Optical Channel model proposal update: Comparison to Earl Parson's data and future steps

Roberto Rodes, Coherent

Contributors:

- Earl Parson, Commscope
- John Johnson, Broadcom
- Mark Nowell, Cisco

Supporters:

- Rangchen Yu, Innolight
- Earl Parson, Commscope
- Ernest Muhigana, Lumentum
- Mark Kimber, Semtech
- Frank Chang, Source Photonics

Introduction

Historically, IEEE used worst case ITU-T dispersion that results from a worst-case ZDW and a worst-case Slope pair.

That worst case ZDW-Slope pair now impacts IMDD at 200G/lane and beyond.

Extensive dataset collected in <u>parsons 3dj 01b 2403</u> shows than most fibers dispersion are well within the historical worst-case margins

A statistical methodology was proposed in <u>rodes 3dj 01a 2401</u> for the task force to start building a more realistic optical channel model

Steps to define optical channels

- 1. Obtain fiber cable dataset that best represents the application
- 2. Define analytical model to describe the chosen dataset in a compact form
- 3. Decide on PMD-dependent parameters:
 - 1. Confidence level (TBD)
 - 2. Number of segments (TBD)
- 4. Derive specs based on MonteCarlo simulation using chosen parameters:
 - 1. Min/max values for link budget
 - 2. Equation for Transmitter compliance test

Experimental Dataset

Thanks to large dataset on parsons 3dj 01 2405, there is a clear evidence that:

- Most optical links would have significant dispersion margin
- Good fit with Gaussian distributions

parsons_3dj_01_2405 800G-FR4 min/max wavelengths





Dataset vs analytical model comparison

Proposed distribution in <u>rodes 3dj 01a 2403</u> agrees reasonably well with <u>parsons 3dj 01 2405</u> on the negative dispersion side and is significantly more conservative on the positive dispersion side.

A parametrized analytical distribution is very helpful as it is reproducible by anyone and can be readily explainable in an appendix.



Future work

We plan to bring a spec proposal into the next meeting based on:

- Analytical distributions fitting the totality of the dataset in parsons 3dj 01 2405
- MC analysis with 99.99% confidence level
- Any additional feedback.