## IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group DRAFT Liaison Communication

Source: IEEE 802.3 Working Group<sup>1</sup>

То:	Glenn Parsons	Chair, ITU-T SG15 glenn.parsons@ericsson.com
	Hiroshi Ota	Advisor, ITU-T SG15 tsbsg15@itu.int
	Steve Gorshe	Rapporteur Q11/15 steve.gorshe@microchip.com
CC:	Alpesh Shah	Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board Secretary, IEEE-SA Board of Governors sasecretary@ieee.org
	James Gilb	Chair, IEEE 802 LMSC gilb_ieee@tuta.com
	Adam Healey	Vice-chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group adam.healey@broadcom.com
	Jon Lewis	Secretary, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group jon.lewis@dell.com
	John D'Ambrosia	Chair, IEEE P802.3dj Task Force jdambriosia@gmail.com
	Mark Nowell	Vice-Chair, IEEE P802.3dj Task Force mnowell@cisco.com
From:	David Law	Chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group dlaw@hpe.com
Subject	Liaison to ITU-T SG15 regarding 1.6T OTN mapping reference (reply to SG15-	

Subject: LS6)

Approval: Agreed to at IEEE 802.3 plenary meeting, Atlanta, GA, USA, 13 March 2025

Dear Mr. Parsons and members of ITU-T SG15,

Thank you for your liaison (SG15-LS6) confirming definition of a FlexO payload type for use with 800GBASE-ER1 and 800GBASE-ER1-20. We have incorporated this payload type into IEEE P8023dj D1.4, which was previously shared with you following our January interim meeting. We would also note that the architecture of the 800GBASE-ER1 PHY changed significantly between D1.3 and D1.4.We have discussed the question you raised about the potential for 1.6T PHYs with similar PTP accuracy issues to 800GBASE-ER1 and the potential value of changing the OTN mapping reference point for 1.6TBASE-R to include alignment markers as a way to mitigate those issues.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This document solely represents the views of the IEEE 802.3 Working Group, and does not necessarily represent a position of the IEEE, the IEEE Standards Association, or IEEE 802.

A key aspect of the OTN mapping reference is that it is common to all PHYs at a specific rate; the currently selected point within the 1.6TBASE-R PCS has that property. Moving the OTN reference point to include alignment markers would presume that all future 1.6T PHYs would use the same type of alignment markers. We do not want to constrain future task forces by making such an assumption.

We also note that the location of the OTN mapping reference point for 800GBASE-R was not the cause of the issue with PTP accuracy we encountered in our work on 800GBASE-ER1. The issue was indeed related to the removal and re-insertion of alignment markers, as you noted, but the OTN mapping reference point does not factor into those functions since we are not defining a mapping into OTN from an architecture perspective. We would call your attention to clause 186 of IEEEP802.3dj D1.4, which explains the architecture of the 800GBASE-ER1 PHY in detail. The removal and insertion of alignment markers is occurring in the 800GBASE-ER1 FEC sublayer, whereas the OTN mapping reference point is in the 800GBASE-R PCS. Changing the location of the OTN mapping reference in the PCS would not impact the functions in the FEC sublayer. We would also note that the solution we adopted in 800GBASE-ER1 would be equally viable for any future 1.6T PHY that uses the same mapping to FlexO.

Given the above, we don't think there is a compelling reason to change the OTN mapping reference point for 1.6T PHYs.

The next meeting of the IEEE P802.3dj task force is the week of 12 May 2025. We very much appreciate the ability to work collaboratively with ITU-T SG15 on this and other matters of common interest.

Sincerely,

David Law

Chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group