

Chief Editor's Report

P802.3dj D1.4

Matt Brown, Alphawave Semi, P802.3dj Editor-In-Chief

Gary Nicholl, Cisco, Logic Track Lead Editor

Adee Ran, Cisco, Electrical Track Lead Editor

Tom Issenhuth, Huawei, Optical Track Lead Editor


Editorial Team

Editor	Duties
Matt Brown	Chief Editor, clause editor FM, 1, 21, 69, 116, 120, 120D, 169, 174, 174A, 176B
Gary Nicholl	Logic lead editor; clause editor 118, 119, 170, 171, 172, 173
Adee Ran	Electrical lead editor; clause editor 120F, 120G, 176E (C2M), 179 (xBASE-CR)
Tom Issenhuth	Optical lead editor, 185 (800GBASE-LR1), 185A (ETCC), 187 (800GBASE-ER1)
Arthur Marris	Clause editor 4, 4A, 30, 31B, 45, 73, 73A, 90, 90A
Eugene Opsasnick	Clause editor 175, 175A (1.6TBASE-R PCS)
Kapil Shrikhande	Clause editor 176, 176A (xBASE-R SM-PMA)
Xiang He	Clause editor 177, 177A (xBASE-R Inner FEC)
Leon Bruckman	Clause editor 184, 184A (800GBASE-LR1 Inner FEC), 178B (electrical link training)
Tom Huber	Clause editor 186, 186A (800GBASE-ER1 FEC/PMA)
Jeff Slavick	Logic advisor/reviewer
Howard Heck	Clause editor 178 (xBASE-KR), 176D (C2M)
Sam Kocsis (D1.3 onward) Chris Diminico (up to D1.2)	Clause editor 179 (xBASE-CR cable assembly), 179A/B/C/D
Adam Healey	Clause editor 178A (COM redux)
Mike Dudek	Electrical advisor/reviewer
Peter Stassar	Clause editor 180 (DR PMDs), 181 (FR-500 PMD), 182 (DR-2 PMDs), 180A (optical MDIs)
Roberto Rodes	Clause editor 183 (FR4/LR4 PMDs)
John Johnson	Optical advisor/reviewer

Activities since Draft 1.3

- Created Draft 1.4
- 802.3dj fifth task force review
 - Opened on 7 February 2025
 - Closed on 22 February 2025
- 284 comments received from 21 reviewers
 - Proposed responses 4 March 2024
 - Editorial team may make further updates


Comment history by draft number



E/ER = editorial, T/TR = technical, G/GR = general

Comment summary by clause/annex

Comment Distribution by Clause/Annex



E/ER = editorial, T/TR = technical, G/GR = general

Objectives for the comment resolution meeting

- Respond to all comments against D1.4
- Generate D1.5 and initiate sixth task force review

Approach to comment resolution

The following approach will be utilized for resolving comments...

- ❖ Review the proposed response
 - Discuss and refine as needed and attempt to close without objection using **direction** straw polls, as necessary.
 - If no more than two objections (including commenter) to proposed response then consider it to be consensus and close comment.
 - If more than two objections then use **decision** straw poll(s) to move forward.
- ❖ Use of a **direction** straw poll to determine a direction
 - Use the result of the direction straw poll(s) to determine consensus, refine the proposed response, or to craft a decision straw poll.
- ❖ Use of a **decision** straw poll to make a final decision.
 - The decision straw poll winner is the option that has more than 50% support.
 - Close the comment based on the winner of the decision straw poll(s).
- ❖ The editorial team may provide presentations as needed to aid in the resolution of comments.
- ❖ Individuals are reminded to review “IEEE SA Balloting and Comment Resolution Process Guidelines”

<https://standards.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/import/governance/revcom/guidelines.pdf>

Thanks!