

Transmitter Functional Symbol Error Mask Test Proposal Update

IEEE P802.3 Interim Session

802.3dj Task Force Meeting

September 16, 2025

Chris Cole, et al.

Comments: 227, 228, 233, 315, 448, 450, 510 through 516 inclusive

Author Team

- Fabio Bottoni, Cisco
- Matt Brown, Alphawave
- Chris Cole, Coherent
- Mike Dudek, Marvell
- Ali Ghiasi, Ghiasi Quantum
- Michael He, Terahop
- Tom Issenhuth, Huawei
- John Johnson, Broadcom
- Dirk Lutz, Eoptolink
- Marco Mazzini, Cisco
- Guangcan Mi, Huawei
- Karl Muth, Broadcom
- Mark Nowell, Cisco
- Vasudevan Parthasarathy, Broadcom
- Roberto Rodes, Coherent
- Fred Tang, Broadcom
- Pengyue Wen, Meta
- Rang-Chen Yu, Terahop
- Xiang Zhou, Google

Supporters

- Bill Simms, NVIDIA
- Paul Brooks, Viavi
- Mabud Choudhury, Lightera
- Zuliang Ruan, H3C Technologies
- Mingwang Mao, Meituan
- Jeff Maki, Juniper/HPE
- Jeff Hutchins, Ranovus
- Christian Reimer, Hyperlight
- Vivek Ragahurman, MixxTech
- Terry Little, FIT
- Shimon Muller, Enfabrica
- Eric Maniloff, Ciena
- Phil Sun, Credo
- Haojie Wang, China Mobile
- Helen Xu, Huawei
- Vipul Bhatt, Coherent
- Jose Galán, Maxlinear
- Tiger Ninomiya, Accelink
- Ed Nakamoto, Spirent
- Haifeng Liu, HG Genuine
- Frank Chang, SourcePhotonics
- David Chen, AOI
- Jim Weaver, Arista
- Antonio Tartaglia, Ericsson
- Jinxin Fu, AMAT
- Alan Liu, Quintessent
- Hideki Isono, Fujitsu
- Yuxin Zhou, Lumentum
- Ernest Muhigana, Lumentum
- David Piehler, Dell
- Ahmad El-Chayeb, Keysight
- Gary Landry, Texas Instruments
- Adee Ran, Cisco
- Zhiping Yao, Alibaba

Outline

- Introduction
- DRn BtB Test: 180.9.7 & 182.9.7 Changes
- Starting-point Test Parameters
- FR4 & LR4 Over-fiber Test: 181.9.7 & 183.9.7 Changes
- Proposed Text
- Appx 1: Over-fiber & BtB Test Examples
- Appx 2: “Simplified” Tx Functional Test Proposal Problems

Introduction

- 802.3dj TF adopted D2.1 with [additional test of 200G/lane Ethernet transmit optics](#)
 - Proposal defined FRx as a compliant optical hardware receiver
 - Definition changed during July Plenary, which now requires variable changes
- Test parameters
 - Starting-point in this presentation based on [measurements by Roberto Rodes](#)
 - Require confirmation or updating based on further measurements
 - Consensus values will be in a final proposal at a future meeting
- Over-fiber test, mainly for chromatic dispersion penalty (CD) limit compliance
 - Discussion started by the Author Team prior to July Plenary
 - Deferred to after the meeting to limit initial proposal complexity.

DRn BtB Test: 180.9.7 & 182.9.7 Format & Name Changes

- During the July Plenary meeting the definition of Functional Receiver (FRx) was changed, from compliant Optical Receiver (ORx), to VOA followed by ORx
- Equation (180-1) D2.1 specifies VOA output OMA (input to the ORx)
 - This proposal specifies VOA level, i.e. the attenuation terms in (180-1) D2.1
- Tx_TECQ, Tx_TDECQ, Tx_test_margin are repetitive
 - From: *Tx_TECQ* To: *DUT_TECQ*
 - From: *Tx_TDECQ* To: *DUT_TDECQ*
 - From: *Tx_test_margin* To: *Test_margin*
- New variables *VOA_level*, *Tx_DUT_power_budget*, *Test_SMF_power_budget*, and *ORx_TECQ_allocation* added for clarity, with no change in functionality
- Final format and names deferred to the Editors.

DRn BtB Test: 180.9.7 & 182.9.7 Changes (1)

[Tx DUT] → short Test SMF → [FRx = VOA → ORx]

- Tx DUT complies with characteristics in Table 180-7 (or 182-7)
- ORx complies with characteristics in Table 180-8 (or 182-8)
- $FRx_OMA = Tx_DUT_OMA$ (description only)
- $ORx_OMA = FRx_OMA - VOA_level$ (description only)

$VOA_level = Tx_DUT_power_budget - Test_SMF_power_budget$

$- ORx_TECQ_allocation - Test_margin$ **(180-1)**

- $Tx_DUT_power_budget$ added to $RxS_OMA@TECQ=0$ gives $Tx_DUT_OMA(min)$ in Table 180-7 (or 182-7)

$Tx_DUT_power_budget = Channel_insertion_loss + MPI + DGD_penalty_allocation$

$+ max(DUT_TDECQ, DUT_TECQ)$ **(180-2)**

DRn BtB Test: 180.9.7 & 182.9.7 Changes (2)

- $Test_SMF_power_budget = 0$ **(180-3)**
- $ORx_TECQ_allocation$ is the delta between ORx_RxS at DUT_TECQ (test condition), and RxS_OMA extrapolated to $TECQ = 0$ in Table 180-8 (or 182-8) for $TECQ \geq 0.9\text{dB}$
(Using D2.1 terms: $ORx_TECQ_allocation = DUT_TECQ - RxS_TECQ_correction$)
 $ORx_TECQ_allocation = ORx_RxS@DUT_TECQ - RxS_OMA@TECQ=0$ **(180-4)**
- $Test_margin$ increases ORx_OMA away from noise limit
- Example showing full equivalence to attenuation terms in equation (180-1) in D2.1
 $VOA_level = Channel_insertion_loss$
 $+ MPI + DGD_penalty_allocation$
 $+ \max(DUT_TDECQ, DUT_TECQ) - DUT_TECQ + RxS_TECQ_correction$
 $- Test_margin$

Starting-point Test Parameters

- Test_margin = 1.5dB
- Operating BER = 2.40E-5
- Symbol Error Mask

Test symbol errors per test block, k (see 174A.8.5)	Probability $H_{max}(k)$
1	1.15×10^{-1}
2	7.47×10^{-3}
3	3.24×10^{-4}
4	1.05×10^{-5}
5	2.73×10^{-7}
6	5.88×10^{-9}
7	1.08×10^{-10}

8	1.75×10^{-12}
9	3.50×10^{-13}
10	3.50×10^{-13}
11	3.50×10^{-13}
12	3.50×10^{-13}
13	3.50×10^{-13}
14	3.50×10^{-13}
15	3.50×10^{-13}
16	3.50×10^{-13}

FR4 & LR4 Over-fiber Test: Mainly for CD Limit Compliance

- Same as BtB test:
 - Descriptions
 - Equations
 - *Test_margin*
 - Operating BER
 - Symbol Error Mask
- Different than BtB test, highlighted in green:
 - Tx DUT connected to FRx by longer Test SMF, or emulator mainly for chromatic dispersion penalty (CD), with channel specifications in Table 181-14 (or 183-16)
 - *Test_SMF_budget*, in equation 180-3, is non-zero.

FR4 & LR4 Over-fiber Test: 181.9.7 & 183.9.7 Changes (1)

[Tx DUT] → longer Test SMF → [FRx = VOA → ORx]

- Tx DUT complies with characteristics in Table 181-5 (or 183-6)
- ORx complies with characteristics in Table 181-6 (or 183-7)
- $FRx_OMA = Tx_DUT_OMA - Test_SMF_loss$ (description only)
- $ORx_OMA = FRx_OMA - VOA_level$ (description only)

$VOA_level = Tx_DUT_power_budget - Test_SMF_power_budget$

$- ORx_TECQ_allocation - Test_margin$ **(180-1)**

- $Tx_DUT_power_budget$ added to $RxS_OMA@TECQ=0$ gives $Tx_DUT_OMA(min)$ in Table 181-5 (or 183-6)

$Tx_DUT_power_budget = Channel_insertion_loss + MPI+DGD_penalty_allocation$
 $+ max(DUT_TDECQ, DUT_TECQ)$ **(180-2)**

FR4 & LR4 Over-fiber Test: 181.9.7 & 183.9.7 Changes (2)

- $Test_SMF_power_budget = Test_SMF_loss + Test_SMF_MPI + DGD_penalty + Test_SMF_DUT_CD$ **(180-3)**
- $ORx_TECQ_allocation$ is the delta between ORx_RxS at DUT_TECQ (test condition), and RxS_OMA extrapolated to $TECQ = 0$ in Table 181-6 (or 183-7) for $TECQ \geq 0.9\text{dB}$
 $ORx_TECQ_allocation = ORx_RxS@DUT_TECQ - RxS_OMA@TECQ=0$ **(180-4)**
- $Test_margin$ increases ORx_OMA away from noise limit
- Example with $ORx_RxS = RxS_OMA(max)$ for all $TECQ$
 $VOA_level = Channel_insertion_loss - Test_SMF_loss + MPI + DGD_penalty_allocation - Test_SMF_MPI + DGD_penalty + max(DUT_TDECQ, DUT_TECQ) - DUT_TECQ - Test_SMF_DUT_CD - Test_margin$

Proposal Text

- Proposal text is in issenhuth_3dj_01_2509, or later revision if any.

Appx 1: FR4-500 (Clause 181) Over-fiber & BtB Test Examples

CL 181		RxS_OMA(max)@TECQ=0 -4.1					Channel_insertion_loss 3.5			MPI+DGD_penalty_allocation 0.6			Tx_OMA(min)@TECQ=0 0.0					
Case		Tx_DUT (per Table 181-5)					Test_SMF (per Table 181-7)						FRx (per 181.9.7)					
No.	Test_SMF actual DUT_CD	TECQ	TDECQ	max(TECQ, TDECQ)	margin	OMA dBm	actual			estimated			ORx_RxS @DUT _TECQ	Test _margin	VOA _level	ORx _OMA dBm	Test _margin _error	
							loss	MPI+DGD	DUT_CD	loss	MPI+DGD	DUT_CD						
1	max pos penalty	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	4.0	3.5	0.6	1.0	3.5	0.6	1.0	-2.1	1.5	-1.5	2.0	0.0	
2		2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.5	0.6	1.0	3.5	0.6	1.0	-2.1	1.5	-1.5	1.0	0.0	
3		2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	4.0	2.0	0.3	1.0	2.0	0.3	1.0	-2.1	1.5	0.3	1.7	0.0	
4	typ. pos	2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	2.0	0.3	0.7	2.0	0.3	0.7	-2.1	1.2	0.9	0.1	0.0	
5	0 penalty	2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.1	1.5	3.6	-0.6	0.0	
6		2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.1	1.5	2.6	0.4	0.0	
7		3.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.1	1.5	2.6	0.4	0.0	
8	min neg penalty	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	4.0	2.0	0.3	-1.0	2.0	0.3	-1.0	-1.1	1.5	1.3	0.7	0.0	
9		3.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.5	0.6	-1.0	3.5	0.6	-1.0	-1.1	1.5	-0.5	0.0	0.0	
10		3.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	4.0	3.5	0.6	-1.0	3.5	0.6	-1.0	-1.1	1.5	-0.5	1.0	0.0	
Test_margin_error = (ORx_OMA = Tx_DUT_OMA - Test_SMF_actual_loss - VOA_level) - (ORx_RxS@actual_TECQ + Test_SMF_actual_MPI+DGD+DUT_CD_penalty + Tx_margin + Test_margin)																		

Appx 1: LR4 (Clause 183) Over-fiber & BtB Test Examples

CL 183		RxS_OMA(max)@TECQ=0 -6.9					Channel_insertion_loss 6.3			MPI+DGD_penalty_allocation 1.1			Tx_OMA(min)@TECQ=0 0.5				
Case		Tx_DUT (per Table 183-5)					Test_SMF (per Table 183-7)						FRx (per 183.9.7)				
No.	Test_SMF actual DUT_CD	TECQ	TDECQ	max(TECQ, TDECQ)	margin	OMA dBm	actual			estimated			ORx_RxS @DUT _TECQ	Test _margin	VOA _level	ORx _OMA dBm	Test _margin _error
							loss	MPI+DGD	DUT_CD	loss	MPI+DGD	DUT_CD					
1	max pos penalty	2.0	3.5	3.5	1.0	5.0	6.3	1.1	1.5	6.3	1.1	1.5	-4.9	1.5	-1.5	0.2	0.0
2		2.0	3.5	3.5	0.0	4.0	6.3	1.1	1.5	6.3	1.1	1.5	-4.9	1.5	-1.5	-0.8	0.0
3		2.0	3.5	3.5	0.0	4.0	5.3	0.5	1.5	5.3	0.5	1.5	-4.9	1.5	0.1	-1.4	0.0
4		2.0	5.5	5.5	1.0	7.0	5.3	0.5	1.5	5.3	0.5	3.5	-4.9	1.5	0.1	1.6	2.0
5		2.0	3.5	3.5	1.0	5.0	5.3	0.5	1.5	5.3	0.5	1.5	-4.9	1.5	0.1	-0.4	0.0
6	typ. pos	2.0	3.5	3.5	1.0	5.0	5.3	0.5	1.0	5.3	0.5	1.0	-4.9	0.5	1.6	-1.9	0.0
7	0 penalty	2.0	3.5	3.5	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-4.9	1.5	7.4	-3.4	0.0
8		2.0	2.5	2.5	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-4.9	1.5	6.4	-3.4	0.0
9		4.0	2.5	4.0	1.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.9	1.5	5.9	-0.4	2.0
10		2.0	2.5	2.5	1.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-4.9	1.5	6.4	-2.4	0.0
Test_margin_error = (ORx_OMA = Tx_DUT_OMA - Test_SMF_actual_loss - VOA_level) - (ORx_RxS@actual_TECQ + Test_SMF_actual_MPI+DGD+DUT_CD_penalty + Tx_margin + Test_margin)																	

Appx 2: “Simplified” Tx Functional Test Proposal Problems (1)

- Fully accurate page 12 *VOA_level* equation “simplified” by removing red lined terms

$$\begin{aligned} VOA_level = & - Test_margin + Channel_insertion_loss - Test_SMF_loss \\ & + MPI+DGD_penalty_allocation - \cancel{Test_SMF_MPI+DGD_penalty} \\ & + \cancel{max(DUT_TDECQ, DUT_TECQ) - DUT_TECQ - Test_SMF_DUT_CD} \end{aligned}$$

- Full equation can always be “simplified”
- A “simplified” equation can never be expanded; full accuracy is lost
- No *Test_SMF_MPI+DGD_penalty* term problem:
 - *Test_SMF_MPI+DGD_penalty* is never zero for longer Test SMF like 2km or 10km, i.e. zero is always a bad estimate
 - $0 < Test_SMF_MPI+DGD_penalty < MPI+DGD_penalty(max)$ is always better

Appx 2: “Simplified” Tx Functional Test Proposal Problems (2)

- No $(\max(DUT_TDECQ, DUT_TECQ) - DUT_TECQ + \text{Test_SMF_DUT_CD})$ term problems:

- Excess Tx_DUT_OMA when $(TDECQ - TECQ) > \text{Test_SMF_DUT_CD}$ is not a beneficial “credit”

Negates solving high TDECQ field problems which cause excess Tx_DUT_OMA ; a major reason for the functional test proposal

- Test over three fiber types, with complex, costlier set-up and 3x the test time replacing automatic computer VOA level adjustment is not a simplification

It is an equation simplification and a test complication.

Transmitter Functional Symbol Error Mask Test Proposal Update

Thank you