P802.3dj D1.1 Comment Resolution Agenda

Matt Brown, Alphawave, P802.3dj Editor-in-Chief Gary Nichol, Cisco, Logic Track Lead Editor Eugene Opsasnick, Broadcom, Logic Editor Adee Ran, Cisco, Electrical Track Lead Editor Tom Issenhuth, Huawei, Optical Track Lead Editor

Introduction

- This slide package provides the comment agenda for the Draft 1.1 comment resolution.
- Comment resolution order is shown in the following slides.
- The agenda is subject to change as required.
- Comments/topics that appear to be converging but require some offline consensus building might be "parked" and addressed at a later date in this CRG meeting series.
- Parallel meetings may be running for the three tracks.
 - Individuals are encouraged to review the topics in each track to understand if there are any conflicts.
- Electrical comments/topics are likely going to require the entire 4 days to complete
 - Any spare time on task force days these topics will have priority.

Comment resolution

Approach to comment resolution (same as 802.3df)

The following approach will be utilized for resolving comments...

- Review the proposed response
 - Discuss and refine as needed and attempt to close without objection using direction straw polls, as necessary.
 - If no more than two objections (including commenter) to proposed response then consider it to be consensus and close comment.
 - > If more than two objections then use **decision** straw poll(s) to move forward.
- Use of a direction straw poll to determine a direction
 - Use the result of the direction straw poll(s) to determine consensus, refine the proposed response, or to craft a decision straw poll.
- Use of a decision straw poll to make a final decision.
 - > The decision straw poll winner is the option that has more than 50% support.
 - Close the comment based on the winner of the decision straw poll(s).
- The editorial team may provide presentations as needed to aid in the resolution of comments.
- Individuals are reminded to review "IEEE SA Balloting and Comment Resolution Process Guidelines"

https://standards.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/import/governance/revcom/guidelines.pdf

IEEE P802.3dj Task Force, May 2024

Source: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_05/brown_3dj_01_2405.pdf

8

We are here...

587 comments received22 withdrawn141 in bucket #1 closed53 in bucket #2 closed216 total closed so far371 to resolve on the floor

Clause	E	G	т	ER	GR	TR	Open	Closed	Total
00	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	5	5
116	1	0	8	0	0	2	4	7	11
119	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
120F	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
120G	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
169	0	0	2	1	0	2	2	3	5
171	1	0	3	0	0	2	5	1	6
172	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	3
174	0	0	3	0	0	2	4	1	5
174A	1	0	8	0	0	1	7	3	10
175	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	1	3
176	1	0	27	0	0	2	17	13	30
176A	0	0	35	0	0	21	25	31	56
176B	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
176D	0	0	10	0	0	10	13	7	20
176E	4	0	13	1	0	36	40	14	54
177	0	0	8	0	0	3	9	2	11
177A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
178	6	0	11	0	0	34	37	14	51
178A	0	0	2	0	0	7	5	4	9
179	5	0	17	0	0	37	45	14	59
179A	0	0	2	7	0	14	10	13	23
179B	0	0	0	3	0	10	10	3	13
179C	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
179D	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	2	4
180	2	0	9	1	0	22	27	7	34
181	0	0	2	0	0	13	11	4	15
182	0	0	10	0	0	23	25	8	33
183	0	0	6	0	0	24	24	6	30
184	2	0	20	0	0	4	15	11	26
184A	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
185	0	0	5	0	0	9	13	1	14
186A	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
187	0	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	8
30	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	5	5
45	3	0	1	1	0	2	0	7	7
90A	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total	38	0	240	15	0	294	371	216	587

Comment resolution sequence

Meeting # and Date	Торіс
	Online Task force Motion to adopt bucket #1 and bucket #2.
Thursday Sep 5 (online)	May view presentation(s) and/or close a few comments
	Morning: Task force. Possible motion to adopt bucket #3. Cross-clause (not optical) comments, electrical comments
	Afternoon: Task force. Remaining cross-clause comments (until done), electrical comments
Monday Sep 16	Evening: Electrical track only (if needed)
	Morning/afternoon: Electrical track, logic track, optical track
Tuesday Sep 17	Evening: Electrical track, logic track, optical track (if needed)
Wednesday Sep 18	Electrical track, logic track, optical track
	Common (task force) track
Thursday Sep 19	Remaining comments. Prioritized appropriately.

Common (task force) #1

Торіс	Clause/Annex	Comments
Annex reorganization	176A/C/D/E	511
Signaling rate	Many	118, 367, brown_04
Error ratio, block error ratio method	174A	324, 325, 326, healey_02
Error ratio, block error ratio vs BER	174A, 182	318, 314, mi_01
Error ratio, BERadded values	Many	[<u>137</u> , 143, 361, 166, 164, 165, 316, brown_04]
Error ratio, BERadded context	Many	141, 152
Error ratio, target value	184/185	550, kota_xx slide 3
Error ratio, organization	174A	134
Error ratio, nomenclature	Many	[<u>473</u> ,133]
Note that comment resolution order ma	ay be readjusted.	
Cyan highlight: pulled from bucket #1		

Legend: [##,##,##] = related comments, <u>##</u> = pivot comment, [##,##,author_nn] = related presentation

Common (task force) #2

Торіс	Clause/Annex	Comments		
AUI architecture and ILT signaling	176D/E, 176, 177	[516, 508, 357, 478, <mark>224</mark> , <mark>225</mark> , brown_03]		
ILT: General	176A	<mark>46</mark> , [480, brown_03], 481, 482, [483, 484]		
ILT: LT types	176A	[<u>209</u> , 77, 132]		
ILT: Coefficients and presets	176A	184		
ILT: Training patterns	176A	[<u>495</u> , 76], 218		
ILT: Precoding	176A, 176, 177	509, [<u>212</u> , 213, 214, <mark>215</mark> , 216, 217]		
ILT: Message format	176A	336, 335		
ILT: State diagrams	176A	64		
ILT: Timing	176A	61, 505		
ILT: Extender	176A	[<u>492</u> , 493]		
Note that comment resolution order may be readjusted.				
Cyan highlight: pulled from bucket #	<i>‡</i> 1			

Legend: [##,##,##] = related comments, <u>##</u> = pivot comment, [##,##,author_nn] = related presentation

Торіс	178	179	176D	176E	178A	179ABCD
Reference Rx FFE, eta0 (10)	<u>377, 2</u> , 545	<u>1</u> , 546	<mark>37, 35</mark> , 142, <u>547</u>		567	
ERL (10)	[<u>526</u> , 542], [<u>540</u> , 531, 541], 543		539	[<u>423</u> , 150]		179B: 444
MLSD (8)	[4, <u>529, 530]</u>, 363 (CC)	[3, 535, 536]			[<u>327</u> healey_01]	179A: 208
Frequency masks (9)	ran_01, [374, 527], 378, 379, 380	387, 388, 393				179B: 445
A_v, A_ne, A_fe vs. R_d (9)	376, 160, 528	161, 534	162, 410, 538	163 , 573		
Tx diff PtP, vf, dvf (7)	523, simms_01	524, 563	139	[<u>416</u> ran_02] 146, 570		
Note that comment resolutio	<i>. n order may be r</i> oucket #1	eadjusted.		•	•	

Торіс	179	176D	176E	179ABCD
ILdd budget, reach (11)	[<u>460</u> , 461, 189, mellitz_01, kareti_01], <i>190</i>	33	[115 lusted_04, ghiasi_03, kareti_02]	179A: [<u>519</u> , 521, 522], 432, 518
Host channel model and parameters (7)	395, [537 lim_01], <mark>193</mark>		422, 418	179A: <u>566</u> , 195
ILdd equations and figures (4)			[<u>420.</u> 148, 196 ran_03]	194
MTF (2)				179A: 520 179B: <mark>126</mark>
Note that comment resolution order ma Cyan highlight: pulled from bucket #1	ay be readjusted.	•	•	

Торіс	178	179	176E	178A	179ABCD
Rx test details (6)	[<u>371</u> , 372]	332, 390	<i>154</i> , 158		
Rx test multi-lane (3)	334 (CC)		[155, 157]		
C2M link diagram (2)			[<u>412,</u> 515 ran_03, ghiasi_04]		
DC common mode (2)			147, 417		
S-parameter frequency range (4)				[425, <u>548]</u>	179B: 439, 446
Test fixture spec parameters (3)					179B: 442, 443, 447
Note that comment resolution order may be readjusted.					

Торіс	178	179	176D	176E	178A	179ABCD	
Test fixture delay (4)	532	[199, 200, 201]		198			
CA types, nomenclature (6)		394, [<i>130, 131</i>], <mark>191</mark>				179B: 127, 128	
AC coupling (9)	<u>[533</u> , 119, 120, 121]	[122, 123, 125]		[114, 413]			
Rx test methodology (4)		[<u>389</u> , 391, 392] (CC)		<mark>153</mark>			
Tx FFE preset (2)		333 healey_03 (CC)		569 (CC)			
Tx AC CM (3)		385, 386		575			
Tx jitter (10)	174, 368 (CC)	383 (CC), <mark>175</mark> <i>calvin_01, <mark>181,</mark> zivny_01</i>	176	[177, 178], [<mark>179</mark> <mark>180</mark>]			
VEC (9)		564, 577, 561 <i>calvin_04, <mark>565</mark>, <mark>578</mark>, dawe_01</i>		[322 Calvin_02], [<mark>116</mark> , <mark>117</mark>], <mark>571</mark>			
COM (1)					<mark>188</mark>		
Tx test setup (1) 572							
Note that comment resolution order may be readjusted. Cyan highlight: pulled from bucket #1							

Optical track #1

Торіс	Clause/Annex	Comments
ТQМ	185, 187:	[259, 260], issenhuth_01
Tx optical parameters - coherent	185: 187:	[<u>353</u> , 552, 554, 555], 553, maniloff_01, kota_02 463, 464
Rx optical parameters - coherent	185: 187:	[<u>354</u> , 551, 558], 556, 557, maniloff_01, kota_02 465
Optical channel - coherent	187:	467, 468
Power budget - coherent	187:	466
Chromatic dispersion	180: 181: 182: 183: 180, 183	22, 24, johnson_01 28, 29, johnson_01 23, johnson_01 [18, 19, 93], 20, 21, johnson_01, liu_01 [<u>266</u> , 267], johnson_01
Channel insertion loss	181:	39
Tx optical parameters - IMDD	180: 182: 183:	312 86, 168, [320, 321] [<u>89</u> , 171], 172
Note that comment resolution orde	er may be readjusted.	

Optical track #2

Торіс	Clause/Annex	Comments
Rx optical parameters - IMDD	180:	[<u>311</u> , 261], 403, 404
	182:	169, 262
	183:	173
Power budget - IMDD	180:	66
	183:	319
Optical channel - IMDD	183:	94
Tap weights (TDECQ)	180:	[<u>202</u> , 68], welch_01
	181:	[<u>203</u> , 79], welch_01
	182:	[<u>204</u> , 83], welch_01
	183:	[<u>205</u> , 96], welch_01
TDECQ	182:	167
	183:	[<u>170</u> , 88, 90, 91, 92]
	182, 183:	[313, 315], mi_02
	181, 183	[<u>80</u> , 84, 97]
TDECQ test setup	180, 181, 182,	[<u>67</u> , 78, 82, 95], ghaisi_01
	183:	
Test patterns	182:	317
Note that comment resolution orde	er may be readjust	ed.

Optical track #3

Торіс	Clause/Annex	Comments
Tx compliance	182:	25, 27
Signal detect	180:	400
RIN	180:	407, 408, 409
ILT	180, 181, 182, 183:	[<u>98</u> , 103, 105, 106, 111, 113], issenhuth_02 [<u>100</u> , 101,102, 108, 109, 110], issenhuth_02
Test points	180:	399, issenhuth_02
MDI	180, 182:	[<u>341</u> , 342], dambrosia_02, issenhuth_02
Jitter	180:	402, 562
Pulled from bucket #1		<mark>99, 107</mark>
Note that comment resol	lution order may be readjusted.	
Cyan highlight: pulled from	om bucket #1	

Legend: [##,##,##] = related comments, <u>##</u> = pivot comment, [##,##,author_nn] = related presentation

Logic track #1

Торіс	Clause/Annex	Comments
Time sync - Introductory clauses - Path data delay variables	174,169,116 175,176,177,184,186	[<u>268</u> , 270, 272] [<u>274</u> , 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286]
- Physical layer clause tables	178,179,180,181,182,183,185,187,174, 169,116	[<u>287</u> , 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 294, 269, 271, 273]
PTP accuracy (ER1)	171,186	[254, 255, 256, 301, <u>302</u> , 303, 356, 457, 458, huber_02]
PMA service interface	176	[13, 17, 228, 229, 235, 236, 237, 238, <u>585]</u>
Features, Symbol lock	176	<mark>[14, 16]</mark> , <mark>182</mark> , [<mark>296</mark> , 297]
Deskew	177	[<u>159.</u> 5]
IBSF	177	[<u>359</u> , 469, 470, 471, he_3dj_01_2409]
Delay, Pilot sequence	184	559, 560, kota_01
Pseudocode	184	[<u>243</u> , 244, 245, 246, 247, 249, 250, 252, huber_01]
Convolutional interleaver	184	<mark>50</mark>
PMD interface	184,186 (affects 185, 187)	[251, 257, <u>514]</u>
Payload Type value	186	<mark>253</mark>
Summary of functions	186	<mark>56</mark>
Note that comment resolution or Cyan highlight: pulled from buck	<i>der may be readjusted.</i> et #1	

Buckets

Bucket #1 (low-controversy T/TR) comments are listed in the following comment report:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/8023dj_D1p1_comments_proposed_bucket1.pdf The following comments were pulled from bucket #1:

14, 16, 46, 50, 56, 99, 107, 116, 117, 153, 175, 179, 180, 181, 182, 188, 193, 215, 224, 225, 253, 296, 565, 571, 572, 578 (26 comments total)

Bucket #2 (E/ER) comments are listed in the following comment report: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/8023dj_D1p1_comments_proposed_bucket2.pdf No pulls from Bucket #2 will be possible.

The proposed responses for bucket #1 and #2 (with the exception of the pulled comments listed above) were adopted by Motion #1 on 5 September 2024.

Withdrawn

The following comments were withdrawn (so far): 62, 63, 72, 73, 74, 75, 81, 85, 87, 124, 186, 309, 453, 475, 476, 497, 512, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583

Electrical track #1 - 2nd alternative

Торіс	C178	
Reference Rx FFE, eta0 (10)	[<u>377</u> , 35, 567], [<u>2</u> , 545], [<u>1</u> , 546], [<u>37</u> , 142, 547]	
ERL (10)	[<u>526</u> , 542], [<u>540</u> , 531, 541, 444], [<u>423</u> , 150], 543, 539	
MLSD (8)	[<u>529</u> , 4, 3, 535], [<u>530</u> , 536], [327 healey_ <mark>?</mark>], 363, 208	
A_v, A_ne, A_fe, Tx diff PtP, vf (17)	[160, 161], 376, [162, 163], 528, 534, [523, 524, 563, 146, 570], [410, 538], 139, <u>416</u> , 573	
Frequency masks (9)	[374, 527], 378, 379, 380, 387, 388, 393, 445 ran <mark>_?</mark>	
Host channel (15)	[<mark>395, 537 lim_?</mark> , 422], [33 heck <mark>_?</mark>], [148, 196, <u>420</u>], 115, <u>566,</u> [194, <u>519</u> , 521, 522], 195, <i>418</i>	
ILdd budget (8)	[<u>460</u> , 461, 189], 432, 518, [<mark>520, 126]</mark> , <i>190</i>	
Note that comment resolution order may be readjusted.		

Electrical track #1 (matt's suggestion)

		from this page and increase font size to 12
Торіс	Comments	so it's easily readable. Fewer comments
Reference Rx FFE, eta0 (10)	178: [377, 2, 545] 179: [<u>1</u> , 546] 176D: [<u>37</u> , <u>35</u> , 142, 547] 178A: 567	per page will be less intimidating to the task force. Don't worry about having too many slides; clarity is key.
ERL (10)	178: [<u>526</u> , 542], [<u>540</u> , 531, 541], 543 176D: 539 176E: [<u>423</u> , 150] 179B: 444	I prefer this view over slide 7. However, after discussion with Kent, if the comments need to be addressed in groups by color, then maybe Adee's alternate on slide 8 is better.
MLSD (8)	178: [4, 529, 530], 363 (CC) 179: [3, 535, 536] 178A: [327 healey_?] 179A: 208	
A_v, A_ne, A_fe, Tx diff PtP, vf (17)	178: [160, 376], 528, 523 179: 534, 524, 563, 161 176D: [410, 538], 162, 139 <u>176E: [416</u> ran_02], 163, 573, 146, 570	
Frequency masks (9) [ran_ <mark>01</mark>]	178: [374, 527], 378, 379, 380 179: 387, 388, 393 179B: 445	
Host channel (16)	179: 395, [537 lim_ ?], 193 176D: [33 heck_ ?] 176E: [148, 196, <u>420], <i>418</i>, 422, [115 lusted_</u> 01] 179A: <u>566,</u> [194, <u>519</u> , 521, 522], 195	
ILdd budget (8)	179: [<u>460</u> , 461, 189], <i>190</i> 179A: 432, 518, 520 179B: <mark>126</mark>	
Note that comment resolution order may be re Cyan highlight: pulled from bucket #1	adjusted.	

Matt suggests that you drop a few rows