

APSU fail action

Leon Bruckman, Nvidia

Supporters

- Adee Ran – Cisco
- Marco Mascitto - Nokia

APSU FAIL state

- In the “Training control state diagram” there is no indication how to exit the FAIL state.
 - The expectation is that the management takes care of the situation
- Lack of explicit specifications may lead to interoperability issues




Figure 178B-10—Training control state diagram

Expected behavior


- If interface A of an ISL loses TF lock (`local_tf_lock = false`) and reaches the FAIL state in will set `tx_disable = true`
- Interface B (the peer interface in the ISL) will be either in the TRAIN_LOCAL, TRAIN_REMOTE or ISL_READY states waiting for responses from side A
- Interface B will lose TF lock (loss of signal) and also reach the FAIL state
- Management will need to decide if and when to restart APSU
- This is addressed by a note in D3.0:
 - *NOTE — There is no specified time limit for the APSU. Restarting APSU might result in live-lock situation, thus APSU should only be restarted if there is an indication of an unrecoverable fault. The definition of unrecoverable fault is beyond the scope of this standard.*
- It is not clear if reaching the FAIL state is an unrecoverable fault
- The live-lock situation may occur if an ISL in the path is already in the PATH_READY state
- This live-lock situation is resolved by the APSU restart proposal
 - See separate contribution

Proposal


- Add text to the note, or add a new note:
 - Recovery from FAIL state requires management to assert `mr_restart_training` or `reset`. The timing for this recovery is implementation dependent.

Backup


Live-lock situation - 1



Live-lock situation - 2



Live-lock situation - 3



- Host B will move to `PATH_UP` after `propagation_timer` expires
- Host A will wait for `ILT` frames to move from `QUIET` state to `SEND_TRAINING` state