first information of this section not the last.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 175.6 to be:

175.6 Path data delay (optional)

Support for the optional path data delay information is indicated by the status variables PCS_delay_ns_TX_ability, PCS_delay_subns_TX_ability, PCS_delay_ns_RX_ability, and PCS_delay_subns_RX_ability. Path delay information is utilized by protocols such as time synchronization (see Clause 90).

When path delay information is supported and the PCS_timesync_multilane_ability variable true (see 90.7.1), the transmit and receive path data delay values are reported as if the DDMP (data delay measurement point) is at the start of the set of four interleaved RS-FEC codewords, longest delay for transmit and the shortest delay for receive. See 90.7 for more information.

Four separate delays are reported in the following eight path data delay status variables: - PCS_delay_ns_TX_max, PCS_delay_subns_TX_max

- PCS_delay_ns_TX_min, PCS_delay_subns_TX_min - PCS_delay_ns_RX_max, PCS_delay_subns_RX_max
- PCS_delay_ns_RX_min, PCS_delay_subns_RX_min

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The task force reviewed slides 3 to 15 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/nicholl_3dj_01_2411.pdf.

Update subclause 175.6 as shown on slide 14 of nicholl_3dj_01_2411 with editorial license.

Comment ID 369

Page 85 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:04 PM

C/ 176	SC 17	6.10	P 281	L 60	# 370	C/ 176	SC	176.1.4	P 255	L1	# 372
Slavick, Je	eff		Broadcom			Slavick, Je	ff		Broadcom		
Comment	Type 1	г	Comment Status A		TimeSync	Comment	Гуре	т	Comment Status A		(bucket)
	ort of the "o section no		path delay information sh t.	ould be presented	as the first information				is a necessary function for th PM compensation.	e PMA regard	less of ILT. Since the
Suggested	Remedy					Suggested	Remed	dy			
176.10	je 176.10) Path dat	a delay (o						ast paragı training"	aph of 176.1.4 that begins wit	h "In order to	support the inter-
			ath data delay informatio _TX_ability, PMA_delay_			Response			Response Status C		
PMA_	delay_ns_	_RX_abilit	y, and PMA_delay_subnation	s_RX_ability. Pa				PRINCIPL g response	E. e to comment # 26.		
When	path delag	y informa	tion is supported, the trar	smit and receive	path data delay values	C/ 176	SC	176.1.3	P 253	L 34	# 373
			MP (data delay measurer			Slavick, Jei	ff		Broadcom		
corresponding to the longest delay for transmit and the shortest delay for receive. See 90.7 for more information.						Comment	Гуре	Е	Comment Status A		(editorial)
F a			non-outo din the following		less status serializas	Eleven	items	is a bit mo	ore than what I'd considered to	be several.	
			reported in the following x, PMA_delay_subns_TX		elay status variables:	Suggested	Remed	dy			
- PMA	_delay_ns	s_TX_min	, PMA_delay_subns_TX	_min		Change "Several terms" to "The following terms" Response Response Status C					
			x, PMA_delay_subns_R> n, PMA_delay_subns_RX								
Response	-		Response Status C					PRINCIPL			
	PT IN PR					Impien	ient wi	th editoria	l license and discretion.		
			slides 3 to 15 of 3/dj/public/24_11/nicholl_	3dj_01_2411.pdf.							
	e subclaus MDIO ma		as shown on slide 15 of le.	nicholl_3dj_01_2	411, adding a reference						
Impler	nent with	editorial li	cense.								
C/ 177	SC 17	7.4.1	P 291	L 35	# 371						
Slavick, Je	eff		Broadcom								
Comment Details			Comment Status A deskew is needed		Inner FEC deskew						
Suggested	Remedy										
Prese	ntation de	tailing upo	dates to be submitted.								
Response			Response Status C								
•		INCIPLE.	•								

Resolve using response to comment # 54.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 176	SC 176.2	P 256	L 47	# 374	C/ 176	SC 176.2	P 257	L15	# 375
Slavick, Je	-	Broadcom			Slavick, Je	-	Broadcom		
Comment		Comment Status A		(editorial)	Comment		Comment Status A		pma variables
SIGN/ into dif	L_OK function.	aphs of 176.2 are dealing wit We have 3 different types of es later on, so making each it	PMAs whose fu	nctionality we do group	interfa just sy	ce and we have mbol for the data	ne "SIGNAL_OK" when we two service interfaces is g a, we use tx_symbol and r	oing to be very con	
Suggested	0				Suggested				
Insert begins Insert begins Insert begins Insert	this heading "176 with "In the trans this heading "176 with "In the trans this heading "176 with "In the trans this heading "176	5.2.1 PMA service interface for smit direction, the m:n PMAs 5.2.2 PMA service interface for smit direction, the n:m PMAs 5.2.3 PMA service interface for smit direction, the n:n PMAs 5.2.4 SIGNAL_OK for the PM with "The PMA receives signal	" or n:m PMA" bef or n:n PMA" befo A service interfa	ore the paragraph that	In Tab inst.IS PMA.I In Tab PMA.I	_SIGNAL.indica S_SIGNAL.indic le 176-6 change S_SIGNAL.requ	the headings to be: tion SIGNAL_OK_rx ation SIGNAL_OK_rx the headings to be: est SIGNAL_OK_tx st SIGNAL_OK_tx		
	PT IN PRINCIPL nent with editoria	Response Status C E. Il license and discretion.			PMA:I	S_SIGNAL.requ	agraph update IS_SIGNAL est(SIGNAL_OK_tx) ation(SIGNAL_OK_rx)	primitives to be a	s follows:
					be: Tł	ne SIGNAL_OK_	ast paragraph (above Table rx parameter at the client in neter is set as if all_locked	nterface is set acc	ording to Table 176-5,
					inst:IS	_SIGNAL.reques	agraph update IS_SIGNAL st(SIGNAL_OK_tx) tion(SIGNAL_OK_rx)	primitives to be a	s follows:
					be: Th	ne SIGNAL_OK_	ast paragraph (above Table tx parameter at the interfac MAs the parameter is set a	ce below the PMA	is set according to
					In 176	.4.4.2.1 in the sig	gnal_ok_mux definition cha	ange "SIGNAL_OK	" to "SIGNAL_OK_tx/rx"
						.4.4.2.1 in the sig AL_OK_tx/rx"	gnal_ok_demux definitiong	change "SIGNAL_	_OK" to
					Response		Response Status C		
					ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
							76-5 from: "SIGNAL_OK va ndication(SIGNAL_OK) ge		
							76-6 from: "SIGNAL_OK va quest(SIGNAL_OK) genera		
		d ER/editorial required GR/g				Z/withdrawn	Com	nment ID 375	Page 87 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:(

A/accepted R/rejected RES : O/ope SORT ORDER: Comment ID

11/13/2024 9:41:04 PM

Make similar changes	s to Table 177-1 and Table 177	-2.		C/ 171 SC 1	71.6a	P184	L17	# 379
Implement with adite	ial liannan			Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Implement with editor	la license.			Comment Type	E	Comment Status A		(editoria
C/ 176 SC 176.4.4.	2.1 P271	L 45	# 376		P should	ikley come after the "norma	" TimeSync fur	nction of path delay
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			information.				
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(editorial)	SuggestedRemedy				h
	IAL_OK to signal_ok_*mux is a	in active mappir	ng of the service		ICEO PIP	accuracy and Path data dela	ay for time sync	nronization
interface to status val	ue.			Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy				ACCEPT IN PF	-	license and discretion.		
	ie value was OK" to "It is true w ignal_ok_demux definitions.	hen the value is	s OK" in both	·				
Response	Response Status C			C/ 171 SC 1	71.9	P 195	L 0	# 380
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	•			Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
	ial license and discretion.				т	Comment Status A		(buck
C/ 176 SC 176.2	P 257	L39	# 377	No PICS for Ti	meSync f	unctions		
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom	239		SuggestedRemedy				
,	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Add PICS simi	lar to Tab	e 175-4 to Clause 171 but a	lso add in the E	Inhanced PTP accurac
	clock propagation in addition to st out those parameters and be		d primitives should	Response ACCEPT IN Pf		Response Status C d remedy with editorial licens	20	
SuggestedRemedy					Suggeste			
Move the last paragra	aph of 176.2 and 176.3 to be af	ter the bullet list	of interface primitives.	C/ 171 SC 1	71.6a	P 184	L18	# 381
Response	Response Status C			Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP				Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		(buck
Implement with editor	ial license and discretion.				aragraph i	s not accurately representing	g the Enhanced	PTP accuracy
C 177 SC 177.2	P 290	L 37	# 378	functionality. SuggestedRemedy				
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Update the firs		h to road:		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(editorial)			800GXS is an 800GBASE-E	ER1 PCS, the e	nhanced PTP accurac
	clock propagation in addition to st out those parameters and be			markers once	existed. T	cation of where in the 800GM his indicator allows for subs ne same spot in the data stre	equent insertior	
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C		
Move the last paragra	aph of 177.2 to be after the bull	et list of interfac	e primitives.	ACCEPT IN PI	RINCIPI F	1		
Response	Response Status C					d remedy with editorial licens	se.	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE. ial license and discretion.							
mplement with editor								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 171	SC	171.6a	P184	L 36	# 382	
Slavick, Je	eff		Broadcom			
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status R			PTP
The in	sertion	of AMs is	defined to occur with RAML,	but the PCSs	are built upon this	

occuring as the first N 257b words of a RS-FEC, so the PHY XS needs to align it's RS-FEC formation around the RAML not just stuff the AMs anywhere within a RS-FEC codeword.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "When enhanced PTP accuracy is enabled, the PHY 800GXS inserts the 800GBASE-R PCS alignment markers based on the RAML signal. If the enhanced PTP feature is disabled, the PHY 800GXS inserts the 800GBASE-R PCS alignment markers as defined in 172.2.4.6."

To: "When enhanced PTP accuracy is enabled, the PHY 800GXS inserts the 800GBASE-R PCS alignment markers based on the RAML signal and waits for the first RAML after reset removal to begin its encoding process. When the enhanced PTP feature is disabled the alignment marker insertion process operates normally, see 172.2.4.6."

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

FEC encoded data after reset prior to the first AM insertion is not usable since the receiver must identify the AMs prior to FEC decode. This behavior should be no different from the behavoir when RAML is not used.

There is no consensus to make a change at this time.

C/ 177	SC 177.4.2	P 2	91	L 45	# 383
Slavick, Je	eff	Broad	lcom		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Α		(bucket)
		deskew process the rather the deskewed		onal interleav	er no longer uses the
Suggested	Remedy				
Add th	e word "deskewe	ed" before PMA in the	e first sente	ence of 177.4	.2.
Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status E.	С		

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 177	SC 177.4.2	P 291	L 47	# 384
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A		(bucket)

No mechanism to identify the RS-FEC symbol boundaries is provided.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the sentence that begins with "The four RS-FEC symbols in each RS-FEC symbolquartet are from four different RS-FEC codewords"

to "Using the RS-FEC boundaries found by the Alignment and Deksew process (see 177.4.1) the convolutioner interleaver creates groups of four RS-FEC symbols from four RS-FEC codewords."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 177	SC 177.4.2	P 291	L 52	# 385
Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom		
	<i>Type</i> E is a , in the 1536	Comment Status A		(editorial)
Suggested Remov	<i>Remedy</i> ve the comma			
	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E. al license and discretion.		
C/ 177	SC 177.5.2	P 298	L 22	# 386
Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom		
Comment Steps		Comment Status A c) tell us what step to proceed	to but b.1) does	<i>(bucket)</i> s not.
Suggested Add go	<i>Remedy</i> o to step c) to en	d of step b) 1)		
	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E. remedy with editorial license.		

C/ 177 SC 177.	5.2. P298	L 27	# 387	C/ 177	SC 177.6.3	P 303	L 29	# 390
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Slavick, Je	ff	Broadcom		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(editorial)	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)
The phrase "at lea take this branch. A	ast 140" is intending the minimun Alternative wording could be use	n value of invalid o d to improve clarit	odewords in which you of the function.	The ex name	it from CW_CHE	CK_1 and CW_CHECK_2 for	or values of 13 h	have the wrong variable
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestea	Remedy			
Change "at least 1	140" to "140 or more"			Chang	e valid_cw=13 to	valid_cw_cnt=13 two places	s Fig 177-9	
Response	Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRIN	CIPLE. litorial license and discretion.				PT IN PRINCIPL nent suggested r	 emedy with editorial license. 		
C/ 177 SC 177.	5.2 P298	L 22	# 388	C/ 185	SC 185.9.2	P 538	L 46	# 391
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Pfiefle, Joe	erg	Keysight Tech	nnologies	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		(bucketp)	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		TQM
Explanation of the SuggestedRemedy	sync process is not necssary ju	st point to the FSN	Л.			or local oscillator linewidth a lator linewidth definition in pr		
Remove steps a,b	0.0			Suggested	Remedy			
•				Remov	ve this line in Tab	le 185-13		
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
The high level des	scriptive text can be helpful to rea			ACCE	PT.			
machine. The state	e machine description always pr	evails. Some of th	e lower level steps can	01 407	SC 187.9.2	2000	L 49	# 000
be removed.				C/ 187	00 101.3.2	P 608	L49	# 392
be removed.	evel steps with editorial license			C/ 18/ Pfiefle, Joe		P 608 Keysight Tech	-	# 392
be removed. Update the high le	evel steps with editorial license				erg		-	# <u>1392</u> TQM
be removed. Update the high le C/ 177 SC 177.0	· ·	L11	# 389	Pfiefle, Joe Comment A cohe	erg <i>Type</i> T erent front-end ca	Keysight Tech	nnologies f 0.2 ps is not av	TQM vailable on current
be removed. Update the high le	6.3 <i>P</i> 303	L11	# 389 Inner FEC sync	Pfiefle, Joe Comment A cohe	erg <i>Type</i> T erent front-end ca tion test equipm	Keysight Tech Comment Status A libration residual I-Q skew of	nnologies f 0.2 ps is not av	<i>TQM</i> vailable on current
be removed. Update the high le Cl 177 SC 177. Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T restart_inner_fec_	6.3 P303 Broadcom	by one FSM. The	Inner FEC sync	Pfiefle, Joe Comment A cohe genera Suggesteo	erg Type T erent front-end ca tition test equipm <i>Remedy</i> e I-Q skew for X of the test of te	Keysight Tech Comment Status A libration residual I-Q skew of	nnologies f 0.2 ps is not av bel maximum va	<i>TQM</i> vailable on current alue
be removed. Update the high le Cl 177 SC 177.0 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T restart_inner_fec_ will cause the pad	6.3 P303 Broadcom Comment Status A sync should only be controlled b	by one FSM. The	Inner FEC sync	Pfiefle, Joe Comment A cohe genera Suggested chang	erg Type T erent front-end ca tition test equipm <i>Remedy</i> e I-Q skew for X of the test of te	Keysight Tech Comment Status A libration residual I-Q skew of ent and 0.5 ps is an acceptat	nnologies f 0.2 ps is not av bel maximum va	<i>TQM</i> vailable on current alue
be removed. Update the high le Cl 177 SC 177.0 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T restart_inner_fec_ will cause the pad allowing the self-sy	6.3 P303 Broadcom Comment Status A sync should only be controlled b detection FSM to go to INIT whi	by one FSM. The	Inner FEC sync	Pfiefle, Joe Comment A cohe genera Suggested chang for Y v Response	erg Type T erent front-end ca tition test equipm <i>Remedy</i> e I-Q skew for X of the test of te	Keysight Tech Comment Status A libration residual I-Q skew of ent and 0.5 ps is an acceptat value from 0.2 to 0.5 in table Response Status C	nnologies f 0.2 ps is not av bel maximum va	<i>TQM</i> vailable on current alue
be removed. Update the high le Cl 177 SC 177.0 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T restart_inner_fec_ will cause the pad allowing the self-sy SuggestedRemedy	6.3 P303 Broadcom Comment Status A sync should only be controlled b detection FSM to go to INIT whi	by one FSM. The ich will clear the re	Inner FEC sync forcing of fs_lock false start_inner_fec_sync	Pfiefle, Joe Comment A cohe genera Suggested chang for Y v Response ACCE	erg <i>Type</i> T erent front-end ca tion test equipm <i>Remedy</i> e I-Q skew for X alue. PT IN PRINCIPL	Keysight Tech Comment Status A libration residual I-Q skew of ent and 0.5 ps is an acceptat value from 0.2 to 0.5 in table Response Status C E.	nnologies f 0.2 ps is not av bel maximum va	<i>TQM</i> vailable on current alue
be removed. Update the high le Cl 177 SC 177.0 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T restart_inner_fec_ will cause the pad allowing the self-sy SuggestedRemedy Remove "restart_in	6.3 P303 Broadcom Comment Status A sync should only be controlled b detection FSM to go to INIT whi ync FSM to begin to re-sync.	by one FSM. The ich will clear the re	Inner FEC sync forcing of fs_lock false start_inner_fec_sync	Pfiefle, Joe Comment A cohe genera Suggested chang for Y v Response ACCE	erg <i>Type</i> T erent front-end ca tion test equipm <i>Remedy</i> e I-Q skew for X alue. PT IN PRINCIPL	Keysight Tech Comment Status A libration residual I-Q skew of ent and 0.5 ps is an acceptat value from 0.2 to 0.5 in table Response Status C	nnologies f 0.2 ps is not av bel maximum va	<i>TQM</i> vailable on current alue
be removed. Update the high le Cl 177 SC 177.0 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T restart_inner_fec_ will cause the pad allowing the self-sy SuggestedRemedy	6.3 P303 Broadcom Comment Status A sync should only be controlled b detection FSM to go to INIT whi ync FSM to begin to re-sync. nner_fec_sync <= false" from IN Response Status C	by one FSM. The ich will clear the re	Inner FEC sync forcing of fs_lock false start_inner_fec_sync	Pfiefle, Joe Comment A cohe genera Suggested chang for Y v Response ACCE	erg <i>Type</i> T erent front-end ca tion test equipm <i>Remedy</i> e I-Q skew for X alue. PT IN PRINCIPL	Keysight Tech Comment Status A libration residual I-Q skew of ent and 0.5 ps is an acceptat value from 0.2 to 0.5 in table Response Status C E.	nnologies f 0.2 ps is not av bel maximum va	<i>TQM</i> vailable on current alue
be removed. Update the high le Cl 177 SC 177.0 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T restart_inner_fec_ will cause the pad allowing the self-sy SuggestedRemedy Remove "restart_in Response ACCEPT IN PRIN Update the state d	6.3 P303 Broadcom Comment Status A sync should only be controlled b detection FSM to go to INIT whi ync FSM to begin to re-sync. nner_fec_sync <= false" from IN Response Status C	by one FSM. The ich will clear the re INER_FEC_SYNC 77-10 as shown in	Inner FEC sync forcing of fs_lock false start_inner_fec_sync	Pfiefle, Joe Comment A cohe genera Suggested chang for Y v Response ACCE	erg <i>Type</i> T erent front-end ca tion test equipm <i>Remedy</i> e I-Q skew for X alue. PT IN PRINCIPL	Keysight Tech Comment Status A libration residual I-Q skew of ent and 0.5 ps is an acceptat value from 0.2 to 0.5 in table Response Status C E.	nnologies f 0.2 ps is not av bel maximum va	<i>TQM</i> vailable on current alue
be removed. Update the high le Cl 177 SC 177.0 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T restart_inner_fec_ will cause the pad allowing the self-sy SuggestedRemedy Remove "restart_in Response ACCEPT IN PRIN Update the state d	6.3 P303 Broadcom Comment Status A sync should only be controlled b detection FSM to go to INIT whi ync FSM to begin to re-sync. nner_fec_sync <= false" from IN Response Status C ICIPLE. diagrams in Figures 177-9 and 12 02.org/3/dj/public/24_11/nicholl_	by one FSM. The ich will clear the re INER_FEC_SYNC 77-10 as shown in	Inner FEC sync forcing of fs_lock false start_inner_fec_sync	Pfiefle, Joe Comment A cohe genera Suggested chang for Y v Response ACCE	erg <i>Type</i> T erent front-end ca tion test equipm <i>Remedy</i> e I-Q skew for X alue. PT IN PRINCIPL	Keysight Tech Comment Status A libration residual I-Q skew of ent and 0.5 ps is an acceptat value from 0.2 to 0.5 in table Response Status C E.	nnologies f 0.2 ps is not av bel maximum va	<i>TQM</i> vailable on current alue

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 90 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:04 PM

C/ 185	SC 185.9.1	P 538	L 33	# 393	C/ 183 SC 183.7.1
Pfiefle, Jo	erg	Keysight Tech	nologies		Rodes, Roberto
Comment A coh	51	Comment Status A libration residual I-Q skew of	0.2 ps is not av	TQM railable on current	Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Type T Commented by TDECQmax for FR4 is curre
Suggested	IRemedy e I-Q skew for X v	ent and 0.5 ps is an acceptab value from 0.2 to 0.5 in table <i>Response Status</i> C			SuggestedRemedy Propose to replace TBD with Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	PT IN PRINCIPL				Resolve using the response
from (0.2 to 0.5 ps.	skew for X (max)" and "I-Q s			Cl 182 SC 182.7.1 Rodes, Roberto Comment Type T Co
C/ 187	SC 187.9.2	P608	L 50	# 394	TDECQmax for DRx-2 is cur
Pfiefle, Jo	0	Keysight Tech	nologies	7014	SuggestedRemedy
Comment	•••	Comment Status A	offect or I O in	TQM	Propose to replace TBD with
		ont-end calibration for I-Q DC post calibration residual.	onset or 1-Q ins	stantaneous onset,	Response Re
Suggestee	IRemedy				ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response
		I-Q DC offset for X, I-Q instan Y from Table 187-13	ntaneous offset	for X, I-Q DC offset for	C/ 1 SC 1.3
Response		Response Status C			Dawe, Piers
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.			Comment Type T Co
Implei	ment suggested re	emedy in Table 187-13.			The OSFP specification has subject to revision, and partie
C/ 185	SC 185.9.1	P 538	L 35	# 395	encouraged to investigate th standards indicated below"
Pfiefle, Jo	ərg	Keysight Tech	nologies		SuggestedRemedy
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		TQM	Update OSFP from Rev 5.0,
		ont-end calibration for I-Q DC	offset or I-Q ins	stantaneous offset,	the date and revision numbe Update any other references
Suggestee	Remedy				Response Re
		I-Q DC offset for X, I-Q instan Y from Table 185-13	ntaneous offset	for X, I-Q DC offset for	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Update OSFP from Rev 5.0,
Response		Response Status C			
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Ε.			
Implei	ment suggested re	emedy in Tables 185-13 and	Table 185A-2		
-					

C/ 183	SC 183.7.1	P 480	L 34	# 396
Rodes, Rob	erto	Coherent		
Comment T	<i>ype</i> T max for FR4 is	Comment Status A currently 'TBD'		TDECQ
SuggestedF Propose		0 with 3.4 dB. Supporting pre	esentation wil be	provided
	T IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E. onse to comment #146.		
C/ 182	SC 182.7.1	P 452	L 43	# 397
Rodes, Rob	erto	Coherent		
Comment T		Comment Status A s currently 'TBD'		TDECQ
SuggestedF	Remedy			
Propose	e to replace TBI	0 with 3.4 dB. Supporting pre	esentation wil be	provided
	T IN PRINCIPL e using the resp	Response Status C E. onse to comment #146.		
C/ 1	SC 1.3	P 50	L 41	# 398
Dawe, Piers	;	Nvidia		
	FP specificatior	Comment Status A has been updated. Notice parties subject to agreemen		
		ate the possibility of applying		

te OSFP from Rev 5.0, October 2, 2022 to Rev 5.1, September 12th, 2024, or remove ate and revision number from the reference.

te any other references as appropriate if new revisions are published.

Response Status C

EPT IN PRINCIPLE. te OSFP from Rev 5.0, October 2, 2022 to Rev 5.1, September 12th, 2024.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 176D	SC 176D.5.4	P 701	L 23	# 399	C/ 179	SC	179.9.4.7	P363	L 1	# 401
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		AC common mode	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status R		Tx spec methodology
that w	hile the full-band which is not reali uses.	ages are not as large as this VCM is lower than for host o istic; a module does not have	utput, the low-fr	equency VCM is the	than w calvin_ calvin_	/e have _3dj_01 _3dj_02	at the obset b_2407 sh 2a_2407 an	ely to other impairments reli ervation point, and better th ows that most of what is m d successor, and zivny_3dj ments give measure the rig	an what is nee easured is not _01_2409 whi	eded to make good links. jitter. Also see
00		it for module output (Table 1	76F-2) because	e the module output is	Suggested	Remed	dy			
measu Also ir We m	ured in the MCB v n Table 176E-3, h ay need a senten	which should have a clean po lost input ACCM tolerance. ce of explanation: the host me ell as any that it generates it:	ower supply.		referei Simila	nce rec rly for k				
Response		Response Status C			Response			Response Status C		
•	PT IN PRINCIPL	•			REJE(Resolv	-	g the respo	nse to comment #404.		
		imit for module output (Table g change in Table 176D-3, h P 362								
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia								
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		Tx spec methodology						
acted have a reflect	together with the a satisfactory way ions. Basically, r	omments (and see dawe_3dj jitter spec and others to prot of measuring jitter at today's neasurements can't tell jitter nargin on the table". See ca	ect the link perf s speeds and lo from noise, and	ormance - but we don't sses with reasonable d trying to separate the						
Suggested	Remedy									
Delete	the SNDR section	on. Add a VEC-like, TDECQ	-like spec using	this clause's COM						

Delete the SNDR section. Add a VEC-like, TDECQ-like spec using this clause's COM reference receiver which can be implemented in a scope, as in dawe_3dj_01_2409. Similarly for KR and C2C.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Resolve using the response to comment #404.

Nvidia t Status D 3.4375 GBd (with inner FEC) and but or before inner FEC) are both e incompatible. This causes a bu d at low jitter frequencies (which t	the LF jitter slope for based on 4 MHz, 0.0 iffering requirement	15 not ch	<i>Type</i> TR voltages an	d voltage sv	Nvidia nment Status A wing trend downwards	s over the vear	Output voltage range
3.4375 GBd (with inner FEC) and but or before inner FEC) are both e incompatible. This causes a bu d at low jitter frequencies (which t	the LF jitter slope for based on 4 MHz, 0.0 iffering requirement	r Supply 05 not cha	voltages an	d voltage sv		s over the year	, , ,
but or before inner FEC) are both e incompatible. This causes a bu d at low jitter frequencies (which t	based on 4 MHz, 0.0 Iffering requirement	15 not ch			wing trend downwards	s over the vear	
ot an unbounded buffering require to any compliant host. The propo ultiple presentations: ptions to fix the low frequency jitte RU Bandwidth Recommendation onsiderations for CRU BW and A er considerations for 100GAUI-2 v laking the jitter specs compatib	timing without inner lute time units (not U ement and modules used remedy is very er (gearbox) issue for 200G Interfaces mount of Untracked with 100GBASE-DR ble Dawe	harmfu the ser I) Suggested Reduc adjustr Simila Response ACCE	ner C2M had I when a rec cond party to <i>Remedy</i> e 1.2 mV to e the steady nents to Av Iy for KR and PT IN PRINC	I 900 mV. F eiver can as suffer unne 1 V, here, in -state voltag Afe Ane and d C2C. See <i>Resp</i> CIPLE.	PCIe have moved from sk someone else's tra ecessary NEXT in its in the receiver Table 1 ge vf max from 0.6 V d eta0 in COM tables. e another comment for ponse Status C	In 3ck and D1. m 1.2 V to 1 V t ansmitter to turn receiver. 79-10 and in th to 0.5 V. Make	.0, C2M had 750 mV, max. A high max is n up to the max, causing ne text in 179.9.5.2.
	ot an unbounded buffering require to any compliant host. The propo- litiple presentations: ptions to fix the low frequency jitt RU Bandwidth Recommendation onsiderations for CRU BW and A er considerations for 100GAUI-2 laking the jitter specs compatib	ot an unbounded buffering requirement and modules to any compliant host. The proposed remedy is very altiple presentations: ptions to fix the low frequency jitter (gearbox) issue RU Bandwidth Recommendation for 200G Interfaces onsiderations for CRU BW and Amount of Untracked er considerations for 100GAUI-2 with 100GBASE-DR laking the jitter specs compatible Dawe laking the jitter specs compatible Dawe	or any compliant host. The proposed remedy is very Reduce to any compliant host. The proposed remedy is very Reduce altiple presentations: adjustr ptions to fix the low frequency jitter (gearbox) issue Similar RU Bandwidth Recommendation for 200G Interfaces ACCEF onsiderations for CRU BW and Amount of Untracked Accef er considerations for 100GAUI-2 with 100GBASE-DR Dawe laking the jitter specs compatible Dawe	ot an unbounded buffering requirement and modules to any compliant host. The proposed remedy is very altiple presentations: ptions to fix the low frequency jitter (gearbox) issue Reduce 1.2 mV to Reduce the steady adjustments to Av Similarly for KR and <i>Response</i> RU Bandwidth Recommendation for 200G Interfaces onsiderations for CRU BW and Amount of Untracked ACCEPT IN PRINC Resolve using the resolve	SuggesteakernedySource in the proposed remedy is veryIntiple presentations:ptions to fix the low frequency jitter (gearbox) issueRU Bandwidth Recommendation for 200G InterfacesOnsiderations for CRU BW and Amount of UntrackedPer considerations for 100GAUI-2 with 100GBASE-DRLaking the jitter specs compatibleDawe	bit an unbounded buffering requirement and modules to any compliant host. The proposed remedy is very litiple presentations: ptions to fix the low frequency jitter (gearbox) issue Reduce 1.2 mV to 1 V, here, in the receiver Table 1 Reduce the steady-state voltage vf max from 0.6 V adjustments to Av Afe Ane and eta0 in COM tables Similarly for KR and C2C. See another comment for <i>Response</i> RU Bandwidth Recommendation for 200G Interfaces onsiderations for 100GAUI-2 with 100GBASE-DR laking the jitter specs compatible C Accept IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #345.	Suggester Remedyto any compliant host. The proposed remedy is veryintiple presentations: ptions to fix the low frequency jitter (gearbox) issueRU Bandwidth Recommendation for 200G Interfaces onsiderations for CRU BW and Amount of UntrackedReconsiderations for 100GAUI-2 with 100GBASE-DR laking the jitter specs compatibleDaweDakeDate<

For the FECi PMDs (182.9.13 and 183.9.13), instead of referring to 121.8.10.4 (Table 121-12, Applied sinusoidal jitter, which is based on 2e5/f, 0.05 UI which is $J^*f \le 1.882$ us, $J \le 0.471$ ps as there is no inner FEC there), use 2.13e5/f, 0.053 UI, which is also $J^*f \le 1.882$ us and $J \le 0.471$ ps. The jitter corner remains at 4 MHz.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This is a repeat of comment #562 to D1.1.

There is insufficient evidence that electrical jitter requirements can be applied to optical PMDs

C/ 179	SC 179.9.4	P 357	L 22	# 404
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	rpe TR	Comment Status R		Tx spec methodology

Our way of measuring jitter doesn't work well enough with the increased max host loss over 3ck: it is very sensitive to signal amplitude, loss to the point of observation, and allowed reflections, so it is very inaccurate. It is not clear that it can or should be fixed. Our way of defining SNDR doesn't work correctly over host loss either. This can be fixed, but "vertical and horizontal noise" act together to degrade BER: more of one goes with less of the other. Attempting to separate them out is diagnostics; it is not the standard's concern how a signal got to be the way it is, only whether it is good enough or not. See calvin_3dj_02a_2407 and successor.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the SNDR and jitter specs. Add a VEC-like, TDECQ-like spec (see

dawe 3dj 01 2409) using this clause's COM reference receiver which can be implemented in a scope. Similarly for KR and C2C.

Delete SNR ISI because it is a contributor to eve opening.

RLM is a contributor to eye opening defined right, too: see another comment.

Define VEC and Eve Amplitude (based on the equalised scope measurement) for nominal maximum signals; don't ask the scope to resolve very small signals (same idea as SNDR being defined for the presents in Table 179-8 today, not for every possible case).

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The CRG reviewed slides 11-14 of

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24 11/ran 3dj 01a 2411.pdf, and the contribution https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/dawe_3dj_01_2411.pdf, related to this comment and a related group of comments.

There was no support to make the proposed changes in comment 404 and related comments 400, 308, 411, 416, 405, 315, 316, and 401.

C/ 179	SC 179.9.4.3	P361	L 33	# 405
Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		Tx spec methodology

SNR ISI is not needed as a separate spec: it is a component of eye opening. There is no need for a not-quite-consistent special equalizer with its special Nb for this.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the SNR_ISI section and the editor's note. See other comments and dawe_3dj_01_2409 for the holistic VEC-like, TDECQ-like spec that includes it.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Resolve using the response to comment #404.

C/ 179	SC 179.9.5.2	P366	L 4	# 406
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		Rx test methodology

Comment Status A

Signal Vpkpk are defined and measured and calibrated with PRBS13Q. When used for stressed input testing, the signal is changed to PRBS31Q. This is settled policy. The envelope of the signal depends on the pattern, the loss to the observation point and the Tx emphasis. These are known, so the dependency is known.

SuggestedRemedy

Assuming that the intent is a 1 V swing at the silicon, the Vpkpk for calibration (with PRBS13Q) at the MCB output is a little less. Add a row to the table for this voltage.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment #345 and related comments resulted in changing the maximum peak-to-peak voltage from 1.2 V to 1.0 V. The corresponding maximum v f was also changed to 0.5 V.

Change from

"a compliant transmitter whose peak-to-peak differential output voltage (see Table 179-7) measured at the preset 1 equalizer setting is 1.2 V"

to

"a compliant transmitter that has the maximum allowed steady-state voltage (see Table 179-7)".

C/ 178A SC 178A.1.7.1 P731 L41 # 407	C/ 176D SC 176D.4.3 P700 L40 # 408	
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Dawe, Piers Nvidia	
Comment Type TR Comment Status R COM MLSD	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Tx equa	lizatio
In today's COM, the receiver noise spectral density is a parameter: it does not depend on the channel or how the receiver is tuned. As Hossein has shown us, this is unrealistic. It matters because it gives lower loss channels credit they don't deserve, allowing some bad lower loss channels to pass that shouldn't when the right high-loss channels are passed and failed.	In 3ck, C2M had just two modes for its "transmitter output waveform training". In this project, COM seems to think that TxFIR setting is not important, although that may be feature of the abstract COM receiver not real receivers. It is not clear whether CR ner such careful transmitter output waveform rules, and if it does, it does not necessarily that C2M, with less loss, also needs them. The editor's note under the COM table say	e a eds follow
SuggestedRemedy	some of this.	
Implement shakiba_3dj_COM_02_241001 with a "typical" ENOB.	SuggestedRemedy	
Response Response Status C REJECT.	Relax the transmitter output waveform limits as appropriate. Do the same in other clauses if appropriate.	
REJECT. Straw poll #1 from the IEEE P802.3dj May 2024 Task Force meeting indicated a lack of	Response Response Status C	
support for an additional noise term to more explicitly represent noise from an analog-to- digital converter. "IEEE P802.3dj May 2024 Task Foce Straw Poll #1	REJECT. The comment does not provide sufficient justification to support the suggested remed The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement.	у.
I support adding a new noise term (such as 'eta_1' in healey_3dj_01a_2405, slide 6) to the COM reference receiver.	C/ 176D SC 176D.4.3 P700 L23 # 409	
Results (all) Y: 13, , N: 37 , A: 31"		
	Dawe, Piers Nvidia	
Instead, the receiver input-referred noise (eta0) was increased to allocate additional margin for this noise.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Output voltage In D1.1, vf min was 0.387 V, from 3ck CR, which was too high for C2M anyway. This shows 0.4 which is even worse and not consistent with 0.4 V at the silicon.	•
Note that the suggested remedy refers to "shakiba_3dj_COM_02_241001" which proposes	SuggestedRemedy	
abanges to Motleb ands that is not nort of the droft and not appropriate for implementation		
changes to Matlab code that is not part of the draft and not appropriate for implementation in Annex 178A. Also, the term "typical ENOB" is not defined. The values for any new parameters (e.g., "ENOB", "clipping probability") would need to be agreed or the result	Reduce it, at least back to 0.387 but preferably to 0.9/2*4/5*0.387/0.4 = 0.348 V for a nominal 900 mV +0/-20%	
in Annex 178A. Also, the term "typical ENOB" is not defined. The values for any new parameters (e.g., "ENOB", "clipping probability") would need to be agreed or the result would be more "TBDs" being added to the draft. It may also be necessary to consider	Reduce it, at least back to 0.387 but preferably to $0.9/2*4/5*0.387/0.4 = 0.348$ V for a nominal 900 mV +0/-20%	
in Annex 178A. Also, the term "typical ENOB" is not defined. The values for any new parameters (e.g., "ENOB", "clipping probability") would need to be agreed or the result would be more "TBDs" being added to the draft. It may also be necessary to consider adjustments to the eta0 parameter if other noise sources are added. Finally, no evidence has been provided that the addition of a new noise term addresses the	Reduce it, at least back to 0.387 but preferably to 0.9/2*4/5*0.387/0.4 = 0.348 V for a nominal 900 mV +0/-20% Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
in Annex 178A. Also, the term "typical ENOB" is not defined. The values for any new parameters (e.g., "ENOB", "clipping probability") would need to be agreed or the result would be more "TBDs" being added to the draft. It may also be necessary to consider adjustments to the eta0 parameter if other noise sources are added. Finally, no evidence has been provided that the addition of a new noise term addresses the issues raised in the comment (that is, that "bad" lower-loss channels will be rejected as a	Reduce it, at least back to 0.387 but preferably to 0.9/2*4/5*0.387/0.4 = 0.348 V for a nominal 900 mV +0/-20% Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #345.	
in Annex 178A. Also, the term "typical ENOB" is not defined. The values for any new parameters (e.g., "ENOB", "clipping probability") would need to be agreed or the result would be more "TBDs" being added to the draft. It may also be necessary to consider adjustments to the eta0 parameter if other noise sources are added. Finally, no evidence has been provided that the addition of a new noise term addresses the issues raised in the comment (that is, that "bad" lower-loss channels will be rejected as a result of the addition of a noise term).	Reduce it, at least back to 0.387 but preferably to 0.9/2*4/5*0.387/0.4 = 0.348 V for a nominal 900 mV +0/-20% Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
in Annex 178A. Also, the term "typical ENOB" is not defined. The values for any new parameters (e.g., "ENOB", "clipping probability") would need to be agreed or the result would be more "TBDs" being added to the draft. It may also be necessary to consider adjustments to the eta0 parameter if other noise sources are added. Finally, no evidence has been provided that the addition of a new noise term addresses the issues raised in the comment (that is, that "bad" lower-loss channels will be rejected as a	Reduce it, at least back to 0.387 but preferably to 0.9/2*4/5*0.387/0.4 = 0.348 V for a nominal 900 mV +0/-20% Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #345.	
in Annex 178A. Also, the term "typical ENOB" is not defined. The values for any new parameters (e.g., "ENOB", "clipping probability") would need to be agreed or the result would be more "TBDs" being added to the draft. It may also be necessary to consider adjustments to the eta0 parameter if other noise sources are added. Finally, no evidence has been provided that the addition of a new noise term addresses the issues raised in the comment (that is, that "bad" lower-loss channels will be rejected as a result of the addition of a noise term).	Reduce it, at least back to 0.387 but preferably to 0.9/2*4/5*0.387/0.4 = 0.348 V for a nominal 900 mV +0/-20%ResponseResponse StatusCACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #345.CC/176DSC 176D.4.3P700L23L23#410	e rang
in Annex 178A. Also, the term "typical ENOB" is not defined. The values for any new parameters (e.g., "ENOB", "clipping probability") would need to be agreed or the result would be more "TBDs" being added to the draft. It may also be necessary to consider adjustments to the eta0 parameter if other noise sources are added. Finally, no evidence has been provided that the addition of a new noise term addresses the issues raised in the comment (that is, that "bad" lower-loss channels will be rejected as a result of the addition of a noise term).	Reduce it, at least back to 0.387 but preferably to 0.9/2*4/5*0.387/0.4 = 0.348 V for a nominal 900 mV +0/-20% Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #345. Image: Classical and the response to comment #345. Cl 176D SC 176D.4.3 P700 L23 # 410 Dawe, Piers Nvidia	•
in Annex 178A. Also, the term "typical ENOB" is not defined. The values for any new parameters (e.g., "ENOB", "clipping probability") would need to be agreed or the result would be more "TBDs" being added to the draft. It may also be necessary to consider adjustments to the eta0 parameter if other noise sources are added. Finally, no evidence has been provided that the addition of a new noise term addresses the issues raised in the comment (that is, that "bad" lower-loss channels will be rejected as a result of the addition of a noise term).	Reduce it, at least back to 0.387 but preferably to 0.9/2*4/5*0.387/0.4 = 0.348 V for a nominal 900 mV +0/-20% Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #345. Image: Comment Topic Comment Topic Comment Type Cl 176D SC 176D.4.3 P700 L23 # 410 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Image: Comment Type Comment Status A Output voltage 1.2 V is quite excessive for C2M, and, considering modern silicon processes, excession Image: Comment Status A Image: Comment Status Processes (Comment Status Processes)	•
in Annex 178A. Also, the term "typical ENOB" is not defined. The values for any new parameters (e.g., "ENOB", "clipping probability") would need to be agreed or the result would be more "TBDs" being added to the draft. It may also be necessary to consider adjustments to the eta0 parameter if other noise sources are added. Finally, no evidence has been provided that the addition of a new noise term addresses the issues raised in the comment (that is, that "bad" lower-loss channels will be rejected as a result of the addition of a noise term).	Reduce it, at least back to 0.387 but preferably to 0.9/2*4/5*0.387/0.4 = 0.348 V for a nominal 900 mV +0/-20% Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #345. C/ 176D SC 176D.4.3 P700 L23 # 410 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A 0utput voltage 1.2 V is quite excessive for C2M, and, considering modern silicon processes, excessi anything high speed in 2024.	•
in Annex 178A. Also, the term "typical ENOB" is not defined. The values for any new parameters (e.g., "ENOB", "clipping probability") would need to be agreed or the result would be more "TBDs" being added to the draft. It may also be necessary to consider adjustments to the eta0 parameter if other noise sources are added. Finally, no evidence has been provided that the addition of a new noise term addresses the issues raised in the comment (that is, that "bad" lower-loss channels will be rejected as a result of the addition of a noise term).	Reduce it, at least back to 0.387 but preferably to 0.9/2*4/5*0.387/0.4 = 0.348 V for a nominal 900 mV +0/-20% Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #345. CI 176D SC 176D.4.3 P700 L23 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A 0utput voltage 1.2 V is quite excessive for C2M, and, considering modern silicon processes, excessi anything high speed in 2024. SuggestedRemedy	•

Comment ID 410

C/ 176D	SC 176D.5.3	P 700	L 34	# 411
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R		Tx spec methodology

Several inappropriate backplane-style "micro-managing" many-quotas spec items have appeared that are wasteful and unnecessary diagnostics, and some are not measurable with the losses allowed in C2M with reasonable reflections. This is not the way to specify an observable signal. Remember, our task is to specify the *signal at the interface* not hypothesise about the silicon 20-ish dB behind it.

See other comments noting the impracticality of the 120D style jitter measurement method for this project. See dawe_3dj_01a_2406, calvin_3dj_02a_2407 and successor.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove vf (min), Rpeak, SNDR, SNR_ISI and output jitter. Add a VEC-like, TDECQ-like spec, which can be measured in a scope using the COM reference receiver parameters from Table 176D-6 (see dawe_3dj_01_2409). The VEC limit is derived from the COM table too.

Remove RLM; in 120E we decided we didn't need a separate eye linearity spec.

Add an Eye Amplitude spec based on the same measurement (note that

Response Status C

dawe_3dj_01_2409 says Eye Height: Eye Amplitude is meant).

Note that because of instrument noise, VEC and Eye Amplitude (like SNDR) should not be measured on small signals, but on nominal-minimum signals before any training process has reduced them ("presets").

Apply to C2M throughout 176D.

Another comment proposes the same approach for 179, CR.

Response

REJECT.

Resolve using the response to comment #404.

C/ 176D	SC 176D.7.12	P 711	L 31	# 412
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R		(bucketp)

The figures "Example host output test configuration" and "Example module output test configuration" have gone missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Reinstate them. They are needed to show the crosstalk calibration, as one cannot assume that the host generates the same crosstalk as the MCB.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The output specification methodology adopted for C2M is different from the one previously used. It does not include counter-propagating crosstalk and its calibration. As a result, most of the content of the previously used figures is irrelevant.

Note that the content is based on that of CR transmitter specifications, which has been used for several generations and does not have similar figures.

The suggested remedy does not include sufficient detail to implement in the draft.

C/ 176D	SC 176D.6.2	P 706	L 9	# 413
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		Output voltage range

These voltages Av Afe Ane look like old style backplane-style values, which should be reduced even for CR and KR, and should be reduced further for C2M. The Ane value, 0.578 V, is even worse than in the last draft (0.45 V).

SuggestedRemedy

Reduce Av Afe and Ane. Reduce the ratio between Ane and the others (representing the tolerance of the silicon, which should not be +/-20% in 2024). To make the COM table pass and fail the same scenarios, reduce eta0 in proportion.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #345.

C/ 178B	SC 178B.3.5		L 20	# 414	C/ 179		179.9.4.2	P361	L 26	# 416
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Pier			Nvidia		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		PRBS	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status R		Tx spec methodology
Precode	ed training patte	ern 1 might not be well ba	lanced.					t TP2 and its equalised eye		
SuggestedF Check p	-	ns for balance. If there is	s a problem, change	the default seed so as	addres	s RLM	A carefully.	ve probably don't need a se 3ck C2M doesn't have an o shows up in a worse VEC.		
to rotate	e the pattern by	a few UI to make the pre	coding start as inter	nded.	Suggested					
Proposed R	lesponse	Response Status W					•	d 179.9.4.2. See another	comment for the	bolistic VEC-like
PROPC	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.					spec that in			
		on, reviewed by the task ad proposed changes:	force at a previous	ad hoc meeting,	Response			Response Status C		
https://v df	www.ieee802.or	g/3/dj/public/adhoc/optics			REJEC Resolv		ng the respo	nse to comment #404.		
	coded training /ears ago during	patterns were defined (ar n 802.3cd.	id the level imbaland	ce was analyzed) more	C/ 186	SC	186.2.2	P 550	L17	# 417
See http		/3/cd/public/July16/heale	y_3cd_01a_0716.p	df slide 10. The effect is	Dawe. Pier	s		Nvidia		
known.	c force discussi	an			Comment		т	Comment Status A		(bucketp)
FULLAS		JII.					=	re is not "overview, it is par	rt of the transmit	(<i>i</i> ,
C/ 178B	SC 178B.5.4	P 748	L 35	# 415			igure 186-3			
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia			Suggested	Reme	dy			
Comment T		Comment Status D ded training patterns are	not adequately defi	PRBS				ial in lines 17 to 34 to 186. torial licence.	2.3, and some o	of the material in lines 36
SuggestedF	0.	51			Response			Response Status C		
For the seed we 0. As th long as	8 precoded PR ere as in Table le pattern runs a the intent to av	BS13Q, define the patter 178B-1 (and see another across the training frame, oid correlation between la pattern as in 120.5.11.2.2	r comment), and the , the actual start pos anes is met. For the	precoder state is set to ition doesn't matter as free-running precoded	The ov details use of	verall s here i GMP,	regarding th etc.	86.2.2 mirrors that of 172. e size of the FEC codewor text in this clause to focus	ds, the number	of mapping lanes, the
Proposed R PROPC		Response Status WIN PRINCIPLE.			transm	it dire	ction: encod	le the data from the MII, m t are provided to the PMA)	ap to the PCS f	
The follo	owing related c	ontribution was reviewed	by the 802.3dj task	force at a prior ad hoc	Chang	e the l	heading of 1	86.2.3.1 "Encode and rate	match" to:	

The following related contribution was reviewed by the 802.3dj task force at a prior ad hoc meeting:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/adhoc/optics/1024_OPTX/dawe_3dj_optx_01_241031.p df

The contribution proposes to add text indicating that the precoder and PRBS generator are initialized simultaneously with the seed (for the PRBS test pattern generator) and initial value 0 (for the precoder).

For CRG discussion.

Implement with editorial license.

"64B/66B Encode and rate match"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 417

Page 97 of 103 11/13/2024 9:41:04 PM

	P 370	L 40	# 418	C/ 184	SC 184.2	P 498	L 43	# 420
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Kota, Kishor	e	Marvell Semic	onductor	
Comment Type T Co	mment Status A		Rx test methodology	Comment Ty	rpe E	Comment Status A		(editorial)
Missing jitter tolerance freque	ncy point ("case")					igure 184-2 are labelled RX_A		
SuggestedRemedy						d to highlight the fact that the p th the X/Y polarizations at the		
Insert a case at 0.1333 MHz,	1.5 UI. Similarly in Tat	ole 176D-10.				d do not clearly reflect the fact		
Response Res	ponse Status C			polarizat	ions.			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	-			SuggestedR	emedy			
The following straw poll was t	aken.					e H/V (for horizontal and vertic		
Straw poll #E-2 (decision) I support adopting the sugges	sted remedy to commer	nt #418 (adding	a case).			letters in coherent DSPs inste RX_Hi, RX_Hq, RX_Vi, RX_Vo		0
Y: 27		a a rio (adding		uses of	hese names i	n 184.5.1 on page 508, lines 4		
N: 20				,	5 and 184.5.	7 on page 510, line 10.		
Implement the suggested rem	nedy with editorial licens	se.		Response		Response Status C		
X 186 SC 186.2.2	P550	L 29	# 419		IN PRINCIP	LE. al license and discretion.		
Dawe, Piers	P 550 Nvidia	L 29	# 419	mpionie				
	mment Status A		(bucketp)					
This says "a spatially-coupled else in the draft.		" and "spatial" o	(//					
uggestedRemedy		C" and "TPC-lik	e code".					
SuggestedRemedy Explain what is meant by "spa	atially-coupled" and "TP							
Explain what is meant by "spa								
Explain what is meant by "spa	atially-coupled" and "TP sponse Status C							
Explain what is meant by "spa Response Res								
Explain what is meant by "spa Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 186.2.2, change:	sponse Status C							
Explain what is meant by "spa Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 186.2.2,	sponse Status C							
Explain what is meant by "spa Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 186.2.2, change: "The 8 lanes are interleaved a BCH(256,239) constituent con to:	and encoded with a spa	tially-coupled T	PC-like code with					
Explain what is meant by "spa Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 186.2.2, change: "The 8 lanes are interleaved a BCH(256,239) constituent con	and encoded with a spa	tially-coupled T	PC-like code with					

Kota, Kishore Marvell Semiconductor Kota, Kishore Marvell Semiconductor	C/ 185	SC 185.5	1	P 528	L 32	# 421	C/ 184	SC /	184.4.2		P 500	L 9	# 422
Comment Type T Comment Status A ADC signal labelling ADC input signals in Figure 185-5 are labelled RX_Ai, RX_Aq, RX_Bi and RX_Bq. I think the original XY were changed to A/B to highlight the fact that the polarization and a the receiver is not necessarily aligned with the XY polarizations are the transmitter. However, A&B are somewhat arbitrary and do not clearly reflect the fact that those are orthogonal polarizations. The text of this clause was changed from earlier drafts and the original intent was lot the process. Lane reorder in D1.2 refers to 172.2.5.2 which specifies that all the lan completely reordered to match the PCS lanes within each flows. SuggestedRemedy My suggestion is to use H/V (for horizontal and vertical) instead of A/B because it is common to use these letters in coherent DSPs instead of X/Y to indicate orthogonal polarizations. i.e. use RX_HI, RX_HQ, RX_VI, RX_VQ, SX_Vq Same change would also apply to uses of these names in 185.5.3 on page 529 line 25, Comment Type TR Comment Status A The text of this clause was changed from earlier drafts and the original intent was lot the process. Lane reorder in D1.2 refers to 172.2.5.2 which specifies that all the lan completely reordered to match the PCS lanes within each flows. SuggestedRemedy My suggestion is to use H/V (for horizontal and vertical) instead of A/B because it is common to use these letters in coherent DSPs instead of X/Y to indicate orthogonal polarizations. i.e. use RX_HI, RX_HQ, RX_YI, RX_YQ, RX_YI, and RX_YQ, RX_YI, RX_XQ, RX_YI, and RX_RQ are change a comment type from E to T.] The taxts force reviewed https://www.ieee802.org/3/d/j/public/24_11/kota_3dj_01_24 In clauses 194 through 187						# 421			104.4.2			-	π 422
ADC input signals in Figure 185-5 are labelled RX_Ai, RX_Aq, RX_Bi and RX_Bq. I think the original XY were changed to AB to highlight the fact that the polarization angle at the receiver is not necessarily aligned with the XY polarizations at the transmitter. However, A&B are somewhat arbitrary and do not clearly reflect the fact that those are orthogonal polarizations. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> My suggestion is to use H/V (for horizontal and vertical) instead of A/B because it is common to use these letters in coherent DSPs instead of X/Y to indicate orthogonal polarizations. i.e. use RX_HI, RX_Hq, RX_VI, RX_VQ. Same change would also apply to uses of these names in 185.5.3 on page 529 line 25, <i>Response Response Response Status</i> C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Change comment type from E to T.] In clauses 184 through 187 change all signal labels from Rx_AI, Rx_AQ, Rx_BI, and Rx_SQ to Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ each carry a combination of the transmitting Inner FEC Tx_XI, Tx_XQ, Tx_YI, and Tx_YQ signals used by the transmitting PMD to generate the DP-16QAM symbols."	,		-		CONDUCTOR		,			-		conductor	_
the original X/Y were changed to A/B to highlight the fact that the polarization angle at the receiver is not necessarily aligned with the X/Y polarizations at the transmitter. However, A&B are somewhat arbitrary and do not clearly reflect the fact that those are onthogonal polarizations. SuggestedRemedy My suggestion is to use H/V (for horizontal and vertical) instead of A/B because it is common to use these letters in coherent DSPs instead of X/Y to indicate orthogonal polarizations. i.e. use RX_HI, RX_HQ, RX_VI, RX_VQ, Same change would also apply to uses of these names in 185.5.3 on page 529 line 25, Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Change comment type from E to T.] In clauses 184 through 187 change all signal labels from RX_AI, RX_AQ, RX_BI, and RX_RQ each carry a combination of the transmitting Inner FEC TX_XI, RX_XQ, RX_YI, and TX_YQ signals used by the transmitting PMD to generate the DP-16QAM symbols.".	Comment	<i>t Туре</i> Т	Comm	ent Status A		ADC signal labelling	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment	t Status A		Data pati
common to use these letters in coherent DSPs instead of X/Y to indicate orthogonal polarizations. i.e. use RX_Hi, RX_Hq, RX_Vi, RX_Vq. Same change would also apply to uses of these names in 185.5.3 on page 529 line 25, Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Change comment type from E to T.] In clauses 184 through 187 change all signal labels from Rx_AI, Rx_AQ, Rx_BI, and Rx_BQ to Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ. Add a clarifying sentence in 185 and 187 similar to "The signals Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ each carry a combination of the transmitting Inner FEC Tx_XI, Tx_XQ, Tx_YI, and Tx_YQ signals used by the transmitting PMD to generate the DP-16QAM symbols.".	the or receiv A&B polari Suggeste	riginal X/Y we ver is not nec are somewha izations. edRemedy	re changed to a essarily aligned t arbitrary and	A/B to highlight the d with the X/Y pola do not clearly refle	e fact that the po rizations at the t ect the fact that t	plarization angle at the transmitter. However, hose are orthogonal	the pro compl permu withou reorde	ocess. L etely req itation fu it any re er places	ane reord ordered to unction or quirements an unrea	der in D1.2 re o match the F nly requires a it on the orde	efers to 172.2.5. PCS lane orderin partial reorder er of the PCS la	2 which specifie ng. However, 80 where flow 0 an nes within each	es that all the lanes are DGBASE-LR1 Id flow 1 are separated flow. Requiring a full
polarizations. i.e. use RX_Hi, RX_Hq, RX_Vi, RX_Vq. Same change would also apply to uses of these names in 185.5.3 on page 529 line 25, Supporting contribution to be provided. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C In clauses 184 through 187 change all signal labels from Rx_AI, Rx_AQ, Rx_BI, and Rx_BQ to Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ. The task force reviewed https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/kota_3dj_01_24 Add a clarifying sentence in 185 and 187 similar to "The signals Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ. Update 184.4.2 with this relaxed requirement. Also update 184.4.3 where two lanes selected for symbol-pair swapping, one from each group. The two lanes are not req be (n) and (n+16). Any lane of one group may be paired with any lane of the other g Inplement with editorial license.	,	common to use these letters in coherent DSPs instead of X/Y to indicate orthogonal											
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Change comment type from E to T.] ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In clauses 184 through 187 change all signal labels from Rx_AI, Rx_AQ, Rx_BI, and Rx_BQ to Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ. Strict reordering of all 32 800G PCS lanes is not required, they only need to be separate the DP-16QAM symbols."													
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Change comment type from E to T.] In clauses 184 through 187 change all signal labels from Rx_AI, Rx_AQ, Rx_BI, and Rx_BQ to Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ. Strict reordering of all 32 800G PCS lanes is not required, they only need to be septing of two groups, PCSLs 0-15 and PCSLs 16-31. Add a clarifying sentence in 185 and 187 similar to "The signals Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ each carry a combination of the transmitting Inner FEC Tx_XI, Tx_XQ, Tx_YI, and Tx_YQ signals used by the transmitting PMD to generate the DP-16QAM symbols.". Update 184.4.2 with this relaxed requirement. Also update 184.4.3 where two lanes selected for symbol-pair swapping, one from each group. The two lanes are not required (n+16). Any lane of one group may be paired with any lane of the other group may be paired with any lane of the other group.	uses	of these nam	es in 185.5.3 o	n page 529 line 28	5,		Response			Response	Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Editor's note: Change comment type from E to T.] In clauses 184 through 187 change all signal labels from Rx_AI, Rx_AQ, Rx_BI, and Rx_BQ to Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ. Add a clarifying sentence in 185 and 187 similar to "The signals Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ each carry a combination of the transmitting Inner FEC Tx_XI, Tx_XQ, Tx_YI, and Tx_YQ signals used by the transmitting PMD to generate the DP- 16QAM symbols.". The task force reviewed https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/kota_3dj_01_24 Strict reordering of all 32 800G PCS lanes is not required, they only need to be separate to the other generate the DP- 16QAM symbols.". The task force reviewed https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/kota_3dj_01_24 Strict reordering of all 32 800G PCS lanes is not required, they only need to be separate to two groups, PCSLs 0-15 and PCSLs 16-31. Update 184.4.2 with this relaxed requirement. Also update 184.4.3 where two lanes selected for symbol-pair swapping, one from each group. The two lanes are not req be (n) and (n+16). Any lane of one group may be paired with any lane of the other generate with editorial license.	Response	е	Respon	se Status C			•		RINCIPI	,			
[Editor's note: Change comment type from E to T.] In clauses 184 through 187 change all signal labels from Rx_AI, Rx_AQ, Rx_BI, and Rx_BQ to Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ. Add a clarifying sentence in 185 and 187 similar to "The signals Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ each carry a combination of the transmitting Inner FEC Tx_XI, Tx_XQ, Tx_YI, and Tx_YQ signals used by the transmitting PMD to generate the DP- 16QAM symbols.".	ACCI	EPT IN PRIN	CIPLE.				1002						
In clauses 184 through 187 change all signal labels from Rx_AI, Rx_AQ, Rx_BI, and Rx_BQ to Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ. Add a clarifying sentence in 185 and 187 similar to "The signals Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ each carry a combination of the transmitting Inner FEC Tx_XI, Tx_XQ, Tx_YI, and Tx_YQ signals used by the transmitting PMD to generate the DP- 16QAM symbols.".	[Edito	or's note: Cha	nge comment f	type from E to T.]			The ta	isk force	e reviewed	d https://www	v.ieee802.org/3/	dj/public/24_11	/kota_3dj_01_2411.pdf.
Add a clarifying sentence in 185 and 187 similar to "The signals Rx_XI, Rx_XQ, Rx_YI, and Rx_YQ each carry a combination of the transmitting Inner FEC Tx_XI, Tx_XQ, Tx_YI, and Tx_YQ signals used by the transmitting PMD to generate the DP-16QAM symbols.".					from Rx_AI, Rx	_AQ, Rx_BI, and						quired, they only	/ need to be separated
16QAM symbols.". Implement with editorial license.	Rx_Y	Q each carry	a combination	of the transmitting	Inner FEC		select	ed for sy	ymbol-pai	r swapping, o	one from each ູ	, group. The two I	anes are not required to
Implement with editorial license.						The to generate the Dr	Impler	ment wit	h editoria	l license.			
	Imple	ement with ed	torial license.										

/ 184 SC 184.4.1	P 500	L 5	# 423	C/ 184	SC ·	184.4.5	P 503	L14	# 424		
ota, Kishore	Marvell Semic	onductor		Kota, Kisho	ore		Marvell Sem	iconductor			
comment Type TR	Comment Status R		Data path	Comment T	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		Data pat		
process. Lane alignm specifies a complete	s changed from earlier drafts a ent lock in D1.2 refers to 172.2 de-skew of all the PCS lanes. H	2.5.1 for deskew However, the pe	. However, 172.2.5.1 rmutation function only	Text says "Define parity[15:0] to be the coefficients of the computed parity polynomial" where it is implied but not stated that parity[15] corresponds to p15 in Equation (184-2). This should be stated precisely to eliminate any ambiguity.							
	kew of 20-bits (i.e. dual 10-bit F ble burden on implementations			Suggested	Remed	ly					
applications		which are targe					arity[15:0] to be the coeffici				
uggestedRemedy					parity[1	5] corresp	ponds to p15 in Equation (184-2) and so on.	"		
Supporting contribution	on to be provided.			Response			Response Status C				
esponse	Response Status C			ACCEF	- I IN F	PRINCIPL	E.				
REJECT.				The tas	sk force	e reviewed	https://www.ieee802.org/3	3/dj/public/24_11/k	cota_3dj_01_2411.pdf.		
The task force review	ed https://www.ieee802.org/3/c	/j/public/24_11/l	cota_3dj_01_2411.pdf.	In 184. "Defir			be the coefficients of the c	omputed parity po	lynomial"		
lane alignment require deskew of the input la If a new presentation	nd deskew requirement for Cla ed for the Clause 177 inner FE ines for the correct function of can show that a full deskew is	C which current the convolutiona not required, thi	ly requires a full al interleaver. s subclause can	parity[1	[5] corr		be the coefficients of the c o p15 in Equation (184-2),				
	ified and/or a limit of the maxin		tew can be added.					/ 00	# [105		
There is no consensu	s to make a change at this time	е.		C/ 184		184.4.6	P503	L 29	# 425		
				Kota, Kisho			Marvell Sem	liconductor			
				Comment T Text im		TR out does n	Comment Status A ot state what the bits circo[j] should be for j=	Data pai 110 to 125.		
				Suggested Need to		•	is assigned to circo[j] for j=	=110 to 125			
				Response		RINCIPL	Response Status C				
				The tag	sk force	- roviowor	https://www.ieee802.org/3	8/di/public/24_11/L			
							1111po.// WWW.1000002.01g/0	/uj/public/24_11/f	$cota_3dj_01_2411.pdf.$		

C/ 184 SC 184.4	P 500	L1	# 426	C/ 182	SC 182.2	P 446	L 39	# 429
Kota, Kishore	Marvell Semic	onductor		Mi, Guangc	an	Huawei Techi	nologies Co., Ltd	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		Data path	Comment 7	ype TR	Comment Status A		Error ratio
original intent and prec	as changed from prior drafts. ision was lost in the process.	However, it ap	opears some of the	PMA, w	ith	meet the block error ratio sp $4 \times 10-5$. the statement of m		
SuggestedRemedy Supporting contribution this text in the pursuit of	n to be provided to address all of simplified text.	the places wh	nere precision was lost in	for the shown	ollowing reaso n Figure 180-2	n. The optical PMD interfaces . Checking acroos the clause and AUI C2M interface with I	with PMA at both s, Figure 176C-2	n side of the link, and Figure 176D-2
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			PMA be as rece	fore an C2C/C ver, and still b	2M channel as transmitter an e measuring the block error ra g BERadded would mean dou	d the PMA after a atio of an optical F	an C2C/C2M channel PMD at PMA. However
This comment was WI	THDRAWN by the commente	r.			M. It is therefore	re suggested to either specify		
C/ 185 SC 185.5	P 5 31	L17	# 427	Suggested	Remedy			
Kota, Kishore	Marvell Semic	onductor				appropriate, such as "the tes		
<i>Comment Type</i> TR TBDs in Table 185-5	Comment Status A		Tx optical parameter	PMA sub-layer immediately before the PMD interface at the transmitting side, while the error ratio measured by the PMA sublayer immediately after the PMD interface at the receiving side." A figure may also be helpful, will provide in a contribution.) interface at the
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C		
Supporting contribution	n to be provided to address TE	BDs			T IN PRINCIP			
Response	Response Status C			Resolve	e using the res	conse to comment #433.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	.E.			C/ 182	SC 182.2	P 446	L 42	# 430
Resolve using the resp	oonse to comment #243.			Mi, Guangc	an	Huawei Techi	nologies Co., Ltd	-
				Comment 7		Comment Status R		Error ratio
<i>Cl</i> 185 <i>SC</i> 185.6 Kota, Kishore	P 532 Marvell Semic	L20 onductor	# 428	two C2	A allocation. E	ing 6.4e-5, which corresponds ER added at PCS being 3.2e		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Rx optical parameter	recheck				
TBDs in Table 185-6				Suggested				
SuggestedRemedy Supporting contribution	n to be provided to address TE	3Ds		side, th	en there should	enerated by and transmitted fr d be no BER_added needed. MA layer at the transmitting s	If the test pattern	is generated by and
Response	Response Status C					ne PMD interface, then BER_i eiver side only, seems correct		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Е.			Response		Response Status C		
Resolve using the resp	oonse to comment #243.			REJEC		ponse to comment #434.		

C/ 183	SC 183.2	P474 L38	# 431	C/ 180 SC 180	0.2 P393	L 37
Mi, Guang	Ican	Huawei Technologies Co., L	td	Mi, Guangcan	Huawei Tec	hnologies Co
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A	Error ratio	Comment Type T	R Comment Status A	

"A PMD is expected to meet the block error ratio specifications in 174A.6, measured at a PMA. with

BERadded equal to 6.4 x 10-5. the statement of measured at a PMA may not be sufficient, for the following reason. The optical PMD interfaces with PMA at both side of the link, shown in Figure 180-2. Checking acroos the clauses, Figure 176C-2 and Figure 176D-2 showed both AUI C2C and AUI C2M interface with PMA. therefore, a user could use the PMA before an C2C/C2M channel as transmitter and the PMA after an C2C/C2M channel as receiver, and still be measuring the block error ratio of an optical PMD at PMA. However in this case, employing BERadded would mean double counting the error allocation to C2C/C2M. It is therefore suggested to either specify by wording or provide an illustrative drawing .. "

SuggestedRemedy

Add description where appropriate, such as "the test pattern should be generated by the PMA sub-layer immediately before the PMD interface at the transmitting side while the error ratio measured by the PMA sublayer immediately after the PMD interface at the receiving side." A figure may also be helpful, will provide in a contribution.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #433.

C/ 183	SC 183.2	P 474	L 41	
0/ 103	30 103.2	F 4/4	L4I	

Response Status C

Mi, Guangcan

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Error ratio

432

BERadded at PMA being 6.4e-5, which corresponds to Table 174A-1, adding two C2C and two C2M allocation. BER added at PCS being 3.2e-5, which doesn't seem write. Need to recheck.

Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd

SuagestedRemedv

If the test pattern is generated by and transmitted from the PCS layer at the transmitting side, then there should be no BER added needed. If the test pattern is generated by and transmitted from the PMA laver at the transmitting side, where the PMA is the PMA immediatedly before the PMD interface, then BER_added of 3.2e-5, equivalent to a twopart AUI link at the receiver side only, seems correct. Some clarification will be good.

Response

REJECT.

Resolve using the response to comment #434.

C/ 180 SC	180.2	P 393	L31	# 433	
Mi, Guangcan		Huawei Techn	ologies Co., Ltd		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		Error ratio	

......

"A PMD is expected to meet the block error ratio specifications in 174A.6, measured at a PMA, with

BERadded equal to 6.4×10^{-5} . the statement of measured at a PMA may not be sufficient. for the following reason. The optical PMD interfaces with PMA at both side of the link, shown in Figure 180-2. Checking acroos the clauses, Figure 176C-2 and Figure 176D-2 showed both AUI C2C and AUI C2M interface with PMA, therefore, a user could use the PMA before an C2C/C2M channel as transmitter and the PMA after an C2C/C2M channel as receiver, and still be measuring the block error ratio of an optical PMD at PMA. However in this case, employing BERadded would mean double counting the error allocation to C2C/C2M. It is therefore suggested to either specify by wording or provide an illustrative drawing .. '

SuggestedRemedy

Add description where appropriate, such as "the test pattern should be generated by the PMA sub-layer immediately before the PMD interface at the transmitting side, while the error ratio measured by the PMA sublayer immediately after the PMD interface at the receiving side." A figure may also be helpful, will provide in a contribution.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The following presentation was reviewed by the CRG:

In 180.2 ...

Change "A PMD is expected to meet the block error ratio specifications in 174A.6. measured at a PMA, with BERadded equal to 6.4 x 10-5."

To:

A PMD is expected to meet the block error ratio specifications in 174A.6, measured at the PMA adjacent to the PMD, with BERadded equal to $6.4 \times 10-5$.

Apply similar changes to 181.2, 182.2, 183.2.

Add additional explanation and diagrams in 174A.6 to clarify where and how these measurements are performed. In the PMD make clear references to this material.

Implement with editorial license.

C/ 180	SC 180.	2	P 393 L	.40	# 434		C/ 181	SC	181.2	P 421	L 36	# 435
Mi, Guangca	an		Huawei Technologies	s Co., Ltd			Mi, Guang	can		Huawei Techr	nologies Co., Ltd	
Comment T	ype TR		Comment Status R		Error rati	0	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		Error ratio

BERadded at PMA being 6.4e-5, which corresponds to Table 174A-1, adding two C2C and two C2M allocation. BER added at PCS being 3.2e-5, which doesn't seem write. Need to recheck.

SuggestedRemedy

If the test pattern is generated by and transmitted from the PCS layer at the transmitting side, then there should be no BER added needed. If the test pattern is generated by and transmitted from the PMA layer at the transmitting side, where the PMA is the PMA immediatedly before the PMD interface, then BER_added of 3.2e-5, equivalent to a twopart AUI link at the receiver side only, seems correct. Some clarification will be good.

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The specification for a PMD is the case where the signal is coming from a test source with no errors due to AUI C2M or C2C and is measured at the adjacent (or closest) PMA without any AUI C2M or C2C between. Therefore the allocation for all possible AUI C2C and C2M that may occur in a PCS to PCS path (6.4E-5) must be added.

When measuring a complete PHY at the PCS the PHY includes any allocation from the local AUI C2C and C2M, but again the input to the PMD is from a test source with no errors due to AUI C2C or C2M: therefore only the allocation for one AUI C2C and one AUI C2M (3.2E-5) is added.

C/ 181 SC	181.2	P 421 L 36	# 435
Mi, Guangcan		Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A	Error ratio

"A PMD is expected to meet the block error ratio specifications in 174A.6, measured at a PMA. with

BERadded equal to 6.4 × 10-5. the statement of measured at a PMA may not be sufficient, for the following reason. The optical PMD interfaces with PMA at both side of the link, shown in Figure 180-2. Checking acroos the clauses, Figure 176C-2 and Figure 176D-2 showed both AUI C2C and AUI C2M interface with PMA, therefore, a user could use the PMA before an C2C/C2M channel as transmitter and the PMA after an C2C/C2M channel as receiver, and still be measuring the block error ratio of an optical PMD at PMA. However in this case, employing BERadded would mean double counting the error allocation to C2C/C2M. It is therefore suggested to either specify by wording or provide an illustrative drawing .. '

SuggestedRemedy

Add description where appropriate, such as "the test pattern should be generated by the PMA sub-layer immediately before the PMD interface at the transmitting side, while the error ratio measured by the PMA sublayer immediately after the PMD interface at the receiving side." A figure may also be helpful, will provide in a contribution.

Response		Response Status C		
Resol	e using the resp	oonse to comment #433.		
C/ 181	SC 181.2	P 421	L 39	# 436
Mi, Guang	can	Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		Error ratio
BERa	dded at PMA bei	ng 6.4e-5, which corresponds	s to Table 174A-1	I, adding two C2C and

١d two C2M allocation. BER added at PCS being 3.2e-5, which doesn't seem write. Need to recheck.

SuggestedRemedy

If the test pattern is generated by and transmitted from the PCS layer at the transmitting side, then there should be no BER added needed. If the test pattern is generated by and transmitted from the PMA laver at the transmitting side, where the PMA is the PMA immediatedly before the PMD interface, then BER_added of 3.2e-5, equivalent to a twopart AUI link at the receiver side only, seems correct. Some clarification will be good.

Response Status C

Response

REJECT.

Resolve using the response to comment #434.
