

P802.3dj Physical layer jitter (Clause 176/179) proposal to advance/close current comments against present JRMS and J4u03 methodologies Presented to IEEE P802.3dj Electrical Task Force January 2025. Version 1.0: January 20, 2025

Author: John Calvin (Keysight Technologies)

Contributors: David Gines, Ryan Chodora, Mike Beyers. Based on draft release of IEEE P802.3dj[™]/D1.3 Comments

Abstract: Comments recorded against P802.3dj D1.3 (306, 219, 220, 221) are calling out for improved handling of jitter. Based on the straw-polling of gines_3dj_optx_01a_250109.pdf conducted on 01/09/2025 this contribution drives to addressing these comments

Supporters/Collaborators (Version 1.0)

Reference material:

Gines 01/09/2025: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/adhoc/optics/0125_OPTX/gines_3dj_optx_01a_250109.pdf IEEE 09/16 Contribution: Jitter operations (179.9.4.7) at TP1a (33dB) : Calvin et al., Keysight Technologies IEEE 09/16 Contribution: VEC associated with high channel loss : Calvin et al., Keysight Technologies IEEE 07/24 Contribution: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/calvin_3dj_01b_2407.pdf RAN: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/ran_3dj_01b_2407.pdf Diminico: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/23_11/diminico_3dj_01_2311.pdf

P802.3dj Clause 176/179 comment's

Draft 1.3 comments related to Jitter.

Comment ID	Commenter Name	Clause	Subclause	Page	Line	Comment	Suggested Remedy
						Jitter measurements refer to 120D.3.1.8.1 for the probability distribution calculation method. As noted in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/ran_3dj_06a_2411.pdf , the method of combining measurements from different transitions into a single PDF in 120D.3.1.8.1 is troublesome. As a specific example, additive noise (which is always present) is translated to timing error in an opposite way for rising/falling transitions. If the additive noise distribution is asymmetric, the distributions created by the noise alone (in the absence of clock phase jitter) are mirror images of each other, and combining them as in the 120D method would amplify the effect of the additive noise. Especially, the J4u would not be representative of the true jitter distribution. It is possible to use information from multiple transitions to improve the accuracy of the measurement in the presence of additive (vertical) noise.	A contribution with further details is
306	Ran, Adee	179	179.9.4.6	381	21	The method of combining the distributions should be improved to mitigate additive noise and slope dependence. 13u and JRMS measurements at TP2 are highly affected by the effects of slew rate and noise and do not reflect actual uncorrelated litter. These effects are exacerbated by the characteristics	planned.
221	Rysin, Alexander	179	179.9.4	374	22	of practical channels between TPOd and TP2 - loss and reflections, and are highly dependent on the transmitted signal amplitude. Accounting only for the faster edges does not work for practical channels at 106.25 Gbd rate and the currently proposed numbers cannot be met (and sometimes cannot be measured) even with commercial test equipment PPG. The issue was demonstrated in rysin_3dj_01a_2407. A different methodology that will better quantify phase-only uncorrelated jitter has to be explored. Presentation is planned.	Other method of uncorrelated jitter measurement should be considered.
219	Rysin, Alexander	176D	176D.5.3	724	38	J3u and JRMS measurements at TP1a are highly affected by the effects of slew rate and noise and do not reflect actual uncorrelated jitter. These effects are exacerbated by the characteristics of practical channels between TP0d and TP1a - loss and <u>reflections, and</u> are highly dependent on the transmitted signal amplitude. Accounting only for the faster edges does not work for practical channels at 106.25 Gbd rate and the currently proposed numbers cannot be met (and sometimes cannot be measured) even with commercial test equipment PPG. The issue was demonstrated in rysin_3dj_01a_2407. A different methodology that will better quantify phase-only uncorrelated jitter has to be explored. Presentation is planned.	Other method of uncorrelated jitter measurement should be considered.
220	Rysin, Alexander	176D	176D.5.4	725	38	J4u and JRMS measurements at TP4 are highly affected by the effects of slew rate and noise and do not reflect actual uncorrelated jitter. These effects are exacerbated by the characteristics of practical test fixtures - loss and <u>reflections</u> , and are highly dependent on the transmitted signal amplitude. Accounting only for the faster edges does not work for practical channels at 106.25 Gbd rate. The issue was demonstrated in rysin_3dj_01a_2407. A different methodology that will better quantify phase-only uncorrelated jitter <u>has to</u> be explored. Presentation is planned.	Other method of uncorrelated jitter measurement should be considered.
541	Dawe, Piers	179	179.9.4.6	381	26	As already pointed out, the "jitter measurement" method here doesn't work for the relevant bandwidths, losses and amplitudes. This is particularly obvious for J3u03; J4u03 seems to be	Delete this method. Use an eye spec to control signal quality, following the evolution of <u>XECQ</u> .
540	Dawe, Piers	176D	176D.5.3	724	40	As already pointed out, the "jitter measurement" method here doesn't work for the relevant bandwidths, losses and amplitudes for host output. This is particularly obvious for J3u03; J4u03 seems to be beyond the state of the art. EOJ should be part of an eye spec like EECQ, not a separate spec item.	Delete this method. Use an eye spec to control signal quality, following the evolution of <u>XECQ</u> .

Comment 306:

Jitter measurements refer to 120D.3.1.8.1 for the probability distribution calculation method... The method of combining the distributions should be improved to mitigate additive noise and slope dependence.

The composite histogram can have a higher JNU value than the sum of individual JNU values when histograms are not symmetric. This example shows a long tail to the left for rising, and a long tail to the right for falling edges.



Comment 306:

Jitter measurements refer to 120D.3.1.8.1 for the probability distribution calculation method... The method of

To L2

301.569 mUI

291.097 mUI

210.147 mUI

To L3

292.380 mUI

194.962 mUI

109.196 mUI

combining the distributions should be improved to mitigate additive noise and slope dependence.



- As illustrated in calvin 3dj 01b 2407 Pg 7, the process of "combining the sets" results a composite result that is substantially greater than the sum of it's parts.
 - Rising edge Jnu histograms have a "left" bias, and corresponding falling edge histograms have a "right" bias. "Combining" or adding these two histograms into a composite value has always been problematic.
 - It is recommended that within a given transition level class (e.g. 3 level transitions) that the composite value be associated with the maximum of the individual distributions, not their sum.

KEYSIGHT

Comments 219, 220 and 221:

J3u and JRMS measurements at TP2 are highly affected by the effects of slew rate and noise and do not reflect actual

uncorrelated jitter.. A different methodology that will better quantify phase-only uncorrelated jitter has to be explored

The Jnu and JRMS operations need to be separated for this comment. A methodology that is highly resilient to channel loss has been described in <u>gines_3dj_optx_01a_250109</u> and introduces the concept of JHRMS which is largely what the original spec writers intended rather than what was described in 120D.3.1.8.1.

The Jnu operations need to be modeled with one proposal described in <u>gines_3dj_optx_01a_250109 (Pg 12)</u> the only thing needing extraction here is DJHdd which should correspond to Add.

 $JNUH = DJH_{dd} + Q * RJH_{rms}^2$



Comments 219, 220 and 221:

Reference: IEC-TC85-WG22-Noise_Jitter_Compensation_Dec_16_2022: Noise compensation in higher order PAM modulated signals



106Gbd 5mV 0mUI djdirac0mUI

Comments 219, 220 and 221:

Reference: IEC-TC85-WG22-Noise_Jitter_Compensation_Dec_16_2022: Noise compensation in higher order PAM modulated signals



- The JHRMS relies on a "y-intercept" method of determining the random jitter.
- This DJHdd similarly operates on a "x-intercept" of the Q-Scale transformed edge distributions.

M KEYSIGHT

RJ Compensation 12-edge Synthetic 5mv RN,40mUI DJ, 31dB Channel 0RJ



Comments 540, 541:

As already pointed out, the "jitter measurement" method here doesn't work for the relevant bandwidths, losses and amplitudes. This is particularly obvious for J3u03; J4u03 seems to be beyond the state of the art. EOJ should be part of an eye spec like EECQ, not a separate spec item.

Regarding the "relevant Bandwidths and losses" there is real concern that a Via has a filter cutoff well below the 60GHz 4BT prescribed by the current (cite Rabinovich reference)

<u>calvin 3dj 01b 2407.pdf</u> (Pg 16) illustrates the impact of lower BW filter selections on Jitter relative to the specified 60GHz 4BT outlined in clause 178.9.2. The empirical data supports that the 60GHz 4BT does provide the lowest jitter values.

Clause 179.9.4.6 Output jitter states the following regarding EOJ03

EOJ₀₃ is calculated using the measurement method specified in 162.9.4.7 for even-odd jitter, except that 31 only the transitions R03 and F30 are used. 32

calvin 3dj 01b 2407.pdf (Pg 7) Suggested that the reported EOJ03 should be the largest of the three level EOJ values.

Conclusions

- JRMS, JNU and EOJ have all undergone significant "improvement" since our earlier clause 120D.3.1.8.1 experiences. With margins being very restricted, higher accuracy methods are needed. That's what these referenced contributions have done.
- JRMS should translate to JHRMS which eliminates the impact of interference and emphasizes the "Phase Only Jitter" components as cited in <u>gines 3dj optx 01a 250109</u>
- EOJ03 is sound from a methodology standpoint, however the composite aspect should be eliminated and simply the maximum of the rising EOJ03 or the falling EOJ03 should be reported.
- JNUH as cited in <u>gines_3dj_optx_01a_250109</u> needs_experimentation to determine the most effective method of DJHdd determination. One method here is cited and tracks some activity in IEC TC85: December 16, 2022 of Q-Scale x-intercept.



Thank you