d

IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group **DRAFT** Liaison Communication

Source: IEEE 802.3 Working Group¹

Lo. Glenn Parsons Chair, ITU-T SG15

> Advisor, ITU-T SG15 Hiroshi Ota

Rapporteur ITU-T Q5/15 Kazuhide Nakajima

Vince Ferretti Associate Rapporteur, ITU-T Q5/15

CC: Alpesh Shah Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board

Secretary, IEEE-SA Board of Governors

Chair, IEEE 802 LMSC James Gilb

Vice-chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group Adam Healey

Secretary, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group Jon Lewis

John D'Ambrosia Chair, IEEE P802.3dj Task Force

Vice-Chair, IEEE P802.3dj Task Force Mark Nowell

From: Chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group David Law

Response to ITU-T SG15, SG15-LS9, LS/I on information on new work items Subject:

on weakly-coupled multi-core fibre (WC-MCF) standards in Q5/15

Agreed at IEEE 802.3 Interim meeting, New Orleans, LA, USA, 15 May 2025 Approval

Dear Mr. Parsons and members of ITU-T Study Group 15,

The IEEE 802.3 Working Group would like to thank you for your recent liaison regarding the two work items and the draft of G Supple.G.65x.

IEEE 802.3 appreciates Q5/15's interest in identifying the applicability of WC-MCF technology to short reach and data center networks. Currently, there are no IEEE 802.3 project or study group efforts targeting WC-MCF. However, the IEEE 802.3 NEA "Ethernet for AI" assessment recently heard a technical presentation on this fiber type (https://www.ieee802.org/3/ad hoc/E4AI/public/25 0327/yu e4ai 01 250327.pdf), which

was also reviewed by the P802.3dj Task Force (see

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25 05/yu 3dj 01 2505.pdf) while preparing a response to your liaison.

¹ This document solely represents the views of the IEEE 802.3 Working Group, and does not necessarily represent a position of the IEEE, the IEEE Standards Association, or IEEE 802.

At this time, given that there are no official projects or study groups to draw upon, IEEE 802.3 has no basis to which it can point to that highlights market interest. Our experts, however, did note that there has been significant discussion of this fiber type at recent conferences, such as OFC.

IEEE 802.3 agrees with Q5/15 on the need for the continuous harmonized discussions between our two groups. Based on the observed industry interest in 400 Gb/s electrical and optical signaling, as currently being explored in our "Ethernet for AI" assessment, once market demand is identified, it will be imperative for organizations to be able to respond in a rapid fashion.

As the ITU-T considers WC-MCF going forward, IEEE 802.3 would like to share this initial list of observations:

IEEE 802.3 PHY specifications do not specify the fiber but do specify the channel which is derived from these fiber specifications and inclusive of connector and cable considerations. Our interface specifications are based on link methodologies that consider the various impairments that would affect link performance.

To that end, when looking to support a new WC-MCF fiber specification, we would look for where parameters might deviate from current SMF-28 fibre specifications and whether new parameters may exist that would affect the definition of any new interface specifications. These could include:

- Changes in PMD/DGD specifications. At the the anticipated signalling speeds of some upcoming projects, these parameters can be impactful even over shorter reaches
- Changes in loss, dispersion specifications
- Consideration of typical installation approaches for these short reach links in a data center environment where high-density cables are used and bend sensitivity or fanin/fan-out fiber transitions becomes a consideration for any of the fiber parameters.
- Definintion of return loss or crosstalk parameters that could occur at connectors or within the fiber between cores as applicable
- Consideration of whether Multi-path interference impairments arise from just the connectors or whether fiber bending can also be a source (??)
- Field testing and validation of the these parameters in any specification over typical installations consistent with short reach cables.

The typical reaches of SMF optical interfaces that 802.3 define are 500 m, 2 km, 10 km and 40 km. If reach affects any of these parameters due to a statistical consideration, this would also be a something we would need to consider.

We look forward to continued conversations on this topic.

Sincerely,

David Law

Chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group