C/ 168 SC 168.5.1 P30 L8 # 1

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved

The title of 168.5.1 is "PMD block diagram", but the block diagram in Figure 168-2 is not of a PMD but of a transmit/receive path.

I am aware that the incorrect heading exists in many previous clauses, but an error should not be carried over to a new clause.

The suggested remedy is being used in similar subclauses in P802.3di.

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the subclause title from "PMD block diagram" to "Block diagram".

Response Status C

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 168 SC 168.6 P32 L53 # 2

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved

Footnote a says "The RS-FEC correction function may not be bypassed for any operating distance". This is not an option, so "may" is inappropriate. Also, this statement is out of place in 168.6, which is about optical specifications.

I am aware that the same text exists in many previous clauses, but an error should not be carried over to a new clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete footnote a from Table 168-5, and instead add a footnote for the "RS-FEC" row in Table 168-1, stating "The option to perform error detection without error correction (see 91.5.3.3) is not supported. FEC error correction shall not be bypassed".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete footnote a from Table 168-5

Add a footnote to Table 168-1 to the RS-FEC row, stating "The option to perform error detection without error correction (see 91.5.3.3) is not supported.

Cl 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L11 #

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R D2.0 unresolved

The signaling range for recent PMDs with 100 Gb/s per lane has been narrowed to +/- 50 ppm, to avoid possible performance degradatation.

The 100 Gb/s AUIs defined in Annex 120F and 120G support this narrower range.

See 800GBASE-VR8/SR8 PMDs in 802.3df, Table 167-7 and Table 167-8 (both amended from 802.3db) as an example of how this is implemented in new PMDs.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 168-6 and Table 168-7, change the signaling rate range to 53.125 +/- 50 ppm.

Response Status C

REJECT.

802.3df uses 100ppm for all single lane PMDs.

Cl 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L28 # 4

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status R D2.0 unresolved

The row for OMA_outer (min) in Table 167-7 contains two sub-rows. This should be indicated by indentation, as done in the "Receiver sensitivity" row in Table 167-8, to clarify that these are two cases.

The phrase "for 1.4 dB <= max(TECQ, TDECQ) <= TDECQ(max)" is overly long and can be shortened to improve readability.

SuggestedRemedy

Indent the sub-rows starting with "for".

Change "for 1.4 dB <= max(TECQ, TDECQ) <= TDECQ(max)" to "for max(TECQ, TDECQ) >= 1.4"

Response Status C

REJECT

Following dj format (e.g., Table 183-6).

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P34 L1 # C/ 168 SC 168.7.1 P36 **L1** Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran, Adee Comment Type Т Comment Status R D2.0 unresolved Comment Type TR Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved Equations 168-1 through 168-3 are not equations - they are expressions that don't mean The title of Table 168-10 is incorrect. It does not include or even refer to test pattern definitions; what it contains is the mapping of parameters to test patterns and related anything without the context, which is Table 167-7. sublclause. It would be a better service to the reader if these expressions are placed directly in the table. I am aware that the same title exists in many previous clauses, but an error should not be SugaestedRemedy carried over to a new clause. It has been corrected in P802.3di, and the suggested remedy Move these expressions into Table 168-8. OMA outer row, replacing the references to the is taken from Table 180-15 equations. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change the title of Table 168-10 to "Mapping of parameters to test patterns and related REJECT subclauses". Follow dj format, Table 183-6. Response Response Status C C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L36 # 6 ACCEPT. Keep consistent with 802.3 dj, Table 183-13. Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran, Adee Comment Type TR Comment Status A inresolved (over/under shoot) SC 168.7.11 L3 C/ 168 P41 "Transmitter over/under -shoot" is shorthand that should not be used in a standard. Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. The definitions in subclause 168.7.7 are actually to two different parameters, overshoot and Comment Type Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved undershoot, while "over/under-shoot" is not defined at all. The label in the table has been changed to "overshoot/undershoot" in 802.3db. The signaling rate is 53.125 GBd, so the number should be 53.125 GHz, not 53.2. SuggestedRemedy Also, the definition subclause 168.7.7 should be aligned with the recent text in 802.3db Change per comment. (167.8.8) instead of older clauses. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change the label to "Overshoot/undershoot (max)". ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the text in 168.7.7 to align it with 167.8.8 in 802.3db-2022. Delete contents in the parenthesis. Change in Table 168-10 and elsewhere accordingly. C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P**41** L32 Response Response Status C Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. ACCEPTED IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #26. Comment Type Comment Status A 2.0 unresolved (Ref receiver) Cross-reference to equation 168-4 is not active. Similarly for equations 168-5 and 168-6 in the subsequent paragraphs. SuggestedRemedy Make the cross-references active. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L40 # 10 C/ 168 SC 168.9 P45 L30 # 13 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A 2.0 unresolved (Ref receiver) Comment Type Т Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved Equations 168-4 through 168-5 have equal signs and define receiver sensitivity - but the It appears that a statistical analysis is being used to arrive at the chromatic dispersion receiver sensitivity does not need to be equal to a value - it should be below some values, as documented in G.652 Appendix I. The document should clarify the approach used to arrive at the CD values. 802.3dj currently includes the following text: "The maximum, as shown in the figure. dispersion specifications are based on the statistical link design methodology documented in SuggestedRemedy ITU-T REC G.652, Appendix I." Either change the equation to have a "lower than" value, or define the term as the maximum SuggestedRemedy RS. Add a footnote to the CD values in Table168-12 indicating the method used to calculate the Response Response Status C dispersion values. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Change the equal signs to less than or equals. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE L7 C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 # 11 Add to footnote b: "The dispersion specifications are based on the statistical link design methodology Cisco Systems. Inc. Ran. Adee documented in Comment Status A Comment Type ER 2.0 unresolved (Ref receiver) ITU-T REC G.652, Appendix I.". Figure 168-6 is a bitmap with poor quality. C/ 168 P36 SC 168.7.4 L46 SuggestedRemedy Johnson, John Broadcom Replace the figure with an SVG one. Comment Type TR Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved Response Response Status C Add text to clarify the reference receiver used to measure OMAouter, refering to the ACCEPT. definitions in 168.7.5. SuggestedRemedy C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L15 # 12 Add the following sentence to the end of the paragraph: Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. "OMAouter is measured using waveforms captured at the output of the reference receiver Comment Type TR Comment Status A 2.0 unresolved (Ref receiver) defined in 168.7.5, before the reference equalizer." The label "Meets equation constraints" appears between curves. It suggests that the allowed Response range is between these lines, which is incorrect. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See comment #22. Move the label below the bottom line. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #29

Cl 168 SC 168.7.5 P37 L21 # 15

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

D2.0 unresolved

The TDECQ test method in 168.7.5 needlessly reiterates the definitions in 121.8.5. The text of 168.7.5.1 lists test method exceptions that should be in 168.7.5.3. 168.7.5.3 has a single exception for the FFE (which is not needed because it is the same as 121.8.5.4). This clause should reference 121.8.5 and list a complete set of test method exceptions specific to CI. 168.

SuggestedRemedy

Follow the specification method of 802.3dj D1.5, Cl.180.9.5, which includes improved descriptions of the reference receiver that are used in other test method sub-clauses. Remove sub-clauses 168.7.5.1, 168.7.5.3 and 168.7.5.4. (168.7.5.2 becomes 168.7.5.1) Replace the text in 168.7.5 with the following:

The TDECQ of each lane shall be within the limits given in Table 168-6 if measured using the methods

specified in 121.8.5.1, 121.8.5.3, 121.8.5.4 and 168.7.5.1, with the following exceptions:

— The signaling rate of the test pattern generator is as given in Table 168-6 and uses the test pattern

specified for TDECQ in Table 168-10.

— The reference receiver, composed of the combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope, has

a 3 dB bandwidth of approximately 26.5625 GHz with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response to at

least 1.3 × 53.125 GHz, and at frequencies above 1.3 × 53.125 GHz, the response should not exceed

–20 dB. Compensation may be made for any deviation from an ideal fourth-order Bessel-Thomson

response.

— The normalized noise power density spectrum N(f) is equivalent to white noise filtered by a fourth order

Bessel-Thomson response filter with a 3 dB bandwidth of 26.5625 GHz.

- The optical return loss is as given in Table 168-6.
- The lowest measured TDECQ values are achieved with the equalizer optimization method described

in 121.8.5. Alternative optimization methods such as minimum mean squared error (MMSE) may be

used to determine equalizer tap weights to reduce test time, and are expected to report equal or

higher values of TDECQ. These alternative methods should not be used for receiver sensitivity and

stressed receiver sensitivity calibration.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license refer to CL140.

Cl 168 SC 168.7.7 P39 L37 # 16

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A unresolved (over/under shoot)

Add text to clarify the reference receiver used to measure TX over/undershoot, refering to the definitions in 168.7.5.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "but without the reference equalizer being applied in either case." with "at the output of the reference receiver defined in 168.7.5, before the reference equalizer."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 168 SC 168.7.8 P40 L17 # 17

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved

Add text to clarify the reference receiver used to measure TX power excursion, refering to the definitions in 168.7.5.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "but without the reference equalizer being applied."

with "at the output of the reference receiver defined in 168.7.5, before the reference equalizer."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 168 SC 168.7.9 P40 L32 # 18

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved

Add text to clarify the reference receiver used to measure extinction ratio, refering to the definitions in 168.7.5.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following to the end of the paragraph:

"The extinction ratio is measured using waveforms captured at the output of the reference receiver defined in 168.7.5, before the reference equalizer."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

D2.0 unresolved

Cl 168 SC 168.7.10 P40 L41 # 19

Johnson, John Broadcom

The reference receiver is previously defined in 168.7.5, so it can be referenced rather than redefining it in this clause.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

Delete the following text:

"as measured through an O/E converter and oscilloscope with a combined 3 dB bandwidth of approximately 26.5625 GHz with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response to at least 1.3 \times 53.125 GHz and at frequencies above 1.3 \times 53.125 GHz the response should not exceed - 20 dB. Compensation may be made for any deviation from an ideal fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response."

Replace with the following text:

TR

"The transmitter transition time is measured using waveforms captured at the output of the reference receiver defined in 168.7.5, before the reference equalizer."

Response Status C

C/ 168 SC 168.7.13

P42 Broadcom L1

20

Johnson, John

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

D2.0 unresolved (SRS)

The stressed receiver sensitivity test method in 168.7.13 needlessly reiterates the test method specified in 121.8.10.

SuggestedRemedy

Follow the specification method of 802.3dj D1.5, Cl.180.9.13, which points to 121.8.10 along with a short list of exceptions. Replace the entirety of 168.7.13 with the following text:

Stressed receiver sensitivity of each lane shall be within the limit given in Table 168-7 if measured using the

method defined in 121.8.10 with the following exceptions:

— The SECQ of the stressed receiver conformance test signal is measured according to 168.7.5, except

that the test fiber is not used. The transition time of the stressed receiver conformance test signal is

no greater than the value specified in Table 168-6.

— With the Gaussian noise generator on and the sinusoidal jitter and sinusoidal interferer turned off, the

RINxOMA of the SRS test source should be no greater than the value specified in Table 168-6.

— The signaling rate of the test pattern generator and the extinction ratio of the E/O converter are as

given in Table 168-6 using test patterns specified in Table 168-10.

— The required values of the "Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), each lane (max)", "Stressed eye

closure for PAM4 (SECQ), lane under test" and "OMAouter of each aggressor lane" are as given in $\,$

Table 168-7.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Keep it consistent with CL140 and remove each lane.

Cl 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L32 # 21

Simms, William NVIDIA

Comment Type E Comment Status A 2.0 unresolved (Ref_receiver)

The Figure 168-6 has an x-axis of TECQ but the test below the figure references SECQ. Line 32, 35, and 38

SuggestedRemedy

Not sure if this is an error

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change SECQ in the text to TECQ, 3 places.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 21

Page 5 of 28 2025/5/27 22:27:03

Cl 168 SC 168.7.4 P36 L41 # 22

Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd

Comment Type TR Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved

recent clauses has been pointing out the source of OMAout data. Recommend to add in CL168 as well.

SuggestedRemedy

add "OMAouter is measured using waveforms captured at the output of the reference receiver defined in 168.7.5, before the reference equalizer.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 168 SC 168.7.5 P37 L20 # 23

Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

D2.0 unresolved

looking back at CL 140.7 and other IMDD clauses in 100Gbps, the description of TDECQ and its measurement setup has been referencing as much as possible the existing content in CL 121.8.5 and writing only the changes and differences. An example in CL140 is: "TDECQ, and for 100GBASE-DR only, TDECQ – 10log10(Ceq) shall be within the limits given in

Table 140–6 if measured using the test setup specified in 121.8.5.1, with an optical channel specified in 140.7.5.2, using the measurement method specified in 121.8.5.3, and using a reference equalizer as described in 140.7.5.1, with the following exceptions:"

also double checking the content of 168.7.5.1, there seems no technical difference than what was defined in CL 140.7.5 or CL 124.8.5, except need of updates to the table references. For the sake of clarity and consistence, also avoiding misleading message of new test setp, it is recommended to update the section with references to existing clauses while only listing out the exceptions.

SuggestedRemedy

delet sections 168.7.5.1, 168.7.5.3,168.7.5.4. make appropriate references to existing clauses, so that the overall standard of 802.3 is coherent. implement with editorial licenses.

some possible languages:

The TDECQ shall be within the limits given in

Table 168–6 if measured using the test setup specified in 121.8.5.1, with an optical channel specified in 168.7.5.2, using the measurement method specified in 121.8.5.3, and using a reference equalizer as described in 168.7.5.1, with the following exceptions:

The signaling rate of the test pattern generator is as given in Table 168–6 and uses a test pattern

specified for TDECQ in Table 168-10.

- The combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope has a 3 dB bandwidth of approximately 26.5625 GHz with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response to at least 1.3×53.125 GHz and at frequencies above 1.3×53.125 GHz the response should not exceed 20 dB. Compensation may be made for any deviation from an ideal fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response.
- The normalized noise power density spectrum, N(f) in Equation (121–9), is equivalent to white noise filtered by a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response filter with a 3 dB bandwidth of 26.5625 GHz."

or

"The TDECQ shall be within the limits given in Table 168–6 if measured using the test setup specified in 121.8.5.1, with an optical channel specified in 168.7.5.2, using the measurement method specified in 140.7.5, and using a reference equalizer as described in 140.7.5.1."

or other format that fits.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using response to comment #15. C/ 168 P39 L31 # 24 SC 168.7.7 Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd

Comment Type ER Comment Status A inresolved (over/under shoot) There seems to be no change from the method defined in CL 140. reference to CL 140 regarding the calculation.

SuggestedRemedy

possible language from CL 151, and update the reference tables should serve the purpose:

"The over/under-shoot of each lane shall be within the limits given in Table 151-7 if measured using a test

pattern specified for over/under-shoot in Table 151-11.

Overshoot and undershoot are measured using the waveform captured for the TDECQ test (see 151.8.5) and the waveform captured for the TECQ test (see 151.8.6), but without the reference equalizer being applied in each case.

Overshoot and undershoot are calculated using the methods in 140.7.7."

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using response to comment #16.

C/ 168 SC 168.7.11 P40 L51 # 25

Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd

Comment Type TR Comment Status R D2.0 unresolved

802.3 dj has extensively discussed the definition of RINxOMA. Consensus were made to update the definition of RINxOMA which better describes the actual behaviour and aligns with what is being used in the field. Related contribution from Ahmad and JJ. https://www.ieee802.org/3/di/public/24 09/chaveb 3di 01 2409.pdf

SuggestedRemedy

align to what is defined in dj.

Response Response Status W

The group made consensus to keep consistent with CL140.

C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L36 # 26

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type ER Comment Status A *unresolved* (over/under shoot)

This draft still uses "over/undershoot", In P802.3dj it was recently agreed to use "transmitter over and undershoot". Also in 168.7.1 and 168.7.7

SuggestedRemedy

168.6.1 change "Transmitter over/under -shoot" to "Transmitter overshoot and undershoot". In 168.7.1, Table 168-10 change "Over/under-shoot" to "Transmitter overshoot and undershoot". Change heading of 168.7.7 from "Over/under-shoot" to "Transmitter overshoot and undershoot". In paragraphs 1 and 2 of 168.7.7 change "over/under-shoot" to "over and undershoot".

Response Response Status C

SC 168.3.2

ACCEPT.

C/ 168

P29 Zimmerman, George ADI.APLap.Cisco.Marvell.OnSemi.Sonv.SenTekse

Comment Type TR Comment Status R D2.0 unresolved

"is" is for statements of fact. The limitation on the skew seems to be a requirement. Further. the requirements in 83.5.3.4 go further and specify skew variation. Is that to be specified?

L2

While 83.5.3.4 was mentioned earlier defining skew, it isn't clear that those requirements apply. Here is where that should be stated.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Skew at SP2 is limited to 43 ns as defined by 83.5.3.4" to "Skew and skew variation at SP2 shall comply with the requirements of 83.5.3.4"

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The signal at SP2 in not under control of PMD, so "shall" is inappropriate.

Keep consistent with Clause 140.

27

Cl 168 SC 168.12.3 P49 L28 # 28

Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony,SenTekse

Comment Type T Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved

Delay constaints is a section of the PICS, not a capability or option. These are requiremetns that need to be spelled out in their own table.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete row "DC" in 168.12.3, add new section 168.12.4.1 Delay and skew specifications and renumber subsequent PICS statements. Go through 168.3 and call out the delay constraint requirments one-by-one to populate (this is where having the "shalls" would have been useful).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L15 # 29

Zimmerman, George ADI,APLqp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony,SenTekse

Comment Type T Comment Status A 2.0 unresolved (Ref_receiver)

"Meets equation constraints" cannot possibly be right for all 3 PHYs. Also, the plot says it is receiver sensitivity but the axis says OMAouter(dBm). This needs further definition in the equations 168-4, 168-5, and 168-6 and the text to unravel. Is this saying that the RS should be sensitive to a signal with an OMA of the level of equations 168-4, 168-5, and 168-6 (depending on the PHY type) (but can be sensitive to a lower level signal)? If so, the label needs to be 3 different labels, each indicating which line they are for, and on the bottom side of the line... The equations need more words to describe the measurement. I'm sorry, but I don't know well enough what you meant to write a good solution.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment. Adjust location of "Meets equation constraints" so that it meets all 3 lines. Consider more explanatory words and converting the equations 168-4, 168-5 and 168-6 to inequalities.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Follow treatment in CL140.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Move "Meets equation constraints" below all three lines.

Cl 168 SC 168.1 P27 L13 # 30

Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony,SenTekse

Comment Type T Comment Status R D2.0 unresolved

Physical implementation of the CGMII is optional, but that is not what Figure 168-1 shows.

SuggestedRemedy

Add footnote 1 to CGMII at line 13. Add text of "NOTE - Physical implementation of CGMII is optional" at line 29 (below PCS).

Response Status C

REJECT.

Keep consistent with Clause 140 and Clause 160. See footnote a in Table 140-1 and Table 160-1.

Cl 168 SC 168.5.9 P32 L21 # 31

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type E Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved

The first sentence of this clause is a comma splice.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the comma with a semicolon, split into two separate sentences for the U and D PMDs, or write it as "The PMD_receive_fault function is mandatory in the 100GBASE-BRx-U PMD and optional in the 100GBASE-BRx-D PMD.".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change it to:

The 100GBASE-BRx-U PMD shall include the PMD_receive_fault function. In the 100GBASE-BRx-D PMD, the PMD_receive_fault function is optional.

Cl 168 SC 168.6 P32 L40 # 32

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A .0 unresolved (interoperation)

The sentence concerning BR40 working with BR20 or BR10 as long as the shorter reach channel requirements are met is helpful, but it seems incomplete. Would is also not be true that the BR20 PMD operates with a BR10 PMD as long as the channel requirements of the BR10 PMD are met?

SuggestedRemedy

Make the sentence more generic: "A longer reach PMD interoperates with a shorter reach PMD as long as the channel requirments of the shorter reach PMD are met."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #58.

C/ 168 SC 168.1 L9 # 33 C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 L46 P27 P33 # 36 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status R D2.0 unresolved Comment Type Т Comment Status R D2.0 unresolved In 157, this figure includes OAM (OPTIONAL) It's probably not worth testing some transmitters for TDECQ and RIN with 15 dB return loss and others with 15.6 dB. The cost in paperwork may outweigh any difference in yield. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Do the same here? Consider changing 15.6 to 15 here and in Table 168-11 (simplifying and being Response Response Status C conservative). Then RINxOMA can become RIN15OMA. REJECT. If it is thought worthwhile, the discrete reflectances for 100GBASE-BR10 in Table 168-14 Keep consistent with existing clauses 140 and 160. and the channel optical return loss in Table 168-12 could be made slightly worse, to spend C/ 168 SC 168.5.1 P30 L39 # 34 that 0.6 dB. Response Response Status C Dawe, Piers Nvidia REJECT. Comment Type Е Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved Small difference exists in other clauses, such as clause 140. This says "TP1 and TP4 ... (these test points are not typically be accessible in an implemented system)" but this is outdated. Clause 167 (100G/lane VR and SR says "might C/ 168 SC 168.6.3 P35 L14 # 37 not be accessible". Linear optical modules are feasible at 100G/lane now, at least for DR. Grammar: "are not typically be" Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Т Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy D2.0 unresolved Change "are not typically be" to "might not be" 6.3 dB doesn't seem right for the wavelengths concerned: see comment against 168.9 Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change 6.3 to 6.0 (or 6.1); change 10.6 to 10.3 (or 10.4) Response Response Status C C/ 168 SC 168.5.4 P31 L25 # 35 REJECT. Dawe. Piers Nvidia Based on group discussion, it should be kept to 6.3dB. Comment Type T Comment Status R D2.0 unresolved C/ 168 SC 168.7.5.1 P38 L5 # 38 While the status variables have "global" in their names so that 1-lane PHYs can be managed the same as multilane PHYs, saying that SIGNAL DETECT is a *global* indicator Dawe. Piers Nvidia of the presence of the optical signal isn't really right. Comment Status A Comment Type Ε D2.0 unresolved SugaestedRemedy This long sentence with two clauses is hard to understand. In a few places such as 150.8.5, 150.8.7, 150.8.10 and 151.8.1 it has been divided into two sentences. Delete "global" here and in PICS F10 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status Z Change "GHz and at frequencies" to "GHz. At frequencies", here and in 168.7.10. REJECT. Response Response Status C This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using response to comment #15.

Keep consistent with clause 140.

Cl 168 SC 168.7.5.3 P38 L53 # 39

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved More exceptions

SuggestedRemedy

The signaling rate of the test pattern generator is as given in Table 168-6 and uses a test pattern specified for TDECQ in Table 168–10.

There are no interfering optical lanes and therefore the delay requirement of at least 31 UI between test pattern on one lane and any other lane, as specified in 121.8.5.1, is redundant. [Stated above — The combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope has a 3 dB bandwidth of approximately 26.5625 GHz with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response to at least 1.3 × 53.125 GHz. At frequencies above 1.3 × 53.125 GHz the response should not exceed –20 dB. Compensation may be made for any deviation from an ideal fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response.]

The normalized noise power density spectrum, N(f) in Equation (121–9), is equivalent to white noise filtered by a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response filter with a 3 dB bandwidth of 26.5625 GHz.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using response to comment #15.

CI 168 SC 168.7.5.4 P39 L19 # 40 Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Status R

A signal that needed a main tap at 0.8 would be unhealthily over-emphasised and troublesome for the receiver. The over/under-shoot spec may catch many such signals. If it catches them all, tightening this limit will make no difference. If it doesn't catch all of them, tightening this limit will be helpful.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

Change 0.8 to 0.85

Response Status C

REJECT.

No consensus to make the change.

Call for more contributions on this topic in the next meeting.

C/ 168 SC 168.7.11 P40 L53 # 41

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status R D2.0 unresolved

In practice, RIN is not measured with the optical power meter method described in 52.9.6 these days, but with the scope method described in P802.3dj 180.9.11 (and T&M vendor's literature). This has the advantage that RIN can be calculated as a by-product of a TECQ measurement.

SuggestedRemedy

As this project is ahead of P802.3dj, replace the contents of 168.7.11 with a copy of 180.9.11, adjusting for the optical return loss(es) and reference Rx bandwidth of this clause. In Table 168-10, change "Square wave" to "4 or 6".

Response Status C

REJECT.

See comment #25.

Cl 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L8 # 42

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A 2.0 unresolved (Ref_receiver)

This figure is a bitmap; grey and unclear

SuggestedRemedy

D2.0 unresolved

Insert the figure the proper way so it appears as a "vector graphic" in the pdf;

Use black font;

Make the axes black.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with editorial license.

Cl 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L9 # 43

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A 2.0 unresolved (Ref receiver)

v axis can be optimised

SuggestedRemedy

Change the limits from (-18 to 0) to (-15 to -3)

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L37 # 44 C/ 168 SC 168.7.13 P42 L39 # 47 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Е Comment Status R 2.0 unresolved (Ref receiver) Comment Type Ε Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved (SRS) 100GBASE-BR10 "SRS" is not explained. It is used only three times. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 100GBASE-BR10 Spell it out each time Response Response Response Status Z Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See comment #20. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. C/ 168 SC 168.7.13 P**42** L42 # 48 Dawe, Piers Nvidia See comment #29. Comment Type Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved (SRS) C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L40 # 45 This says "The reflectance of the optical link should be at its maximum level" but there is no text to tell the reader what to do, and unlike the TDECQ setup, there is no optical reflector in Dawe. Piers Nvidia Fig 168-7. Ε 2.0 unresolved (Ref receiver) Comment Type Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Units should be upright not italic Explain this fully or delete the sentence. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Per comment ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Revise figure 168-7 according to contribution 3dk effenberger 2504 1. ACCEPT. C/ 168 SC 168.7.13 P**42** L44 # 49 # 46 C/ 168 SC 168.7.13 P42 L38 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type T D2.0 unresolved (SRS) Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved (SRS) While it should be obvious... In this section we have: conformance test signal, signal being transmitted, received conformance signal, optical test signal, stressed receiver conformance test signal, test SuggestedRemedy signal, input signal, signal, and stressed receiver conformance input signal. We are Add text saving that the PMD's transmitter and any other circuitry that could cause crosstalk supposed to use the same name for a thing, every time (style guide 10.1.1 Homogeneity). should be operational when stressed sensitivity (and regular sensitivity) is measured. The SuggestedRemedy same goes for transmitter measurements such as TECQ and TDECQ. Try to clean this up, as much as is reasonable. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Response Response Status C Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #20. See comment #20

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 49

Page 11 of 28 2025/5/27 22:27:04

C/ 168 SC 168.7.13.3 P43 L33 # 50 C/ 168 SC 168.9 P45 L36 # 53 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type Е Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved (SRS) Comment Type т Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved (dispersion) Now that we have a definition of TECQ, this can be done directly This gives the dispersion ranges for the upstream direction only SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "is measured according to 168.7.5, except that the test fiber is not used" to "is Add two more rows for the dispersion ranges for the downstream direction. measured according to 168.7.6" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #132. See comment #20. C/ 168 SC 168.10 P46 L26 C/ 168 SC 168.7.13.3 P43 L41 # 51 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved Comment Type Ε Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved (SRS) may not support operation 10 km for 100GBASE-BR10, 20 km for 100GBASE-BR20 or 40 From the style guide: The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within km for 100GBASE-BR40. the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to) SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy may not support operation *at* 10 km for 100GBASE-BR10, 20 km for 100GBASE-BR20 or Change "under-stressed may result" to "under-stressed could result" or "under-stressed 40 km for 100GBASE-BR40. might result" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #20. C/ 168 SC 168.11 P47 L39 # 55 Dawe. Piers Nvidia C/ 168 SC 168.9 P45 L26 # 52 Comment Type E Comment Status A .0 unresolved (interoperation) Dawe. Piers Nvidia "168.11 Requirements for interoperation between 100GBASE-BRx PMDs" other similar Comment Type T Comment Status R D2.0 unresolved material e.g. in 151 doesn't say "Requirements for". Originally, 10 km = 6 dB at 1310 nm, 10GBASE-BR10 can be at 1260 nm, so 6.2 dB. SuggestedRemedy 25GBASE-BR10 and 50GBASE-BR10, also 1260 nm, are allowed 6.3 dB. 100GBASE-BR's shortest wavelength is 1303.6 nm so the same cable won't show so much loss. Calculating Delete "Requirements for" here and in the table title. the channel insertion loss using the link model, it's 6.00 dB at 1310 nm 6.20 at 1260 or 6.02 Response Response Status C dB at 1303.6 nm ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE SuggestedRemedy See comment #58. Change 6.3 to 6 (or 6.1). Change the budget for 100GBASE-BR10 from 10.6 to 10.3 (or

10.4). Response

Response Status C

The group made consensus to keep it as 6.3 dB for BR10.

C/ 168 SC 168.11 P47 L39 # 56 C/ 168 SC 168.6 P32 L40 # 58 Dawe, Piers Dudek, Mike Nvidia Marvell Comment Type Т Comment Status A .0 unresolved (interoperation) Comment Type TR Comment Status A .0 unresolved (interoperation) This needs some text to introduce the table, which should also address interoperability, or The statement is made that the 100GBASE-DR40 PMD will interoperate with the not, with 100GBASE-BR10. Presumably the mixed link has to stay within the chromatic 100GBASE-BR10 and 100GBASE-BR20 provided the channel requirements for 100GBASE-BR10 and 100GBASE-BR20 are met, however section 168.11 includes additional dispersion limits of the shorter-reach PMD. requirements for interoperation between 100GBASE-BR40 and 100GBASE-20 including the SuggestedRemedy addition of minimum losses. Section 168.11 doesn't include minimum losses for inter-Something like: operation between 100GBASE-BR40 and 100GBASE-10 and the minimum Tx output power 168.11 Interoperation between 100GBASE-BRx PMDs for 100GBASE-BR40 in the off state is -15dBm which is greater than the signal detect "fail" The 100GBASE-BR20 and 100GBASE-BR40 PMDs can interoperate with each other (over level of -20dBm. an engineered link) provided that the fiber optic cabling (channel) characteristics for SuggestedRemedy 100GBASE-BR20 in Table 168-12 are met, with the exception of the maximum and minimum channel insertion loss values, which are given in Table 168-15 for the two link add "except that the channel losses are specified in section 168.11". Add an appropriate directions separately. Attenuators may be used to achieve the required losses. table for the inter-operation between 100GBASE-BR40 and 100GBASE-BR10 to section Interoperation between 100GBASE-BR10 and 100GBASE-BR20 or 100GBASE-BR40 is not 168.11 recommended (or whatever the case is). Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the interoperation related contents in Clause 168.6 and Clause 168.11. Implement with editorial license. See comment #58. C/ 168 SC 168.5.1 P30 L38 # 57 C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L # 59 Dudek. Mike Dudek, Mike Marvell Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status A D2.0 unresolved Comment Type T Comment Status A 2.0 unresolved (Ref receiver) poor English. In Figure 168-6 "meets equation constraints" needs to be below all the lines or it needs to be deleted. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete the "be" in "are not typically be accessible" Fix it Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

ACCEPT.

See comment #29.

See comment #34.

Maintenance required for Clause 160.

Cl 168 SC 168.11 P47 L47 # 60

Dudek, Mike Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status A .0 unresolved (interoperation)

There is only one fiber between the BR20 and BR40 PMD's so there can't be different loss specs for the two directions. To be compliant in both directions it appears that the loss between BR20 and BR40 would have to be min 8.3dB and max 10dB which is a very small range but could be specified.

SuggestedRemedy

Collapse the two rows in Table 168-15 into one row. With min loss of 8.3 dB and max loss of 10 dB

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #58.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P16 L10 # 61

Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony,SenTekse

Comment Type E Comment Status A consistency di

Editing instruction reads 'as amended by IEEE Std 802.3dj-20xx' - this standard seems AHEAD of 802.3dj, which hasn't even entered working group ballot. This appears to have been in response to comment 146, but comment 146 didn't call for building off of edits made in 802.3dj, it merely pointed out dj was extending the space. The error appears to go beyond the editing instruction - the line "10101xxx = reserved" which is struck out and amended is ADDED by the d1.5 of dj... Further, the edit isn't even fully consistent with the most recent amendment I know of, 802.3df, since it shows 11xxxxxx as an insert, and that was already inserted by 802.3df, nor with 802.3dj, because that shows 1011xxxx inserted by dj d1p5. FYI, correlation with the completed and in-progress drafts AHEAD of this draft is why comment 112 is unsatisfied.

SuggestedRemedy

Consullt with WG leadership on amendment order. Assuming there are no other drafts ahead of this amendment which change Table 45-7, change editing instruction to indicate "(as amended by IEEE Std 802.3df-2024)"

Change edit to table 45-7, to reflect the state of the table at that amendment. (if it is df, then: remove underscore from: the bit numbers (7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0) and 11 x x x x x x x = reserved rows

Retain $1011 \times \times \times \times = \text{reserved row with underscore}$

Replace 10101x x x = reserved, with "1 0 1 x x x x x = reserved"(in strikeout) and keep remaining inserted rows (101011xx and below) as in draft.

(If there are other drafts after 802.3df that edit this table, adjust editing instruction and edits appropriately)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 168 SC 168.6.3 P44 L18 # 62

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type TR Comment Status R technical

Penalty allocations include 0.9dB more than TDECQ for the 10km spec, but only 0.5dB more for the 20 & 40km specs. Penalty allocations normally include allocations for DGD and MPI penalties. DGD is 3.1/3.9/5.0 ps for 10/20/40km specs. The expectation would be that penalties for 20 & 40 kms would be ≥ those for 10 km.

SuggestedRemedy

Based on the data in (shuai_3cu_adhoc_050119.pdf) the increase in penalty from DGD is < 0.1dB for the BR20 DGD spec. MPI allocation should be comparable hence having 0.9dB penalty for for both BR 10 and BR20 is recommended. For BR40 there is an additional approx 0.1 to 0.15 dB DGD penalty, however this will be offset by the reduced MPI penalty at the higher loss. Using 0.9dB additional penalty for BR10, BR20, and BR40 is recommended, resulting in total allocations for penalties of 4.3 / 4.3 / 4.8 dB for BR10 / BR20 / BR40.

Response Status C

REJECT.

The group made consensus that additional analysis is needed before updating the values. Keep the editor's note.

Cl 168 SC 168.6,1 P42 L28 # 63

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

technical

Currently the OMA (Max) value for 100GBASE-BR20 is 0 dBm. At max TDECQ the OMA (Min) values for this are -0.3 dBm. This leaves 0.3 dB difference between Min and Max for BR20. This is not sufficient difference for manufacturing yield, lifetime, or thermals.

SuggestedRemedy

In order to increase the Δ between min and max values, either minimum needs to be reduced or maximum needs to be increased. Due to overload concerns, there has been resistance to increasing the maximum value. Specifying a minimum insertion loss will enable an increase to the maxumimum Tx power. A recommended solution is to specify a minimum link loss of 1.2 dB in Table 168-12 and a maximum OMA outer of 1.2dBm.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

After CRG group discussion, there's consensus to keep the minimum link loss of 0 dB in Table 168-12 and increase maximum OMA_outer and Average launch power (max) in Table 168-6, Average receive power (max), Receive power (OMAouter) (max), and damage threshold in Table 168-7 by 1.2 dB for 100GBASE-BR20.

Add an editor's note: call for contributions in the next meeting.

Cl 168 SC 168.6,1 P42 L28 # 64

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type TR Comment Status A technical

Currently the OMA (Max) values for 100GBASE-BR40 is 8.3dBm. At max TDECQ the OMA (Min) values for this is 7.8 dBm. This leaves 0.5 dB difference between Min and Max OMA_outer for BR40. This is not sufficient difference for manufacturing yield, lifetime, thermals.

SuggestedRemedy

In order to increase the Δ between min and max values, either minimum needs to be reduced or maximum needs to be increased. Due to overload concerns, there has been resistance to increasing the maximum value. Specifying a 1 dB higher minimum insertion loss will enable an increase to the maxumimum Tx power. A recommended solution is to specify a minimum link loss of 11 dB in Table 168-23 and a maximum OMA_outer of 9.3 dBm.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

After CRG group discussion, there's consensus to keep the minimum link loss of 10 dB in Table 168-12 and increase maximum OMA_outer and Average launch power (max) in Table 168-6, Average receive power (max), Receive power (OMAouter) (max), and damage threshold in Table 168-7 by 1 dB for 100GBASE-BR40.

C/ 00 SC 0 P11 L54 # 65

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type ER Comment Status A contents

Missing table of contents

This was submitted as comment #258 on D2.0. The comment resolution was "ACCEPT", but the table has not been added.

SuggestedRemedy

Create table of contents and insert after the introductory material and before Clause 30.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.8 P17

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type ER Comment Status R cross-ref

Subclause 45.2.1.8.1 should not have been removed as Table 45-12 is in this subclause.

L22

66

SuggestedRemedy

Restore subclause 45.2.1.8.1

Response Status W

REJECT.

Table 45-12 is part of 45.2.1.8, not 45.2.1.8.1.

See D2.0 comment #142.

C/ 157 SC 157.6 P34 L14 # 67

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type ER Comment Status A external

As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. Clause 160 is not in this document.

SuggestedRemedy

Apply a character tag of "External" to "Clause 160".

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

CI 157 SC 157.6 P34 L12 # 68

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A cross-ref

broken link

SuggestedRemedy

fix the Clause 45 link as it is in the document.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 168 SC 168.1 P45 L29 # 69 C/ 80 SC 80.2.5 P21 L52 # 72 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type ER Comment Status A external Comment Type E Comment Status A cross-ref References to external points not properly indicated. In Table 168-1, there are links to the broken link following which are not in the document: 81, 82, 83, 83A, 83B, 83D, 83E, 135, 135D, 135E, SuggestedRemedy 135F, 135G, 120F, 120G, and 78. fix the link to "Clause 168" as it is in the document. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Apply a character tag of "External" to "Clause 160". ACCEPT. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 80 SC 80.2.5 P21 L52 Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. **IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski, Natalie C/ 168 SC 168.1 P45 L36 # 70 Comment Type E Comment Status A editorial Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** There is an extra "and" in the sentence. Comment Type Comment Status A cross-ref SuggestedRemedy broken link Remove the "and" after "Clause 140." SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C fix the link to 91 as it is in the document. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C C/ 80 SC 80.4 P22 L12 # 74 ACCEPT. Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC C/ 80 SC 80.2.5 P21 L51 # 71 Comment Status A Comment Type ER external Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. Comment Status A Comment Type ER external SuggestedRemedy As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. Apply a character tag of "External" to: 140.3 and 88.3.1. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status W Apply a character tag of "External" to: Clause 84, Clause 89, Clause 92, Clause 95, Clause ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 136. Clause 138. Clause 140. Clause 154. and Clause 163. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

CI 80 SC 80.7 P23 L38 # 75 C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P24 L36 # 78 **IVN Solutions LLC IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski, Natalie Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type Е Comment Status A cross-ref Comment Type ER Comment Status A external broken link As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy fix the Clause 45 link as it is in the document. Apply a character tag of "External" to "91.6.1". Also, change the space to a non-breaking space. Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 80 SC 80.7 P23 L38 C/ 91 SC 91.6.3 P25 L19 **IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A external ER external As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Apply a character tag of "External" to "91.5.2.6". Apply a character tag of "External" to: Clause 73, Clause 74, Clause 71, Clause 91, Clause 95. Clause 135. Clause 138, Clause 140, Clause 152, Clause 154, Clause 161, and Clause Response Response Status W 163. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status W Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 91 SC 91.6.3 P25 L25 # 80 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P**24** L35 # 77 Comment Type ER Comment Status A external Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. Comment Type ER Comment Status A external SuggestedRemedy As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. Apply a character tag of "External" to "45.2.1.116". SuggestedRemedy Response Status W Apply a character tag of "External" to "91.6.8". ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status W

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

₹ 802.3dk D2.1 Bidirectional 100Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comme

01.04	00.04744	707	/ 40	# [04	CLO4 CC 04.7.4.0 D00 L07 # D0	
C/ 91 SC 91.7.4.1		P 27	L13	# 81	C/ 91 SC 91.7.4.2 P28 L37 # 85)
Wienckowski, Natalie		IVN Solutions LLC Comment Status A			Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type E Comment Status A	
Comment Type E broken link		Comment Status A		cross-ref	Comment Type E Comment Status A broken link	cross-ref
Suggested	dRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	
fix the 91.5.2.7 link as it is in the document.					fix the 91.5.3.3 link as it is in the document.	
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C			Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
C/ 91	SC 91.7.4.1	P 27	<i>L</i> 18	# 82	Cl 157 SC 157.1.2 P29 L33 # 86	 }
Wienckowski, Natalie		IVN Solutions LLC			Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC	
Comment broke	••	Comment Status A		cross-ref	Comment Type E Comment Status A broken link	cross-ref
Suggested	dRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	
fix the 91.5.2.7 link as it is in the document.					fix the 80.1.3 link as it is in the document.	
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C			Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
C/ 91	SC 91.7.4.2	P 28	L7	# 83	Cl 157 SC 157.1.4 P31 L28 # 87	,
Wienckowski, Natalie		IVN Solutions LLC			Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC	
Comment Type E broken link		Comment Status A		cross-ref	Comment Type ER Comment Status A As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indi	<i>external</i> icated.
SuggestedRemedy					SuggestedRemedy	
fix the	91.5.3.3 link as i	is in the document.			Apply a character tag of "External" to: Table 157-3, Table 157-4, and Table 157-5.	
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C			Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.	
C/ 91	SC 91.7.4.2	P 28	L 22	# 84	implement suggested remody with editorial needless.	
Wienckowski, Natalie		IVN Solutions LLC				
Comment Type E broken link		Comment Status A		cross-ref		
Suggested	dRemedy					

fix the 91.5.3.3 link as it is in the document.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P31 L46 # 88 C/ 157 SC 157.2.2 P32 L8 # 91 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type ER Comment Status A external Comment Type Comment Status A cross-ref As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. broken link SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fix the 168 link as it is in the document, and make it black. Apply a character tag of "External" to: Table 157-3, Table 157-4, and Table 157-5. Response Response Response Status W Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 157 SC 157.2.3 P32 L36 C/ 157 SC 157.2.2 P31 L 54 # 89 Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type ER Comment Status A external Comment Type ER Comment Status A external As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Apply a character tag of "External" to: Table 157-3, Table 157-4, and Table 157-5. Apply a character tag of "External" to: Table 157-3, Table 157-4, and Table 157-5. Response Response Status W Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 157 SC 157.2.3 P32 L36 # 93 C/ 157 SC 157.2.2 P32 L8 # 90 **IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski, Natalie Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Comment Type E Comment Status A cross-ref Comment Status A Comment Type ER external broken link As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy fix the Table 157-6 link as it is in the document. Apply a character tag of "External" to: 120F and 120G. Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 157 SC 157.2.4 P32 L50 # 94 C/ 157 SC 157.2.5 P33 L**5** # 97 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type ER Comment Status A external Comment Type E Comment Status A cross-ref As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. broken link SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Apply a character tag of "External" to: Table 157-3, Table 157-4, and Table 157-5. fix the Table 157-6 link as it is in the document. Response Response Response Status W Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 157 SC 157.3 P33 L21 C/ 157 SC 157.2.4 P32 L51 # 95 Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski. Natalie Comment Type ER Comment Status A external Comment Type Comment Status A cross-ref As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. broken link SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Apply a character tag of "External" to "80.3". fix the Table 157-6 link as it is in the document. Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 157 SC 157.2.5 P33 L5 # 96 C/ 157 SC 157.4.2 P33 L48 # 99 **IVN Solutions LLC IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski, Natalie Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Status A external cross-ref As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. broken link SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Apply a character tag of "External" to: Table 157-3, Table 157-4, and Table 157-5. fix the 80.5 link as it is in the document. Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 157 SC 157.4.2 P33 L48 # 100 C/ 168 SC 168.8.1 P53 L18 # 103 **IVN Solutions LLC IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski, Natalie Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type ER Comment Status A external Comment Type ER Comment Status A external As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Apply a character tag of "External" to "116.5". Apply a character tag of "External" to "J.2". Response Response Response Status W Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 157 SC 157.4.2 P33 L49 # 101 CI 56 SC 56.1.3 P2627 L 0 # 104 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status A external Comment Type Ε Comment Status A new As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. Table 56-1, Summary of EFM Physical Layer signaling systems, includes 25GBASE-BR and 50GBASE-BR SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Apply a character tag of "External" to "Figure 80-8" and "Figure 116-5". Add 6 entries for 100GBASE-BR after 50GBASE-BR40-U. Because this table is too long Response Response Status C and this additional change makes it longer, split the table into two, first P2P then P2MP. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 168 SC 168.5.10 P41 L28 # 102 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC C/ 56 SC 56.1.1.1 P**2622** L0 # 105 Comment Status A Comment Type ER external Dawe. Piers Nvidia As comment #235 on D2.0 stated: References to external points not properly indicated. Comment Type E Comment Status A new SuggestedRemedy The 50GBASE-R PCS, RS-FEC, and PMA sublayers are used to support a bit rate of 50 Remove the hyperlink, which goes no where, and apply a character tag of "External" to Gb/s as defined in Clause 160. "157.5". (They aren't defined there, they are specified - but for consistency...) Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add: Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. The 100GBASE-R PCS, RS-FEC, and PMA sublayers are used to support a bit rate of 100 Gb/s as defined in Clause 168.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status C

CI 56 SC 56.1.3 L**0** # 106 C/ 91 P2624 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status A new Comment Type E After the paragraph for 50GBASE-BR SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a similar one for 100GBASE-BR Response Response Status C and so on ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. ACCEPT. C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 P2630 L 0 # 107 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Е Comment Status A new

Table 56-2, Nomenclature and clause correlation for P2P systems, includes 25GBASE-BR and 50GBASE-BR.

SuggestedRemedy

Add rows and columns for 100GBASE-BR.

The columns for 58 and 59 could be reduced to one each to save space.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 80 SC 80.4 P22 L6 # 108

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Dawe, Piers invidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A quick review

D2.0 comment 159 (accepted with editorial license): Table 80-7, Sublayer delay constraints, is a long table and this amendment makes it longer, so it should make the consequential change.

SuggestedRemedy

Split the table into two, Sublayer delay constraints for 40Gb/s PHYs and Sublayer delay constraints for 100Gb/s PHYs. Then footnotes a and b can be simplified.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Split Table 80-7 into two tables, Table 80-7 for 40Gb/s and Table 80-7a for 100Gb/s.

C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.7 P24 L14 # 109

we, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A editorial

100GBASEVR1 ... 100GBASELR1,100GBASE-CR1

Similarly, 100GBASEVR1, 100GBASELR1 and 100GBASEBR10 (twice) in 91.5.3.3,

100GBASE-VR1 ... 100GBASE-LR1, 100GBASE-CR1

Response Response Status C

 CI 135
 SC 135.5.7
 P29
 L0
 # 110

 Dawe, Piers
 Nvidia

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status
 A
 new

If precoding is allowed as an option:

There are precoder enable registers (1.600 to 1.603). There are precoder request (1.604) and precoder request status (1.605) registers, but we would add precoder ability registers and let the network operator choose when to use precoding (unlike CR/KR where precoder ability is known and its use is negotiated during Training).

Precoding can be implemented or used in one or both directions or (default) neither.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider including precoding (135.5.7) as an option. This could be controlled by the network operator according to experience.

If so: in 135.5.7.2, before "a 50GBASE-R or 100GBASE-R PMD that", insert "a 100GBASE-BRx PMD, or".

To make what is already a long and difficult sentence clearer, lay it out as a bulleted list: connected to 100GBASE-BRx. or

connected to PMD that includes.... or

are part of a C2C

Change

The PMA shall provide 1/(1+D) mod 4 precoding capability on each output lane and may optionally provide 1/(1+D) mod 4 decoding capability on each input lane.

The PMA may optionally provide 1/(1+D) mod 4 decoding capability on each input lane. An PMA shall provide 1/(1+D) mod 4 precoding capability on each output lane, except a PMA that is connected to the service interface of a 100GBASE-BRx PMD which may provide such a capability.

Modify PICS 135.7.7.

Add two precoder ability bits in MDIO, one for Tx and one for Rx.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The group agree to use it as optional to implement and use.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

D2.0 comment #162.

Cl 157 SC 157.6 P34 L12 # 111 Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Status A

Add 100G clauses

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Add 81-83 and 91. Consider if 90 (time sync) should be added, here and in Table 168-1.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Ε

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Add Clause 90 to CL157.6 and Table 168-1.

C/ 168 SC 168.1 P35 L34 # 112

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A quick review

Shouldn't 83, 83A, 83B, 83D and 83D be together? Maybe they can all be above 91 FEC, and the 135 PMA below, but 162 has 91 above all the 83s.

SuggestedRemedy

Swap 83 and 91, or move 91 to below 83E

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Move 91 to below 83E.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

quick review

C/ 168 SC 168.1 L35 # 113 C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P42 L36 # 115 P35 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A quick review Details for optional interleaved FEC. I believe that both directions use it or don't (rather than For improved readability, where the parameter limits seem likely to remain the same for all 3 one way on, one way off). There is a 100G RS-FEC-Int enable bit already (1.200.5) and a (6) PMDs... 100G RS-FEC enable bit (1.200.6). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As for the first five rows, merge and straddle the triple entries for transmitter over/under -In Table 168-1, below 91 FEC and above 135 PMA, insert: shoot, and for receiver reflectance in Table 168-7. 152—Inverse RS-FEC Optional b Response Response Status C 161—RS-FEC-Int Optional ACCEPT. b Inverse RS-FEC is required to convert between RS-FEC and RS-FEC-Int (see 152.1.2). In Table 80-5, between 91 and 135, insert 152 and 161; O for all 100GBASE-BR. Add a 100G RS-FEC-Int ability bit, e.g. in 45.2.1.117 RS-FEC status register (Register C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P**42** L51 # 116 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Add text in 168.1 saying that a network operator can use interleaved FEC for improved robustness, determining if both ends of the link have the ability, and setting both ends of the Comment Type Т Comment Status A quick review link to use it. This says "Even though the representation of the OMAouter requirement is different from Add these registers to tables 168-2 and 3. that in Clause 140, they are consistent". Here, OMAouter (min) is max(1.1. -0.3+max(TECQ, TDECQ)) Response Response Status C max(-2.3, -3.7+max(TECQ, TDECQ)) ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE max(5.3, 3.9+max(TECQ, TDECQ)). Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. 140 has: max(-0.8, -2.2+TDECQ) or max(-0.8, -1.9+TDECQ) C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P42 L 29 # 114 max(-0.1, -1.5+TDECQ) max(1.1, -0.3+max(TDÉCQ). Dawe. Piers Nvidia They are not the same, and would not be the same even if the numbers were the same; one Comment Type Ε Comment Status A editorial includes TECQ and the other does not, but it has an option depending on extinction ratio. Missing equation number, non-functioning cross-references SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Delete the sentence, it is unnecessary. The spec is clear without it. Fix Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC FM C/ FM P1 L28 # 117 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status A Comment Type Ε editorial Woring SuggestedRemedy Working

Response

ACCEPT

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 117

Response Status C

Page 24 of 28 2025/5/27 22:27:04

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P16 L13 # 118 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.33 P18 L24 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A editorial Comment Type E Comment Status A 2register So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place, in the correct style, and without using a bit that's already taken (D2.0 comment 136): SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 2 register Please show the rows below and above, if any. In this case, the row before begins Response Response Status C 1.35.5 50GBASE-BR40-U ability and the top of the table is included anyway. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C SC 91.5.2.7 # 119 Cl 91 P24 L11 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dawe, Piers Nvidia Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. Comment Type E Comment Status A auick review C/ 30 P15 L16 SC 30.5.1.1.2 as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022 Dawe. Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A Comment Type E as modified by IEEE Std 802.3db-2022 and IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022 So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place, in Possibly in several places. the correct style (D2.0 comment 136): Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Please show one row before and one after the new material Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. Response Response Status C # 120 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P16 L29 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dawe, Piers Nvidia Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. Comment Type E Comment Status A format So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place, in the correct style, and without using a code that's already taken (D2.0 comment 136): SuggestedRemedy Please show the sub-rows below and above, if any. In this case, the sub-row before is 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 = 1.6TBASE-DR8-2 PMA/PMD There is no sub-row above. However, the top sub-row, 76543210 is part of 802.3dj so should not be underlined.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status C

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

121

122

format

format

auick review

 CI 30
 SC 30.5.1.1.2
 P15
 L17
 # 123

 Dawe, Piers
 Nvidia

 Comment Type
 E
 Comment Status
 R
 quick review

In 30.5, one should not describe these MAU types as "bidirectional" when about a hundred other bidirectional types in the BASE-BX, BASE-BR, BASE-PR, BASE-PQ and BASE-T families are not described like that. Writing "one single-mode fiber" was believed to tell the reader that it's bidirectional. In any case, Ethernet PHYs are always bidirectional, even when the medium isn't. Here we are talking about MAUs which are like PHYs.

SuggestedRemedy

Even though it's in the project title and the abstract: in 30.5, for consistency with the hundred other MAUs that use a medium bidirectionally, delete "bidirectional" here.

A proposal to maintenance would need to address BASE-T as well as optical.

Response Status C

REJECT.

See D2.0 comment #137.

Response to D2.0 comment #137:

"Keep the current description, remove hyphen from "bi-directional".

Maintenance required for previous BiDi descriptions in CL30.5."

C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P20 L27 # 124

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Similar to D2.0 comment 159 "This is a long table and this amendment makes it longer, so it should make the consequential change."

SuggestedRemedy

Split Table 80-1, 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s PHYs, into two tables.

40 Gb/s PHYs

and

100 Gb/s PHYs

Change the sentence "Physical Layer devices listed in Table 80-1 are defined for operation at 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s." to "Physical Layer devices listed in Table 80-1 are defined for operation at 40 Gb/s. Physical Layer devices listed in Table 80-2 are defined for operation at 100 Gb/s." Move the first (40G) sentence earlier, to follow the paragraph about 40GBASE-T.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Split Table 80-1 into two tables, Table 80-1 for 40 Gb/s PHYs and Table 80-1a for 100 Gb/s PHYs

C/ 80 SC 80.1.4

P**20**

L38

125

126

127

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

E

Comment Status A

guick review

Compare the order of entries in Table 56-1, Table 56-3, Table 45-37 (which is "upside down" as normal for 45) and Table 80-1. The standard order is rate-reach-width, then it seems D then U.

SuggestedRemedy

Re-order this from 10-D 20-D 40-D 10-U 20-U 40-U to 10-D 10-U 20-D 20-U 40-D 40-U.

Nvidia

Response

Dawe. Piers

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Changes to Table 157-2 and other related tables are also required.

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P21 L22

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Status A editorial

L23

Missing Ms in Table 80-5

SuggestedRemedy

Add 6 Ms. 2 in each column of 168

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A

auick review

Compare the order of entries in Table 56-1, Table 56-3, Table 45-37 (which is "upside down" as normal for 45) and Table 80-2. The standard order is rate-reach-width, then it seems D then U.

P21

SuggestedRemedy

Re-order this from 10-D 20-D 40-D 10-U 20-U 40-U to 10-D 10-U 20-D 20-U 40-D 40-U.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Changes to Table 157-3, 157-4, 157-5, and 157-6 are also required.

quick review

C/ 80 SC 80.2.3 P21 L42 # 128 Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

SC 168.7.1

As 100GBASE-LR1 is for 10 km, 100GBASE-ZR is for 80 km, and 100GBASE-BR is for 10, 20. 40 km

SuggestedRemedy

Change "100GBASE-LR1, 100GBASE-ZR, and 100GBASE-BRx PHYs" to "100GBASE-LR1, 100GBASE-BRx, and 100GBASE-ZR PHYs"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P21 L17 # 129

Dawe. Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A quick review

In "Clause 168 for 100GBASE-BRx", BRx is not introduced and it does not appear in Table

SuggestedRemedy

Add a sentence of explanation to 80.1.4

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Change "100GBASE-BRx" to "100GBASE-BR10. 100GBASE-BR20. and 100GBASE-BR40".

C/ 168 L45 # 130 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Т Comment Status R new

P49

After RIN measurement is improved (D2.0 comments 94 and 191), the only use for square wave in the standard will be as an alternative to SSPRQ for measuring transmitter transition time (but it relies on 20% and 80% of OMAouter: OMAouter is measured with PRBS13Q or SSPRQ, not square wave, so it's not practical anyway). But transmitter transition time goes with TECQ, extinction ratio, overshoot and undershoot; they can all be obtained from the same measurement with SSPRQ. There is no need for the standard to mandate a second way. Square waye is a very untypical pattern which should not be recommended if there is a practical alternative.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete square wave from tables 168-9 and 168-10. Someone who wants to use it still can. because it still exists in 120.5.11.2.5, and the registers to advertise it and control it still exist in 45, but we should not encourage it in future.

Response Response Status C

REJECT

See comment #25.

C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P51 L4 # 131

Dawe. Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A editorial

Correction to D2.0 comment 194: change 100GBASE-BR10 to...

SuggestedRemedy

100GBASF-BR40

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

 CI 168
 SC 168.9
 P55
 L7
 # 132

 Dawe, Piers
 Nvidia

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status A
 new (dispersion)

This is to revise D2.0 comment 206.

Table 168-12 gives the maximum dispersion in the downstream direction (D to U) and the minimum in the upstream direction. But transceiver designers need to know the range for D and U separately to design correctly for dispersion.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the two rows with four rows:

Maximum dispersion, D to U 4.6 4.2 2.5

Maximum dispersion, U to D 0.6 -3.7 -13.4

Minimum dispersion, D to U -13.9 -23.8 -42.3

Minimum dispersion, U to D -18 -32 -59

Delete note b

Add a column for the four wavelengths

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Replace the two rows with four rows:
Maximum dispersion, D to U 4.6 4.2 2.5
Maximum dispersion, U to D 0.6 0 0
Minimum dispersion, D to U -13.9 -23.8 -42.3
Minimum dispersion, U to D -18 -32 -59
Delete note b from dispersion rows.
Add a column for the four wavelengths

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID