

Chief Editor's Report

P802.3dj D1.1

Matt Brown, Alphawave, P802.3dj Editor-In-Chief

Gary Nicholl, Cisco, Logic Track Lead Editor

Adee Ran, Cisco, Electrical Track Lead Editor

Tom Issenhuth, Huawei, Optical Track Lead Editor

IEEE P802.3dj Task Force
September 2024


Editorial Team

Editor	Duties
Matt Brown	Chief Editor, clause editor FM, 1, 69, 116, 120, 169, 174
Gary Nicholl	Logic lead editor; clause editor 118, 119, 170, 171
Adee Ran	Electrical lead editor; clause editor 120F, 120G, 176E (C2M), 179 (xBASE-CR)
Tom Issenhuth	Optical lead editor, 185 (800GBASE-LR1), 187 (800GBASE-ER1)
Arthur Marris	Clause editor 4, 4A, 30, 31B, 45, 73, 73A, 90, 90A
Eugene Opsasnick	Clause editor 175 (1.6TBASE-R PCS)
Kapil Shrikhande	Clause editor 176 (xBASE-R SM-PMA)
Xiang He	Clause editor 177 (xBASE-R Inner FEC)
Leon Bruckman	Clause editor 184 (800GBASE-LR1 Inner FEC), 176A (electrical link training)
Jeff Slavick	Logic advisor/reviewer
Howard Heck	Clause editor 178 (xBASE-KR), 176D (C2M)
Chris Diminico	Clause editor 179 (xBASE-CR cable assembly), 179A/B/C/D
Adam Healey	Clause editor 178A (COM redux)
Mike Dudek	Electrical advisor/reviewer
Tom Huber	Clause editor 186 (800GBASE-ER1 PCS/PMA)
Peter Stassar	Clause editor 180 (DR PMDs), 181 (FR-500 PMD), 182 (DR-2 PMDs)
Roberto Rodes	Clause editor 183 (FR4/LR4 PMDs)
John Johnson	Optical advisor/reviewer

Activities since Draft 1.0


- Created Draft 1.1
- 802.3dj Second task force review
 - Opened on 11 July 2024
 - Closed on 9 August 2024 (29 days total)
- 587 comments received from 36 reviewers
 - Proposed responses were posted on 29 August 2024
 - Editorial team may make further updates

Comment history by draft number



E/ER = editorial, T/TR = technical, G/GR = general

Comment summary by clause/annex



E/ER = editorial, T/TR = technical, G/GR = general

Objectives for the comment resolution meeting

- Respond to all comments against D1.1
- Generate D1.2 and initiate third task force review

Approach to comment resolution (same as 802.3df)

The following approach will be utilized for resolving comments...

- ❖ Review the proposed response
 - Discuss and refine as needed and attempt to close without objection using **direction** straw polls, as necessary.
 - If no more than two objections (including commenter) to proposed response then consider it to be consensus and close comment.
 - If more than two objections then use **decision** straw poll(s) to move forward.
- ❖ Use of a **direction** straw poll to determine a direction
 - Use the result of the direction straw poll(s) to determine consensus, refine the proposed response, or to craft a decision straw poll.
- ❖ Use of a **decision** straw poll to make a final decision.
 - The decision straw poll winner is the option that has more than 50% support.
 - Close the comment based on the winner of the decision straw poll(s).
- ❖ The editorial team may provide presentations as needed to aid in the resolution of comments.
- ❖ Individuals are reminded to review “IEEE SA Balloting and Comment Resolution Process Guidelines”

<https://standards.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/import/governance/revcom/guidelines.pdf>

Baseline deficits

- All necessary baselines have been adopted.

Summary

- P802.3dj editorial team introduced.
- Draft 1.1 was generated and Task Force Review completed.
- Task force review results summarized.
- Baseline deficit reviewed.

Thanks!