# Significance of Including Quantization Noise in COM Evaluation

Hossein Shakiba Huawei Technologies Canada May 2024

# Outline

- Introduction
- Importance of Quantization Noise
- Quantization Noise Model
- Test Channels
- Test Data
- Quantization Noise Compared to Scaling eta\_0
- Summary and Conclusion

### Introduction

- Majority of the recent receivers for high-loss channels use ADC
- Receiver DSP performs heavy equalization (e.g. FFE and DFE/MLSE)
- Quantization noise is currently not a part of the overall noise during COM evaluation
- Quantization noise affects link performance and COM results:
  - 1) Reduces SNR
  - 2) Influences optimization of equalization (equalization balance among TxFFE, CTLE, RxFFE, and DFE/MLSE)
  - 3) Affects noise coloring (becoming increasingly important in the new MMSE RxFFE method and MLSE)
- Tweaking existing noise components (such as eta\_0) is not a good approach
- Even without an ADC, it is a good practice to include additional noise before FFE+DFE/MLSE
- A set of 112 channels is used for generating test data
- COM version: com\_ieee8023\_93a\_450beta3\_hs2p0 (see backup slide for COM config)
  - ✤ \_hs2p0 customizes COM to add quantization noise

May 2024

# **Importance of Quantization Noise**



- Since the reference CTLE is a low-order filter (only one high-frequency pole-zero), in the absence of quantization noise, the optimizer tends to favor RxFFE over CTLE
- CTLE equalization will be marginalized to mostly only low-frequencies, for which FFE does not have enough taps
- As a result of CTLE under-utilization, its output could be severely under-equalized
- This forces a large input dynamic range, hence increased number of required ADC bits
- In the absence of ADC (or if it is after RxFFE), considering an 'equivalent' noise between CTLE and FFE is still reasonable and helpful

# **Quantization Noise Model**



- To optimally utilize the ADC input dynamic range, its clip level is set so that clipping does not happen too frequently
- "too frequently" is defined relative to the target error rate
- ADC clip level is chosen so that clipping frequency is equal to the target error rate
- At this early stage, the analysis assumes ADC delivers ENOB bits on "average"
- In future, actual ENOB may be used that results in quantization noise being colored May 2024
  IEEE 802.3dj - shakiba\_3dj\_02\_2405

#### **Test Channels**

#### • Same set as in <u>shakiba\_3dj\_01a\_2403.pdf</u>

Channel #	Channel Source
1	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/tools/CR/lim_3dj_03_230629.zip
2	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/tools/CR/lim_3dj_04_230629.zip
3 – 7	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/tools/CR/kocsis_3dj_02_2305.zip
8 - 34	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/tools/KR/mellitz_3dj_02_elec_230504.zip
35 – 40	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/tools/CR/shanbhag_3dj_01_2305.zip
40 - 44	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/tools/KR/shanbhag_3dj_02_2305.zip
45 – 80	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/tools/KR/weaver_3dj_02_2305.zip
80 - 88	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/tools/KR/weaver_3dj_elec_01_230622.zip
89	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/tools/CR/lim_3dj_07_2309.zip
90 – 96	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/tools/KR/akinwale_3dj_01_2310.zip
97 – 100	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/tools/CR/akinwale_3dj_02_2311.zip
101 – 112	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/tools/CR/weaver_3dj_02_2311.zip

#### **Test Channels**



IEEE 802.3dj - shakiba\_3dj\_02\_2405

### **Test Results – CTLE Utilization**

 COM was run on 112 test channel cases, and for each case 9 times for ENOB range of 4:1:12 bits



- Finer quantization (larger ENOB) pushes more equalization to RxFFE and less to CTLE
- CTLE utilization on average reduces from ~18dB to ~7.6dB as ENOB increases from 4 to 12
  - > This is what happens if quantization noise is ignored

#### **Test Results – CTLE Utilization**



• As expected, CTLE under-utilization is entirely at high frequencies (from 15.1dB to 4.1dB)

• CTLE continues to equalize low frequencies

May 2024

### **Test Results – CTLE Output Signal Statistics**



- CTLE marginalization increases p2p and sigma of the (under-equalized) signal as well as their ratio at the CTLE output (ADC input)
- To mimic this in practice, ADCs with large dynamic range and **ENOB** are required (beyond what is readily available in today's technologies)

May 2024

#### Test Results – COM



- Expect a considerable (unattended) drop in COM unless much better ADCs become available
- For example, for **ENOB** of 5 to 6 bits, average COM drops by 1.5dB to 0.6dB
- Even if ENOB improves by 1-2 bits, there is still a COM penalty that cannot be easily ignored May 2024 IEEE 802.3dj - shakiba 3dj 02 2405 11

# Can I Tweak eta\_0 to Mimic Quantization Noise Effect?

- Remove quantization noise and scale up eta\_0 (e.g. X2)
- For each test case, calculate COM and interpolate the previous plot (COM vs. ENOB) to obtain equivalent ENOB that would have resulted in the same COM (left plot)
- Set ENOB to the average equivalent ENOB and calculate COM for each test case (right plot)
- For the test channels, if X2 eta\_0 is used to represent an ENOB of 5.7 bits, there will be ~1dB COM inaccuracy (Likely not acceptable)



# **Summary and Conclusion**

- Quantization noise is currently not represented in COM
- Quantization noise decreases SNR, influences noise coloring, and could have a major effect on equalization optimization and distribution
- RxFFE tap optimization MMSE method and MLSE  $\Delta$ COM are affected by noise coloring
- A set of 112 KR/CR channels were used to generate data
  - ♦ An ENOB of 5/6 bits drops COM by as much as 2.7dB/1.3dB and on average by 1.5dB/0.6dB
  - This is currently ignored during COM compliance evaluations
  - ✤ If eta\_0 scaling were to be used to represent quantization noise, scaling by 2X corresponds to 5.7bit ENOB, but results in as much as 1dB of COM inaccuracy
- Considering adding quantization noise as another noise component in the COM flow is suggested

#### **Backup – COM Config**

Table 93A-1 parameters				I/O control			Table 93A-3 parameters				SAVE_CONFIG2MAT	Ó	
Parameter	Setting	Units	Information	DIAGNOSTICS	0	logical	Paramider	Setting	Units	Information	and the second second	Receiver testing	
f b	106.25	GBd		DISPLAY WINDOW	0	logical	package ti gamma0 a1 a2	-4 0.00065 0.00	031	1	RX_CALIBRATION	0	logical
f_min	0.05	GHz	-	CSV_REPORT	.0	logical	package ti tau	0,006141	ns/mm		Sigma BBN step 💻	5.00E-03	V
Delta f	0.01	GHz		RESULT DIR	.\results\CACR set1_{date}\		package Z c	: 70 70, 80 80; 10	Ohm			ICN parameters	
C_d	[0 4e4 0 9e4 1 1e4 ;0 4e4 0 9e4 1 1e4]	nF	[TX RX]	SAVE_FIGURES	0	logical	z_p (TX)	1 11, 11 1 1;0	n mm	[test cases to run]	£.v	0.278	Eb
L5	[0.130.150.14, 0.130.150.14]	nH	[TX RX]	Port Order	[1324]	1.0.0	z_p (NEXT)	1 11 11 1 1 1 0	inini 🛛	[test cases]	ff	0.278	Fb
C_6	[0.3e-4 0.3e-4]	nF	[TX RX]	RUNTAG	KR_set1_eval_		z_p (FEXT)	1 11, 11 1 1;0	nm -	[test cases]	f_n	0.278	Fb
R_O	5.00E+01	Ohm		COM CONTRIBUTION	1	logical	z_0 (RX)	1 11; 11 1 1,0	- mm	[test cases]	1.2	61.625	GHz
R_d	[5050]	Ohm	[TX RX]		the second second	Sec. 1.	C p	[0.4e4 0.4e4]	nF	[test cases]	.A_ft	0.450	V
PKG NAME	PKG_NAME PKG_HIR_CLASSB_PKG_HIR_CLASSBTX_RX		π	R and ERL options	-	Operabonal				A_nt	0.450	V	
Av	0.413	Υ.		TDR	1	logical	ERL Pass threshold	10	dB				
Afe	0.413	V.		ERL	1	logical	COM Pass threshold	3	db	1	Parameter	Setting	
Ane	0.608	V	1.	ERL ONLY	0	115	DER_0 1.00E04			board_ti_cemma0_a1_a2	[0 6.44084e-4 3.6036e-05] 1.4 db/in @ 53.125G		
2_p select	[3]		1 1	TR TDR	0.01		Ţ	0.00400	ns		board ti tau	5.790E-03	ns/mm
L A	2			N	4000	logical	FORCE_TR	1	logical		board Z c	100	Ohm
M	32		-	TDR Butterworth	1	1	PMD_type	C2C			z_bp(TX)	32	mm
filter and Eq.				beta_x	0	-	EW	1			z_bp (NEXT)	32	mm
f_r 📕	0.58	'fb		rho_x	0.618		MLSE	3	logical		z bp (FEXT)	32	mm
E(0)	0.55	1	mîn	TDR_W_TXPKG	0	U	ts_anchor	1	1		z_bp (RX)	32	mm
c(-1)	0		[min:step:max]	N_bx	20		sample_adjustment	[-8.8]	1 m		C.0	[0.2e-40]	nF
c(-2)	0		[min:step:max]	fixture delay time	[00]		Local Search	2			C.1	[0.2e-40]	nE
c(-3)	0		[min:step:max]	Tukey_Window 1		Filter: Rx FFE		Include PCB	0	logical			
c(-4)	0		[min:step:max]	Noise, jitter UI		ffe pre tap len	6	VI.		Seletions(recta	angle, gaussian dual_rayle	igh triangle	
c(1)	0		[min:step:max]	sigma_RJ	0.01	UI	ffe_post_tap_len	24	Ŭ.		Histogram_Window_Weight	gaussian	selection
N_b	1	U	TTO THE	A DD	0.02	V^2/GHz	ffe pre tap1_max	1	2		Qr	0.02	U
b_max(1)	0.75		As/dfie1	eta_0	8.00E-09	dB	ffe_post_tap1_max	1				and the second se	1
b_max(2_N_b)	0.3		As/dfe2_N_b	SNR TX	33		ffe_tapn_max	1	1	100 B 21 B 2 B 2 B			
b_min(1)	Ŭ.		As/dffe1	R_LM	0.95		FFE_OPT_METHOD	MM5E	h	FV-LMS or MMSE			
b_min(2_N_b)	-0.15	5	As/dfe2. N_b				num ui RXFF noise	512	1.5.5	C MOCOLICOP 1			
g_DC	(-20:1:0)	dB	[min:step:max]	BREAD_CRUMBS	1	logical	Floating Tap Control						
f_z	25.16	GHz	and the second				N_bg	0	012 or 3 groups	· · · · · ·			
f_p1	40.00	GHz	-	LIER_CITIL	- I uneary	(Unipo)	N_bf	4	taps per group				
f_p2	56.00	GHz		101109		Sivi 193	Nf	80	Ul span for floating taps				
g_DC_HP	[-6:1:0]		[min:step:max]			-	bmakg	0.2	max DFE value for floating taps				
f HP PZ	1 328125	GHz					B_float_RSS_MAX	0.1	rss tail tap limit				
Butterworth	1	logical	include in fr			1	N_tail_start	25	(UI) start of tail taps limit				