

: 802.3dk D2.2 Bidirectional 100Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comment

<b>CI</b>	<b>00</b>	<b>SC</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>10</b>
Dawe, Piers									
Nvidia									
<b>Comment Type</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>Comment Status</b>		<b>D</b>		<i>editorial</i>			
pdf metadata is at default									
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>									
Populate with correct data									
<b>Proposed Response</b>		<b>Response Status</b>		<b>W</b>					
PROPOSED ACCEPT.									

<b>CI</b>	<b>FM</b>	<b>SC</b>	<b>FM</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>11</b>
Dawe, Piers									
Nvidia									
<b>Comment Type</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>Comment Status</b>		<b>D</b>		<i>editorial</i>			
D2.1									
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>									
D2.2 (to be D2.3)									
<b>Proposed Response</b>		<b>Response Status</b>		<b>W</b>					
PROPOSED ACCEPT.									

<b>CI</b>	<b>Content</b>	<b>SC</b>	<b>Contents</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>12</b>
Dawe, Piers									
Nvidia									
<b>Comment Type</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>Comment Status</b>		<b>D</b>		<i>editorial</i>			
Layout									
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>									
Tab position?									
<b>Proposed Response</b>		<b>Response Status</b>		<b>W</b>					
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.									
Follow the latest 802.3 template.									

<b>CI</b>	<b>Content</b>	<b>SC</b>	<b>Contents</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>13</b>
Dawe, Piers									
Nvidia									
<b>Comment Type</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>Comment Status</b>		<b>X</b>		<i>editorial</i>			
Layout									
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>									
Tab position?									
<b>Proposed Response</b>		<b>Response Status</b>		<b>W</b>					
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.									
Follow the latest 802.3 template.									

<b>CI</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>SC</b>	<b>30.5.1.1.2</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>14</b>
Dawe, Piers									
Nvidia									
<b>Comment Type</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>Comment Status</b>		<b>D</b>		<i>editorial</i>			
This section									
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>									
Should be single spaced									
<b>Proposed Response</b>		<b>Response Status</b>		<b>W</b>					
PROPOSED ACCEPT.									

<b>CI</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>SC</b>	<b>56.1.3</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>17</b>
Dawe, Piers									
Nvidia									
<b>Comment Type</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>Comment Status</b>		<b>D</b>		<i>editorial</i>			
Why is 161 here among 25G clauses?									
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>									
Move to near 91									
<b>Proposed Response</b>		<b>Response Status</b>		<b>W</b>					
PROPOSED ACCEPT.									

: 802.3dk D2.2 Bidirectional 100Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comment

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P30 L32 # 18  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
50GBASE-R PMA  
SuggestedRemedy  
50GBASE-R and 100GBASE-P PMA  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Change column title of CL135 to 50GBASE-R and 100GBASE-P PMA, and change table entries of CL83 to O, CL135 to M for 100GBASE-BRx.

Cl 80 SC 80.1.3 P31 L17 # 19  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
VR1and  
SuggestedRemedy  
Insert space  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 80 SC 80.1.4 P33 L29 # 20  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
Full stops  
SuggestedRemedy  
Remove  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 80 SC 80.4 P35 L30 # 21  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
Parts of footnotes a and b don't apply to Table 80-7 but do apply to Table 80-7a. Also, footnote c applies to both tables.  
SuggestedRemedy  
For Table 80-7:  
a For 40GBASE-R, 1 bit time (BT) is equal to 25 ps. (See 1.4.215 for the definition of bit time.)  
b For 40GBASE-R, 1 pause\_quantum is equal to 12.8 ns. (See 31B.2 for the definition of pause\_quanta.)  
For Table 80-7a:  
a For 100GBASE-R, 1 bit time (BT) is equal to 10 ps. (See 1.4.215 for the definition of bit time.)  
b For 100GBASE-R, 1 pause\_quantum is equal to 5.12 ns. (See 31B.2 for the definition of pause\_quanta.)  
Add footnote c to Table 80-7a.  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 80 SC 80.5 P38 L3 # 22  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
Sublayer delay constraints  
SuggestedRemedy  
Summary of Skew Variation constraints  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 80 SC 80.5 P38 L7 # 23  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
26.5625GBd  
SuggestedRemedy  
Insert space  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

: 802.3dk D2.2 Bidirectional 100Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comment

CI 80 SC 80.5 P38 L40 # 24  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
Clause 161 through Clause 163, and related annexes  
SuggestedRemedy  
Clause 161 through Clause 163, Clause 168, and related annexes  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 91 SC 91.7.3 P41 L24 # 25  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
Too many "or"  
SuggestedRemedy  
There should be just one per list:  
100GBASE-BR20, or  
100GBASE-BR40 PHY  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

CI 91 SC 91.7.4.1 P42 L15 # 26  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
KR4  
SuggestedRemedy  
Should be KP4 as in 3db, 3ck  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Change KR4 to KP4.

CI 91 SC 91.7.4.2 P43 L7 # 27  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status X editorial  
KR5  
SuggestedRemedy  
Should be KP4 as in 3db, 3ck  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Change KR4 to KP4.

CI 135 SC 135 P44 L1 # 28  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
135. Introduction to 50 Gb/s networksPhysical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer, type  
50GBASE-R and 100GBASE-P  
SuggestedRemedy  
Delete "Introduction to 50 Gb/s networks"  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 135 SC 135.5.7.2 P44 L25 # 29  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
An PMA  
SuggestedRemedy  
A PMA  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

: 802.3dk D2.2 Bidirectional 100Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comment

CI 157 SC 157.4.2 P50 L52 # 33  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
For 100GBASE-VR1 and 100GBASE-SR - not  
SuggestedRemedy  
Since the whole subclause is about 100GBASE-BRx - delete  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Delete the last sentence of the third paragraph in CL157.4.2.  
See comment #32.

CI 157 SC 157.6 P51 L13 # 34  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
Clause 114, Clause 158 through Clause 160, Clause 168  
SuggestedRemedy  
Clause 114, Clause 152, Clause 158 through Clause 161, Clause 168  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 168 SC 168.5.9 P59 L35 # 36  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
the PMD\_receive\_fault function: underscores or not?  
SuggestedRemedy  
If, as appears to be the case, variable names use underscores and function names do not,  
change PMD\_receive\_fault function to PMD receive fault function, twice.  
Also, insert space in thePMD\_receive\_fault  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

CI 168 SC 168.6.3 P62 L25 # 38  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type T Comment Status D editorial  
Editor's note "call for further check of the penalty values" has disappeared, contrary to D2.0  
comment 25  
SuggestedRemedy  
Review the penalty values; add editor's note if more study is needed.  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
Add the editor's note as in D2.1.  
(D2.1 comment #62)

CI 168 SC 168.7.5 P64 L36 # 41  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
signal rate  
SuggestedRemedy  
signaling rate  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 168 SC 168.7.6 P65 L41 # 45  
Dawe, Piers Nvidia  
Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial  
Missing cross-reference  
SuggestedRemedy  
168.7.5  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 168 SC 168.10 P72 L24 # 52

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial

The new sentence about dispersion doesn't relate to the insertion loss row.

SuggestedRemedy

Move anchor b to the first dispersion row.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add anchor b to the first dispersion row.

Cl 168 SC 168.11.4.1 P75 L15 # 53

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial

SP3

SuggestedRemedy

SP4?

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 168 SC 168.11.4.1 P75 L20 # 54

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial

SP3

SuggestedRemedy

SP5? If so, O not M

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change SP3 in SC3 to SP5 and change the status of SC3 to O.

Change value/comment of SC1 and SC2 to Device conforms to skew and skew variation constraints.