	SC			P	1	# 11	C/ 00	SC		Doop	1.00	# 000
C/ 00		U	•	P Alphawave Se	L	# 11			U	P <b>338</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 302
Brown, N		_		•	mi		Ran, Adee		-	Cisco		/ ·
(176. Rewr	ormat u 7.4.1), a ite/refor	and Inner rmat the c	Comment Sta efining the various FEC (177.5.4.1, 1 counter definitions	s status coun 184.5.7) vary	wildly from cla	<i>(bucket)</i> S (175.2.5.3), PMA use to clause.	all oth "is lim	kew ar er mea ited to'	asuremen " reads lik	Comment Status R Variation at SP2 are specified w to points it is specified with "sha se an informative statement, bu to SP2 may not be accessible; th	II be less than t it is a normat	". tive requirement (it is not
Suggeste		•					Telatet					5 101 OF 5).
			definitions in 175. er 175.2.5.3 ro 17			and 184.5.7 to be the plate.	same	wordin	ig is used	in multiple places in the draft (	per PMD and o standard. If n	data rate). Note that the ecessary, it can be dealt
Response			Response Sta	atus C					enance.			
		PRINCIP					Suggested		•			
forma	at as 17	5.2.5.3.	ial license.	6.7.4.1, 177.5	.4.1, and 184.	5.7 to use the same	Chang SP2.	je "is li	mited to"	to "shall be less than" in all ins	tances of Ske	w and Skew variation at
			76, 177, 184]				Response			Response Status Z		
			· · ·	<b></b>			REJE	CT.				
C/ 00	SC	0		P <b>261</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 273	This c	ommei	nt was W	ITHDRAWN by the commenter		
Ran, Ade <i>Commen</i> i		TR	Comment Sta	Cisco		Management interface	1110 0	ommo	in nuo n		•	
the va This s Acces other MDIC	ariables sentenc ss to the wise it i D is option	s is recom ce is repea e manage is only rec	mended. <sup>†</sup> ated in multiple cla ement variables is commended to hav	auses and an required ("sh ve them acce	nexes (14 inst all") if MDIO is ssible.	nt mechanism to access ances). s implemented, but ne a requirement even if						
Suggeste												
00	ige "pro	•	is recommended"	" to "shall be∣	provided", with	editorial license, in all						
Response	е		Response Sta	atus <b>C</b>								
In 17 187.1 Chan to acc To: "I mana	5.8, 176 11, and 1ge "If th cess the If the Mi agemen	178B.15. ne MDIO I e variable DIO Interf it variable	10, 178.13, 179.1	plemented, p d." nented, an alt	rovision of an	184.9, 185.11, 186.7, equivalent mechanism nism to access						

C/ 00 SC 0

C/ 1	SC	1.4.92a	P <b>53</b>	L10	# 269	CI <b>45</b>	SC	45.2.1	P <b>70</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 272
Ran, Ade	е		Cisco			Ran, Adee			Cisco		
Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status R		(withdrawn)	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status R		(bucke
interfa	aces" fol	llowed by "	II-n includes "used for chip-t For chip-to-module interface						e contained in the PMA/PM n the stack, nor to the clau		
duplic	ity is no	t helpful.				Suggested	Reme	dy			
Follov	wing the	new desci	riptions introduced in the new	w AUI annexes, t	he clarity of this	Add te	st des	cribing the	inner FEC MDIO positioni	ng (in the same MI	MD as the PMD).
defini	tion can	be improv	ed.			Response			Response Status C		
Simila	ar conce	erns exist ir	the definitions of 200GAUI	-n, 400GAUI-n, a	nd 800GAUI-n.	REJEC					
Suggeste	dRemed	lv							or having FEC control and s ng of this FEC functionility		
00		efinition te	xt to:						an exception for the inner		
	<i>,</i>		of the PMA service	Th/a Dhyaiaal La	verimplementation	C/ <b>45</b>	SC	45.2.1	P <b>70</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 271
			nabling partitioning of a 1.6 Specified separately for chi			Ran, Adee		-0.2.1	Cisco		
	aces. Tv		defined AC lane (4 CTALL)			Comment		ER	Comment Status A		(bucke
eight-		AUI-n are	defined: 16-lane (1.6TAUI-1	16 C2C and 1.61	AUI-16 C2M), and				includes references to mu	Itiple PMA sublave	
0		2C and 1.6	STAUI-8 C2M)."					re allocate			
									.4, 109.1.4, and 120.1.4, b		
,		bonding ch	anges in the definitions of 20	00GAUI-n, 400G	AUI-n, and 800GAUI-n.				PMAs: 173.1.4 (apparently	missed by 802.3df	) and 176.11.
Response			Response Status Z			Suggested					
REJE	CT.					Bring i	n the f	irst paragr	aph of 45.1.2 and add refe	rences to 173.1.4 a	and 176.11.
This o	commen	t was WIT	HDRAWN by the commente	er.		Response			Response Status C		
C/ 1	SC		P57	L28	# 270			PRINCIPL		a atomdard and ad	d references to 172 1 1
		1.5	••	L <b>20</b>	# 270	and 17		irst paragi	aph of 45.2.1 from the bas	e standard and ad	
Ran, Ade			Cisco		(1		00		<b>.</b>	1.40	
Comment			Comment Status D	uld be defined	(bucketp)	C/ 45		45.2.1.21		L13	# 6
			ILdc are also used, and sho	ula de delínea.		Marris, Art				esign Systems	
Suggeste						Comment		т	Comment Status A		(bucke
Add c	lefinition	s for ILcd	and ILdc.			Replac	e the	8 enable b	bits with a single reset bit in	Table 45-177a	
Proposed	Respor	ise	Response Status Z			Suggested	Reme	dy			
PROF	POSED	REJECT.							e rows "Inner FEC enable I )" change "enable" to "rese		C enable lane 7" and
This o	commen	it was WIT	HDRAWN by the commente	er.		Response			Response Status C		
								PRINCIPL g the resp	E. onse to comment #1.		
VDF. TF			ER/editorial required GR/						CI		Page 2 of 136

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.213a Page 2 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:21 PM

C/ <b>45</b>	00	45.2.1.21	Ja	P <b>92</b>	L14	# 91		CI 73	SC 7	3.5.1	P118	L <b>38</b>	# 547
Nicholl, Sh	nawn			AMD				Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
comment	Туре	TR	Comme	ent Status A		(4	bucket)	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		AN DME swing
			ields in "Ta MDIO regis		ner FEC control re	gister bit definitio	ons" is	default	preset t	o start tra	rical characteristics" table ne aining: 800 to 1000 *0.75 +/- 0 mV, and the XLPPI max, 8	0.025 which is {	
uggested	Reme	dy									univ, anu me Alfrinax, c	550 mv.	
1 = En	able Ir	following t iner FEC o nner FEC	on lane 7	description colum	n of 1.2400.7 row	:		Transr Receiv	Table 73- nit differe ve differe	1, DME o ential pea ntial peal	electrical characteristics, into k-to-peak output voltage 60 k-to-peak input voltage 200	0 to 1200 mV 0 to 1200 mV.	ontains:
Propos	se simi	lar update	to descrip	tion column of 1.2	2400.0 through 1.2	2400.6 rows.					anything capable of 200G/la		
Response			Respon	se Status <b>C</b>							ik-to-peak output voltage 60 k-to-peak input voltage 200		
		PRINCIPL g the resp		mment #1.				does 1 they do 800 m	000BAS on't have V). If the	E-KX and to chang recomm	roduct should comply to the d/or 10GBASE-KX4 whose of ge voltage swing when going nendation has to go through " to gather feedback and bui	utput should be from AN to reg maintenance, a	e 600 to 1000 mV (so jular mode - their min is
								Proposed I	Respons	е	Response Status W		
								PROP	OSED A	CCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
								meetin	ig:		ntribution was reviewed by t /3/dj/public/adhoc/optics/012		
								would suppor would	be out of rting PH	scope for s define be condition	the transmitter swing to 100 or this project. It would have d in this project. Changing th ional upon operating only wi	to be limited to ne receiver max	implementations imum limit to match
											on was reviewed by the CRG /3/dj/public/adhoc/optics/012		s_3dj_optx_02a_25010
								Implen	nent the	changes	on slide 4 of simms_3dj_01	_2501 except.	
											nit maximum value of 1000 n n 802.3dj.	nV applies only	to implementations that
											e maximum value of 1000 m o support *only* PHYs define		o the case where the

C/ 73 SC 73.5.1

CI 73	SC 73.6.2.5.3	P <b>122</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 92	C/ 73	SC 73.10.2	P <b>130</b>	L14	# 546
Nicholl, Shav	wn	AMD			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status R		(bucke
		ns "The variable an_rs_fec_ d in the incorrect sub-clause		control indicates that	This is co SuggestedRe	,	standard order (slow to fast).		
SuggestedR	emedy					-	ediately below the 100G/lane o	no As the bar	so document is out of
73.6.2.5		agraph such that it is insert th editorial guidance found i			order and	this project a	amendment cannot deliver a pro o link_fail_inhibit_timer rows in	operly ordered	table without cleaning
Response		Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT	Г.				REJECT.				
CI 73	SC 73.6.4	P <b>125</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 93			dressed at the revision project t ows not relevant to 802.3dj sco		
Nicholl, Shav	wn	AMD			CI 73	SC 73.10.2	P130	L15	# 545
Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
	y says "D[10:0] a singular verb.	and D[47:16] contains the U	nformatted Cod	e Field", but should	Comment Typ		Comment Status D		AN/ILT time-ou
SuggestedR	emedy				According	to 178B.14.2	2.1, there should be no time lim	nit	
Propose	"D[10:0] and D[	47:16] contain the Unformat	ted Code Field"		SuggestedRe	,			
Response		Response Status C			Change the	ne two "TBD"	to infinity		
ACCEPT	Г.				Proposed Res	•	Response Status W		
CI 73	SC 73.8	P128	L <b>21</b>	# 94			IN PRINCIPLE.		
Nicholl, Shav	wn	AMD							
Comment Ty	vpe ER	Comment Status A		(bucket)					
Typo mr	_lp_adv_extene to MDIO registe	d_ability[32:1] in "Table 73-6 r mapping"	6-Backplane Eth	ernet Auto-Negotiation					
variable	- un - al a								
variable SuggestedR	emeay								
SuggestedR	2	ended_ability[32:1]							
SuggestedR	2	ended_ability[32:1] Response Status <b>C</b>							

C/ 73 SC 73.10.2

CI 73	SC 73.10.2	P130	L16	# 131	C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P <b>138</b>	L18	# 114
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom			Slavick, Jet	ff	Broadcor	n	
Comment Ty TBD nee	<i>ope</i> <b>TR</b> reds to be filled i	Comment Status <b>D</b>		AN/ILT time-out	<i>Comment 1</i> Table 1		Comment Status A k bar on the right side o	f clause 73 M	(bucket)
SuggestedR Set link t	•	to be 15 to 15.1s			Suggestedl adddre	R <i>emedy</i> ss the formatting	issue		
Proposed Re PROPO	,	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			Response ACCEF	РТ.	Response Status C		
	•	on was reviewed by the CRG: g/3/dj/public/25_01/slavick_3d	_01_2501.pdf		C/ <b>116</b> Bruckman,	SC 116.3.3.4. Leon	1 <i>P</i> 1 <b>50</b> Nvidia	L12	# 152
<respon:< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Comment 7 Missing</td><td><i>Type</i> E g comma</td><td>Comment Status A</td><td></td><td>(bucket)</td></respon:<>					Comment 7 Missing	<i>Type</i> E g comma	Comment Status A		(bucket)
	ent the changes _wait_timer dur	s on slide 13 of slavick_3dj_01 ration.	_2501 using a	value of ??? +/- ??? for	Suggested	Remedy			
Others?	SC 73.10.2	P130	L16	# 184	comma Or dele	before: but it is te the coma in the	n the text in the previous considered. Ie previous section penu	·	
<sup>,,</sup> <b>,                                 </b>		Alphawave Ser	-	# 104	gramm Response	atically.	Response Status <b>C</b>		
Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status <b>D</b> it_timer is TBD. Need value.		AN/ILT time-out	ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLI le 149 line 27 de		but it is considered	".
SuggestedR	emedy				C/ 116	SC 116.4	P150	L <b>52</b>	# 24
Expect a	a contribution w	ith proposals.			Brown, Mat	tt	Alphaway	ve Semi	
	SED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #131.			Comment 7 Delay li in 177.7	imits for the 2000	Comment Status A GBASE-R Inner FEC are	e TBD in Table 116-	<i>(bucket)</i> 6 but are indeed defined
73A	SC 73A.1a	P640	L <b>40</b>	# 97	Suggestedl	Remedy			
licholl, Sha	wn	AMD			Update	Table 116-6 wit	n the delay numbers spe	ecified in 177.7.	
	, y says " indic	Comment Status A ates additional abilities that we ." Present tense seems more		<i>(bucket)</i> nodated in the link	Response ACCEF	РТ.	Response Status <b>C</b>		
SuggestedR									
Propose Base Pa		dditional abilities that are not a	ccommodated	d in the link codeword					
Response ACCEPT	Г.	Response Status C							
COMMENT	STATUS: D/dis	d ER/editorial required GR/ge patched A/accepted R/reject bclause, page, line				Z/withdrawn		⊂ 116 ⊂ 116.4	Page 5 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18

21 PM

C/ 116 SC 116.4 P151	L <b>49</b>	# 25		C/ 120B	SC 120B	P <b>64</b>	2	L <b>1</b>	# 427	
Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi				Dudek, Mike	•	Marve				
omment Type E Comment Status A		1.2	ucket)	Comment Ty		Comment Status	-		COM (bu	
Delay limits for the 400GBASE-R Inner FEC are TBD in Ta in 177.7. uggestedRemedy	able 116-7 but	are indeed de	fined	Annex 1 KR etc. I	20B is also list but it has the s	ent 152 on draft D1.2 ed in tables 178-1 as ame problem as Anne	an allowed on a second se	ptional interfa with an alloca	ce for 200GBAS ted BER of 1e-5	E-
Update Table 116-7 with the delay numbers specified in 1	77.7.			whereas C2M inte		llocates 6.7e-6 to the	C2C interfa	ce when using	the 200GAUI-1	
esponse Response Status C				SuggestedR	emedy					
ACCEPT.				as has b	een done to C	802.3dj and add an e ause 120D for D1.3 w	quivalent mo vith Case 1 A	dification to th And Case 2 ar	ne Channel COM Id the same DER	l test R0
	L <b>33</b>	# 14				and 400GAUI-16				
rown, Matt Alphawave Semi				Proposed Re		Response Status	W			
omment Type         T         Comment Status         A           Error bin counters are provided for 800GBASE-R and 1.61         200GBASE-R or 400GBASE-R PCS. These counters are PHY receive path per 174A.7.		but not for the		Annex 1 of 1.1e-5 COM wit These s	5 (consistent with the formation of the	eceiver characteristic ith BER<1e-6), transm sult in maximum BER	nitter charac R lower than	teristics with p the 6.7e-6 allc	robability 1e-6, a	and
uggestedRemedy		470.0.0.4.4		interface	s, so there is r	o need to change the	e COM paran	neters.		
In Clause 119 add bin counters FEC_codeword_error_bin that these counters are optional if the PCS is used in a PH lane PMD.				C/ 120F	SC 120F.1	P64	-	L <b>53</b>	# 428	
				Dudek, Mike	•	Marve				
Posponse Posponso Status C				Commont T		Commont Status	•		<i>(</i> b.	(alcat)
				Comment Ty		Comment Status		anged in 802		ucket)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In addition to bin counters FEC_codeword_error_bin_i as FEC_cw_counter as defined in 172.3.5. Since these count Clause 172, there is no need to restrict the optionality to "	ters are already	optional in	s per		, rence to 120F. <i>emedy</i>	Comment Status 4 should be a hot link		anged in 802.		ucket)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In addition to bin counters FEC_codeword_error_bin_i as FEC_cw_counter as defined in 172.3.5. Since these count	ters are already	optional in	s per	The refe SuggestedR	rence to 120F. <i>emedy</i> so.		as this is ch	anged in 802.		ucket)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In addition to bin counters FEC_codeword_error_bin_i as FEC_cw_counter as defined in 172.3.5. Since these count Clause 172, there is no need to restrict the optionality to " lane PMD"	ters are already	optional in	s per	The refe SuggestedR Make it s Response	rence to 120F. <i>emedy</i> so.	4 should be a hot link	as this is ch	langed in 802.		ucket)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In addition to bin counters FEC_codeword_error_bin_i as FEC_cw_counter as defined in 172.3.5. Since these count Clause 172, there is no need to restrict the optionality to " lane PMD"	ters are already	optional in	s per	The refe SuggestedR Make it s Response ACCEPT	rence to 120F. <i>emedy</i> so. Г. SC <b>120F.1</b>	4 should be a hot link Response Status	as this is ch C		3dj	ucket)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In addition to bin counters FEC_codeword_error_bin_i as FEC_cw_counter as defined in 172.3.5. Since these count Clause 172, there is no need to restrict the optionality to " lane PMD"	ters are already	optional in	s per	The refe SuggestedR Make it s Response ACCEPT C/ 120F Dudek, Mike Comment Ty	rence to 120F. emedy so. C. SC 120F.1 ppe ER	4 should be a hot link Response Status P <b>64</b>	as this is ch C I6 II R	L9	3dj # <u>429</u> (withd	lrawn)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In addition to bin counters FEC_codeword_error_bin_i as FEC_cw_counter as defined in 172.3.5. Since these count Clause 172, there is no need to restrict the optionality to " lane PMD"	ters are already	optional in	s per	The refe SuggestedR Make it s Response ACCEPT Cl 120F Dudek, Mike Comment Ty The refe rate. SuggestedR	SC 120F. SC 120F.1 SC 120F.1 Prove ER rence to 135F.	4 should be a hot link Response Status P <b>64</b> Marve Comment Status 3.2.1 is not correct.	as this is ch C I6 II R	L9	3dj # <u>429</u> (withd	lrawn)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In addition to bin counters FEC_codeword_error_bin_i as FEC_cw_counter as defined in 172.3.5. Since these count Clause 172, there is no need to restrict the optionality to " lane PMD"	ters are already	optional in	s per	The refe SuggestedR Make it s Response ACCEPT Cl 120F Dudek, Mike Comment Ty The refe rate. SuggestedR	rence to 120F. emedy so. <i>SC</i> 120F.1 <i>cype</i> ER rence to 135F. emedy the reference to	4 should be a hot link Response Status P <b>64</b> Marve Comment Status 3.2.1 is not correct.	as this is ch C I6 III R That subsec	L9	3dj # <u>429</u> (withd	lrawn)
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In addition to bin counters FEC_codeword_error_bin_i as FEC_cw_counter as defined in 172.3.5. Since these count Clause 172, there is no need to restrict the optionality to " lane PMD"	ters are already	optional in	s per	The refe SuggestedR Make it s Response ACCEPT Cl 120F Dudek, Mike Comment Ty The refe rate. SuggestedR Change Response REJECT	rence to 120F. emedy so. r. SC 120F.1 grade ER rence to 135F. emedy the reference to	4 should be a hot link <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 64 Marve <i>Comment Status</i> 3.2.1 is not correct.	as this is ch C I6 III R That subsec Z	L9	3dj # <u>429</u> (withd	lrawn)

C/ 169	SC 169		Р <b>172</b> Nokia	L <b>50</b>	# 42	C/ 169 Huber, Thor
Huber, The Comment		Co	omment Status A		(bucket)	Comment T
			reference to the 800G		(bucket)	Table 1
Suggested						SuggestedF
00		The 800GB	ASE-ER1 PMA is spec	ified in clause 18	36.3	Add a ro
Response		Res	sponse Status C			architec are still
	PT IN PRI	-	nedy with editorial licer	ise.		Response
C/ 169	SC 169		P173	L <b>45</b>	# 153	ACCEP Impleme
Bruckman,	Leon	-	Nvidia			C/ 169
Comment		R Co	omment Status A		(bucketp)	
ILT pro	vides a m		control the modulation	n, not the module	e. Also ILT coordinates	Huber, Tho Comment T
transiti Suggested	on to DAT <i>Remedy</i>	A mode.				Clause 800GBA
states,	such as e		provides a mechanism module, and precoding tate."			SuggestedF Replace clause
such a	s equaliza	tion, modula	es a mechanism for a ition, and precoding sta nd to coordinate transiti	ates on the link p	artner transmitter, to	Response ACCEP Implem
Response			sponse Status <b>C</b>			C/ 169
ACCEI In 169.	PT IN PRII 2 10 <sup>.</sup>	NCIPLE.				
Chang	e: "For ea		provides a mechanism			Bruckman, Comment T
		equalization, ne receiver st	module, and precoding tate "	states on the lir	nk partner transmitter,	The val
						reference
such a	s equaliza	tion, modula	es a mechanism for a tion, and precoding sta ordinate transition to DA	ates on the peer		SuggestedF Fill the <sup>-</sup> values in
A simil	ar wording	, change is n	needed in Clause 174.2	2.12 and 116.2.9		Response
In the clause		ILT, the term	n "link partner" should b	be changed to "p	eer" in all 802.3dj	ACCEP Resolve
Implem	nent with e	ditorial licen	se.			
[Editor	s note: CC	C 116 174 16	69 178 179 180 181 18	2 183]		
	technical r					

C/ 169	SC	169.4	P17	8	L <b>22</b>	# 43	
Huber, Tho	mas		Nokia				
Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status	Α			(bucket)
Table 1	69-4 i	s missing	rows for the 800GBA	SE-ER1	I PCS and PMA		
SuggestedF	Reme	dy					
Add a re archited are still	cture,	add a row	Depending on the dis for the ER1 PCS or the	spositio he ER1	n of other comme FEC. The values	ents about ER s for both in cla	1 ause 186
Response			Response Status	с			
		PRINCIPL e suggest	E. ed remedy with editor	ial licen	ise.		
C/ 169	SC	169.4	P <b>17</b>	8	L <b>23</b>	# 44	
Huber, Tho	mas		Nokia				
Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status	Α			(bucket)
800GB/							
SuggestedF Replace	R <i>eme</i> e the <sup>-</sup>	<i>dy</i> TBDs wiith	C, and clause 184 ha				from
SuggestedF Replace clause	R <i>eme</i> e the <sup>-</sup>	<i>dy</i> TBDs wiith	the appropriate value 1 inner FEC.	es from			from
SuggestedF Replace clause Response ACCEP	Remed e the <sup>-</sup> 184.7 PT IN I	dy TBDs wiith for the LR PRINCIPL	the appropriate value 1 inner FEC. Response Status	es from C	Table 176-7, Tat		from
SuggestedF Replace clause Response ACCEP Implem	Remed e the <sup>-</sup> 184.7 PT IN I ent th	dy TBDs wiith for the LR PRINCIPL	the appropriate value in inner FEC. <i>Response Status</i> E.	es from <b>C</b> ial licen	Table 176-7, Tat		from
SuggestedF Replace clause Response ACCEP Implem Cl 169	Remede the <sup>-</sup> 184.7 PT IN I ent th SC	dy TBDs wiith for the LR PRINCIPL e suggest	the appropriate value 1 inner FEC. <i>Response Status</i> E. ed remedy with editor	es from C ial licen	Table 176-7, Tab	ble 177-5, and	from
SuggestedF Replace clause Response ACCEP Implem C/ 169 Bruckman,	Remede the <sup>1</sup> 184.7 PT IN I ent th SC Leon	dy TBDs wiith for the LR PRINCIPL e suggest	the appropriate value 1 inner FEC. <i>Response Status</i> E. ed remedy with editor <i>P</i> 17	es from C ial licen	Table 176-7, Tab	ble 177-5, and	from (bucket)
SuggestedF Replace clause Response ACCEP Implem Cl 169 Bruckman, Comment T	Remede the <sup>-</sup> 184.7 PT IN I ent th SC Leon Type ues fo	dy TBDs wiith for the LR PRINCIPL e suggest 169.4 TR or 800GBA	the appropriate value 1 inner FEC. <i>Response Status</i> E. ed remedy with editor P <b>17</b> Nvidia	es from C ial licen 8 A	Table 176-7, Tab ise. <i>L</i> <b>23</b>	ble 177-5, and	(bucket)
SuggestedF Replace clause Response ACCEP Implem Cl 169 Bruckman, Comment T The val	Remede the <sup>1</sup> 184.7 PT IN I ent th SC Leon Type ues for ced se	dy TBDs wiith for the LR PRINCIPL e suggest 169.4 TR TR or 800GBA ections.	a the appropriate value 11 inner FEC. <i>Response Status</i> E. ed remedy with editor <i>P</i> 17 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i>	es from C ial licen 8 A	Table 176-7, Tab ise. <i>L</i> <b>23</b>	ble 177-5, and	(bucket)
SuggestedF Replace clause Response ACCEP Implem Cl 169 Bruckman, Comment T The val reference SuggestedF Fill the	Remede the the 184.7 PT IN I ent th SC Leon Jppe ues for ced se Remedent TBDs	dy TBDs wiith for the LR PRINCIPL e suggest 169.4 TR TR or 800GBA ections. dy in Table 1	a the appropriate value 11 inner FEC. <i>Response Status</i> E. ed remedy with editor <i>P</i> 17 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i>	es from C ial licen 8 8 8 800GB	Table 176-7, Tab ise. <i>L</i> 23 ASE-LR1 are def	ble 177-5, and # <u>154</u> fined in the res	<i>(bucket)</i> pective
SuggestedF Replace clause Response ACCEP Implem Cl 169 Bruckman, Comment T The val reference SuggestedF Fill the	Remede the the 184.7 PT IN I ent th SC Leon Jppe ues for ced se Remede TBDs	dy TBDs wiith for the LR PRINCIPL e suggest 169.4 TR TR or 800GBA ections. dy in Table 1	a the appropriate value 1 inner FEC. <i>Response Status</i> E. ed remedy with editor <i>P</i> 17 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> SE-R Inner FEC and 69-4 for 800GBASE-	es from C ial licen 8 8 800GB R Inner	Table 176-7, Tab ise. <i>L</i> 23 ASE-LR1 are def	ble 177-5, and # <u>154</u> fined in the res	<i>(bucket)</i> pective

Z/withdrawn

C/ 169	Page 7 of 136
SC 169.4	1/22/2025 11:18:21 PM

C/ 171 SC 171.1	P190	L <b>8</b>	# 374	C/ 171	SC ·	171.7		P <b>200</b>	L <b>41</b>	# 418
D'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei, U.	S. Subsidiary o	f Huawei	Nicholl, Ga	ary			Cisco Systen	ns	
Comment Type TR Cor	mment Status A		(bucketp)	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment S	tatus A		(bucket)
1.6TMII is noted as required ir	n first entry in Table 17	′1-1a		Annex	176B (	does not	show any MMD	numbering.		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remed	У				
1. Change table entry to option 2. Add note to 1.6TMII table en 1.6TMII is not implemented, a implementation behaves funct	ntry - The 1.6TMII is a conforming ionally as though the	•	·	"Anne numbe to:	x 173A a ering"	and Anne				S partitioning and MMD
Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	bonse Status C			using	the BM	PMA. 17 SM PMA	6B.6.2 shows a	ditional exar	nples of 800GXS	S paritioning using both
Implement the suggested rem	edy.						entrice of the sec			
Make similar changes to Table	e 118-a and Table 118	-b for 200GMII	and 400GMII.	to:			ional examples o			MMD numbering."
C/ 171 SC 171.1	P <b>190</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 373					51 1.01X0 pa	rationing	
D'Ambrosia, John		S. Subsidiary o	f Huawei			le of 171	1.7 from: partitioning exar	nnlo"		
	mment Status A		(bucketp)	to:						
800GMII is noted as required	in first entry in Table 1	71-1		"800G	XS and	1.6TXS	partitioning exar	nples"		
SuggestedRemedy				Make	sure to	underline	e any added text	and to strike	through any dele	eted text.
<ol> <li>Change table entry to option</li> <li>Add note to 800GMII table of 800GMII is not implemented, a implementation behaves funct</li> </ol>	entry - The 800GMII is a conforming			Response ACCE			Response St	atus C		
Response Resi	ponse Status C			C/ 171	SC ·	171.8		P <b>202</b>	L18	# 3
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Marris, Art	hur			Cadence Des	sign Systems	
Test (as as 170.4				Comment	Туре	TR	Comment S	tatus A		ER1 architecture
Text from 170.1: "The 800GMII and 1.6TMII are the PhysicalLayer device (PH)							_enhanced_ptp_ Table 171-2	accuracy_en	able is not prese	ent in Clause 172 and
extend the 800GMII/1.6TMII (s				Suggested	Remed	У				
Table 171-1 should be update 171-1 is an obvious error. The	d to be consistent with	n text from 170.	1. The wording in Table							ol variable mapping" this this new table
1a (see comment #374). This tables in all the PMD clauses.	also makes them cor	isistent with the	physical layer clause		PT IN P	RINCIPL				
Implement the suggested rem	edy with editorial licer	ISE.		Resolv	ve with t	he respo	onse to commne	t #36.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

C/ 171	Page 8 of 136
SC 171.8	1/22/2025 11:18:21 PM

7 171 SC 171.8	P <b>203</b>	L16	# 4	C/ 174	SC 174.2.12	P <b>23</b> 1	L L	41	# 155	
/arris, Arthur	Cadence Desi	ign Systems		Bruckman, L	Leon	Nvidia				
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucketp)	Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status	0		(bud	cketp)
	ster names have had "in ns" a			ILT coor	rdinates transiti	on to DATA mode.				
	t, the register names should X" indication does not match			SuggestedR	Remedy					
variable naming.						modulation, and preco	ding states on	the link p	artner transmitter	,
uggestedRemedy					ndicate the rece Jalization, modu	ulation, and precoding	states on the I	ink partne	r transmitter, to	
	ster names have had "in ns" a					ate and to coordinate t				
	ct in draft 1.2 and the register E Std 802.3cx-2023 for the co			Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status	N			
	variable variables names hav	ve "RX" in their na	ames when it should	PROPO	SED ACCEPT.					
be "TX" and vice versa.				!! Pulled	from bucket					
esponse ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status <b>C</b> ⊏			C/ 174	SC 174.3.2	P235	5 1	20	# 87	
	L. les to those used in D1.2 as o	described in the s	suggested remedy.	Opsasnick, I	-	Broado		20	# 07	
No change is required f	or the Clause 172 status vaia	ble names Sinc	a tha PHV XS is	Comment Ty	0	Comment Status			(b)	ucket)
	own PCS (Clause 172), there			,		nter-sublayer interfaces		C), there i	•	,
	status variable and the corres			FEC will	l (almost) alway	s be in an optical mod	ule below an A	AUI conne	ction to a host. It	
Clauce 171 for evene	e the Rx path delay in Clause				· · · ·					
			ne i x patri delay in			v the Inner FEC below		figure sinc	ce the layer stack	
the PHY XS in Clause 1	171.			shown, v	while logically c	v the Inner FEC below correct, will rarely, if even		figure sinc	ce the layer stack	
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta	171. ble to explain why RX and T)	K are sometimes	transposed between	shown, v SuggestedR	while logically c Remedy	correct, will rarely, if even	er, be used.	5	,	
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variable	171. ble to explain why RX and TX le name and the Clause 172	K are sometimes status variable na	transposed between ame.	shown, v <i>SuggestedR</i> Add a "1	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8		er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R	16:8 PMA	" on line 14 and tl	he
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variable	171. ble to explain why RX and TX le name and the Clause 172 <b>P216</b>	K are sometimes	transposed between	shown, v <i>SuggestedR</i> Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 NSE-R Inner FE	correct, will rarely, if even	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI ii	16:8 PMA nterface be	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl	he
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variabl 171 SC 171.9.5.5 choll, Shawn	171. Ible to explain why RX and TX le name and the Clause 172 P <b>216</b> AMD	K are sometimes status variable na	transposed between ame.	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs.	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 NSE-R Inner FE	correct, will rarely, if even 8 PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which cre ssary inter-layer signal	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI in s on the AUI c	16:8 PMA nterface be	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl	he
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variabl 171 SC 171.9.5.5 choll, Shawn parment Type TR	171. ble to explain why RX and TX le name and the Clause 172 P <b>216</b> AMD Comment Status <b>A</b>	K are sometimes status variable na L22	transposed between ame. # 95 (bucket)	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs. Response	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 SE-R Inner FE n add the neces	correct, will rarely, if even 8 PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which cre	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI in s on the AUI c	16:8 PMA nterface be	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl	he
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variabl 171 SC 171.9.5.5 choll, Shawn omment Type TR Currently says "transmi	171. Ible to explain why RX and TX le name and the Clause 172 P <b>216</b> AMD	K are sometimes status variable na L22	transposed between ame. # 95 (bucket)	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs. Response REJECT	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 \SE-R Inner FE n add the neces	correct, will rarely, if even 8 PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which cre ssary inter-layer signal	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI in s on the AUI o	16:8 PMA hterface be onnection	" on line 14 and t etween the two Pl between the two	he MAs.
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variabl 171 SC 171.9.5.5 choll, Shawn mment Type TR Currently says "transmi pertains to 1.6TXS.	171. ble to explain why RX and TX le name and the Clause 172 P <b>216</b> AMD Comment Status <b>A</b>	K are sometimes status variable na L22	transposed between ame. # 95 (bucket)	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs. Response REJECT The inte	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 SE-R Inner FE n add the neces T.	eorrect, will rarely, if even 8 PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which cre ssary inter-layer signal Response Status	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI ii s on the AUI o C o show intersu	16:8 PMA nterface be onnection	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl between the two	he MAs. Ie an
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variabl 171 SC 171.9.5.5 choll, Shawn mment Type TR Currently says "transmi pertains to 1.6TXS. ggestedRemedy	171. Ible to explain why RX and TX le name and the Clause 172 <b>P216</b> AMD <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> ts what it receives from the 8	K are sometimes status variable na L22 00GMII". Howev	transposed between ame. # 95 (bucket)	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs. Response REJECT The inte	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 SE-R Inner FE n add the neces T.	eorrect, will rarely, if even 8 PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which creates ssary inter-layer signal <i>Response Status</i> am (see figure title) is t	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI in s on the AUI c c o show intersu	16:8 PMA nterface be onnection ublayer inte ovided ins	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl between the two	he MAs. Ie an
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variabl 171 SC 171.9.5.5 choll, Shawn omment Type TR Currently says "transmi pertains to 1.6TXS. gggestedRemedy Propose "transmits what	171. ble to explain why RX and T) le name and the Clause 172 P216 AMD Comment Status A ts what it receives from the 8 at it receives from the 1.6TMI	K are sometimes status variable na L22 00GMII". Howev	transposed between ame. # 95 (bucket)	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs. Response REJECT The inte exhausti	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 NSE-R Inner FE n add the neces T. ent of this diagra ive set of imple	eorrect, will rarely, if even 8 PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which cre ssary inter-layer signal <i>Response Status</i> am (see figure title) is t mentation configuratio	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI in s on the AUI c c o show intersu	16:8 PMA nterface be onnection ublayer inte ovided ins	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl between the two serfaces not provide the difference of the two stread in Annex 17	he MAs. Ie an
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variabl 171 SC 171.9.5.5 choll, Shawn omment Type TR Currently says "transmi pertains to 1.6TXS. uggestedRemedy Propose "transmits what	171. Ible to explain why RX and TX le name and the Clause 172 <b>P216</b> AMD <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> ts what it receives from the 8	K are sometimes status variable na L22 00GMII". Howev	transposed between ame. # 95 (bucket)	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs. Response REJECT The inte exhausti	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 SE-R Inner FE n add the neces T. ent of this diagra ive set of imple SC <b>174A.4</b> Leon	correct, will rarely, if even :8 PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which creates ssary inter-layer signal <i>Response Status</i> am (see figure title) is t mentation configuratio <i>P</i> 662	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI in s on the AUI of o show intersu ns, which is pr 2 L:	16:8 PMA nterface be onnection ublayer inte ovided ins	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl between the two setween the two stead in Annex 17 # 161	he MAs. Ie an
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variabl 171 SC 171.9.5.5 choll, Shawn omment Type TR Currently says "transmi pertains to 1.6TXS. rggestedRemedy Propose "transmits what esponse	171. ble to explain why RX and T) le name and the Clause 172 P216 AMD Comment Status A ts what it receives from the 8 at it receives from the 1.6TMI	K are sometimes status variable na L22 00GMII". Howev	transposed between ame. # 95 (bucket)	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs. Response REJECT The inte exhausti CI 174A Bruckman, L Comment Ty	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 SE-R Inner FE n add the neces T. ent of this diagra ive set of imple SC <b>174A.4</b> Leon	eorrect, will rarely, if evented as PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which creasery inter-layer signal Response Status ( am (see figure title) is t mentation configuratio P662 Nvidia Comment Status (	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI in s on the AUI of o show intersu ns, which is pr 2 L:	16:8 PMA nterface be onnection ublayer inte ovided ins	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl between the two setween the two stead in Annex 17 # 161	he MAs. le an 6B.
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variabl 171 SC 171.9.5.5 choll, Shawn omment Type TR Currently says "transmi pertains to 1.6TXS. uggestedRemedy Propose "transmits what esponse	171. ble to explain why RX and T) le name and the Clause 172 P216 AMD Comment Status A ts what it receives from the 8 at it receives from the 1.6TMI	K are sometimes status variable na L22 00GMII". Howev	transposed between ame. # 95 (bucket)	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs. Response REJECT The inte exhausti CI 174A Bruckman, L Comment Ty	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 NSE-R Inner FE n add the neces r. ent of this diagra ive set of imple SC <b>174A.4</b> Leon ype <b>TR</b> C BER should b	eorrect, will rarely, if evented as PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which creasery inter-layer signal Response Status ( am (see figure title) is t mentation configuratio P662 Nvidia Comment Status (	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI in s on the AUI of o show intersu ns, which is pr 2 L:	16:8 PMA nterface be onnection ublayer inte ovided ins	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl between the two setween the two stead in Annex 17 # 161	he MAs. le an 6B.
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variable 171 SC 171.9.5.5 icholl, Shawn comment Type TR Currently says "transmi pertains to 1.6TXS. uggestedRemedy Propose "transmits what esponse	171. ble to explain why RX and T) le name and the Clause 172 - <b>P216</b> AMD <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> ts what it receives from the 8 at it receives from the 1.6TMI	K are sometimes status variable na L22 00GMII". Howev	transposed between ame. # 95 (bucket)	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs. Response REJECT The inte exhausti C/ 174A Bruckman, L Comment Ty Pre-FEC SuggestedR Change:	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 NSE-R Inner FE n add the neces r. ent of this diagra ive set of imple SC <b>174A.4</b> Leon ype <b>TR</b> C BER should b	eorrect, will rarely, if even :8 PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which creates ssary inter-layer signal <i>Response Status</i> am (see figure title) is t mentation configuratio <i>P</i> 662 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> be 2.21 × 10-4.	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI in s on the AUI of o show intersu ns, which is pr 2 L:	16:8 PMA nterface be onnection ublayer inte ovided ins	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl between the two setween the two stead in Annex 17 # 161	he MAs. le an 6B.
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variable Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variable A 171 SC 171.9.5.5 icholl, Shawn omment Type TR Currently says "transmi pertains to 1.6TXS. uggestedRemedy Propose "transmits what esponse	171. ble to explain why RX and T) le name and the Clause 172 - <b>P216</b> AMD <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> ts what it receives from the 8 at it receives from the 1.6TMI	K are sometimes status variable na L22 00GMII". Howev	transposed between ame. # 95 (bucket)	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs. Response REJECT The inte exhausti C/ 174A Bruckman, L Comment Ty Pre-FEC SuggestedR Change:	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 NSE-R Inner FE n add the neces r. ent of this diagra ive set of imple SC 174A.4 Leon ype TR C BER should b Remedy : " 2.21 × 10-14	eorrect, will rarely, if even :8 PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which creates ssary inter-layer signal <i>Response Status</i> am (see figure title) is t mentation configuratio <i>P</i> 662 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> be 2.21 × 10-4.	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI in s on the AUI of o show intersu ns, which is pr 2 L:	16:8 PMA nterface be onnection ublayer inte ovided ins	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl between the two setween the two stead in Annex 17 # 161	he MAs. le an 6B.
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variabl 171 SC 171.9.5.5 choll, Shawn omment Type TR Currently says "transmi pertains to 1.6TXS. uggestedRemedy Propose "transmits what esponse	171. ble to explain why RX and T) le name and the Clause 172 - <b>P216</b> AMD <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> ts what it receives from the 8 at it receives from the 1.6TMI	K are sometimes status variable na L22 00GMII". Howev	transposed between ame. # 95 (bucket)	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs. Response REJECT The inte exhausti C/ 174A Bruckman, L Comment Ty Pre-FEC SuggestedR Change: To: "2.2"	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 SE-R Inner FE n add the neces r. add the neces r. of this diagra ive set of imple SC 174A.4 Leon ype TR C BER should b Remedy : " 2.21 × 10-14 1 × 10-4."	eorrect, will rarely, if even 8 PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which creases ssary inter-layer signal <i>Response Status</i> am (see figure title) is t mentation configuratio <i>P</i> 662 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> the 2.21 × 10-4.	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI in s on the AUI of o show intersu ns, which is pr 2 L:	16:8 PMA nterface be onnection ublayer inte ovided ins	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl between the two setween the two stead in Annex 17 # 161	he MAs. le an 6B.
the PHY XS in Clause 1 Add a footnote to the ta the MDIO status variable <b>171</b> SC <b>171.9.5.5</b> icholl, Shawn <i>comment Type</i> <b>TR</b> Currently says "transmi pertains to 1.6TXS. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Propose "transmits what <i>esponse</i> ACCEPT.	171. ble to explain why RX and T) le name and the Clause 172 - <b>P216</b> AMD <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> ts what it receives from the 8 at it receives from the 1.6TMI	K are sometimes status variable na L22 00GMII". Howev I".	transposed between ame. # 95 (bucket) rer, this sub-clause	shown, v SuggestedR Add a "1 "1.6TBA And ther PMAs. Response REJECT The inte exhausti CI 174A Bruckman, L Comment Ty Pre-FEC SuggestedR Change: To: "2.2" Response ACCEP	while logically c Remedy 1.6T BASE-R 8 SE-R Inner FE n add the neces r. add the neces r. of this diagra ive set of imple SC 174A.4 Leon ype TR C BER should b Remedy : " 2.21 × 10-14 1 × 10-4."	eorrect, will rarely, if even 8 PMA" between the " C" on line 20 which creases ssary inter-layer signal Response Status am (see figure title) is t mentation configuratio P662 Nvidia Comment Status the 2.21 × 10-4.	er, be used. 1.6T BASE-R eates an AUI in s on the AUI of o show intersu ns, which is pr 2 L:	16:8 PMA nterface be onnection ublayer inte ovided ins	" on line 14 and the tween the two Pl between the two setween the two stead in Annex 17 # 161	he MAs. 6B. ucket)

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 174A SC 174A.5	P <b>662</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 194	C/ 174A SC 174A.	5 P668	L14	# 469
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	emi		Maki, Jeffery	Juniper Netv	vorks	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		ER1 error ratio	Comment Type T	Comment Status R		(bucket)
SuggestedRemedy	pre-correction BER values	are TBD.			r entire PHY" is wrong or at lea compared to other cases in the		
Expect a contribution with				SuggestedRemedy			
Response	Response Status C			Change "Frame loss	s ratio for entire PHY" to 6.2x10	)^-11.	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Resolve using the respor	se to comment #77			Response	Response Status C		
				REJECT.			
CI 174A SC 174A.5	P <b>662</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 77	Resolve using the re	esponse to comment #467.		
Sluyski, Mike	Cisco			C/ 174A SC 174A.	5 P668	L17	# 470
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		ER1 error ratio	Maki, Jeffery	Juniper Netw	vorks	
FEC ccodeword error rat	o of less than TBD			Comment Type T	Comment Status R		(bucket)
SuggestedRemedy TBD will be updated in a					r entire PHY" is wrong or at lea In turn, the "Codeword error is wrong.	st has been unne	cessarily truncated to
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy	-		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Thre CRG reviewed the f	ollowing contribution:				error ratio for entire PHY" to 1.	50x10^-11.	
	3/dj/public/25_01/sluyski_3	dj_02a_2501.pd	f	Response	Response Status <b>C</b>		
Per slide 3 of sluyski_3dj	_02a_2501 set the maximu	ım CRC block ei	rror ratio to 5.903E-11.	REJECT.	esponse to comment #467.		
C/ 174A SC 174A.5	P662	L <b>23</b>	# 78	C/ 174A SC 174A.	5 P668	L19	# 471
Sluyski, Mike	Cisco			Maki, Jeffery	Juniper Netw	vorks	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		ER1 error ratio	Comment Type T	Comment Status R		(bucket)
Equivalent to a pre-corre	ction BER (BERtotal) of TB	D			r entire PHY" is wrong or at lea In turn, the "BER for entire PH		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	the pre-FEC BER is 2.0 x 1	0-2		Change "BER for er	ntire PHY (BERtotal)" to 2.93x1	0^-4.	
Response	Response Status C			Response	Response Status <b>C</b>		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE							

C/ 174A SC 174A.5

EV 174A SC 174A.6 P663 L7 # 430	C/ 174A SC 174A.6.1.1 P663 L25 # 431
Dudek, Mike Marvell	Dudek, Mike Marvell
Comment Type T Comment Status D (bucketp)	Comment Type T Comment Status D (bucketp
174A.7.1 does not constrain the error ratio of an ISL, only of the PCS to PCS link.	It would be helpful to describe where the pre-coder is in the testing.
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Delete this sentence	In Figure 174A-1. 174A-2,174A-3  and 174A-4 change the title of the boxes to"PMD
Proposed Response Response Status W	transmit function (including pre-coder if used)" and "PMD receive function (including pre-
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	coder if used) or add a sentence at line 17 "The Transmit and Receive PMD functions include precoding when it is used."
!! Pulled from bucket	Proposed Response Response Status W
	PROPOSED REJECT.
C/ 174A SC 174A.6.1 P662 L21 # 377	This level of detail is beyond the the scope of this annex and is described in detail for each
D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei	PMD and AUI component. The proposed change does not improve clarity or accuracy of the draft.
Comment Type ER Comment Status R (bucketp)	
Text in the body of the specification as well as in figures appears inconsistent, as at times it	!! Pulled from bucket
is talking at the PMD level, while other parts seem to be talking about at the PHY. And in the figures it refers to receiver under test.	C/ 174A SC 174A.6.1.1 P663 L39 # 128
uggestedRemedy	Slavick, Jeff Broadcom
Use "PHY" consistently unless specifically testing a PMD	Comment Type T Comment Status A Inner FEC test pattern
Response Response Status Z	The CI177 and CI184 Inner FEC blocks are both reliant upon finding the AMs in the data
REJECT.	stream to determine the RS-FEC CW boundary. So Figure 174A-2 is not a viable
REJECT.	configuration unless that alignment and deskew processes are disabled in a test mode.
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	SuggestedRemedy
	Add a test_mode to Cl177 and Cl184 that causes the input to permutation function in Cl184 and the input to convolutional interleaver in Cl177 to use the PMA service interface input data directly.
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Resolve using the response to comment #10.

C/ 174A SC 174A.6.1.1

C/ 174A	SC 174A.6.1.	1 P663	L <b>43</b>	# 150			1.3			
le, Xiang		Huawei			Dudek, Mike	е		Marvell		
Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status D		Inner FEC test patterns	Comment T	ype <b>T</b>	Commen	nt Status A		(bucket)
		uld not be in front of the Ir			Wrong	equation refere	ence			
	RBS31Q patter sl in its characterist	hould not go through the Ir tics	iner FEC transn	nit function in order to	SuggestedF	Remedy				
	entation will be p				Change	Equation 174	A-3 to 174A-1	I		
SuggestedF	Remedy				Response		Response	e Status C		
"PRBS	31Q" into the "In	ner FEC transmit function	0	1Q" to "PRBS31", or move	ACCEP					
•	entation will be p				C/ 174A	SC 174A.6.	1.4	P665	L16	# 164
Proposed R	,	Response Status W			Bruckman,	Leon		Nvidia		
Pending	DSED ACCEPT   g CRG review of /he_3dj_01_250	the following contribution:			Comment T max sho			nt Status <b>D</b> rget for Hm(k)		(bucketp)
C/ 174A	SC 174A.6.1.	3 <i>P</i> 664	L35	# 162	SuggestedF	Remedy				
	-		200	# 102		: "Hmax(k)"	0.0.000	noon in this see	tion	
Bruckman, Co <i>mment T</i>		Nvidia Comment Status A		(hus/sot)				ences in this sect	tion.	
		is the meaning of "m"		(bucket)	Proposed R		,	e Status W		
						SED ACCEP	-		measured value	h m(k) for each value
					h_max(	k) is a maximu	im limit for the	e corresponding i		h_m(k), for each value e measured value.
SuggestedF	Remedy	m" in Hm or remove the "r	n"		h_max( k on ea Howeve	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This er, the purpose	im limit for the is a per-lane of the histogr	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c	there is only on larified.	e measured value.
SuggestedF Define	Remedy	-	n"		h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This er, the purpose following sen	im limit for the is a per-lane of the histogi tence at the b	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s	there is only on larified. econd paragrapl	
SuggestedF Define t Response ACCEF	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI	m" in Hm or remove the "r <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E.	n"		h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This er, the purpose following sen	im limit for the is a per-lane of the histogi tence at the b	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c	there is only on larified. econd paragrapl	e measured value.
SuggestedF Define t Response ACCEP H_m is	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measur	m" in Hm or remove the "r <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. ed histograms.	n"		h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the upper lin	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This er, the purpose following sen	im limit for the is a per-lane of the histogi tence at the b	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s	there is only on larified. econd paragrapl	e measured value.
SuggestedF Define t Response ACCEP H_m is Change	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measur e: "Hm(i)(k) is a s	m" in Hm or remove the "r <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E.			h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the upper lin	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This rr, the purpose following sem mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket	Im limit for the is a per-lane of the histog tence at the b k) is defined b	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram	there is only on larified. econd paragrapl H_max(k)."	e measured value. h of 174A.6.1.4: "The
Suggestedf Define t Response ACCEP H_m is Change To: "Hn	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measur e: "Hm(i)(k) is a s n(i)(k) is a set of	m" in Hm or remove the "r Response Status <b>C</b> E. ed histograms. set of 17-bin histograms" measured 17-bin histogram	ms"	# 162	h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the upper lin !! Pulled <i>Cl</i> <b>174A</b>	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This er, the purpose following sent mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket SC <b>174A.6</b> .	Im limit for the is a per-lane of the histog tence at the b k) is defined b	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram P665	there is only on larified. econd paragrapl	e measured value.
Suggested/ Define to Response ACCEP H_m is Change To: "Hn Cl <b>174A</b>	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measur e: "Hm(i)(k) is a s n(i)(k) is a set of SC 174A.6.1.3	m" in Hm or remove the "r Response Status C E. ed histograms. set of 17-bin histograms" measured 17-bin histogra 3 P664		# 163	h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the upper lin !! Pulled C/ <b>174A</b> Bruckman,	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This er, the purpose following seni mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket SC <b>174A.6.</b> Leon	um limit for the is a per-lane of the histog tence at the b k) is defined b	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram	there is only on larified. econd paragrapl H_max(k)."	e measured value. h of 174A.6.1.4: "The # <u>165</u>
Suggested/ Define 1 Response ACCEP H_m is Change To: "Hn C/ <b>174A</b> Bruckman,	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measure : "Hm(i)(k) is a set n(i)(k) is a set of SC 174A.6.1.3 Leon	m" in Hm or remove the "r <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. ed histograms. set of 17-bin histograms" measured 17-bin histogra <b>3</b> <i>P</i> <b>664</b> Nvidia	ms"		h_max( k on ear Howeve Add the upper lin !! Pulled C/ <b>174A</b> Bruckman, Comment T	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This r, the purpose following sem mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket SC 174A.6. Leon ype TR	um limit for the is a per-lane of the histogram tence at the b k) is defined b 1.4	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram P665 Nvidia	there is only on larified. econd paragrapl H_max(k)."	e measured value. h of 174A.6.1.4: "The
Suggestedf Define f Response ACCEP H_m is Change To: "Hn C/ <b>174A</b> Bruckman, Comment T	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measure : "Hm(i)(k) is a set n(i)(k) is a set of SC 174A.6.1. Leon Type TR	m" in Hm or remove the "r Response Status C E. ed histograms. set of 17-bin histograms" measured 17-bin histogra 3 P664 Nvidia Comment Status R	ms"	# <u>163</u> (bucket)	h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the upper lin !! Pulled <i>Cl</i> <b>174A</b> Bruckman, <i>Comment T</i> Define t	k) is a maximuch lane i. This er, the purpose following sent mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket SC 174A.6. Leon type TR he ranges of k	um limit for the is a per-lane of the histogram tence at the b k) is defined b 1.4	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram P665 Nvidia	there is only on larified. econd paragrapl H_max(k)."	e measured value. h of 174A.6.1.4: "The # <u>165</u>
Suggestedf Define f Response ACCEP H_m is Change To: "Hn Cl <b>174A</b> Bruckman, Comment T The pol	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measur e: "Hm(i)(k) is a set n(i)(k) is a set of SC 174A.6.1.: Leon <i>Fype</i> <b>TR</b> Iynomial for PRB	m" in Hm or remove the "r <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. ed histograms. set of 17-bin histograms" measured 17-bin histogra <b>3</b> <i>P</i> <b>664</b> Nvidia	ms"		h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the upper lin !! Pulled C/ 174A Bruckman, Comment T Define t SuggestedF	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This er, the purpose following sent mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket SC 174A.6. Leon type TR he ranges of k Remedy	Im limit for the is a per-lane of the histogi tence at the b k) is defined b 1.4 Comment a and i	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram P665 Nvidia	there is only on larified. econd paragrapl H_max(k)."	e measured value. h of 174A.6.1.4: "The # <u>165</u>
Suggestedf Define 1 Response ACCEP H_m is Change To: "Hn Cl <b>174A</b> Bruckman, Comment T The pol Suggestedf	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measur e: "Hm(i)(k) is a set n(i)(k) is a set of SC 174A.6.1.3 Leon Type TR lynomial for PRE Remedy	m" in Hm or remove the "r Response Status C E. ed histograms. set of 17-bin histograms" measured 17-bin histogra 3 P664 Nvidia Comment Status R SS31Q is not defined	ms" <b>L41</b>	(bucket)	h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the upper lin !! Pulled Cl 174A Bruckman, Comment T Define t SuggestedF Change	k) is a maximuch lane i. This er, the purpose following sent mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket SC 174A.6. Leon type TR he ranges of k	im limit for the is a per-lane to of the histogi tence at the b k) is defined b 1.4 Comment a and i	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram P665 Nvidia ht Status R	there is only on larified. econd paragrapl H_max(k)."	e measured value. h of 174A.6.1.4: "The # <u>165</u>
Suggestedf Define to Response ACCEP H_m is Change To: "Hn Cl <b>174A</b> Bruckman, Comment T The pol Suggestedf Define to	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measure: "Hm(i)(k) is a set n(i)(k) is a set of SC 174A.6.1.3 Leon Type TR lynomial for PRB Remedy that the PRBS31	m" in Hm or remove the "r Response Status C E. ed histograms. set of 17-bin histograms" measured 17-bin histogra 3 P664 Nvidia Comment Status R	ms" <b>L41</b>	(bucket)	h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the upper lin !! Pulled Cl 174A Bruckman, Comment T Define t SuggestedF Change	k) is a maximuch lane i. This er, the purpose following sent mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket SC 174A.6. Leon type TR he ranges of k Remedy : "for all k and	im limit for the is a per-lane to of the histogram tence at the b k) is defined to <b>1.4</b> <i>Comment</i> c and i i." d i = 0 to p-1"	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram P665 Nvidia ht Status R	there is only on larified. econd paragrapl H_max(k)."	e measured value. h of 174A.6.1.4: "The # <u>165</u>
Suggested/ Define to Response ACCEP H_m is Change To: "Hn Cl <b>174A</b> Bruckman, Comment T The pol Suggested/ Define to shown it	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measur e: "Hm(i)(k) is a set n(i)(k) is a set of SC 174A.6.1.3 Leon Type TR lynomial for PRE Remedy	m" in Hm or remove the "r Response Status C E. ed histograms. set of 17-bin histograms" measured 17-bin histograms 3 P664 Nvidia Comment Status R 9S31Q is not defined	ms" <b>L41</b>	(bucket)	h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the upper lin !! Pulled Cl 174A Bruckman, Comment T Define t SuggestedF Change To: "for	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This er, the purpose following sem mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket SC 174A.6.* Leon ype TR he ranges of k Remedy :: "for all k and k = 0 to 16 an	im limit for the is a per-lane to of the histogram tence at the b k) is defined to <b>1.4</b> <i>Comment</i> c and i i." d i = 0 to p-1"	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram P665 Nvidia ht Status R	there is only on larified. econd paragrapl H_max(k)."	e measured value. h of 174A.6.1.4: "The # <u>165</u>
Suggestedf Define 1 Response ACCEP H_m is Change To: "Hn C/ <b>174A</b> Bruckman, Comment T The pol Suggestedf Define 1 shown i Response	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measure : "Hm(i)(k) is a set n(i)(k) is a set of SC 174A.6.1. Leon <i>Fype</i> <b>TR</b> lynomial for PRB Remedy that the PRBS31 in Figure 49-9.	m" in Hm or remove the "r Response Status C E. ed histograms. set of 17-bin histograms" measured 17-bin histogra 3 P664 Nvidia Comment Status R SS31Q is not defined	ms" <b>L41</b>	(bucket)	h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the upper lin !! Pulled Cl 174A Bruckman, Comment T Define t SuggestedF Change To: "for Response REJEC The lan	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This er, the purpose following sent mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket SC 174A.6.* Leon type TR he ranges of k Remedy t: "for all k and k = 0 to 16 an T. e index i and r	Im limit for the is a per-lane is of the histogitence at the b k) is defined b  Comment c and i i d i = 0 to p-1 Response humber of lane	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram P665 Nvidia ht Status R	: there is only on larified. second paragraph H_max(k)." <i>L</i> 24	e measured value. h of 174A.6.1.4: "The # <u>165</u>
Suggestedf Define to Response ACCEP H_m is Change To: "Hn Cl <b>174A</b> Bruckman, Comment T The pol Suggestedf Define to shown in Response REJEC The PR This de	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measure : "Hm(i)(k) is a set of SC 174A.6.1.: Leon Type TR lynomial for PRE Remedy that the PRBS31 in Figure 49-9.	m" in Hm or remove the "r Response Status C E. ed histograms. set of 17-bin histograms" measured 17-bin histograms <b>3</b> P664 Nvidia Comment Status R S31Q is not defined IQ is produced by the poly Response Status C tern is defined in the either e scope of this annex. The	ms" <i>L</i> 41 nomial defined i the PMA clause	<i>(bucket)</i> in Equation (49-2) and e or the Inner FEC clause.	h_max( k on ear Howeve Add the upper lin !! Pulled Cl 174A Bruckman, Comment T Define t SuggestedF Change To: "for Response REJEC The lan	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This r, the purpose following seni mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket SC 174A.6.* Leon ype TR he ranges of k Remedy : "for all k and k = 0 to 16 an T.	Im limit for the is a per-lane is of the histogitence at the b k) is defined b  Comment c and i i d i = 0 to p-1 Response humber of lane	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram P665 Nvidia ht Status R	: there is only on larified. second paragraph H_max(k)." <i>L</i> 24	e measured value. h of 174A.6.1.4: "The # <u>165</u> <i>(bucket)</i>
Suggestedf Define to Response ACCEP H_m is Change To: "Hn Cl <b>174A</b> Bruckman, Comment T The pol Suggestedf Define to shown in Response REJEC The PR This de clarity co	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measure : "Hm(i)(k) is a set n(i)(k) is a set of SC 174A.6.1.3 Leon Type TR lynomial for PRE Remedy that the PRBS31 in Figure 49-9. CT. RBS31Q test patter to accuracy of the	m" in Hm or remove the "r Response Status C E. ed histograms. set of 17-bin histograms" measured 17-bin histogram <b>3</b> P664 Nvidia Comment Status R S31Q is not defined IQ is produced by the poly Response Status C term is defined in the either e scope of this annex. The e draft.	ms" <i>L</i> 41 nomial defined i the PMA clause proposed chan	<i>(bucket)</i> in Equation (49-2) and e or the Inner FEC clause. ge does not improve	h_max( k on ea Howeve Add the upper lin !! Pulled C/ 174A Bruckman, Comment T Define t SuggestedF Change To: "for Response REJEC The lan repeat t	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This er, the purpose following sent mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket SC 174A.6.* Leon type TR he ranges of k Remedy t: "for all k and k = 0 to 16 an T. e index i and r	Im limit for the is a per-lane is of the histogitence at the b k) is defined b  Comment c and i i d i = 0 to p-1 Response humber of lane	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram P665 Nvidia at Status R e Status C es p are defined	there is only on larified. econd paragraph H_max(k)." <i>L</i> 24 in 174A.6.1.2. It	e measured value. h of 174A.6.1.4: "The # <u>165</u> <i>(bucket)</i> is not necessary to
Suggestedf Define to Response ACCEP H_m is Change To: "Hn Cl <b>174A</b> Bruckman, Comment T The pol Suggestedf Define to shown in Response REJEC The PR This de clarity co	Remedy the meaning of " PT IN PRINCIPLI a set of measures : "Hm(i)(k) is a set of SC 174A.6.1.3 Leon Type TR lynomial for PRE Remedy that the PRBS31 in Figure 49-9. CT. RES31Q test patter tail is beyond the praccuracy of the rechnical required	m" in Hm or remove the "r Response Status C E. ed histograms. set of 17-bin histograms" measured 17-bin histograms" 3 P664 Nvidia Comment Status R S31Q is not defined IQ is produced by the poly Response Status C tern is defined in the either e scope of this annex. The e draft. d ER/editorial required G	ms" <i>L</i> 41 nomial defined i the PMA clause proposed chan R/general requir	<i>(bucket)</i> in Equation (49-2) and e or the Inner FEC clause.	h_max( k on ear Howeve Add the upper lin !! Pulled <i>Cl</i> <b>174A</b> Bruckman, <i>Comment T</i> Define t <i>SuggestedF</i> Change To: "for <i>Response</i> REJEC The lan repeat t	k) is a maximu ch lane i. This er, the purpose following sem mit for H_m(i)( d from bucket SC 174A.6.* Leon <i>type</i> <b>TR</b> he ranges of k <i>Remedy</i> : "for all k and k = 0 to 16 an T. e index i and r his elsewhere.	Im limit for the is a per-lane is of the histogitence at the b k) is defined b  Comment c and i i d i = 0 to p-1 Response humber of lane	e corresponding i test, so for any k raph should be c eginning of the s by the histogram P665 Nvidia at Status R e Status C es p are defined	there is only on larified. econd paragraph H_max(k)." <i>L</i> 24 in 174A.6.1.2. It	e measured value. h of 174A.6.1.4: "The # <u>165</u> <i>(bucket)</i>

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

	SC 174A.6.1.4	4 P665	L <b>24</b>	# 180
Brown, Mat	tt	Alphawave S	Semi	
Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status D		KER, per-lane
error ra This is	itio due to a sing	t method in 174A.6.x.x proves the lane by constraining the end to be a size and does not provide a size and does n	error histogram to	be below a limit curve.
Suggestedl	Remedy			
		ratio metric for a single lane al will be provided.	e on a multi-lane	PMD is required. A
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
https://v df	www.ieee802.org	ntribution was reviewed at a y/3/dj/public/adhoc/optics/01 als on slide 13 of barrie_3dj	25_OPTX/barrie	_3dj_optx_01_250109.p
C/ 174A	SC 174A.6.1.	_ ,	L33	# 183
· ·	SC 174A.6.1.	_ ,	L <b>33</b>	
C/ 174A	SC 174A.6.1.	5 P665	L <b>33</b>	
Cl 174A Brown, Mat Comment 7 The me Howeve	SC 174A.6.1.4 tt Type E ethod in this subd er, some interme	5 P665 Alphawave S	L33 Semi roposed by adopt led context were	# 183 <i>KER, per-lane</i> ted D1.2 comment #78. eliminated. Some of the
Cl 174A Brown, Mat Comment 7 The me Howeve	SC 174A.6.1.4 tt Type E ethod in this sub- er, some interme es should be reve	5 P665 Alphawave S Comment Status D clause was "simplified" as p diate equations which proid	L33 Semi roposed by adopt led context were	# 183 KER, per-lane ted D1.2 comment #78. eliminated. Some of the
Cl 174A Brown, Mai Comment 1 The me Howeve change Suggested	SC 174A.6.1.4 tt Type E ethod in this sub- er, some interme es should be reve Remedy the intermediate	5 P665 Alphawave S Comment Status D clause was "simplified" as p diate equations which proid	L33 Semi roposed by adopt led context were original variables	# 183 KER, per-lane ted D1.2 comment #78. eliminated. Some of the and equations.
Cl 174A Brown, Mat Comment 7 The me Howeve change Suggested Revive	SC 174A.6.1.4 tt Type E ethod in this subder, some intermeters should be rever Remedy the intermediate .1.4.	5 P665 Alphawave S <i>Comment Status</i> D clause was "simplified" as p diate equations which proid prsed, reviving some of the o	L33 Semi roposed by adopt led context were original variables	# 183 KER, per-lane ted D1.2 comment #78. eliminated. Some of the and equations.

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 174A	SC	174A.6.1.5	P <b>66</b>	5 L34	<b>4</b> 7	# 166
Bruckman	, Leon		Nvidia			
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status	D		KER, all-lanes
	uation		ning He(k) ? If yes, t	hen it should sa	y: "He(k) = ."	
Suggested	Reme	dy				
		eaning of po small pseud	int b). locode to describe t	he iterations		
Proposed I	Respo	nse	Response Status	w		
-			I PRINCIPLE. se to comment #38	4.		
C/ 174A	SC	174A.6.1.5	P66	5 L4	D 7	# 384
Healey, Ad	lam		Broade	com Inc.		
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status	D		KER, all-lanes
			Equation (174A-5) a invoked in a more c			

function so that it can be invoked in a more clear and concise way. For example, if the function "combine(Hx(k), Hy(k))" was defined to be result of Equations (174A-5) and (174A-6), the instruction in item b) above could reduce to "For i = 0 to p-1, iteratively assign He(k) the result of combine(He(k), Hm(i)(k))" or similar.

### SuggestedRemedy

Add a subclause that defines the combination of two histograms in a functional form. Replace references to Equation (174A-5) and (174A-6), with the corresponding text regarding substitutions, with an expression the uses that new function definition.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 174A SC 174A.6.1.5

C/ 174A	SC 174A.6.2	P <b>667</b>	L37	# 8	C/ 174A S	SC 174A.7	P666	L <b>9</b>	# 130
Brown, Mat	t	Alphawave S	əmi		Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment T	уре <b>т</b>	Comment Status D		PHY TX KER	Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D		KER for xMII Extender
		mitted at a C2M component			This meth	od is also va	lid for between a DTE_XS an	d PHY_XS.	
		dual error ratio must be cons insmitter are constrained.	strained in the sa	ame way errors	SuggestedRer	medy			
Suggested					Rename 1	174A.7 as "E	rror ratio tests for a PHY or X	S using PCS	statistics"
00		tio and block error ratio cons	traints for the tra	ansmitter output of a	Add this to	o the end of	the first paragraph of 174A.7 '	'The same me	ethod works for an
comple more de		ology may need to be added	in 174A. A cont	ribution will provide			ich includes 200Gb/s signaling		
		need in each of PMD clause	s: 178 through 1	83.	Remove F	PCS-to-PCS	from the second paragph and	add "or XS" i	to the end of the first
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W	-				d paratph of 174A.7		
PROPC	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.							
	g CRG review of /brown 3dj 04	the following contribution:					nd "in the PCS" from the first s	sentence and	add "or XS" after PHY in
					the second	d sentence o	of 174A.7.1		
C/ 174A	SC 174A.7	P666	L <b>8</b>	# 376			nd PHY XS sub-layers are fu	nctionally equ	ivalent to a PCS for the
D'Ambrosia	i, John	Futurewei, U.	S. Subsidiary of	Huawei	purpose o	f this test me	ethod." to 174A.7.1		
Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status D		KER for PHY					
measur		nat is actually being tested - rformance of all physical lan ne PCS.					r the XS test structure leverag g PCS to XS.	jin Fig 174A-4	removing hte Inner FEC
Suggested	Remedy				Remove F	PCS from the	e title of 174A.7.1.2 and the fir	st sentence o	f the section.
		x to "Error ration tests for a F ange "receiver under test" to		¢11	Implemen	t with editori	al license.		
		ange "inner FEC only if requi			Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status W		
required	d by the PHY"					ED REJECT			
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W					for the the xMII Extender can k. However, the method as cu		
	SED ACCEPT	-					b) only. Whereas, the XS to X	,	
	e the title of 174/ e 174A-4	A.7 to "Error ratio tests for a	PHY		(XS/PMA/	/PMA/XS)	is somewhat different. A com	plete proposa	l is required.
Change	e "Receiver unde	er test" to "PHY receiver und							
	Inner FEC onl ent with editoria	y if required by the PMD" to	Inner FEC only	if required by the PHY"					
inpien									

C/ 174A SC 174A.7

C/ 174A	SC 174A.7	. <b>1.1</b> P	666	L <b>41</b>	# 107
Mi, Guangca	an	Hua	awei Tech	nologies Co., L	td
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Statu	is <b>D</b>		(bucketp)
should i PMD, P testing p	nclude transr MA and PCS pattern gener		A and PM configurati nsmitter u	D, the Medium on should inclu nder test.	, and the receiving-side ide the fulll link, with the
		inel and receiver und		oot, min a gon	
SuggestedR	emedy?				
The PM instantia		nction should also co	nsider the	three variation	s with different AUI
The test path onl and g in Note tha	SED REJEC configuration y. Contribution 174A.7.1.4.	n showing in Figure 1 on of errors from a rea 8 proposes adding a	74A-4 is f al PHY tra	nsmit path is a	nt of the PHY receiver accomodated by step f iitter # 129
Slavick, Jeff	F	Bro	adcom		
Comment Ty	ype T	Comment Statu	is A		(bucket)
	g the data. In	ally "measuring" or co 1 174A.6.1.3 we don'			to anything it's just rement in the section
Remove	the word "m	easurement" from the	e title of 1	74A.7.1.3	
Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCI	Response Statu PLE. that these are meas d using the following	urements	"An error histo	gram using PCS

C/ 174A	SC 1	74A.7.1.4	P <b>667</b>	L17	# 385
Healey, Ada	m		Broadcom Inc.		
Comment Ty	/pe	т	Comment Status R		(withdrawn)

An "error mask" test method can also be defined for PCS-based measurements. This option can be used for lane-by-lane testing and would enable a quick assessment of whether or not the block error ratio requirement is met with reduced (or no additional) post-processing. As is the case for PMA-based measurements, failure to meet the error mask does not necessarily mean the block error ratio requirement is not met. It instead means that the method currently defined in 174A.7.1.4 would need to be used to confirm whether the block error ratio requirement is, or is not, met.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Consider adding a subclause for "Error mask test method using PCS-based measurements". The error mask is computed in the same way as defined in 174A.6.1.4 (using the value of BERadded appropriate for PCS-based measurments). The new subclause should also note that errors on unstressed lanes will be (incorrectly) attributed to the lane under test and should be minimized for the most accurate results.

Response	Response Status	Ζ	
----------	-----------------	---	--

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 174A	SC 1	174A.7.1.4	P <b>667</b>	L <b>20</b>	# 167
Bruckman,	Leon		Nvidia		
Comment T	уре	TR	Comment Status D		KER stress
It is not	clear v	what is "stre	ess" or where is it applied ir	n the lane.	

### SuggestedRemedy

In point a) change: "with no stress applied to any lane" to "with no stress applied to the receiver of any lane" InPoint b) change: "with stress applied only to lane i" to: "with stress applied only to the receiver of lane i"

#### Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Pending review of related slides in the following editorial contribution: <URL>/brown 3dj 03? 2501

C/ 174A SC 174A.7.1.4

C/ 174A SC 174A.7.1	.4 P667	L <b>26</b>	# 168	C/ 17	<b>IA</b> 5	SC 174A.9		P <b>668</b>	L11	# 151
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			He, X	ang			Huawei		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bud	ket) Comr	ent Typ	e TR	Comm	nent Status D		Error ratio budge
Point e) is unclear										n a PHY" column, and
SuggestedRemedy					s table i her FEC		tical PHYs.	It did not include t	the 4.85E-3 BER	number for PHYs using
	Hms(k) for Hx(k) for Hms				stedRer	-				
0	k) for Hx(k) and Hms (i)(	k) for Hy(k)"		00			he field with	footnotes:		
Response	Response Status C			2	28 x 10-4	4 b				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	E. ted remedy with editorial	license			85 x 10-3		ve "If the PM	1D is a type define	d in Clause 180	and Clause 181"
	,							is a type defined i		
C/ 174A SC 174A.7.1		L <b>35</b>	# 106	Propo	sed Res	ponse	Respor	nse Status W		
Mi, Guangcan	Huawei	Fechnologies Co.,	Ltd	P	ROPOSE	ED ACCEF	, T IN PRINC	CIPLE.		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bud							BER measurement point
The last sentence of th	nis subclause "The meas	ured codeword err	or ratio is expected be	is	defined	as being in	n the PMA. t	his would be after	Inner FEC decod	ding when Inner FEC is
					بما ام معادية					
less than 1.45 e-11." is				re	quired by	y the PHY.	The BER is	therefore commo	on for PMD with In	nner FEC or PMD
less than 1.45 e-11." is	s misleading.			re W	quired by	y the PHY. ner FEC.	The BER is	therefore commo	on for PMD with In	nner FEC or PMD
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta				re w H	quired by thout Inr owever, i	y the PHY. ner FEC. it would be	The BER is helpful to p	s therefore commo	on for PMD with I	nner FEC or PMD closest to the PMD".
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta using FEC bin counter	s misleading. tes "The following metho	d is used to calcul	ate the block error ratic	re W H A	quired by thout Inr owever, i ld a foot	y the PHY. ner FEC. it would be tnote to "PI	The BER is helpful to p MD-to-PMD"	s therefore commo oint this out. ' saying "As meas	on for PMD with In	nner FEC or PMD
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta using FEC bin counter Step h defines the bloc	s misleading. tes "The following metho s provided in the PCS."	d is used to calcul , not the code wor	ate the block error ratio	re W H A C/ 17	quired by thout Inr owever, i Id a foot	y the PHY. ner FEC. it would be	The BER is helpful to p MD-to-PMD"	s therefore commo oint this out. ' saying "As meas <b>P668</b>	on for PMD with In sured at the PMA	nner FEC or PMD
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta using FEC bin counter Step h defines the bloc CL174A.8 provides the	s misleading. tes "The following metho s provided in the PCS." ck error ratio as Hms(16) e definition of FEC codev	d is used to calcul , not the code wor rord error ratio, wh	ate the block error ratio	re W H C/ <b>17</b> C/ <b>17</b> ). Maki,	quired by thout Inr owever, i Id a foot IA S Jeffery	y the PHY. ner FEC. it would be tnote to "PI SC <b>174A.9</b>	The BER is helpful to p MD-to-PMD"	s therefore commo oint this out. ' saying "As meas P668 Juniper Net	on for PMD with In sured at the PMA	nner FEC or PMD closest to the PMD". # 467
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta using FEC bin counter Step h defines the blo CL174A.8 provides the It is unclear which erro	s misleading. tes "The following metho s provided in the PCS." ck error ratio as Hms(16)	d is used to calcul , not the code wor rord error ratio, wh	ate the block error ratio	re W H C/ <b>17</b> ). Maki, Comr.	quired by thout Inr owever, i Id a foot IA S Jeffery	y the PHY. ner FEC. it would be tnote to "PI SC <b>174A.9</b> e <b>T</b>	The BER is helpful to p MD-to-PMD" Comm	s therefore commo oint this out. ' saying "As meas P668 Juniper Net nent Status D	on for PMD with In sured at the PMA <i>L</i> 12 works	nner FEC or PMD closest to the PMD". # 467 (bucketp)
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta using FEC bin counter Step h defines the bloc CL174A.8 provides the It is unclear which erro SuggestedRemedy	s misleading. tes "The following metho s provided in the PCS." ck error ratio as Hms(16) e definition of FEC codev or ratio shoule be less that	d is used to calcul , not the code wor rord error ratio, wh n 1.45e-11.	ate the block error ratio d error ratio. ich seems to be Hm(16	re W H C/ <b>17</b> C/ <b>17</b> ). Maki, Comr. "F	quired by thout Inr bwever, i dd a foot <b>iA</b> S Jeffery <i>ent Type</i> rame los	y the PHY. her FEC. it would be thote to "PI SC 174A.9 e T ss ratio for	The BER is helpful to p MD-to-PMD" <i>Comm</i> entire PHY"	s therefore commo oint this out. ' saying "As meas P668 Juniper Net nent Status D	on for PMD with In sured at the PMA <i>L</i> 12 works	nner FEC or PMD closest to the PMD". # 467
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta using FEC bin counter Step h defines the bloc CL174A.8 provides the It is unclear which erro SuggestedRemedy change to "the measu	s misleading. tes "The following metho s provided in the PCS." ck error ratio as Hms(16) e definition of FEC codev or ratio shoule be less that red block error ratio is ex	d is used to calcul , not the code wor rord error ratio, wh in 1.45e-11. pected to be less	ate the block error ratio d error ratio. ich seems to be Hm(16 ". Or state the relation	re W H CI <b>17</b> CI <b>17</b> ). Maki, Comr "F o	quired by thout Inr bwever, i dd a foot IA S Jeffery <i>ent Type</i> rame los ie signifi	y the PHY. her FEC. it would be thote to "PI 6C 174A.9 e T ss ratio for icant digit.	The BER is helpful to p MD-to-PMD" <i>Comm</i> entire PHY" In turn, the "	s therefore commo oint this out. 'saying "As meas P668 Juniper Net nent Status D is wrong or at lea	on for PMD with In ured at the PMA <i>L</i> 12 works	nner FEC or PMD closest to the PMD". # 467 (bucketp) ecessarily truncated to
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta using FEC bin counter Step h defines the blo CL174A.8 provides the It is unclear which erro SuggestedRemedy change to "the measu between codeword err	s misleading. tes "The following metho s provided in the PCS." ck error ratio as Hms(16) e definition of FEC codev or ratio shoule be less that red block error ratio is ex or ratio and block error r	d is used to calcul , not the code wor rord error ratio, wh in 1.45e-11. pected to be less	ate the block error ratio d error ratio. ich seems to be Hm(16 ". Or state the relation	re w H C/ 17 C/ 17 ). Maki, Comr. "F o ra	quired by thout Inr bwever, i dd a foot IA S Jeffery <i>ent Type</i> rame los ie signifi	y the PHY. her FEC. it would be thote to "P! 5C <b>174A.9</b> <i>e</i> <b>T</b> ss ratio for icant digit. htire PHY"	The BER is helpful to p MD-to-PMD" <i>Comm</i> entire PHY" In turn, the "	s therefore commo oint this out. 'saying "As meas P668 Juniper Net nent Status D is wrong or at lea Codeword error	on for PMD with In ured at the PMA <i>L</i> 12 works	nner FEC or PMD closest to the PMD". # 467 (bucketp ecessarily truncated to
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta using FEC bin counter Step h defines the bloc CL174A.8 provides the It is unclear which error SuggestedRemedy change to "the measu between codeword err Response	s misleading. tes "The following metho s provided in the PCS." ck error ratio as Hms(16) e definition of FEC codev or ratio shoule be less that red block error ratio is ex or ratio and block error r Response Status <b>C</b>	d is used to calcul , not the code wor rord error ratio, wh in 1.45e-11. pected to be less	ate the block error ratio d error ratio. ich seems to be Hm(16 ". Or state the relation	re w H C/ 17 ). Maki, Comr "F o ra Sugg C	quired by thout Inrovever, i Id a foot IA S Jeffery <i>ent Type</i> rame los <i>e</i> signifi tio for er <i>stedRer</i> hange "F	y the PHY. her FEC. it would be thote to "PI 5C <b>174A.9</b> e <b>T</b> ss ratio for icant digit. htire PHY" medy Frame loss	The BER is helpful to p MD-to-PMD" Comm entire PHY" In turn, the " is wrong and ratio for ent	s therefore commo oint this out. 'saying "As meas P668 Juniper Net nent Status D is wrong or at lea Codeword error d the "BER for end ire PHY" to 6.2x1	on for PMD with In ured at the PMA L12 works ast has been unne ire PHY (BERtota 0^-11, "Codeword	nner FEC or PMD closest to the PMD". # 467 (bucketp ecessarily truncated to al)" is wrong. d error
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta using FEC bin counter Step h defines the bloc CL174A.8 provides the It is unclear which error SuggestedRemedy change to "the measu between codeword err Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	s misleading. tes "The following metho s provided in the PCS." ck error ratio as Hms(16) e definition of FEC codev or ratio shoule be less that red block error ratio is ex or ratio and block error r <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> _E.	d is used to calcul , not the code wor rord error ratio, wh in 1.45e-11. pected to be less	ate the block error ratio d error ratio. ich seems to be Hm(16 ". Or state the relation	re w H C/ 17 ). Maki, Comr "F o ra Sugg C ra	quired b thout Inr wever, i d a foot <b>IA</b> S Jeffery <i>ent Type</i> rame los <i>ie</i> signifi tio for er <i>stedRer</i> hange "F tio for er	y the PHY. her FEC. it would be thote to "PI SC <b>174A.9</b> <i>e</i> <b>T</b> ss ratio for icant digit. htire PHY" <i>medy</i> Frame loss htire PHY"	The BER is helpful to p MD-to-PMD" Comm entire PHY" In turn, the " is wrong and ratio for ent	s therefore commo oint this out. 'saying "As meas P668 Juniper Net nent Status D is wrong or at lea Codeword error d the "BER for end	on for PMD with In ured at the PMA L12 works ast has been unne ire PHY (BERtota 0^-11, "Codeword	nner FEC or PMD closest to the PMD". # 467 (bucketp ecessarily truncated to al)" is wrong. d error
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta using FEC bin counter Step h defines the bloc CL174A.8 provides the It is unclear which error SuggestedRemedy change to "the measu between codeword err Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	s misleading. tes "The following metho s provided in the PCS." ck error ratio as Hms(16) e definition of FEC codev or ratio shoule be less that red block error ratio is ex or ratio and block error r <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> _E. ed codeword error ratio"	d is used to calcul , not the code wor rord error ratio, wh in 1.45e-11. pected to be less	ate the block error ratio d error ratio. ich seems to be Hm(16 ". Or state the relation	re W H A C/ 17 D. Maki, Comr "F o ra Suggu C ra 2	quired by thout Inrovever, i Id a foot Id a fo	y the PHY. her FEC. it would be thote to "PI SC <b>174A.9</b> e <b>T</b> ss ratio for icant digit. htire PHY" <i>medy</i> Frame loss thire PHY" 4.	The BER is helpful to p MD-to-PMD" Comm entire PHY" In turn, the " is wrong and ratio for ent to 1.50x10^-	s therefore commo oint this out. 'saying "As meas P668 Juniper Net Dent Status D is wrong or at lea Codeword error d the "BER for end ire PHY" to 6.2x1 11, and change "	on for PMD with In ured at the PMA L12 works ast has been unne ire PHY (BERtota 0^-11, "Codeword	nner FEC or PMD closest to the PMD". # 467 (bucketp ecessarily truncated to al)" is wrong. d error
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta using FEC bin counter Step h defines the bloc CL174A.8 provides the It is unclear which error SuggestedRemedy change to "the measu between codeword err Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI Change "The measure	s misleading. tes "The following metho s provided in the PCS." ck error ratio as Hms(16) e definition of FEC codev or ratio shoule be less that red block error ratio is ex or ratio and block error r <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> _E. ed codeword error ratio"	d is used to calcul , not the code wor rord error ratio, wh in 1.45e-11. pected to be less	ate the block error ratio d error ratio. ich seems to be Hm(16 ". Or state the relation	re w H A C/ 17 D Maki, Comr "F o ra Sugge C ra 2 Propo	quired by thout Inrovever, i Id a foot IA S Jeffery ent Type rame los e signifi tio for er stedRer hange "F tio for er 93x10~2 sed Res	y the PHY. her FEC. it would be thote to "PI SC 174A.9 e T ss ratio for icant digit. htire PHY" medy Frame loss htire PHY" 4. ponse	The BER is helpful to p MD-to-PMD" Comm entire PHY" In turn, the " is wrong and ratio for ent to 1.50x10^- <i>Respor</i>	s therefore commo oint this out. 'saying "As meas P668 Juniper Net nent Status D is wrong or at lea Codeword error d the "BER for end ire PHY" to 6.2x1	on for PMD with In ured at the PMA L12 works ast has been unne ire PHY (BERtota 0^-11, "Codeword	nner FEC or PMD closest to the PMD". # 467 (bucketp ecessarily truncated to al)" is wrong. d error
less than 1.45 e-11." is At the beginning, it sta using FEC bin counter Step h defines the bloc CL174A.8 provides the It is unclear which error SuggestedRemedy change to "the measu between codeword err Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI Change "The measure	s misleading. tes "The following metho s provided in the PCS." ck error ratio as Hms(16) e definition of FEC codev or ratio shoule be less that red block error ratio is ex or ratio and block error r <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> _E. ed codeword error ratio"	d is used to calcul , not the code wor rord error ratio, wh in 1.45e-11. pected to be less	ate the block error ratio d error ratio. ich seems to be Hm(16 ". Or state the relation	re w H A C/ 17 D Maki, Comr "F o ra Sugge C ra 2 Propo	quired by thout Inrovever, i Id a foot IA S Jeffery ent Type rame los e signifi tio for er stedRer hange "F tio for er 93x10~2 sed Res	y the PHY. her FEC. it would be thote to "PI SC <b>174A.9</b> e <b>T</b> ss ratio for icant digit. htire PHY" <i>medy</i> Frame loss thire PHY" 4.	The BER is helpful to p MD-to-PMD" Comm entire PHY" In turn, the " is wrong and ratio for ent to 1.50x10^- <i>Respor</i>	s therefore commo oint this out. 'saying "As meas P668 Juniper Net Dent Status D is wrong or at lea Codeword error d the "BER for end ire PHY" to 6.2x1 11, and change "	on for PMD with In ured at the PMA L12 works ast has been unne ire PHY (BERtota 0^-11, "Codeword	nner FEC or PMD closest to the PMD". # 467 (bucketp ecessarily truncated to al)" is wrong. d error

C/ 174A SC 174A.9

C/ 174A SC 174A.9	P668	L16	# 433	C/ 174A SC 174A.	9 P668	L <b>43</b>	# 435
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		Error ratio budget
SuggestedRemedy	applied to the xAUI-n C2C in t		·	targets. There is	tor's note the random BER targ no need to constrain the C2C E lower speed C2C's where the h	SER allocation in the	he extender to 0.08e-4.
	ables 174A-1 and 174A-2 Als f footnote a where it says "to m			SuggestedRemedy			
Response	Response Status <b>C</b>			Change the BER pe	er sublayer in an xMII Extender	for the C2C to 0.1	1e-4.
ACCEPT.				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Cl 174A SC 174A.9 Dudek, Mike Comment Type TR	P668 Marvell Comment Status R	L16	# 434 (bucketp)	that in most cases provided on the mo	PT IN PRINCIPLE. al is required to show how an a he host xAUI-n might be either dule) or within an xMII extende pecifications would be modified	r within a PHY (e.g r. Also, the propos	g., if no XS + PCS is sal would need to show
AUI's from Annex 120 SuggestedRemedy	B also need to meet the requi		ed in footnote a	would affect specifi 176D.	cations in annexes 120B, 120C te and accurate as written.		
Response	Response Status Z			C/ 175 SC 175.2	4.6.1 P247	L1	# 181
REJECT.				Brown, Matt	Alphawave	Semi	
This comment was M	THDRAWN by the commente	ar		Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket)
				The acronym AM (a	nd plural AMs) is used a few ti	mes but never del	fined. Better to just
C/ 174A SC 174A.9	P668	L <b>29</b>	# 468	spell it out.			
Maki, Jeffery	Juniper Netwo	orks		SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		(bucket)	Change "AM" to "al 249/51,249/54, 251	ignment marker" is several plac	ces at page/line: 2	247/1, 248/12, 249/42,
one significant digit. I	entire PHY" is wrong or at leas n turn, the "Codeword error s wrong and the "BER for entire			Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C		
					ed remedy with editorial license	э.	
SuggesteaRemeay	ratio for entire PHY" to 6.2x10/			C/ 175 SC 175.2	4.6.2 P266	L <b>2</b>	# 476
Change "Frame loss	o 1.50x10^-11, and change "Bl			Openeniek Eugene			
				Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom		
Change "Frame loss ratio for entire PHY" t 2.93x10^-4. <i>Response</i> REJECT.	o 1.50x10^-11, and change "Bl Response Status <b>C</b>			Comment Type E	Broadcom Comment Status A ne tx_acrambled_f1_i<256:0>.		(bucket)
Change "Frame loss ratio for entire PHY" t 2.93x10^-4. <i>Response</i> REJECT.	o 1.50x10^-11, and change "Bl			Comment Type E Typo in variable na SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A		· · · · ·

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 175	I
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 175.2.4.6.2	
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

Page 17 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:21 PM

C/ 175	SC 175.2.5.3	P <b>254</b>	L <b>41</b>	# 21	C/ 176	SC 176.1.4	P <b>271</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 478
Brown, Ma	att	Alphawave Se	emi		Opsasnic	k, Eugene	Broadcom		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		(bucke
The fo aid a r genera	network operator i	on is overly specific: "The follo in determining the link quality	wing counters ." It is also for F	shall be implemented to PHY and LINK testing in	to "(P	MALs)".	efined term, the parenthetical '	"(lanes)" on line	43 should be updated
0					Suggeste	dRemedy			
Suggested Chang	-	ng counters shall be impleme	nted:"			ce "(lanes)" (PMALs).			
Response		Response Status C			Response	9	Response Status C		
ACCE	PT.					PT IN PRINCIP			
C/ 176	SC 176.1.3	P <b>270</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 16	replac	e " and data s	defined as lanes operating at treams (lanes) operating at 21 ted remedy with editorial licen	2.5 Gb/s" with "a	
Brown, Ma Comment		Alphawave Se Comment Status A	emi	(buokot)	C/ 176	SC 176.2	P <b>273</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 480
	51	is subclause are not ordered	in a consistant	(bucket)		k, Eugene	Broadcom		100
definiti	ions we order the	m alphanumerically according	g to the rules a	ccording to the	Comment		Comment Status A		(bucketr
	ines here:							aranha that dag	· ·
http://\	www.ieee802.org/	/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirer	ments/words.ht	ml#sort			e 273, at the start of four para ion primitives, it would be goo		
Suggested Reord		anumerically according to the	e guidelines.		block	diagrams which	illustrate the interface primitive nt the reader to their position.	es and their pos	itions either above or
Response		Response Status <b>C</b>			Suggeste	dRemedy			
	PT IN PRINCIPL	E. ed remedy with editorial licent	se.			ng similar to "The	le sentence paragraph prior to PMA service interfaces are il		
C/ 176	SC 176.1.4	P <b>271</b>	L <b>33</b>	# 477	Response		Response Status <b>C</b>		
Opsasnick	k, Eugene	Broadcom					•		
•	Type E	Comment Status R		(bucketp)		-	ted remedy with editorial licen	ise.	
Should	d modify "Delay a	Iternating PCSLs by two RS- vo RS-FEC codewords ."	FEC codewords	( <i>i</i> ,	Edito	should consider	inserting the cross-reference	at line 35 or line	e 47.
Suggested	dRemedy								
Chang "Delay	<b>,</b> -	Ls by two RS-FEC codewords	s ."						
To: "Delay	of alternating PC	CSLs by two RS-FEC codewo	ords .".						
Response		Response Status Z							
REJE	CT.								
This c	omment was WIT	HDRAWN by the commenter	r.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 176 SC 176.2

parameter] is set to received SIGNAL_C The same kind of sta		AL_OK value" is a	ambigous. Which	Comment Verb	k, Eugene <i>Type E</i> tense is not o	correct.	Broadcom Comment Status A		(buck	
In the last sentence parameter] is set to received SIGNAL_C The same kind of sta	of the pargraph right before Tab the value of the received SIGNA	AL_OK value" is a	atement "[the ambigous. Which	Verb		correct.			(buck	
parameter] is set to received SIGNAL_C The same kind of sta	the value of the received SIGNA	AL_OK value" is a	ambigous. Which		tense is not o	correct.				
received SIGNAL_C The same kind of sta				•						
The same kind of st			parameter] is set to the value of the received SIGNAL_OK value" is ambigous. Which received SIGNAL_OK is to be used? There are two different SIGNAL_OK inputs.		dRemedy					
The same kind of statement is made in the last sentence of the paragraph immediately before Table 176-6 on page 275, in subclause 176.3, line 29.			to: "., And o	Change: "., the m:n PMAs sends n parallel symbol streams ." to: "., the m:n PMAs send n parallel symbol streams .". And on line 11 of the same page 275, Change: "., the n:m PMAs sends m parallel symbol streams ."						
							d m parallel symbol stream			
"For the n:n PMAs, the received SIGNA	y prior to Table 176-5 change th he SIGNAL_OK parameter at th OK value.			Chan	And on line 18 of the same page 275, Change: "., the n:n PMAs sends n parallel symbol streams ." to: "., the n:n PMAs send n parallel symbol streams"					
the received SIGNA	he SIGNAL_OK parameter at th OK parameter from the subla ication(SIGNAL_OK))."			Response ACCE			Response Status C			
· –	· — //			C/ 176	SC 176.4	4	P <b>276</b>	L16	# 481	
	6.3, change the last sentence ir he SIGNAL_OK parameter at the second secon			Opsasnic	k, Eugene		Broadcom			
	I SIGNAL_OK value."			Comment	Type E		Comment Status A		(buck	
	he SIGNAL_OK parameter at th			Now t lanes		a defin	ned term, it can be used to	replace term "2"	12.5 Gb/s interface	
	I SIGNAL_OK parameter from t quest(SIGNAL_OK))."	he sublayer abov	7e the PMA	Suggeste	dRemedy					
ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C	ise.		lanes With: "Note	that m equa for each xBA	SE-R i Is the n	number of PCSLs and n ec m:n PMA." number of PCSLs and n ec			
				Similar updates can be made thoughout Clause 176 where there are referecnes to "212.5 Gb/s interface lanes" such as line 51 on page 292.						
				Response	9		Response Status C			
					EPT IN PRIN		I remedy with editorial lice	nse.		

C/ 176 SC 176.4

C/ 176	SC 176.4.1	P <b>276</b>	L <b>21</b>	# 482
Opsasnick, I	Eugene	Broadcom		
Comment Ty	rpe E	Comment Status A		(bucket)

Should add "PMAL" term when referring to the appropriate PMA interface lanes.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Replace:

"In the transmit (multiplexing) direction, the m:n PMAs perform a transmit function which multiplexes RS-FEC symbols from m PCSL input lanes received at the PMA service interface to n output lanes at the service interface below the PMA. In the receive (demultiplexing) direction, the m:n PMAs perform a receive function which demultiplexes RS-FEC symbols from n input lanes at the service interface below the PMA to m PCSL output lanes toward the PMA service interface."

#### With:

"In the transmit (multiplexing) direction, the m:n PMAs perform a transmit function which multiplexes RS-FEC symbols from m PCSL input lanes received at the PMA service interface to n PMAL output lanes at the service interface below the PMA. In the receive (demultiplexing) direction, the m:n PMAs perform a receive function which demultiplexes RS-FEC symbols from n PMAL input lanes at the service interface below the PMA to m PCSL output lanes toward the PMA service interface."

Similar updates can be made to 176.5.1.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

#### In 176.4.1

Change:

"In the transmit (multiplexing) direction, the m:n PMAs perform a transmit function which multiplexes RS-FEC symbols from m PCSL input lanes received at the PMA service interface to n output lanes at the service interface below the PMA. In the receive (demultiplexing) direction, the m:n PMAs perform a receive function which demultiplexes RS-FEC symbols from n input lanes at the service interface below the PMA to m PCSL output lanes toward the PMA service interface."

To:

"In the transmit (multiplexing) direction, the m:n PMAs multiplex RS-FEC symbols from m PCSLs at the PMA service interface to n PMALs at the service interface below the PMA. In the receive (demultiplexing) direction, the m:n PMAs demultiplex RS-FEC symbols from n PMALs at the service interface below the PMA to m PCSLs toward the PMA service interface."

#### ln 176.5.1

Change:

"In the transmit (demultiplexing) direction, the n:m PMAs perform a transmit function which demultiplexes RS-FEC symbols from n input lanes at the PMA service interface to m PCSL output lanes at the service interface below the PMA. In the receive (multiplexing) direction, the n:m PMAs perform a receive function which multiplexes RS-FEC symbols from m

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

 $\mathsf{PCSL}$  input lanes at the service interface below the  $\mathsf{PMA}$  to n output lanes at the  $\mathsf{PMA}$  service interface."

To:

"In the transmit (demultiplexing) direction, the n:m PMAs demultiplex RS-FEC symbols from n PMALs at the PMA service interface to m PCSLs at the service interface below the PMA. In the receive (multiplexing) direction, the n:m PMAs multiplex RS-FEC symbols from m PCSLs at the service interface below the PMA to n PMALs at the PMA service interface."

Implement the with editorial license.

C/ 176	SC 176.4.1	P <b>277</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 420
Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco Systems		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Symbol Demux

Figure 176-2. I find the "symbol demultiplexing" block to be somewhat confusing as this block is essentially a "blind 20-bit demux and slip" function , and only truly represents a symbol demux when the 20-bit demux aligns with the 20-bit symbol-pair boundaries as confirmed by the subsequent 'alignment marker lock" function. It is actually the combination of the "blind 20-bit demux and slip" and "alignment marker lock" functions that perform the "symbol demux".

#### SuggestedRemedy

I think at this level the functional block diagram would be much easier to understand if we were to combine the "symbol demultiplexing" and "Alignment marker lock" functional blocks into a single functional block called "Symbol demultiplexing". This functional block would internally be comprised of two blocks, "20-bit demux and slip" and "alignment marker lock". These two blocks would be described later in the subclause (perhaps with their own block diagram).

A presentation will be provided with more details on this proposal.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The CRG reviewed the presentation at: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25\_01/nicholl\_3dj\_02\_2501.pdf

Implement changes described on slides 4-6 of nicholl\_3dj\_02\_2501, with the exception to remove the variable name on dotted line connection between "Alignment Marker Lock" and "20-bit demux and slip".

In addition, make similar updates to subclause 177.4.1 for the symbol demultiplexing function.

Implement with editorial license.

[Editor's note: CC 176 177]

Cl	176
SC	176.4.1

Page 20 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:21 PM

C/ 176 SC 176.4.2.4.2	P <b>281</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 96	C/ 176	SC 176.4.4.2	.1 P289	L <b>25</b>	# 483
Nicholl, Shawn	AMD			Opsasnick	, Eugene	Broadcom		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment T	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)
SuggestedRemedy	the 400GBASE-R 32:4 PMA 00GBASE-R 16:2 PMA, the		a	SYMBO		start_lock_demux <y> states TART state, but is is actually 76-10.</y>		
·	,	ouu lanes .		Suggested	Remedy			
ACCEPT.	Response Status C			restart	."	able that is set to true in the S hat is set to true in the SYMB	_	_
C/ 176 SC 176.4.3.2.1	P <b>286</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 86		CONTROL state			
Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom			Response		Response Status C		
Comment Type E The statement ". continu	Comment Status A es until all eight PCS lanes h	nave alignment m	<i>(bucketp)</i> harker lock using the		PT IN PRINCIPL nent the suggest	E. ed remedy with editorial licen	se.	
same 20-bit symbol-pair	boundary" can be made mo			C/ 176	SC 176.4.4.2	.3 P290	L <b>4</b>	# 484
the "same boundary".				Opsasnick	, Eugene	Broadcom		
SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence on	nage 286 line 20			Comment T	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(bucket)
from:	page 200, line 50			Numbe	ers less than or e	equal to 10 (ten) should be wr	itten out.	
PCS lanes have alignme to: "This process of a one-b	it slip followed by alignment ant marker lock using the sar it slip followed by alignment	ne 20-bit symbol- marker search co	-pair boundary."		e: "Counts 3 alig	nment marker intervals." ment marker intervals."		
-	ent marker lock using the 20-	bit boundary set	by the demultiplexer."	Response		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCEF	PT.			
	dy, replace the word "set" by	"selected".		C/ 176	SC 176.4.4.3		L <b>34</b>	# 145
"This process of a one-b PCS lanes have alignme To:	Change: "This process of a one-bit slip followed by alignment marker search continues until all eight PCS lanes have alignment marker lock using the same 20-bit symbol-pair boundary." To:			He, Xiang <i>Comment T</i> The inc		Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> IAL but a PAML number.		(bucket)
	it slip followed by alignment ent marker lock using the 20-			Suggested Change	,	e input PMAL" to "where y is t	he input PMAL	number"
Implement with editorial	license.			Response ACCEF	т	Response Status C		

C/ 176 SC 176.4.4.3

	SC 176.4.4.3	P <b>291</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 84
Opsasnick,	Eugene	Broadcom		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		(bucket
		en arrow) to enter the LOSS_ nux". (!signal_ok_mux) shou		
Suggested	Remedy			
reset + to:	!all_locked_mux	condition to enter LOSS_OF + !all_locked_mux	_ALIGNMENT	state from:
Response		Response Status C		
	PT IN PRINCIPLE ent the suggeste	d remedy with editorial licen	se.	
C/ 176	SC 176.4.4.3	P <b>291</b>	L16	# 83
Opsasnick,	Eugene	Broadcom		
Comment T	Гуре <b>т</b>	Comment Status R		(bucketp
	Jauro 176 0 ototo	e diagram, after entering ALI	CNIMENIT EAL	and a state

#### SuggestedRemedy

In the Figure 176-9 state diagram, add an unconditional transition arc (UCT) from the ALIGNMENT\_FAIL state to the LOSS\_OF\_ALIGNMENT state.

Response
----------

Response Status C

REJECT.

The state diagram is correct as shown. It follows similar state diagrams in CL 119 and CL 172 which do not show the UCT transition. The comment has a fair point that in CL176, the level of indirection is greater, but it is not needed since setting the restart\_lock\_mux variable to true will result in all\_locked\_mux becoming false after the state machine in Fig. 119-12 is forced to its init state.

C/ 176	SC 176.4.4.3	P <b>292</b>	L17	# 485
Opsasnick	k, Eugene	Broadcom		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(bucket)
		te transitions out of SLIP_C ART do not have a condition		
Suggested	Remedy			
Uncon	ditional state trans	sitions should be labelled "U	CT".	
Response		Response Status <b>C</b>		
		unconditional state transition	s out of SLIP_C	ONTROL and
SYMB	176-10, label the u OL_LOCK_REST	ART with "UCT"	s out of SLIP_C	ONTROL and # 20
SYMB	SC 176.5.4.1.5	ART with "UCT"	L <b>48</b>	
SYMB C/ 176	SOL_LOCK_REST	ART with "UCT" 5	L <b>48</b>	
Cl 176 Cl 176 Brown, Ma Comment The in lane, t registe	SC 176.5.4.1.5 SC 176.5.4.1.5 att <i>Type</i> <b>T</b> dex "i" is typically his index "i" will ca	ART with "UCT" 5 P <b>319</b> Alphawave Se	L48 emi ince counters ne nanagement var	# 20 <i>(withdrawn)</i> eed to be defined per iables and MDIO
Cl 176 Cl 176 Brown, Ma Comment The in lane, t registe	SC 176.5.4.1.5 SC 176.5.4.1.5 att <i>Type</i> <b>T</b> dex "i" is typically his index "i" will ca er definitions. For s for this purpose.	ART with "UCT" P319 Alphawave Se <i>Comment Status</i> <b>R</b> used for the lane number. Si use some ambiguity in the r	L48 emi ince counters ne nanagement var	# 20 <i>(withdrawn)</i> eed to be defined per iables and MDIO

For the bin counters defined in 177.5.4.1.5 change the index "i" to "k". Also update Table 177-7 and definitions in Clause 45 appropriately.

Response	Response Status	z	
	ricoponoo otatao	_	

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 176 SC 176.5.4.1.5 Page 22 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:21 PM

C/ 176	SC 176.7.4	P <b>298</b>	L <b>3</b>	# 18
Brown, M	att	Alphawave Se	emi	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucketp)
are op PRBS	tional but does i	ecifies that test pattern genera not elaborate which ones. Nec , SSPRQ, and square wave. N I3Q.	essary pattern	generators are
Suggeste	dRemedy			
	e a subclause fo back to 120.5.11	r each pattern generator and o .2.x for details.	checker that is	optionally required and
Creat that P SSPR	PT IN PRINCIP e subclauses for RBS31Q pattern Q and square w	Response Status <b>C</b> LE. PRBS31Q, PRBS13Q, SSPR generator and checker are m ave generators are optional. bes the pattern in 120.5.11.2 for	handatory. Stat Within each su	e that PRBS13Q, bclause, point to the
Imple	ment with editori	al license.		
C/ 176	SC 176.7.4	P <b>298</b>	L <b>3</b>	# 19
Brown, M	att	Alphawave Se	emi	
were	1.2 comment #13 mandatory but ne	Comment Status A 35 adopted response said tha ot the checker. The PRBS31C r PMD and AUI component ter	pattern check	
Suggeste Speci		31Q pattern check is mandate	ory.	
Response ACCE		Response Status C		

C/ 176	SC 176.7.4.1	P <b>298</b>	L16	# 394
Shrikhande	, Kapil	Marvell		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		counter format

The definition and format of the test block error bin counters should be aligned to match the bin counters defined in the PCS clauses (see FEC codeword error bin counter definition in 175.2.5.3). The counter size is not included in 176.7.4.1, whereas bin counters in PCS/FEC clauses include counter size.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Align bin counter definition format in 176.7.4.1 to the bin counter definition in 175.2.5.3, and also include counter size in the definition in 176.7.4.1.

esponse Response Status C

ACC	EPT I	N PF	RINCIPLE.	

Resolve using the response to comment #11.

C/ 176	SC 176.7.4.1	P <b>298</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 12
Brown, Matt		Alphawave Semi		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A		(bucketp)

Some of the block error counters may increment closed to once per block. As such, these counters, if 32 bits, will saturate around 30 seconds after being reset to zero. In order to ensure that there is at least 15 minutes between reset and saturation, bin counters for 0, 1, 2, and 3 should be larger.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Specify the counter size for test\_block\_error\_bin\_i\_k to be 48 bits for k from 0 to 3 and 32 bits otherwise.

#### Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

During CRG discussion, it was pointed out that it is undesirable to special-case 4 of the 16 counters and they are test counters where the quality of the link is unknown.

Since the 32-bit width is too small, change all 16 test\_block\_error\_bin\_i\_k counters from 32-bits to 48-bits in width.

Implement with editorial license.

C/ 176 SC 176.7.4.1

C/ 176	SC 176.8	P <b>199</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 22	C/ <b>176</b>	SC 176.8	P <b>299</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 222
Brown, M	att	Alphawave Se	emi		de Koos, /	Andras	Microchip	Technology	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		PMA delay	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		PMA delay
,		BASE-R, 400GBASE-R, and 1 As may need to be refined.	.6TBASE-R PM	As are TBD and the one	400GE	BASE-R) PMAs	delay of the 1:8 and 8:1 (fo is complicated because of	the 2CW skew int	roduced. Must be
Suggestee	dRemedy						e-accounting the delay due * of Rx and Tx) should thus		
	ct a contribution te Table 116-6, 1	with proposals. <sup>-</sup> able 116-7, 169-4, and Table	174-4 with the a	adopted numbers.	the int		(not 2x the intentional skew		
Response	)	Response Status C			Suggested	Remedy			
	PT IN PRINCIP	LE. conse to comment #451.			PMA d	or 32:4 PMA, pr	s use the base max delay esumably?) plus the intenti		800GBASE-R 4:32
C/ 176	SC 176.8	P <b>299</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 451	Skew	= 2 FEC CWs =	= 51.2ns for 200Gbps		
Shrikhand	de, Kapil	Marvell					IA or 8:1 PMA :		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		PMA delay		( )	36864 + 40960 = 77824 anta): 72 + 80 = 152		
In Tab	ole 176-7, compl	ete the TBD delay values for t	he SM-PMAs.				3 + 51.2 = 97.28		
Suggeste		provided for the TBD values ir	Tabla 176 7				MAs use the base max dela		the 800GBASE-R 4:32
		•					esumably?) plus the intenti = 25.6ns for 400Gbps	ional skew.	
Response		Response Status C							
ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIP	LE.					MA or 16:2 PMA :		
The fo	ollowing contribu	tion was reviewed by the CRG	<b>.</b>			( )	36864 + 20480 = 57334 anta): 72 + 40 = 112		
https:/	//www.ieee802.o	rg/3/dj/public/25_01/shrikhand	de_3dj_01b_250	)1.pdf			3 + 25.6 = 71.68		
Imple	ment the propos	als on slide 16 and 17 for all s	ublayers listed	on slide 16, including	Response		Response Status C		
		delay values to 74.24 ns.	,	, <b>C</b>		PT IN PRINCIP			
Imple	ment with editori	allicansa			Resolv	ve using the res	sponse to comment #451.		
inple									

C/ 176 SC 176.8

C/ 176	SC 176.8	P <b>299</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 225	C/ 176	SC 17	76.8	P <b>299</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 223
de Koos, J	Andras	Microchip Te	chnology		de Koos, /	Andras		Microchip Tec	hnology	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		PMA delay	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		PMA delay
equal does of one	to those of the 8 not have the 'Del a 10-bit symbol is	for the '1.6TBASE-R 8:16 PM 00GBASE-R 4:32 PMA or 32 ay odd PCSLs by one symbol negligible in the context of th	2:4 PMA. It is true of function (176.4	e that the 1.6T PMA	PMA o	lelay con: I not be ir	straint? ncluded	I deskew (compensating for sk I think not. This should be se in the PMA's delay constraint.	een as the delay	
Suggestee	lRemedy									
		3:16 PMA or 16:8 PMA' delay 2 PMA or 32:4 PMA'	constraints, use	e the same values as	Response			Response Status C		
Response		Response Status C				PT IN PR /e using t		E. onse to comment #451.		
	-	bonse to comment #451.			C/ 176	SC 17	76.8	P <b>299</b>	L <b>21</b>	# 224
C/ 176	SC 176.8	P <b>299</b>	L6	# 226	de Koos, /	Andras		Microchip Tec	hnology	
de Koos, J		Microchip Te	•		Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		PMA delay
,		•	chhology	DMA delev	Whate	ver meth	od is us	sed to specify the max delay for	or the 1:8, 8:1, 2	2:16, 16:2 SM-PMAs in
Comment	51	Comment Status A		PMA delay				e to the table is required to exp		
	table, why is the e a wire?	value for a 4:4 PMA so large	e (2x the 4:32 / 3	2:4 PMA)? Wouldn't it				king at the delay through the R		
,		esonably be implemented wit	h a 4:32 PMA in	series with a 32:4				nclude that they should each h	lave a 2000 dei	ay for the skew.
PMA?					Suggested	lRemedy				
PMAs	, i.e double the v	A value is correct, the same realues of the 1:8, 2:16, and 8			Note t	hat since	the dela	after the table: ay constraint is respect to the a 1:8 and 8:1 PMAs (51.2ns) a		
Suggestee								Ily ONCE.		
		1:1 PMA' delay constraint va		delay constraint values	Response			Response Status <b>C</b>		
		:8 PMA or 8:1 PMA' delay co 2:2 PMA' delay constraint va		delay constraint values		PT IN PR		, -		
		:16 PMA or 16:2 PMA' delay					-	onse to comment #451.		
		3:8 PMA' delay constraint val 16 PMA or 16:8 PMA' delay o		delay constraint values		_				
Response		Response Status C								
	PT IN PRINCIPL	_E.								
ACCE										

C/ 176 SC 176.8

C/ 176 SC 176.9 P299 L23 # 452	C/ 176 SC 176.9	P <b>299</b>	L <b>24</b> # 26
Shrikhande, Kapil Marvell	Brown, Matt	Alphawave Semi	
Comment Type TR Comment Status A PMA skew	Comment Type T	Comment Status A	PMA skew
Complete the subclause 176.9 on Skew Constraints of the SM-PMA.		ot defined for the PMAs. However	
SuggestedRemedy	defined in 116, 169, an derived from these.	d 174 and thus the numbers. The	PMA skew constraints may be
A presentation will be provided to update the Skew constraints subclause	SuggestedRemedy		
Response Response Status C	Expect a contribution w	vith proposals.	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response	Response Status C	
CRG reviewed slides 6-16 of the logic track editoral slides, nicholl_3dj_01_2501.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL		
	Resolve using the resp	onse to comment #452.	
Update SP1 and SP6 skew point definitions in Clause 169. Change the definition of SP1 from:	C/ 176 SC 176.11	P <b>300</b>	L15 # 5
SP1 on the 800GAUI-n interface, at the input of the PMA closest to the PMD.	Marris, Arthur	Cadence Design S	Systems
to: SP1 on the 800GAUI-n interface closest to the PMD, at the input of the PMA.	Comment Type T	Comment Status A	(bucket
	Table 176-8 needs pop	oulating	
Change the defintion of SP6 from: SP6 on the 800GAUI-n interface, at the output of the PMA closest to the PCS or DTE	SuggestedRemedy		
800GXS		MA/PMD registers" in IEEE Std 80	02.3 for the correct MDIO register
to: SP6 on the 800GAUI-n interface closest to the PCS or DTE 800GXS, at the output of the	bit references		
PMA	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status <b>C</b>	
Make similar changes to the definitions of SP1 and SP6 in Clauses 116 and 174.		ed remedy with editorial license.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	C/ 176B SC 176B.3	P683	L <b>12</b> # 378
Update skew contraints in Clause 176 to define SP1 and SP6 using the format from Clause 179.7.	D'Ambrosia, John		Subsidiary of Huawei
	Comment Type E	Comment Status A	(bucket
Delete subclause 177.8.		ded to highlight the co-existence of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Update skew contraints in optical PMD clauses 180, 181, 182 and 183, as suggested in slide 16 of nicholl_3dj_01.	implementation, but the help.	e figure uses generic language for	rt he PMA sublayers that doesn't
Implement with editorial license.	SuggestedRemedy		
[Editor's noter: CC 176 177 116 169 174 180 181 182 183]	Add "BM-" or "SM-" as	appropriate to the PMA sublayer	boxes in Fig 176B-4.`
	Response	Response Status C	
	ACCEPT.		

C/ 176B SC 176B.3

176B SC 176B.4.1 P660 L51 # 424	C/ 176C SC 176C.3 P701 L47 # 436	
dek, Mike Marvell	Dudek, Mike Marvell	
nment Type TR Comment Status D (bucketp	Comment Type T Comment Status A (buck	(etp)
The editor's notes do not appear to be correct for the AUI's in the tables. E.g. 200GAUI-8	It might be confusing that "any PMA" includes bit muxed PMA's	
is not clause 176C. It should only apply to the PMA's and the changes to the PMA's are not what the editor's note implies. E.G. The sublayer in the first row of Table 176B-1	SuggestedRemedy	
should not be changed from 200GBASE-R 8:n PMA to 200GBASE-R 8:8 PMA it appears to	replace "PMA" with "SM-PMA" just in these sentences where it is talking about "any	
be correct as it is:	PMA". E.g. change "The PMA above the 200 Gb/s per lane AUI-C2C is any m:1 PMA for 200GAUI-1, m:2 PMA for	)r
IgestedRemedy	400GAUI-2, m:4 PMA for 800GAUI-4, and m:8 PMA for 1.6TAUI-8, as specified in Claus	е
Make the necessary changes and delete the editor's note. Also on page 663 line 35, page 665 line 3, and page 668 line 3	176." to "The PMA above the 200 Gb/s per lane AUI-C2C is any m:1 SM-PMA for 200GA 1, m:2 SM-PMA for	UI-
posed Response Response Status W	400GAUI-2, m:4 SM-PMA for 800GAUI-4, and m:8 SM-PMA for 1.6TAUI-8, as specified	in
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Clause 176.	
The editor's notes convey that the tables should also include guidance for use of AUIs with	Response Response Status C	
50 Gb/s per lane and 25 Gb/s per lane (e.g., 200GAUI-8). Including these was deferred since it was not clear initially these were specified for use with the new PHY types defined	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
in 802.3dj. However, updates to D1.2 and D1.3 imply that indeed these lower lane-rate	Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license and in alignment with the respons	se
AUIs are intended.	to comment #486.	
Update the tables per the editor's notes in 176B.4.1, 176B.4.2, 176B.5.1, and 176B.5.2. Implement with editorial license.	C/ 176C SC 176C.4.1 P702 L43 # 437	
	Dudek, Mike Marvell	
!! Pulled from bucket	Comment Type T Comment Status D Test points (but	cket)
176B SC 176B.6.2 P695 L28 # 417	The procedure in Annex 163A calls for the computations in 163A.3.1 and 163.4.1 which	,
holl, Gary Cisco Systems	refer to calculations in Annex 93A that are different from those for 200G in Annex 178A.	
nment Type TR Comment Status A (bucket	SuggestedRemedy	
Incorrect reference. Reference to "Figure 176B-2" should be "Fgure 176B-3"	Change to "using the procedure in Annex 163A but replacing the COM related calculatior in Annex 93A with those of Annex 178A"	IS
igestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status W	
Change "Figure 176B-2" to "Figure 176B-3".	PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
sponse Response Status C		
ACCEPT.	C/ 176C SC 176C.4.3 P703 L23 # 439	
	Dudek, Mike Marvell	
	51	RLcc
	The common-mode to common-mode return loss is TBD. It is likely that similar performance devices will be used for C2C as for KR	
	SuggestedRemedy	
	Change TRD to 2.25 the same as far KD	
	Change TBD to 3.25 the same as for KR.	
	Response Response Status C	

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
 SC 176C.4.3
 1/22/2025 11:18:21 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 176C.4.3
 1/22/2025 11:18:21 PM

CI 176C SC 176C.4.3 P703	L <b>23</b> #	195	C/ 176C	SC 176C.4.3	P <b>703</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 549
Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi			Heck, Howa	rd	TE Connectivit	у	
Comment Type T Comment Status A		SCMR	Comment Ty	rpe T	Comment Status A		RLcc
Value for "Signal to AC common-mode noise ratio, SCMR	(min)" is TBD.		Minimun	n common-mo	de to common-mode return los	is (RLcc) is TB	D in D1.3.
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedR	emedy			
Expect a contribution with proposals.					B, taken from KR Table 178-6.	A presentation	n is planned to support
Response Response Status C				ested remedy.			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Response		Response Status C		
Resolve using the response to comment 548.				IN PRINCIPL	.E. onse to comment 439.		
C/ 176C SC 176C.4.3 P703	L <b>23</b> #	548		0			
Heck, Howard TE Connectivity			C/ <b>176C</b>	SC 176C.4.3	P <b>703</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 196
Comment Type T Comment Status A		SCMR	Brown, Matt		Alphawave Sei	mi	
Minimum signal to AC common-mode noise ratio (SCMR)	is TBD in D1.3.		Comment Ty		Comment Status A		RLcc
SuggestedRemedy			Value fo	r "Common-me	ode to common-mode return lo	ss, RLcc (min)	)" is TBD.
Change TBD to 15 dB, taken from KR Table 178-6. A pres	sentation is planned	to support	SuggestedR	emedy			
the suggested remedy.			Expect a	contribution v	vith proposals.		
Response Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				IN PRINCIPL			
The CRG reviewed slide 4 in			Resolve	using the resp	onse to comment 439.		
https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/heck_3dj_01b_2	2501.pdf .		C/ 176C	SC 176C.4.3	.1 <i>P</i> 704	L17	# 169
to set a second data as second a disease a disea 200 a differential Procession			Bruckman, L	eon	Nvidia		
Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.			Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status A		ILT (bucketp)
CI 176C SC 176C.4.3 P703	L <b>23</b> #	438	inter-sub	layer link train	ing has a defined acronnym al	ready used in t	his Annex in 176C.3.
Dudek, Mike Marvell			SuggestedR	emedy			
Comment Type T Comment Status A		SCMR	Change:	"inter-sublaye	r link training"		
The Signal to AC common-mode ratio is TBD. It is likely the	hat similar performa	ance devices	To: "ILT'		-		
will be used for C2C as for KR			Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy			ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	.E.		
Change TBD to 15 the same as for KR. Remove the Editor	or's note on page 70	05 line 19	Impleme	ont the suggest	ed remedy, and in addition, ad	ld the expansio	on of the acronym II T in
Response Response Status C					3rd paragraph of 176C.3.	a are expansio	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							
Resolve using the response to comment 548.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 176C SC 176C.4.3.1 Page 28 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:21 PM

C/ 176C	SC 176C.4.3.1	P <b>704</b>	L19	# 139		C/ 176C	SC 176C.4.	3.2	P <b>705</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 440
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom				Dudek, Mike	Э		Marvell		
SuggestedRe	tate measureme e <i>medy</i>	Comment Status A ent is also needed by ILT		<i></i>	ILT	should I	C target BER i		e C2M target.		C2C ACCM for measurement equate even for the
the subc		oltage specifiction needed ir	i 178B.11.4 is sp	becified in 178.9.2	.4" to	SuggestedF	Remedy the exception				
	IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C nse to comment #138.				Response	T IN PRINCIP	Response	Status C		
C/ 176C	SC 176C.4.3.1	P <b>704</b>	L19	# 134		The CR	G reviewed sli	de 27 in			
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom				https://v	ww.ieee802.c	rg/3/dj/public/2	5_01/ran_3dj_	04_2501.pdf .	
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D				Implem	ent the suages	ted remedv wit	th editorial licer	ise.	
		nd presets that are supporte the 178B over interfaces wit				C/ 176C	SC 176C.4.	,	P <b>705</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 197
SuggestedRe	emedy					Brown, Mat	t		Alphawave S	emi	
"The coe	efficients and pre	ditorial license at the end of esets supported by the C2C				Comment T		<i>Comment</i> SI method is TI			SNR_ISI
preset preset	2					SuggestedF Expect	2	with proposals.			
preset preset preset	4					Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIP	Response . LE.	Status C		
Impleme	SED ACCEPT II	d remedy with consideration	s of any change	s due to other		Resolve	e using the res	ponse to comm	nent #550.		

C/ 176C SC 176C.4.3.4

C/ 176C SC 176C.4.3.4 P705 L25 # 550	Cl 176C SC 176C.4.3.5 P705 L50 # 551
Heck, Howard TE Connectivity	Heck, Howard TE Connectivity
Comment Type T Comment Status A SNR_ISI	Comment Type T Comment Status A ERL
The method specified for signal-to-residual-intersymbol-interference ratio (SNR_ISI) is	The length of the reflection signal, N, for ERL calculation is TBD.
defined in 179.9.4.3 with exceptions TBD.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Change TBD to 400 UI, taken from KR Table 178-8. This is consistent with prior standards
Remove "with exceptions TBD." A presentation is planned to support the suggested remedy.	(.cd, .ck) wherein the values for KR and C2C identical. The proposed value scales to account for the reduction in unit interval. A presentation is planned to support the suggested remark.
Response Response Status C	suggested remedy.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
The CRG reviewed slide 6 in	The CRG reviewed slide 7
https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/heck_3dj_01b_2501.pdf .	<a href="https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/heck_3dj_01b_2501.pdf">https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/heck_3dj_01b_2501.pdf</a> >.
Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.	Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.
	Cl 176C SC 176C.4.3.5 P705 L50 # 198
C/ 176C SC 176C.4.3.5 P705 L43 # 442	Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi
Dudek, Mike Marvell	Comment Type T Comment Status A ERL
<i></i>	Value for "Length of the reflection signal", N, is TBD.
Comment Type T Comment Status R ERL The procedure in 163A.3.2.2 refer to calculations in Annex 93A that are different from those for 200G in Annex 178A.	
The procedure in 163A.3.2.2 refer to calculations in Annex 93A that are different from those for 200G in Annex 178A.	Value for "Length of the reflection signal", N, is TBD.
The procedure in 163A.3.2.2 refer to calculations in Annex 93A that are different from those for 200G in Annex 178A. SuggestedRemedy	Value for "Length of the reflection signal", N, is TBD. SuggestedRemedy
The procedure in 163A.3.2.2 refer to calculations in Annex 93A that are different from those for 200G in Annex 178A.	Value for "Length of the reflection signal", N, is TBD. SuggestedRemedy Expect a contribution with proposals.
The procedure in 163A.3.2.2 refer to calculations in Annex 93A that are different from those for 200G in Annex 178A. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change to "using the procedure in Annex 163A.3.2.2 but replacing the COM related calculations in Annex 93A with those of Annex 178A" Make the same change on page 706 line 35	Value for "Length of the reflection signal", N, is TBD. SuggestedRemedy Expect a contribution with proposals. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment 551.
The procedure in 163A.3.2.2 refer to calculations in Annex 93A that are different from those for 200G in Annex 178A. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change to "using the procedure in Annex 163A.3.2.2 but replacing the COM related calculations in Annex 93A with those of Annex 178A" Make the same change on page 706 line 35	Value for "Length of the reflection signal", N, is TBD.         SuggestedRemedy         Expect a contribution with proposals.         Response       Response Status         C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Resolve using the response to comment 551.         C/ 176C       SC 176C.4.3.5         P705       L51       # 441
The procedure in 163A.3.2.2 refer to calculations in Annex 93A that are different from those for 200G in Annex 178A. SuggestedRemedy Change to "using the procedure in Annex 163A.3.2.2 but replacing the COM related calculations in Annex 93A with those of Annex 178A" Make the same change on page 706 line 35 Response Response Status Z REJECT.	Value for "Length of the reflection signal", N, is TBD.         SuggestedRemedy         Expect a contribution with proposals.         Response       Response Status         C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Resolve using the response to comment 551.         C/       176C         SC       176C.4.3.5         P705       L51         Dudek, Mike       Marvell
The procedure in 163A.3.2.2 refer to calculations in Annex 93A that are different from those for 200G in Annex 178A. SuggestedRemedy Change to "using the procedure in Annex 163A.3.2.2 but replacing the COM related calculations in Annex 93A with those of Annex 178A" Make the same change on page 706 line 35 Response Response Status Z	Value for "Length of the reflection signal", N, is TBD.         SuggestedRemedy         Expect a contribution with proposals.         Response       Response Status         C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Resolve using the response to comment 551.         C/ 176C       SC 176C.4.3.5         P705       L51       # 441
The procedure in 163A.3.2.2 refer to calculations in Annex 93A that are different from those for 200G in Annex 178A. SuggestedRemedy Change to "using the procedure in Annex 163A.3.2.2 but replacing the COM related calculations in Annex 93A with those of Annex 178A" Make the same change on page 706 line 35 Response Response Status Z REJECT.	Value for "Length of the reflection signal", N, is TBD.         SuggestedRemedy         Expect a contribution with proposals.         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Resolve using the response to comment 551.         C/ 176C       SC 176C.4.3.5         P705       L51         Dudek, Mike       Marvell         Comment Type       TR         Comment Status       A         ERL       The length of the reflection signal is listed as TBD. It should be long enough to include reflections from the end of the longest path expected within a component and, as similar
The procedure in 163A.3.2.2 refer to calculations in Annex 93A that are different from those for 200G in Annex 178A.         SuggestedRemedy         Change to "using the procedure in Annex 163A.3.2.2 but replacing the COM related calculations in Annex 93A with those of Annex 178A" Make the same change on page 706 line 35         Response       Response Status       Z         REJECT.	Value for "Length of the reflection signal", N, is TBD.         SuggestedRemedy         Expect a contribution with proposals.         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Resolve using the response to comment 551.         Cl 176C       SC 176C.4.3.5         P705       L51         Dudek, Mike       Marvell         Comment Type       TR         Comment Status A       ERL         The length of the reflection signal is listed as TBD. It should be long enough to include reflections from the end of the longest path expected within a component and, as similar components are expected to be used as for KR, the same value as for KR is reasonable

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment 551.

C/ 176C SC 176C.4.3.5

C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.3	P <b>706</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 199	C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.4.2 P708 L31 # 200	
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Sen	ni		Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi	
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Values/equations for RL_cd are TE	nt Status A 3D.		RX RLcd	Comment Type         T         Comment Status         A         ITT           Values for N_p is TBD.         ITT         ITT         ITT         ITT	Np
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	
Expect a contribution with proposa	ls.			Expect a contribution with proposals.	
Response Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to com	e Status <b>C</b> nment 443.			Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #557.	
C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.3	P <b>706</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 443	C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.4.2 P708 L31 # 552	_
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Heck, Howard TE Connectivity	
Comment Type T Commen	nt Status A		RX RLcd	Comment Type T Comment Status A ITT	Np
not available. Without further evid 100G. SuggestedRemedy Use 25-0.36f from 0.05 to 27.8GHz note on page 707 line 26 to still en Response Response	z and 15 from 27.80	GHz to 60GHz.		Change TBD to 22 UI. This is scaled from N=11 in p802.3ck to account for the reduction i unit interval. A presentation is planned to support the suggested remedy. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #557.	n
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.2. P708 L31 # 446	Ξ
The CRG reviewed slide 28 of	:-/25 01/man 24: 0			Dudek, Mike Marvell	
<a href="https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/publ">https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/publ</a> Implement the suggested response				Comment Type T Comment Status A ITT	Np
C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.4.1	P <b>707</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 444	The value of Np is TBD. This should be related to the reference equalizer length. As the floating taps can move to 50 make Np=50	
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			SuggestedRemedy	
	nt Status D		ITT Np (bucket)	Change Np to 50	
The noise source emulates non-eq	ualizable distortion	s not equalizab	le	Response Response Status C	
SuggestedRemedy Change "equalizable" to "non-equa	lizable"			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment 557.	
Proposed Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	e Status W				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.4.2

comment Type T       Comment Status A       ITT Cal         The target BER is approx 1e-5 so a lower probability than 1e-3 should be used. J4u03 is now used for KR.       Values for IL_dd are TBD.         target BER is approx 1e-5 so a lower probability than 1e-3 should be used. J4u03 is now used for KR.       Values for IL_dd are TBD.         target BER is approx 1e-5 so a lower probability than 1e-3 should be used. J4u03 is now used for KR.       Values for IL_dd are TBD.         target BER is approx 1e-5 so a lower probability than 1e-3 should be used. J4u03 is now used for KR.       Values for IL_dd are TBD.         target BER is approx 1e-5 so a lower probability than 1e-3 should be used. J4u03 is now used for C2C with the response to comment 553.       Comment Type T       Comment Type T         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status A       C         In item co 176C-4.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, not J3u03.       Interferences to equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively.       Content Type T       Comment Type T       Comment Status A       ITT Ldd         Table 176C- SC 176C-4.4.4.3       P709       L30       # 252         shasi, Ali       Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell       ITT Ldd       Table 176C-20 circle shase and class B value 18.5min 20.5max. In section 176.5.2 and Table 176C-4 contains many TBD.5max as they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class A values 23.5 min 24.5max and class B values 19.5min 20.5max. In section 176.5.2 and Table 176C-4 contains many 18.0000000 and make the Test 2 Class A values 23.5 min 24.5ma	Comment Type T       Comment Status A       ITT Cal         The target BER is approx 1e-5 so a lower probability than 1e-3 should be used. J4u03 is now used for KR.       Values for LL, dd are TBD.         SuggestedRemedy       Use J4u03 and equations 178-2 and 178-3.       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Comment Status A       ITT Ldd         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Comment Type T       Comment Type C         In item of 176C.44.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively.       Comment Type T       Comment Type T       Comment Status A       ITT Ldd         Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).       Implement with editorial license.       ITT Ldd       Maxell         C1 T6C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3       PT09       L30       # 252         Chais Quantum/Marvell       Comment Status A       ITT Ldd         Comment Type T       Comment Status A       ITT Ldd         Comment Type T       Comment Status A       ITT Ldd         SuggestedRemedy       Pros       L30       # 252         Chais Quantum/Marvell       Comment Status A       ITT Ldd         Comment Type T       Comment Status A       ITT Ldd         SuggestedRemedy       Pros       L30       # 252 <th>C/ 176C</th> <th>SC 176C.4.4.4.2</th> <th>P<b>708</b></th> <th>L<b>33</b></th> <th># 445</th> <th>Cl 176C</th> <th>SC 176C.4.4</th> <th>.4.3</th> <th>P<b>709</b></th> <th>L<b>30</b></th> <th># 201</th>	C/ 176C	SC 176C.4.4.4.2	P <b>708</b>	L <b>33</b>	# 445	Cl 176C	SC 176C.4.4	.4.3	P <b>709</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 201
The target BER is approx 1e-5 so a lower probability than 1e-3 should be used. J4u03 is now used for KR. UggestedRemedy Use J4u03 and equations 178-2 and 178-3. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The specification for C2C is indeed J4u03, not J3u03. In item of 178C 4.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively. Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3). Implement with editorial license. 1// 176C SC 176C.4.4.4.3 P709 L30 # 252 Shiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell Gromment Type TR Comment Status A ITT Ldd Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD UggestedRemedy Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the following parameters. Receiver package class A or B Test:: 10.5 to 11.5 dB Test:: 31.5 to 32.5 dB Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	The target BER is approx 1e-5 so a lower probability than 1e-3 should be used. J4u03 is now used for KR. SuggestedRemedy Use J4u03 and equations 178-2 and 178-3. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The specification for C2C is indeed J4u03, not J3u03. In item c of 176C.4.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3). Implement with editorial license. C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.4.3 P709 L31 # <u>L48</u> Comment Type T Comment Status A ITT ILdd Table 176C-4 contains many TBDs. The minimum insertion loss should be the same for bord to package class A and class B values (15.2) and the target of the target	Judek, Mike	e	Marvell			Brown, Ma	att		Alphawave S	emi	
now used for KR. buggestedRemedy Use J4003 and equations 178-2 and 178-3. besponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The specification for C2C is indeed J4003, not J3003. In item c of 176C.4.4.4.2, change J3003 to J4003, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively. Delete equations Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively. Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3). Implement with editorial license. 7/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.4.3 P709 L30 # 252 Shiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell formment Type TR Comment Status A ITT ILdd Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD UggestedRemedy Per https://www.ieee8020.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the following parameters: Receiver gackage class A or B Test:: 10:5 to 115.0 B Test:: 31.5 to 32.5 dB Pesponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	now used for KR	Comment T	ype <b>T</b> Comn	nent Status A		ITT Cal	Comment	Туре <b>т</b>	Commer	nt Status A		ITT ILdd
UggestedRemedy       Lse J4u03 and equations 178-2 and 178-3.       Expense       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       The specification for C2C is indeed J4u03, not J3u03.       Response to comment 553.         In item coil 176C-4, 4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively.       If 16C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3       P709       L31       # 448         Dudek, Mike       Marvell         Comment Type       T       Comment Status A       ITT LLd         Table equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively.       Table 176C-4.4.4.3       P709       L31       # 448         Dudek, Mike       Marvell       Marvell       ITT LLd         Table cover interference tolerance parameters are TBD       L30       # 252         SuggestedRemedy       Comment Status A       ITT LLd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       ITT LLd         UggestedRemedy       Comment Status A       ITT LLd         Receiver package ciass A or B       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. <td>SuggestedRemedy         Use J4u03 and equations 178-2 and 178-3.         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         The specification for C2C is indeed J4u03, not J3u03.       In term of 176C.4.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).         Implement with editorial license.       C/         CI 176C       SC 176C.4.4.1.3       P709       L31       # [448]         Comment Type       T       Comment Status A       ITT ILdd         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status A       ITT ILdd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       SuggestedRemedy       Make the Test 1 values 9.5 min 10.5ma xa they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class A or B         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.iees602.org/3/di/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the following parameters:       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Response       Response Status C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>o a lower probabilit</td> <td>y than 1e-3 shou</td> <td>ld be used. J4u03 is</td> <td></td> <td>—</td> <td>BD.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	SuggestedRemedy         Use J4u03 and equations 178-2 and 178-3.         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         The specification for C2C is indeed J4u03, not J3u03.       In term of 176C.4.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).         Implement with editorial license.       C/         CI 176C       SC 176C.4.4.1.3       P709       L31       # [448]         Comment Type       T       Comment Status A       ITT ILdd         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status A       ITT ILdd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       SuggestedRemedy       Make the Test 1 values 9.5 min 10.5ma xa they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class A or B         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.iees602.org/3/di/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the following parameters:       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Response       Response Status C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			o a lower probabilit	y than 1e-3 shou	ld be used. J4u03 is		—	BD.			
Use JAU03 and equations 178-2 and 178-3.         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         The specification for C2C is indeed J4u03, not J3u03.         In item c of 176C.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-3, respectively.         Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).         Implement with editorial license.         21       719       L30       # 252         Phasi, Ali       Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell         comment Type       TR       Comment Status A       ITT ILdd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       ITT ILdd       Response Status C         uggestadRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/d/jpublic/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       Response Status C         Receiver anterference tolerance parameters:       Response Status C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Response       Response Status C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Use J4u03 and equations 178-2 and 178-3.         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         The specification for C2C is indeed J4u03, not J3u03.         In item c of 176C.4.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-3) and Equation (176C-3) and Equation (176C-3).         Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).         Implement with editorial license.         C/1 176C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3       PT09       L30       ITT ILdd         Response TX       Comment Status A       ITT ILdd         Resider equations Type       TR       Comment Status A       ITT ILdd         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status A       ITT ILdd         Response       Response Status C       AccePT IN PRINCIPLE.       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.iee802.org/3/d/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the following parameters:       Response Status C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Response       Response Status C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C         AccePT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C       AccePT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedF	Remedy					•	vith proposal	S.		
lessponse       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         The specification for C2C is indeed J4u03, not J3u03.         In item c of 176C.4.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively.         Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).         Implement with editorial license.         21 176C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3       Prog       L 31       # 448         Comment Type       T       Comment Type       T       Comment Type       T       Comment Type       T       Comment Status A       ////////////////////////////////////	Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       The specification for C2C is indeed J4u03, not J3u03.       In item c of 176C.4.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).       Implement with editorial license.       Implement with editorial license.         C/       176C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3       P709       L31       Implement Vite Maximum Should be the same for both package class A and classB (as it is for KR). It should however be related to the shortest C2C link we expect. The Maximum should be the max TP040 or P26d supported minus the package class A and classB (as it is for KR). It should however be related to the shortest C2C link we expect. The Maximum should be the max TP040 or P26d supported minus the package class. 32dB has been adopted for C2M with a more relaxed BER requirement, so suggest 30dB as a reasonable value for C2C         SuggestedRemedy       Make the Test 1 values 9.5 min 10.5max as they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class A values 23.5 min 24.5max and class B values 19.5min 20.5max. In section 176.5.2 and Table 176-5 clarify that the Maximum channel insertion loss is from TP0d to TP5d and make the value 30dB.         SuggestedRemedy       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C	Use J4ı	u03 and equations 178-2	and 178-3.								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         The specification for C2C is indeed J4u03, not J3u03.         In item c of 176C.4.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively.         Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).         Implement with editorial license.         2// 176C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3         PT09       L30         2// 176C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3         Prove       T         Comment Type       T         Comment Type       T         Comment Type       TR         Co	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         The specification for C2C is indeed J4u03, not J3u03.         In item c of 176C.4.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).         Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).         Implement with editorial license.         C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.4.3       PT09       L31       # 448         Comment Type       T       Comment Status A       ITT ILda         Table 176C-4 contains many TBDs. The minimum insertion loss should be the same for bord package class A and class B (ari it is for KR). It should however be related to the shortest C2C link we expect. The Maximum should be the max TP0d to TP5d supported minus the package loss. 32dB has been adopted for C2W with a more relaxed BER requirement, so suggest 30dB as a reasonable value for C2C         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the following parameters:       ITT ILda         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C	Response	Respo	nse Status <b>C</b>			•		'			
In item c of 176C.4.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively. Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3). Implement with editorial license. The ditorial license. The ditorial license. The ditorial license is a provided the ditorial license. The ditorial license is a provided to the same for both package class A and class B (as it is for KR). It should however be related to the shortest C2C link we expect. The Maximum should be the max TPO1 to TP5d supported minus the package loss. 32dB has been adopted for C2M with a more relaxed BER requirement, so suggest 30dB as a reasonable value for C2C suggestedRemedy. Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the following parameters: Receiver package class A or B Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	In item c of 176C.4.4.4.2, change J3u03 to J4u03, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively. Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3). Implement with editorial license. C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.4.3 P709 L30 # 252 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status A ITT ILdd Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD SuggestedRemedy Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/d//public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters: Receiver package class A or B Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.					-		ment 553.		
In time to 01 //6C.4.4.4.2, change J3003 to J4003, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3), with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively.       If The Comment Type T       Comment Status A       If The Ld         Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).       Implement with editorial license.       If The Comment Type T       Comment Status A       If The Ld         A frace Sc 176C.4.4.4.3       PT09       L 30       # 252       If The Ld       Table 176C-4 contains many TBDs. The minimum insertion loss should be the same for both package class A and class B (as it is for KR). It should however be related to the shortest C2C link we expect. The Maximum should be the max TPD0 to TP5d supported minus the package loss. 32dB has been adopted for C2M with a more relaxed BER requirement, so suggest 30dB as a reasonable value for C2C         Suggested/Remedy       Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       Ift TLdd         uggested/Remedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       Ift TLdd         Receiver package class A or B       Response Status C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.	In time to 01 /r6C-24, 4.4.2, 2, change 33003 to J4003, and replace the references to Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).       Implement with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively.         Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).       Implement with editorial license.       Implement with editorial license.       Its for KR). It should however be related to the shortest C2C link we expect. The Maximum should be the max TPOd to TP5d supported minus the package class A and class B (as it is for KR). It should however be related to the shortest C2C link we expect. The Maximum should be the max TPOd to TP5d supported minus the package loss. 32dB has been adopted for C2M with a more relaxed BER requirement, so suggest 30dB as a reasonable value for C2C         SuggestedRemedy       Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       ITT ILdd         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       ITT ILdd         Receiver package class A or B       Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C	The spe	ecification for C2C is inde	ed J4u03, not J3u	03.		C/ 176C	SC 176C.4.4	.4.3	P <b>709</b>	L <b>31</b>	# 448
(176C-2) and Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively.       Delete equations Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively.       Table 176C-4 contains many TBDs. The minimum insertion loss should be the same for both package class A and class B (as it for KR). It should however be related to the shortest C2C link we expect. The Maximum should be the max TPO to TP5d supported minus the package loss. 32dB has been adopted for C2M with a more relaxed BER requirement, so suggest 30dB as a reasonable value for C2C         Shiasi, Ali       Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell         comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A         fuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       ITT ILdd       Response       Response Status       C         Response       Response Status       C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       C       Response to comment #553 and #554.	(176C-2) and Equation (176C-3) with references to equations 178-2 and 178-3, respectively.       Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).       ITT I.Lda         Implement with editorial license.       Implement with editorial license.       Table 176C-4 contains many TBDs. The minimum insertion loss should be the same for both package class A and class B (as it is for KR). It should however be related to the shortest C2C link we expect. The Maximum should be the max TP0d to TP5d supported minus the package loss. 32dB has been adopted for C2M with a more relaxed BER requirement, so suggests 30dB as a reasonable value for C2C         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       Int I.S dB Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB         Response       Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	In item o	c of 176C.4.4.4.2. chang	e J3u03 to J4u03.	and replace the re	eferences to Equation	Dudek, Mił	ke		Marvell		
Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).         Implement with editorial license.         2/176C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3         Prog       L30         # 252         Shasi, Ali       Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell         Comment Type       TR         Comment Status       A         Make the Test 1 values 9.5 min 10.5max as they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class         A values 23.5 min 24.5max and class B values 19.5min 20.5max. In section 176.5.2 and         Table 176.5 clarify that the Maximum channel insertion loss is from TP0d to TP5d and         make the value 30dB.         Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the         folowing parameters:         Receiver package class A or B         Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB         Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the         folowing parameters:         Receiver package class A or B         Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Delete equations Equation (176C-2) and Equation (176C-3).         Implement with editorial license.         C/       176C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3       PT09       L30       # 252         Shiasi, Ali       Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       ITT ILdd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters       Growing parameters:       ITT ILdd       Make the Test 1 values 9.5 min 10.5max as they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       ITT ILdd       Receiver package class A or B       Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB       C         Test2: 21.5 to 32.5 dB       Response       Response Status       C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       C						Comment	Туре Т	Commen	nt Status A		ITT ILdd
2/176C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3       P709       L30       # 252         Shiasi, Ali       Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell         comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       ITT ILdd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       ITT ILdd       Make the Test 1 values 9.5 min 10.5max as they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       Receiver package class A or B       Response       Response Status       C         Test1: 10.5 to 31.5 to 32.5 dB       Response Status       C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.	C/ 176C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3       P709       L30       # 252         Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell       Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell       ITT ILdd         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       ITT ILdd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       ITT ILdd       Make the Test 1 values 9.5 min 10.5max as they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       Receiver package class A or B       Response       Response Status       C         Response       Response Status       C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.			, , ,	(176C-3).		both pa	ackage class A a	and classB (a	as it is for KR).	It should howeve	r be related to the
Cli 176C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3       P709       L30       # 252         Shiasi, Ali       Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       ITT ILdd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       ITT ILdd       Make the Test 1 values 9.5 min 10.5max as they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       Receiver package class A or B       Response       Response Status       C         Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB       Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB       C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response to comment #553 and #554.	C/1 176C       SC 176C.4.4.4.3       P709       L30       # 252         Ghiasi, Ali       Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       ITT ILdd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       ITT ILdd       Make the Test 1 values 9.5 min 10.5max as they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       Receiver package class A or B       Response       Response Status       C         Receiver package class A or B       Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB       Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB       Response Status       C         Response       Response Status       C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response to comment #553 and #554.	Impleme	ent with editorial license.									ore relaxed BER
Schmasi, Ali       Ghasi Qunatum/Marvell         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       ITT ILdd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       Itt ILdd       Make the Test 1 values 9.5 min 10.5max as they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class         RuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       Receiver package class A or B       Response Kesponse Status       C         Response       Response Status       C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response to comment #553 and #554.	Ghasi, Ali       Ghasi Qunatum/Marvell         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       ITT ILdd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       ITT ILdd       Make the Test 1 values 9.5 min 10.5max as they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       Make the Test 1 values 9.5 min 10.5max as they were for 100G and make the Test 2 Class         Receiver package class A or B       Response C       Response Status       C         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       C	C/ 176C	SC 176C.4.4.4.3	P <b>709</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 252						
Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       ITT ILdd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       A values 23.5 min 24.5max and class B values 19.5min 20.5max. In section 176.5.2 and Table 176-5 clarify that the Maximum channel insertion loss is from TP0d to TP5d and make the value 30dB.         Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       Receiver package class A or B       Response Status       C         Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB       Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB       Response Status       C         Receiver IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       C	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       ITT ILdd         Receiver interference tolerance parameters are TBD       A values 23.5 min 24.5max and class B values 19.5min 20.5max. In section 176.5.2 and         SuggestedRemedy       Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       A values 23.5 min 24.5max and class B values 19.5min 20.5max. In section 176.5.2 and make the value 30dB.         Receiver package class A or B       Response C         Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB       Response Status C         Response       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunat	tum/Marvell			-	0.5 min 10	Emax as thou we	ore for 100G and	make the Test 2 Class
PuggestedRemedy       make the value 30dB.         Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       Response       Response Status       C         Receiver package class A or B       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.         Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB       Response Status       C         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.	SuggestedRemedy       make the value 30dB.         Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters:       Response       Response Status       C         Receiver package class A or B       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.         Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB       Response Status       C         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.	Comment T	ype <b>TR</b> Comn	nent Status A		ITT ILdd						
Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters: Receiver package class A or B Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the       Response       Response Status       C         For https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Response Status       C         Receiver package class A or B       Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB       Response Status       C       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.         Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB       Response Status       C         Response       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			parameters are TB	D				the Maximu	m channel insert	ion loss is from	TP0d to TP5d and
Per https://www.leee802.org/3/d/public/24_0//heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the folowing parameters: Receiver package class A or B Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Per https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf recommend the       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Receiver package class A or B       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB       Response to 25.5 dB         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	00	,				Response		Response	e Status <b>C</b>		
Receiver package class A or B       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.         Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB       Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Receiver package class A or B       Resolve using the response to comment #553 and #554.         Test1: 10.5 to 11.5 dB       Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB         Response       Response Status         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			j/public/24_07/heck	_3dj_01a_2407.p	odf recommend the	•		,			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Receive Test1: 1	er package class A or B 10.5 to 11.5 dB				Resolv	ve using the resp	onse to com	ment #553 and #	ŧ554.	
				nse Status C								
			-	omment #553.								
			-									

C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.4.3

C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.4.3 P709 L31 # 553	C/ 176C SC 176C.5 P710 L25 # 554					
Heck, Howard TE Connectivity	Heck, Howard TE Connectivity					
Comment Type T Comment Status A ITT ILC Min/max insertion loss, Ildd, for Rx ITT is TBD for all combinations of low/high loss channe	Id Comment Type T Comment Status A Recommended maximum insertion loss at 53.125 GHz in Table 176C-5 is TBD in D1.3.					
and class A/B package.	SuggestedRemedy					
SuggestedRemedy A presentation is planned to propose specific values.	Change TBD to 32 dB, based upon results presented in https://ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/heck_3dj_01a_2407.pdf.					
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
The CRG reviewed slide #11 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/heck_3dj_01b_2501.pdf .	The CRG reviewed slides 8-10 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/heck_3dj_01b_2501.pdf .					
For test 1 (low loss) and test 2 (high loss), use the values provided on slide 11 of heck_3dj_01b_2501.	Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.					
	_ C/ 176C SC 176C.5 P710 L25 # 202					
C/ 176C SC 176C.4.4.5 P710 L4 # 253	Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi					
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell	Comment Type T Comment Status A					
Comment Type TR Comment Status D JTC	V Value for "Maximum insertion loss at 53.125 GHz (recommended)"					
Real links must operate with noise, ISI, and SJ. Recomending that jitter tolerance test have no broadband noise will render JTOL test useless. C2M JTOL has always included	SuggestedRemedy Expect a contribution with proposals.					
broadband noise with SJ, the test method exist to perform such as test and given the						
concern about block error the JTOL test should be comprehensive. The KR/C2C JTOL	Response Response Status C					
leagcy goes back to 25G-KR which only tested the receiver with SJ, we all know any SerDes unstress will do good job tracking SJ and any SerDes can do good job with ISI in absent of SJ!	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment 554.					
SuggestedRemedy	C/ 176C SC 176C.5.1 P711 L37 # 559					
Given that the same JTOL test is used for C2M which historiclaly had comprehensive JTO	Heck, Howard TE Connectivity					
test change No broadband noise added to Broadband noise is redcued by 0.05 UI.	Comment Type E Comment Status A (buc					
Proposed Response Response Status W	The value for COM single-ended receiver transmitter termination resistance in Table 176C- 6 is highlighted in orange. This value is consistent with those in 178 and 179.					
PROPOSED REJECT. The comment proposes a change that breaks with prior methods without providing						
sufficient justification.	SuggestedRemedy					
For CRG discussion.	Remove the orange highlighting.					
	Response Response Status C					
	ACCEPT.					

C/ 176C SC 176C.5.1

CI 176C SC 176C.5.1	P <b>711</b>	L <b>37</b>	# 203	C/ 176C SC 176	C.5.2	P <b>713</b>	L <b>36</b>	# 254	
Brown, Matt	Alphawave S	emi		Ghiasi, Ali	C	Shiasi Qunatur	n/Marvell		
Comment Type E C	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Type TF	R Comment St	atus A			ILda
46.25 has orange highlight.				Channel ILD is TE	BD				
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy					
Remove highlight.				Per https://www.ie channel ILD of 32	eee802.org/3/dj/public	/24_07/heck_3	dj_01a_2407.p	odf recommend	
Response Re	esponse Status C			Response	Response Sta	atua C			
ACCEPT.				ACCEPT IN PRIN	,				
C/ 176C SC 176C.5.2	P <b>713</b>	L <b>33</b>	# 449		t the comment and su	ggested remed	ly pertain to IL	dd.	
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Resolve using the	e response to commer	nt 554.			
Comment Type T C	Comment Status A		ILdd	C/ 176C SC 176	0.5.0	P713	L37	# 555	
The Channel performance of								# 555	
the equivalent equations an specification provides the cl	e 178. The COM	Heck, Howard		E Connectivity	/				
SuggestedRemedy		onannen.		Comment Type T					ILdo
Delete equation 176C-4 and	figure 176C-6.			Recommended m D1.3.	aximum insertion loss	s at 53.125 GH	z and its defini	ng equation is TBD	<i>i</i> in
Response Re	esponse Status <b>C</b>			SuggestedRemedy					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response	to comment #555.			equation and plot	clause to be consisten , and set the maximur 176C-5 (subject of an	n insertion los	to be consiste		
CI 176C SC 176C.5.2	P <b>713</b>	L <b>36</b>	# 204	Response	Response Sta		.).		
Brown, Matt	Alphawave S	emi		ACCEPT IN PRIN	, NCIPLE.				
Comment Type T C	Comment Status A		ILdd						
Value for maximum IL_dd a		The CRG reviewed slides 8-9 in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/heck_3dj_01b_2501.pdf .							
SuggestedRemedy				Titips.//www.ieeed	02.019/3/uj/public/23_		/1b_2301.pul .		
Expect a contribution with p	roposals.			Implement the su	ggested remedy with	editorial licens	э.		
	esponse Status <b>C</b>			See also commer	nt #554.				
Response Re	esponse status 🕻								
Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.									

C/ 176C SC 176C.5.2

C/ 176C SC 176C	.5.3 <i>P</i> 714	L <b>34</b>	# 556	C/ 176D	SC 1	76D.5.3	P <b>724</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 350
Heck, Howard	TE Connec		# <b>330</b>	Ran, Adee		100.3.3	Cisco	20	# 550
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A	, ivity	Channel ERL	Comment 7		TR	Comment Status D		R_pea
In D1.3, sub-annex	176C.5.3 lists the channel ER nimum), which was the value a			R_peał	c for ho	st output is		the "difference'	_
SuggestedRemedy Set the minimum E	RL in 176C.5.3 to a value of 9 htst D1.2. A presentation is pla			for R_p the req change	eak, as uiremer in futu	has been nts on the re drafts).	done for SNDR (now dSNE test fixture specifications an	R). This would	remove dependence of
Response	Response Status C		e suggested temedy.	Suggestedl	-				
ACCEPT IN PRINC The CRG reviewed	CIPLE. slide 12 of			will crea	ate with	the test fi	beak requirement to be relat xture used. details will be provided.	ive to what the	reference transmitter
Change the text in	02.org/3/dj/public/25_01/heck 176C.5.3 to refer back to Tabl	e 176C-5 with edito	orial license.	Proposed F PROPO			Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.		
C/ 176C SC 176C		L34	# 205	Resolv	e using	the respo	nse to comment #303.		
Brown, Matt	Alphawave	Semi		C/ 176D	SC 1	76D.5.3	P <b>724</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 206
Comment Type <b>T</b> Value for minimum	Comment Status A channel ERL is TBD.		Channel ERL	Brown, Mat Comment 7		т	Alphawave Se Comment Status D	emi	R_pea
SuggestedRemedy Expect a contribution	on with proposals.			Value f	or "Line	ar fit pulse	e peak ratio, Rpeak (min)" is	TBD.	п_реа
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C			Suggestedl Expect	,		h proposals.		
	response to comment 556.			Proposed F	•		Response Status W		
C/ 176C SC 176C	.5.3 P714	L <b>34</b>	# 450				N PRINCIPLE. nse to comment #303.		
Dudek, Mike	Marvell								
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Channel ERL						
for C2C with its mo	ent is TBD. Reflections from the stringent BER requirement ingent than the KR value of 11	than for KR therefo							
SuggestedRemedy									
Make the min ERL	value equal to 13dB.								
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status <b>C</b> CIPLE.								

Resolve using the response to comment 556.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 176D SC 176D.5.3

C/ 176D	SC 176D.5.3	P <b>724</b>	L <b>38</b>	# 219
Rysin, Alex	ander	NVIDIA		
Comment 7	vpe TR	Comment Status A		Jitter

J3u and JRMS measurements at TP1a are highly affected by the effects of slew rate and noise and do not reflect actual uncorrelated jitter. These effects are exacerbated by the characteristics of practical channels between TP0d and TP1a - loss and reflections, and are highly dependent on the transmitted signal amplitude. Accounting only for the faster edges does not work for practical channels at 106.25 Gbd rate and the currently proposed numbers cannot be met (and sometimes cannot be measured) even with commercial test equipment PPG. The issue was demonstrated in rysin\_3dj\_01a\_2407. A different methodology that will better quantify phase-only uncorrelated jitter has to be explored. Presentation is planned.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Other method of uncorrelated jitter measurement should be considered.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #306.

C/ 176D	SC 176D.5.3	P <b>724</b>	L <b>39</b>	# 261
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatu	um/Marvell	
Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status R		JTOL

We currently have no effective output compliance test method for C2M or input caliburtion of stressor. We replaced VEC with with JRMS, EOJ, and J4U wihout any demonstration that using transmit jitter is sufficient for receive compliance.

#### SuggestedRemedy

TDECQ method works given all the data presentated and with the work of OIF LPO and RTLR developing. TDECQ/EECQ already captrues the jitter as shown in ghiasi\_3dj\_01a\_2409 but also captures amplitude penalty and the effect of PM to AM conversion in thre same way as receiver will observe the penalty. EECQ for receive stress measurement and caliburation we need to do the follwing:

Add editor note encouraging data if current jitter test method can be used for receive compliance and encourage data on EECQ for receive compliance.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment is a restatement of comment #315 against D1.2.

The response to that comment was:

"REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #404."

The response to comment #404 was:

"REJECT.

The CRG reviewed slides 11-14 of

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24\_11/ran\_3dj\_01a\_2411.pdf, and the contribution https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24\_11/dawe\_3dj\_01\_2411.pdf, related to this comment and a related group of comments.

There was no support to make the proposed changes in comment 404 and related comments 400, 308, 411, 416, 405, 315, 316, and 401."

TDECQ (and EECQ, not defined in 802.3) are not specifications of AUI-C2M, but of optical transmitters. The claims made in previous comments and repeated here (comment and suggested remedy) have been refuted; there is no consensus that TDECQ of optical transmitters captures the effect of jitter (the referenced presentation was about EECQ with a high-loss host channel).

Tx jitter measurements and Rx jitter tolerance are part of well-established CR compliance methodology, which has been adopted for C2M in this project (comments #186-#189 against D1.0).

This comment includes neither new information to support changing previous decisions, nor sufficient detail to implement a change to the draft.

C/ 176D SC 176D.5.3	P <b>724</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 540		C/ 176D	SC 176	D.5.4	P <b>725</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 351
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia				Ran, Adee			Cisco		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Jitter	Comment T	ype TI	R	Comment Status D		R_pea
bandwidths, losses and J4u03 seems to be beyo EECQ, not a separate s SuggestedRemedy	the "jitter measurement" me amplitudes for host output. and the state of the art. EO, pec item.	This is particula J should be part	rly obvious for J3u of an eye spec like	03; 9	Since w used for depende	re have a r R_peak, ence of th	referen as has	ut is TBD. ce model for the C2M modu been done for SNDR (now rements on the test fixture s future drafts).	dŚNDR). This v	vould remove
xECQ. Response	Response Status <b>C</b>		<b>J</b>					odel in Table 176D-5 includ longer one and should be us		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE					SuggestedF	Remedy				
Resolve using the respoCl 176DSC 176D.5.4	nse to comment #306. P <b>725</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 207		will crea	te with th	e test f	beak requirement to be relat ixture used. details will be provided.	ve to what the	reference transmitter
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	emi			Proposed R	esponse		Response Status W		
Comment Type <b>T</b> Value for "Linear fit pulse	Comment Status <b>D</b> e peak ratio, Rpeak (min)" is	s TBD.	R	_peak				N PRINCIPLE. nse to comment #303.		
SuggestedRemedy				(	C/ 176D	SC 176	D.5.4	P <b>725</b>	L <b>38</b>	# 262
Expect a contribution wit	h proposals.				Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qunatu	ım/Marvell	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			(	Comment T	ype TI	R	Comment Status R		JTO
PROPOSED ACCEPT II Resolve using the respo	-				of stress	sor. We r	replace	fective output compliance te d VEC with with JRMS, EOJ is sufficent for receive comp	, and J4U wiho	
					SuggestedF	Remedy				
					RTLR d ghiasi_3 convers measur Add edi	eveloping 3dj_01a_2 ion in thre ement ane tor note e	j. TDE 2409 bu e same d calibu encoura	iven all the data presentated CQ/EECQ already captrues it also captures amplitude per way as receiver will observe uration we need to do the fol ging data if current jitter test uge data on EECQ for receive	the jitter as sho enalty and the e the penalty. El wing: method can be	wn in ffect of PM to AM ECQ for receive stress
					Response			Response Status C		
					REJEC					

C/ 176D SC 176D.5.4

C/ 176D SC	0 176D.5.4	P <b>725</b>	L38	# 220	C/ 176D	50	176D.6.2	P <b>730</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 265
Rysin, Alexande		NVIDIA	L30	# 220	Ghiasi, Ali	30	1100.0.2	Ghiasi Qunat		# 200
Comment Type		Comment Status A		Jitter	Comment T	Type	TR	Comment Status R		(bucket
J4u and JRI noise and d characterist the transmit practical cha	MS measur o not reflec ics of pract ted signal a annels at 10	ements at TP4 are highly affe t actual uncorrelated jitter. Th ical test fixtures - loss and ref amplitude. Accounting only for 06.25 Gbd rate. The issue wa	ese effects are ections, and a the faster edg s demonstrate	ects of slew rate and e exacerbated by the re highly dependent on les does not work for d in	Typical as KR/0 SuggestedP	gDC1 CR Remed	gain for C	2M is just few dB's, and the	re is no reason	
		different methodology that w to be explored. Presentation is		ty phase-only	Response			Response Status C		
SuggestedReme	•				REJEC This co		t is an exa	ct restatement of comment	#318 against D	1 2
••	•	related jitter measurement sh	ould be consid	ered.	The res	sponse		mment was:	no to against D	1.2.
Response		Response Status <b>C</b>			"REJEO		does not	provide sufficient justificatio	n ta sunnart the	suggested remedy
ACCEPT IN Resolve usi	-	E. onse to comment #306.			It is und calibrat	clear w e the r	hat benefi noise in inp	t the change would achieve. but tests. Even if the typical	The reference gDC1 value is li	receiver is only used to mited as stated (without
C/ 176D SC	C 176D.6.2	P <b>729</b>	L16	# 389				<ul> <li>m) the results would not cha include new information to s</li> </ul>		
Noujeim, Leesa	l	Google			There is	s no co	onsensus f	to make the suggested char	ige.	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		Partial channel model						
package ca SuggestedReme Change "Sir	pacitance a e <i>dy</i> ngle ended	ents part of the partial host cha t the package-to-board interfa package capacitance at port d interface (port 1)"	ice".	-						
	D ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #391.								
C/ 176D SC	2 176D.6.2	P <b>729</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 390						
Noujeim, Leesa	l	Google								
	e C1 in tabl	Comment Status <b>D</b> e 176D-5 is not associated wi tance at Port 2" is incorrect.	th the package	Partial channel model e, so description "Single						
	ngle ended	package capacitance at port _connector interface (port 2)"	2" to "Single er	nded board capacitance						
Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status W								

Resolve using the response to comment #391.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 176D SC 176D.6.2

C/ 176D	SC 176D.7.1	P <b>731</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 539
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R		Differential peak-to-peak

A "square wave with a period of at least 128 UI" is statistically off-the-scale unlikely for a scrambled signal, so it's not relevant. Also the scope CRU is not likely to lock to it. A probability of 1e-7 implies an expensively long time collecting data. Signals should be assessed on PRBS13Q or SSPRQ wherever feasible to avoid multiple data captures.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change to a more reasonable and statistically relevant method, using extrapolation where feasible. For module output where the loss to the observation point is very moderate, go back to PRBS13Q.

Response

Response Status C

#### REJECT.

Test patterns are specified to be useful for specific measurements. For peak to peak measurements, a square wave is quite useful, while PRBS13Q has been shown to be inadequate. See comment #82 against D1.2, its supporting presentation https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24\_11/ran\_3dj\_05a\_2411.pdf, and additional references therein.

A CRU is not necessary for measurement of peak to peak. Regardless, there is no evidence that a scope's CRU cannot lock on a periodic square wave.

A probability of 1e-7 is relevant for peak-to-peak measurements. The minimum measurement time is 10^7\*128 UI or about 12 milliseconds. Even with a sampling scope with effective undersampling, it is not prohibitively long.

The argument "statistically off-the-scale unlikely for a scrambled signal" can be made for any test pattern.

Multiple data captures are performed for C2M and other interfaces anyway, for multiple reasons, including variable Tx equalizer settings. A single peak-to-peak measurement does not add a significant burden.

C/ 176D	SC 176D.7.6	P <b>732</b>	L <b>50</b>	# 135
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		ILT (bucket)

Listing the coefficients and presets that are supported by the PMD here will lay the groundwork for reuse of the 178B over interfaces with differing support.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add the following with editorial license at the end of the first paragraph of 176D.7.6 "The coefficients and presets supported by the C2M transmiter during link training are: -- k list =  $\{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1\}$ 

- -- preset 1
- -- preset 2
- -- preset 3
- -- preset 4
- -- preset 5"

Proposed Response Response Status W

#### PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with considerations of any changes due to other comments about presets.

C/ 176D	SC 176D.7.6	P <b>732</b>	L <b>50</b>	# 140	
Slavick, Jeff	:	Broadcom			
Comment Ty	/pe TR	Comment Status A			ILT
steady s	tate measureme	nt is also needed by ILT			

# SuggestedRemedy

Add "The steady state voltage specifiction needed in 178B.11.4 is specified in 176D.7.4" to the subclause.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #138.

C/ 176D SC 176D.7.6

C/ 176D SC 176D.7.6	P <b>733</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 425	C/ 176D	SC 176D.7	.7	P <b>733</b>	L <b>45</b>	# 423		
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Dudek, Mik			Marvell				
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Tx FFE presets	Comment T	ype TR	Commen	Status A		Host output		
There is a significant advantage to not overloading the receiver on short links at the start of transmitter training. This is particularly important for chip to module where multi-rate implementations are only required to support a maximum peak to peak output amplitude of 900mV at the lower speeds.					The referenced measurement for the measurement of SNDR does not include crosstalk from the Rx into the Tx. This is OK for 100GBASE-CR1 as the Rx signal at the measurement point is relatively small due to having to get through the channel to get to the measurement point and for the most critical systems the channel loss will be large. This is not the case for the host output where with a high loss channel the module will be						
SuggestedRemedy						a large amplitu	0				
Change the OUT-OF-SYN making that change for KR		025 in table 176	5D-8. Consider	SuggestedF	Remedy						
Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the respons	Response Status <b>C</b>			Add an additional exception "- For the measurement of SNDR for the host outp inputs to the host compliance board at TP4a shall be 1000mV peak to peak PA with 5ps risetime and PRBS31Q, or PCS data. " Consider whether a similar re should be added for the module output with 500mV peak to peak amplitude and risetime.				to peak PAM4 signals r a similar requirement			
				Response		Response	Status C				
				ACCEP	T IN PRINCI	PLE.					
									tor or internal routing he module's receiver.		
					G reviewed s /ww.ieee802.		25_01/ran_3dj_	01_2501.pdf .			
				Keep "p	reset 1" (no d	change to a new	v preset).	dj_01_2501, with pattern generate	n the following: or's transition time is 6		
				Implem	ent with edito	rial license.					

C/ 176D SC 176D.7.7

C/ 176D	SC 176	D.7.11	P <b>734</b>	L <b>33</b>	# 396
Healey, Ada	m		Broadcom	Inc.	
Comment Ty	pe T	Con	nment Status A		Amplitude tolerance

The amplitude tolerance of a receiver is defined to be the maximum amplitude at which the block error ratio requirement is met when in DATA mode. The test condition is stated to be preset 1 (no equalization). However, the subclause also states that the receiver "is allowed to control the transmit equalizer coefficients of its partner using the ILT protocol (see 176D.7.6) to create suitable output signal." This means that receiver can change the transmitter configuration to something other than preset 1 resulting in a signal with lower amplitude, higher equalization, or some combination thereof prior to reaching DATA mode. This calls into question why the receiver is required to meet block error ratio requirements for preset 1 in DATA mode. It would be more justifiable to require a receiver to be able to acquire training frame lock when connected to a transmitter with maximum amplitude and in the preset 1 configuration. However, this only requires reliable detection of DMEencoded (PAM-2) data at a lower effective rate. This can be expected to be a (much) lower bar than meeting block error ratio requirements in DATA mode. Note the Clause 178 and Annex 176C do not include amplitude tolerance requirements while Clause 179 and Annex 176D do. There is no obvious reason why amplitude tolerance requirements are needed in some cases but not in others since ILT is available throughout.

#### SugaestedRemedv

Remove the amplitude tolerance requirements from Clause 179 and Annex 176D. If it is deemed necessary to state that a receiver must be able to acquire training frame lock over some range of transmitter parameters, and thereby enable transmitter configuration via ILT, then the requirement should be restated in these terms and applied to all relevant clauses and annexes (including Clause 178 and Annex 176D).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The existing text in 176D.7.11 defines amplitude tolerance specifically as the "maximum initial peak-to-peak output", where that "initial" is defined as the value with preset 1. This initial value is a characteristic of the transmitter used in the test. The DUT is allowed to control the equalization state using ILT (before going into DATA mode), and thus the error ratio requirements are not required to be met at preset 1. However, the initial value may still affect the signal after ILT (e.g. if the DUT only selects another preset, the swing will be scaled by the "initial" value).

Note that the response to comment #352 rephrased the specification such that tolerance is defined as y f of the transmitter (which is specified more precisely, including measurement with preset 1), instead of the peak-to-peak value, aligning it with the definition in 179.9.5.2. This may clarify the intent of the amplitude tolerance.

The comment highlights the lack of amplitude tolerance requirements in clause 178 and annex 176C. This has been addressed by comment #426.

Resolve using the responses to #352 and #426.

C/ 176D	SC 176D.7.11	P <b>734</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 352
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		Amplitude tolerance

Comment Status A

It is preferable to define amplitude tolerance in terms of v f of the connected transmitter at its compliance point (as done in 179.9.5.2, following comment #406 against D1.2) rather than peak-to-peak differential voltage, which depends on the pattern and the loss at the measurement point.

#### SugaestedRemedv

In the first paragraph, change "defined as the maximum initial peak-to-peak output" to "defined as the maximum steady-state voltage (see 176D.7.4)".

In the second paragraph, change "The initial peak-to-peak output is defined as the peak-topeak differential output (see 176D.7.1), with equalization set to preset 1 (see Table 176D-8), of the transmitter that is connected" to "The steady-state voltage is measured for the transmitter that is connected".

In Table 176D-3 and Table 176D-5, change the parameter name from "Amplitude tolerance" to "Amplitude tolerance (v f)" and change the value from 1 to 0.5.

Implement with editorial license.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In the first paragraph, change "defined as the maximum initial peak-to-peak output" to "defined as the maximum steady-state voltage (see 176D.7.4)".

In the second paragraph, change "The initial peak-to-peak output is defined as the peak-topeak differential output (see 176D.7.1), with equalization set to preset 1 (see Table 176D-8), of the transmitter that is connected" to "The steady-state voltage is measured for the transmitter that is connected".

In Table 176D-3 and Table 176D-5, change the value of "Amplitude tolerance" from 1 to 0.5, and add a footnote stating that the required value is defined as v f at the test transmitter's output.

Implement with editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 176D SC 176D.7.11 Page 41 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:21 PM

C/ 176D SC 176D.7.12	P <b>735</b>	L13	# 259	C/ 176D	SC 176D.7.1	2 P73	35 L13	# 353		
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Qunat	um/Marvell		Ran, Adee		Cisco	I			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		I	TOL Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status	Α	ITOL		
Receiver interference tol	erance parameters are TBD	)		In Tabl	e 176D-9, the te	est channel insertion le	oss for all module test	ts is TBD.		
SuggestedRemedy				The IL	should be the m	nin/max die-to-die IL n	ninus the IL allocation	for the module, plus the		
the following parameters:		i_3dj_01_2405.p	odf, and recommend		The IL should be the min/max die-to-die IL minus the IL allocation for the module, plus the nominal HCB IL (which is equal to the IL allocation for the module).					
Receiver package class Test1: 12.5 to 13.5 dB			des a mated test fixtur							
Test2: 31.5 to 32.5 dB Response	Response Status C						resent a direct conne xture, with a nominal I	ction to the MCB (such L of 9.75 dB).		
	tion does not seem to recor	mmend, or direct	tly mention, the loss			(for Test 2) should be own in Figure 176D-6		d C2M die-to-die channel		
values provided in the su Package class is not par	t of the test channel, althou	ah it does affect	the test calibration	Suggested	Remedy					
					In row "Test channel IL", change column values (currently TBD) as follows: Module test 1 (low loss) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10.25 Module test 2 (high loss) - Min - 31.5, Max: 32.5					
(see items a and b of 17) The loss values for test 2	6D.7.12.2). It is not TBD an 2 match the ones suggested not match the ones suggest	d by comment #3	353.	Module	e test 1 (low loss	s) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10	0.25	s tollows:		
(see items a and b of 17 The loss values for test 2 The values for test 1 do substantially larger than	2 match the ones suggested not match the ones suggest the ILdd of nominal mated t	d by comment #3 ted by comment	353. #353; they are	Module	e test 1 (low loss	s) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10 ss) - Min - 31.5, Max: 3	0.25 32.5	s tollows:		
(see items a and b of 17) The loss values for test 2 The values for test 1 do	2 match the ones suggested not match the ones suggest the ILdd of nominal mated t	d by comment #3 ted by comment	353. #353; they are	Module Module Response	e test 1 (low loss	s) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10 ss) - Min - 31.5, Max: 3 <i>Response Status</i>	0.25 32.5	s toliows:		
(see items a and b of 17 The loss values for test 2 The values for test 1 do substantially larger than	2 match the ones suggested not match the ones suggest the ILdd of nominal mated t nel.	d by comment #3 ted by comment	353. #353; they are	Module Module <i>Response</i> ACCEF Implem	e test 1 (low loss e test 2 (high los PT IN PRINCIPL nent the sugges	s) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10 ss) - Min - 31.5, Max: 3 <i>Response Status</i> LE. ted remedy, and add a	0.25 32.5 <b>C</b> a footnote for the min/	/max of test 1:		
(see items a and b of 17 The loss values for test 2 The values for test 1 do substantially larger than assumed minimum chan Resolve using the respon	2 match the ones suggested not match the ones suggest the ILdd of nominal mated t nel.	d by comment #3 ted by comment	353. #353; they are	Module Module <i>Response</i> ACCEF Implen "The m	e test 1 (low loss e test 2 (high los PT IN PRINCIPL nent the sugges	s) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10 ss) - Min - 31.5, Max: 3 <i>Response Status</i> LE. ted remedy, and add a st channel consists of	0.25 32.5 <b>C</b>	/max of test 1:		
(see items a and b of 17 The loss values for test 2 The values for test 1 do substantially larger than assumed minimum chan Resolve using the respon	2 match the ones suggested not match the ones suggest the ILdd of nominal mated t nel. nse to comment #353.	d by comment #3 ted by comment test fixtures (9.75 L13	353. #353; they are 5 dB), which is the	Module Module <i>Response</i> ACCEF Implen "The m	e test 1 (low loss e test 2 (high los PT IN PRINCIPL nent the suggest ninimum loss tes	s) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10 ss) - Min - 31.5, Max: 3 <i>Response Status</i> LE. ted remedy, and add a st channel consists of	0.25 32.5 <b>C</b> a footnote for the min/ a mated test fixture w	/max of test 1: rith no Frequency		
(see items a and b of 17 The loss values for test 2 The values for test 1 do substantially larger than assumed minimum chan Resolve using the respon C/ 176D SC 176D.7.12 Brown, Matt	2 match the ones suggested not match the ones suggest the ILdd of nominal mated t nel. nse to comment #353.	d by comment #3 ted by comment test fixtures (9.75 L13	#353. #353; they are 5 dB), which is the # 208	Module Module <i>Response</i> ACCEF Implem "The m depend <i>Cl</i> <b>176D</b>	e test 1 (low loss e test 2 (high los PT IN PRINCIPL nent the suggest inimum loss tes dent attenuator". SC <b>176D.7.1</b>	s) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10 ss) - Min - 31.5, Max: 3 <i>Response Status</i> LE. ted remedy, and add a st channel consists of	0.25 32.5 C a footnote for the min/ a mated test fixture w 35 L14	/max of test 1:		
(see items a and b of 17 The loss values for test 2 The values for test 1 do substantially larger than assumed minimum chan Resolve using the respon C/ 176D SC 176D.7.12 Brown, Matt	2 match the ones suggested not match the ones suggest the ILdd of nominal mated t nel. nse to comment #353. P735 Alphawave So Comment Status A	d by comment #3 ted by comment test fixtures (9.75 L13	#353. #353; they are 5 dB), which is the # 208	Module Module <i>Response</i> ACCEF Implem "The m depend <i>Cl</i> <b>176D</b> TOL Ran, Adee	e test 1 (low loss e test 2 (high los PT IN PRINCIPL nent the sugges inimum loss tes dent attenuator". SC <b>176D.7.1</b>	s) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10 ss) - Min - 31.5, Max: 3 <i>Response Status</i> LE. ted remedy, and add a st channel consists of <b>2</b> <i>P</i> <b>7</b>	0.25 32.5 <b>C</b> a footnote for the min/ a mated test fixture w 35 L14	/max of test 1: rith no Frequency		
(see items a and b of 17/ The loss values for test 2 The values for test 1 do substantially larger than assumed minimum chan Resolve using the respon Cl 176D SC 176D.7.12 Brown, Matt Comment Type T Values for channel ILdd SuggestedRemedy	2 match the ones suggested not match the ones suggested the ILdd of nominal mated t nel. nse to comment #353. <b>P735</b> Alphawave Se <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> are TBD.	d by comment #3 ted by comment test fixtures (9.75 L13	#353. #353; they are 5 dB), which is the # 208	Module Module <i>Response</i> ACCEF Implem "The m depend <i>Cl</i> <b>176D</b> TOL Ran, Adee <i>Comment</i>	e test 1 (low loss e test 2 (high los PT IN PRINCIPL nent the suggest inimum loss tes dent attenuator". SC 176D.7.1	s) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10 ss) - Min - 31.5, Max: 3 <i>Response Status</i> LE. ted remedy, and add a st channel consists of 2 P7: Cisco	0.25 32.5 C a footnote for the min/ a mated test fixture w 35 L14	/max of test 1: ith no Frequency # <u>354</u>		
(see items a and b of 17/ The loss values for test 2 The values for test 1 do substantially larger than assumed minimum chan Resolve using the respon Cl 176D SC 176D.7.12 Brown, Matt Comment Type T Values for channel ILdd SuggestedRemedy Expect a contribution wit Response	2 match the ones suggested not match the ones suggested the ILdd of nominal mated to nel. <b>P735</b> Alphawave Se <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> are TBD. h proposals. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	d by comment #3 ted by comment test fixtures (9.75 L13	#353. #353; they are 5 dB), which is the # 208	Module Module <i>Response</i> ACCEF Implem "The m depend <i>Cl</i> <b>176D</b> <i>TOL</i> Ran, Adee <i>Comment</i> In Tabl	e test 1 (low loss e test 2 (high los PT IN PRINCIPL nent the sugges inimum loss tes dent attenuator". SC 176D.7.1 Type TR le 176D-9, "Host est channel mod	<ul> <li>s) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10</li> <li>ss) - Min - 31.5, Max: 3</li> <li><i>Response Status</i></li> <li>LE.</li> <li>ted remedy, and add a</li> <li>st channel consists of</li> <li>2 P73</li> <li>Cisco</li> <li><i>Comment Status</i></li> <li>t channel parameters'</li> <li>el has been adopted,</li> </ul>	0.25 32.5 C a footnote for the min/ a mated test fixture w 35 L14 A " is TBD. and is summarized in	/max of test 1: ith no Frequency # <u>354</u>		
(see items a and b of 17/ The loss values for test 2 The values for test 1 do substantially larger than assumed minimum chan Resolve using the respon Cl 176D SC 176D.7.12 Brown, Matt Comment Type T Values for channel ILdd SuggestedRemedy Expect a contribution wit Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	2 match the ones suggested not match the ones suggested the ILdd of nominal mated to nel. <b>P735</b> Alphawave Se <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> are TBD. h proposals. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	d by comment #3 ted by comment test fixtures (9.75 L13	#353. #353; they are 5 dB), which is the # 208	Module Module <i>Response</i> ACCEF Implem "The m depend <i>Cl</i> <b>176D</b> <i>TOL</i> Ran, Adee <i>Comment</i> In Tabl	e test 1 (low loss e test 2 (high los PT IN PRINCIPL hent the suggest inimum loss tes dent attenuator". SC 176D.7.1 Type TR le 176D-9, "Host est channel mod cified to be used	<ul> <li>s) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10</li> <li>ss) - Min - 31.5, Max: 3</li> <li><i>Response Status</i></li> <li>LE.</li> <li>ted remedy, and add a</li> <li>st channel consists of</li> <li>2 P73</li> <li>Cisco</li> <li><i>Comment Status</i></li> <li>t channel parameters'</li> <li>el has been adopted,</li> </ul>	0.25 32.5 C a footnote for the min/ a mated test fixture w 35 L14 A " is TBD. and is summarized in	/max of test 1: ith no Frequency # <u>354</u> <i>ITOL</i> Table 176D-5. This table		
(see items a and b of 17/ The loss values for test 2 The values for test 1 do substantially larger than assumed minimum chan Resolve using the respon Cl 176D SC 176D.7.12 Brown, Matt Comment Type T Values for channel ILdd SuggestedRemedy Expect a contribution wit Response	2 match the ones suggested not match the ones suggested the ILdd of nominal mated to nel. <b>P735</b> Alphawave Se <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> are TBD. h proposals. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	d by comment #3 ted by comment test fixtures (9.75 L13	#353. #353; they are 5 dB), which is the # 208	Module Module Response ACCEH Implem "The m depend C/ 176D TOL Ran, Adee Comment In Tabl The ho is spec Suggested	e test 1 (low loss e test 2 (high los PT IN PRINCIPL nent the suggest inimum loss tes dent attenuator". SC 176D.7.1 Type TR le 176D-9, "Host est channel mod cified to be used Remedy	s) - Min: 9.25, Max: 10 ss) - Min - 31.5, Max: 3 <i>Response Status</i> LE. ted remedy, and add a st channel consists of 2 P7: Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> t channel parameters' el has been adopted, in item a of 176D.7.1	0.25 32.5 C a footnote for the min/ a mated test fixture w 35 L14 A " is TBD. and is summarized in 2.2. Therefore, the "T	/max of test 1: ith no Frequency # <u>354</u> <i>ITOL</i> Table 176D-5. This table		

C/ 176D SC 176D.7.12

# 176D SC 176D.7.12	P <b>735</b>	L14	# 209		C/ 176D	SC 176D.7	.13.2	P <b>739</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 260	
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	emi			Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qunat	tum/Marvell		
comment Type T Com	ment Status A			ITOL	Comment T	ype TR	Comme	ent Status D			JTC
Value for "Host channel parame	eters" is TBD.									jitter tolerance tes	
uggestedRemedy					have no broadband noise will render JTOL test useless. C2M JTOL has always in broadband noise with SJ, the test method exist to perform such as test and given t						
Expect a contribution with propo	osals.				concern	n about block	error the JTC	L test should be	comprehensive.	The KR/C2C JTC	TOL
esponse Respo	onse Status <b>C</b>							h only tested the racking S L and a		, we all know any lo good job with IS	SI in
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					absent						,, ,,,
Resolve using the response to o	comment #354.				SuggestedF	Remedy					
								y not including br s redcued by 0.05		change No broadl	band
					Proposed R	Response	Respons	se Status W			
					SJ is no	DSED REJEC ot an inherent itters can hav		of links. It is a moo ed in tests.	del of bounded u	incorrelated jitter t	hat
					COM, ir adjusted limits"; t tracking Note tha	n consideration d to "such that this typically g the maximu at the specifion	on of the jitter at the jitter par requires inject m jitter that a ed jitter limit is	of the test transm rameters are as c	hitter (which is re lose as practical d BUJ). Thus, th wed to generate -Dirac model wit	h DJ amplitude	e
					frequen minimu frequen COM m cause fa	ncies conside m peak-to-pe ncies that crea nodel. As the ailure to mee e exception t	ing the expect ak of 0.05 UI, ate colored no NOTE under t the COM rec	ted CDR bandwic larger than that c ise, so it has a la Table 176D-10 in quirement even wi	ttrh. The jitter is of the dual-Dirac rger stress on th dicates, it is posi ithout additive no	ng capability at mu sinusoidal and ha model, and at low e receiver than th sible that this jitter bise. The stateme s to the additive no	is a v e r will ent
					any bro 120G-1	adband noise 0, Figure 120 than in previ	e, only jitter (R E-12, and Fig	J and BUJ, whos	e values are not the current C2N	ations does not in specified). See F I requirements ar that make them	igure
					of the te untrack	est frequencie	es regardless ng more com	of the noise stres	s, which has a n	ely fail at one or m ninor effect compa l to the purpose (ji	ared

C/ 176D SC 176D.7.13.2

C/ 177	SC 177.1.4	P <b>307</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 274
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		decoding

In Figure 177-2, the receive direction is shown as if the first function is PAM4 decoding and the rest of the data path is defined as bits.

This description matches a hard-decoding operation, but the inner FEC is assumed to have a soft decoder, as stated in 177.5.4.

In a soft-decoding receiver, the "PAM4 decoding" operation is actually part of the "Inner FEC decode" block.

The PAM4 (hard) decoding is required for the inner FEC sync - since this cannot rely on the decoder output - but the rest of the data path (deinterleaving and decoding) should operate on the input symbols directly. The suggested remedy is based on this idea.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Move the "PAM4 decoding" and "inner FEC sync" operations to a separate branch. Make the output of the "Inner FEC sync" a dashed-line input into the "pad removal" (a separate block) and the deinterleaver (renamed from "1:8 bit-pair deinterleaver" to "1:8 symbol deinterleaver").

The main input to the deinterleaver block is the signal from the sublaver below.

In the "PAM4 decoding" subclause 177.5.1, add a statement that this function includes hard decision and is used only for initial synchronization. The output of this function is not used in the remainder of the data path, since the "Inner FEC decode" function in 177.5.4 performs the required decoding.

In the "PAM4 deinterleaving" subclause 177.5.3 change the title to "1:8 symbol deinterleaving" and in its text change "bit pairs" to "input symbols".

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The CRG reviewed slides 3-5 of the logic editoral slides at: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25\_01/nicholl\_3dj\_01\_2501.pdf

Implement the changes specified in nicholl\_3dj\_01\_2501 on slides 4 and 5 with editorial license.

C/ 177	SC 177.1.4	P <b>307</b>	L <b>31</b>	# 148
He, Xiang		Huawei		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		Inner FEC test patterns

Inner FEC test patterns

There should be some test patter checker on the receive path. A contribution will be provided to support this with block diagrams.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add "test pattern check" on the receive path on the PAM4 decode box, similar as in Figure 176-2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The CRG reviewed the following presentation: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25\_01/he\_3dj\_01\_2501.pdf

Add test pattern checker indication to the receiver function as shown on slide 3 of he 3di 01 2501.pdf with text added as shown on slide 6.

Implement with editorial license.

1 177 SC 177.2 P307 L47 # 486	CI 177 SC 177.2 P308 L22 # 487
Dpsasnick, Eugene Broadcom	Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom
omment Type E Comment Status A (bucketp)	Comment Type T Comment Status A (bucket
"may" indicates an optional function. In the context of the first paragraph in 177.2, "might" could be preferred.         uggestedRemedy         Change: "For the 200GBASE-R Inner FEC, the client sublayer may be the 200GBASE-R 8:1 SM-PMA or 200GBASE-R 1:1 SM-PMA."         To: "For the 200GBASE-R Inner FEC, the client sublayer might be a 200GBASE-R 8:1 SM-PMA or a 200GBASE-R 1:1 SM-PMA."         And make similar changes to each sentence in the first paragraph of 177.2.         Pesponse       Response Status	The last sentence prior to Table 177-1 states "When the value of SIGNAL_OK is IN_PROGRESS or FAIL, the corresponding rx_symbol parameters on all lanes are unspecified.". This implies the rx_symbol parameters have valid values when SINGAL_OK is OK or READY. However, the READY value is set when "all_synced==false". Shouldn't the rx_symbol parameter also be invalid/unspecified when the SIGNAL_OK is READY? The same may be true for the SINGNAL_OK description immediately prior to Table 177-2 on page 309. SuggestedRemedy Change: "When the value of SIGNAL_OK is IN_PROGRESS or FAIL, the corresponding rx_symbol parameters on all lanes are unspecified."
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "For the 200GBASE-R Inner FEC, the client sublayer may be the 200GBASE-R 8:1 SM- PMA or 200GBASE-R 1:1 SM-PMA. For the 400GBASE-R Inner FEC, the client sublayer may be the 400GBASE-R 16:2 SM-PMA or 400GBASE-R 2:2 SM-PMA. For the 800GBASE-R Inner FEC, the client sublayer may be the 800GBASE-R 32:4 SM-PMA or 800GBASE-R 4:4 SM-PMA. For the 1.6TBASE-R Inner FEC, the client sublayer may be the 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 SM-PMA or 1.6TBASE-R 8:8 SM-PMA." to: "For the 200GBASE-R Inner FEC, the client sublayer is a 200GBASE-R 8:1 SM-PMA or a 200GBASE-R 1:1 SM-PMA. For the 400GBASE-R Inner FEC, the client sublayer is a 400GBASE-R 16:2 SM-PMA or a 400GBASE-R 2:2 SM-PMA. For the 800GBASE-R Inner FEC, the client sublayer is an 800GBASE-R 32:4 SM-PMA or an 800GBASE-R 4:4 SM- PMA. For the 1.6TBASE-R Inner FEC, the client sublayer is a 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 SM-PMA or a 1.6TBASE-R 8:8 SM-PMA."	To: "When the value of SIGNAL_OK is READY, IN_PROGRESS or FAIL, the corresponding rx_symbol parameters on all lanes are unspecified."  Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement the suggested remedy. In addition, in 116.3.3.1 on page 149, line 30, change: "The rx_symbol parameters presented to the next higher sublayer are valid but do not represent traffic data." to: "The rx_symbol parameters presented to the next higher sublayer do not represent traffic data and may be invalid". Implement with editorial license. [Editor's note: CC 116]
[Editor's note: CC: 177, 184]	

C/ 177 SC 177.2

C/ 177	SC 177.3.	P <b>308</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 275
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status A		PMD service interface

The statement that the PMD service interface is in instance of the inter-sublayer service interface is misleading.

The service interface semantics in 116.3.3.1.1 state that tx\_symbol and rx\_symbol are either from a set of two values (NRZ) or from a set of four values (PAM4).

In this interface (which is the service interface below the inner FEC), the tx\_symbol parameters are PAM4 symbol streams, but contrary to what's written here, the rx\_symbol are not PAM4 symbol streams - they are converted to PAM4 symbols by the inner FEC's decoding function.

The final sentence of this paragraph states that rx\_symbol "may include an implementationdependent set of values that are beyond the scope of this standard" which is an awkward way of saying it is not PAM4 symbols. In fact, 177.5.4 states that the decoder requires "a higher resolution than two bits for each received PAM4 symbols" (sic), so "more than PAM4" is a requirement, not "may".

A similar problem exists in the definitions of the PMD service interfaces in 182.3 and 183.3, and in 185.3 (this PMD uses the inner FEC in 184 - but there is no definition of the interface below the inner FEC in clause 184).

#### SuggestedRemedy

Separate this paragraph into two, one for transmit direction and one for receive direction.

In the transmit direction, the service interface primitives (PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_i.request and PMD:IS\_SIGNAL.indication) are as defined in the generic inter-sublayer service interface (as written in D1.3).

In the receive direction, PMD:IS\_SIGNAL.indication is as defined by the generic intersublayer service interface, but PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_i.indication is modified from that service interface, in that the rx\_symbol parameters are taken from a set of more than four values, as generated by the PMD's service interface. The size of this set is implementation dependent.

Apply similar changes in the PMD service interface definitions in 182.3, 183.3, and 185.3.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The CRG reviewed the related slides in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25\_01/brown\_3dj\_03a\_2501.pdf

Implement the changes outlined on slide 8 of brown\_3dj\_03a\_2501 with editorial license.

[Editor's note: CC 182, 183, 185]

C/ 177	SC	177.4	P309	L <b>27</b>	# 121
Slavick, Jeff			Broadcom		
Comment Ty	рe	т	Comment Status A		(bucketp)
Introduct	tory s	entence	e could be useful		

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following to 177.4 "The following processes are performed independently on each FEC service interface input lane.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

In addition, add a similar introduction to 177.5 with editorial license.

C/ 177	SC 177.4	P <b>332</b> L	26	# 10
Brown, Ma	att	Alphawave Semi		
Comment	Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A		Inner FEC test patterns

In order to properly test the performance of an optical link for PMD that uses the Inner FEC a PRBS31 test pattern with Inner FEC encoding is required. The generator and checker may be defined in the Inner FEC sublayer or in the PMA sublayer above the Inner FEC.

#### SuggestedRemedy

At the input to the convolutional interleaver on the transmit path add the ability to insert a PRBS31 (not PRBS31Q) test pattern and at the output of the convolutional deinterleaver on the receive path add the ability to check a PRBS31 pattern. If the PRBS31 checker is defined in the Inner FEC sublayer then the block error counters as defined in 176.7.4.1 will also need to be added. Alternately source and terminate the PRBS31 pattern on the PMA above the Inner FEC; PRBS31 will need to be added (in addition to PRBS31Q).

#### Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a test mode to include the PRBS31 test pattern from the above PMA sublayer pattern generator and checker on the PMA above the Inner FEC. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Add the PRBS31 pattern encoded by 800GBASE-R inner FEC to tables 182-16, 182-17, 183-12, 183-13.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 177 SC 177.4 Page 46 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 177	SC 177.4.1	P309	L <b>32</b>	# 276	C/ 177	SC 1	77.4.1.2		P310	L36	# 419
Ran, Adee	9	Cisco			Nicholl, Ga	ary		С	isco System	S	
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Type	т	Comment Sta	tus A		(bucket)
Suggested		ly here, elsewhere the term "s	ymbol quartet" is	used instead.	stream the sul	n is not a bclause	states tha	although accurat	t marker locl	k function is per	as the first sentence in formed as defined in
Response ACCE		Response Status C			alignm	ent marl	ker lock fu		G/400G to b	e "off to the side	e" from the main data
C/ 177	SC 177.4.1.	1 P <b>310</b>	L <b>29</b>	# 120				data path is pas			ottom of diagram in any way).
Slavick, J	eff	Broadcom			Suggested	Remedy	/		-		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Delete	the sen	tence "Th	e data path is n	ot altered" or	n line 36.	
the In Suggestee	ner FEC. <i>IRemedy</i> vith the exception	nction refers to "service interfa on that it operates on the Inner <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>			comm Response ACCE	ent. PT IN PI	RINCIPLE		tus <b>C</b>		described in the
	PT IN PRINCIP							e suggested rem			5
Implei	nent the sugges	sted remedy with editorial licen	se.		C/ 177	SC 1	77.4.1.3		P <b>310</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 45
					Huber, The	omas		Ν	okia		
					Comment	Туре	т	Comment Sta	tus A		(bucket)
					tolerar PCSLs	nce in the s is remo	e inner FE oved as de	EC than in 800G	BASE-R PC	S, but the text satisfies the source of the	icter maximum skew ays ". Skew between SE-R deskew function
					Suggested	Remedy	/				
					PCSL	s is remo		efined in 172.2.5			ad ". Skew between Skew of 25 ns is
					Response			Response Sta	tus <b>C</b>		

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 177 SC 177.4.1.3

C/ 177	SC 177.4.1.3	P <b>310</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 46	C/ 177	SC 17	7.4.2	P <b>311</b>	L18	# 146
Huber, The	omas	Nokia			He, Xiang			Huawei		
Comment	Туре <b>т</b>	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Гуре	т	Comment Status A		(bucket)
tolerar PCSLs	nce in the inner F s is removed as	bit awkward - the intent is to EC than in 800GBASE-R PC defined in 172.2.5.1, except f Im Skew of 25 ns between P	CS, but the text sather that the 1.6TBAS	ays ". Skew between	lane". Suggested	Remedy		not accurate. Within the Inne		
Suggested	IRemedy				0		ane to	Inner FEC lane", to be consis	stent within the o	clause.
PCSL		e what 175.2.5.1 uses. Char defined in 175.2.5.1, except t S lanes"			Response ACCEI	PT.		Response Status C		
Response		Response Status <b>C</b>			C/ 177	SC 17	7.4.2	P <b>311</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 278
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	.Е.			Ran, Adee			Cisco		
Implen	nent the suggest	ed remedy with editorial lice	nse.		Comment	Гуре	т	Comment Status R		(withdrawn)
C/ 177	SC 177.4.1.5	P <b>311</b>	L15	# 277				led "Delay Line 2") is actually		
Ran, Adee		Cisco						described as being composed 0 and 1) and the third does n		aths, of which the first
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Suggested	Remedy				
	ader may be cur BASE-R PHYs.	ious why symbol multiplexing	g is not performe	d for 200GBASE-R and				s subclause and change Figueaver path n".	ure 177-4 per thi	is comment, changing
This is	because the da	ta on each PCS lane already	v includes 4-way l	RS-FEC interleaving	Implem	nent anv	addition	al edits required by this chang	ae with editorial	license.
		(as illustrated in Figure 176-	6). But that may	be difficult to	Response	,		Response Status Z		
unders Suggested	stand if not stated IRemedy	a explicitly.			REJEC	CT.				
"NOTE output	In 200GBASE	e at the end of 177.4.1.5: -R and 400GBASE-R PHYs, w the PCS is already symbo			This co	omment v	vas WIT	HDRAWN by the commenter		
Response		Response Status C								
	PT IN PRINCIPL	E. ed remedy with editorial lice	nse.							

C/ 177 SC 177.4.2

C/ 177	SC 177.4.2	P <b>311</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 34	C/ 177	SC 177.4.2	P <b>311</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 115	
Huber, Tho	omas	Nokia			Slavick, Je	ff	Broadcom			
Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment 7	ype TR	Comment Status A		(bud	cket
		bit repetetive. The four parage			The de	skewed data is f	ed into the covolutioner.			
		ne for each rate in detail, and f the same thing.	then at line 50 t	there is a more	Suggestedl	Remedy				
Suggested	Remedy	C C					a from the FEC service interfa ewed PMA lane is fed into"	ace lane is fed into"		
		aphs to be algorithmic rather		alla the second line	Response		Response Status <b>C</b>			
(Delay The va	Line 1) by 4x1x0 lues of Q are sho	ne 0) delays the data by 4x2x Q RS-FEC symbols, and the I own in table 177-X."	ast line (Delay L	ine 2) adds no delay.		PT IN PRINCIPL	E. ed remedy with editorial licens	se.		
	table with a colur ue of Q.	mn for the rate (200GBASE-F	R, 400GBASE-R	, etc.) and a column for	C/ 177	SC 177.4.2.5	P <b>311</b>	L10	# 489	1
Delete	the sentence at	lin 51 that starts with "The nu		or each " and the	Opsasnick,	Eugene	Broadcom			
	ist that follows (th	his information is replaced by	the table).		Comment T	•	Comment Status A		(buc	cket
									•	
Response		Response Status C			The plu	ral of PCSL aho	uls be PCSLs, not PCSLS.			
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	, Е.	150		•		uls be PCSLs, not PCSLS.			
ACCEI Implem	nent the suggeste	E. ed remedy with editorial licen			Suggested	Remedy	uls be PCSLs, not PCSLS. CSLs" (lowercase s).			
ACCEI Implem	SC 177.4.2	E. ed remedy with editorial licen P <b>311</b>	lse. L <b>26</b>	# 279	Suggestedl Change	Remedy	CSLs" (lowercase s).			
ACCEI Implem Cl <b>177</b> Ran, Adee	SC 177.4.2	E. ed remedy with editorial licen <b>P311</b> Cisco		# 279	Suggested	Remedy e "PCSLS" to "P				
ACCER Implem C/ <b>177</b> Ran, Adee Comment	SC 177.4.2	E. ed remedy with editorial licen P <b>311</b> Cisco Comment Status <b>A</b>	L <b>26</b>	(bucket)	Suggested Change Response ACCEF	Remedy e "PCSLS" to "P PT.	CSLs" (lowercase s). Response Status <b>C</b>			
ACCER Implem Cl 177 Ran, Adee Comment	SC 177.4.2	E. ed remedy with editorial licen <b>P311</b> Cisco	L <b>26</b>	(bucket)	Suggestedl Change Response	Remedy e "PCSLS" to "P	CSLs" (lowercase s).	L <b>50</b>	# 490	
ACCER Implem Cl 177 Ran, Adee Comment	SC 177.4.2 Type ER as are missing in	E. ed remedy with editorial licen P <b>311</b> Cisco Comment Status <b>A</b>	L <b>26</b>	(bucket)	Suggested Change Response ACCEF	Remedy PCSLS" to "P PT. SC <b>177.4.2.5</b>	CSLs" (lowercase s). Response Status <b>C</b>	L <b>50</b>	# 490	
ACCER Implem Cl 177 Ran, Adee Comment Comm Suggested In the f	SC 177.4.2 SC 177.4.2 Type ER as are missing in Remedy	E. ed remedy with editorial licen <b>P311</b> Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> a the 4 paragraphs about delayed and commas after "200GBAS	L26 ay lines, and per	<i>(bucket)</i> iods are inconsistent.	Suggested Change Response ACCEF Cl 177 Opsasnick, Comment T	Remedy PCSLS" to "P PT. SC 177.4.2.5 Eugene	CSLs" (lowercase s). <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>311</b> Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b>	L 50		ucket)
ACCEI Implem CI 177 Ran, Adee Comment 7 Comm Suggested In the f Similar	SC 177.4.2 SC 177.4.2 Type ER as are missing in Remedy first paragraph, a dy for the other 3	E. ed remedy with editorial licen <b>P311</b> Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> a the 4 paragraphs about delayed and commas after "200GBAS	L26 ay lines, and per E-R" and before	<i>(bucket)</i> iods are inconsistent.	Suggested Change Response ACCEF Cl 177 Opsasnick, Comment T	Remedy PCSLS" to "P PT. SC 177.4.2.5 Eugene Type TR ct cross-reference	CSLs" (lowercase s). <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>311</b> Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b>	L <b>50</b>		ucket)
ACCEH Implem Cl 177 Ran, Adee Comment Comm Suggested In the f Similar Add a	SC 177.4.2 SC 177.4.2 Type ER as are missing in Remedy first paragraph, a dy for the other 3	E. ed remedy with editorial licen P311 Cisco Comment Status A the 4 paragraphs about dela add commas after "200GBAS paragraphs.	L26 ay lines, and per E-R" and before	<i>(bucket)</i> iods are inconsistent.	Suggestedi Change Response ACCEF Cl 177 Opsasnick, Comment 1 Incorre Suggestedi	Remedy PCSLS" to "P PT. SC 177.4.2.5 Eugene Type TR ct cross-reference Remedy	CSLs" (lowercase s). <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>311</b> Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b>	L <b>50</b>		cket
ACCEI Implem Cl 177 Ran, Adee Comment Comm Suggested In the f Similar	SC 177.4.2 SC 177.4.2 Type ER as are missing in Remedy iirst paragraph, a dy for the other 3 period at the end	E. ed remedy with editorial licen P311 Cisco Comment Status A in the 4 paragraphs about dela add commas after "200GBAS paragraphs. d of the second and third para	L26 ay lines, and per E-R" and before	<i>(bucket)</i> iods are inconsistent.	Suggestedi Change Response ACCEF Cl 177 Opsasnick, Comment 1 Incorre Suggestedi	Remedy PCSLS" to "P PT. SC 177.4.2.5 Eugene Type TR ct cross-reference Remedy	CSLs" (lowercase s). <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> <b>311</b> Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> A ce.	L <b>50</b>		ucket)

C/ 177 SC 177.4.2.5

C/ 177 SC 177.4.4	P <b>312</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 280	C/ 177	SC 177.4.5	P <b>313</b>	L <b>51</b>	# 283
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Ty	/pe TR	Comment Status A		(bucket
bit 9 is transmitted last	77.4.4 is "Within each RS-FE ". The transmission order is n : (circular shift would be the s	elevant for the 1	20-bit block creation,	the Galo This rea	ois Field GF(2 ds as if the s	44,i, s5,i, s6,i) is the binary vec ^7) with primitive polynomial <i>x</i> <sup>2</sup> bits are the binary representation	<sup>1</sup> 7 + x <sup>∧</sup> 3 + 1" on of the 128 el	ements of the field - but
SuggestedRemedy						ese are actually the binary coe creates a_i. I suspect these ar		near combination of
Move the quoted sente	ence to 177.4.3.			SuggestedR	<b>u</b> =		e not the sume.	
Response	Response Status C			00		ence after the subsequent one	(which states th	nat the elements can
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Implement the suggest	E. ed remedy with editorial licen	ise.		be expre	essed as a lin	ear combination), and change the linear combination that cre	binary vector co	
C/ 177 SC 177.4.5	P313	L <b>24</b>	# 281	Response		Response Status C		
Ran, Adee	Cisco				T IN PRINCIF ent the sugges	PLE. sted remedy with editorial licen	se.	
Comment Type ER Missing commas	Comment Status A		(bucket)	C/ 177	SC 177.4.5	P314	L1	# 284
SuggestedRemedy				Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Add a comma after "flo	ws".			Comment Ty	/pe ER	Comment Status A		(bucke
Add commas before a	nd after "m<119:0>".					in the first paragraph spans 5		
Response	Response Status C				,	d 2 instances of "where". It is but there seems to be no furth		
ACCEPT.				SuggestedR	emedy			
7 177 SC 177.4.5	P313	L <b>51</b>	# 282	Rewrite	this sentence	, preferably breaking it into mo	re readable piec	ces.
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Response		Response Status C		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		(bucket)	ACCEP	T IN PRINCIF	PLE.		
the integer i is a scalar other instances)	, not a vector, so it should no	t be in boldface l	nere (it is not bold in	Impleme	ent the sugge	sted remedy with editorial licen	se.	
SuggestedRemedy								
Remove the boldface f	ormat from i.							

ACCEPT.

C/ 177 SC 177.4.5

C/ 177	SC 177.4.7	P315	L10	# 285	C/ 177	SC 17	77 / 0	P317	L <b>4</b>	# 286
Ran, Adee	00 177.4.7	Cisco	L 10	# 200	Ran, Adee		1.4.9	Cisco	L <b>4</b>	# 200
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment		TR	Comment Status A		(bucke
"The rate The exac It would I	, e. is." ct rate depends be helpful for th	s on the input rate which has s ne reader to write the ratio of erably be placed in the "summ	the output rate a	ind the input rate. This	"These betwee	e test pat en an Inn	terns are er FEC a	e used to test adjacent layer i and external testing equipment terfaces? and what is "testing	nt"	•
	"the rate" to "th	e nominal rate". the ratio, here and in 177.1.3			PMD s	ervice in	terface (	nly in the output direction, so which is then used with exter		
	TIN PRINCIPL ent the suggeste	Response Status <b>C</b> E. ed remedy with editorial licens	se.			e to	l, these t	est patterns can be used to c	drive the PMD	service interface for
C/ 177	SC 177.4.7.1	P316	L <b>6</b>	# 421	Response			Response Status <b>C</b>		
Dudek, Mike Comment Ty		Marvell Comment Status <b>A</b>		(bucket)		PT IN PR	-	<ol> <li>ed remedy with editorial licens</li> </ol>	se.	
		table 177-4 have the MSB ti	ansmitted first a		C/ 177	SC 17	77.4.9	P <b>317</b>	L <b>5</b>	# 287
transmitt	ted first and is s	e vectors in Annex 177A. In shown as the left most bit in c AS being transmitted in the ot	liagrams. Figure		Ran, Adee Comment		TR	Cisco Comment Status A		(buck
SuggestedRe	emedy				lt is no lane.	t specifie	ed what h	happens when more than one	e generator is e	enabled on the same
Clarify Fi	igure 177-8 to	match the text and Annex			The de			e 120 which are referenced in		
	TIN PRINCIPL ent the suggeste	Response Status C E. ed remedy with editorial licens	se.		Note th		of the p	he case where two are enable atterns in clause 120 are not		
					genera	xt in 177. itors on a	.4.9 stati a lane aff	ng that all generators are per ects only that lane, and that the same lane is not specifie	the behavior w	
						PT IN PR		Response Status <b>C</b> E. ed remedy with editorial licens	se.	

C/ 177 SC 177.4.9

C/ 177	SC	177.5	P <b>317</b>	L <b>27</b>	# 123	C/ 177	SC ·	177.5.1.1	P317	L <b>41</b>	# 288
Slavick, Je	eff		Broadcom			Ran, Adee	;		Cisco		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		(bucke	) Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status		decoding
Introdu	uctory s	sentence o	could be useful								processes the detected
Suggested	Reme	dy							ocess specified for inp ng is equivalent only if		7.2" performed (i.e., in the initial
		ving to 17 <sup>°</sup> interface i	7.5 "The following processes nput lane.	are performed	independently on each	synchi operat	onizatio	on). In the erformed of	main data path it is as	sumed that the In	
Response ACCE	PT.		Response Status C				decodii be ben	0	form the reader of this	difference.	
C/ 177	SC	177.5.1	P338	L <b>27</b>	# 9				assumes that the Inne , as suggested in anot		peration is performed on
Brown, Ma	att		Alphawave Se	emi		Suggested	Remed	ly			
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		Inner FEC test pattern				at the end of 177.5.1.		
path or	utput (s	see 177.4.	and PRBS31Q generators w 9). A checker on the input of a PMD or link.			affects		coding ope			PAM4 decoding, it also e output of the PAM4
Suggested	IReme	dy				Response			Response Status		
Add PI	RBS13	Q and PR	BS31Q pattern checkers to the	he input of the I	nner FEC receive path.			PRINCIPLE			
Response			Response Status <b>C</b>			Impler	nent the	e suggeste	d remedy with editoria	l license.	
ACCE	PT IN I	PRINCIPL	E.			C/ 177	SC ·	177.5.1.1	P <b>317</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 491
DDBC	130 ie	not neces	con/			Opsasnick	, Euger	ne	Broadco	om	
T KDO	10015	not neces	Sary.			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		(bucket
Resolv	ve usin	g the resp	onse to comment #148.						ntences of the third pa of "ILT" in this clause		1.1 is hard to understand. pelled out.
						Suggested	Remed	ly			
						"If ILT the pre is disa	ecoding bled by	n is enable state on the manage	ne link partner transmi	tter is requested u	ing_enable (see 178B.15), ising the ILT function. If ILT precoding state on the link
						(see 1 reques	78B.15) sted by 1	), precodin the receive	g of the received data	is enabled at the sabled, then the p	able mr_training_enable link partner (transmitter) as precoding of data at the
						Response			Response Status		
						ACCE Impler	PT IN P	RINCIPLE			

C/ 177 SC 177.5.1.1 Page 52 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 177	SC 177.5.2	P <b>318</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 501	C/ 177	SC	177.5.2	P <b>318</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 289
Opsasnick,	, Eugene	Broadcom			Ran, Adee			Cisco		
Comment T	Type ER	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)
Extra "f	to" and missing	verb in second sentence of 1	77.5.2.					rleaving (each pair of bits co	rresponding to	a PAM4 symbol) is
Suggested	Remedy				perform	ned to	eight Inne	r FEC flows"		
	ight codewords i	nserted as pad (see 177.4.7) re the received data is proce		me to the data stream			vhat "blind se is incon	' refers to in this operation. "b sistent.	olind" is no defir	ned in 802.3 and its
to:					Perhap	os "initi	al" is more	e adequate here.		
		nserted as pad (see 177.4.7) re the received data is proces		me the data stream and	Suggested	Reme	dy			
Response		Response Status C					d" to "initia subclause	I" in the quoted sentence and	d the one with th	ne other instance of
ACCEF	PT.				Response			Response Status <b>C</b>		
C/ 177	SC 177.5.2	P <b>318</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 290			PRINCIPL			
Ran, Adee		Cisco					irst senten leinterleavi	ce to: ng (each pair of bits correspo	onding to a PAN	14 symbol) is performed
Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)				s. The initial position is not sp		
		terleaving and synchronizatio	n is performed	on bit pairs, since they	C/ 177	SC	177.5.2	P <b>318</b>	L19	# 116
	rely on the FEC	decoder. airs is likely hard decoding of	the input symb	ols into PAM4 and then	Slavick. Je			Broadcom		
into bit			the input syme		Comment		Е	Comment Status A		(bucket
		nterleaving is later performec urrently not stated.	on the input s	ymbols, which are more			_	can identify flow 0 and how	its done should	( )
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	Reme	dy			
		e alignment found by the initia			Combi	ne par	agraph 4 8	5 in 177.5.2.		
hard de	ecoding is used t	or deinterleaving of soft input	s into the Inne	r FEC decoding.	Response			Response Status C		
	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status <b>C</b> E. ed remedy with editorial licen	se.				PRINCIPL e suggeste	E. ed remedy with editorial licen	se.	

C/ 177 SC 177.5.2

C/ 177	SC 177.5.4	P <b>319</b>	L10	# 291	C/ 177	SC 177.5.4	P31	9 <i>L</i> 11	# 292
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status	Α	(bucket)
two bits	for each receiv g can be improv	er is a soft-decision decoder tl ed PAM4 symbols" /ed.	hat requires a hi	igher resolution than	Also, it decode stated.	is not stated v r does not ma The error pat	ion capability of the dec what happens when a co rk the data as error in a terns that appear in this EC decoder specification	odeword is uncorrect ny way (since it is ar case are not descri	n inner code) but it is not bed.
	ner FEC decodi	ng assumes soft-decision ope each received symbol".	eration that requ	ires a resolution of	specific	ations for corr	ection capability and ur	ncorrectable error ma	
Response		Response Status C				entation.	indion for tooling, mon		
	PT IN PRINCIPL ent the suggest	E. ed remedy with editorial licen	se.				dy is based on slide 9 of org/3/df/public/22_05/22		a_220517.pdf.
Cl 177	SC 177.5.4	P <b>319</b>	L10	# 488	SuggestedF	Remedy			
Opsasnick, <i>Comment 1</i> Typo in	0	Broadcom Comment Status A 4 symbols".		(bucket)	"The de to one t decode	bit error and m d correctly wil	ost codewords with up	to three bit errors. C	decision would result in up odewords that are not
Suggestedl Change	•	eived PAM4 symbols."					e above if necessary. sus for additional text (ei	ither the one above o	or otherwise) add an
		d PAM4 symbol."					contributions in this area		or earler meey, add an
Response		Response Status C			Response		Response Status	с	
ACCEF	РТ.					T IN PRINCIF ent the sugge	PLE. sted remedy with editor	ial license.	
					C/ 177	SC 177.5.4	P <b>31</b>	9 <i>L</i> 11	# 293
					Ran, Adee		Cisco		
					<i>Comment T</i> "The de value"	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Comment Status es the incoming codew		<i>(bucket)</i> the most likely codeword
									mber of a set of 128-bit to a transmitted codeword.
					SuggestedF	Remedy			
							der evaluates the incom likely codeword value".	ning block of 64 rx_s	ymbol inputs and
					Response		Response Status	С	
						T IN PRINCIF ent the sugge	PLE. sted remedy with editor	ial license.	
COMMENT	STATUS: D/dis	ed ER/editorial required GR/g spatched A/accepted R/rejec	, i		0	Z/withdrawn		Cl 177 SC 177.5.4	Page 54 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 177 S	SC 177.5.41	.5 P	319	L <b>52</b>	# 118	C/ 17	7 8	SC 177.5	4.1.2	P <b>319</b>	L <b>29</b>	# 295
Slavick, Jeff		Broa	adcom			Ran,	Adee			Cisco		
Comment Typ	e T	Comment Statu	s A		(bud	cket) Comr	nent Typ	e TR		Comment Status A		decodin
We're spe	cifyng the beł	navior of bin 3, so s	starting wit	h "Note' could b	e a bit misleading					C codeword is a codeword	d that contains e	errors that were not able
SuggestedRei	medy					to	be corre	ected by t	ne dec	oders."		
		ce to read "Error bi C codeword."	n 3 incrme	ents when three	or more bits are			e "able to not in the		rrected by the decoders" i ord.	s convoluted. T	he ability is in the
Response		Response Status	s C			1+	is uncles	ar to me if	a dec	oder is even allowed to "	not correct" a cr	ndeword. Does it mean
	IN PRINCIPLE t the suggeste	<ol> <li>remedy with edi</li> </ol>	torial licen	se.		th li	hat hard o	detection eword, so	would i it just s	result in 4 errors, such that spits the hard-detected bir	at the decoder is ts (stripping the	s unsure of the most parity bits)? if that is
	SC 177.5.4.1.		319	L <b>21</b>	# 294					?) statement in 177.5.4 "T the most likely codeword		
Ran, Adee		Ciso					estedRer	nedy				
		Comment Statu FEC decoder will		the miscorrecte		С		with error	0	he quoted statement to "A the decoder chose not to		
		arate entity, it is a b came from. The co			no information about					in 177.5.4 to cover this po I bit errors.	ossibility and the	e likelihood that the
SuggestedRei	medy					Resp	onse		I	Response Status <b>C</b>		
Change to							CCEPT	IN PRINC	IPLE.			
	er FEC decode	-		codeword as a	corrected codeword.		177 5 4	12 char	ne "co	ontains errors that were no	ot able to be cor	rected by the decoder"
Response		Response Status	s C							e decoder was unable to		
Change to "The Inne		r interprets miscor	rected cod	ewords as corre	ected codewords."	"-				tement is made: ne incoming codeword and	d determines the	e most likely codeword
C/ 177 S	SC 177.5.4.1.	1 P	319	L <b>24</b>	# 117	Т	his state	ment may	be ma	ade more clear by using a	different term t	han "codeword value"
Slavick, Jeff		Broa	adcom			fo	or the out	put of the	inner	fec decoder, for example		
Comment Typ	e T	Comment Statu	s A		(bu	cket) tr	ns staten	nent with	editoria	al license.		
There is a	reference to	clause 45 here, I th	nink we wa	nt that all to be	in the tables							
uggestedRei	medy											
ln 177.5.4	e "(see 45.2.1. .1 add the foll is specified in	owing senetence "	Mapping c	f the counters to	o management							
Response		Response Status	s C									
	IN PRINCIPLE	E. ed remedy with edi	torial licen	se.								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 177 SC 177.5.4.1.2 Page 55 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

	SC 177.5.4.1.	4 P319	L <b>45</b>	# 108	C/ 177	SC 177.5.7	P <b>320</b>	L15	# 122
Mi, Guangca	an	Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd		Slavick, Jef	f	Broadcom		
Comment Ty	/pe ER	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)
BER is i	implicit.	can be used to roughly mea	sure pre-Inner FE	C BER. Pre-FEC		ate it's the origni	ata stream to its original ord al data from the SM-PMA ar		
SuggestedRe	to "pre-Inner-FE				SuggestedF	Remedv			
_ 0					00		original data received from th	he BASE-R SM-F	PMA." to be "to restore
Response	T IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status <b>C</b>			the orde	er of the data rec	eived to be compatible with	the BASE-R SM	-PMA."
		<ul> <li>ed remedy with editorial licer</li> </ul>	ISE.		Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status <b>C</b>		
C/ 177	SC 177.5.4.1.	5 <i>P</i> 319	L <b>48</b>	# 13		-	ed remedy with editorial licer	nse.	
Brown, Matt		Alphawave S	emi		C/ 177	SC 177.6.2.1	P320	L33	# 493
Comment Ty	/pe <b>T</b>	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Opsasnick,	-	Broadcom		
		used for the lane number. S			Comment T	-	Comment Status A		(bucket)
		ause some ambiguity in the similar bin counters defined				rd AND should b			(DUCKEI)
0	this purpose.		III 174A.0 anu 17	0.7.4.1 the muex k is			c lowerease.		
Suggested D	emedv				SuggestedF	-	lows AND the Inner FEC ."		
Suggesteare									
	bin counters def	fined in 177.5.4.1.5 change	he index "i" to "k"	. Also update Table		r all eight flows a	nd the Inner FEC ."		
For the b	bin counters def	fined in 177.5.4.1.5 change t Clause 45 appropriately.	he index "i" to "k"	. Also update Table		r all eight flows a			
For the b 177-7 an Response	bin counters definitions in		he index "i" to "k"	. Also update Table	to: ". for	Ũ	nd the Inner FEC ."		
For the b 177-7 an	bin counters definitions in	Clause 45 appropriately.	he index "i" to "k"	. Also update Table	to: ". for <i>Response</i>	Ũ	nd the Inner FEC ."		
For the b 177-7 an Response ACCEPT	bin counters definitions in	Clause 45 appropriately. Response Status C	he index "i" to "k"	Also update Table	to: ". for <i>Response</i>	Ũ	nd the Inner FEC ."		
For the b 177-7 an Response ACCEPT	bin counters det nd definitions in T. SC <b>177.5.4.1.</b>	Clause 45 appropriately. Response Status C			to: ". for <i>Response</i>	Ũ	nd the Inner FEC ."		
For the b 177-7 an Response	bin counters den d definitions in T. SC <b>177.5.4.1.</b> Kapil	Clause 45 appropriately. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> 5 <i>P</i> <b>319</b>			to: ". for <i>Response</i>	Ũ	nd the Inner FEC ."		
For the b 177-7 an Response ACCEPT CI 177 Shrikhande, Comment Ty The defir	bin counters definitions in T. SC <b>177.5.4.1.</b> Kapil //pe <b>T</b> nition of the inn	Clause 45 appropriately. <i>Response Status</i> C 5 <i>P</i> 319 Marvell	L <b>49</b> unters in 177.5.4.	# <u>395</u> (bucket)	to: ". for <i>Response</i>	Ũ	nd the Inner FEC ."		
For the b 177-7 an Response ACCEPT Cl <b>177</b> Shrikhande, Comment Ty The defir better ali	bin counters definitions in T. SC <b>177.5.4.1.</b> Kapil /pe <b>T</b> nition of the inn ign to the FEC	Clause 45 appropriately. Response Status C 5 P319 Marvell Comment Status A er fec codeword error bin co	L <b>49</b> unters in 177.5.4.	# <u>395</u> (bucket)	to: ". for <i>Response</i>	Ũ	nd the Inner FEC ."		
For the b 177-7 an Response ACCEPT Cl <b>177</b> Shrikhande, Comment Ty The defir better ali SuggestedRe	bin counters det nd definitions in T. SC 177.5.4.1. Kapil /pe T nition of the inn ign to the FEC Cemedy	Clause 45 appropriately. Response Status C 5 P319 Marvell Comment Status A er fec codeword error bin co	L <b>49</b> unters in 177.5.4. n 175.2.5.3.	# <u>395</u> <i>(bucket)</i> 1.5 could be edited to	to: ". for <i>Response</i>	Ũ	nd the Inner FEC ."		
For the b 177-7 an Response ACCEPT Cl <b>177</b> Shrikhande, Comment Ty The defir better ali SuggestedRe	bin counters det nd definitions in T. SC 177.5.4.1. Kapil /pe T nition of the inn ign to the FEC Cemedy	Clause 45 appropriately. <i>Response Status</i> C 5 <i>P</i> 319 Marvell <i>Comment Status</i> A er fec codeword error bin co codeword error bin counter i	L <b>49</b> unters in 177.5.4. n 175.2.5.3.	# <u>395</u> <i>(bucket)</i> 1.5 could be edited to	to: ". for <i>Response</i>	Ũ	nd the Inner FEC ."		

177 SC	177.6.2.1	P <b>320</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 494	C/ 177	SC 177.6.2.1	P <b>320</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 492
osasnick, Eug	ene	Broadcom			Opsasnick	, Eugene	Broadcom		
mment Type	E C	omment Status A		(bucketp)	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		(bucke
		een phrases when it is no	ot separating inc	dependent clauses of a	The wo	ord boolean shou	ld be capitalized.		
compound s					Suggested	Remedy			
uggestedReme	-						"Boolean" in the definition of	these variables	
	s identified, and tified and is set					FEC_sync_status	3		
esponse	Re	sponse Status C			slip_do test_cv				
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.				test_fa				
Change:					Response		Response Status C		
		et to true when sync_flo			ACCE	PT.			
to:	ow 0 is identified	d and is set to false when	n sync_flow <x></x>	is false for any x."	C/ 177	SC 177.6.2.1	P <b>320</b>	L <b>53</b>	# 88
		rue when sync_flow <x> i</x>	s true for all eig	ht flows and the Inner	Opsasnick		Broadcom	200	" 00
FEC flow 01	s identified, and	is false otherwise."			Comment	•	Comment Status A		reset variabl
Implement v	vith editorial lice	nse.					o in the definition of the "rese	t" variable, but F	
177 SC	177.6.2.1	P <b>320</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 296	define	d except through	a cross-reference to 45.2.1.1 stead be used for the cross r	1.1. The MDIO co	ontrol variable table
an, Adee		Cisco			Suggested	Remedy			
omment Type	ER C	omment Status A		(bucket)	00	,	ence text "(see 45.2.1.1.1)" 1	rom the definitio	n of reset in 177.6.2.1.
for all eight f	lows but the Inn	does not (strictly) cover er FEC flow 0 is not ider cial meaning and should	ntified.	-	Add th	e definition of "FI	EC_reset" to the list of variab a management entity and is	les in 177.6.2.1	as: "Boolean variable
IggestedReme	edv								
	to false when s	ync_flow <x> is false for</x>	any x" to "set to	false otherwise".			IDIO control variables table (.6.2.1 and 45.2.1.1 and the l		
esponse		sponse Status <b>C</b>			Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT.	Ne				ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Ε.		
ACCEL 11						al slides with topi	c "Reset variables" in the fol	lowing contribution	on was reviewed by the
					CRG: https://	www.ieee802.or	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_3c	li 03a 2501.pdf	
							, ., ., <u>.</u> <u>.</u>	<u></u>	
						178B align with t	d changes in slides 10 to 18 he resets defined for PMA a		
					Implen	nent with editoria	license.		

C/ 177 SC 177.6.2.1

C/ 177	SC 177.6.2.1	P <b>321</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 498
Opsasnick	, Eugene	Broadcom		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)
	efinition of the var e set by two sepa	iable restart_inner_fec_sync rate processes.	states it is set b	y a process, but it can
Suggested	IRemedy			
Replac	ce: "A Boolean va	riable that is set by the Inner	FEC synchroniz	zation process ."
1005	PT.			
ACCE	00 477 0 0 4	Doot	140	# 407
Cl 177	SC 177.6.2.1	P321	L13	# 497
		P <b>321</b> Broadcom	L <b>13</b>	# 497
C/ 177	, Eugene		L13	# 497 (bucket)
Cl <b>177</b> Opsasnick Comment The de	s, Eugene <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> efinition of sync_fl	Broadcom	e clear. What do	<i>(bucket)</i> bes it mean to be "in a
Cl <b>177</b> Opsasnick Comment The de	s, Eugene <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> efinition of sync_fl Inner FEC"? Also	Broadcom Comment Status A low <x> should be made mor</x>	e clear. What do	<i>(bucket)</i> bes it mean to be "in a

"A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has found the correct boundary of codewords in a flow of Inner FEC, where x = 0.7"

#### to:

"A Boolean variable that is set to true after the inner FEC codeword boundary is found for an inner FEC flow, where x=0 to 7 and represents an inner FEC flow ID before identifing the actual inner FEC flow numbering."

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 177	SC 1	177.6.2.1	P <b>321</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 495
Opsasnick	, Eugen	e	Broadcom		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		(bucketp)
The		البينية المثلمين	to the set to the state alternation to	C	المعاملة مطالعات والمعام

The varaible "valid\_cw" is used in the state diagram in Figure 177-10 and should be added to the list of variable definitions.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add definition of "valid\_cw" to list of variable definitions in 177.6.2.1 in alphabetical order.

Suggested definition (to make CAL\_SYNDROME function obsolete): "A boolean variable that is set to true when the calculated syndrome of the Inner FEC codeword beign tested is zero and is set to false otherwise."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 177	SC 177.6.2.2	P <b>321</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 496	inner	FEC codeword is	s indicated when va
Opsasnick	, Eugene	Broadcom			C/ 177	SC 177.6.2.3	<b>3</b> F
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucketp	) Opsasnic	k, Eugene	Bro
functio	ns and from the s	DROME is not necessary and tate diagram in figure 177-10 ned to make this function no	0. The variable			lefinion of "fas_cr	Comment Statu nt" is "Counts the in is the interval value
Suggested	Remedy				Suggestee	dRemedy	
	_	ME from the list of functions W_CHECK_1, CW_CHECK		_			plicitly state the nur to the subclause wi
counte	ers in 177.6.2.3	to CAL_SYNDROME in defi	nition of bad_c	w_cnt and valid_cw_cnt		EPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Statu LE. to the subclause, a
		bad_cw_cnt from: nvalid Inner FEC codewords	based on the c	output of	C/ 177	SC 177.6.3	F
CAL_S		ion. A codeword is considered			Opsasnic	k, Eugene	Bro
zero." to:					Comment	Type TR	Comment Statu
		nvalid inner FEC codewords	received within	a period of 150	and s		nt that the 8 self-syn synchronization. Sl lane.
		valid_cw_cnt from:	and on the out	in ut of	Suggeste	dRemedy	
CAL_S to:	SYNDROME funct	alid Inner FEC codewords b ion. A codeword is consider	ed valid when it	s syndrome is zero."	Chang "The l	ge: Inner FEC sublay	ver shall implement daries of the Inner I
_	is the number of v	valid inner FEC codewords w	ithin a period of	i 50 codewords.	1010		
Implen		Response Status C d remedy with editorial licen medy for the definition of the		nd valid cw. cnt to use	Figure opera	e 177-10 for each	ver shall implement n input lane in the re y on an Inner FEC t
		variable as an indication of			Response		Response Statu
"Count CAL_S zero." to:	ts the number of in SYNDROME funct	bad_cw_cnt from: nvalid Inner FEC codewords ion. A codeword is considere	ed invalid when	its syndrome is non-	ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPL	•
		ner FEC codeword is indicat					
"Count	ts the number of v	valid_cw_cnt from: alid Inner FEC codewords b ion. A codeword is considered					
CAL_S to:							

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

alid\_cw is true."

C/ 177	SC 177.6.2.3	P <b>321</b>	L <b>45</b>	# 502
Opsasnick, E	lugene	Broadcom		
Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)

interval of Inner FEC codewords between two ue? How many codewords?

umber of codewrds that need to be counted or else with this information.

tus C

and implement this change with editorial license.

C/ 177	SC 177.6	3 P321	L <b>53</b>	# 499
Opsasnick	, Eugene	Broadco	m	
Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)

sync processes operate independantly of each other Should also state that 8 such processes are

nt eight self-sync processes as shown in Figure 177-FEC codewords."

nt eight self-synchronization processes as shown in receive direction. Each synchronization process flow to identify the boundaries of the Inner FEC

tus C

ditorial license.

C/ 177 SC 177.6.3 Page 59 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 177 SC 177.6	.3 P321	L <b>54</b>	# 500	C/ 177	SC 177.6.3	P <b>322</b>	L12	# 505
Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom			Opsasnick	k, Eugene	Broadco	m	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		(bucket)
Should add a state	ment that a PAD detection proces	ss is required for	each input lane.			/_CHECK_3 state, the e	xtra space between	variable names and
SuggestedRemedy					•	should be removed.		
Change:				Suggested				
"Pad detection proc	cess follows the process shown ir	n Figure 177-10.	1	Replace	ce "cw_cnt ++" v	vith "cw_cnt++"		
to:				replac	e "bad_cw_cnt +	+" with "bad_cw_cnt++"		
	detection process as illustrated i ed for each input lane in the rece		am in Figure 177-10	Response		Response Status C		
Response	·	ive direction.		ACCE	PT.			
ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 177	SC 177.6.3	P322	L <b>21</b>	# 506
ACCEPT.				Opsasnick		Broadco		# <u>500</u>
C/ 177 SC 177.6	6.3 P322	L <b>4</b>	# 507	Comment		Comment Status A		(bucket)
Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom					ew state UNSYNC could	use a better name	(DUCKEI)
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket)	•				
In figure 176-10, a	space is needed between the log	ical-OR (+) oper	ator and variable name.	Suggested	•	NC" to be "RESTART_S		
SuggestedRemedy						_	inc .	
Replace "+restart_i	inner_fec_sync" with "+ restart_in	ner_fec_sync".		Response ACCE		Response Status C		
And make the sam	e change in Figure 177-11 on pag	ne 323, line 4,		ACCE	ΓΙ.			
Response	Response Status <b>C</b>	<b>j</b> ,		C/ 177	SC 177.6.3	P <b>322</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 119
ACCEPT.				Slavick, Je	eff	Broadco	m	
				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)
C/ 177 SC 177.6		L10	# 504	•				ecause that's false when
Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom				ss to set it to true	and in that state we set i	t talse and need to g	jo through the sync
Comment Type TR			(bucket)	Suggested	dRemedv			
0	e condition to transition out of stte	PINNER_FEC_	SYNC_INIT is incorrect.		-	one_synced" A Boole	an variable that is se	et to true when
SuggestedRemedy				• -		or all eight flows and is s	set to false when syn	c_flow <x> is true for</x>
Change the condition	on from:"all_synced" to "UCT"			any x.				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			In Fig. to be l		the all_sync criteria from	INNER_FEC_SYN	C_INIT to GET_BLOCK
				-	177-11 replace t synced	he restart_inner_fec_syr	nc criteria for entering	g FAS_LOCK_INIT with
				Response		Response Status C		
					PT IN PRINCIPI	_E. ponse to comment #504.		
	quired ER/editorial required GR/g					-	7 177 C 177 6 3	Page 60 of 136

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SC 177.6.3 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 177	SC 177.6.3	P <b>322</b>	L <b>23</b>	# 503	C/ 177	SC 1	77.6.3	P <b>323</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 508
Opsasnic	k, Eugene	Broadcom			Opsasnick	k, Eugene	е	Broadcom		
comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		(bucketp)	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		(bucketp
	n with the condi	ate CW_CHECK_1, the condition in parentheses on the same			should	d have dif	fferent na	are three separate states with ames.	the name, CO	UNT_NEXT. They
	dRemedy				Suggested					
Chan "if val	ge: id_cw				On line	e 24, cha	ange "CO	as-is at line 6. DUNT_NEXT" to "COUNT_2N DUNT_NEXT" to COUNT_3RE		
valid_ to:	_cw_cnt++"				Response			Response Status C		
	_cw_cnt++ (if va	lid_cw)"			ACCE	PT IN PF	RINCIPLI	Ε.		
in thre Response	•	/_CHECK1, CW_CHECK_2 a Response Status <b>C</b>	nd CW_CHECK	3 states.				ed remedy considering sugge )7, with editorial license .	sted state nam	es in both comment
	EPT IN PRINCIF	, -			C/ 177	SC 1	77.6.3	P <b>323</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 509
<b>T</b> 1	and the second second	en en dete statene en ek endel k	- to develop d		Opsasnick	k, Eugene	е	Broadcom		
The c	conditional count	er update statement should be	) indented.		Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		(bucket
Chan	0				•	re 177-1 <sup>.</sup> ent #389		s an incomplete change to FA	S_LOCK_INI	state from D1.2
if vali valid_ to:	d_cw _cw_cnt++					/ <i>Remedy</i> S_LOCK_ ock <= fa	_INIT sta	te, add:		
if vali	d_cw then id_cw_cnt++				Response ACCE			Response Status C		
					C/ 177		77.6.3	P323	L13	# 510
in thre	ee places: in CV	/_CHECK1, CW_CHECK_2 a		3 states.	Opsasnick			Broadcom	LIJ	# 510
					Comment	, <b>U</b>	ER	Comment Status A		(bucket)
					In figu	re 177-1	1, in BAD	D_FAS state, the extra space should be removed.	between varial	( )
					Suggested Replac			++" with "bad_fas_cnt++"		
					- [					
					Response			Response Status C		

C/ 177 SC 177.6.3

C/ 177 SC	\$ 177.6.3	P <b>323</b>	L <b>29</b>	# 297	C/ 177	SC 1	77.10	P <b>325</b>	L <b>29</b>	# 1
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Marris, Arth	nur		Cadence De	sign Systems	
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status A		(bucketp)	Comment T	уре	TR	Comment Status A		(bucke
In Figure 17 transition co		re two states titled "COUNT_	_NEXT", with ide	entical operations and	-			ntrol variables to a single "	reset" variablef	
I assume bo	th are requi	red (if not, the bottom one sh	ould be deleted	).	Suggested	-				
SuggestedReme	edy							"Inner FEC enable lane 0" t ence be to 177.6.2.1 (where		
Rename the	states to C	OUNT_NEXT_1 and COUNT	_NEXT_2.		Delete	rows fo	r "Inner F	EC enable lane 1" to "Inne		
Response ACCEPT IN Resolve usir		Response Status <b>C</b>  nse to comment #508.			In Table in the ro On pag	e 45-17 ow for " e 320 li	7a delete 1.2400.0'	by Table 177-6 rows "Inner FEC enable la ' change "enable" to "reset' the reset variable change t	1	
C/ 177 SC	; 177.8	P <b>324</b>	L17	# 27	"45.2.1.	.213a"				
Brown, Matt		Alphawave Se	mi		Response			Response Status C		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		Skew			RINCIPLE	<ol> <li>ed remedy with editorial lice</li> </ol>	200	
SuggestedReme Expect a cor Response ACCEPT IN	edy ntribution wi PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			Suggestedf Remove Response	e 177-6 R <i>emed</i> y e the er	/ nable bits	Comment Status A le bits are never defined in from Table 177-6 and dele Response Status C		
CI 177 SC	; 177.10	P <b>325</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 147			RINCIPLE	E. onse to comment #1.		
He, Xiang		Huawei				0				
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		(bucket)						
"Inner FEC e be removed		x" variables are not defined o draft.	or backed by an	y proposal, and should						
SuggestedReme	edy									
Remove row	vs "Inner FE	C enable lane 0" through "In	ner FEC enable	lane 7" in Table 177-6.						
Response		Response Status C								
ACCEPT IN		nse to comment #1.								

C/ 177	SC 177.10.	P <b>325</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 298	C/ 177	SC 177	10.	P <b>325</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 300
Ran, Adee	9	Cisco			Ran, Adee	9		Cisco		
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Туре Т	Comme	nt Status A		management variables
		ontrol variables for per-lane in iables are not defined.	ner FEC enabl	e. As stated in the	throug	h 7) but the	status is defined variable definitio lock which is not	n in 177.6.2.1 in	cludes "all_syr	ane (lane 0 nced" which is the AND of
	idea of disabling never been discu	the FEC and the behaviors o ssed.	f the encoder a	nd decoder in this state	Suggested Chang		ing to be a single	e bit.		
enable		a way to power down the FEC ct functions can be used. Hov n a standard.				Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
	,	enable" control variables in ta	he corresponding MDIO	The bit allocation is correct, but the status variable column description should be updated to be clear the pmal_locked_demux variable is per lane.						
	5					Update all variable descriptions, if necessary, to clarify if they are per lane, per-flow, or global. Implement with editorial license.				
C/ 177	SC 177.10.	P325	L39	# 299	Implei	nent with e	litorial license.			
Ran, Adee	-	Cisco	L39	# 299						
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		(bucket)						
The sta 177.4.7	51		ot mentioned ir	( )						
Suggested	IRemedy									
has se		s-reference to clause 176, or riables for this function (only i								
Response		Response Status C								
	PT IN PRINCIPL le the cross refer	E. ence to clause 176, and imple	ement with edite	orial license.						

C/ 177 SC 177.10.

C/ 177 SC 177.10	. P <b>328</b>	L <b>48</b>	# 301	C/ 178	SC 178.7.2	P <b>339</b>	L12	# 29
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Brown, Matt	t	Alphawave Se	emi	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucketp)	Comment T	ype <b>T</b>	Comment Status A		(bucket)
The "ability" variable subclauses.	s listed in Table 177-7 do not a	opear in the vari	able reference	Skew co SuggestedF		6TBASE-R based on 800GBA	ASE-R should b	be fine.
Also, for each ability bit per lane).	it is sufficient to have one bit for	r the whole inne	er FEC sublayer (not a	Delete t <i>Response</i>	he editor's note	e. Response Status <b>C</b>		
SuggestedRemedy				ACCEP	т.			
	he ability bits in the correspond al rather than per-lane.	ing subclauses.		C/ 178	SC 178.8.1	P339	L <b>39</b>	# 256
Response	Response Status C			Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatu	ım/Marvell	
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status R		AC Coupling
Change PRBS130	gen_ability<0:7> to a single bit	enable and cha	nge the name from	Location	n of AC couplin	g may also be on chip and sta	ting TP0 to TP	5 would not allow that
PRBS13Q_gen_abil	ity to PRBS13Q_gen_Tx_ability Clause 45 references in table	to match the va	ariable name in		-	that AC coupling shown betwe e on chip.	en TP3 and TF	25 but actual
	gen_ability<0:7> to a single bit			Response		Response Status C		
120.5.11.2.2. Fill the same variable name Make similar change	ty to PRBS31Q_gen_Tx_ability Clause 45 references in table d in table 120-4. s to the variables SSPRQ_gen ability<0:7> with appropriate ref	177-7 with the s _ability<0:7> an	ame references for the d	enginee scope o The pro	of on-chip AC red link. There f the standard. posed change	coupling is addressed in 178. can be additional requiremen would make operation without is a new idea that has not dis	ts from devices on-board AC c	that are beyond the
Implement with edito	orial license.							
C/ 178 SC 178.7.1	P338	L <b>42</b>	# 28					
Brown, Matt	Alphawave S	emi						
Comment Type T	Comment Status A rom previous generations shou		(bucket)					
SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's ne	ote.							
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 178 SC 178.8.1 Page 64 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 178	SC 178.8.9	P <b>340</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 126		C/ 178	SC	178.9.3.3.	P <b>347</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 426	
Slavick, Jef	f	Broadcom				Dudek, Mi	ke		Marvell			
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		ILT (b	ucket)	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		Τx FFE μ	oresets
ground Suggested	work for reuse o Re <i>medy</i>	and presets that are supporte of the 178B over interfaces with editorial license after the first p	h differing supp	ort.		peak a compli	amplituo iant trar	de of 0.8V k	d in the interference tole but it is possible that the l overload the Rx making pool.	allowed 1.0V peak	to peak signal fror	na
		resets supported by the PMD				Suggested	Remea	dy				
k_list prese prese prese prese	et 2 et 3 et 4	1}				0.025 "Recei require amplit	(see se iver Ove ements ude of 7	eparate com erload". Th of 178.9.3. 1.0V and th	of C(0) in the OUT-OF_S ment on Chip to Module hat states "The reciver sh 3 when the test transmit le limitation on the output changes in Clause 179	<ul> <li>e) or add an additionall also meet the international terms and initial perturbation of the second sec</li></ul>	onal subsection cal nterference toleran eak to peak output	led
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W				Response			Response Status C			
Implem		IN PRINCIPLE. ed remedy with considerations	s of any change	es due to other		The C	RG revi	PRINCIPLE iewed slide eee802.org		dj_01_2501.pdf .		
C/ 178	SC 178.8.9	P <b>340</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 137					listed under "Option 2" ir		dj_01_2501, with	
Slavick, Jef	f	Broadcom						of the diffe	erent "initialize" setting fo	r KR and for C2C.		
Comment T	ype <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A			ILT	Implei	nent wi	un eultonai	license.			
steady	state measurem	nent is also needed by ILT				[Editor	's note:	: CC 179, 1	76C]			
Suggested	Remedy					C/ 178	SC	178.9.3.3.2	2 P <b>346</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 557	
Add "Th the sub		voltage specifiction needed in	178B.11.4 is s	pecified in 178.9.2.	4" to	Heck, Hov	vard		TE Conne	ctivity		
Response		Response Status <b>C</b>				Comment		т	Comment Status A			ITT Np
ACCEF		.E.						b = 400 for sed in prior	ITT noise calibration. Th standards.	is is inconsistent w	ith the value in 179	and
Resolve	e using the resp	onse to comment #138.				Suggested	Remed	dy				
									58, consistent with the uggested remedy.	value in 179.9.4.5.	1. A presentation is	5
						Response			Response Status C			
						ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE				
						The C	RG revi	iewed https	://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/	public/25_01/dude	k_3dj_01_2501.pd	f.
									NDR calculation in interfortion on slide 6 of dudek_3dj		est for CR, KR, C20	С,
						Impler	nent wi	th editorial	license.			

C/ 178 SC 178.9.3.3.2 Page 65 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatur	»/Mon all	
			n/iviarveir	
T Test Method Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		AC Coupling
Location	n of AC coupling	may also be on chip and stat	ing TP0 to TP5 w	ould not allow that
SuggestedF	Remedy			
to C2C on change	TP0 to TP5 to TF	P0d to TP5d		
Response		Response Status C		
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE			
	e using the respon	nse to comment #255.		
C/ 178A	SC 178A	P <b>757</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 360
Shakiba, Ho	ossein	Huawei Techno	ologies Canada	
558 Comment T	ype T	Comment Status R		Quantization noise
Add qua	antization noise.			
(bucket) SuggestedF	Remedy			
				o slides 2-4 of the
Response		Response Status Z		
REJEC	Т.			
This co	mment was WITH	IDRAWN by the commenter.		
255				
AC Coupling t allow that				
	to C2C on Change with editorial C/ 178A Shakiba, Ho 558 (bucket) SuggestedF in Add qua c(bucket) SuggestedF in Add a n supporti Response REJEC This con	SuggestedRemedy         to C2C on         SuggestedRemedy         change TP0 to TP5 to TF         Response         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE         Resolve using the response         CI 178A       SC 178A         Shakiba, Hossein         Comment Type       T         Add quantization noise.         (bucket)       SuggestedRemedy         in       Add a new sub-section "         supporting document for         Response         REJECT.         This comment was WITH	SuggestedRemedy change TP0 to TP5 to TP0d to TP5d         With editorial         with editorial         558         (bucket)         (bucket)         SuggestedRemedy change TP0 to TP5 to TP0d to TP5d         Response       Response Status         CI       T8A         P757         Shakiba, Hossein         Huawei Techno         Comment Type       T         Comment Type       T         Add quantization noise.         (bucket)       SuggestedRemedy         Add a new sub-section "178A.1.7.6 Quantization Noise supporting document for the proposed sub-section co         Response       Response Status         REJECT.         This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.         2255         AC Coupling	SuggestedRemedy         to C2C on         SuggestedRemedy         with editorial         Cl 178A       SC 178A         P757       L26         Shakiba, Hossein       Huawei Technologies Canada         Comment Type       T         Add quantization noise.       Comment Status         (bucket)       SuggestedRemedy         in       Add a new sub-section "178A.1.7.6 Quantization Noise". Please refer to supporting document for the proposed sub-section content and text.         Response       Response         REJECT.       This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.         255       AC Coupling

C/ 178A SC 178A

C/ 178A SO	C 178A.1.3	P <b>748</b>	L15	# 47	C/ 178A	SC	178A.1.4.3	3 P751	L <b>21</b>	# 387
Mellitz, Richard	l	Samtec			Noujeim, I	Leesa		Google		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		COM frequency range	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		Partial channel mode
		the scattering parameters b ncy no greater than 10 MHz			is inco	orrect; C	C0 represe	e 178A-5, "Single ended pac nts part of the partial host ch capacitance at the package	annel, while C	Cp (in Table 178A-4) is
SuggestedRem	edy				0			capacitance at the package		
	g wording in	179B.2.1 and 179B.3.1.			Suggested				4 lite llCinede	and all be and a superior
Insert line: If, after spe	cified filtering	, significant power exists at	ove the stop f	requency or the stop				backage capacitance at port l interface (port 1)"	in to Single	ended board capacitance
frequency is	s near a loca	l resonance or anti-resonan			Proposed		•	Response Status W		
be account		ng delta COM up to 0.8 dB				•		IN PRINCIPLE.		
Proposed Resp		Response Status W						backage capacitance at port		
	D REJECT.				at the 178A-		ge-to-board	I interface". This agrees with	the description	on of Cp given in Table
Furthermor "differences measure th	e, the sugges s in COM and e channel wi	utes "significant" power, or v sted remedy provides no gu I ERL" resulting from the lac th an extended frequency ra ble by a user of the standard	dance on how k of higher-fre nge?). Theref	/ to account for equency information (re- ore, it is not clear that the		r's note SC	ith editorial :: CC: 179, 178A.1.4.:	176D.]	L <b>3</b> 1	# 388
about the re	equirements	for s-parameter measureme	nts.	-	• •		TD	Comment Status D		Dottial abannal mada
[Editor's no mellitz_3dj		ested remedy refers to a co	ntribution. It m	ay be	Comment		TR C1 in table	e 178A-5 is not associated w	ith the neekee	Partial channel model
	·							ance at Port 2" is incorrect.	пп пе раскау	je, so description Single
	C 178A.1.3	P <b>748</b>	L15	# 535	Suggested	dReme	dy			
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Chang	ge "Sing	gle ended p	backage capacitance at port	2" to "Single e	ended capacitance at
Comment Type		Comment Status D		COM frequency range	board	model	-to-test_co	nnector interface (port 2)"		
	ry ambiguity, ods of implem	and 802.3 is not a test spected at the spectrum and the spectrum at the spectr	c. We define	terms by procedures, not	Proposed PROP			Response Status W		
SuggestedRem	edy				-			onse to comment #387.		
		quency no greater than 10 I quency of 10 MHz to a stop								
Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status W								
This senter		nmendation for the maximuler measurements that will u		,						

frequency for s-parameter measurements that will used for the calculation of Channel Operating Margin. It is neither ambiguous nor a specification for a method of test implementation. It indicates that it is acceptable for frequencies below the recommended minimum and/or above the recommended maximum to be included in the calculation. Also see comment #47.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 178A SC 178A.1.4.3 Page 67 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 178A SC 178A.1.0	6.4 P <b>75</b> 4	L <b>9</b>	# 537	C/ 178A	SC 178A.1.7	P <b>755</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 362
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Shakiba, Ho	ssein	Huawei Tec	hnologies Canada	
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		COM CTLE	Comment Ty	rpe <b>T</b>	Comment Status R		Quantization noise
	pole in the CTLE, always fb,			Followin	g first comment,	Table 178A-9 should inclu	ude quantization no	pise parameters.
	end filter. We need to make a r, coax connector and other lin			SuggestedR	emedy			
	$f_p2$ . At least for a BT filter, 5					se parameters to the table the proposed change.	e. Please refer to s	ide 6 of the
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status Z		
Combine f_p2 and the	e receiver front-end filter, take	f_p2 out of the	COM tables.	REJECT				
Response	Response Status C			This cor	nment was WIT	IDRAWN by the commen	ter.	
REJECT. The CRG reviewed sli	de 25 of			C/ 178A	SC 178A.1.7	P <b>755</b>	L15	# 365
	.org/3/dj/public/25_01/ran_3dj			Shakiba, Ho	ssein	Huawei Tec	hnologies Canada	
	noise filter would require furth ensus to make a change.	ier study.		Comment Ty	rpe T	Comment Status R		Quantization noise
	5	1.00	" [22]	Followin	g first comment,	"sampler" should be repla	ced with "quantize	r".
C/ 178A SC 178A.1.7		L <b>32</b>	# 364	SuggestedR	emedy			
Shakiba, Hossein		nologies Canad		Change	"sampler" to "qu	antizer".		
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		Quantization noise	Response		Response Status Z		
Following first comme	nt, "sampler" should be replace	ced with "quantiz	zer".	REJECT				
SuggestedRemedy								
Change "sampler" to '	'quantizer".			This cor	nment was WIT	HDRAWN by the commen	ter.	
Response	Response Status Z			C/ 178A	SC 178A.1.7	P <b>755</b>	L19	# 363
REJECT.				Shakiba, Ho	ssein	Huawei Tec	hnologies Canada	
This comment was W	ITHDRAWN by the commenter	ər.		Comment Ty	rpe T	Comment Status R	-	Quantization noise
			" [224	Followin	g first comment,	Equation (178A-14) shou	d include quantiza	tion noise PSD.
C/ 178A SC 178A.1.7		L <b>50</b>	# 361	SuggestedR	emedy			
Shakiba, Hossein		nologies Canad		Add qua	ntization noise F	SD to the equation and its	s description to the	descriptions. Please
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		Quantization noise	refer to s	lide 7 of the sup	porting document for the	proposed change.	
the sampler.	nt, Figure 178A-7 should show	w addition of the	quantization noise after	Response		Response Status Z		
SuggestedRemedy				REJECT				
Add quantization nois	e to the figure. Please refer to	slide 5 of the s	upporting document for	This con	nment was WIT	IDRAWN by the commen	ter.	
the proposed change.								
Response REJECT.	Response Status Z							
This comment was W	ITHDRAWN by the commenter	er.						

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 178A	SC 178A.1.7.	3 P <b>756</b>	L12	# 511	C/ 178A	SC	178A.1.8.1	P <b>758</b>	L <b>33</b>	# 534
Li, Mike		Intel			Dawe, Piers	6		Nvidia		
Comment 7	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		COM Tx noise	Comment 7	ype	Е	Comment Status A		(bucke
	ng sigma_x^2 in ent which is wron	EQ (178A-18) is incorrect. It g.	will make the TX	noise modualtion	Althoug			edback taps, Nf is the nu omething else. 10GBAS		
_		in EQ (178A-18)			the con	radict	ion doesn't	uding floating taps N_f (b apply) and ap banks N_bg.	out it doesn't have	e receiver FFE taps so
Response		Response Status <b>C</b>			Suggested		•	up buille ri_bg.		
	PT IN PRINCIPL	E. ed remedy with editorial licen	se				•	) taps per floating tap gro	oup from Nf to N	fa
Note th	hat this change b	rings the COM model for tran		o closer alignment with	Response	- turn				_'9
the me	asurement of SN	IDR defined in 179.9.4.5.1.						Response Status C		
C/ 178A	SC 178A.1.8.	1 P <b>757</b>	L18	# 367	For con	sisten		notation used in Annex §		
Shakiba, H	lossein	Huawei Techr	nologies Canada					<pre>{wg} and change "Numb nge from "b" to "w" in the</pre>		
Comment 1	Туре Т	Comment Status R		Quantization noise				rward filter defined in An		
		t, quantization noise should b ard filter in Figure 178A-9.	e added before	sampler output is	Implem	ent wi	th editorial I		defined in Annex	93Å.
Suggested	Remedy				[Editor's	note:	: CC: 178, 1	79, 176C, 176D.]		
	antization noise posed change.	to the figure. Please refer to	slide 8 of the sup	oporting document for	C/ <b>178A</b> Dawe, Piers		178A.1.8.1	P <b>758</b> Nvidia	L <b>35</b>	# 536
Response		Response Status Z			Comment T		т	Comment Status A		
REJEC	CT.							allowed tap index" means	The reader do	esn't know if tan 0 is the
This co	omment was WIT	HDRAWN by the commente	r.		cursor, 178A-1	or he :	should cour	nt from 1, or from 0, or so	omething else. A	
C/ 178A	SC 178A.1.8.	1 P757	L <b>43</b>	# 366	"index"					
Shakiba, H	lossein	Huawei Techr	ologies Canada		Suggested					
Comment 1	Туре <b>т</b>	Comment Status R	0	Quantization noise	Please	align a	and explain	the terminology		
		t, "sampler" should be replac	ed with "quantize	er".	Response			Response Status C		
Suggested	Remedv						PRINCIPLE			
00	e "sampler" to "q	uantizer".						subclause from 178A.1.3 g/3/dj/public/25_01/ran_3		was reviewed
Response		Response Status Z			Implem	ent the	e changes d	on slides 24 of ran_3dj_0	1_2501.	
REJEC	т							s to the COM parameter , to explain the number of		
		HDRAWN by the commente	r.		allowed	tap in	dex for floa th editorial l	ting taps corresponding	to the specified v	s and the maximum alues for Nfix and Nmax.
		-								

C/ 178A SC 178A.1.8.1

	· · ·										
C/ 178A SC 178A.1.9	P <b>761</b>	L	# 372	C/ 1	'8A	SC 178A.1.9	F	761	L14	# 371	
Shakiba, Hossein	Huawei Tech	nologies Canad	la	Shal	iba, H	lossein	Hua	awei Tec	hnologies Canada		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Com	nent T	Туре <b>т</b>	Comment Statu	is A			
Xtalk noise has not be also be amplified by th	en mentioned in this section. e receiver FFE.	This is importa	nt because this noise				oes through receive 93A.1.7.2 and nee			This is not captured	l in
SuggestedRemedy				Sugg	ested	Remedy					
Add sufficient text and its amplification by Hrx	possibly equation to the sect	tion to include x	talk noise and highligh			ufficient text and cation by Hrxffe	possibly equation t	o the sec	tion to highlight du	ual-Dirac jitter noise	;
Response	Response Status C			Resp	onse		Response Statu	s C			
impact of the feed-forv However, it is recogniz 93A.1.7.3 may be diffio	oonse to comment #371.	ided.	,	t r 	nat the oise a oise c lowev 3A.1.	e terms defined and interference due to dual-Dirac ver, it is recogniz 7.3 may be diffic	mplitude noise (see in 178A.1.9 are to l amplitude. Therefor c jitter is included. red that the relation cult to follow. ttps://www.ieee802	be used f re, the in ships bet	or the calculation of the feed-feed of the feed feed feed feed feed feed feed fe	of the distribution of orward filter on the 78A.1.10.2, and	f
					eview		llps.//www.ieeeouz	.org/3/aj/	public/25_01/Tan	3uj_01_2301.pui> \	vas
Shakiba, Hossein	Comment Status R	nologies Canad	Quantization n		nplerr	nent the change	s on slides 22 and 2	23 of ran	_3dj_01_2501 with	editorial license.	
Comment Type T Following first comment	nt, Equation (178A-34) should	d include quanti		C/ 1	'8A	SC 178A.1.1	<b>0.2</b> F	<sup>2</sup> 761	L <b>5</b> 1	# 369	
SuggestedRemedy				Shal	iba, H	lossein	Hua	awei Tec	hnologies Canada		
Add quantization noise document for the prop	PSD to the equation. Please osed change.	e refer to slide 9	of the supporting		nent 1 ollowi	51	Comment Statu nt, more text should		d to describe the r	Quantization	
Response	Response Status Z			t	ne pro	bability density	function of the quar the noise and inter	ntization			
REJECT.								erence.			
This comment was WI	THDRAWN by the commented	er.		, A	dd th	<i>Remedy</i> e suggested tex paragraph.	t in slides 10-11 of	the supp	orting document b	efore the last sente	nce
				Resp	onse		Response Statu	s <b>Z</b>			

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 178A SC 178A.1.10.2

C/ 178A SC 178A.1.1	0.2 P762	L11	# 383	C/ 178B SC 178	B P765	L <b>22</b>	# 544
Healey, Adam	Broadcom Inc			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type TF	Comment Status D		Introduction
recommendation for th recommendation in Ar	ates that the content of NOTE ne amplitude step. This placeho nex 93A and no proposals for note no longer seems to have	older is consiste a different reco	ent with a similar	Explain the intera SuggestedRemedy Per comment	ction between this annex and Clar	use 73 AN	
SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's no Response	ote. Response Status <b>C</b>				Response Status W EPT IN PRINCIPLE. response to comment #131		
ACCEPT.	, -			C/ 178B SC 178	3.5 P766	L33	# 355
C/ 178A SC 178A.1.1	1 P762	L39	# 370	Ran, Adee	Cisco		
Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type <b>T</b>	-	ologies Canada	a Quantization noise	and PMDs.	Comment Status A graphs of 178B.5 are not about th ong to 178B.4, based on its title.	e protocol, but a	<i>(bucket)</i> bout AUI components
	ward filter in Figure 178A-10.			SuggestedRemedy	-		
SuggestedRemedy				Move these parag	raphs to 178B.4.		
Add quantization noise the proposed change.	e to the figure. Please refer to	slide 12 of the s	supporting document for	Response	Response Status <b>C</b>		
Response REJECT.	Response Status Z				h of 178B.5 is related to the secti paragraph of 178B.5 to the begin		atay in 178B.5.
This comment was WI	THDRAWN by the commenter						
C/ 178B SC 178B	P <b>765</b>	L19	# 542	C/ 178B SC 178		<i>L</i> 1	# 381
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Healey, Adam	Broadcom In	с.	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		Introduction	Comment Type T			(bucket)
	ntroductory diagram, and the t	erminology nee			ning" bit is in the control field. Als finition of the "Continue training"		ence to 178B.8.8 does
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Per comment					ontinue training bit in the control f	ield of the trainin	g frames (see
Response	Response Status C			178B.7.2) if trainir	5		
REJECT.				Response	Response Status C		

C/ 178B SC 178B.5

/ 178B SC 178B.7	P <b>774</b>	L11	# 515	C/ 178B	SC 178B.11.2	P779	L <b>38</b>	# 125
awe, Piers	Nvidia			Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom		
omment Type TR	Comment Status A		Pre	sets Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		Preset
	preset 1, the loudest, is used				o code should ha	ve check for unsupported re	equests.	
	<ul> <li>While it makes sense to m mum crosstalk, which exceed may be connected.</li> </ul>			Suggested		else if CHECK_REQ(ic_rec	)"	
ggestedRemedy Change 1 0 1 from Re	served to Preset 6			add "e	lse ic_sts = upda	ted coeff_sts = not supporte	ed" before the end	d if
In 178B.11.2, add line In 178B.14.3.1, ic_sel	s for preset 6;			CHEC	e following after th K_REQ(ic_req) ares the ic_reg ac	he end if gainst the list of specified pr	esets for the AUI	component or PMD.
esponse	Response Status C					ested preset is specified and		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP				Impler	nent with editorial	license		
Resolve using the resp	ponse to comment #125.			Response		Response Status C		
				ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLI	Ξ.		
					RG reviewed slide www.ieee802.org	/3/dj/public/25_01/ran_3dj	01_2501.pdf, an	d
				https:// Impler Add pi Use pi Use pi	/www.ieee802.org nent the proposal reset 6 with value reset 1 values for	y/3/dj/public/25_01/simms_3 on slides 17-20 of ran_3dj s as in slide 8 of simms_3d initialize for the PMDs. initialize for the AUIs.	_01_2501.	
				https:// Impler Add pi Use pi Use pi Impler	/www.ieee802.org nent the proposal eset 6 with value reset 1 values for reset 6 values for nent with editorial	on slides 17-20 of ran_3dj_ s as in slide 8 of simms_3d initialize for the PMDs. initialize for the AUIs. license.	_01_2501. j_01a_2501 for a	II PMDs and AUIs.
				https:// Impler Add pi Use pi Use pi Impler C/ <b>178B</b>	www.ieee802.org nent the proposal eset 6 with value reset 1 values for eset 6 values for nent with editorial SC <b>178B.11.2</b>	on slides 17-20 of ran_3dj_ s as in slide 8 of simms_3d initialize for the PMDs. initialize for the AUIs. license.	_01_2501.	
				https:// Impler Add pi Use pi Use pi Impler	/www.ieee802.org nent the proposal eset 6 with value reset 1 values for reset 6 values for nent with editorial SC <b>178B.11.2</b> rs	on slides 17-20 of ran_3dj_ s as in slide 8 of simms_3d initialize for the PMDs. initialize for the AUIs. l license.	_01_2501. j_01a_2501 for a	II PMDs and AUIs. # <u>512</u>
				https:// Impler Add pr Use pr Use pr <i>Cl</i> <b>178B</b> Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> At pres	/www.ieee802.org nent the proposal eset 6 with values reset 1 values for reset 6 values for nent with editorial SC <b>178B.11.2</b> rs <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> sent, preset 1 is th	on slides 17-20 of ran_3dj s as in slide 8 of simms_3d initialize for the PMDs. initialize for the AUIs. license. P780 Nvidia Comment Status D he loudest. But it is bad pra ge can exceed the 900 mV	_01_2501. j_01a_2501 for a <i>L</i> <b>5</b> actice to start a la	II PMDs and AUIs. # <u>512</u> <i>Tx FFE prese</i> ne at maximum
				https:// Impler Add pr Use pr Use pr <i>Cl</i> <b>178B</b> Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> At pres	/www.ieee802.org nent the proposal eset 6 with values reset 1 values for reset 6 values for nent with editorial <i>SC</i> 178B.11.2 rs <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> sent, preset 1 is t alk, and the voltag may be connecte	on slides 17-20 of ran_3dj s as in slide 8 of simms_3d initialize for the PMDs. initialize for the AUIs. license. P780 Nvidia Comment Status D he loudest. But it is bad pra ge can exceed the 900 mV	_01_2501. j_01a_2501 for a <i>L</i> <b>5</b> actice to start a la	II PMDs and AUIs. # <u>512</u> <i>Tx FFE prese</i> ne at maximum
				https:// Impler Add pi Use pi Use pi Impler <i>Cl</i> <b>178B</b> Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> At pre- crosst which <i>Suggested</i> Assum	/www.ieee802.org nent the proposal eset 6 with value reset 1 values for reset 6 values for nent with editorial <i>SC</i> 178B.11.2 rs <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> sent, preset 1 is the alk, and the voltage may be connected <i>Remedy</i> ning we like the as	on slides 17-20 of ran_3dj s as in slide 8 of simms_3d initialize for the PMDs. initialize for the AUIs. license. P780 Nvidia Comment Status D he loudest. But it is bad pra ge can exceed the 900 mV	_01_2501. j_01a_2501 for a <i>L</i> 5 actice to start a la limit for 50G/lane	II PMDs and AUIs. # <u>512</u> <i>Tx FFE prese</i> and at maximum and 100G/lane AUIs
				https:// Impler Add pi Use pi Use pi Impler <i>Cl</i> <b>178B</b> Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> At pre- crosst which <i>Suggested</i> Assum	/www.ieee802.org nent the proposal reset 6 with values reset 1 values for reset 6 values for nent with editorial <i>SC</i> 178B.11.2 rs <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> sent, preset 1 is that alk, and the voltage may be connected <i>Remedy</i> ning we like the as e the definition of	on slides 17-20 of ran_3dj_ s as in slide 8 of simms_3d initialize for the PMDs. initialize for the AUIs. license. P780 Nvidia Comment Status D he loudest. But it is bad pra ge can exceed the 900 mV d.	_01_2501. j_01a_2501 for a <i>L</i> 5 actice to start a la limit for 50G/lane	II PMDs and AUIs. # <u>512</u> <i>Tx FFE prese</i> ane at maximum and 100G/lane AUIs

C/ 178B SC 178B.11.4	P <b>781</b>	L <b>33</b>	# 133	C/ 178B SC 178B	. <b>14.2.1</b> P	2783 L10	# 356
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Ran, Adee	Cis	со	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		ILT (bucket)	Comment Type TR		_	State diagra
The list of supported coe	fficients may be different fo	r various compor	nents			apply not just the adjace	nt_isl_ready but also to
SuggestedRemedy				adjacent_remote_r Also. "the other intered		not defined for an endpo	pint (when client_is_pcs is
Replace the {-3, -2, -1, 0 or PMD"	, 1} in the definition of k_list	with "is defined	by the AUI component	true).			
Proposed Response	Response Status W					nterface" is fully defined and the other interface is	for the case of an optical
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN					in 178B.5 address this		a FINA. Neither the
Implement the suggested	d remedy with editorial licen	ISE.		SuggestedRemedy			
C 178B SC 178B.11.4	P <b>781</b>	L <b>37</b>	# 136		al variable adjacent_sig	nal_ok whose value is ta	ken from the parameter
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom				``	note explains) and is ur	ndefined when
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		ILT	client_is_pcs is tru Redefine adjacent		ent_isl_ready based on th	ne new variable.
The steady state measur	ement technique differs from	m 136 for 179.			·		
uggestedRemedy					eded to cover the optic		
Remove the "(see `136.9	9.3.1.2)"			Proposed Response	Response Statu	s W	
lesponse	Response Status C			PROPOSED ACCI	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Resolve using the respor					A adjacent to a PMD is a 2 there is a definition of	a special case of the reti the "other interface".	mer defined in 178B.5.2
					3.5.2: "NOTE - Interface r an AUI component or		is an AUI component and
				from the value of the	ne SIGNAL_ÓK parame	nal_ok defined as: "Enu eter. This variable may b bK, FAIL. If client_is_pcs	
				Move the note mer variable.	ntioned in the original co	omment to be below the	new adjacent_signal_ok
				the value of isl_rea	on of the adjacent_isl_i dy on the other interfac is OK or READY and	ready variable to: "Boole e of the device. It is set to false otherwise."	an variable that indicate to true if the value of
				indicates the value		ote_rts variable to: "Boo ther interface of the devi false otherwise."	
				Implement with edi	torial license.		
				, –	0 -		

C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2.1 Page 73 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2	2.1 P783	L13	# 124	C/ 178B SC 1
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Healey, Adam
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Interfaces	Comment Type
	t ambigous and the listed situ			The "Continue
does not cover all use of forwarding modes.	cases. As a remote PCS (af	ter a XS) could c	to either local or clock	SuggestedRemedy
8				Change the las
SuggestedRemedy	to be "uses_local_clock_only	" and undata the	a definition to be	encoded as the
	indicates if the PMA will neve			Response
	e for the first PMA below the			ACCEPT IN P
Replace both uses of c	lient_is_pcs with uses_local_	clock only in Fi	a 178B-7	Implement sug Also in the def
Response	Response Status C		g 1100 1	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL				C/ 178B SC 1
	· <b>L</b> ·			Slavick, Jeff
	llowing contribution were revi			Comment Type
	llowing contribution were revi g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_30			51
https://www.ieee802.or		dj_03a_2501.pdf	f	Comment Type Ambigous tran SuggestedRemedy
https://www.ieee802.or	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_3	dj_03a_2501.pdf vn_3dj_03a_250	f )1 with editorial license	Ambigous tran SuggestedRemedy
https://www.ieee802.or Implement the changes C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_30 s provided on slide 26 of brov 2.1 P783	dj_03a_2501.pdf	f	Ambigous tran
https://www.ieee802.or Implement the changes C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2 Dawe, Piers	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_3( s provided on slide 26 of brov 2.1 P783 Nvidia	dj_03a_2501.pdf vn_3dj_03a_250	f 01 with editorial license. # <u>543</u>	Ambigous tran SuggestedRemedy Add "!recovery
https://www.ieee802.or Implement the changes C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_36 s provided on slide 26 of brov 2.1 P783 Nvidia Comment Status D	dj_03a_2501.pdf vn_3dj_03a_250 <i>L</i> <b>22</b>	f 01 with editorial license. # <u>543</u> <i>AN/ILT time-out</i>	Ambigous tran SuggestedRemedy Add "!recovery Response ACCEPT.
https://www.ieee802.or Implement the changes Cl 178B SC 178B.14.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR This says "There is no	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_3( s provided on slide 26 of brov 2.1 P783 Nvidia	dj_03a_2501.pdf vn_3dj_03a_250 <i>L</i> <b>22</b> T protocol", whic	f 01 with editorial license. # <u>543</u> <i>AN/ILT time-out</i> th is misleading	Ambigous tran SuggestedRemedy Add "!recovery Response ACCEPT. Cl 178B SC 1
https://www.ieee802.or Implement the changes Cl 178B SC 178B.14.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR This says "There is no because it seems the C	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_36 s provided on slide 26 of brov 2.1 P783 Nvidia Comment Status D specified time limit for the IL <sup>-</sup>	dj_03a_2501.pdf vn_3dj_03a_250 <i>L</i> <b>22</b> T protocol", whic	f 01 with editorial license. # <u>543</u> <i>AN/ILT time-out</i> th is misleading	Ambigous tran SuggestedRemedy Add "!recovery Response ACCEPT. C/ 178B SC 1 Slavick, Jeff
https://www.ieee802.or Implement the changes C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR This says "There is no because it seems the C SuggestedRemedy	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_30 s provided on slide 26 of brov 2.1 P783 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D specified time limit for the IL <sup>-</sup> Clause 73 link_fail_inhibit_tim	dj_03a_2501.pdf vn_3dj_03a_250 <i>L</i> 22 T protocol", whic ier will override i	f 91 with editorial license. # <u>543</u> <i>AN/ILT time-out</i> sh is misleading t.	Ambigous tran SuggestedRemedy Add "!recovery Response ACCEPT. C/ 178B SC 1 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type
https://www.ieee802.or Implement the changes Cl 178B SC 178B.14.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR This says "There is no because it seems the C SuggestedRemedy As it seems the intentio 50GBASE-CR and 100	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_30 s provided on slide 26 of brov 2.1 P783 Nvidia Comment Status D specified time limit for the IL <sup>-</sup> Clause 73 link_fail_inhibit_tim on is that there should be no IGBASE-CR1, refer to Table	dj_03a_2501.pdf vn_3dj_03a_250 <i>L</i> 22 T protocol", whic ier will override i time limit, and th 73-7 in 73.10.2 a	f 1 with editorial license. # <u>543</u> <i>AN/ILT time-out</i> wh is misleading t. his is unlike e.g. and say that	Ambigous tran SuggestedRemedy Add "!recovery Response ACCEPT. C/ 178B SC 1 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type Fig 178B-9 has
https://www.ieee802.or Implement the changes Cl 178B SC 178B.14.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR This says "There is no because it seems the C SuggestedRemedy As it seems the intention 50GBASE-CR and 100 link_fail_inhibit_timer d	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_30 s provided on slide 26 of brov 2.1 P783 Nvidia Comment Status D specified time limit for the IL <sup>-</sup> Clause 73 link_fail_inhibit_tim on is that there should be no IGBASE-CR1, refer to Table oes not apply at 200G/lane.	dj_03a_2501.pdf vn_3dj_03a_250 <i>L</i> 22 T protocol", whic ier will override i time limit, and th 73-7 in 73.10.2 a	f 1 with editorial license. # <u>543</u> <i>AN/ILT time-out</i> wh is misleading t. his is unlike e.g. and say that	Ambigous tran SuggestedRemedy Add "!recovery Response ACCEPT. C/ 178B SC 1 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type Fig 178B-9 has SuggestedRemedy
https://www.ieee802.or Implement the changes Cl 178B SC 178B.14.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR This says "There is no because it seems the C SuggestedRemedy As it seems the intentic 50GBASE-CR and 100 link_fail_inhibit_timer d link_fail_inhibit_timer to	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_30 s provided on slide 26 of brov 2.1 P783 Nvidia Comment Status D specified time limit for the IL <sup>-</sup> Clause 73 link_fail_inhibit_tim on is that there should be no IGBASE-CR1, refer to Table oes not apply at 200G/lane. o infinite.	dj_03a_2501.pdf vn_3dj_03a_250 <i>L</i> 22 T protocol", whic ier will override i time limit, and th 73-7 in 73.10.2 a	f 1 with editorial license. # <u>543</u> <i>AN/ILT time-out</i> wh is misleading t. his is unlike e.g. and say that	Ambigous tran SuggestedRemedy Add "!recovery Response ACCEPT. C/ 178B SC 1 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type Fig 178B-9 has SuggestedRemedy
https://www.ieee802.or Implement the changes Cl 178B SC 178B.14.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR This says "There is no because it seems the C SuggestedRemedy As it seems the intentio 50GBASE-CR and 100 link_fail_inhibit_timer d link_fail_inhibit_timer to Proposed Response	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_3d s provided on slide 26 of brow 2.1 P783 Nvidia Comment Status D specified time limit for the IL <sup>2</sup> Clause 73 link_fail_inhibit_tim on is that there should be no IGBASE-CR1, refer to Table oes not apply at 200G/lane. o infinite. Response Status W	dj_03a_2501.pdf vn_3dj_03a_250 <i>L</i> 22 T protocol", whic ier will override i time limit, and th 73-7 in 73.10.2 a	f 1 with editorial license. # <u>543</u> <i>AN/ILT time-out</i> wh is misleading t. his is unlike e.g. and say that	Ambigous tran SuggestedRemedy Add "!recovery Response ACCEPT. C/ 178B SC 1 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type Fig 178B-9 has SuggestedRemedy
https://www.ieee802.or Implement the changes Cl 178B SC 178B.14.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR This says "There is no because it seems the C SuggestedRemedy As it seems the intentic 50GBASE-CR and 100 link_fail_inhibit_timer d link_fail_inhibit_timer to Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	g/3/dj/public/25_01/brown_3d s provided on slide 26 of brow 2.1 P783 Nvidia Comment Status D specified time limit for the IL <sup>2</sup> Clause 73 link_fail_inhibit_tim on is that there should be no IGBASE-CR1, refer to Table oes not apply at 200G/lane. o infinite. Response Status W	dj_03a_2501.pdf vn_3dj_03a_250 <i>L</i> 22 T protocol", whic ier will override i time limit, and th 73-7 in 73.10.2 a	f 1 with editorial license. # <u>543</u> AN/ILT time-out wh is misleading t. his is unlike e.g. and say that	Ambigous tran SuggestedRemedy Add "!recovery Response ACCEPT. Cl 178B SC 1 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type Fig 178B-9 has SuggestedRemedy tf_offset in GE

	30	178B.14.2.1	P <b>78</b>	3 L3	# ;	382
Healey, Ad	lam		Broado	com Inc.		
Comment T	Гуре	т	Comment Status	Α		(bucke
The "C	ontinue	e training" bi	t is in the control fie	eld.		
Suggested	Remed	ły				
			of the definition of training" bit in the c			
Response			Response Status	с		
Implem	nent su		nedy with editorial li mote_rts change: "o		d" to "of the contro	ol field".
C/ 178B	SC	178B.14.3.5	P <b>78</b>	<b>9</b> L4 <sup>-</sup>	l # <sup>.</sup>	141
Slavick, Je	ff		Broade	com		
Comment 1	Гуре	TR	Comment Status	Α		(bucke
Ambigo	ous tra	nsition if time	er_done and tf_lock	k both occur sim	ultaneously	
0			er_done and tf_lock	k both occur sim	ultaneously	
Suggested	Remec	ly	er_done and tf_lock		·	
Suggested	Remec	<i>ly</i> y_timer_dor	ne *" to the transition	n back to TRAIN	·	
Suggested Add "!r	Remec ecover	<i>ly</i> y_timer_dor		n back to TRAIN	·	
Suggested Add "!r Response	Remec recover PT.	<i>ly</i> y_timer_dor	ne *" to the transition Response Status	n back to TRAIN <b>C</b>	LOCAL	142
Suggested Add "!r Response ACCEF	Remec recover PT. SC	<i>ly</i> y_timer_dor	ne *" to the transition Response Status	n back to TRAIN C	LOCAL	142
Suggested Add "!r Response ACCEF Cl <b>178B</b>	Remec recover PT. SC	<i>ly</i> y_timer_dor	ne *" to the transition Response Status P <b>79</b>	n back to TRAIN C 00 L 20 com	LOCAL	142 (bucke
Suggested Add "!r Response ACCEF CI 178B Slavick, Je Comment	Remec recover PT. SC ff Type	/y y_timer_dor 178B.14.3.5 E	ne *" to the transition Response Status P <b>79</b> Broade	n back to TRAIN C 00 L 20 com	LOCAL	
Suggested Add "!r Response Cl 178B Slavick, Je Comment T Fig 178	Remed ecover PT. SC ff Type 3B-9 ha	ty y_timer_dor 178B.14.3.5 E as text box o	ne *" to the transition Response Status P <b>79</b> Broade Comment Status	n back to TRAIN C 00 L 20 com	LOCAL	
Suggested Add "!r Response ACCEF C/ 178B Slavick, Je Comment T Fig 178 Suggested	Remed recover PT. SC ff Type BB-9 ha Remed	ty y_timer_dor 178B.14.3.5 E as text box o ty	ne *" to the transition Response Status P <b>79</b> Broade Comment Status	n back to TRAIN C 00 <i>L</i> 20 com A	LOCAL	
Suggested Add "!r Response ACCEF C/ 178B Slavick, Je Comment T Fig 178 Suggested	Remed recover PT. SC ff Type BB-9 ha Remed	ty y_timer_dor 178B.14.3.5 E as text box o ty ET_NEW_M	ne *" to the transition Response Status P <b>79</b> Broade Comment Status verlapping lines	n back to TRAIN C 00 <i>L</i> 20 com A	LOCAL	
Suggested Add "!r Response ACCEF Cl 178B Slavick, Je Comment 7 Fig 178 Suggested tf_offse Response	Remec ecover PT. SC ff Type 3B-9 ha Remec et in GB	ty y_timer_dor 178B.14.3.5 E as text box o ty ET_NEW_M	ne *" to the transition Response Status P <b>79</b> Broade Comment Status verlapping lines ARKER is covering	n back to TRAIN C 00 <i>L</i> 20 com A	LOCAL	

C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.5

C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.5	P <b>790</b>	L <b>20</b>	# 143		C/ 178B	SC 178B.15	<i>P</i> 792	L13	# 170
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom	220	# 143		Bruckman,		Nvidia	215	# 170
*	Comment Status A			(bucket)	Comment		Comment Status D		(bucketp
Fig 178B-9 has an extrane				(Sucrety		51	les need to be updated		(2001004)
SuggestedRemedy					Suggested	Remedy			
extran   to th right of the U0	CT exiting POLARIY_INVE	RT					6 and 176B-7 variables and r		
	Response Status C				upstrea Table 1		d add a footnote for the othe	r interfaces/lanes	(similar to Clause 162
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove extraneous line fro	om Figure 178B-9.				Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.5	P <b>790</b>	L <b>27</b>	# 144		-		T IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license		
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom				!! Pulle	ed from bucket			
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Fig 178B-9 needs to clarify	Comment Status <b>A</b>	T MARKER	State	diagram	C/ 179	SC 179.7.1	P368	L <b>41</b>	# 30
SuggestedRemedy					Brown, Ma	tt	Alphawave	Semi	
Change the transition from !inverse_valid_marker) + (j				arker *	<i>Comment</i> The sk		Comment Status D	uld be fine.	Skew (bucket)
Change the transition from "!polarity_correction * inver		RITY_INVERT	to be		Suggested Delete	<i>Remedy</i> the editor's not	e.		
Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C				Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEP <sup>.</sup>	Response Status W		
Related slides in the follow https://www.ieee802.org/3/					C/ <b>179</b> Brown, Ma	SC 179.7.2	P <b>369</b> Alphawave S	L <b>12</b> Semi	# 31
Implement the changes on brown_3dj_03a_2501 with		, at the editor's d	discretion, of		Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D I.6TBASE-R based on 800GI		Skew (bucket
C/ 178B SC 178B.15	P <b>792</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 7		Suggested	Remedy			
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Desi	gn Systems			Delete	the editor's not	e.		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			(bucket)	Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
MDIO register bit reference	es need to be added to Ta	bles 178B-6 and	l 178B-7		PROP	OSED ACCEP	Г.		
SuggestedRemedy									
	w to do this during the lar	uary 2025 802.3	3dj task force m	eeting					
Consider a proposal on ho	w to do this during the bar								
	Response Status C								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 179 SC 179.7.2 Page 75 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 179	SC 179.8.9	P <b>372</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 138	C/ 179	SC	179.9.4	P	374	L <b>6</b>	# 185
Slavick, Jef	f	Broadcom			Brown, N	latt		Alpl	nawave Se	emi	
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		ILT	Commen	t Type	т	Comment Statu	s D		R_pea
steady s	state measuren	nent is also needed by ILT			Value	es for R	_peak are	TBD.			
SuggestedF	Remedy				Suggeste	dReme	dy				
	ne steady state ubclause.	voltage specifiction needed in	178B.11.4 is s	pecified in 179.9.4.1.2"	•			with proposals.			
Response		Response Status <b>C</b>			Proposed	•		Response Statu	s W		
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPL	-			-			IN PRINCIPLE.	303.		
	s note. changed				C/ 179	SC	179.9.4	Р	374	L <b>22</b>	# 221
	G reviewed slic	les 7-11 in g/3/dj/public/25_01/ran_3dj_0	1 2501 ndf		Rysin, Al	exander	r	NVI	DIA		
nups.//w	www.ieeeo02.0i	g/3/uj/public/25_01/tan_3uj_0	1_2501.pul .		Commen	t Type	TR	Comment Statu	s D		Jitte
	<i>type</i> <b>TR</b> the coefficients	P372 Broadcom Comment Status D and presets that are supporte of the 178B over interfaces wit			does numb equip meth Prese	not wor pers can oment P odology entation	k for pract not be me PG. The is that will b is planned	tical channels at 10 et (and sometimes c ssue was demonstr petter quantify phase	6.25 Gbd ra annot be r ated in rysi	ate and the curr neasured) even in_3dj_01a_240	with commercial test 07. A different
SuggestedF	Remedy		0 11		Suggeste			rrelated jitter measu	ement she	ould be conside	red
"The co	efficients and p = {-3, -2 -1, 0, - et 1 et 2 et 3 et 4	editorial license after the first presets supported by the PMD 1}			Proposed PRO	l Respo POSED	nse ACCEPT	Response Status	5 W		
Proposed R		Response Status W									
Impleme		IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with consideration ets.	s of any chang	es due to other							

C/ 179 SC 179.9.4

C/ 179	SC 179.9.4.1	P <b>374</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 303							
Ran, Adee		Cisco									
Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status D		R_peak	[Edito	or's note	: CC 179,	176D]			
R_peak i	s TBD for the thre	ee host classes.			C/ 179		179.9.4.1.	-	P <b>376</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 513
		e model for each host clas			Dawe, Pi	ers		1	Nvidia		
		done for SNDR (now dSNI est fixture specifications ar			Comment	t Type	TR	Comment Si	tatus A		Tx FFE prese
	n future drafts).			,							asurement condition
SuggestedRe	emedy										ignal, it is bad practice
will create	e with the test fix	eak requirement to be relat ture used. letails will be provided.	ive to what the	reference transmitter	100G	/lane Al		may be connect			nit for 50G/lane and M, CR and KR can stay
Proposed Re		Response Status W			Suggeste	dReme	dy				
•	SED ACCEPT IN	,									is to preset 6, defined in
		-						). Preset 1 bec 3.3, 179.9.5.3.5			to 6
		www.ieee802.org/3/dj/publ		<i>-</i> - ·		arly in a					ult startup) remains
		ut the variablity of the MCE	3 that is used fo	or the reference value	Response			Response St	atus C		
DUL HOL A	ctually used in the	e measurement.			•		PRINCIPL	•			
		ne reference values are ba						onse to comme	nt #125.		
	s not been adopt that the CRG ca	ed yet, but if adopted, the	minimum Rpea	k values would be	C/ 179	50	179.9.4.1.	2	P377	L19	# 516
numbere					-		175.5.4.1.	-	Nvidia	213	# <u>510</u>
Option A		loo 7 0 of			Dawe, Pi		-				
	e proposal on slid ww.ieee802.org/3	/dj/public/25_01/ran_3dj_(	2 2501.pdf (us	se dRoeak methodology).	Commen		T d Tabla 17	Comment Si		EVNC is regin	Tx FFE prese
Add edito and mod	or's notes solicitin	ng proposals for reference the reference transmitter n	Rpeak for host	output (CR and C2M)	10, C	oefficier	nt update s	state diagram, s s inconsistent.	hows that in	the OUT_OF_S	N/A yet Figure 178B- YNC state, ic_req is set
fixtures.					Suggeste						
Option B	:				00		-	he table easier	to understan	d by deleting th	e first column and the
	n Rpeak with valu or's notes solicitin	les TBD. ng proposals for minimum	Rpeak for host	output (CR and C2M)	"N/A" 176D	row, ar	nd rely on t	he text just abo	ve the table.	If so, similar te	xt may be needed in
	ule output, using	the reference transmitter i	nodels and refe	erence mated test	Response	9		Response St	atus <b>C</b>		
fixtures.					ACCI	EPT IN I	PRINCIPL				
	II #E-3 (directiona	al)			Reso	lve usin	g the respo	onse to comme	nt #125.		
I would p		a a tha dala ay i									
	ing the dRpeak ming Rpeak (min)	nethodology									
A: 19 B:											
<parked></parked>											
	chnical required	ER/editorial required GR/	neneral require	d T/technical E/editorial G/g	peneral				C/ 17	9	Page 77 of 136

	J				
C/ 179	SC 179.9	.4.1.3	P <b>377</b>	L19	# 516
Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comm	nent Status A		Tx FFE presets

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 179 SC 179.9.4.1.3 Page 77 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 179	SC 179.9.4.1.	3 P377	L19	# 514	C/ 179	SC	179.9.4.5	P378	L <b>50</b>	# 304
Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia			Ran, Adee	•		Cisco		
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status A		Tx FFE presets	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		dSNDR (bucketp
and the to start a 100G/lai	default startup. a lane at maximi	eset 1, the loudest, is used While it makes sense to m um crosstalk, which exceed nay be connected to a 2000	easure a large si s the 900 mV lim	gnal, it is bad practice hit for 50G/lane and	illustra Compa	tion. are to th		ation of dSNDR may be sor alculation of dR_peak and d		
SuggestedR					Suggested	,	0			
toleranc	es.	C and preset 1 from 0 0 0 1 values 0 0 0 1 0, without tole			"meas	ured SI	NDR".	similar to Figure 163A-1 bu	ut with "referenc	e SNDR" and
to 6, twi	ce, and delete "a	and OUT_OF_SYNC". Sim	ilarly in 176D.7.6	б.	Response			Response Status C		
Response		Response Status C			ACCE	PT.				
	T IN PRINCIPLE using the respo	Inse to comment #125.			C/ 179	SC	179.9.4.5.3	P380	L <b>6</b>	# 538
C/ 179	SC 179.9.4.1.	3 P377	L <b>20</b>	# 457	Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
Simms, Will	liam	NVIDIA			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status R		Reference SNDI
Comment Ty		Comment Status A		Tx FFE presets	This c	omplica	ted recipe	for Reference SNDR is far t	too arcane.	
Table 17 where C	79-8 - Coefficien	t initial conditions contains to 0.5. Preset3 uses C(0)		, tween preset 1 and 2	Suggested Provid concer	e the ta	•	rence SNDR values for the	host loss categ	ories and presets
SuggestedR	Remedy				Response			Response Status C		
Add or r	eplace a preset	with C(0)set to 0.75 and all	other taps set to	0 0 (+/-0.025)	REJE	CT.				
Response		Response Status C						culation method is provided ovided by the user of the p		e part of the
	T IN PRINCIPLE using the respo	nse to comment #125.			The m	ethod is	s used by c	lause 178 (KR) and annex he reference SNDR is impl	176C (C2C), wh	

specified test fixture, so the reference SNDR is implementation-dependent. For clause 179 (CR) and annex 176D (C2M), there are specifications for the mated test fixtures (Annex 179B) that could potentially be used to calculate reference values, which may indeed be useful for readers. However, this would require a detailed proposal. The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement.

C/ 179 SC 179.9.4.5.3

C/ 179 SC 179	9.4.5.3	P <b>380</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 305		C/ 179	SC	179.9.4.6	P <b>381</b>	L <b>21</b>	# 306
Ran, Adee		Cisco				Ran, Adee			Cisco		
Comment Type T	comr	ment Status D		Reference SNDR (I	bucket)	Comment T	уре	TR	Comment Status A		J
H_t(f) is not fully	lefined since T	_r is not provided.							er to 120D.3.1.8.1 for the ieee802.org/3/dj/public/2		
SuggestedRemedy									ents from different trans		
Add a reference	o T_r in Table	179-18				troubles	some.				
reference SNDR - Equation 179-1 - Equation 179-1 and f_b. Add the following	EPT IN PRIN ameters (from but are current has H_t(f) wh has S_tn(thef paragraph at t reference SN missing paran	Table 179-18) are ro ly not mentioned. ich refers to 178A.1 a) which refers to E he end of 179.9.4.5. IDR uses values in T neters.	.6.2 which n quation 178A .3:			in an op asymm jitter) ar amplify the true It is pos measur The me and slop SuggestedF	pposite etric, the remirre the effective gitter of essible t rement ethod of pe dep Remed	way for ris he distribut or images of fect of the a distribution. o use infor in the pres of combining bendence.	mation from multiple tra sence of additive (vertica g the distributions shoul	the additive noise e alone (in the abs ining them as in th y, th4 J4u would n nsitions to improve I) noise.	distribution is sence of clock phase the 120D method would ot be representative of the accuracy of the
							ibution	with furthe	er details is planned.		
						Response			Response Status C		
						The CR https://v reference https://v pdf, pre Use the RMS jitt inverse Use the Apply th limit val	C revi www.ie ce to www.ie sented e metho ter me slope, e propo hese m lues.	eee802.org/ d in the P80 od of JHRN asurement including osal on the	(3/dj/public/25_01/calvin (3/dj/public/adhoc/optics 02.3dj ad hoc. //S described in slides 9 s on multiple transitions transitions other than 03 last bullet of slide 5 in c clauses 178 and 179, ar	/0125_OPTX/gine: 11 of gines_3dj_o to a 2nd order pol and 30) as a repl alvin_3dj_01b_250	s_3dj_optx_01a_25010 ptx_01a_250109 (fittin ynomial of the squared acement for J_RMS03 )1 for calculating J4u03

C/ 179 SC 179.9.4.6

C/ 179	SC 179.9.4.6	P <b>381</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 541		C/ 179	SC 17
Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia				Noujeim,	Leesa
Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status A			Jitter	Comment	Туре Т
bandwi be bey separa <i>Suggested</i> Delete	dths, losses and ond the state of th te spec item. <i>Remedy</i>	the "jitter measurement" me amplitudes. This is particula he art. EOJ should be part o e an eye spec to control sign	arly obvious for of an eye spec li	J3u03; J4u03 see ke EECQ, not a	ms to	were t this pr the co host re insuffi	dopted val based on h resentation onnector all eceiver con cient to ap odent atten
xECQ.						Suggestee	dRemedy
Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status <b>C</b>					ise test cha 34.55,29.5
Resolv	e using the respo	onse to comment #306.				Response	ļ.
C/ 179	SC 179.9.5	P <b>384</b>	L10	# 307		The h	PT IN PRI ost channe //www.ieee
Ran, Adee		Cisco					ctor (as sh
	plitude tolerance (v_f) rather than	Comment Status A e definition in 179.9.5.2 is no peak-to-peak. Therefore, th			lerance	The M this is	fore, the N ICB loss b 9.75-3.8 = ing this cor
Change TP2)". Change		name from "Amplitude tolera 1 to 0.5.	nce" to "Amplitu	de tolerance (v_f	at	Implei	ment the s
Response		Response Status C					
Retain The ref	PT IN PRINCIPLE the parameter na ferenced 179.9.5. t of comment #38	nme. 2 is suggested to be defined	l as v_f at the te	st transmitter's ou	ıtput		
	footnote a to stat	nce" row of Table 179-10, ch e that the required value is o			er's		
Implem	nent with editorial	license.					

C/ 179	SC 179.9.5.3	P <b>385</b>	L15	# 386
Noujeim, L	eesa	Google		
Comment 7	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		ITOL

alues for test channel insertion loss for use in the interference tolerance test https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24\_11/ran\_3dj\_03\_2411.pdf. Slide 4 of on has an error: the "MCB IL = 3.5 dB" should be 5.95 dB so that it includes allocation of 2.45dB. The current 3.5dB results in a double-counting of the onnector; the test channel insertion losses in Table 179-11 are thus ppropriately stress the receiver under test. The resulting "frequency nuator" values would be too small.

nannel insertion losses in Table 179-11 Test Case 2 (high loss) columns .55,24.55)+/-0.5dB to (37,32,27)+/-0.5 dB.

### Response Status C

RINCIPLE.

nel loss of 13.95 dB on slide 3 of

e802.org/3/dj/public/24\_11/ran\_3dj\_03\_2411.pdf includes the host shown on Figure 179A-2).

MCB that replaces the host channel should also include the connector. budget is equal to the mated test fixture minus the HCB; per Figure 179A-1, = 5.95 dB, or an additional 2.45 dB.

prrection results in the values in the suggested remedy.

suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 179 SC 179.9.5.3

C/ 179	SC 179.9.5.3	P385	5 L <b>3</b> 1	# :	308
Ran, Adee		Cisco			
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status	λ		ITOL

The editor's note says "The internal loss of the test pattern generator may need to be addressed".

The pattern generator in this case is expected to be an instrument-grade equipment (unlike the corresponding KR test, there is no provision for just "a compliant transmitter). The "internal loss" is not externally observable and is possibly compensated for by internal equalization as part of the instrument's calibration.

Deviation from the reference transmitter model is addressed by using the measured T r in item b of 179.9.5.3.3. instead of the reference T r (which models the transition time of the signal into the device model). This may be emphasized by separating the transition measurement into a different list item (similar to items c and d that address measurements of other parameters).

### SuggestedRemedy

Separate the measurement of the transition time in item b of 179.9.5.3.3 from the calculation of the channel S-parameters (which uses the measurement result). Reorder the list with editorial license. Delete the editor's note.

т

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

C/ 179	SC 179.11	P <b>390</b>	L <b>33</b>	# 309
Ran, Adee		Cisco		

Ran, Adee

Nomenclature (bucketp)

The term "cable assembly class" has been used as a placeholder for several drafts. No comments have been received to use another term.

Comment Status A

Response Status C

It is suggested to formally adopt this term.

### SuggestedRemedy

Unify the document by changing any other term referring to the cable assembly class with editorial license.

Delete the editor's note.

### Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 179	SC 179.11	P <b>390</b>	L <b>48</b>	# 258
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatum	/Marvell	
о <i>і</i> т				

Comment Type TR Comment Status R AC coupling

We have increased the low frequency cust off but kept the capacitor value the same, 100 nF has cut off of 33 kHz!

### SuggestedRemedy

If we go with 33 nF the cutoff is 96 kHz for 50 Ohms and 104 kHz for 46.5 Ohms, I suggest we go with min of 33 nF otherwise the next value is 36 nF (less common) followed by more common 47 nF.

Response Response Status C

### REJECT.

The AC coupling specification is for a maximum cutoff frequency. It is permitted to go below 100 kHz. Using 100 nF capacitors with 46.5 Ohm impedance would result in 34 kHz, which is ok. Using 33 nF, as in the suggested remedy, would also be ok.

The recommendation for capacitors is made in order "to limit the inrush current", and it essentially creates a minimum cutoff frequency. It has not been claimed or demonstrated that reducing inrush current compared to previous generation is required; hosts likely need to be backward compatible anyway. Adopting the suggested remedy would unnecessarily limit implementation options.

The comment does not provide sufficient justification to support the suggested remedy.

C/ 179	SC 179.11	P <b>391</b>	L <b>5</b>	# 310	C/ 179	SC 179.11.7	P <b>393</b>	L <b>48</b>	# 312
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		CA reach	Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		COM (bucket)
first rov	v, but does not	ssembly characteristics sumr					COM is included in Table 17 ue and referring to it is prefer		n exception for some
informa	ation for the read	der.			Suggested	Remedy			
Note th	at previous PM	D clauses include this inform	ation, and there	is a NOTE in 179.11	Replac	e "3 dB" with a	reference to Table 179-13 wi	ith editorial licen	se.
that add	dresses the ind	icated length, although it is no	ot indicated.		Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
		at D1.2 suggested modifying to port for the idea, but the read			PROPO	OSED ACCEPT			
incorrec	• ·			suggested terriedy were	C/ 179	SC 179.11.7	1 P <b>394</b>	L <b>27</b>	# 466
Deced				a da sa ta	Kocsis, Sa	m	Amphenol		
Based CA-A: (		ssion, the expected reach per	cable assembly	Class IS:	Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status D		Partial channel mode
CA-B: CA-C:	1 m 1.5 m						el model parameters unnece previous specification gener		COM perofmance. C0 is
CA-D: 2					Suggested	Remedy			
uggestedl	,				Set to (	0, OR remove C	0 and C1 parameters		
https://	www.ieee802.o	s shown on slide 37 of rg/3/dj/public/24_11/ran_3dj_l ected Reach" row are as listed			-	, DSED REJECT	Response Status W		
		9.11 to a NOTE (informative) i or's note in 179.11.	in Table 179-13.		C/ 179	SC 179.11.7	1 P395	L <b>27</b>	# 391
Response		Response Status C			Noujeim, L	eesa	Google		
	PT IN PRINCIPI				Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status D		Partial channel mode
		ted remedy with editorial licer ace with the style guide.	nse. Make the te	xt informative and	is incor	rect; C0 represe	e 179-16 "Single ended pack ents part of the partial host c	hannel, while Cp	
/ 179	SC 179.11.1	P <b>391</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 311		•	t the package-to-board inter	Tace.	
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Suggested		naakaan aanaaitanaa at nar	t 1" to "Cinalo or	and haard aanaaitanaa
Comment T	Гуре <b>Т</b>	Comment Status D	lefere	ence impedance (bucket)			package capacitance at por d interface (port 1)"	t i to Single er	ided board capacitance
		tial impedance is stated, but t	here are also co	mmon-mode and mode-	Proposed F	•	Response Status W		
	-	ons for cable assemblies.			PROPO	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
uggestedl Add a s		common-mode impedance c	f 25 Ohm, with e	editorial license.	178A-5	5. C1 is named s		<b>.</b> .	
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W					not representative of what the remedy is not accurate eit		tand for.
•	, DSED ACCEPT	•			Change Change	e the names of	CO and C1 in Table 178A-5 t names in the COM paramet	o "Single-ended	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general	required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected F	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

Cl	179	
SC	179.11.7.1	

Page 82 of	136
1/22/2025	11:18:22 PM

C/ 179	SC 179.11	I.7.1 P395	L <b>33</b>	# 393
Noujeim, Le	esa	Google		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		Partial channel model

The capacitance C1 represents a shunt capacitance at the RF test connector ports of the Cable Assembly Test Fixtures (cl 179B.3). This capacitance C1 may have, in prior generations, been used to compensate fthe discontinuity on the CATF between the RF coax connector and the CATF printed circuit board transmission line. Note that the measurement calibration plane is typically at the coax connector mating interface. However, in the 200Gbps/lane generation the coax connector is multiple UI long and so a lumped element compensation is ineffective. A different method should be developed to remove the reflections due to the 50 ohm RF connector and launch that sits between the partial host channel model transmission line (characteristic impedance 92.5 ohms.) and the CATF transmission line (typ 92.5 ohm board impedance between the RF test connector).

### SuggestedRemedy

Set C1 to 0 and time-gate the RF coax connector/launch out of the TP1-TP4 cable assembly measurements.

### Proposed Response Response Status W

### PROPOSED REJECT.

The (nonzero) values of C0 and C1 were adopted by comment #537 against D1.1. During the discussion of this comment it was noted that these values do not represent real capacitances and thus it was proposed to make them zero.

However, it was claimed that these capacitances actually improve the COM result when attached to measured cable assembly S-parameters - possibly by reducing the inductive effect of the connector.

No data has been provided to support this statement, but the straw poll taken indicated support for the nonzero values:

Straw Poll #E-6 (directional)

I would support C1 value of:

A: as proposed (1e-5 nF)

B: 0 nF

A: 22 B: 14

Note that there are several comments on this topic. Data to support the decision would be welcome.

For CRG discussion.

C/ 179 S	SC 179.11.7.1	P <b>395</b>	L <b>33</b>	# 392
Noujeim, Lees	a	Google		
Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D		Partial channel model

Capacitance C1 in table 179-16 is not associated with the package, so description "Single ended package capacitance at Port 2" is incorrect.

### SuggestedRemedy

Change "Single ended package capacitance at port 2" to "Single ended board capacitance at board-model-to-test\_connector interface (port 2)"

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
-------------------	-----------------	---

PRC	POSE	ED /	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.	
_					

Resolve using the response to comment #391.

C/ 179	SC 179.11.7.	1 P396	L <b>44</b>	# 456
Simms, Willi	am	NVIDIA		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		COM (bucket)

Table 179-18 - COM parameter values uses a value of 0.54 for the minimum allowed versus the preset2 which has 0.50 (-0.025) from table 179-8. Should COM limits match the presets?

SuggestedRemedy

Make COM table entry 0.475 (0.5-0.025)

Proposed Response Response Status W

### PROPOSED REJECT.

The transmitter specifications in Table 179-7 require ability to reduce c(0) to 0.5 or lower, consistent with preset 2. This enables receivers to reduce the input dynamic range. The COM parameters only specify the search range. There is no evidence that the current range of c(0) is insufficient - in fact, with the current parameters the selected value is always 1.

C/ 179	SC 179.11.7	.1	P <b>397</b>	L <b>38</b>	# 533	C/ 179	SC 17	9.11.7.2.2	2 /	<sup>&gt;</sup> 398	L <b>34</b>	# 314
Dawe, Pier	rs		Nvidia			Ran, Adee			Cis	co		
Comment T	Type E	Comment S	tatus A		COM	Comment T	уре <b>т</b>	TR	Comment Stat	us <b>D</b>		COM (buc
Put CC	OM parameters	in the COM para	meter table						XT path include			
Suggested	Remedy							<sup>·</sup> z_p^(h) fe such colui		r is taken f	rom the aggress	or path column"
		ver method row f next to the DER_		meter table, valu	e FFE-DFE or FFE-			FEXT (lir				
Response	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Si	tatus C								lue of z_p was s nd it makes sens	pecified separately in se).
			er tables in An	nex 178A, Clau	ses 178 and 179, and	SuggestedF	Remedy					
Annexe		6D to indicate w			ence receiver is used.		t in 179.1	11.7.2.2 c	gressor path colu can refer to the s			with an exception tha
								red NEXT/ h editorial	/FEXT instead o	f through S	-parameters.	
Straw p I would the ML	 poll #E-1 (direct	ion) g a parameter to	the COM para	ameter table to i	ndicate whether or not	Impaler Proposed R PROPC	nent with <i>esponse</i> DSED AC	h editorial e CCEPT.	/FEXT instead o license. <i>Response Statu</i>	is W		
Straw p I would the ML Y: 22, I	 poll #E-1 (direct I support adding SD reference re	tion) g a parameter to eceiver is used.	the COM para	ameter table to i		Impaler Proposed R PROPC Cl <b>179</b>	nent with Response	h editorial e CCEPT.	/FEXT instead o license. Response Statu	o ∧s W ⊃399	L21	# 315
Straw p I would the ML Y: 22, I C/ <b>179</b>	poll #E-1 (direct support adding SD reference re N: 1, A: 7 SC <b>179.11.7</b>	ion) g a parameter to eceiver is used.	P <b>398</b>		ndicate whether or not # 313	Impaler Proposed R PROPC Cl 179 Ran, Adee	nent with Sesponse DSED AC	h editorial e CCEPT. <b>79.12</b>	/FEXT instead o license. Response Statu / / Cis	<i>us</i> ₩ ⊃ <b>399</b> sco		
Straw p I would the ML Y: 22, I Cl <b>179</b> Ran, Adee Comment T Some o	poll #E-1 (direct support adding SD reference re N: 1, A: 7 SC <b>179.11.7</b> <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> of the parameter	ion) g a parameter to eceiver is used. <b>2.2.2</b> <i>Comment S</i>	P <b>398</b> Cisco tatus <b>D</b>	L <b>32</b>		Impaler Proposed R PROPC CI <b>179</b> Ran, Adee Comment T The PM	nent with Response DSED AC SC 179 Sype E	h editorial CCEPT. 79.12 ER ecified in 1	/FEXT instead o license. Response Statu I Cis Comment Stat	2399 500 501 502 503 503 503 503 503 503 503 503 503 503	L21	# <u>315</u> (buc) ment variable mappin
Straw p I would the ML Y: 22, I Cl <b>179</b> Ran, Adee Comment T Some c 179.11	poll #E-1 (direct support adding SD reference re N: 1, A: 7 SC <b>179.11.7</b> <i>SC</i> <b>179.11.7</b> <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> of the paramete .7.2.1).	ion) g a parameter to eceiver is used. <b>2.2.2</b> <i>Comment S</i>	P <b>398</b> Cisco tatus <b>D</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 313 COM (bucket)	Impaler Proposed R PROPC CI <b>179</b> Ran, Adee Comment T The PM	nent with Response DSED AC SC 179 SC 179 Type E ID is spe- rrelevant	h editorial CCEPT. 79.12 ER ecified in 1	/FEXT instead o license. Response Statu I Cis Comment Stat	2399 500 501 502 503 503 503 503 503 503 503 503 503 503	L21	(buc
Straw p I would the ML Y: 22, I Cl <b>179</b> Ran, Adee Comment T Some o 179.11 Suggested	poll #E-1 (direct support adding SD reference re N: 1, A: 7 SC <b>179.11.7</b> <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> of the paramete .7.2.1). <i>Remedy</i>	tion) g a parameter to eceiver is used. <b>7.2.2</b> <i>Comment</i> S ers are given in T	P <b>398</b> Cisco <i>tatus</i> <b>D</b> fable 179-17 (a	L32 as in the case of	# 313 COM (bucket) f the signal path in	Impaler Proposed R PROPC Cl <b>179</b> Ran, Adee Comment T The PM and is in SuggestedF	nent with SED AC SED AC SC 179 ype E ID is spe- rrelevant Remedy	h editorial CCEPT. 79.12 ER ecified in 1 t here.	/FEXT instead o license. Response Statu I Cis Comment Stat	2399 500 501 502 503 503 503 503 503 503 503 503 503 503	L21	(buc
Straw p I would the ML Y: 22, I Cl <b>179</b> Ran, Adee Comment T Some o 179.11 Suggested Change	poll #E-1 (direct support adding SD reference re N: 1, A: 7 SC <b>179.11.7</b> <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> of the paramete .7.2.1). <i>Remedy</i>	tion) g a parameter to eceiver is used. <b>7.2.2</b> <i>Comment</i> S ers are given in T	P <b>398</b> Cisco <i>tatus</i> <b>D</b> fable 179-17 (a	L32 as in the case of	# 313 COM (bucket)	Impaler Proposed R PROPC Cl 179 Ran, Adee Comment T The PM and is in SuggestedF Change Response	nent with Response DSED AC SC 179 SC 179 ID is sperrelevant Remedy the refe	h editorial e CCEPT. 79.12 ER ecified in 1 t here. erence per	/FEXT instead o license. Response Statu / Cis Comment Stat 179.8 and 179.9.	<b>399</b> Sco US <b>A</b> 179.14 cd	L21	(buc
Straw p I would the ML Y: 22, I Cl <b>179</b> Ran, Adee Comment T Some o 179.11 Suggested Change	poll #E-1 (direct support adding SD reference re N: 1, A: 7 SC 179.11.7 Type E of the paramete .7.2.1). Remedy e "using the para ble 179-17.".	tion) g a parameter to eceiver is used. <b>7.2.2</b> <i>Comment</i> S ers are given in T	P <b>398</b> Cisco <i>tatus</i> <b>D</b> Table 179-17 (a e 179-16" to "u	L32 as in the case of	# 313 COM (bucket) f the signal path in	Impaler Proposed R PROPC Cl 179 Ran, Adee Comment T The PM and is in SuggestedF Change	nent with Response DSED AC SC 179 SC 179 ID is sperrelevant Remedy the refe	h editorial e CCEPT. 79.12 ER ecified in 1 t here. erence per	/FEXT instead o license. Response Statu Cis Comment Stat 179.8 and 179.9.	<b>399</b> Sco US <b>A</b> 179.14 cd	L21	(buc

C/ 179 SC 179.12

C/ 179 SC 179.14	P <b>400</b>	L10	# 90	C/ 179A	SC 179A.4	P <b>799</b>	L16	# 266
Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom			Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quna	tum/Marvell	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		reset variable	Comment 7	ype TR	Comment Status D		(bucketp)
	iable PMD_reset has a varial hat subclause does not defin					IL in table 179A-1 don't ad	ld up	
variable similar to 180.5 "PMD reset function" an	ause to CL 179 (perhaps 179 .6, 181.5.6, 182.5.6, 183.5.6, d subclause text: set is asserted, the PMD shal	and 185.5.6 a	nd 187.5.6 with title	to 6.25 Host-Lo Host-M	ng the via is pa	11.5 dB	45 dB connector a	and 3.8 dB HCB sums
Clause 179.	eference in Table 179-20 from uld also be added as 178.8.1			Proposed F PROPC	esponse SED REJECT.	Response Status W		
same text as above.				!! Pulle	d from bucket			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status <b>C</b>			C/ 179A	SC 179A.4	P800	L <b>22</b>	# 268
Resolve using the respo	onse to comment #88.			Ghiasi, Ali Comment T	51	Ghiasi Quna Comment Status D B is the target loss and pet		Host Channel IL
	P <b>799</b> Ghiasi Qunatu <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> ctually package+Host PCB	L <b>12</b> m/Marvell	# 267 (bucketp)	Suggested Remov Proposed F	Remedy e minimum fron	B is the target loss and not the 179A-3 title and add ta <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>		loss
SuggestedRemedy Suggest to call it Host p is incldued	ackage + host PCB, as the c	hannel may im	play the connector loss		le title and para ous projects.	meter definition are correct	as written and co	nsistent with formatting
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W			C/ <b>179A</b> Kocsis, Sar	SC <b>179A.5</b> n	P <b>799</b> Amphenol	L16	# 458
	include the connector loss. T ncluded in the Host Channel.	he text above	Table179A-1 clearly	Comment T ILddCA	<i>,</i>	Comment Status R han ILddCH,min		(withdrawn)
!! Pulled from bucket				<i>Suggestedl</i> Add an not pos	Editor's note to	provide context and explain	that testing the I	LddCH,min condition is
				Response REJEC	т.	Response Status Z		
				This co	mment was WI	THDRAWN by the comment	er.	
TYPE: TR/technical required	d ER/editorial required GR/g batched A/accepted R/rejec	eneral required	ł T/technical E/editorial G/g	jeneral		C/ 1 SC 1	79A	Page 85 of 136

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SC 179A.5 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 179A SC 179A.5	P <b>801</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 532	C/ 179B	SC 179B.(r	new) <i>P</i> 811	L <b>54</b>	# 455
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Sekel, Stev	/e	Wilder 7	echnologies	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment 7	туре <b>т</b>	Comment Status R		(withdrawn)
17.5						e is 92.5 ohm differential,		
SuggestedRemedy						ingle ended). This introduin in application environment		
17.75, twice				(in time	delay) of this	discontinunity will change	e some compliance n	neasurement results.
Response	Response Status C			179B.4		e test fixtures should be s	specified in a new su	D-clause in section
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL			17 E with 17 7E and	Suggestedl	Remedv			
Implement formating w	s a typo in a label in Figure 17 rith editorial license.	9A-2. Replace	17.5 WILL 17.75 and	00		ented with proposed locati	ion of 92.5 to 100 oh	m discontinunity within
C/ 179A SC 179A.5	P802	L12	# 560	the con	npliance test f	ixtures will be presented i	n contribuion during	802.3 interim meeting
Heck, Howard	TE Connectiv		# 500	Response		Response Status Z		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A	ity	(bucket)	REJEC	Т.			
51	alculation in Figure 179A-3 c	ontains an error	( )	This co	mment was W	/ITHDRAWN by the comr	nenter.	
that 13 dB @ 53.125 G	GHz = (16+4.45+4.45)-(2*9.75	). The correct ed	quationis 13 dB =	C/ 179B	SC 179B.1	P803	L <b>23</b>	# 527
(16+8.25+8.25)=(2*9.7 budget values at 53.12	5). The 8.25 dB is taken from	Table 179A-3 (	Minimum insertion loss	Dawe, Pier	-	Nvidia	L <b>Z</b> 3	# 527
SuggestedRemedy	.5 GHZ)			Comment 7		Comment Status D		(bucketp
,	n Figure 179A-3 to "Channel I -(2*9.75)	/lin (TP0d-TP5d	) = 13 dB @ 53.125	Now the	at we have ad	opted a reference impedates. All these parameters	ance of 92.5 ohm for	ERL, we need to
Response	Response Status C			calcula	tions for us, so	o we can use whatever im	pedances are suitab	le.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	•			Suggestedl	Remedy			
Implement as proposed	d in suggested remedy.			Adopt of	consistent refe	erence impedances for all	spec items in this an	inex.
CI 179A SC 179A.5	P <b>802</b>	L13	# 531	Proposed F	•	Response Status N	I	
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia				DSED REJEC	T. specific about the scope c	of "other" space or pr	onose a specific change
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)					opose a specific change.
13 dB = (16+4.45+4	.45)-(2*9.75)			!! Pulle	d from bucket			
SuggestedRemedy								
13 dB = (16+8.25+8	.25)-(2*9.75)							
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL								
Resolve using the resp	oonse to comment #560.							

C/ 179B SC 179B.1

C/ 179B SC 179B.2.1	P <b>803</b>	L <b>39</b>	# 453	C/ 179B	SC 179B.2.1	P <b>803</b>	L <b>39</b>	# 357
Sekel, Steve	Wilder Techne	ologies		Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		(withdrawn)	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		MTF I
ILdd is listed as TBD				The refer	rence insertion	loss for TP2/TP3 test fixture	e (HCB) is TBD.	
SuggestedRemedy Proposed values and eq during January 802.3 Int	uations will be presented wi erim meeting.	th measuremen	t data in contribution	reference	e, Equation 17	ributed S-parameters in sek 9B-1 should be a polynomial buld be generated according	in sqrt(f) fitted t	•
Response REJECT.	Response Status Z					nt of 179B.2.1 (TP2 or TP3 t jet at 53.125 GHz.	est fixture insert	tion loss) can be
This comment was WITI	HDRAWN by the commente	er.		SuggestedRe	emedy			
C/ 179B SC 179B.2.1	P803	L <b>39</b>	# 210	A contrib	ution with furth	ner details is planned.		
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se		<i>n</i> 210	Response		Response Status C		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A	51111	MTF IL	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	E.		
Value for ILdd_rfref is TI				The CRG	B reviewed http	os://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/pu	blic/25_01/ran_	3dj_04_2501.pdf .
SuggestedRemedy Expect a contribution wit	h proposals.				equation 179E Figure 179B-	-1 with the equation shown of accordingly.	on slide 4 of ran	_3dj_04_2501.
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			Impleme	nt with editoria	l license.		
Resolve using response				C/ 179B	SC 179B.2.1	P <b>804</b>	L1	# 379
				D'Ambrosia,	John	Futurewei, U	S. Subsidiary o	f Huawei
				Comment Ty	pe ER	Comment Status A		MTF I
				There do	esn't appear to	be a figure - was it deleted	? is this an edito	orial issue?
				SuggestedRe Add figur	emedy e to 179B-1			
				Response		Response Status <b>C</b>		
				ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL			

C/ 179B SC 179B.2.1

C/ 179B SC 179B.3.1	P <b>804</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 211	C/ 179B
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Ser	mi		Dawe, Pi
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		MTF IL	Comment
Value for ILdd_catfref is	TBD.			In line
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste
Expect a contribution with	h proposals.			Instea
Response	Response Status C			insert the w
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	-			loss,
Resolve using response	to comment #358.			Response
C/ 179B SC 179B.3.1	P <b>804</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 358	ACCE
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Based
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		MTF IL	to the
The reference insertion le	oss for the Cable assembly to	est fixture (MC	CB) is TBD.	
Alternatively, the content replaced by the IL budge SuggestedRemedy	of 179B.3.1 (cable assembly t at 53.125 GHz.	y test fixture ir	nsertion loss) can be	
A contribution with furthe	r details is planned.			
Response	Response Status C			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				
The CRG reviewed https	://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/publ	ic/25_01/ran_	3dj_04_2501.pdf .	
	2 with the equation shown on required to obtain 5.95 dB at accordingly.			
Change the text in 179B. "The cable assembly tes Equation (179B-2) shall b to	t fixture PCB and test point in	nsertion loss v	values determined using	
"The insertion loss of the	cable assembly test fixture l		t, connector, and any	

SC 179B.3.1 P804 L49 # 528 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type **TR** Comment Status A MTF IL In line with how host loss for products is treated...

SuggestedRemedy

Instead of a test fixture PCB reference insertion loss, define the test fixture reference insertion loss from instrument (coax) connector to the HCB side of the MCB connector, i.e. the whole MCB. Then, MCB reference loss + HCB reference loss = mated CBs reference loss, and things are a little simpler.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Based on the responses to comments #357 and #358, change equation 179B-5 from TBD to the sum of equations 179B-1 and 179B-2, with editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 179B SC 179B.3.1 Page 88 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

associated vias, determined using Equation (179B-2), shall be used".

Implement with editorial license.

C/ 179B	SC 179B.4	P <b>805</b>	L14	# 48	C/ 179B	SC 1	179B.4.1	P <b>805</b>	L <b>21</b>	# 213
Mellitz, Ric	hard	Samtec			Brown, Ma	tt		Alphawave Ser	ni	
Comment 7	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		MTF COM	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		MTF ILDD
		B.4.6 may be necessary, the lity required to make repeata					_	ax and ILdd_MTFmin are TBD		
measu	rements.				Suggested	-				
Suggested	Remedy							ith proposals.		
179B.4 COM s 179.11		o or greater than the specified ameters) with a new table like			This co	, OSED A omment	ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ut a TBD that must be address on.	ed.	
	ise:1, 2,3	HUSI Class)			C/ 179B	SC 1	I79B.4.1	P805	L <b>48</b>	# 212
	kage class:B,B				Brown, Ma	tt		Alphawave Ser	ni	
Rx Pac MLSE:	kage class:A,A	,,В			Comment	Tvpe	т	Comment Status A		MTF FOM ILD
		ps/taps_span(UI):6/14-2/4-50	), 6/14-2/4-50, 6/1	5-2/4-80			imum FO	M ILD is TBD.		
Tx Pac	kage transmiss	sion line 1 length, zp1: 45, 45	,45		Suggested					
		sion line 1 length, zp1: 4,10,4 ansmission line length, Zp: 0,				-		th proposale		
Partial	Rx host PCB tr	ansmission line length, Zp: 0,	0.109			a contr	ibution w	ith proposals.		
	0,1.0e-5,1.0e-5				Response			Response Status C		
	0,0,1.0e-5						RINCIPL			
	0,2.9e-5,2.9e-5 0,0,2.9e-5	5			Resolv	e using	response	e to comment #459.		
	0,0,2.9e-5 2.0e-5, 2.0e-5,	1.0e-4			C/ 179B	SC 1	179B.4.1	P805	L <b>48</b>	# 459
COM n	nin: 5.3, 4.6, 4				Kocsis, Sa	m		Amphenol		
		ases 1,2, and 3 are about 20			Comment		т	Comment Status A		MTF FOM ILD
See pr	esentation.	mission defined in Table 176	D-5 (Host and mo	dule model parameters)				ILD is TBD		
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W			Suggested	Remedy	y			
-		T IN PRINCIPLE.			Replac	e TBD	with value	e as proposed in kocsis_3dj_0	I_2501	
	lowing related p /mellitz_3dj_01	presentation was provided for	review:		Response			Response Status <b>C</b>		
The co	mment sugges	ts there is a better way to qua by for the TF to consider.	alify mated test fiv	ture performance and	ACCE	PT IN P	RINCIPL			
	G Discussion							s://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/publi ww.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_		
					For FC	M_ILD,	, replace '	"TBD dB" with "0.15 dB".		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 179B SC 179B.4.1

C/ 179B SC 179B.4.1	P806	L1	# 380	C/ 179B SC 179B.4.2	P <b>807</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 460
D'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei, U.	S. Subsidiary of	fHuawei	Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol		
Comment Type ER There doesn't appear to	Comment Status R b be a figure - was it deleted?	is this an edito	<i>(bucket)</i> orial issue?	Comment Type <b>T</b> The table reference for u	Comment Status A nspecifiied MTF ERL param	neters is TBD.	MTF ERL
SuggestedRemedy add figure to 179B-2				SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD with "Table	9 179-18"		
	Response Status <b>C</b> al. The suggested remedy do	es not provide :	sufficient detail to	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Resolve using response			
implement.				C/ 179B SC 179B.4.2	P <b>807</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 530
C/ 179B SC 179B.4.2	P807	L <b>4</b>	# 214	Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	emi		Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		(bucketp)
Comment Type <b>T</b> Reference to "Table TB	Comment Status <b>A</b> D".		MTF ERL	The round trip loss to the so an ERL of 10.3 dB is	MCB connector is 7.6 dB fivery weak.	rom one side, ar	nd more from the other,
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Provide reference to inte	ended table.			Now that we have a suita	able reference differential im	pedance, choos	e a suitable ERL limit.
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status <b>C</b> E.			Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W		
The CRG reviewed slide https://www.ieee802.org	e 4 of g/3/dj/public/25_01/kocsis_30	lj_02b_2501.pc	lf .		loes not provide sufficient d	etail to impleme	nt.
Change "Table TBD" to	"Table 179-18".			!! Pulled from bucket			
C/ 179B SC 179B.4.2	P <b>807</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 49				
Mellitz, Richard	Samtec						
Comment Type <b>TR</b> table is TBD	Comment Status A		MTF ERL				
SuggestedRemedy							
Replace Table TBD with	n Table 93A-4						
Response	Response Status C						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Resolve using response	E.						

C/ 179B SC 179B.4.2

C/ 179B SC 179B.4.2 P807 L10 # 463	C/ 179B SC 179B.4.4 P809 L33 # 464
Kocsis, Sam Amphenol	Kocsis, Sam Amphenol
Comment Type T Comment Status A MTF ERL The value for Z_t, the singled-ended source termination resistiance for TDR and ERL reference is not listed	Comment Type T Comment Status A MTF RLdo The equation 179B-8 is incorrect (for the range 12.89GHz to 35GHz) SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Add Z_t to Table179B-1, with a proposed value of 46.25ohm, to align with ERL reference impendance of 92.5ohm Response Response Status C	Replace equation with "17.85-0.225*f" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The CRG reviewed slides 5-6 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/kocsis_3dj_02b_2501.pdf .	The CRG reviewed slide 9-10 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/kocsis_3dj_02b_2501.pdf . Implement the change to equation 179B-8 shown on the left of slide 9.
The proposed response refers to $Z_t$ , which is a parameter of the COM tool, but is not defined in the standard.	C/         179B         SC         179B.4.6         P810         L 29         # 525           Dawe, Piers         Nvidia
Add an editor's note stating that contributions about calculating ERL with reference impedance of 92.5 Ohm are encouraged.	Comment Type         T         Comment Status         D         (bucketp)           Some parameters are in the paragraphs, others are in the tables.         (bucketp)         (bucketp)
C/ <b>179B</b> SC <b>179B.4.3</b> P807 L47 # 529	SuggestedRemedy Move the parameters fMin fMax fStep (max) to the table(s)
Comment Type TR Comment Status D //TF Measurement Bandwidth	Proposed Response Response Status W
The maximum frequencies in this annex are a mix of 67 GHz and 60 GHz. If any are 67, we are committed to the expense and they can all be 67. Test fixtures, like other test equipment, should be specified more stringently than product. High frequencies are as important relative to low frequencies for mixed-mode and common-mode specs as for differential-mode specs.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The current text formatting reflects the style of previous projects, but can be confusing to track all of the necessary information. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. !! Pulled from bucket
we are committed to the expense and they can all be 67. Test fixtures, like other test equipment, should be specified more stringently than product. High frequencies are as important relative to low frequencies for mixed-mode and common-mode specs as for differential-mode specs. SuggestedRemedy	The current text formatting reflects the style of previous projects, but can be confusing to track all of the necessary information. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.
<ul> <li>we are committed to the expense and they can all be 67. Test fixtures, like other test equipment, should be specified more stringently than product. High frequencies are as important relative to low frequencies for mixed-mode and common-mode specs as for differential-mode specs.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy         <ul> <li>Change the 60 GHz to 67 GHz, 3 places. Adjust the graphs accordingly.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status W         <ul> <li>PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.</li> <li>Pending review of <url>/kocsis_3dj_02_2501.</url></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The current text formatting reflects the style of previous projects, but can be confusing to track all of the necessary information. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.         !! Pulled from bucket         C/ 179B       SC 179B.4.6       P810       L30       # 526         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       (bucketp)         Don't put unnecessary ambiguity in a definition.
<ul> <li>we are committed to the expense and they can all be 67. Test fixtures, like other test equipment, should be specified more stringently than product. High frequencies are as important relative to low frequencies for mixed-mode and common-mode specs as for differential-mode specs.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy         <ul> <li>Change the 60 GHz to 67 GHz, 3 places. Adjust the graphs accordingly.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status W         <ul> <li>PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The current text formatting reflects the style of previous projects, but can be confusing to track all of the necessary information. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.         !! Pulled from bucket         C/ 179B       SC 179B.4.6       P810       L30       # 526         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       (bucketp)
<ul> <li>we are committed to the expense and they can all be 67. Test fixtures, like other test equipment, should be specified more stringently than product. High frequencies are as important relative to low frequencies for mixed-mode and common-mode specs as for differential-mode specs.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy         <ul> <li>Change the 60 GHz to 67 GHz, 3 places. Adjust the graphs accordingly.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status W         <ul> <li>PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.</li> <li>Pending review of <url>/kocsis_3dj_02_2501.</url></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The current text formatting reflects the style of previous projects, but can be confusing to track all of the necessary information. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.         !! Pulled from bucket         C/ 179B       SC 179B.4.6       P810       L30       # 526         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       (bucketp)         Don't put unnecessary ambiguity in a definition.       SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 179B	Page 91 of 136
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 179B.4.6	1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

-	SC 179B.4.6	P <b>810</b>	L <b>36</b>	# 524
Dawe, Pier	s	Nvidia		
Comment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		MTF XTALK
		ues in the NEXT table shou ble 179B, with only one ent		
Suggestedl	Remedy			
	ne Table 179B-2 and 179B-5.	and 179B-4, using an addit	ional column if ne	eded. Combine tables
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
values		ng reflects the style of prev solve with comment #217.		
C/ 179B	SC 179B.4.6	P <b>810</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 523
Dawe, Pier	s	Nvidia		
Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status D		MTF XTALK
across silicon. Suggestedl	clauses would be	y value we like for A_nt and e desirable, people may exp mV to 500 mV		
0				
	DSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. <url>/kocsis_3dj_02_250</url>	1.	
	G Discussion.	-		
	G Discussion.	P810	L <b>44</b>	# 53
For CR	SC 179B.4.6	P <b>810</b> Samtec	L <b>44</b>	# 53
For CR C/ <b>179B</b>	SC <b>179B.4.6</b> hard		L <b>44</b>	# <mark>53</mark> MTF XTALK
For CR Cl <b>179B</b> Mellitz, Rick Comment 7	SC <b>179B.4.6</b> hard <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b>	Samtec	L <b>44</b>	
For CR Cl 179B Mellitz, Ricl Comment 7 A_nt is	SC 179B.4.6 hard <i>Fype</i> <b>TR</b> not aligned with	Samtec Comment Status D	L <b>44</b>	
For CR C/ 179B Mellitz, Rick Comment 7 A_nt is Suggested	SC 179B.4.6 hard Fype TR not aligned with Remedy	Samtec Comment Status D	L44	
For CR Cl 179B Mellitz, Ricl Comment 7 A_nt is Suggested	SC <b>179B.4.6</b> hard fype <b>TR</b> not aligned with Remedy e 400 mV with 48	Samtec Comment Status D reference transmitter	L <b>44</b>	

C/ 179B	SC	179B.4.6	P8	10	L <b>45</b>	# 52
Mellitz, Rich	nard		Sam	tec		
Comment T	ype	TR	Comment Status	D		MTF XTALK
T_nt is	not ali	gned with I	reference transmitte	er		
SuggestedF	Remea	ly				
Replace	e 6 ps	with 4 ps (	table 179B-2)			
	DSED .	ACCEPT I	Response Status N PRINCIPLE. for comment #217			
C/ 179B	SC	179B.4.6	P8	10	L <b>45</b>	# 465
Kocsis, Sar	n		Amp	henol		
Comment T Value fo		T /fall time in	Comment Status Table 179B-2 is in		nt with Table 179	MTF XTALK B-4.
SuggestedF Update		•				
The CR	G revi	PRINCIPLE lewed slide eee802.org		-	dj_02b_2501.pdf.	
Change	e the va	alue of T_r	nt in Table 179B-2 t	o 4.25 p	s, aligning it with	Table 179B-4.
		-2 (decisio	1) 			

I support changing T\_nt in Table 179B-2 (SFP224 mated test fixtures) from 6 ps to 4.25 ps. Y: 24

N: 14

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6 Page 92 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6	P <b>811</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 216	C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6	P811	L11	# 56
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	emi		Mellitz, Richard	Samtec		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		MTF XTALK
It is out of convention to Similar issue in Table 17	specify a value "Less than >	xx".		ICN should be adjusted	for PAM4		
	90-0.			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy	-end crosstalk noise voltage	" to "Integrated	I near-and crosstalk	Adjust ICN results from	Equation 92-44 and 92-48	by multiplying by	sigma_X (0.7454)
noise voltage (max)"				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Change "Less than TBD				PROPOSED ACCEPT I			
Make similar updates in <i>Response</i>				Resolve using response	for comment #217.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6	P <b>811</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 55
	 medy with editorial license.			Mellitz, Richard	Samtec		
Note that comment #217	proposes a value to use in	place of TBD.		Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		MTF XTALK
C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6	P <b>811</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 461	A_nt and A_ft is not alig	ned with reference transmit	ter	
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		MTF XTALK	Replace 400 mV with 48	1 mV (table 179B-4)		
The value for SFP224 M	TF ICN is TBD			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy				PROPOSED ACCEPT I Resolve using response			
Replace TBD with value	as proposed in kocsis_3dj_	01_2501					
Response	Response Status C			C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6	P <b>811</b>	L <b>31</b>	# 522
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
The CRG reviewed slide	8 of			Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		MTF XTALK
	/3/dj/public/25_01/kocsis_3c	lj_02b_2501.pd	lf.	be the same.	LD, SFP NEXT, and multi-la		EXT, are expected to
In Table 179B-3, change	TBD to 1.6			SuggestedRemedy			
		10	11 045	Change 4.25 ps to 6 ps,	twice		
C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6	P811	L <b>8</b>	# 215	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	emi		PROPOSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
Comment Type <b>T</b> Value for maximum "Inte	Comment Status A	oise voltage" is	MTF XTALK TBD.	Resolve using response	for comment #217.		
SuggestedRemedy							
Expect a contribution wit	h proposals.						
Response	Response Status C						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE							
Resolve using response	for comment #461.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6 Page 93 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

Cl 179B SC 179B.4.6 F	°811 L31	# 54	C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6	P <b>811</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 454
Mellitz, Richard Sar	ntec		Sekel, Steve	Wilder Techno	logies	
Comment Type TR Comment Statu	is <b>D</b>	MTF XTALK	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		MTF XTALK
T_nt and T_ft is not aligned with reference	e transmitter		Values for MDFEXT, MI	DNEXT and Total ICN are liste	ed as TBD	
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy			
Replace 6 ps with 4 ps (table 179B-4)				with measuremnt data will be	presented in co	ontribuion during 802.3
Proposed Response Response Statu	s W		Interim meeting			
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	_		Response	Response Status <b>C</b>		
Resolve using response for comment #21	7.		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Resolve using the respo			
C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6 F	°811 L43	# 462	C/ 179B SC 179B4.1	P805	L <b>48</b>	# [50]
Kocsis, Sam Am	phenol				L <b>48</b>	# 50
Comment Type T Comment Statu	is A	MTF XTALK	Mellitz, Richard	Samtec		
The value(s) for Multi-lane MTF ICN is TB	D.		Comment Type TR FOM ILD is TBD	Comment Status A		MTF FOM ILD
SuggestedRemedy						
Replace TBD with value as proposed in keep	ocsis_3dj_01_2501		SuggestedRemedy	honnal aakal 2di 02 2407		
Response Response Statu	s C			hannel , sekel_3dj_02_2407	replace IBD de	S WITH U. 16 dB
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Response Status C		
The CRG reviewed https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_01	/mammenga_3di_01_2	501 pdf, and slide 8 of	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Resolve using response			
https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_01			C/ 179B SC 179B4.1		1.40	# []
Change TBDs on Table 179B-5 to the value	ues provided in slide 8 c	f kacsis 3di 02h 2501		P806	L <b>46</b>	# 51
	•		Mellitz, Richard	Samtec		MTF FOM ILD
	°811 L43	# 217	Comment Type <b>TR</b> T_t is not aligned with re	Comment Status R		MTF FOM ILD
	hawave Semi		_ 0			
Comment Type T Comment Statu	is A	MTF XTALK	SuggestedRemedy Replace 6 ps with 4 ps			
Values for crosstalk noise are TBD.						
SuggestedRemedy			Response	Response Status Z		
Expect a contribution with proposals.			REJECT.			
Response Response Statu	s C		This comment was WIT	HDRAWN by the commenter		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
Resolve using the response to #462.						

C/ 179B SC 179B4.1

C/ 179C	SC 179C.1	P <b>814</b>	L12	# 519	C/ 180	SC 1	80.3	P <b>412</b>	L15	# 227
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qunati	ım/Marvell	
Comment Typ	De E	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Ty	ype	TR	Comment Status A		signal ok
Media De	pendent Inter	face						n Fig 180-2 is from the Inner s		
SuggestedRe								box on the RX has Signal_Ol r variables before intorudcing		about Signal_OK then
Medium D	Dependent Int	erface			SuggestedR	Remedy	,			
Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	Response Status <b>C</b> E.						would be helfull here. After the port Inter-sublayer Layer Trai		
		erface is consistent with the c			Response			Response Status C		
	rial license.	dent Interface" to "Medium De	ependent Interra	ce across the draft	ACCEP	t in pf	RINCIPL	-E.		
C/ 179D	SC 179D.1.1	P <b>828</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 518				as proposed in the suggested	, ,	
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			service	Internac	e claus	e, which is defining interfaces	between sublay	/ers.
Comment Typ		Comment Status A		(bucket)	Howeve function			elpful to the reader to point ou	it references for	each of the major
This says	"a common s	set of electrical parameters sp ) it enables is not relevant to t	becified in 179.1	1, enabling a 1 m	Tunction	S III UIE	DIOCK	Jiayiani.		
	and it is not a			of connector types and				ing_status of the inter-sublaye	0	5
SuggestedRe	medy				of the in similar v		layer tra	aining (ILT) function (see 180.	5.12)". Update "	181.3, 182.3, 183.3 in a
Delete "er	nabling a 1 m	length"								
Response		Response Status C						nting out reference to subclau n similar way.	ses defining the	ese. Update , 181.5.1,
	IN PRINCIPL									
	nt project sco xt is incorrect	pe supports multiple cable ty	pes of varying le	engths, and so the	Impleme	ent with	editoria	al license.		
		ed remedy with editorial licen	se.							

C/ 180 SC 180.3

C/ 180	SC 180.5.1	P <b>413</b>	L <b>27</b>	# 316
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	/pe TR	Comment Status A		PMD block diagram

The subclause title is "PMD block diagram", and the text refers to Figure 180-2 as the PMD block diagram, but it is not - it is a block diagram of the full link between two PMDs and their adjacent PMAs.

The diagram is good as it is, but the title and the text should be changed. The suggested remedy is one possibility, but variations of it can be used.

Also applies to the similar subclauses 181.5.1, 182.5.1, 183.5.1. Other two subclauses, 185.5.1 and 187.5.1, have a separate PMD block diagram and refer to the link diagram as "A block diagram for the PMD transmit/receive paths" instead, but their titles are still "PMD block diagram" ...

### SugaestedRemedv

Change the subclause title to "PMD specification points". Change the text to refer to the diagram as a "link block diagram".

Change the figure title to align with the description.

Implement as appropriate in all optical PMD clauses with editorial license.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The referenced block diagram provides much more than just the PMD. It shows the transmit and receive paths from the PMA at the transmitting end to the PMA at the receiving end and including the PMDs. MDIs. medium, test points, etc. between. It is therefore inaccurate to title the subclause "PMD block diagram". The figure title is okay as it is. The text in similar paragraphs is inconsistent with "The PMD block diagram" in the first paragraph and "The block diagram" in the second, third, and fouther paragraphs.

Comment Type Comment Status A TR In 180.5.1. Change the subclause title to "Block diagram" via the PMD service interface" On page 413 line 28, change "PMD block diagram" to "block diagram". In 181.5.1. Change the subclause title to "Block diagram" On page 441 line 3, change "PMD block diagram" to "block diagram". management. In 182.5.1. SugaestedRemedv Change the subclause title to "Block diagram" On page 466 line 34, change "PMD block diagram" to "block diagram". Delete the quoted sentence. In 183.5.1. Change the subclause title to "Block diagram" Implement similarly in other optical PMD clauses as necessary, with editorial license. On page 495 line 8, change "PMD block diagram" to "block diagram". Response Response Status C In 185.5.1. Change the subclause title to "Block diagram" ACCEPT. On page 546 line 43, change "for the PMD transmit/receive paths" to "transmit/receive paths"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

In 187.5.1.

Change the subclause title to "Block diagram"

On page 619 line 43, change "for the PMD transmit/receive paths" to "transmit/receive paths"

Implement with editorial license.

[Editor's note: CC: 180, 181, 182, 183, 185, 187]

C/ 180 SC	C 180.5.1	P <b>414</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 317
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A		(bucketp)

The text boxes in Figure 180-2 are somewhat cluttered.

### SuggestedRemedy

Change the service interface labels to "PMD:IS UNITDATA i.request" and "PMD:IS UNITDATA i.indication" (instead of "0 to 3").

Move the text "For clarity." to the bottom of the diagram, and precede it with "NOTE".

Implement similarly in other optical PMD clauses as necessary, with editorial license.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

!! Pulled from bucket #1 !! Reponse updated 2025/1/19

C/ 180	SC 180.5.4	P <b>415</b>	L1	# 318
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment T	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		(bucketp)

"The state of the Global PMD signal detect variable is conveyed to PMD client sublayers

This is not true anymore; the service interface conveys the state of the ILT function (as shown in the diagram). The variable has a different semantic and is only accessible through

C/ 180

SC 180.5.4

Page 96 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 180 S	SC 180.7.1	P <b>418</b>	L12	# 319	C/ 180	SC 180.7.1	P <b>463</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 344
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type	e T	Comment Status R		(withdrawn)	Comment 7	Туре Е	Comment Status A		(bucketp)
PMDs. I assume t specificatio case can s Should the correspond	this is due to on for a 2000 still have a sin transmitter's ding to a sing medy	eturn loss tolerance in 200GE the transmitter's connector; in GBASE-DR1 with a multi-fiber ngle-lane MDI. s RINxxOMA in this case be r gle-lane MDI? ver is and where this distinction	f that's true, sho r MDI (breakout) measured with a	uld there be a different ? The receiver in that reflectance	now ind Also, th these p lane". Appare creates	clude the words ne modified nam parameters outs ently the whole ta s unnecessary c	ution of comment #71 agains "each lane". The few rows th nes of the parameters were n ide the table; for example foc able is applicable for each lar lutter in the table and elsewh arameters and not on others	at do not, are als ot consistently a ptnote c as "RINx ne. The current p ere in the clause	so applicable per lane. pplied to references to xOMA" without "each parameter naming e, and having "each
Whatever to license.	the solution i	s, implement similarly in clau	ise 182 as nece	ssary, with editorial	Suggested Add " c		the table heading. Delete it f	rom the rows it a	ppears on.
Response		Response Status Z			If nece	ssary, add text a	above the table to clarify.		
REJECT.	nent was WIT	THDRAWN by the commente	er.			"each lane" fror the table).	n the names of the paramete	rs elsewhere in t	his clause (e.g. the text
					Implem	nent similarly in	other optical PMD clauses as	s necessary, with	editorial license.
					Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status <b>C</b> E.		
					Add "e	ach lane" where	appropriate. With editorial lie	cense	

C/ 180 SC 180.7.1

C/ 180 SC 180.7.3 P420 L24 # 320	C/ 180 S	SC 180.7.3		P <b>420</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 231
Ran, Adee Cisco	Ghiasi, Ali		Gł	niasi Qunatum/	Marvell	
Comment Type T Comment Status R power budge	Comment Typ	De TR	Comment Stat	tus <b>R</b>		power budge
This subclause is in the hierarchy undier 180.7 "PMD to MDI optical specifications".	MPI/DGP	penalty of 0.	1 dB is too small fo	or this PMD typ	be	
But the subclause content does not contain any specifications - it only explains the rationale for other specifications. It is informative in nature. This can be solved by renaming clauses and/or changing the hierarchy. The suggested	0.58 dB	SE-DR MPI p			·	enalty for this PMD is 0.12 dB with 0.18 dB
remedy is one option, but others may be chosen.			for this PMD is 0.3 le plant loss from 3			enalty 0.5 dB for all _3dj_02_2501
SuggestedRemedy Move this subclause out to a 2nd-level subclause after the 180.8 (that is, a new 180.9) and	Response		Response Stati	us C		
rename it "Power budget".	REJECT.					
Implement similarly in other optical PMD clauses as necessary, with editorial license.			nent #66 to D1.1 a			rejected. alty is needed then a
Response Response Status C	complete	revision of th	e DR1 spec is nee	ded. Therefore	the propose	ed remedy is
REJECT.	incomplete	te. A complet	e proposal for the	revision of the	power budge	et is necessary.
	Accomplet				t was not pr	wided on requested
Even when 180.7.2 is not normative it is year, useful to the reader to show the conchlition	A complet	te proposal to	or the revision of th	ie power budge	a was not pr	ovided as requested.
Even when 180.7.3 is not normative it is very useful to the reader to show the capabilities and limitation of the interface. Separating it from 180.7.1 and 180.7.2 does not improve the guality of the draft						i_3dj_02_2501.pdf.
	The CRG	reviewed htt		org/3/dj/public/	25_01/ghias	i_3dj_02_2501.pdf.
and limitation of the interface. Separating it from 180.7.1 and 180.7.2 does not improve the	The CRG After CRG	reviewed htt	ps://www.ieee802. there was no cons	org/3/dj/public/	25_01/ghias	i_3dj_02_2501.pdf.
and limitation of the interface. Separating it from 180.7.1 and 180.7.2 does not improve the	The CRG After CRG	reviewed htt G discussion	ps://www.ieee802. there was no cons Gł	org/3/dj/public/ ensus to make P <b>473</b> niasi Qunatum/	25_01/ghias a change at L <b>46</b>	i_3dj_02_2501.pdf. t this time.
and limitation of the interface. Separating it from 180.7.1 and 180.7.2 does not improve the	The CRG After CRG Cl <b>180</b> Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ	reviewed htt G discussion SC 180.7.3 De TR penalty of 0.	ps://www.ieee802. there was no cons	org/3/dj/public/ ensus to make P <b>473</b> niasi Qunatum/	25_01/ghias a change at <i>L</i> 46 Marvell	i_3dj_02_2501.pdf. t this time. # 233 power budge
and limitation of the interface. Separating it from 180.7.1 and 180.7.2 does not improve the	The CRG After CRG Cl 180 S Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ MPI/DGP 400G/800 SuggestedRer 200GBAS is 0.63 dB 400GBAS DGD the t an accept	reviewed htt G discussion SC 180.7.3 De TR penalty of 0. DG/1.6T medy SE-DR-2 MPI 3 SE-DR2/800G total penalty table alternati	ps://www.ieee802. there was no cons Gr <i>Comment Stat</i> 4 dB is too small fo penalty is 0.45 dB BASE-DR4/800GI for this PMD is 0.2	org/3/dj/public/. ensus to make P473 niasi Qunatum/ tus R or 200GBASE- with 0.18 dB E BASE-DR8 MP 28 dB. We can umbner of conr	25_01/ghias a change at <i>L</i> 46 Marvell DR and too DGD the tota I penalty is ( either defin hectros to 4 f	i_3dj_02_2501.pdf. t this time. # 233 power budge
and limitation of the interface. Separating it from 180.7.1 and 180.7.2 does not improve the	The CRG After CRG Cl 180 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ MPI/DGP 400G/800 SuggestedRei 200GBAS is 0.63 dB 400GBAS DGD the t an accept stay with o	reviewed htt G discussion SC 180.7.3 De TR penalty of 0. DG/1.6T medy SE-DR-2 MPI SE-DR2/800G total penalty table alternaticurrent 0.4 di	ps://www.ieee802. there was no cons Gr <i>Comment Stat</i> 4 dB is too small fo penalty is 0.45 dB BBASE-DR4/800GI for this PMD is 0.2 ive is to limit the no	org/3/dj/public/ ensus to make P473 niasi Qunatum/ tus R or 200GBASE- with 0.18 dB I BASE-DR8 MP 28 dB. We can umbner of conr hiasi_3dj_02_2	25_01/ghias a change at <i>L</i> 46 Marvell DR and too DGD the tota I penalty is ( either defin hectros to 4 f	i_3dj_02_2501.pdf. t this time. # [233 power budg generaous for al penalty for this PMD 0.1 dB with 0.18 dB e different link budget,
and limitation of the interface. Separating it from 180.7.1 and 180.7.2 does not improve the	The CRG After CRG Cl 180 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ MPI/DGP 400G/800 SuggestedRet 200GBAS is 0.63 dB 400GBAS DGD the t an accept stay with o	reviewed htt G discussion SC 180.7.3 De TR penalty of 0. DG/1.6T medy SE-DR-2 MPI SE-DR2/800G total penalty table alternaticurrent 0.4 di	ps://www.ieee802. there was no cons Gł <i>Comment Stat</i> 4 dB is too small fo penalty is 0.45 dB BASE-DR4/800Gl for this PMD is 0.2 ive is to limit the nu B budget. See G	org/3/dj/public/ ensus to make P473 niasi Qunatum/ tus R or 200GBASE- with 0.18 dB I BASE-DR8 MP 28 dB. We can umbner of conr hiasi_3dj_02_2	25_01/ghias a change at <i>L</i> 46 Marvell DR and too DGD the tota I penalty is ( either defin hectros to 4 f	i_3dj_02_2501.pdf. t this time. # 233 power budg generaous for al penalty for this PMD 0.1 dB with 0.18 dB e different link budget,

C/ 180 SC 180.7.3

C/ 180	SC 180.8	P <b>421</b>	L <b>41</b>	# 321	C/ 180	SC 180.8	P <b>422</b>	L17	# 323
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status R		channel requirements
The wo	rds "shall meet	the" appear twice in successi	on.		"DGD_m	nax is the max	imum differential group delay	that the system	n is required to tolerate"
SuggestedF Delete o	2						ere are both a definition of an e way it is written makes it im		•
Response ACCEP	РТ.	Response Status C				0 0	is footnote appears in many o ay of specifying things.	clauses in the b	ase document, it is
C/ 180	SC 180.8	P <b>421</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 322	It would	be preferable	to separate the definition to a	subclause, and	d possibly add a
Ran, Adee		Cisco			correspo	onding receive	r specification.		
Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status A		(bucket)	SuggestedR	emedy			
•	e definitions in 7 in 180.9.	180.9" seems irrelevant. There	are not specific	ations related to Table			ave DGD tolerance as a recei olerate" to "that a receiver is		, 0
SuggestedF	Remedy				If this is	a receiver req	uirement, add a row in Table	180-8 with "DG	D tolerance".
	per the definiti	ons in 180.9". other optical PMD clauses as	necessary with	aditorial license		ly, either way, in a footnote.	create a new subclause in 1	80.9 with a defi	nition of DGD, instead of
Response	one on many in	Response Status <b>C</b>	necessary, with		0				
•	T IN PRINCIP	,			•	ent similarly in	other optical PMD clauses as	s necessary, wit	th editorial license.
		remedy with editorial license.			Response REJECT	<del>.</del> .	Response Status C		
							ental impairment of the link w tivity specifications.	hich produces	a penalty of the receiver
					DGD m	ax in Table 18	0-10 specifies the worst case	intended/expe	cted on the optical

DGD\_max in Table 180-10 specifies the worst case intended/expected on the optical channel. The impact on the receiver is accounted for by the addition 0.1 dB penalty allocated as noted in Table 180-9, footnote b.

C/ 180 SC 180.8

	SC 180.8.1	P <b>422</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 324	C/ 180	SC 180.8.3	P	423	L <b>45</b>	# 326	
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cis	со			
Comment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status R		fiber characteristics	Comment T	ype <b>TR</b>	Comment Statu	is <b>A</b>			MD
A range	e of allowed valu	es is usually indicated by "a	to b" (see 14.2 i	n the style manual).			DI definitions for eac				
Suggested Change						ppear in this cl	breakout, as descril ause).	oed by Anr	nex 180A (the wo	ord "breakout" do	bes not
Response REJEC		Response Status <b>C</b>	editorial team. cl	nanoing the equation	assignn DR1 (18	nents" (180.8. 30.8.3.2) that, imilarly, 180.8	ntioned in NOTE para 3.1), there are norma as written, do not ad 3.3.3 do not address	ative ("shal Idress the p	I") MDI requirem	nents for 200GBA wider MDIs for thi	ASE- is
style to	an "a to b" style	e does not improve the quality	y of the draft, wh		Suggested	-					
		quite some time in in-force	•		00	,	rences to the alterna	tive MDIs	(180.8.3.3 and 1	80.8.3.4) and to	Annex
C/ 180	SC 180.8.1	P <b>422</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 325	180A. In 180 8	33 add a re	ference to the alternation	ative MDL (	(180 8 3 4) and t	o Annex 180A	
Ran, Adee		Cisco			11 100.0	, add a ro			(100.0.0.4) and t	O AIMOX TOOA.	
Comment T Dispers	<i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> sion slope unit is	Comment Status A ps/(nm^2 km).		(bucketp)		er adding a sta x 180A.	atement in the text of	180.8.3 w	ith the word "bre	eakout" and a refe	erence
	(	4.3) requires parentheses in a le says a multiplication sign i		vo ofton do not follow		ent similarly ir	other optical PMD o		necessary, with	editorial license.	
Add pa	<i>Remedy</i> rentheses.					T IN PRINCIF	Response Statu PLE.				
Suggested. Add pa Consid	<i>Remedy</i> rentheses. er adding a mult	iplication sign.			ACCEP		PLE.		L <b>52</b>	# 327	
Suggested. Add pa Consid	<i>Remedy</i> rentheses. er adding a mult				ACCEP	e using the res	PLE.	≠57 2 <b>423</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 327	
Suggested Add pa Consid Implem Response	Remedy Irentheses. er adding a mult nent similarly in c	iplication sign. other optical PMD clauses as <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>			ACCEP Resolve C/ 180	e using the res	PLE.	∉57 2 <b>423</b> co	L <b>52</b>		bucketp
Suggested Add pa Consid Implem Response ACCEF	Remedy irentheses. er adding a mult nent similarly in c PT IN PRINCIPL	iplication sign. other optical PMD clauses as <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>			ACCEP Resolve Cl 180 Ran, Adee Comment T "leftmos	e using the res SC <b>180.8.3</b> <i>Type</i> <b>ER</b> st" and "rightm	PLE. ponse to comment # 1.1 P Cisu	#57 2 <b>423</b> co us <b>A</b> nglish word	ls (that appear ir	(b dictionaries). Th	
Suggested. Add pa Consid Implem Response ACCEF	Remedy irentheses. er adding a mult nent similarly in c PT IN PRINCIPL	iplication sign. other optical PMD clauses as <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E.			ACCEP Resolve Cl 180 Ran, Adee Comment T "leftmos hyphen	SC 180.8.3. SC 180.8.3. Sype ER st" and "rightm ated compour	PLE. ponse to comment # 1.1 <i>F</i> Cise <i>Comment Statu</i> ost" are standard Er	¢57 2423 co /s Α nglish word and do not	ls (that appear ir	(b dictionaries). Th	
Suggested. Add pa Consid Implem Response ACCEF	Remedy irentheses. er adding a mult nent similarly in c PT IN PRINCIPL	iplication sign. other optical PMD clauses as <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E.			ACCEP Resolve Cl 180 Ran, Adee Comment T "leftmos hyphen	E using the res SC 180.8.3. Sype ER st" and "rightm ated compour at 180.8.3.1.3	PLE. ponse to comment # 1.1 P Cise Comment Statu tost" are standard Er ds are nonstandard	¢57 2423 co /s Α nglish word and do not	ls (that appear ir	(b dictionaries). Th	
Suggested. Add pa Consid Implem Response ACCEF	Remedy irentheses. er adding a mult nent similarly in c PT IN PRINCIPL	iplication sign. other optical PMD clauses as <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E.			ACCEP Resolve Cl 180 Ran, Adee Comment T "leftmos hyphen Note the Suggested	SC 180.8.3. SC 180.8.3. Sype ER st" and "rightm ated compour at 180.8.3.1.3 Remedy	PLE. ponse to comment # 1.1 P Cise Comment Statu tost" are standard Er ds are nonstandard	#57 2 <b>423</b> co us <b>A</b> nglish word and do not rds.	ls (that appear ir help the reader	(b n dictionaries). Th	
Suggested Add pa Consid Implem Response ACCEF	Remedy irentheses. er adding a mult nent similarly in c PT IN PRINCIPL	iplication sign. other optical PMD clauses as <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E.			ACCEP Resolve Cl 180 Ran, Adee Comment T "leftmos hyphen Note th SuggestedF Change	SC 180.8.3. SC 180.8.3. Sype ER st" and "rightm ated compour at 180.8.3.1.3 Remedy to "leftmost"	PLE. ponse to comment # 1.1 <i>P</i> Cise <i>Comment Statu</i> ost" are standard Er ds are nonstandard uses the correct wor	#57 2423 co nglish word and do not rds. e and elsev	ls (that appear in thelp the reader where in this clau	(b n dictionaries). Th use.	ne

C/ 180 SC 180.8.3.1.1

C/ 180 SC 180.8.3.	1.1 P424	L1	# 328	C/ 180 SC 180.9	P <b>427</b>	L <b>45</b>	# 236
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi C	Qunatum/Marvell	
Comment Type ER Table 180-14 is for 80	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Type TR	Comment Status A traffic must be active for t		measurement method
	NGDAJE-DR4.				traffic must be active for i	inese lesis	
SuggestedRemedy	to Table 400 42			SuggestedRemedy	annah Caustan ananaa	tion on wohne of	
Change the reference					agrpah, Counter-propaga plied to the module under		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			PRBS31Q, or a valid	100GBASE-R, 200GBAS See Ghiasi_3dj_01_2501	SE-R, or 400GBAS	
C/ 180 SC 180.8.3.	2 P426	L33	# 329	Response	Response Status C	;	
Ran, Adee	Cisco	200	# <u>525</u>	ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.		
Comment Type ER No need for quotes in	Comment Status A		(bucketp)	Resolve using the re-	sponse to comment #240.		
SuggestedRemedy							
Delete the quotes. Implement similarly in	other optical PMD clauses as	s necessary, with	editorial license.				
	other optical PMD clauses as Response Status <b>C</b>	s necessary, with	editorial license.				
Implement similarly in	•	s necessary, with	editorial license.				
Implement similarly in Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C	s necessary, with	editorial license. # 330				
Implement similarly in Response ACCEPT. Cl 180 SC 180.8.3.	Response Status C						
Implement similarly in Response ACCEPT. C/ 180 SC 180.8.3. Ran, Adee	Response Status C 2 P426						
Implement similarly in Response ACCEPT. Cl 180 SC 180.8.3. Ran, Adee Comment Type TR	Response Status C 2 P426 Cisco Comment Status R smitter compliance testing do	L41	# <u>330</u> (bucketp)				
Implement similarly in Response ACCEPT. Cl 180 SC 180.8.3. Ran, Adee Comment Type TR The NOTE about tran requirements subclau	Response Status C 2 P426 Cisco Comment Status R smitter compliance testing do	L41	# <u>330</u> (bucketp)				
Implement similarly in Response ACCEPT. Cl 180 SC 180.8.3. Ran, Adee Comment Type TR The NOTE about tran requirements subclau SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C 2 P426 Cisco Comment Status R smitter compliance testing do	L41	# <u>330</u> (bucketp)				
Implement similarly in Response ACCEPT. C/ 180 SC 180.8.3. Ran, Adee Comment Type TR The NOTE about tran requirements subclau SuggestedRemedy Delete this NOTE. Response REJECT.	Response Status C 2 P426 Cisco Comment Status R smitter compliance testing doo ses. It is not required.	L <b>41</b> es not appear in a	# <u>330</u> (bucketp)				

C/ 180 SC 180.9

C/ 180 SC 180.9.4	P <b>430</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 186		C/ 180	SC ·	180.9.5	P430	)	L <b>4</b>	# 171
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	emi			Johnson, J	John		Broado	om		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			taps	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status	4		SE
Value for minimum "numb	er of equalizer pre-cursor	taps" is TBD.						od points to clause 121			
SuggestedRemedy								e for 200G/lane AUIs. Ils should be 4.56e-4 f			
Either set the the value to straddle the minimum/max					Suggested	IRemed	ly				
Response	Response Status <b>C</b>						ception to	the list: error ratio of 4.56e-4."			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					Response		-,	Response Status	c		
Based on the results of st Table 183-14 set the mini In Table 182-18, delete th	mum number of pre-curso	r taps to 0.		·18,	Add a "The ta	new exe arget PA	PRINCIPL ception to AM4 symb h editoria	the list: ool error ratio is 4.56e-	4 and th	ne related Q_t v	alue is 3.428."
Implement with editorial lie	cense.				C/ 180	SC '	180.9.5	P43	)	L <b>22</b>	# 244
		dine etie e el			Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi	Qunatu	m/Marvell	
Straw poll #TF-1 (Chicago In Table 180-18, Table 18			ort setting minimum		Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status	र		TDEC
number of pre-cursor taps		,, ,	5								e penalty measurment,
A: 0 B: 1								op a Golden hardwre capture block erros/pe		e receiver or we	e have to improve
C: 2									many.		
D: 3					Suggested						
TF-1: A: 41 B: 24 C: 21 D TF-2: A: 34 B: 7 C: 7 D: 2					capturi	ing 10 🗄	SSPRQ v	dation is to measure bl vaveforms which forms EC blocks when 4-wit	65535	FEC symbols,	~120 KP4 FEC blocks,
Straw poll #TF-3 (choose In Table 180-18, Table 18 number of pre-cursor taps A: 0 B: 3	1-13, Table 182-18, Table	e 183-14, I suppo	ort setting minimum		blocks https:// blocks create	are pro /www.ie from ea the PD	ecessed a ee802.org ach group	s in definition in g/3/dj/public/24_09/he o of 30 blocks then cor calculate block TDEC	aley_3dj nbine 3	_02a_2409.pdf worst blocks fro	proposal. Use worst 3
A: 43 B: 22					Response			Response Status	Z		
					REJEC	CT.					
					This co	omment	t was WIT	HDRAWN by the com	menter		

C/ 180 SC 180.9.5

## Ethernet 4th Task Force review comment

		EEE P802.3dj D	1.3 200 Gb/s,	400 Gb/s, 8	800 Gb/s, a	nd 1.6 Tb/s E
C/ 180	SC 180.9.5	P <b>430</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 240		l support (crosstall
Ghiasi, Al	i	Ghiasi Qunat	um/Marvell			PMD rec
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			TDECQ	3, 5, or 7 Yes: 47
TDEC	Q masuremnt ne	eds to define test condition v	when there is an	optional AUI		No: 20
Suggestee	dRemedy					Straw po
confo applic Modu	rming implementa able module strea le stressed input	to the list of requiremetns in ation must meet TDECQ with ss input test as in 176C.4.4.5 tolerance, or 120E.3.4.1 Moo iving the TDECQ pattern.	the exposed AU Receiver jitter to dule stressed input	Il configured fo plerance, 1200 ut test and the	or G.3.4.3	I support AUI inpu may be e Yes: 38 No: 28
Response	)	Response Status C				C/ 180
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.				
The fo	llowing contributi	on was reviewed by the CRC	<u>-</u>			Ghiasi, Ali
		g/3/dj/public/25_01/ghiasi_30				Comment Ty Number
- Cour aggre Claus	nter-propagating ssor used in rece e 180/181, the cr	CQ exceptions to be appropri asynchronous optical signals iver stress tests is applied to osstalk test pattern can be pro- ter by a pattern can be pro-	(crosstalk) as sp all the PMD rece	eive inputs at 7	TP3. For	SuggestedRe What wa floating a 3 similar
the ch	ossiaik pailem ca	an be pattern 5 or 7.				Response
		ment proposes adding a new /hich if adopted may also be			the	ACCEPT Resolve
		ion where AUI is exposed, th				C/ 180
	e for the SSPRQ E-R signal.	test pattern. The AUI pattern	may be either P	RBS31Q or a	valid	Dudek, Mike
ADAO						Comment Ty
Straw I supp data s as pro Yes: 4 No: 18	bort adoption of a stream asynchron pposed in ghiasi_: 48 8	e 1) directional dditional criteria for TDECQ v ous with the transmit path a 3dj_01.				For com different TDECQ i 183. In number of the TDEC 800GBA 200GBA post curs
	poll TF-5 direc port adoption of a	tional dditional criteria for TDECQ v	where PMD trans	mit clock is		SuggestedRe
synch propo	ronized to the clo sed in ghiasi_3dj	ock recovered on the AUI inpu			as	Make the and max
Yes: 4 No: 24						Response
110. 2-						ACCEPT

rt adopting exception "- Counter-propagating asynchronous optical signals alk) as specified for the aggressor used in receiver stress tests is applied to all the ceive inputs at TP3. For Clause 180/181, the crosstalk test pattern can be pattern 7. For Clause 182/183, the crosstalk pattern can be pattern 5 or 7."

### oll TF-7 -- decision

rt adopting TDECQ exception "- Where transmit direction where AUI is exposed, the ut recovered clock is the clock source for the SSPRQ test pattern. The AUI pattern either PRBS31Q or a valid xBASE-R signal.

C/ 180	SC	180.9.5	P <b>430</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 251
Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qunatu	m/Marvell	
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		taps
Number	ar of ar		maximum with min TDD		

of pre-cursor is maximum with min TBD

### Remedy

as agreed during Sept 2024 meeting to go with fixed 3 pre-cursors and not a at least for now, given than agreement merge the TBD and max line and just enter r to FFE length of 15.

Response		Response Status C		
	PT IN PRINCIPL	E. onse to comment #186		
C/ 180	SC 180.9.5	P <b>430</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 422
Dudek, M	ike	Marvell		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		taps

monality of implementation and becasue there is no expected reason for needing a tap allocation for the TDECQ reference equalizer for the different clauses the reference equalizer should be made the same for the clauses 180,181,182 and D1.3 all the clauses have the same 15 FFE length and the same 3 maximum of pre-cursor taps however the minimum number of equalizer pre-cursor taps for CQ reference equalizer is TBD in table 180-18 (for 200GBASE-DR1 etc.) as it is for ASE-FR4-500 in table 181-13 and 800GBASE-FR4 etc. in table 183- 14 whereas for ASE-DR1-2 etc in table 182-18 the format is different with a maximum number of rsor taps of 13 implying a minimum number of pre-cursor taps of 2.

### Remedy

e format of the tables the same. Adopt a minimum number of pre-cursor taps of 2 ximum number of ppre-cursor taps of 3 for all the tables.

Response	Response Status	С	
----------	-----------------	---	--

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #186

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ <b>180</b>	Page 103 of 136
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C	C/closed Z/withdrawn SC 180.9.5	1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Straw poll TF-6 -- decision

	SC 180.9.5	P <b>430</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 172	C/ 180 SC
Johnson,	John	Broadcom			Brown, Matt
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		taps	Comment Type
	her proposals, this v	num number of equalizer alue should be 0, consiste			Table 180-8 specifies th footnote is l
Suggested	dRemedy				SuggestedRem
	ge TBD in Table 180 the associated edite				Change foo
Response	F	Response Status <b>C</b>			Response
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				ACCEPT IN
	- ·		1.05	# [224	
C/ 180	SC 180.9.5	P <b>430</b>	L <b>35</b>	# 331	C/ 180 SC
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee
Comment	<i>)</i>	Comment Status A		(bucket)	
	tap" is not defined a	8 says "Relative to main t nywhere, though it may b		it is the largest positive	The last col appears in t
Even	with that assumption	<ul> <li>It is unclear whether this</li> <li>coefficient or that the other</li> </ul>			It is unclear difference b specific cha
					-1
l susp	ect the answer is "bo	oth" but it is not clear from	the text.		I suspect th
l susp Suggested		oth" but it is not clear from	the text.		·
Suggested Chang	<i>dRemedy</i> ge footnote a to read	"The main tap is marked		imum and maximum	I suspect th but I may be SuggestedReme
Suggested Chang values	dRemedy ge footnote a to read are relative to this t	"The main tap is marked ap's coefficient."	by i=0. The min		I suspect th but I may be
Suggested Chang values Impler	dRemedy ge footnote a to read s are relative to this t ment similarly in othe	"The main tap is marked ap's coefficient." er optical PMD clauses as	by i=0. The min		I suspect th but I may be SuggestedRem If the intent is. Otherwis
Suggested Chang values Impler Response	dRemedy ge footnote a to read s are relative to this t ment similarly in othe F	"The main tap is marked ap's coefficient."	by i=0. The min		I suspect th but I may be <i>SuggestedRem</i> If the intent is. Otherwis Implement s
Suggested Chang values Impler Response ACCE Impler	dRemedy ge footnote a to read s are relative to this t ment similarly in othe F PT IN PRINCIPLE. ment suggested rem	"The main tap is marked ap's coefficient." er optical PMD clauses as Response Status <b>C</b> edy (also in 181, 182, and	by i=0. The min necessary, with	editorial license.	I suspect th but I may be SuggestedRem If the intent is. Otherwis
Suggested Chang values Impler Response ACCE Impler	dRemedy ge footnote a to read s are relative to this t ment similarly in othe F PT IN PRINCIPLE.	"The main tap is marked ap's coefficient." er optical PMD clauses as Response Status <b>C</b> edy (also in 181, 182, and	by i=0. The min necessary, with	editorial license.	I suspect th but I may be SuggestedRem If the intent is. Otherwis Implement s Response
Suggested Chang values Impler Response ACCE Impler	dRemedy ge footnote a to read s are relative to this t ment similarly in othe F PT IN PRINCIPLE. ment suggested rem	"The main tap is marked ap's coefficient." er optical PMD clauses as Response Status <b>C</b> edy (also in 181, 182, and	by i=0. The min necessary, with	editorial license.	I suspect th but I may be SuggestedRem If the intent is. Otherwis Implement Response ACCEPT IN The inclusic channel is r chromatic d

C/ 180	SC 180.9.5	P4	30	L <b>46</b>	# 15
Brown, Mat	t	Alpha	awave S	Semi	
Comment T	ype <b>T</b>	Comment Status	Α		(bucketp
specifie	s the target value		d to be		he row for FFE gain potnote. However, the
SuggestedF	Remedy				
Change	footnote b to "T	he sum of the all eq	ualizer	coefficients."	
Response		Response Status	С		
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE				
Implem	ent suggested re	medy throughout th	e draft	with editorial licer	ISE.
C/ 180	SC 180.9.5			L9	# 332
Ran, Adee		Cisco		_•	
Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status			channel requirement
				"maan DCD" and	,
		e 180-19 contains th paragraph of this su			a this term also
l suspe		oes not have a mea is just that the DGD		channel is below	the maximum value,
SuggestedF	Remedy				
	tent is to calculat rwise, reword as		distribut	ion of DGD, clarif	y what that distribution
Implem	ent similarly in ot	her optical PMD cla	iuses a	s necessary, with	editorial license.
Response		Response Status	С		
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE	i.			
channe chroma	l is not "spoiled"   tic dispersion and	for mean DGD is to by excessive DGD s d that the penalty du le 180-19 (and also	so that t ue to D	the major contribu GD is a minor con	itior to TDECQ is
that the					ication is to ensure he primary contribution
Implem	ent with editorial	license.			
,					

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 180

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
 SC
 180.9.5

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 180.9.5
 SC
 180.9.5

Page 104 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

	SC 180.9.10	P <b>432</b>	L <b>35</b>	# 333	C/ 180	SC 18	80.9.13		P <b>433</b>	L <b>37</b>	# 335
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee			(	Cisco		
Comment Typ	De TR	Comment Status A		channel requirements	Comment 7	ype .	TR	Comment St	atus R		(bucketp)
	he reference ec	ne measurement is defined y qualizer is to be used in the			greater	than the	value s	pecified in Tabl	e 180-7".	est transmitter ar	
		(180.9.11) it is specified expansion of the second stress of the second			the min		a transr				ear; should it be above se the intent is to
SuggestedRe Specify w	,	rence equalizer is to be use	d or not.							uired to be comp se should be writ	liant (rather than be ten.
					Suggestedl	Remedy					
Implemer	nt similarly in ot	her optical PMD clauses as	necessary, wi	th editorial license.	Change	are as	given in	" to "are within	the limits spe	ecified in".	
Response		Response Status C			Implem	ent simil	arly in o	ther optical PM	D clauses as	necessary, with	editorial license.
ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE				Response		, ,	Response Sta		, <b>,</b> ,	
The CRG	reviewed https	://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/pub	olic/25_01/isse	nhuth_3dj_01a_2501.pdf.	REJEC	т.			_		
	2 discussion the	ere was consensus to imple	mont clidos 7	11 with aditorial license	Aftor C	PC discu	uccion th	ere was no cor	concue to m	ako a chango	
		•								ake a change.	
	SC 180.9.11	P <b>433</b>	L <b>12</b>	# 334	No cha	nge to th	e draft.				
Ran, Adee		Cisco		ah an an dhaan sina maanta	C/ 180	SC 18	80.10.1		P <b>433</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 336
Comment Typ		Comment Status <b>A</b> an important observation th	at the equation	channel requirements	Ran, Adee			(	Cisco		
result con	sistent with that	t of the older method. This is the equation does not make	s important inf		Comment 7 Why is		<b>ER</b> 368-1" in	Comment St green? It is no		become an activ	<i>(bucket)</i> ve cross-reference.
SuggestedRe	medy				Similar	v for IEC	: referen	ces in 180.10.2	,		
	formative note				Suggested			003 11 100.10.2			
		RINxxOMA in equation 180 surement method defined in		to make the result	00		nat of th	ese references	to regular te	xt.	
	nt similarly in ot	her optical PMD clauses as	necessary, wi	th editorial license.	Implem	ent simil	arly in o	ther optical PM	D clauses as	necessary, with	editorial license.
Implemer		Response Status <b>C</b>			Response			Response Sta	atus C		
Response	IN PRINCIPLE	•				T IN PR ent sugg	-	<ol> <li>emedy with edite</li> </ol>	orial license.		
Response ACCEPT The note	was intended to o verify the vali	•					-		orial license.		
Response ACCEPT The note required t equation.	was intended to to verify the vali G discussion the	convey the message to the	o issues have	been raised with the			-		orial license.		

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

36 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 180 SC 180.11	P <b>435</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 337	C/ 180A SC 180A	P831	L <b>6</b>	# 517
Ran, Adee	Cisco			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia	-	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		MD
"PMD_signal_detect_3, SuggestedRemedy Delete "to".	to PMD_signal_detect_2"			While 802.3 should a specify details of cor	e" while line 18 says "This and acknowledge the reality and im nectors, and as there are so r ded. Leave it to the MSAs, Th	portance of break nany connector m	out, it does not have to
Implement similarly in o	ther optical PMD clauses as	necessary with	editorial license	SuggestedRemedy			
Response	Response Status C			Change "defined" to	"describes", like 179D.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	•	ise		Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status <b>C</b> PLE.		
C/ 180A SC 180A	P831	L1	# 57	Resolve using the re	sponse to comment #57.		
D'Ambrosia, John		S. Subsidiary of I	Huawei	C/ 181 SC 181.1	P <b>438</b>	L <b>49</b>	# 338
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		MDI	Ran, Adee	Cisco		
The annex is not written breakout implementation Additionally, Clauses 18 despite the annex then p	of Comment #188 against D' in an ethernet standards ap n, and doesn't address the N 0 and 182 are making norm providing additinoal MDI Cor s rejected, the CRG noted th	pproach, where it ADI choices of the ative statements nnector choices.	e DRx / DRx-2. regarding the MDIs,	Comment Type ER 169.2 is included in t SuggestedRemedy Make it an active link			(bucket)
SuggestedRemedy				Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status <b>C</b> PLE.		
Implement attached file	("dambrosia_3dj_01_25010	2.pdf") with edito	rial license.	Implement suggester	d remedy with editorial license		
Response	Response Status C			C/ 181 SC 181.3	P <b>440</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 228
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Quna	tum/Marvell	
	mody from al IPI > (dombro)	sia_3dj_01_2501	02 pdf with editorial	Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		signal of
Implement suggested re	ineuy nom <ur>uampio:</ur>		ozipai mai oanonai	51			Signal of
				Signal_OK as shown on TX and another IL	in Fig 180-2 is from the Inner T box on the RX has Signal_C ver variables before intorudcin	OK out. We talk a	nen goes into ILT box
Implement suggested re	ineuy nom «ORL»/dambio:			Signal_OK as shown on TX and another IL	in Fig 180-2 is from the Inner T box on the RX has Signal_0	OK out. We talk a	nen goes into ILT box
Implement suggested re	ineuy nom «orc»/dambio:	·		Signal_OK as shown on TX and another IL jump into inter-suplay SuggestedRemedy Referencing Fig 180-	in Fig 180-2 is from the Inner T box on the RX has Signal_0	DK out. We talk a g ILT. the 1st paragraph	nen goes into ILT box about Signal_OK then

Cl	181	
SC	181.3	

C/ 181	SC 181.3	P <b>440</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 339	C/ 181	SC 181.7.1	P <b>445</b>	L13	# 342
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
For this Using "n	, = 0 to n-1" PMD, the num! " just makes lif	Comment Status A per of PMD lanes is always 4 e harder for the reader, espec	cially since n (wi	th this meaning) only		ecification of "To	Comment Status R otal average launch power" is age launch power.	6 dB higher (a	Tx optical paramete factor of 4 in power)
	a few times in numbers are us	the clause, and in some plac ed.	es (e.g. Figure 1	81-2, 181.5.2, 181.5.3)			specification redundant - if ea the total fails, one of the land		
Note tha	at the "n" in 800	GAUI-n is a different variable	and should be	kept as is.	The sa	me holds for the	e FR4/LR4 WDM transmitters	in Table 183-4.	
SuggestedR	lemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
•	to "where i = 0 The number of	to 3". parallel streams, n, is 4.".					Add a footnote for the "each ove the per-lane maximum or		g that the maximum
	.4 change n to				Implerr	ent similarly in	183.7.1 with modified values	as necessary.	
_	.5, in Table 181	-15, and in Table 181-16, ch	ange "n-1" to 3.		Response		Response Status C		
	T IN PRINCIPL ent suggested r	Response Status <b>C</b> E. emedy with editorial license.				ning total avera	ge power is a useful addition The total power is necessary t		
C/ 181	SC 181.4.1	P <b>440</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 340	C/ 181	SC 181.7.3	P <b>448</b>	L48	# 232
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ghiasi, Ali	00 101.7.5	Ghiasi Qunat		# 232
Comment Ty		Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment 1	vpe TR	Comment Status R		power budge
	included in this	amendment.				51	5 dB maybe to small for this I	PMD type	power budge
SuggestedR	-				Suggested		,	51.5	
Response	an active link.	Response Status C			The Mi	PI penalty is 0.4	1 dB and DGD penalty is 0.18 current 0.5 dB mabe be acc		
	T IN PRINCIPL	E. emedy with editorial license.			Response		Response Status C		
C/ 181	SC 181.4.2	P440	L <b>28</b>	# 341	REJEC	τ.			
Ran, Adee	30 101.4.2	Cisco	L <b>20</b>	# 341	No evid	lence has been	provided that the draft is inco	orrect.	
Comment Ty 169 5 is	/pe ER included in this	Comment Status A		(bucket)	The CF	RG reviewed http	os://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/pul	blic/25_01/ghias	i_3dj_02_2501.pdf.
SuggestedR					After C	RG discussion t	there was no consensus to m	ake a change a	t this time.
Response	T IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 181 SC 181.7.3 Page 107 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:22 PM

C/ 181	SC 18	.9	P <b>451</b>	L <b>51</b>	# 237	C/ 181	SC	181.9.5	P <b>454</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 245	
Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qunat	tum/Marvell		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qu	inatum/Marvell		
Comment T	уре 1	R Col	mment Status A		measurement methods	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status R			TDECO
Counter	r propaga	ting traffic m	ust be active for these	e tests					or measurement but the <sup>-</sup>			
SuggestedF									lop a Golden hardwre ref		we have to impro	ove
at maxi	mum ON	A applied to t	the module under test	TP3. The cro		Suggested		,	detter to to second block			
	.,		SE-R, 200GBASE-R, iasi_3dj_01_2501	or 400GBASE	-R, or 800GBASE-R, or	capturi	ing 10	SSPRQ	dation is to measure bloc waveforms which forms 6	5535 FEC symbols	s, ~120 KP4 FEC	blocks,
Response	PT IN PRI		ponse Status C			blocks	are pr	ocessed a	FEC blocks when 4-with v is in definition in g/3/dj/public/24_09/heale	, 0		
			o comment #240.			blocks create	from e the PE	each group DF. Then	o of 30 blocks then comb calculate block TDECQ,	ne 3 worst blocks	from the 4 group	to
C/ 181	SC 18	.9.5	P <b>454</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 173		ee Gh	iasi_3dj_0	—			
Johnson, Jo	ohn		Broadcom			Response			Response Status Z			
Comment T		R Col	mment Status A		SER	REJEC	CT.					
				which uses a	target SER of 4.8e-4,	This co	ommer	nt was WI	THDRAWN by the comm	enter.		
which is	s not app	opriate for 20	00G/lane AUIs. As give uld be 4.56e-4 for unc	en in Table 17	4A-1, the appropriate	C/ 181	SC	181.9.5	P <b>454</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 241	
SuggestedF	Remedv					Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qu	inatum/Marvell		
00		otion to the lis	st:			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A			TDECO
"Target	PAM4 s	mbol error ra	tio of 4.56e-4."			TDEC	Q mas	uremnt ne	eds to define test condition	on when there is a	n optional AUI	
_		Res	ponse Status <b>C</b>			Suggested	Reme	dv				
Response		NCIPI F.				Add fo	llowing	codition	to the list of requiremetns ation must meet TDECQ			
ACCEP Add a n "The tai	new exce rget PAN	otion to the lis	or ratio is 4.56e-4 and	the related Q_	t value is 3.428."	applica Module	able mo e stres	odule stre sed input	ss input test as in 176C.4 tolerance, or 120E.3.4.1 iving the TDECQ pattern.	.4.5 Receiver jitter Module stressed in	tolerance, 120G	
ACCEP Add a n "The tai	new exce rget PAN	otion to the lis 4 symbol erro	or ratio is 4.56e-4 and	the related Q_	t value is 3.428."	applica Module	able mo e stres	odule stre sed input	ss input test as in 176C.4 tolerance, or 120E.3.4.1	.4.5 Receiver jitter Module stressed in	tolerance, 120G	

C/ 181 SC 181.9.5

C/ 181	SC 181.9.5	P <b>454</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 250	C/ 181	SC	181.9.11	P <b>456</b>	L <b>39</b>	# 343
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatur	n/Marvell		Ran, Adee	Э		Cisco		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		taps	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		(bucketp)
Numbe	r of pre-cursor i	s maximum with min TBD			The su	ubclaus	e title inclu	ides a specific value of xx, 1	7.1, but the text	still has "xx".
SuggestedF	Remedy				Suggested	dRemec	ły			
floating		ig Sept 2024 meeting to go wit , given than agreement merge of 15.			In the	referen		ext to use the specific value. 9I.11 add "with xx equal to 17	7.1".	
Response		Response Status C			Response			Response Status C		
	PT IN PRINCIPL				ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE	Ξ.		
	-	onse to comment #186			RINxx	is a ge	neric para	meter defined in 180.9.11 an	d referenced fro	om this subclause.
C/ 181	SC 181.9.5	P <b>454</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 187	ln 181	change	e all instan	ces to "RIN17.1" to "RINxx".		
Brown, Mat		Alphawave Ser	ni		ln 181	.9.11 cl	hange "wit	h "xx" referring to the value for	or optical return	loss tolerance in Table
Comment T		Comment Status A		taps	181-5'		-	-		
		mber of equalizer pre-cursor ta	aps" is TBD.		to "with "	'xx" refe	erring to 17	.1 which is the value for optic	cal return loss to	plerance in Table 181-5"
SuggestedF	Remedy						ining to 11			
		to 0 allowing the number of pr naximum columns with a value			remov	e footn	ote d. The	footnote c, in Table 182-7 ren se footnotes are redundant t		
Response		Response Status C			define	s this p	arameter.			
	PT IN PRINCIPL e using the resp	E. onse to comment #186					license.			
C/ 181	SC 181.9.5	P <b>454</b>	L31	# 174	C/ 181	SC	181.9.13	P <b>457</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 263
Johnson, Jo		Broadcom			Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qunatu	ım/Marvell	
Comment T		Comment Status A		taps	Comment		TR	Comment Status D		reference
		inimum number of equalizer pr	e-cursor taos i	•	Refere	ence 12	1.8.10 doe	esn't exist		
	er proposals, thi	is value should be 0, consisten			Suggested The co		<i>ly</i> eference is	121.8.9		
SuggestedF	Remedy				Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status W		
Delete t For the	e TBD in Table the associated e editor's conside er to Table 180-	editors note. eration: If the specs are identic	al, delete Tabl	e 181-13 completely	PROP The co	POSED	REJECT.	121.8.10, while 181.9.13 refe	ers to 122.8.10.	
Response		Response Status C								
	PT IN PRINCIPL e using the resp	E. onse to comment #186								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 181 SC 181.9.13

-													
C/ 182	SC 182	2.3	P <b>465</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 229		C/ 182	SC	182.9.1	P4	81	L <b>9</b>	# 345
Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quna	atum/Marvell			Ran, Adee			Cisc	C		
Comment 7	Туре Т	R	Comment Status A		:	signal ok	Comment	Гуре	TR	Comment Status	Α		test patterr
on TX	and anoth	er ILT b	Fig 180-2 is from the Inner box on the RX has Signal_ov variables before intorudcir	OK out. We ta						177.4.9.2 is PRBS3 include the Inner FE			C encoding. In contrast,
Suggested	Remedy						Table ?	82-17	says RS	and SRS can be tes	ted with	either pattern 3	or pattern 5.
			vould be helfull here. After oort Inter-sublayer Layer Tr							error ratio in either o e achieved for per-la			EC encoding is ent test pattern definition.
	PT IN PRI solution to	-					seem l	ke a d	esirable te		e the n	ormative require	er FEC encoding) may ment, since it does not
C/ 182	SC 182	2.7.1	P <b>471</b>	L <b>27</b>	# 33		Suggested	Remed	dy				
Landry, Ga	ary		Texas Instru	ments									ding, or change the
Comment 7	Туре <b>т</b>	R	Comment Status A			(bucket)				PRBS31Q and spectrum this signal.	cify that	the Inner FEC h	as to be able to add
	uter vs ma were cha		Q, TDECQ) figure was not D1.3.	updated when t	he OMAouter (min	i)	Response		Ū	Response Status	С		
Suggested	Remedy						ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPL	E.			
			tch D1.3 data. To be speci €CQ) < 0.9 dB and 1.2+m				Resolv	e usinę	g the resp	onse to comment #1	0.		
Response			Response Status C										
	PT IN PRI	-	emedy with editorial license	·.									
C/ 182	SC 182	2.9	P <b>480</b>	L <b>45</b>	# 238								
Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quna	tum/Marvell									
Comment	Туре <b>т</b>	R	Comment Status A		measurement	methods							
Counte	er propaga	ting tra	ffic must be active for thes	e tests									
Suggested	Remedy												
Add the at max PRBS3	e following imum OM 31Q, or a v	A applivation	rpah, Counter-propagating ed to the module under tes 0GBASE-R, 200GBASE-R ee Ghiasi_3dj_01_2501	t TP3. The cros	sstalk pattern can	be							
Response			Response Status C										
ACCE	PT IN PRI	NCIPLE	Ξ.										
Resolv	e using th	e respo	onse to comment #240.										

C/ 182 SC	182.9.1	P <b>507</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 111	C/ 182	SC 182.9	9.1	P	507	L <b>9</b>	# 112
Mi, Guangcan		Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Lt	d	Mi, Guang	can		Hua	wei Tech	nologies Co., Lt	d
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		(bucketp)	Comment	Type <b>TR</b>		Comment Status	s R		(bucketp)
gives referen clauses. Tabl all test patter pattern 5 and	ices of the le 182-12 n, becaus l 7 where other test	battern that will be used by the definition of these test patter uses the subclauses in CL17 e the PMD interfaces with inr the test pattern is encoded by patterns that are generic to a er choice.	rn. This table ca 77 Inner FEC as ner FEC sublaye y the 800GBAS	an be found in all PMD s reference sources for er. This is good for test E-R Inner FEC.	gives r clause all test patterr Howey	eferences o s. Table 182 pattern, bee 5 and 7 wh	f the 2-12 to cause ere the test	definition of these t uses the subclauses e the PMD interface he test pattern is er patterns that are ge	est patte s in CL17 s with in ncoded b	rn. This table ca 77 Inner FEC as ner FEC sublaye y the 800GBASE	32 and its last column n be found in all PMD s reference sources for er. This is good for test E-R Inner FEC. ncing to the original
support a squ 120.5.11.2.4, subclause is FEC sublaye	uare wave , on each not definir r. For read o 120.5.11	an example, CL 177.4.9.4 say (quaternary) test-pattern ger transmit output lane towards ng the pattern of square wave ders who want to know the de .2.4. Therefore it is better to	herator, as spec the PMD servic e, rather stating efinition of squar	ified in e interface." This a function of the Inner rewave, one will have to	suppol 120.5. subcla FEC s jump a	rt a square v 11.2.4, on e use is not d ublayer. For	vave ach ti efinin read	lers who want to know	attern gei towards uare wave ow the de	nerator, as speci the PMD service e, rather stating efinition of squar	ified in
SuggestedRemed	dy				Suggested	lRemedy					
change the d	lefined in 1	reference to 120.5.11.2.4			change	e the define	d in re	eference to in 120.5	5.11.2.2		
Response		Response Status C			Response			Response Status	C		
REJECT.					REJE	CT.					
Pointing direct this case.	ctly to 120	0.5.11.2.x is incomplete would	be out of conte	ext and incomplete for	Resolv	ve using the	respo	onse to comment #	111		
The reference	e here poi	ints to test pattern function de	efined for the Inr	ner FEC. This subclause							

in turn leverages specifications in another subclause.

C/ 182 SC 1	82.9.1	P <b>507</b>	L11	# 113	C/ <b>182</b> S	SC 182.9.1	P <b>507</b>	L16	# 98
Mi, Guangcan		Huawei Techr	nologies Co., Lto	1	Mi, Guangcan		Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Lto	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		(bucketp)	Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status R		(bucketp)
gives referenc clauses. Table all test pattern pattern 5 and	es of the o 182-12 u , because 7 where th other test p	attern that will be used by the definition of these test patter ses the subclauses in CL17 the PMD interfaces with innot test pattern is encoded by patterns that are generic to a r choice.	n. This table car 7 Inner FEC as her FEC sublaye / the 800GBASE	h be found in all PMD reference sources for r. This is good for test -R Inner FEC.	gives refer clauses. T all test pat pattern 5 a However, 1	ences of the able 182-12 tern, becaus and 7 where t	attern that will be used by the definition of these test patter uses the subclauses in CL17 the PMD interfaces with inre- he test pattern is encoded by patterns that are generic to a er choice.	n. This table car 7 Inner FEC as her FEC sublaye / the 800GBASE	be found in all PMD reference sources for r. This is good for test -R Inner FEC.
support a squa 120.5.11.2.4, o subclause is n FEC sublayer.	are wave ( on each tr ot defining For reade	n example, CL 177.4.9.4 say 'quaternary) test-pattern gen ansmit output lane towards t g the pattern of square wave ers who want to know the de 2.4. Therefore it is better to j	nerator, as specia the PMD service e, rather stating a finition of square	ied in interface." This a function of the Inner ewave, one will have to	support a 120.5.11.2 subclause FEC subla	square wave 2.4, on each t is not definir yer. For reac n to 120.5.11	n example, CL 177.4.9.4 say (quaternary) test-pattern gen ransmit output lane towards i g the pattern of square wave lers who want to know the de .2.4. Therefore it is better to j	nerator, as specif the PMD service e, rather stating a finition of square	ied in interface." This function of the Inner wave, one will have to
SuggestedRemed	V				SuggestedRer	nedy			
change the de	fined in re	ference to in 120.5.11.2.1			change the	e defined in r	eference to in 120.5.11.2.3		
Response		Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
REJECT.					REJECT.				
	the respo								

C/ 182	SC 182.9.5	P <b>483</b>	L1	# 346
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	/pe TR	Comment Status A		SER

"Target PAM4 symbol error ratio of 9.6 x 10^-3"

If this value is used instead of 4.8e-4 as TDECQ was originally defined, then TDECQ of an ideal transmitter would be negative, because the normalization factor Q\_t is "consistent with the BER and target symbol error ratio for Gray coded PAM4" (which is 4.8e-4).

This makes TDECQ something other than a "penalty" as it is typically understood.

In addition, as demonstrated by several presentations, TDECQ with such high SER is not feasible, as test signal achieving the maximum TDECQ cannot be measured.

It would make more sense to keep the target PAM4 SER as 4.8e-4 (with the same Q t) and instead relax the maximum TDECQ value in this clause by a factor corresponding to the lower Q function of the higher SER, to allow a more closed eye:

- For SER=4.8e-4: Q(SER\*2/3)=-3.414 (as in 121.8.5.3)

- For SER=9.6e-3: Q(SER\*2/3)=-2.489

- 10\*log10(3.414/2.489)=1.37 dB

Thus the relaxation should be 1.37 dB.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change the target PAM4 SER to 4.8e-4. Change the maximum TDECQ and TECQ from 3.2 dB to 3.2+1.37=4.57 dB. Make corresponding changes to the receiver specifications (SECQ) in Table 181-6.

Implement similarly in clause 183 with modified values as necessary, with editorial license.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Similar as comment #146 to D1.2. A strawpoll was held and it was agreed to maintain the SER value 9.6x10-3. The comment does not contain sufficient evidence that this value not sufficient.

However, the Q t value should be adjusted to align with the SER value. In 182.9.5.

Change: "Target PAM4 symbol error ratio of 9.6×10-3."

To: "The target PAM4 symbol error ratio is 9.6×10-3 and the related Q t value is 2.489." In 183.9.5

Change: "Target PAM4 symbol error ratio of 9.6×10-3 for 800GBASE-FR4 and 800GBASE-LR4"

To: "The target PAM4 symbol error ratio is 9.6×10-3 and the related Q\_t value is 2.489." Implement with editorial license.

C/ 182 SC	C 182.9.5	P <b>483</b>	L17	# 246
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatum	/Marvell	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R		TDECQ

182.2 require block error measurement but the TDECQ is an average penalty measurment, either we need to develop a Golden hardwre reference receiver or we have to improve TDECQ test method to capture block erros/penalty.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Instead the recommendation is to measure block TDECQ where block TDECQ is by capturing 10 SSPRQ waveforms which forms 65535 FEC symbols. ~120 KP4 FEC blocks. or 30 interleaved KP4 FEC blocks when 4-with way interleaving. Each of the 30 KP4 blocks are processed as in definition in

https://www.jeee802.org/3/di/public/24\_09/healey\_3di\_02a\_2409.pdf proposal. Use worst 3 blocks from each group of 30 blocks then combine 3 worst blocks from the 4 group to create the PDF. Then calculate block TDECQ, add line item to table 182-7 with limit of 3.6 dB. See Ghiasi 3di 03 2501

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 182 SC 182	.9.5 P483	5 L17	# 242	
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi	Qunatum/Marvell		
Comment Type T	R Comment Status	4		TDECQ

TDECQ masuremnt needs to define test condition when there is an optional AUI

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add following codition to the list of requiremetns in 180.9.5: Where AUI is exposed, a conforming implementation must meet TDECQ with the exposed AUI configured for applicable module stress input test as in 176C.4.4.5 Receiver litter tolerance, 120G.3.4.3 Module stressed input tolerance, or 120E.3.4.1 Module stressed input test and the recovered AUI clock driving the TDECQ pattern. See Ghiasi\_3dj\_01\_2501

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #240

C/ 182	SC 182.9.5	P <b>483</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 189	C/ 182	SC	182.12	P <b>4</b>	90	L <b>3</b>	# 109
Brown, Ma	.tt	Alphawave Se	mi		Mi, Guang	gcan		Huav	vei Techn	ologies Co., Ltd	
Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A		taps	Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status	R		(withdrawn
Value f	ior minimum "nur	mber of equalizer pre-cursor t	aps" is not spec	cified.	type 4	00GBA	SE-DR4 i	s not the PMD type of	of clause	182	
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	dRemea	ły				
		to 0 allowing the number of p naximum columns with a valu			chang DR8-2		e" 200GB	BASE-DR1-2, 400GB	ASE-DR2	2-2, 800GBASE-	DR4-2, and 1.6TBASE-
Response		Response Status C			Response	,		Response Status	z		
	PT IN PRINCIPLI	E. onse to comment #186			REJE	-					
C/ 182	SC 182.9.5	P <b>483</b>	L25	# 249	This c	ommen	t was WI	THDRAWN by the co	ommenter	•	
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatu		# <b>2</b> 40	C/ 182	SC	182.12	P4	90	L <b>8</b>	# 110
Comment 7		Comment Status A		taps	Mi, Guang	gcan		Huav	vei Techn	ologies Co., Ltd	
	51	s not maximum but rather just	3	top.	Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status	R		(withdrawn
Suggested	Remedy				PMD t	types sh	nould be u	updated in the text.			
00		g Sept 2024 meeting to go wi	th fixed 3 pre-ci	ursors and not a	Suggested	dRemea	ły				
What w	vas aureeu uurin										
floating		, given than agreement merge						SE-DR4" to " type 20 d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2"	00GBASE	-DR1-2, 400GB	ASE-DR2-2,
floating similar	g at least for now,	, given than agreement merge				BASE-D				-DR1-2, 400GB	ASE-DR2-2,
floating similar Response ACCEF	g at least for now, to FFE length of PT IN PRINCIPLI	, given than agreement mergings. 15. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>			800GE <i>Response</i> REJE	BASĖ-D CT.	0R4-2, and	d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2" Response Status	Z		ASE-DR2-2,
floating similar <i>Response</i> ACCEF	g at least for now, to FFE length of PT IN PRINCIPLI	, given than agreement merg 15. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E.		nax cell and just enter 3	800GE <i>Response</i> REJE	BASĖ-D CT.	0R4-2, and	d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2"	Z		ASE-DR2-2,
floating similar Response ACCEF Resolv	g at least for now, to FFE length of PT IN PRINCIPLI re using the respo SC 182.9.5	, given than agreement merg 15. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. onse to comment #186 <i>P</i> <b>483</b>	e the cell with m		800GE <i>Response</i> REJE	BAŚĖ-D CT.	0R4-2, and	d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2" Response Status	<b>Z</b>		ASE-DR2-2, # <u>230</u>
floating similar Response ACCEF Resolv C/ <b>182</b> Johnson, J	g at least for now, to FFE length of PT IN PRINCIPLI re using the respo SC <b>182.9.5</b> John	, given than agreement merg 15. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. onse to comment #186	e the cell with m	max cell and just enter 3 # [ <u>175</u>	800GE Response REJE This c	BASE-D CT. commen	0R4-2, and It was WI	d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2" Response Status THDRAWN by the co	Z pommenter		
floating similar Response ACCEF Resolv Cl <b>182</b> Johnson, J Comment 1	g at least for now, to FFE length of PT IN PRINCIPLI re using the respo SC 182.9.5 John Type TR	, given than agreement merg 15. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. onse to comment #186 <i>P</i> 483 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b>	e the cell with n	max cell and just enter 3 # 175 taps	800GE Response REJEC This c C/ 183	BASÉ-D CT. commen SC	0R4-2, and It was WI	d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2" Response Status THDRAWN by the co	Z ommenter 194 si Qunatu	L6	# 230
floating similar Response ACCEF Resolv C/ <b>182</b> Johnson, J Comment 7 In Tabl blank. in Tabl	g at least for now, to FFE length of PT IN PRINCIPLI re using the respo SC 182.9.5 John Type TR le 182-18, the min In the absence of	, given than agreement merg 15. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. onse to comment #186 <i>P</i> 483 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> nimum number of equalizer p of further proposals, this FFE e value for minimum pre-curs	<i>L</i> 25 <i>L</i> 25 <i>definition shoul</i>	# 175 taps oost-cursor taps is left d be the same as given	800GE Response REJE This c C/ 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Signal on TX	BASE-D CT. commen SC i <i>Type</i> I_OK as and an	0R4-2, and t was WIT 183.3 TR s shown in other ILT	d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2" Response Status THDRAWN by the co P4 Ghia Comment Status n Fig 180-2 is from th	Z ommenter 194 si Qunatu a A ne Inner su Signal_OK	L <b>6</b> m/Marvell ublayer above th cout. We talk a	
floating similar Response ACCEF Resolv Cl 182 Johnson, J Comment T In Tabl blank. in Tabl the 5-ta	g at least for now, to FFE length of PT IN PRINCIPLI re using the respo SC 182.9.5 John <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> le 182-18, the min In the absence of le 180-18, and the ap FFE defined in	, given than agreement merg 15. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. onse to comment #186 <i>P</i> 483 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> nimum number of equalizer p of further proposals, this FFE e value for minimum pre-curs	<i>L</i> 25 <i>L</i> 25 <i>definition shoul</i>	# 175 taps oost-cursor taps is left d be the same as given	800GE Response REJE This c C/ 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Signal on TX	CT. CT. SC i <i>Type</i> I_OK as and an into inte	0R4-2, and t was WIT 183.3 TR s shown in other ILT r-suplayed	d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2" Response Status THDRAWN by the co P4 Ghia Comment Status n Fig 180-2 is from th box on the RX has S	Z ommenter 194 si Qunatu a A ne Inner su Signal_OK	L <b>6</b> m/Marvell ublayer above th cout. We talk a	# 230 signal o nen goes into ILT box
floating similar Response ACCEF Resolv Cl 182 Johnson, J Comment T In Tabl blank. in Tabl blank. in Tabl comgested Format cursor	g at least for now, to FFE length of PT IN PRINCIPLI re using the respo SC 182.9.5 John <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> le 182-18, the min In the absence of le 180-18, and the ap FFE defined in <i>Remedy</i> t Table 182-18 to taps), and chang	, given than agreement merg 15. Response Status C E. onse to comment #186 P483 Broadcom Comment Status A nimum number of equalizer p of further proposals, this FFE e value for minimum pre-curs n 121.8.5.4. b be the same as Table 180-1 ge the minimum number of pro-	<i>L25</i> <i>L25</i> <i>definition shoul</i> or taps should l 8 (delete the ro	# 175 taps oost-cursor taps is left d be the same as given be 0, consistent with w for number of post-	800GE Response REJEC C/ 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Signal on TX jump i Suggested Refere	BASE-D CT. commen SC i Type I_OK as and an- into inte dRemed encing F	0R4-2, and t was WIT 183.3 TR s shown in other ILT r-suplayer dy Fig 180-2	d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2" Response Status THDRAWN by the co P4 Ghia Comment Status n Fig 180-2 is from th box on the RX has S r variables before int would be helfull here	Z pmmenter 194 si Qunatu A he Inner su Signal_OK orudcing I c. After th	<i>L</i> <b>6</b> m/Marvell ublayer above th out. We talk a ILT. e 1st paragraph	# 2 <u>30</u> signal o then goes into ILT box about Signal_OK then
floating similar Response ACCEF Resolv Cl 182 Johnson, J Comment 7 In Tabl blank. in Tabl blank. in Tabl blank. format cursor Delete For the	g at least for now, to FFE length of PT IN PRINCIPLI re using the response SC 182.9.5 John <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> le 182-18, the min In the absence of le 180-18, and the ap FFE defined in <i>Remedy</i> t Table 182-18 to taps), and chang the associated e e editor's conside	, given than agreement merg 15. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. onse to comment #186 <i>P</i> 483 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> nimum number of equalizer p of further proposals, this FFE e value for minimum pre-curs n 121.8.5.4. be the same as Table 180-1 ge the minimum number of pre- ditors note. ration: If the specs are identi	<i>L</i> 25 <i>L</i> 25 <i>L</i> 25 <i>definition shoul</i> <i>or taps should l</i> 8 (delete the ro <i>e</i> -cursor taps to	# 175 taps bost-cursor taps is left d be the same as given be 0, consistent with w for number of post- 0.	800GE Response REJEU This c Cl 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Signal on TX jump i Suggested Refere PMD i Response	BASE-D CT. commen SC i <i>Type</i> I_OK as and an into inte dRemed encing F in this cl	0R4-2, and t was WIT 183.3 TR s shown in other ILT r-suplayer dy Fig 180-2	d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2" Response Status THDRAWN by the co P4 Ghia Comment Status n Fig 180-2 is from th box on the RX has S r variables before int would be helfull here port Inter-sublayer L Response Status	Z pmmenter 94 si Qunatu a A be Inner su Signal_OK orudcing I c. After th ayer Trair	<i>L</i> <b>6</b> m/Marvell ublayer above th out. We talk a ILT. e 1st paragraph	# 2 <u>30</u> signal of then goes into ILT box about Signal_OK then add sentence: The
floating similar Response ACCEF Resolv Cl 182 Johnson, J Comment T In Table blank. in Table blank. in Table blank. in Table blank. format cursor Delete For the and ref	g at least for now, to FFE length of PT IN PRINCIPLI ve using the response SC 182.9.5 John <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> le 182-18, the min In the absence of le 180-18, and the ap FFE defined in <i>Remedy</i> t Table 182-18 to taps), and chang the associated e	, given than agreement merg 15. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> E. onse to comment #186 <i>P483</i> Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> nimum number of equalizer p of further proposals, this FFE e value for minimum pre-curs n 121.8.5.4. be the same as Table 180-1 ge the minimum number of pre- ditors note. ration: If the specs are identi- 18.	<i>L</i> 25 <i>L</i> 25 <i>L</i> 25 <i>definition shoul</i> <i>or taps should l</i> 8 (delete the ro <i>e</i> -cursor taps to	# 175 taps bost-cursor taps is left d be the same as given be 0, consistent with w for number of post- 0.	800GE Response REJEC Cl 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Signal on TX jump i Suggestec PMD i Refere PMD i Response ACCE	BASE-D CT. commen SC i <i>Type</i> I_OK as and and into inte dRemed encing F in this cl	0R4-2, and t was WIT 183.3 TR s shown in other ILT r-suplayed fy Fig 180-2 lause sup	d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2" Response Status THDRAWN by the co P4 Ghia Comment Status n Fig 180-2 is from th box on the RX has S r variables before int would be helfull here port Inter-sublayer L Response Status	Z permenter 194 si Qunatu a A he Inner su Signal_OK orudcing I e. After th ayer Trair C	<i>L</i> <b>6</b> m/Marvell ublayer above th out. We talk a ILT. e 1st paragraph	# 2 <u>30</u> signal o nen goes into ILT box about Signal_OK then add sentence: The
floating similar Response ACCEF Resolv Cl 182 Johnson, J Comment T In Tabl blank. in Tabl blank. in Tabl blank. Suggested Format cursor Delete For the and ref Response	g at least for now, to FFE length of PT IN PRINCIPLI re using the response SC 182.9.5 John <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> le 182-18, the min In the absence of le 180-18, and the ap FFE defined in <i>Remedy</i> t Table 182-18 to taps), and chang the associated e e editor's conside	, given than agreement merg 15. Response Status C E. onse to comment #186 P483 Broadcom Comment Status A nimum number of equalizer p of further proposals, this FFE e value for minimum pre-curs in 121.8.5.4. b be the same as Table 180-1 ge the minimum number of pre- ditors note. ration: If the specs are identi- 18. Response Status C	<i>L</i> 25 <i>L</i> 25 <i>L</i> 25 <i>definition shoul</i> <i>or taps should l</i> 8 (delete the ro <i>e</i> -cursor taps to	# 175 taps bost-cursor taps is left d be the same as given be 0, consistent with w for number of post- 0.	800GE Response REJEC Cl 183 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Signal on TX jump i Suggestec PMD i Refere PMD i Response ACCE	BASE-D CT. commen SC i <i>Type</i> I_OK as and and into inte dRemed encing F in this cl	0R4-2, and t was WIT 183.3 TR s shown in other ILT r-suplayed fy Fig 180-2 lause sup	d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2" Response Status THDRAWN by the co P4 Ghia Comment Status n Fig 180-2 is from th box on the RX has S r variables before int would be helfull here oport Inter-sublayer L Response Status .E.	Z permenter 194 si Qunatu a A he Inner su Signal_OK orudcing I e. After th ayer Trair C	<i>L</i> <b>6</b> m/Marvell ublayer above th out. We talk a ILT. e 1st paragraph	# 2 <u>30</u> signal o nen goes into ILT box about Signal_OK then add sentence: The

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 114 of 136
1/22/2025 11:18:23 PM

C/ 183 SC 183.3

C/ 183	SC 183.7.3	P <b>502</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 235	C/ 183	SC	183.9	P <b>506</b>	L <b>38</b>	# 239
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatu	ım/Marvell		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qu	Inatum/Marvell	
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R		power budget	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		measurement methods
MPI/DGF	penalty of 0.	5 dB is larger than needed for	800GBASE-LR4	ļ Ç	Counte	er prop	agating tra	affic must be active for th	ese tests	
SuggestedRe	emedy				Suggested	IReme	dy			
	can be reduc See Ghiasi_3d	ced to 0.3 dB then link budget lj_02_2501	increased by 0.1	dB or allocated to	at max	imum	OMA appl	ied to the module under t	est TP3. The cro	
Response		Response Status C						00GBASE-R, 200GBASE See Ghiasi_3dj_01_2501	-R, or 400GBAS	E-R, or 800GBASE-R, or
REJECT.					Response			Response Status C		
•	review of the f dj_02_2501	following presentation and CR	G discussion.		ACCE	PT IN I	PRINCIPL	E.		
		or the revision of the power bu	dget is necessar	у.	Resolv	ve usin	g the resp	onse to comment #240.		
The CRG	reviewed http	os://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/pub	olic/25_01/ghiasi_	_3dj_02_2501.pdf.	C/ 183	SC	183.9.5	P <b>509</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 243
Attar CD	C discussion f	there was no consensus to ma	aka a ahanga ati	this time	Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qu	Inatum/Marvell	
Aller CR			ake a change at i		Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		TDEC
C/ 183	SC 183.7.3	P <b>502</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 234	TDEC	Q mas	uremnt ne	eds to define test condition	on when there is	an optional AUI
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatu	ım/Marvell		Suggested	Reme	dy			
Comment Ty		Comment Status R		power budget	Add fo	llowing	codition t	o the list of requiremetns		
MPI/DGF	penalty of 0.	5 dB is larger than needed for	800GBASE-FR4	1				ation must meet TDECQ ss input test as in 176C.4		
SuggestedRe					Modul	e stres	sed input	tolerance, or 120E.3.4.1	Module stressed	input test and the
	) can be reduc dj_02_2501	ced to 0.4 dB then link budget	increased by 0.1	dB. See			JI clock dr	iving the TDECQ pattern.	See Ghiasi_3	dj_01_2501
Response	aj_02_2001	Response Status <b>C</b>			Response			Response Status C		
REJECT.		Response Status C					PRINCIPL a the resp	E. onse to comment #240		
•		following presentation and CR or the revision of the power bu		- <i>•</i>						
The CRG	reviewed http	os://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/pub	olic/25_01/ghiasi_	_3dj_02_2501.pdf.						
After CR	3 discussion t	there was no consensus to ma	ake a change at t	this time						
			and a briange at							

C/ 183 SC 183.9.5

C/ 183	SC 183.9	.5 <i>P</i> 509	L <b>4</b>	# 247	C/ 183	SC 183.9.5	P <b>50</b>	9 <i>L</i> 14	# 188
Ghiasi, A	li	Ghiasi Qunat	um/Marvell		Brown, Matt		Alpha	wave Semi	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		TDECQ	Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status	Α	taps
		error measurement but the TDE			Value for	r minimum "r	umber of equalizer pre-	-cursor taps" is TBI	D.
		evelop a Golden hardwre referen d to capture block erros/penalty.	ice receiver or w	e nave to improve	SuggestedRe	emedy			
Suggeste									aps to vary from 0 to 3 or
Instea	ad the recomr	nendation is to measure block TE				the minimum			mitting only a value of 3.
		RQ waveforms which forms 6553			Response		Response Status	C	
		P4 FEC blocks when 4-with way ed as in definition in	Interleaving. Ea	ICN OF THE 30 KP4		-	sponse to comment #18	6.	
		2.org/3/dj/public/24_09/healey_3			C/ 183	SC 183.9.5	P50	9 L14	# 248
	0	roup of 30 blocks then combine 3 nen calculate block TDECQ, add		0 1	Ghiasi, Ali	00100.0.0		i Qunatum/Marvell	" 240
dB fo	r 800GBASE-	FR4 and 4.0 dB for 800GBASE-L	R4. See Ghias	i_3dj_03_2501	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status		taps
Response		Response Status Z					is maximum with min		tapo
REJE	CT.				SuggestedRe	emedv			
This o	comment was	WITHDRAWN by the commente	er.		00		ing Sept 2024 meeting	to go with fixed 3 p	pre-cursors and not a
C/ 183	SC 183.9	.5 <i>P</i> 509	L14	# 176		t least for no		nt merge the TBD	and max line and just enter
Johnson,		Broadcom		" 110		IO FFE lengi	Response Status	<u> </u>	
Comment		Comment Status A		taps	Response			L L	
		e minimum number of equalizer (	pre-cursor taps is	,		-	ponse to comment #18	86.	
	her proposals	s, this value should be 0, consiste			C/ 183	SC 183.9.1	3 <i>P</i> 51	2 L12	# 264
-	-				Ghiasi, Ali			i Qunatum/Marvell	<i>"</i> <u>201</u>
Suggeste	-	ble 183-14 to 0.			Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status		reference
Delet	e the associat	ed editors note.				;e 121.8.10 c		-	
	e editor's cor	sideration: If the specs are ident	tical, delete Table	e 183-14 completely	SuggestedRe	emedv			
Response		Response Status C				ect reference	is 121.8.9		
neoponec		•			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status	w	
ACCE	PT IN PRINC					SED REJEC	•		
	EPT IN PRINC	esponse to comment #186.							
		response to comment #186.			The com	ment refers t	to 121.8.10, while 183.9	0.13 refers to 122.8	.10.
		esponse to comment #186.			The com		-	0.13 refers to 122.8	.10.
		esponse to comment #186.			The com	ment refers t	-	).13 refers to 122.8	.10.
		esponse to comment #186.			The com	ment refers t	-	).13 refers to 122.8	.10.
		esponse to comment #186.			The com	ment refers t	-	).13 refers to 122.8	.10.
		esponse to comment #186.			The com	ment refers t	-	).13 refers to 122.8	.10.
Reso	ve using the i	response to comment #186. guired ER/editorial required GR/			The com 122.8.10	ment refers t	-	).13 refers to 122.8	.10. Page 116 of 136

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 183 SC 183.9.13

C/ 184	SC 184.1	.2 P515	L35	# 375
D'Ambros	sia, John	Futurewei, U.	S. Subsidiary of	Huawei
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		(bucketp
	84-1 does not e MEDIUM.	show the correct boundaries of a	PHY. It ends a	at the PMD sublayer,
Suggeste	dRemedy			
Chan	ge lower bour	ndary of PHY to the bottom of the	PMD sublayer	box.
Response ACCE		Response Status C		
C/ 184	SC 184.2	P <b>517</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 149
He, Xiang	)	Huawei		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Testing
Claus	e 814 Inner F	EC for 800GBASE-LR1 did not in	nclude any test p	patterns.
Suggeste	dRemedy			
It is re	ecommed to a	dd at least one test pattern for th		<b>T</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	P-16QAM ma	pper box. Also insert a subclause		
the D	P-16QAM ma m(s).			
the D patter Response ACCE Test p	P-16QAM ma m(s). P EPT IN PRINC patterns shou	pper box. Also insert a subclause Response Status <b>C</b>	e in 184.4.11 de	scribing the test
the D patter Response ACCE Test p at: htt Add a	P-16QAM ma m(s). EPT IN PRINC patterns shou ps://www.ieed a test mode th	pper box. Also insert a subclause <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> CIPLE. Id be added as defined in comme	in 184.4.11 de ant #10 and show j_01_2501.pdf distributed 10-bi	scribing the test
the D patter Response ACCE Test p at: htt Add a the th	P-16QAM ma m(s). EPT IN PRINC patterns shou ps://www.ieee a test mode th e 32 data lan	pper box. Also insert a subclause Response Status <b>C</b> CIPLE. d be added as defined in comme a802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/he_3d at distributes a PRBS31 pattern o	in 184.4.11 de ant #10 and show j_01_2501.pdf distributed 10-bi function.	scribing the test
the D patter Response ACCE Test p at: htt Add a the th Add a Some 10 for 7 cha	P-16QAM ma m(s). EPT IN PRINC patterns shou ps://www.ieee a test mode th e 32 data lan a correspondir e test patterns t test patterns	pper box. Also insert a subclause Response Status <b>C</b> CIPLE. Id be added as defined in comme 2802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/he_3d at distributes a PRBS31 pattern of es at the input of TX permutation ing test pattern checker in the Rx p are defined in 185.8.1, but the refined in 185.8.1, but the refined in 184.4" to "172.2.4". Ac	in 184.4.11 de ent #10 and show j_01_2501.pdf distributed 10-bi function. path. eferences there .4" to "172.2.4.1	scribing the test wn in the presentation ts at a time into each of are wrong. In Table 185- 11" and for test pattern
the D patter Response ACCE Test p at: htt Add a the th Add a Some 10 for 7 cha numb	P-16QAM ma m(s). EPT IN PRINC patterns shou ps://www.ieed a test mode th e 32 data land a correspondir e test patterns test patterns nge the refere er 8 in the tab	pper box. Also insert a subclause Response Status <b>C</b> CIPLE. Id be added as defined in comme 2802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/he_3d at distributes a PRBS31 pattern of es at the input of TX permutation ing test pattern checker in the Rx p are defined in 185.8.1, but the refined in 185.8.1, but the refined in 184.4" to "172.2.4". Ac	in 184.4.11 de ent #10 and show j_01_2501.pdf distributed 10-bi function. path. eferences there .4" to "172.2.4.1	scribing the test wn in the presentation ts at a time into each of are wrong. In Table 185- 11" and for test pattern
the D patter Response Test p at: htt Add a the th Add a Some 10 for 7 cha numb	P-16QAM ma m(s). EPT IN PRINC patterns shou ps://www.ieed a test mode th e 32 data land a correspondir e test patterns test patterns nge the refere er 8 in the tab	pper box. Also insert a subclause Response Status C CIPLE. Id be added as defined in comme a802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/he_3d at distributes a PRBS31 pattern of es at the input of TX permutation ing test pattern checker in the Rx p are defined in 185.8.1, but the re- 5 change the reference from "184 ence from "184.4" to "172.2.4". Ac ble. alted with comment #128.	in 184.4.11 de ent #10 and show j_01_2501.pdf distributed 10-bi function. path. eferences there .4" to "172.2.4.1	scribing the test wn in the presentation ts at a time into each of are wrong. In Table 185- 11" and for test pattern

C/ 184	SC	184.4.1	P <b>51</b>	9	L <b>5</b>	# 409
Maniloff, E	ric		Ciena			
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status	Α		Alignment
	CS for 8					n requires a fuil deskew. undaries, covering two RS
Suggested	Remed	ly				
Update	e the te	xt to define	e the requirement as	a 20-bit desk	œw	
Response			Response Status	с		
		RINCIPLI	E. to comment #472			
C/ 184	SC	184.4.1	P <b>51</b>	9	L <b>5</b>	# 472
Kota, Kish	ore		Marve	I Semicondu	ictor	
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status	Α		Alignment

Lane deskew has been changed from the adopted baseline requirement of RS(544,514) symbol alignment to a full RS(544,514) codeword alignment without any supporting data. Symbol alignment (instead of codeword alignment) for 800GBASE-LR1 has been studied in the past and determined to have a burst tolerance which exceeds the 400ZR burst tolerance of 1024b which is considered acceptable for this interface. Specifically, lane alignment lock in D1.3 refers to 172.2.5.1 for deskew. However, 172.2.5.1 specifies a complete de-skew of all the PCS lanes. The permutation function only requires a partial deskew of 20-bits (i.e. dual 10-bit RS symbol boundaries). A full deskew places an unreasonable burden on implementations which are targeted at low-power applications

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change the text to reflect the intention from the baseline adopted at Berlin meeting and ensure consistency with the 20-bit alignment adopted in the OIF 800LR IA. Supporting presentation to be provided.

Pesponse Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The CRG reviewed the presentation at: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25\_01/kota\_3dj\_01\_2501.pdf

The CL 184 inner fec contains 32 convolutional interleavers, one per modified PCS lane, which is different from the CL 177 inner fec which operates on a 200Gb/s physical lane with 8 PCS lanes within that physical lane. The CL 177 inner fec decoder does require deskew between PCS lanes, but the CL 184 inner fec decoder does not.

Implement the suggested remedy on slide 6 of kota\_3dj\_01\_2501 with editorial license.

C/ 184 SC 184.4.1 Page 117 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:23 PM

C/ 184	SC 184.4.3	P <b>520</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 156	C/ <b>184</b>	SC 184.5.7	P <b>528</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 347
Bruckman	, Leon	Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		Lane grouping	Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		Decode
the od and th	d to odd. Also it i	ply that the even PCS lanes nay imply that the PCS lane 31 to pcsla flows 16-31. This 34.4.2.	s 0-15 are mapp	ed to pcsla flows 0-15,	Also, it decode	is not stated ver does not ma	tion capability of the decoder what happens when a codework the data as error in any wa terns that appear in this case	ord is uncorrectal ay (since it is an i	nner code) but it is not
A cont related is an e	<i>IRemedy</i> ribution will be pr t text, or to show example	rovided with a detailed propo a more generic example and	sal to either rem d change text to	ove Figure 184-3 and indicate that the figure	specifi This is	cations for cor	EC decoder specification in rection capability and uncorre	ctable error mark	king).
esponse		Response Status C			impien	ientation.			
The C https:/ Updat 184-3.	/www.ieee802.or e text as shown o	E. following presentation: g/3/dj/public/25_01/bruckma on slide 3 of bruckman_3dj_( nge figure 184-3 title to: "Exa	)1_2501, and us	•	// accour <i>Suggested</i>	www.ieee802. It for having 10 <i>Remedy</i>	dy is based on slide 9 of org/3/df/public/22_05/22_051 § parity bits and thus d_min=8		220517.pdf, modified to
	nent with editoria	l license.			"The d to five	bit errors and	cted to correct all codewords most codewords with up to se	even bit errors. C	
/ <b>184</b> luber, Th	SC 184.4.5	Р <b>522</b> Nokia	L <b>5</b>	# 35			I contain at least eight bit errone above if necessary.	ors"	
omment		Comment Status A		(bucket)			sus for additional text (either t	he one above or	otherwise), add an
as the showr	remainder from i in Equation (18	parity polynomial says "A par the division (modulo 2) of m( 4-2)". The intent of this is th the generator polynomial in	x) x x^16 by the at the resulting p	génerator polynomial parity polynomial p(x) is	Response	PT IN PRINCI	Response Status C		
uaaesteo	IRemedy						e added to indicate what is d		
Chang	e the text to read	l: "A parity polynomial p(x) o llo 2) of m(x) x x^16 by the g				correct a code	eword, for example, "parity is ".	stripped and the	payload bits are passed
	on (184-2)."				Add a	statement in 1	84.5.7 as above with editorial	license.	
Chang divisio to: "A remaii	n (modulo 2) of r parity polynomial	nomial $p(x)$ of degree 15 is don't a state of the generator p $p(x)$ of degree 15 (shown in sion (modulo 2) of $m(x) \ge x$	oolynomial shown Equation 184-2	n in Equation (184-2)" ) is defined as the	The sc	ft decision inn	er FEC decoder correction ca	apability is implen	nentation specific.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 184 SC 184.5.7 Page 118 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:23 PM

C/ 184	SC 184.5.7	P <b>528</b>	L <b>36</b>	# 32	C/ 184 SC 184.5.	7.2 P5	28 L20	# 473
Brown, Ma	tt	Alphawave Se	mi		Kota, Kishore	Marv	ell Semiconductor	
Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status A		Counters	Comment Type TR	Comment Status	Α	(bucketp
S <i>uggestedi</i> Add bir	Remedy n counters define	ovided for the BCH codeword d in the same way as for the nge the index "i" to "k", set th	800GBASE-R I		codeword that conta have been miscorre miscorrected are no	ins errors that were not	ord as "An uncorrected I corrected, including FE corrected". However, con cted codewords.	C codewords that may
codewo	ords with 4 or mo	re bits corrected.			SuggestedRemedy	to comothing similar to	. "An uncorrected EEC	and award in a
							b: "An uncorrected FEC at the decoder, but the c	
		emedy with editorial license of the number of counters needed.		esponse to comment	Response	Response Status	С	
					ACCEPT IN PRINC	PLE.		
C/ 184	SC 184.5.7.1	P <b>535</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 348	The definitions of wh	at is a corrected and un	corrected codeword ne	ode to be fixed
Ran, Adee		Cisco						eus lo be lixeu.
	ner FEC does no	Comment Status A t have bin counters defined (	binning codewo	<i>Counters</i> rds by the number of	Align the definitions 177.5.4.1.	of correctable and unco	prrectable codewords to	the definitions in
	corrected), as in	177.5.4.1.5.			C/ 184 SC 184.5.	7.2 P5	35 L19	# 349
Suggested	•				Ran, Adee	Cisco	)	
		177.5.4.1.5, but possibly with correct more bit errors).	a larger numbe	r of bins (assuming the	Comment Type TR	Comment Status	А	(bucketp
Response		,			The definition of the	"uncorrected CW count	ter" seems to assume th	
ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE e using response	Response Status C E. e to comment #32			miscorrected. This capability exists uncorrectable errors		ere is a "shall" statemen oft-decision BCH decod	nt for ability to detect
ACCEF					miscorrected. This capability exists uncorrectable errors miscorrected codew	s in the RS-FEC (and th ). Is it assumed that a s ord or a "not completely	ere is a "shall" statemen oft-decision BCH decod	nt for ability to detect der can also detect a
ACCEF					miscorrected. This capability exists uncorrectable errors miscorrected codew Note that there is no Also note that the de different; a miscorre	s in the RS-FEC (and th ). Is it assumed that a s ord or a "not completely information about the a efinition of the correspon	ere is a "shall" statemen oft-decision BCH decor corrected" one? assumed correction cap nding counters in 177.5. ed in the "corrected" cor	nt for ability to detect der can also detect a
ACCEF					miscorrected. This capability exists uncorrectable errors miscorrected codew Note that there is no Also note that the de different; a miscorre	s in the RS-FEC (and th ). Is it assumed that a s ord or a "not completely information about the a efinition of the correspor cted codeword is count	ere is a "shall" statemen oft-decision BCH decor corrected" one? assumed correction cap nding counters in 177.5. ed in the "corrected" cor	nt for ability to detect der can also detect a ability of the decoder. 4.1.1. and 177.5.4.1.2 is
ACCEF					miscorrected. This capability exists uncorrectable errors miscorrected codew Note that there is no Also note that the de different; a miscorre the decoder cannot SuggestedRemedy Possibly, add some be done) somewher	s in the RS-FEC (and th ). Is it assumed that a s ord or a "not completely information about the a efinition of the correspon cted codeword is count detect an uncorrectable test about the ability to e in this clause.	ere is a "shall" statemen oft-decision BCH decor corrected" one? assumed correction cap nding counters in 177.5. ed in the "corrected" cor	nt for ability to detect der can also detect a ability of the decoder. .4.1.1. and 177.5.4.1.2 is deword, suggesting that ewords (and how it can
ACCEF					miscorrected. This capability exists uncorrectable errors miscorrected codew Note that there is no Also note that the de different; a miscorre the decoder cannot SuggestedRemedy Possibly, add some be done) somewher	s in the RS-FEC (and th ). Is it assumed that a s ord or a "not completely information about the a efinition of the correspon cted codeword is count detect an uncorrectable test about the ability to e in this clause.	ere is a "shall" statemen oft-decision BCH decor corrected" one? assumed correction cap nding counters in 177.5. ed in the "corrected" cor codeword. detect uncorrected code ccount for not being abl	nt for ability to detect der can also detect a ability of the decoder. .4.1.1. and 177.5.4.1.2 is deword, suggesting that ewords (and how it can

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 184 SC 184.5.7.2 Page 119 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:23 PM

Depsasnick, Eugene       Broadcom         comment Type       T       Comment Status       A       reset variable         FEC_reset is referred to in the definition of the "reset" variable, but FEC_reset is not defined except through a cross-reference to 45.2.1.1.1. The MDIO control variables table (Table 184-4) already has a cross reference to 184.6.2.2 as well as CL 45 and the MDIO register bit number,         uggestedRemedy       Remove the cross-reference text "(see 45.2.1.1.1)" from the definition of reset in 184.6.2.2.         Add the definition of "FEC_reset" to the list of variables in 184.6.2.2 as: "Boolean variable that is true when set by a management entity and is false otherwise".         Versponse       Response Status       C         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Resolve using the response to comment #88.       1184       SC 184.9       P535       L15       # 2         Marris, Arthur       Cadence Design Systems       Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       reset variable	equal to 3.2 x 10-5 and F SuggestedRemedy A small table might be c Response REJECT. Stating parameter values 2022. No changes to the draft. [Editor's note: changed s C/ 185 SC 185.3.1.1 Sluyski, Mike Comment Type E	Cisco Comment Status R (buck embedded parameter values as part of the text (e.g. BERadded BERadded equal to 6.4 x 10-5) clearer than values buried In text. Response Status C es as text is supported by IEEE and widely used in IEEE Std 802.3 subclause from 185.5.2 Error ratio allocation to 185.2] P545 L13 # $72$ Cisco Comment Status R (buck
FEC_reset is referred to in the definition of the "reset" variable, but FEC_reset is not defined except through a cross-reference to 45.2.1.1.1. The MDIO control variables table (Table 184-4) already has a cross reference to 184.6.2.2 as well as CL 45 and the MDIO register bit number,         uggestedRemedy         Remove the cross-reference text "(see 45.2.1.1.1)" from the definition of reset in 184.6.2.2.         Add the definition of "FEC_reset" to the list of variables in 184.6.2.2 as: "Boolean variable that is true when set by a management entity and is false otherwise".         Pesponse       Response Status         C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Resolve using the response to comment #88.         Matris, Arthur       Cadence Design Systems	Does IEEE style allow e equal to 3.2 x 10-5 and E SuggestedRemedy A small table might be c Response REJECT. Stating parameter values 2022. No changes to the draft. [Editor's note: changed s C/ 185 SC 185.3.1.1 Sluyski, Mike Comment Type E	embedded parameter values as part of the text (e.g. BERadded BERadded equal to 6.4 x 10-5)         clearer than values buried In text.         Response Status       C         es as text is supported by IEEE and widely used in IEEE Std 802.3         .         subclause from 185.5.2 Error ratio allocation to 185.2]         P545       L13         L13       # 72         Cisco
defined except through a cross-reference to 45.2.1.1.1. The MDIO control variables table (Table 184-4) already has a cross reference to 184.6.2.2 as well as CL 45 and the MDIO register bit number,         uggestedRemedy         Remove the cross-reference text "(see 45.2.1.1.1)" from the definition of reset in 184.6.2.2.         Add the definition of "FEC_reset" to the list of variables in 184.6.2.2 as: "Boolean variable that is true when set by a management entity and is false otherwise". <i>Pesponse Response Status</i> C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Resolve using the response to comment #88.         Matris, Arthur       Cadence Design Systems	equal to 3.2 x 10-5 and F SuggestedRemedy A small table might be c Response REJECT. Stating parameter values 2022. No changes to the draft. [Editor's note: changed s C/ 185 SC 185.3.1.1 Sluyski, Mike Comment Type E	BERadded equal to 6.4 x 10-5) clearer than values buried In text. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> es as text is supported by IEEE and widely used in IEEE Std 802.3 subclause from 185.5.2 Error ratio allocation to 185.2] <i>P</i> 545 <i>L</i> 13 # $72$ Cisco
Remove the cross-reference text "(see 45.2.1.1.1)" from the definition of reset in 184.6.2.2.         Add the definition of "FEC_reset" to the list of variables in 184.6.2.2 as: "Boolean variable that is true when set by a management entity and is false otherwise".         Response       Response Status         C       ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Resolve using the response to comment #88.         If 184       SC 184.9         P535       L15         Marris, Arthur       Cadence Design Systems	Response REJECT. Stating parameter values 2022. No changes to the draft. [Editor's note: changed s C/ 185 SC 185.3.1.1 Sluyski, Mike Comment Type E	Response Status       C         es as text is supported by IEEE and widely used in IEEE Std 802.3-         .         .         subclause from 185.5.2 Error ratio allocation to 185.2]         P545       L13       # 72         Cisco
Add the definition of "FEC_reset" to the list of variables in 184.6.2.2 as: "Boolean variable that is true when set by a management entity and is false otherwise".         desponse       Response Status         ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Resolve using the response to comment #88.         If 184       SC 184.9         P535       L15         Marris, Arthur       Cadence Design Systems	REJECT. Stating parameter values 2022. No changes to the draft. [Editor's note: changed s <i>Cl</i> <b>185</b> <i>SC</i> <b>185.3.1.1</b> Sluyski, Mike <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b>	as as text is supported by IEEE and widely used in IEEE Std 802.3 subclause from 185.5.2 Error ratio allocation to 185.2] P545 $L13$ # 72 Cisco
that is true when set by a management entity and is false otherwise".	Stating parameter values 2022. No changes to the draft. [Editor's note: changed s <i>Cl</i> <b>185</b> <i>SC</i> <b>185.3.1.1</b> Sluyski, Mike <i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b>	subclause from 185.5.2 Error ratio allocation to 185.2]          P545       L13       # 72         Cisco
Resolve using the response to comment #88.         Image: 184       SC 184.9       P 535       L 15       # 2         Marris, Arthur       Cadence Design Systems	Sluyski, Mike Comment Type E	Cisco
P 184         SC 184.9         P 535         L 15         # 2           Marris, Arthur         Cadence Design Systems	Comment Type E	
Iarris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems		Comment Status R (buck
	This clause include a ref	
amment Turpe TD Comment Statue A	This clause include a rei	ference (184.4.11.1) and later to (185.5.2).
oninent rype IR Coninent Status A reset variable	SuggestedRemedy	
Make FEC_reset reference Inner FEC control register 1.2400		clearer to reference Figure 185-2 instead of text 184.4.11.1 (Picture
uggestedRemedy	is clearer than words). L	ikewise Reference to Figure 185-5 than text in 185.5.2.
In Table 184-4 make the MDIO bit 1.2400.0 and reference 45.2.1.213a	Response	Response Status C
Change variable name from "FEC_reset" to "Inner_FEC_reset" and also on page 530 line	REJECT.	acifies the respiret of the DMD:18 LINITDATA request primitive
47 In Table 45-177a delete rows "Inner FEC enable lane 1" to "Inner FEC enable lane 7" and in the row for "1.2400.0" change "enable" to "reset" On page 530 line 47 for the reset variable change the cross reference from "45.2.1.1.1" to "45.2.1.213a"	The noted referece to 18 relevent information not No change to the draft	ecifies the receipt of the PMD:IS_UNITDATA.request primitive. 84.4.11.1 specifies how the primitive is created and contains included in the Figure 185-2 or 185-3. subclause from 185.3.1.1 800GBASE-L to 185.3.1.1]
esponse Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Resolve using the response to comment #88.		

C/ 185 SC 185.3.1.1

2/ 185	SC 185.5.	3 P548	L <b>29</b>	# 99	C/ 185	SC 185.6.1	P <b>550</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 190
/li, Guang	can	Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd		Brown, Ma	tt	Alphawave Se	emi	
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status R		primitive	Comment	Туре <b>т</b>	Comment Status A		Tx optical parameter
"The fo Tx YI,		eams carry a combination of the	e transmitting Inne	r FEC Tx_XI, Tx_XQ,	The va	lue for "Tx lase	r frequency slew rate: post acc	quisition (max)	" is TBD.
Tx_YC not cle	signals used ar what is the	by the transmitting PMD to ger meaning of Inner FEC in this s _XI et. al, they are referred to a	entence. In other	places in this clause,	Suggested Expect Response	<i>Remedy</i> t a contribution	with proposals. Response Status <b>C</b>		
Suggested	Remedy					PT IN PRINCIP	,		
change	e "the transm	tting Inner FEC Tx_XI, Tx_XQ,.	" to "the analog T	x_XI, Tx_XQ,"		_			
Response		Response Status C			Resolv	e using the res	ponse to comment #398.		
REJEC	CT.				C/ 185	SC 185.6.1	P <b>550</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 398
Refer t	o figure 185-	5 that shows Tx_XI, Tx_XQ, Tx_	YI and Tx YO ori	ainating in the Inner	Maniloff, E	ric	Ciena		
		of the statement is to clarify that			Comment	Туре <b>т</b>	Comment Status A		Tx optical parameter
analog	streams con	or both transmit and receive direction tails and receive direction tails and the Tx_XI, Tx_XC	Q, Tx_YI and Tx_Y	Q components sent			w rate: post acquisition (max) hould be slower than the pre-a		
from th	e transmiting	Inner FEC and are therefor diff	erent even the lab	els are the same.	Suggested	Remedy			
No cha	ange to draft.				00	-	Tx laser frequency slew rate: p	ost acquisition	(max) with 1 GHz/s.
7 185	SC 185.6.	1 <i>P</i> <b>550</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 397	Response		Response Status C		
Maniloff, E		Ciena			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
Comment		Comment Status A		Tx optical parameter	The C	RG reviewed ht	tps://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/put	olic/25 01/mar	niloff 3di 02 2501.pdf.
		NR specification of 35dB is low uires allocating additional pena					sted remedy with editorial licen	_	
Suggested Chang	•	Transmitter OSNR from 35 dB	to 40 dB.						
Response ACCE	PT IN PRINC	Response Status <b>C</b> PLE.							
The Cl	RG reviewed	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/pu	blic/25_01/manilo	ff_3dj_02_2501.pdf.					
Implor	oont the suga	ested remedy with editorial lice	200						
impien	ient the sugg	ested remedy with editorial lice	150.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 185 SC 185.6.1 Page 121 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:23 PM

nterfaces 800GB/ ithout a clear spec cified phase noise o this spec. ase noise mask sp	cy (max)" is an il ASE-LR1 clockir c on the phase r e mask at the 800 pecification is pre- tion is to delete t	# 474 <i>Tx optical parameter</i> II-defined spec in table ing on the line interface is hoise of those layers, it is OGBASE-LR1 interface. esent in any of the prior this spec. Presentation to	The ter Suggested Change Response ACCEF In Tabl to	Type E of polarization rm used in 802 Remedy e this entry to PT IN PRINCI	Ciena Comment Status A (max) is not the correct entry, th 2.3ct is Polarization rotation speed "Polarization rotation speed (ma Response Status C	ed (max)	# 400 <i>Rx optical paramete</i> rate of change in SOP.
ent Status A se mask frequenc nterfaces 800GB/ ithout a clear spec cified phase noise o this spec. ase noise mask sp 24. Recommendat ase Status C	cy (max)" is an il ASE-LR1 clockir c on the phase r e mask at the 800 pecification is pre- tion is to delete t	Il-defined spec in table ng on the line interface is noise of those layers, it is OGBASE-LR1 interface. esent in any of the prior this spec. Presentation to	Comment T State o The ter Suggested Change Response ACCEF In Tabl to	Type E of polarization rm used in 802 Remedy e this entry to PT IN PRINCI	Comment Status A (max) is not the correct entry, th 2.3ct is Polarization rotation spe "Polarization rotation speed (ma <i>Response Status</i> C PLE.	ed (max)	• •
nterfaces 800GB/ ithout a clear spec cified phase noise o this spec. ase noise mask sp 24. Recommendat ase Status <b>C</b>	ASE-LR1 clockir c on the phase r e mask at the 80 pecification is pre tion is to delete t	ng on the line interface is noise of those layers, it is 0GBASE-LR1 interface. esent in any of the prior this spec. Presentation to	The ter Suggested Change Response ACCEF In Tabl to	rm used in 802 Remedy e this entry to PT IN PRINCI	2.3ct is Polarization rotation spe "Polarization rotation speed (ma <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> PLE.	ed (max)	
cified phase noise o this spec. ase noise mask sp 24. Recommendat ase Status <b>C</b>	e mask at the 80 pecification is pre tion is to delete t	0GBASE-LR1 interface. esent in any of the prior this spec. Presentation to	Change <i>Response</i> ACCEF In Tabl to	e this entry to PT IN PRINCI	Response Status C	ax)"	
o this spec. ase noise mask sp 24. Recommendat ase Status <b>C</b>	pecification is pre tion is to delete t	esent in any of the prior this spec. Presentation to	Change <i>Response</i> ACCEF In Tabl to	e this entry to PT IN PRINCI	Response Status C	ax)"	
24. Recommendat	tion is to delete t	this spec. Presentation to	, ACCEF In Tabl to		PLE.		
24. Recommendat	tion is to delete t	this spec. Presentation to	In Tabl				
ose Status C			to	le 185-6 chang	ge "State of polarization (max)"		
-	ublic/25_01/kota						
ee802.org/3/dj/p	ublic/25_01/kota		i olani	zation rotation	speed (max)"		
ee802.org/3/dj/p	ublic/25_01/kota						
300002.019/0/0j/p	0010/20_01/1010	a 3di 02 2501 ndf	Make t	he same char	ige in Table 187-6.		
		<u></u>	C/ 185	SC 185.6.3	P <b>552</b>	L14	# 178
ase noise: phase	e noise mask free	quency (max) and	Sheffi, Nir		Alphawave		
			Comment 7	Туре <b>т</b>	Comment Status R		Link budge
					link power budget is 6.8 dB if a		
P <b>551</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 399		ed. But differer ed in Table 18	nce between TX power specified 5-5 is 6.3 dB.	l in Table 185-5	and RX power
Ciena			Suggested	Remedy			
<i>ent Status</i> <b>A</b> F 800LR, a highe	r damage thresh	Rx optical parameter nold should be specified.		increase TX p 185-7 to 0.	ower by 0.5 dB in Table 185-5 o	r set the allocat	tion for penalties in
			Response		Response Status C		
er Damage thresh	nold to -2 dBm.		REJEC	CT.			
ose Status C						he draft are cori	rect but the wording
	ublic/25_01/man	niloff_3dj_02_2501.pdf.	The co	mmentor is in	vited to submit a more detailed	presentation in	the future.
eesu2.org/3/dj/p			No cha	anges to the d	aft.		
ise	e Status <b>C</b> e802.org/3/dj/p	e802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/mar	-	Damage threshold to -2 dBm. REJEC P Status C In CRC could t e802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/maniloff_3dj_02_2501.pdf. The co	Damage threshold to -2 dBm.       REJECT.         e Status       C         ln CRG discussion it could be improved to to the improved to t	Damage threshold to -2 dBm.       REJECT.         e Status       C         In CRG discussion it was agreed that the values in the could be improved to add clarity.         e802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/maniloff_3dj_02_2501.pdf.	Damage threshold to -2 dBm.       REJECT.         e Status       C         In CRG discussion it was agreed that the values in the draft are correculd be improved to add clarity.         e802.org/3/dj/public/25_01/maniloff_3dj_02_2501.pdf.

C/ 185 SC 185.6.3

C/ 185	SC 185.7		P <b>552</b>	L <b>45</b>	# 101	Cl 185	SC	185.9.1		P <b>557</b>	L <b>21</b>	# 102
Mi, Guang	ıcan		Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd		Mi, Guang	can			Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd	
Comment	Type TR	Comment	t Status A		(bucketp)	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment	Status R		
optica		air of SMF, which		ent". For 800GBAS blex optic link.It is a		LO line 1MHz.	ewidth	(max) was	limited to 100	)kHz. While the	e Tx laser line widt	h max. is limited to
Suggested clarify	-	of this sentence.	Or delerte it.			It is ve Rx LO linewid	. The F	mon for co Rx signal pr	pherent modu rocessing thu	les to use a sig s should be ab	nle laser as both le to work with a L	Tx laser source and O of upto 1MHz
Response		Response	Status C			Similar	r ta tha	roforonoo	rocciver in T		the apharant datas	tor frontend of ETCC
ACCE	PT IN PRIN	CIPLE.									any LR1 coherent	
		entence in 185.7 s a simplex fiber			odel (channel) defined	signal	passin	g the ETC	C measureme	ent provde eno		at it can work with any
to	s the same a	s a simplex liber	optic link segme			Suggested	IRemed	dy				
		oling model (chan ex fiber link segm		re applies to each s	simplex fiber that				ity of requirin dth requireme		of 100kHz in E-TC	C measurement.
Make	the same wc	rding change in 1	180.8. 182.8 and	d 187.7.		Response			Response	Status C		
		0 0	,			REJEC	CT.					
Impler	nent with edi	torial license.				The tig	ahter 10	00kHz spec	cification is fo	r the test equir	ment to measure	ETCC with better
C/ 185	SC 185.8	.3	P <b>555</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 157				tional receive			
Bruckman	, Leon		Nvidia			No cha	ange to	the draft.				
Comment	Type TR	Commen	t Status A		(bucketp)		0					
There	is no Lane w	vavelength (range	୬) in Table 185-5	5		C/ 185		185.12.4.1		P <b>562</b>	L10	# 401
Suggested	lRemedy					Maniloff, E				Ciena		
Updat	e also Table	185-11 row 2.	0,	185-5, then make r	naming consistent.	Comment Transn	,,	T ominal cer	Comment nter frequency		ble to this PMD.	(bucket
-		avelength (range)				Suggested	Remed	dy				
Response		Response	Status C			Delete	this er	ntry.				
ACCE	PT IN PRIN	JPLE.				Response			Response	Status <b>C</b>		
The ad	dopted basel	ines use "carrier f	frequency (rang	e)".		ACCEI	PT.					
The w	as consensu	s to retain this ter	rm.									
In Tab to	le 185-11 an	d 185.8.3 change	e "Lane wavelen	ngth (range)"								
	er frequency	(range)".										
"Carrie												
	nent with edi	torial license.										

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 185 SC 185.12.4.1

C/ 185 SC 185.	12.4.1	P <b>562</b>	L13	# 402	C/ 185	SC 185.12.4	.4 P56	3 L36	# 406
Maniloff, Eric		Ciena			Maniloff, Er	ic	Ciena		
Comment Type T	Com	ment Status A		(bucket)	Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status	Α	(bucket)
Receiver nominal	center freque	ency is not applicable	to this PMD			m average cha 185 PMDs	nnel power at maximu	m adjustable power	setting is not applicable to
SuggestedRemedy					SuggestedF				
Delete this entry.						his entry.			
Response	Resp	onse Status C			Response		Response Status	c	
ACCEPT.					ACCEP			0	
C/ 185 SC 185.	12.4.4	P <b>563</b>	L19	# 404	C/ 185	SC 185.12.4	.4 P56	2 / 44	# 407
Maniloff, Eric		Ciena						3 L41	# 407
Comment Type <b>T</b>		ment Status A		(bucketp)	Maniloff, Er Comment T		Ciena Comment Status	•	(bucketp)
SMSR is not defin	ied as a parai	meter in clause 185					unamplified PMD, RO		(δάζκειρ)
SuggestedRemedy					SuggestedF		anapca :		
Delete this entry.					00	entries OM11 a	and OM13		
Response	Resp	onse Status <b>C</b>			Response		Response Status	c	
ACCEPT.					ACCEP	ΥТ.		•	
C/ 185 SC 185.	12.4.4	P <b>563</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 405	C/ 185	SC 185.12.4	.24 256	2 L <b>40</b>	# 403
Maniloff, Eric		Ciena			Maniloff, Er		.24 750 Ciena	2 L40	# 403
Comment Type T		ment Status A		(bucket)	Comment T		Comment Status	^	(bucket)
Adjustable range optical power is no		clause 185					equency ability is not a		, ,
SuggestedRemedy					SuggestedF	Remedy			
Delete this entry.					Delete t	his entry.			
Response	Resp	onse Status <b>C</b>			Response		Response Status	С	
ACCEPT.					ACCEP	Τ.			
					C/ 185A	SC 185A	P83	9 L6	# 520
					Dawe, Piers	3	Nvidia		
					Comment T		Comment Status te TDECQ or COM.	Α	(bucket)
					SuggestedF				
					00	"informative" t	to "normative.		
					Response		Response Status	С	
					ACCEP	Т.			
								01 100	
				T/technical E/editorial G/ SE STATUS: O/open W/w				C/ 185A SC 185A	Page 124 of 136 1/22/2025 11:18:2

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 185A SC 185A	P839	L15	# 521		C/ 185A	SC 185	A.2.3	P <b>842</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 475
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia				Kota, Kisho	re		Marvell Se	miconductor	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			ETCC	Comment T	ype <b>T</b> I	R	Comment Status R		ETCO
802.3 is not a test spec	There was an 802.3 test s	pec once, but it v	vas withdrawn.					processing described in		
SuggestedRemedy								recovery" block which is c in Table 185-5.	equired to allow re	laxation of the TX I-Q
Write this as a definition methodologies".	of what we mean by ETCC	, rather than "de	fines test		SuggestedF	Remedy	·			
Response	Response Status <b>C</b>				Add pos	st-equalize	er stage	e to the digital signal pro	cessing. Presentati	ion to be provided.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE					Response			Response Status C		
					REJEC	Т.				
parameters and measur However, ETCC is a pa Replace the paragraph "ETCC is a parameter re	measurement methods, e.g rement methods". rameter, not a measuremen in 185A.2 with the following: epresenting the quality of the BASE-ER1, and 800GBASE	t method. e tranmitter outp	ut signal used fo		After CI	RG discus	sion w	s://www.ieee802.org/3/dj hile there was support fo clusion in the specificatio	r the change it was	- ,
is defined in this annex.		EIGT 201 MD3.			The cor	nmentor i	s invite	d to submit a more detai	ed presentation in	the future.
In the annex title change	e "Test methods" to "Measu	rement methods	".		No chai	nges to th	e draft.			
In 185A.1 change "test	methodologies" to "measure	ement methods".			C/ 185A	SC 185	A.2.3	P <b>842</b>	L <b>38</b>	# 359
The subclauses 185.9/1	87.9 title should be the para	ameter name, no	t test method; to	o be	Ran, Adee			Cisco		
consistent with other sis	ster subclauses.				Comment T			Comment Status A		ETCO
Change title of 185.9/18	7.9 to "Extended transmitte	r constellation clo	osure (ETCC)"		not defi		here, a	the word "decisioning". T nd I think it is not part of search		
Implement in 185, 187,	and 185A with editorial licer	ise.					•			
								t symbol is generated by is based on that. An alter		
					SuggestedF	Remedy				
					Change	to "symb	ol slicir	ng", all instances.		
					Response ACCEP		NCIPLE	Response Status <b>C</b>		
					to		0	nstances of "symbol deci detection"	sioning and democ	dulation"

C/ 185A SC 185A.2.3

C/ 185A SC 185A.2.3.2 P843 L4 # 177	C/ 185A	SC 185A.	2.4	P <b>843</b>	L <b>36</b>	# 82
Johnson, John Broadcom	Issenhuth,	Tom	Hu	uawei		
Comment Type TR Comment Status A E	TCC Comment	Туре Т	Comment Stat	tus <b>A</b>		ETC
A constant value for the lowpass filter bandwidth is specified, which detracts from the		are 7 missing	parameter defintions	s which are	currently TBD in	n this subclause.
generality of the ETCC test method. The value of 65 GHz is suitable for 800GBASE-LR and -ER1 (52.6% and 55% of signaling rate, respectively), but may not be suitable for	Suggested	Remedy				
future PMDs that refer to 185A.2.	•		with parameter definit	tions as pro	posed in the sup	pporting presentation to
SuggestedRemedy	be prov	vided.	D			
Change "with a 3 dB bandwidth equal to $65 \pm 1$ GHz" to "with a 3 dB bandwidth equal to times the signaling rate, $\pm 1$ GHz."		PT IN PRINC	Response Stat IPLE.	us C		
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Resolv	ve using the r	esponse to comment	#408.		
	C/ <b>186</b>	SC 186		P <b>565</b>	L1	# 36
In 185A.2.3.2 change "with a 3 dB bandwidth equal to 65 +/- 1 GHz" to	Huber, The	omas	No	okia		
"with a 3 dB bandwidth equal to 0.55 times the signaling rate, +/- 1 GHz"	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Stat	tus <b>A</b>		ER1 architectur
With editorial license.	needeo	d for the 8000		has becom	e evident that th	e model of this PHY as
C/         185A         SC         185A.2.4         P843         L35         #         408           Maniloff, Eric         Ciena         Ciena </td <td>needed a sepa OIF 80 feature introdu being F Suggested Two br ER1 P 186 to that is Response ACCEI odf. The CI https://</td> <td>d for the 8000 rate PCS cre 00ZR specific e exacerbates iced to the 80 PHY-agnostic <i>Remedy</i> road options: HY_XS to av define an ER specific to th PT IN PRINC RG reviewed /www.ieee802 is consensus</td> <td>GBASE-ER1 PHY, it l tates some difficulties ation with which we a the misalignment ar 00GXS, which is not r 00GXS, which is not r 00</td> <td>has becom s, largely be are trying to nd requires really consist o include sp specific bel er than a Pr detailed pr tus <b>C</b> 01/huber_3c BASE-ER1</td> <td>e evident that the ecause that mod align. The introo PHY-specific be stent with the co eccification of a s havior to the 800 CS sublayer to a esentation will b</td> <td>e model of this PHY as lel does not match the duction of the AMLT shaviors to be incept of the XS as separate 800GBASE- OGXS, or revise clause avoid the need for an XS re provided.</td>	needed a sepa OIF 80 feature introdu being F Suggested Two br ER1 P 186 to that is Response ACCEI odf. The CI https://	d for the 8000 rate PCS cre 00ZR specific e exacerbates iced to the 80 PHY-agnostic <i>Remedy</i> road options: HY_XS to av define an ER specific to th PT IN PRINC RG reviewed /www.ieee802 is consensus	GBASE-ER1 PHY, it l tates some difficulties ation with which we a the misalignment ar 00GXS, which is not r 00GXS, which is not r 00	has becom s, largely be are trying to nd requires really consist o include sp specific bel er than a Pr detailed pr tus <b>C</b> 01/huber_3c BASE-ER1	e evident that the ecause that mod align. The introo PHY-specific be stent with the co eccification of a s havior to the 800 CS sublayer to a esentation will b	e model of this PHY as lel does not match the duction of the AMLT shaviors to be incept of the XS as separate 800GBASE- OGXS, or revise clause avoid the need for an XS re provided.

C/ 186 SC 186

C/ 186 SC 186	P <b>576</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 182	C/ 186	SC 186.2.3.	6.10 <i>P</i> 575	L <b>34</b>	# 218
Brown, Matt	Alphawave S	emi		Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		ER1 architecture
	is used but never defined. Better or a field name of "AM".	r to just spell it o	ut. Exception is if it is	and pa		values is sent in the AML, ho ayers, and how monitoring of		
<u>,</u>	alignment markers".			Suggested	IRemedy			
0	0			00	ntation will be pr	ovided.		
Response	Response Status C			Response		Response Status <b>C</b>		
ACCEPT IN PRING Make suggested c	CIPLE. hange throughout clause 186. Im	plement with ed	itorial license.	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	•		
C/ 186 SC 186.2		L <b>23</b>	# 37	C/ 186	SC 186.2.4.1		L20	# 127
Huber, Thomas	Nokia					Broadcom	220	121
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Slavick, Je		Comment Status A		(h
The AM field was r	renamed FAM to clarify that it is n	not the 800GBAS	SE-R AMs.	Comment	51		ivet he isline for	(bucke)
SuggestedRemedy				the de		s be their own sub-headings	, just be mine fur	ictionality that is part of
Change OH/AM to	OH/FAM			Suggested	IRemedy			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Add th	is sentence prio	r to the 186.2.4.1.1 heading network operator in determini		
C/ 186 SC 186.2	2.3.6 P572	L <b>5</b> 1	# 38			ings of 186.2.4.1.1-4 and ma	ke them inline de	finitions like is done in
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			175.2.	5.3			
Comment Type <b>T</b> With the addition c	Comment Status <b>A</b> of the AML field, the overhead is r	no longer a subs	<i>(bucket)</i> et of what is in the OIF		e the references nent with editori			
	ence to ITU-T G.709.6 should be			Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy				ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
	read: "The frame overhead is bas R-01.0, which is a subset of what			Impler	nent suggested	remedy with editorial license		
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT								

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 186 SC 186.2.4.1

CI 186 SC 186.2.	4.4 P581	L <b>34</b>	# 191	C/ 186	SC 186.3.3.2	.2 P594	L19	# 158
Brown, Matt	Alphawave	Semi		Bruckman,	Leon	Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		ER1 errors	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		ER1 frame alignmen
The value for "numb	per of bit errors detected is incr	eased" is TBD.				re different for X and Y only		
SuggestedRemedy						6-16). No indication as how can choose to use TS and		
Expect a contribution	n with proposals.			Suggested				
Response	Response Status C				-	i-frame alignment signal, tra	aining sequence, a	and pilot sequence"
ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.			Response	-	Response Status <b>C</b>		
After extensive task	force discussion, it was decide	ed that the FEC d	earaded SER feature	ACCEP	ΥТ.			
itself needs to be up	odated as part pf the new 800G	B-ER1 architecture	. This feature and the					
TBD value should b	e updated in the next draft bas	ed on comment #3	36.	C/ 186	SC 186.4.2.1		L <b>6</b>	# 41
Resolve with the res	sponse to comment #36.			Huber, Tho		Nokia		
C/ 186 SC 186.3.	3 P587	L34	# 39	Comment T		Comment Status A		(bucket
Huber, Thomas	Nokia	204	<i>"</i> 00			in 186.2.3.5.1 (with referen ontains 32 bytes that are pr		
	NOMA					ved (0x00). The alignment		
	Comment Status A		FR1 architecture					
Comment Type E	Comment Status A	use compared to t	ER1 architecture	bytes; t	he 28 bytes that	t are transmitted as 0x00 a		
Comment Type E There is an extra lay	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla		he PCS clause that		he 28 bytes that			
Comment Type <b>E</b> There is an extra lay seems unnecessary	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla /. PCS has Transmit and Reco	deive functions as	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA	bytes; t SuggestedF	he 28 bytes tha Remedy		re not required to	match.
Comment Type E There is an extra lay seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla	deive functions as transmit and rece	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings	bytes; t <i>SuggestedF</i> Revise alignme	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only or than the entire FAM field:	re not required to the 32 bytes that	match. have the frame
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla /. PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the	deive functions as transmit and rece	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings	bytes; t SuggestedF Revise alignme "A Bool	he 28 bytes tha Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe lean variable tha	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only or than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25	re not required to the 32 bytes that	match. have the frame
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se and Rx directions a	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla 2. PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro	deive functions as transmit and rece	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings	bytes; t Suggestedf Revise alignme "A Bool frame a	he 28 bytes tha Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe lean variable tha	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence"	re not required to the 32 bytes that	match. have the frame
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se and Rx directions as SuggestedRemedy Remove the extra la	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla 2. PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx	bytes; t SuggestedF Revise alignme "A Bool frame a Response	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe lean variable that lignment mecha	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only or than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25	re not required to the 32 bytes that	match. have the frame
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se and Rx directions as SuggestedRemedy	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla /. PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro s clearly as this PMA does. ayer of hierarchy. Make 186.3.	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx	bytes; t Suggestedf Revise alignme "A Bool frame a	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe lean variable that lignment mecha	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence"	re not required to the 32 bytes that	match. have the frame
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se and Rx directions as SuggestedRemedy Remove the extra la receive functions. Response	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla $\chi$ . PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro s clearly as this PMA does. ayer of hierarchy. Make 186.3. Response Status <b>C</b>	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx	bytes; t SuggestedF Revise alignme "A Bool frame a Response	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe lean variable that lignment mecha	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence"	re not required to the 32 bytes that	match. have the frame
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se and Rx directions as SuggestedRemedy Remove the extra lar receive functions. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla $\chi$ . PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro s clearly as this PMA does. ayer of hierarchy. Make 186.3. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> IPLE.	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx	bytes; t SuggestedF Revise alignme "A Bool frame a Response ACCEF	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe ean variable that lignment mecha PT. SC 186.5	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	the 32 bytes that 56 bits of the FAM <i>L</i> <b>39</b>	match. have the frame field are a valid PCS
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se and Rx directions as SuggestedRemedy Remove the extra lar receive functions. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Resolve with the res	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla /. PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro s clearly as this PMA does. ayer of hierarchy. Make 186.3. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> IPLE. sponse to commnet #36.	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha 3 the transmit func	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx ctions, and 186.3.4 the	bytes; t Suggestedf Revise alignme "A Bool frame a Response ACCEP C/ 186	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe lean variable that lignment mecha PT. SC 186.5	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>605</b>	the 32 bytes that 56 bits of the FAM <i>L</i> <b>39</b>	match. have the frame field are a valid PCS
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se and Rx directions as SuggestedRemedy Remove the extra lar receive functions. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Resolve with the res	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla /. PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro s clearly as this PMA does. ayer of hierarchy. Make 186.3. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> IPLE. sponse to commnet #36.	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx	bytes; t Suggestedf Revise alignme "A Bool frame a Response ACCEP C/ 186 Brown, Mat Comment T	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe lean variable that lignment mecha PT. SC 186.5 tt Type T	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> <b>605</b> Alphawave	the 32 bytes that 56 bits of the FAM <i>L</i> <b>39</b>	match. have the frame field are a valid PCS # 23
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se and Rx directions ar SuggestedRemedy Remove the extra la receive functions. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Resolve with the rest Cl 186 SC 186.3.	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla /. PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro s clearly as this PMA does. ayer of hierarchy. Make 186.3. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> IPLE. sponse to commnet #36.	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha 3 the transmit func	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx ctions, and 186.3.4 the	bytes; t Suggestedf Revise alignme "A Bool frame a Response ACCEP C/ 186 Brown, Mat Comment T Delay li	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe lean variable that lignment mecha PT. SC 186.5 tt Type T mits for 800GB/	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> 605 Alphawave <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b>	the 32 bytes that 56 bits of the FAM <i>L</i> <b>39</b>	match. have the frame field are a valid PCS # 23
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se and Rx directions as SuggestedRemedy Remove the extra la receive functions. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Resolve with the rest Cl 186 SC 186.3.	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla $\chi$ . PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro s clearly as this PMA does. ayer of hierarchy. Make 186.3. <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE. sponse to commnet #36. 3.1.2 <i>P</i> 589	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha 3 the transmit func	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx ctions, and 186.3.4 the	bytes; t Suggestedf Revise alignme "A Bool frame a Response ACCEP C/ 186 Brown, Mat Comment T Delay li Suggestedf	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe lean variable that lignment mecha PT. SC 186.5 tt Type T mits for 800GB/ Remedy	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> 605 Alphawave <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> ASE-ER1 PC1 are TBD.	the 32 bytes that 56 bits of the FAM <i>L</i> <b>39</b>	match. have the frame field are a valid PCS # 23
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se and Rx directions as SuggestedRemedy Remove the extra lar receive functions. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Resolve with the rest CI 186 SC 186.3. Huber, Thomas Comment Type T In figure 186-13, 'm	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla A. PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro s clearly as this PMA does. Ayer of hierarchy. Make 186.3. Response Status C IPLE. sponse to commnet #36. 3.1.2 P589 Nokia Comment Status A fas' should be 'faw' to align with	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha 3 the transmit func <i>L</i> 17 n the text in 186.3.	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx ctions, and 186.3.4 the # 40 <i>(bucket)</i> 3.1.5 (faw is used here	bytes; t Suggestedf Revise alignme "A Bool frame a Response ACCEP Cl 186 Brown, Mat Comment T Delay li Suggestedf Expect	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe lean variable that lignment mecha PT. SC 186.5 tt Type T mits for 800GB/	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> 605 Alphawave <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> ASE-ER1 PC1 are TBD. <i>v</i> ith proposals.	the 32 bytes that 56 bits of the FAM <i>L</i> <b>39</b>	match. have the frame field are a valid PCS # 23
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func- below that. This se and Rx directions as SuggestedRemedy Remove the extra lar receive functions. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Resolve with the rest C/ 186 SC 186.3. Huber, Thomas Comment Type T In figure 186-13, 'm to avoid conflict with	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla /. PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro s clearly as this PMA does. ayer of hierarchy. Make 186.3. <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE. sponse to commnet #36. 3.1.2 P589 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> A	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha 3 the transmit func <i>L</i> 17 n the text in 186.3.	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx ctions, and 186.3.4 the # 40 <i>(bucket)</i> 3.1.5 (faw is used here	bytes; t Suggestedf Revise alignme "A Bool frame a Response ACCEF Cl 186 Brown, Mat Comment T Delay li Suggestedf Expect Response	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathe lean variable that lignment mecha PT. SC 186.5 tt Type T mits for 800GB/ Remedy a contribution w	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> 605 Alphawave <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> ASE-ER1 PC1 are TBD. vith proposals. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	the 32 bytes that 56 bits of the FAM <i>L</i> <b>39</b>	match. have the frame field are a valid PCS # 23
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se and Rx directions at SuggestedRemedy Remove the extra lar receive functions. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Resolve with the rest Cl 186 SC 186.3. Huber, Thomas Comment Type T In figure 186-13, 'm to avoid conflict with SuggestedRemedy	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla A. PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro s clearly as this PMA does. ayer of hierarchy. Make 186.3. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> IPLE. sponse to comment #36. <b>3.1.2</b> P589 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> fas' should be 'faw' to align with the MFAS field in the PCS fraction	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha 3 the transmit func <i>L</i> 17 n the text in 186.3.	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx ctions, and 186.3.4 the # 40 <i>(bucket)</i> 3.1.5 (faw is used here	bytes; t Suggestedf Revise alignme "A Bool frame a Response ACCEF Cl 186 Brown, Mat Comment T Delay li Suggestedf Expect Response ACCEF	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathele lean variable that lignment mecha PT. SC 186.5 tt Type T mits for 800GB/ Remedy a contribution w PT IN PRINCIPL	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> 605 Alphawave <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> ASE-ER1 PC1 are TBD. vith proposals. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> .E.	the 32 bytes that 56 bits of the FAM <i>L</i> <b>39</b>	match. have the frame field are a valid PCS # 23
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func- below that. This se and Rx directions as SuggestedRemedy Remove the extra lar receive functions. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Resolve with the rest C/ 186 SC 186.3. Huber, Thomas Comment Type T In figure 186-13, 'm to avoid conflict with	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla A. PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro s clearly as this PMA does. ayer of hierarchy. Make 186.3. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> IPLE. sponse to comment #36. <b>3.1.2</b> P589 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> fas' should be 'faw' to align with the MFAS field in the PCS fraction	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha 3 the transmit func <i>L</i> 17 n the text in 186.3.	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx ctions, and 186.3.4 the # 40 <i>(bucket)</i> 3.1.5 (faw is used here	bytes; t Suggestedf Revise alignme "A Bool frame a Response ACCEF Cl 186 Brown, Mat Comment T Delay li Suggestedf Expect Response ACCEF	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathele lean variable that lignment mecha PT. SC 186.5 tt Type T mits for 800GB/ Remedy a contribution w PT IN PRINCIPL	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> 605 Alphawave <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> ASE-ER1 PC1 are TBD. vith proposals. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	the 32 bytes that 56 bits of the FAM <i>L</i> <b>39</b>	match. have the frame field are a valid PCS # 23
Comment Type E There is an extra lar seems unnecessary has level 3 as "func below that. This se and Rx directions at SuggestedRemedy Remove the extra lar receive functions. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Resolve with the rest Cl 186 SC 186.3. Huber, Thomas Comment Type T In figure 186-13, 'm to avoid conflict with SuggestedRemedy	yer of hierarchy in the PMA cla A. PCS has Transmit and Reco tions within the PMA", with the ems to have been inherited fro s clearly as this PMA does. ayer of hierarchy. Make 186.3. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> IPLE. sponse to comment #36. <b>3.1.2</b> P589 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> fas' should be 'faw' to align with the MFAS field in the PCS fraction	deive functions as transmit and rece m other PMAs tha 3 the transmit func <i>L</i> 17 n the text in 186.3.	he PCS clause that level 3 clauses, PMA ive as level 4 headings t don't distinguish Tx ctions, and 186.3.4 the # 40 <i>(bucket)</i> 3.1.5 (faw is used here	bytes; t Suggestedf Revise alignme "A Bool frame a Response ACCEF Cl 186 Brown, Mat Comment T Delay li Suggestedf Expect Response ACCEF	he 28 bytes that Remedy the definition of ent pattern rathele lean variable that lignment mecha PT. SC 186.5 tt Type T mits for 800GB/ Remedy a contribution w PT IN PRINCIPL	t are transmitted as 0x00 a fam_valid to consider only er than the entire FAM field: at is set to true if the first 25 anism sequence" <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> <i>P</i> 605 Alphawave <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> ASE-ER1 PC1 are TBD. vith proposals. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> .E.	the 32 bytes that 56 bits of the FAM <i>L</i> <b>39</b>	match. have the frame field are a valid PCS # 23

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 128 (	of 136
1/22/2025	11:18:23 PM

C/ 186 SC 186.5

C/ 186	SC 18	80.5	P <b>605</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 192	C/ 187	SC	187.1	P <b>614</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 74	
Brown, Ma	att		Alphawa	ve Semi		Sluyski, M	like		Cisco			
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status R		(withdrawn)	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		(bı	ucket
Delay Suggested	constrain		BD.						erated by these PMD types a uadrature amplitude modulat		sing a dual	
00			th proposals.			Suggested	dReme	dy				
Response		battori w	Response Status Z			either	signal	is plural a	s in signals or the are should	be is if singular		
REJE			Response Status Z			Response			Response Status C			
This c	comment		HDRAWN by the comm			Chang	ge "The		.E. gnal generated by these PM nese PMD types are modulat		dulated" to "The optic	cal
C/ 186	SC 18	86.5	P605	L <b>40</b>	# 73	C/ 187	SC	187.2	P615	L <b>34</b>	# 75	
Sluyski, M			Cisco			Sluyski, M	like		Cisco			
			Comment Status A ntributed by the 800GB/	ASE-ER1 PCS and 8	<i>ER1 delay</i> 800GBASE-ER1 PMA	Comment	Туре	<b>E</b> 74A.4 is n	Comment Status A ot linked.		(bı	ucket
	_quanta	•	ne end of the link) shall	be no more than TB	D bit times (TBD	Suggested Link re		<i>dy</i> e to 174A	.4			
Suggested	dRemedy	,				Response			Response Status C			
			de delay measurement rementd is 3.3uSec for l			ACCE	PT.					
Response	•		Response Status C			C/ 187	SC	187.3.1.1	P <b>618</b>	L13	# 76	
						Sluyski, M	like		Cisco			
			e contribution from Mike //3/dj/public/25_01/sluys			<i>Comment</i> This c	,,	E nclude a r	Comment Status R eference (186.3.3.1.6) and la	ter to (187.5.2).	(bu	ucket
After o	discussior	n a delay	value of 5 us was deci	ded upon.		Suggested	dReme	dy				
	e 186.5, I and paus		nstraints, with a maximu	um delay value of 5 ເ	us and converted to bit				clearer to reference Figure 1 Likewise Reference to Figur			ture
unes						The no releve No cha	CT. ause 18 oted re nt infor ange to	ferece to mation no the draft	Response Status C pecifies the receipt of the PM 186.3.3.1.6 specifies how the trincluded in the Figure 187- subclause from "187.3.1.1 s	e primitive is crea 2 or 187-3.	ated and contains	

C/ 187 SC 187.3.1.1

C/ 187	SC 187.5.3	P <b>621</b>	L <b>29</b>	# 100	C/ 187	SC	187.6.1	P <b>623</b>	L	# 66
Mi, Guang	can	Huawei Tec	hnologies Co., Ltd		Sluyski, N	like		Cisco		
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		primitive	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status R		Tx optical parameter
		ns carry a combination of th	e transmitting Inner I	FEC Tx_XI, Tx_XQ,	Tx las	er frequ	uency stat	bility: post-acquistion.		
Tx_YI, Tx_YQ		the transmitting PMD to ge	enerate the DP-16QA	M symbols".	Suggestee	dRemec	dy			
800GB	ASE-ER1-20 an	d 800GBASE-ER1 do not u			Not re	quired (	(see line 1	19)		
		ence in CL185.5.3.			Response			Response Status C		
Suggested	-				REJE	CT.				
change	e "the transmittin	g Inner FEC Tx_XI, Tx_XQ	" to "the analog Tx_	_XI, Tx_XQ,"	The s	unneste	d remedy	does not provide sufficient	detail to implen	nent
Response		Response Status C				00	,			ion.
			tion of the transmittir		[Edito	r's note:	: changed	subclause from Table 187.	5 to 187.6.1]	
Tx_XQ	, Tx_YI, and Tx_	og streams carry a combina _YQ signals"		ig inner FEC TX_XI,	C/ 187	SC	187.6.1	P <b>623</b>	L	# 67
to "The fo	ur analog stream	ns carry a combination of th	e transmitting PMA	Γχ ΧΙ Τχ ΧΟ	Sluyski, N	like		Cisco		
	and Tx_YQ sign			1X_XI, 1X_X&,	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		Tx optical parameter
With e	ditorial license.					ck phas le 187-		phase noise mask frequency	/ (max). Specifi	ed in 185-5 is it required
C/ 187	SC 187.5.5	P <b>622</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 103	Suggestee	dRemec	dy			
Mi, Guang	can	Huawei Tec	hnologies Co., Ltd		Add v	alues co	ommon w	ith Table 185-5 pg. 551 line	s 5-11	
Comment		Comment Status A	<b>C</b>	Signal detect	Response			Response Status C		
		ver limit of -18dBm for signa			ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPL	.E.		
		ue defined in Table 187-6 is below average receive pow		signal_detect to be	In Tah	187-	5 for FR1	-20 and ER1 add a line with	description "Ty	clock phase poise.
		below average receive pow			phase	noise r	mask freq	uency (max)".		
Suggested	-	B margin between power lev	ol at which PMD sig	nal datact=0 (	As pa "dBc/ł		e new para	ameter there are 4 associate	ed points and va	alues with all units
		je receive power min (-17.5					a value o	f -100		
at TP3	max limit to be -	19.5dBm or -20dBm for PM	1D_signal_detect=0				a value o			
Response		Response Status C					a value o ith a value			
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.								
In Tab	e 187-3 change	the Average optical power	at TP3 from <= -18 dl	3m to <= -19.5 dBm			license.	subclause from Table 187.	5 to 197 6 1]	
	e ter e change				Lano	IS HOLE.	. changeu		5 10 167.0.1]	

C/ 187	SC 187.6.1	P <b>623</b>	L	# 69	C/ 187	SC 1	87.6.1	P <b>623</b>	L <b>21</b>	# 58
Sluyski, Mike	e	Cisco			Sluyski, Mi	ike		Cisco		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		Tx optical parameter	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		Tx optical paramete
	•	otal periodic jitter (max) - spe	cified in Tabl	e 185-5				20ppm GBd is rounded. v allowed min.		
SuggestedRe Add value		ith Table 185-5 pg. 551 lines	13		Suggested	-				
	IN PRINCIPL				118.20 118.20	act rate 098653 0335060 0571467	6 min. 3 nom.	)3350603 GBd.		
		-20 and ER1 add a line with c alue of "0.03" and Unit of "Ulp		x clock phase noise: total	Response ACCEI		RINCIPL	Response Status <b>C</b> E.		
	torial license. note: changed	subclause from Table 187.5	to 187.6.1]		Chang	e "118.2	2 +/- 20pp	m Gbd" to "118.203351 +/- 2	20 ppm Gbd".	
C/ 187	SC 187.6.1	P <b>623</b>	L	# 68	[Editor	's note:	changed	subclause from Table 187.5	to 187.6.1]	
Sluyski, Mike	Э	Cisco			C/ 187	SC 1	87.6.1	P <b>623</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 193
Comment Typ Tx clock SuggestedRe	, phase noise: t	Comment Status A otal integrated random jitter (	max) - specif	<i>Tx optical parameter</i> ied in Table 185-5	Brown, Ma <i>Comment</i> ETCC		<b>T</b> re TBD.	Alphawave Se Comment Status A	emi	ETCO
Add value	es common w	ith Table 185-5 pg. 551 lines	12		Suggested	Remed	V			
Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	Response Status <b>C</b> E.			00	t a contr		th proposals. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>		
integrate With edit	d random jitter torial license.	-20 and ER1 add a line with c r (max)", value of "0.015" and	Unit of "UIrm		This co	omment	RINCIPLI was subi vas not pr	nitted on behalf of the leader	ship team and a	a supporting
[Editor's	note: changed	subclause from Table 187.5	to 187.6.1]		"TBD"	to "2.5 d	dB" which	was decided in Table 187-5 is consistent with the impler is used in clause 185.		
					With e	ditorial I	icense.			

C/ 187	SC 187.6.1	P <b>623</b>	L <b>51</b>	# 64	C/ 187	SC	187.6.2	P <b>624</b>	L	# 70	
Sluyski, M	like	Cisco			Sluyski, M	like		Cisco			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R		Tx optical parameter	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status R		Rx optical paramete	
		ew rate: pre-acquistion (max).	Specified in tab	e 185-5 is it required for	RX ac	quisitio	on time - tir	me to acquire and lock to val	lid signal.		
187-52					Suggested	Remed	dy				
Suggested	•			<b>.</b>		to fully a	acquire sig	gnal in the presence of a vali	d input signal. F	Recommend 10 (max)	
		d ER1-20 does not include DW overs laser tuning and converge			Sec.						
Response		Response Status C		sha loo(max).	Response			Response Status C			
REJE		Response Status			REJE	CT.					
The su	uggested remed	dy does not provide sufficient d		ent.	specifi	ic and r	not relevar	as agreed that the suggested that for link interoperation.	d parameter wa	s implementation	
Eallor	s note: change	ed subclause from Table 187.5	10 187.0.1]		No cha	ange to	o the draft.				
CI <b>187</b>	SC 187.6.1	P <b>623</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 65	[Editor	r's note	: changed	subclause from Table 187.7	' to 187.6.2]		
Sluyski, M	like	Cisco			C/ 187	SC	187.6.2	P <b>624</b>	L10	# 59	
Comment		Comment Status R		Tx optical parameter	Sluyski, M			Cisco			
Tx lase for 187		ew rate: post-acquistion (max).	Specified in tal	ble 185-5 is it required	Comment		TR	Comment Status A		Tx optical paramete	
	-							- 20ppm GBd is rounded.		rx optical paramete	
Suggested	ar <i>emeay</i> quired. (see lir	vo 10)						w allowed min.			
		,			Suggested	Remed	dy				
Response		Response Status C			The exact rate is 118.203350603 GBd.						
REJEC	51.						36 min. 03 nom.				
The su	uggested remed	dy does not provide sufficient d	letail to impleme	ent.			70 max.				
[Editor	r's note: change	ed subclause from Table 187.5	to 187 6 11		Response			Response Status C			
[Ealto	o noto: onange				ACCE	PT IN I	PRINCIPL	E.			
					Chang	ge "118	.2 +/- 20pj	om Gbd" to "118.203351 +/-	20 ppm Gbd".		
					[Editor	r's note	. changed	subclause from Table 187.6	to 187 6 21		
						3 HOLE	. changeu		10101.0.2]		

C/ 187	SC 187.6.2	2 P <b>624</b>	L14	# 104	C/ 187	SC	187.6.2	P <b>62</b> 4	L1	6	# 79	
Mi, Guang	can	Huawei Te	chnologies Co., Lt	d	Sluyski, Mike Cisco							
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status A		Rx optical parameter	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status	R		Rx optical parameter	
max. a	verage launch	old of 800GBASE-ER1-20 an n power of 800GBASE-ER1 v l channel characteristic.			power	r or ave	rage total	er (max) and Average r power?	eceive power (r	nin)? Is thi	is average signal	
Suggested		ronamier onaraotenstic.			Suggestee		-					
00	e to -1dBm, as	s assuming max. Transmit ou	Itput power of 800	GBASE-ER1, and 0dB	Cohei powei		ievers car	n distinguish signal pov	er. Clarify by a	dding "Ave	erage receive signal	
					Response	<b>;</b>		Response Status	;			
Response		Response Status C			REJE	CT.						
ACCE	PT IN PRINCI	PLE.			After	CRG di	scussion t	here was no consensu	s to make a ch	ange.		
In Tab	le 187-6 chan	ge Damage threshold from 1	0 dBm to 2 dBm.							anger		
	2 discussion it	was noted that Average rec	nive newer max of	2 dBm was incorrect	[Editor's note: changed page from 603 to 624]							
		ged to -1 dBm.	eive power max or	S UDITI Was incorrect	C/ 187	SC	187.6.2	P <b>62</b> 4	L <b>1</b>	7	# 179	
					Sheffi, Nir	r		Alphaw	ave			
with e	ditorial license	<b>.</b>			Comment	Type	т	Comment Status	R	T	k/Rx optical paramete	
C/ <b>187</b> Sluyski, M	SC <b>187.6.2</b> ike	2 P624 Cisco	L16	# 60				ct on the transmit laun ed to Clause 185.	ch power (min)	and avera	age receive launch	
Comment		Comment Status R		Rx optical parameter	Suggeste	dReme	dy					
Averag	51	wer (max) and Average rece	ive power (min)? I	1 1	Change the specification for the transmitter "Average launch power (min)" (Table 187-5 and the receiver "Average receive power (min)" (Table 187-7) to be a function of ETCC similar to Clause 185 (Table 185-5 and Table 185-6).							
Suggested	lRemedy				Response			Response Status	,			
Cohere power'		can distinguish signal power.	Clarify by adding	'Average receive signal	REJE			Response Status	,			
Response		Response Status C			In CR	G discu	ussion the	re was agreement that	aligning the me	ethodoloav	between 185 and	
REJEC	CT.				187 w	ould be	e beneficia	I to the industry howev	er the suggeste	ed remedy	does not provide	
After C	CRG discussio	n there was no consensus to	make a change.					se as well as additional power parameters so t				
					The c	ommer	ntor is invit	ed to submit a more de	tailed presenta	ation in the	future.	
					No ch	anges	to the draf	't.				
						-						

Differential group delay (max)*c should be defined as a statistical value.       Zero Dispersion waelength         SuggestedRemedy       Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the defined probabilistically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax to DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 x 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       Zero Dispersion waelength         Response       Response Status C       REJECT.         After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.       The zero dispersion wavelength is a fundmental characteristic of the fiber that must be used.         IEditor's note: changed subclause from Table 187.8 to 187.7]       P625       L40       #@o         Comment Type       TR       Comment Type       Re Comment Status A       Test p         Differential group delay (max)*c should be defined as a statistical value.       SuggestedRemedy       SuggestedRemedy         Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmean) can only to be defined as a statistically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax and be foldermax to DGD mean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 x 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax to DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 x 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       Test p	C/ 187	SC 187.	7	P <b>625</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 62	C/ 187	SC	187.7.1		P <b>626</b>	L11	# 61
Differential group delay (max)/c should be defined as a statistical value.         Suggested/Remedy         Add to subhort C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the diffined probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.         For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3. corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.         Response       Response Status C         REJECT.       After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.         [Editor's note: changed subclause from Table 187.8 to 187.7]       P625       L40       # 80         Comment Type       TR       Consort Table 187.8 to 187.7]       P625       L40       # 80         Sluyski, Mike       Cisco       Comment Type       Canneet Status A       Test p         SuggestedRemedy       Channel requirements       Casco       SuggestedRemedy         Add to subhort C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (GcDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmax) can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       Test p         SuggestedRemedy       Casco       Casco       Casco       Casco       Casco         SuggestedRemedy       Casco       Casco       Casco       Casco       Casco       Casco       Casco	Sluyski, Mi	ike		Cisco			Sluyski, M	like		C	Cisco		
SuggestedRemedy         Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmeax) and mean DGD (DgDmean) can only be defined probabilistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the rost nationacous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       Is this spec required for ER1 application over C-band 1550nn?         For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       Response       Response Status C         REJECT.       After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.       [Editor's note: changed subclause from Table 187.8 to 187.7!]       Image: Classical context co	Comment	Туре ТБ	Con	nment Status R		channel requirements	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment St	atus <b>R</b>		Fiber characteristic
Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmax) can only be defined probabilisitically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellan statistics.       Is this spec required for ER1 application over C-band 1550nm?         For purposes of this specification ther ratio of DGDmax to DGDmax to DGD mean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       The zero dispersion wavelength is a fundmental characteristic of the fiber that must be used.         Response       Response Status C       The zero dispersion wavelength is a fundmental characteristic of the fiber that must be used.         Response       Response Status C       The zero dispersion wavelength is a fundmental characteristic of the fiber that must be used.         No changes to the draft.       [Editor's note: changed subclause from Table 187.8 to 187.7.1]       It 187         C1 187       SC 187.7       P625       L40       # 800         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status R       channel requirements         Differential group delay (max) <sup>1</sup> / <sub>C</sub> should be defined as a statistical value.       SuggestedRemedy       Carment Status A       Test p         SuggestedRemedy       No to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax to DGD mean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability the instananeous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax	Differe	ntial group	delay (max)^	c should be defined a	as a statistical	value.	Zero I	Dispersi	on waeler	ngth			
relationship between maximum DBC (DGDmax) and mean DBC (DGDmean) can only be defined probabilisitically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       Response Status C REJECT.         Response       Response Status C REJECT.         After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.       [Editor's note: changed subclause from Table 187.8 to 187.7.1]         C1 187       SC 187.7       P625       L40       # @o         Stuyski, Mike       C isco       Comment Status R       channel requirements         Stuyski, Mike       C isco       Comment Status R       channel requirements         Differential group delay (max)*c should be defined as a statistical value.       Suggested/Remedy       Response Status C         Adt to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmax) con only be defined probabilistically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       C         For purposes of this specification there was no consensus to make a change.       If a for 10 change "PRBS31 encoded by the 800GBASE-ER1 FEC sublayer" based on the resolution of corresponding to a 4.1 x 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.         Response       Response Status C REJECT.         After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.       "PRBS31 encoded by	Suggested	Remedy					Suggestee	dReme	dy				
defined probabilistically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       Response response Status C       REJECT.         For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmax to DGD exceeding DGDmax.       C       Response response Status C       Response Status C         Response       Response Response Status C       C       Response response Status C       The zero dispersion wavelength is a fundmental characteristic of the fiber that must be used.         Visit of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       C       Response response Status C       The zero dispersion wavelength is a fundmental characteristic of the fiber that must be used.         Visit of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       C       Response response Status C       No changes to the draft.         [Editor's note: changed subclause from Table 187.8 to 187.7]       P625       L40       #@         Comment Type       TR       Comment Type ER       Comment Type PRBS31 encoded by CS or FEC, not PMD       SuggestedRemedy         Add to subnote C.       "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmax) to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to 4.1 x 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       C         Response       Response Status C       Na	Add to	subnote C	"Due to the	statistical nature of p	olarization mo	de dispersion (PMD), the	Is this	spec re	equired for	r ER1 applicatio	n over C-bar	nd 1550nm?	
value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       REJECT.         For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       REJECT.         After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.       [Editor's note: changed subclause from Table 187.8 to 187.7.1]         Cl 187       SC 187.7       P625       L40       #@         Suyski, Mike       Cisco       Comment Status A       Test p         Suyski, Mike       Cisco       Comment Status A       Test p         Suggested/Remedy       Comment Status C       Test p         Add to subnote C.       "De Comment Status C       Response       Response Status C         Kesponse       Response Status C       Response Status C       Response Status C         Response       Response Status C       Response Status C       Response Status C         Add to subnote C.       The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       Response       Response Status C         For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGD mean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD BCD mean, each only be defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD BCD mean, each only be defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD CDCD mean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to	defined	d probabilis	ically. The p	robability of the insta	ntaneous DGD (	exceeding any given	Response	•		Response Sta	atus C		
corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.         Response       Response Status C         REJECT.       After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.         [Editor's note: changed subclause from Table 187.8 to 187.7]       P625       L40       # 0         Cl 187       SC 187.7       P625       L40       # 0         Sluyski, Mike       Cisco       Comment Status R       channel requirements         Differential group delay (max) <sup>c</sup> should be defined as a statistical value.       SuggestedRemedy       Canzent PRBS31 can be encoded by PCS or FEC, not PMD         SuggestedRemedy       Add to subnote C. <sup>-</sup> Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmean) can only be defined probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       CEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         In Table 187-10 change "PRBS31 encoded by the 800GBASE-ER1 PCC sublayer" based on the resolution of comment #36.       "PRBS31 encoded by the 800GBASE-ER1 FEC sublayer" based on the resolution of comment #36.						0,0	REJE	CT.					
REJECT.       After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.       [Editor's note: changed subclause from Table 187.8 to 187.7.]         CI 187 SC 187.7       P625       L40       # @O         Sluyski, Mike       Cisco       Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status R       channel requirements         Differential group delay (max)/c should be defined as a statistical value.       SuggestedRemedy       Response Classes       Response Status C         Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDman) can only be defined probabilistically of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       Corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.         Response       Response Status C         REJECT.       After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.								ero disp	ersion wa	velength is a fur	idmental cha	aracteristic of the f	iber that must be
After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.         [Editor's note: changed subclause from Table 187.8 to 187.7]         Cl 187 SC 187.7       P625       L40       # 80         Sluyski, Mike       Cisco         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status R       channel requirements         Differential group delay (max)/s should be defined as a statistical value.       SuggestedRemedy       Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmean) can only be defined probabilistically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 x 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       Response       Response Status C         REJECT.       After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.       Cisco       In Table 187-10 change "PRBS31 encoded by the 800GBASE-ER1 PC sublayer" based on the resolution of comment #36.		_	Resp	oonse Status C			No ch	anges t	o the draft	t.			
[Editor's note: changed subclause from Table 187.8 to 187.7]       CI 187 SC 187.7       P625       L40       # 00         Sluyski, Mike       Cisco       Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       A       Test p         Differential group delay (max)^c should be defined as a statistical value.       SuggestedRemedy       Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmean) can only be defined probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       Comment is the specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.         Response       Response Status C         Response       Response Status C         Response       Response Status C         REJECT.       After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.							[Edito	r's note	: changed	subclause from	Table 187.8	8 to 187.7.1]	
[Editor's note: changed subclause from Table 187.8 to 187.7]         Cl 187       SC 187.7       P625       L 40       # 80         Sluyski, Mike       Cisco         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status R       channel requirements         Differential group delay (max)^c should be defined as a statistical value.       SuggestedRemedy       Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmean) can only be defined probabilistically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       Mi, Guangcan       Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd         Response       Response Status C       Response Status C       After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.       Mi, Guangcan       Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd	After C	RG discus	ion there wa	as no consensus to m	ake a change.		C/ 187	SC	187.8.1		P <b>627</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 105
Cl 187       SC 187.7       P625       L40       # 80         Sluyski, Mike       Cisco         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       R       channel requirements         Differential group delay (max)^c should be defined as a statistical value.       SuggestedRemedy       Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmaan) can only be defined probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       Comment Type       Response       Response Status       C         Response       Response Status       C       "PRBS31 encoded by the 800GBASE-ER1 FEC sublayer" based on the resolution of comment #36.         Response       Response Status       C         Response	[Editor	's note: cha	nged subcla	use from Table 187.8	to 187.7]					ŀ		-	
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>R</b> channel requirements Differential group delay (max) <sup>A</sup> c should be defined as a statistical value. SuggestedRemedy Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmean) can only be defined probabilistically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics. For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 x 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax. Response Response Status C REJECT. After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.	C/ 187	SC 187.	7	P <b>625</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 80			ER			0	Test patter
Differential group delay (max) <sup>A</sup> c should be defined as a statistical value. SuggestedRemedy Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmean) can only be defined probabilistically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics. For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax. Response Response Status C REJECT. After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.	Sluyski, Mi	ike		Cisco			PRBS	31 can	be encode	ed by PCS or FE	C, not PMD	)	
SuggestedRemedy       Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmean) can only be defined probabilistically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       Response       Response       C         For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       In Table 187-10 change "PRBS31 encoded by the 800GBASE-ER1" to "PRBS31 encoded by the 800GBASE-ER1 FEC sublayer" based on the resolution of comment #36.         Response       Response Status       C         Return CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.       After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.	Comment	Туре ТБ	Con	nment Status R		channel requirements	Suggestee	dRemed	dy				
Add to subnote C. "Due to the statistical nature of polarization mode dispersion (PMD), the relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmean) can only be defined probabilistically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics. For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax. Response Response Status C REJECT. After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.	Differe	ntial group	delay (max)^	c should be defined a	as a statistical	value.	chang	e to PR	BS31 enc	coded by the 800	GBASE-ER	1 PCS and PMA.	
relationship between maximum DGD (DGDmax) and mean DGD (DGDmean) can only be defined probabilistically. The probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding any given value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics. For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax. Response Response Status C REJECT. After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.	Suggested	Remedy					Response	,		Response Sta	atus C		
value of DGDmax can be inferred from its Maxwellian statistics.       In Table 187-10 change "PRBS31 encoded by the 800GBASE-ER1"         For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax.       "PRBS31 encoded by the 800GBASE-ER1 FEC sublayer" based on the resolution of comment #36.         Response       Response Status       C         REJECT.       After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.       After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.	relatior	nship betwe	en maximun	n DGD (DGDmax) an	d mean DGD (	DGDmean) can only be	ACCE	PT IN I	PRINCIPL	E.			
For purposes of this specification the ratio of DGDmax to DGDmean is defined as 3.3, corresponding to a 4.1 × 10-6 probability of the instantaneous DGD exceeding DGDmax. Response Response Status C REJECT. After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.						exected ing any given	In Tat	ole 187-	10 change	e "PRBS31 enco	ded by the 8	300GBASE-ER1"	
REJECT. After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.							"PRB			he 800GBASE-E	ER1 FEC su	blayer" based on tl	he resolution of
After CRG discussion there was no consensus to make a change.	Response	-	Resp	oonse Status C		-							
	REJEC	CT.											
[Editor's note: changed page from 604 to 625]	After C	RG discus	ion there wa	as no consensus to m	ake a change.								
	[Editor	's note: cha	nged page fi	rom 604 to 625]									
	•			-									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 187	SC 187.8.1	P <b>627</b>	L <b>12</b>	# 81	C/ 187	SC 187.8.6	P628	L <b>8</b>	# 160
Sluyski, M	ike	Cisco			Bruckman	, Leon	Nvidia		
Comment Is PRE	<i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> S raw or framed	Comment Status <b>R</b> in payload?		Test pattern	<i>Comment</i> Redun	<i>Type</i> <b>ER</b> Idant "is".	Comment Status A		(bucket)
Response REJEC The de 186.2.3	ed to be framed CT. atails of the PRBS 3.13 's note: changed SC <b>187.8.3</b> , Leon	but make it clear <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> S31 signal are documented ir page from 606 to 627] <i>P</i> 627 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b>	the defined cro	ss reference of # 159 (bucketp)	To: "Ĕ Response ACCE C/ 187 Sluyski, M Comment ETCC	e: "ETCC is the TCC is the qual PT. SC 187.9 ike Type E test setup and c alculation be relo	quality metric is used to define" Response Status C P629 Cisco Comment Status R calculation is not limited to Effort	L1 R1 and ER1-20.5	# 6 <u>3</u> <i>ETCC</i> Should the test setup
There	is no Lane wavel	ength (range) in Table 187-5					8.6 Extened transmsitter con	stellation closure	e - definition.
Update If not, a Response	s called "Carrier i also Table 187-	ngth (range) to Table 187-5. <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	87-5, then make	naming consistent.	metho ETCC	CT. TCC test setup a ds for coherent of testing. 187.9 r	Response Status <b>C</b> and calculation details are in optical Physical Layer device eferences this annex and Ta ilues needed for the ETCC ca	s and contains a bles 187-12 and	subclause detailing
The ac	lopted baselines	use "carrier frequency (range	e)".		No cha	ange to the draft			
The wa	as consensus to	retain this term.			C/ 187	SC 187.12.4	.1 <i>P</i> 634	L10	# 410
to	le 187-11 and 18 r frequency (rang	7.8.3 change "Lane waveleng ge)".	gth (range)"		Maniloff, E <i>Comment</i> Transr	Туре Т	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>A</b> enter frequency is not applica	able to this PMD.	(bucket,
Implen	nent with editoria	l license.			Suggested Delete	<i>Remedy</i> this entry.			
					Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C		

C/ 187	SC 187.12.4.1	P634	L13	# 411	C/ 187	SC 187.12.4.	4 P635	L36	# 414
Maniloff, E	Eric	Ciena			Maniloff, E	ric	Ciena		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket)	Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucket,
Suggestee		r frequency is not applicable	e to this PMD		clause Suggested	187 PMĎs Remedy	nel power at maximum adj	ustable power set	ing is not applicable to
Response	)	Response Status <b>C</b>			Delete	this entry.			
ACCE	EPT.	, 			Response ACCEF	PT.	Response Status C		
C/ 187	SC 187.12.4.2		L <b>40</b>	# 412	C/ 187	SC 187.12.4.	4 <i>P</i> 635	L <b>4</b> 1	# 415
Maniloff, E Comment		Ciena Comment Status A		(bucket)	Maniloff, E	ric	Ciena		
		quency ability is not applicab	le to this PMD	(DUCKEI)	Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status A		(bucketp)
Suggestee		quency ability is not applicat			Clause defined		not amplified, receiever OS	NR and tolerance	are not applicable or
Delete	e this entry.				Suggested	Remedy			
Response	9	Response Status C			00	entries OM11 ar	nd OM13		
ACCE	PT.				Response		Response Status <b>C</b>		
C/ 187	SC 187.12.4.4	P635	L <b>34</b>	# 413	ACCEF	PT.			
Maniloff, E	Eric	Ciena			C/ 187	SC 187.12.4.	6 P <b>636</b>	L <b>21</b>	# 416
Comment		Comment Status A		(bucket)	Maniloff, E	ric	Ciena		
	table range of tran al power is not defi	smit ned for clause 187			Comment 7	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> 187 is not a DW	Comment Status A		(bucketp)
Suggestee	dRemedy								
Delete	e this entry.				Suggested	,	blook link		
Response	9	Response Status C				entry for DWDM			
ACCE	EPT.				Response ACCEF	PT.	Response Status C		

C/ 187 SC 187.12.4.6