

C2M and CR signal specification

Piers Dawe

NVIDIA

Comments 578, 564, 565, 561, 577, 571, 332, 116, 117, 572. Relates to jitter comments: 174, 175, 176, 181, 179, 180. See slide 7

Abstract and introduction

- Apply the well-established and effective reference receiver based specification method to 802.3dj C2M and CR signals, consistent with the COM method for CR cables
- See https://ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_06/dawe_3dj_01a_2406.pdf
- Increased host loss, particularly in C2M, means the traditional CR measurement method is too far from its KR roots; replace it with an improved C2M method
- Take advantage of learnings from TDECQ
- https://ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_10/healey_3ck_01a_1020.pdf proposed two histograms for C2M
- https://ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_05/calvin_3dj_01b_2405.pdf shows the practicality of the C2M eye method with the CTLE, FFE, 1-DFE reference receiver

Combine the quotas as COM does

- In today's CR, a transmitter may trade off its voltage noise vs. its nonlinear distortion because they are both components of SNDR, but not its noise vs. jitter, v_f vs. R_{LM} , R_{peak} vs. SNDR... This is wasteful

Item	Combined in COM?	Combine in eye measurement?
<i>Pulse peak ratio $R_{peak} = v_{peak}/v_f \sim C_{eq} \sim EQ$ range. We don't yet know if we need fine Tx FIR setting or not</i>		
Level separation mismatch ratio R_{LM}	No	Yes
SNDR part 1, noise	Yes	Yes
SNDR part2, distortion (but not R_{LM})	Yes	Yes
SNR_ISI	Yes	Yes
Jitter:		
J_RMS	Yes	Yes
J3u, J3u_03 (J6u has been proposed)	Yes	<i>Could be useful if it can be measured</i>
Even-odd jitter	No?	Yes

Objective of method

- We seek to assess a *signal* for its suitability
 - Not diagnose or infer the properties of a channel and source behind it
 - We look forward (to the receiver) not backward (to the embedded source)

Signal measurement method

- For one setting of the Tx FIR options (considering training handshaking tolerance)
 - A large signal swing for better SNR in the scope measurement
- Measure the PRBS13Q signal using the standard CRU and without averaging
 - Add software transmission line for "far end" measurements
- Process with clean lossy transmission line in software (for far-end measurements) and the COM-like CTLE-FFE-DFE reference receiver
 - Use defined scope noise representing receiver front-end noise, correctly handling noise enhancement according to how the instrumentation works
 - Search for CTLE setting and sampling phase
 - Use COM MMSE method to find FFE and DFE tap weights at best phase
- With these EQ settings, apply the twin histograms as in TDECQ and https://ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_10/healey_3ck_01a_1020.pdf "this proposal (2 offsets)"
 - Histogram phase and thresholds may be adjusted but kept consistent for left and right, and CTLE-FFE-DFE settings are not changed
- For each histogram, the three sub-eyes are combined to one because we don't care which one makes errors. Compare COM's very simple handling of PAM4 and R_LM
- Each combined histogram must have adequate opening at target BER relative to Eye Height. This is equivalent to COM limit
- Because the receiver noise is given, this ensures that the signal is not too small and not too bad
 - A secondary Eye Height limit may be used if warranted

Discussion

- No need for specs for SNDR, SNR_ISI, J_{rms}, EOJ, R_{LM}, v_f, R_{peak}, although some of them may be part of calibrating the stressed signals for input testing
- Moves away from salami-slicing and micromanaging the designers; frees stranded margin
- Handles crosstalk correctly (in the measurement) as in 120E
 - Comment 572
- To make the method respond better to the tails of the jitter distribution, the Q_t in the TDECQ-like noise filling method can be increased
 - This is like choosing the COM margin – a judgement call
- Seek to J3u or similar for now, if we can find how to measure it; this may be measured with a different Tx FIR setting
- Granularity of Tx FIR training is a separate subject, not addressed here
 - Comment 569

Related comments

- 578 Don't need a separate R_{LM} spec
 - 564 Add a VEC-like, TDECQ-like spec using 179's COM reference receiver in a scope. Delete SNDR, jitter specs and SNR_{ISI}. Similarly for KR and C2C.
 - 565 Don't need a separate SNR_{ISI} spec
 - 561 Delete the jitter section, add a VEC-like, TDECQ-like spec for CR, for KR and C2C
 - 577 Don't need the SNDR section , add a VEC-like, TDECQ-like spec for CR, for KR and C2C
 - 571 Remove v_f (min), R_{peak} , SNDR, SNR_{ISI}, R_{LM} and output jitter. Add a VEC-like, TDECQ-like spec using the COM reference receiver, and eye height. Apply to C2M throughout 176E. Note 120E doesn't have an eye linearity spec
 - 332 Problems measuring jitter; reinstate VEC
 - 116, 117 Replace jitter and SNDR with VEO and VEC, consider adding EW
 - 572 Stressed signal diagrams and crosstalk calibration
- Specifically jitter related:
- 174, 175, 176 Relax J3u03 and J4u03 limits
 - 181, 179, 180 Find another way to measure uncorrelated jitter