Chief Editor's Report
P802.3dj D1.2

Matt Brown, Alphawave, P802.3dj Editor-In-Chief
Gary Nicholl, Cisco, Logic Track Lead Editor
Adee Ran, Cisco, Electrical Track Lead Editor
Tom Issenhuth, Huawei, Optical Track Lead Editor

IEEE P802.3dj Task Force
November 2024

Editorial Team

| Editor	Duties
Matt Brown	Chief Editor, clause editor FM, 1, 69, 116, 120, 169, 174
Gary Nicholl	Logic lead editor; clause editor 118, 119, 170, 171
Adee Ran	Electrical lead editor; clause editor 120F, 120G, 176E (C2M), 179 (xBASE-CR)
Tom Issenhuth	Optical lead editor, 185 (800GBASE-LR1), 187 (800GBASE-ER1)
Arthur Marris	Clause editor 4, 4A, 30, 31B, 45, 73, 73A, 90, 90A
Eugene Opsasnick	Clause editor 175 (1.6TBASE-R PCS)
Kapil Shrikhande	Clause editor 176 (xBASE-R SM-PMA)
Xiang He	Clause editor 177 (xBASE-R Inner FEC)
Leon Bruckman	Clause editor 184 (800GBASE-LR1 Inner FEC), 176A (electrical link training)
Jeff Slavick	Logic advisor/reviewer
Howard Heck	Clause editor 178 (xBASE-KR), 176D (C2M)
Sam Kocsis (phasing in) Chris Diminico (phasing out)	Clause editor 179 (xBASE-CR cable assembly), 179A/B/C/D
Adam Healey	Clause editor 178A (COM redux)
Mike Dudek	Electrical advisor/reviewer
Tom Huber	Clause editor 186 (800GBASE-ER1 PCS/PMA)
Peter Stassar	Clause editor 180 (DR PMDs), 181 (FR-500 PMD), 182 (DR-2 PMDs)
Roberto Rodes	Clause editor 183 (FR4/LR4 PMDs)
John Johnson	Optical advisor/reviewer

Activities since Draft 1.1

- Created Draft 1.2
- 802.3dj third task force review
  - Opened on 8 October 2024
  - Closed on 23 October 2024 (15 days total)
- 436 comments received from 34 reviewers
  - Proposed responses were posted on 7 November 2024
  - Editorial team may make further updates

Comment history by draft number

Comment History

E/ER = editorial, T/TR = technical, G/GR = general

Comment summary by clause/annex

Comment Distribution by Clause/Annex

E/ER = editorial, T/TR = technical, G/GR = general

Objectives for the comment resolution meeting

- Respond to all comments against D1.2
- Generate D1.3 and initiate third task force review

Approach to comment resolution (same as 802.3df)

The following approach will be utilized for resolving comments…

- ❖ Review the proposed response
  - ➢ Discuss and refine as needed and attempt to close without objection using **direction** straw polls, as necessary.
  - ➢ If no more than two objections (including commenter) to proposed response then consider it to be consensus and close comment.
  - ➢ If more than two objections then use **decision** straw poll(s) to move forward.
- ❖ Use of a **direction** straw poll to determine a direction
  - ➢ Use the result of the direction straw poll(s) to determine consensus, refine the proposed response, or to craft a decision straw poll.
- ❖ Use of a **decision** straw poll to make a final decision.
  - ➢ The decision straw poll winner is the option that has more than 50% support.
  - ➢ Close the comment based on the winner of the decision straw poll(s).
- ❖ The editorial team may provide presentations as needed to aid in the resolution of comments.
- ❖ Individuals are reminded to review "IEEE SA Balloting and Comment Resolution Process Guidelines"
  https://standards.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/import/governance/revcom/guidelines.pdf

Summary

- P802.3dj editorial team introduced.
- Draft 1.2 was generated and Task Force Review completed.
- Task force review results summarized.
- Approach to comment resolution reviewed.

Thanks!