C/FM SC FM	P <b>1</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 11	C/ 45 SC 45.2.	1.6 <i>P</i> 19	L <b>23</b>	# 9
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Zimmerman, George	ADI,AF	Lgp,Cisco,Marvell,Or	Semi,Sony,SenTekse
omment Type E	Comment Status D		editorial	Comment Type E	Comment Status	ĸ	register bi
D2.1 SuggestedRemedy D2.2 (to be D2.3)				between 10000101 reserved row 101x or are they allocate	00GBASE-BR are insert and 1000011x). They sho (xxx. It appears codesfor d by df?	ould be immediately be	ow the struck out
roposed Response	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy			
PROPOSED ACCEPT					0101x through 10100100 a d row 101000xx = reserved		
C/ <b>00</b> SC <b>0</b> Dawe, Piers	Р <b>0</b> Nvidia	LO	# 10	D PMA/PMD (edito ahead of this one.	If it is allocated by another ard of these changes to thi	asn't been allocated by standard in progress,	/ another standard suggest you inform the
comment Type E	Comment Status D		editorial	Proposed Response	Response Status	N	0,
pdf metadata is at defa SuggestedRemedy Populate with correct d				Implement suggest Add Editor's note fo	EPT IN PRINCIPLE. ed remedy with editorial lic or register bit reserved by 8 erved by dj for 1.6T DR8-2,	ense. 802.3dj.	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			C/ 45 SC 45.2.	1.117.7a P23	L <b>48</b>	# 16
PROPOSED ACCEPT				Dawe, Piers	Nvidia	0	" 10
30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 Dawe, Piers comment Type E This section	2 P18 Nvidia Comment Status D	L18	# <u>14</u> editorial	bit but it does have SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status I ability bit applies to 100GB, the ability.	-	<i>RS-FEC-In</i> or KR doesn't have this
uggestedRemedy				Need to say so			
Should be single space	ed			Proposed Response	Response Status	N	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W				EPT IN PRINCIPLE. C-Int ability bit applies to 10	00GBASE-BRx." to CL	45.2.1.117.7a with
45 SC 45.2.1.6	P <b>19</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 15	C/ 56 SC 56.1.	3 P30	L <b>28</b>	# 17
awe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
omment Type <b>E</b> Entries should be in de	Comment Status <b>D</b> escending order		register bit	<i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> Why is 161 here ar	Comment Status I nong 25G clauses?	D	editoria
uggestedRemedy The three rows 1 0 0 1 entries. Also, where ar	x x x x, 1 0 0 0 1 x x x, 1 0 0 re 1 0 1 0 0 0 x x ?	0 0 1 1 x should	be below the new	SuggestedRemedy Move to near 91			
roposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT See comment #9.	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status	N	
	ed ER/editorial required GR/g spatched A/accepted R/rejec ubclause, page, line	<i>.</i> .	5			C/ 56 SC 56.1.3	Page 1 of 9 2025/6/20 16:09

MA d 100GBAS e Ri CCEPT IN P title of CL13 to O, CL135 to O, CL135	esponse Status <b>W</b> RINCIPLE. 35 to 50GBASE-R and 10		editorial	footno Suggestea	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> of footnotes a and te c applies to bo	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> d b don't apply to Table oth tables.		editorial Table 80-7a. Also,
MA d 100GBAS e Ri CCEPT IN P title of CL13 to O, CL135 to O, CL135	E-P PMA <i>esponse Status</i> <b>W</b> RINCIPLE. 35 to 50GBASE-R and 10		editorial	Parts o footno Suggesteo	of footnotes a and ote c applies to bo	d b don't apply to Table		
e Ro CCEPT IN P title of CL13 to O, CL135	esponse Status <b>W</b> RINCIPLE. 35 to 50GBASE-R and 10			footno Suggestea	te c applies to bo	,	80-7 but do apply to	Table 80-7a. Also,
e R CCEPT IN P e title of CL13 to O, CL135	esponse Status <b>W</b> RINCIPLE. 35 to 50GBASE-R and 10				lRemedy			
e R CCEPT IN P e title of CL13 to O, CL135	esponse Status <b>W</b> RINCIPLE. 35 to 50GBASE-R and 10			Г Т				
	to M for 100GBASE-BR		A, and change table	a For 4 time.) b For 4 pause	40GBASE-R, 1 pa _quanta.)	it time (BT) is equal to 2 ause_quantum is equal		
).1.3	P <b>31</b>	L17	# 19		able 80-7a: 100GBASE-R. 1 I	bit time (BT) is equal to	10 ps. (See 1.4.215	for the definition of bit
	Nvidia			time.)			• •	
E C			editorial	pause	_quanta.)		I to 5.12 ns. (See 31	B.2 for the definition of
				PROP	POSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
e Ri CCEPT.	esponse Status W			C/ 80	SC 80.5	P <b>38</b>	L <b>3</b>	# 22
).1.4	P <b>33</b> Nvidia	L <b>29</b>	# 20	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		editorial
E C	Comment Status D		editorial	00		ation constraints		
					•	•	I	
9 RO CCEPT.	esponse Status W			C/ 80	SC 80.5	P38	L <b>7</b>	# 23
				Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
						Comment Status D		editorial
				00				
					•	•	1	
	e R CCEPT. .1.4 E C	E Comment Status D Response Status W CCEPT. 0.1.4 P33 Nvidia E Comment Status D	E Comment Status D Response Status W CCEPT. 0.1.4 P33 L29 Nvidia E Comment Status D Response Status W	E Comment Status D editorial   P Response Status W   CCEPT.   0.1.4 P33 L29 # 20   Nvidia   E Comment Status D editorial	E Comment Status D editorial proposed PROP CCEPT. 0.1.4 P33 L29 # 20 Comment Status D editorial Suggested Summ Proposed E Comment Status D editorial Suggested Summ Proposed PROP C/ 80 Dawe, Pie Comment Status D editorial Suggested Summ Proposed PROP C/ 80 Dawe, Pie Comment Status C Editorial Suggested Summ Proposed PROP C/ 80 Dawe, Pie Comment Status C Editorial Suggested Insert Proposed	E       Comment Status       D       editorial       b For 100GBASE-R, 1 pause_quanta.) Add footnote c to Table         P       Response Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT       Implement suggested r         0.1.4       P33       L29       # 20       C/ 80       SC 80.5         Dawe, Piers       Dawe, Piers       Comment Type       E         Nvidia       editorial       Subjayer delay constrait         SuggestedRemedy       Summary of Skew Variat         Proposed Response       PROPOSED ACCEPT.         C       80       SC 80.5         Dawe, Piers       Subjayer delay constrait         SuggestedRemedy       Summary of Skew Variat         Proposed Response       PROPOSED ACCEPT.         C       80       SC 80.5         Dawe, Piers       D         CEPT.       C/ 80       SC 80.5         Dawe, Piers       D	E       Comment Status       D       editorial       b b for 100GBASE-R, 1 pause_quantum is equal pause_quanta.)         Add footnote c to Table 80-7a.       Proposed Response Status       W         CCEPT.       M.14       P33       L29       # 20         Nvidia       Vidia       E       Comment Status       D       editorial         Add footnote c to Table 80-7a.       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Implement suggested remedy with editorial lice       Cl 80       SC 80.5       P38         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia       Bublayer delay constraints       D       Subjayer delay constraints       SuggestedRemedy         Subayer delay constraints       SuggestedRemedy       Summary of Skew Variation constraints       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Cl 80       SC 80.5       P38         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Cl 80       SC 80.5       P38       Dawe, Piers       Nvidia         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       26.5625GBd       SuggestedRemedy       Insert space         Proposed Response       Response Statu	E       Comment Status       D       editorial       b For 100GBASE-R, 1 pause_quantum is equal to 5.12 ns. (See 31 pause_quantum). Add footnote c to Table 80-7a.         Proposed Response Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.         0.1.4       P33       L29       # 20         Nvidia       E       Comment Status       D       editorial         E       Comment Status       D       editorial         CEPT.       Response Status       W       E         Comment Status       D       editorial       Comment Type       E         Comment Status       D       editorial       SuggestedRemedy       Summary of Skew Variation constraints         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Ci 80       SC 80.5       P38       L7         Dawe, Piers       Nvidia       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       26.5625GBd         SuggestedRemedy       Insert space       Proposed Response       Response Status       W

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

<sup>35</sup> 

C/ 80 SC 80.5	P38	L <b>40</b>	# 24	C/ 91 SC 91.7.4.2	P <b>43</b>	L7	# 27
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D	es.	editorial	Comment Type E C KR5	comment Status X		editoria
SuggestedRemedy Clause 161 through C	lause 163, Clause 168, and re	lated annexes		SuggestedRemedy Should be KP4 as in 3db, 3d	ck		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PI Change KR4 to KP4.	esponse Status W RINCIPLE.		
C/ 91 SC 91.7.3	P <b>41</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 25	C/ 135 SC 135	P <b>44</b>	L1	# 28
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Too many "or" SuggestedRemedy There should be just of 100GBASE-BR20, or 100GBASE-BR40 PH Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Implement suggested	Y Response Status W		editorial	135. Introduction to 50 Gb/s 50GBASE-R and 100GBASI SuggestedRemedy Delete "Introduction to 50 Gl Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT.	E-P b/s networks" esponse Status W		
Cl 91 SC 91.7.4.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E KR4 SuggestedRemedy Should be KP4 as in 3 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Change KR4 to KP4.	Response Status W	L15	# 26 editorial	An PMA SuggestedRemedy A PMA	P <b>44</b> Nvidia comment Status D	L <b>25</b>	#   <u>29</u> editoria

C/ 135 SC 135.5.7.2

	P <b>44</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 4	C/ 157	SC 157.4.2	P <b>50</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 33
Maguire, Valerie	Copperopolis	(aff'l w/ CME Cor	nsulting and Cisco)	Dawe, Pier	s	Nvidia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment 7	Туре Е	Comment Status D		editorial
	sing to me. It seems there mu	st be a way to ma	ake it clearer. The	For 100	0GBASE-VR1 a	nd 100GBASE-SR - not		
	vith "A PMA" (not "An PMA").			Suggestedl	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Since t	he whole subcla	ause is about 100GBASE-BI	Rx - delete	
	all provide 1/(1+D) mod 4 pre onnected to the service interfa			Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
may provide such a ca				PROPO	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
with, "A PMA, except o	ne connected to the service in	terface of a 100	GBASE-BRx PMD and	Delete	the last sentend	ce of the third paragraph in 0	CL157.4.2.	
each output lane."	a capability, shall provide 1/(1	(1+D) mod 4 preco	boing capability on	C/ 157	SC 157.4.2	P <b>50</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 32
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
PROPOSED ACCEPT				Comment 7	Туре Е	Comment Status D		
Implement suggested r (comment #110 from D	emedy with editorial license.			This se	ems to repeat t	he material in 168.3.2.		
	,			Suggestedl	Remedy			
C/ 135 SC 135.7.3	P <b>45</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 30			andle it like the delay specs'		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia					ubclause with: The Skew and		constraints for
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			100GB	ASE-BRx PHY	sublayers are specified in 80		constraints for
	Comment Status D			100GB Proposed F	ASE-BRx PHY Response	sublayers are specified in 80 <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>		constraints for
Comment Type E Need to declare the ne SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status <b>D</b> w major option			100GB Proposed F PROPC Replac	ASE-BRx PHY Response OSED ACCEPT æ CL 157.4.2 w	sublayers are specified in 80 Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ith: The Skew and Skew Vai	0.5.	
Comment Type <b>E</b> Need to declare the ne	Comment Status <b>D</b> w major option			100GB Proposed F PROPC Replac	ASE-BRx PHY Response OSED ACCEPT	sublayers are specified in 80 Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ith: The Skew and Skew Vai	0.5.	
Comment Type E Need to declare the ne SuggestedRemedy Add the major option fo Proposed Response	Comment Status D w major option or 100GBASE-BRx Response Status W			100GB Proposed F PROPC Replac	ASE-BRx PHY Response OSED ACCEPT æ CL 157.4.2 w	sublayers are specified in 80 Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ith: The Skew and Skew Vai	0.5.	
Comment Type E Need to declare the ne SuggestedRemedy Add the major option fo Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D w major option or 100GBASE-BRx Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			100GB Proposed F PROPO Replac PHY su	ASE-BRx PHY Response DSED ACCEPT te CL 157.4.2 w ublayers are spo SC <b>157.6</b>	sublayers are specified in 80 Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ith: The Skew and Skew Var ecified in 80.5.	0.5. riation constraints	for 100GBASE-BRx
Comment Type E Need to declare the ne SuggestedRemedy Add the major option fo Proposed Response	Comment Status D w major option or 100GBASE-BRx Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			100GB. Proposed F PROPO Replac PHY su C/ <b>157</b>	ASE-BRx PHY Response DSED ACCEPT the CL 157.4.2 w ublayers are spentition SC <b>157.6</b> TS	sublayers are specified in 80 Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ith: The Skew and Skew Var ecified in 80.5. P <b>51</b>	0.5. riation constraints	for 100GBASE-BRx
Comment Type E Need to declare the ne SuggestedRemedy Add the major option fo Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D w major option or 100GBASE-BRx Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.	L <b>42</b>	# 31	100GB. Proposed F PROPO Replac PHY su CI 157 Dawe, Pier Comment 1	ASE-BRx PHY Response DSED ACCEPT e CL 157.4.2 w ublayers are spe SC <b>157.6</b> rs <i>Type</i> <b>E</b>	sublayers are specified in 80 <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> <sup>7</sup> IN PRINCIPLE. ith: The Skew and Skew Var ecified in 80.5. <i>P</i> <b>51</b> Nvidia	0.5. riation constraints <i>L</i> 13	for 100GBASE-BRx # [ <u>34</u>
Comment Type E Need to declare the ne SuggestedRemedy Add the major option fo Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Group discussion to ac	Comment Status D w major option or 100GBASE-BRx Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. Id the major option.	L <b>42</b>	# <u>31</u>	100GB. Proposed F PROPO Replac PHY su CI 157 Dawe, Pier Comment 1	ASE-BRx PHY Response DSED ACCEPT e CL 157.4.2 w ublayers are spe SC <b>157.6</b> rs Type <b>E</b> 114, Clause 15	sublayers are specified in 80 Response Status W TIN PRINCIPLE. ith: The Skew and Skew Val ecified in 80.5. P51 Nvidia Comment Status D	0.5. riation constraints <i>L</i> 13	for 100GBASE-BRx # [ <u>34</u>
Comment Type E Need to declare the ne SuggestedRemedy Add the major option fo Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Group discussion to ac Cl 157 SC 157.4.2	Comment Status D w major option or 100GBASE-BRx Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. Id the major option. P50	L <b>42</b>	# <u>31</u>	100GB. Proposed F PROPO Replac PHY su Cl 157 Dawe, Pier Comment 1 Clause Suggested	ASE-BRx PHY Response OSED ACCEPT e CL 157.4.2 w ublayers are spe SC <b>157.6</b> 's Type <b>E</b> 114, Clause 15 Remedy	sublayers are specified in 80 Response Status W TIN PRINCIPLE. ith: The Skew and Skew Val ecified in 80.5. P51 Nvidia Comment Status D	0.5. riation constraints <i>L</i> <b>13</b> ise 168	for 100GBASE-BRx # 3 <u>4</u> editorial
Comment Type E Need to declare the ne SuggestedRemedy Add the major option fo Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Group discussion to ac Cl 157 SC 157.4.2 Dawe, Piers	Comment Status D w major option or 100GBASE-BRx Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. Id the major option. P50 Nvidia Comment Status D	L <b>42</b>	# <u>31</u>	100GB. Proposed F PROPO Replac PHY su Cl 157 Dawe, Pier Comment 1 Clause Suggested	ASE-BRx PHY Response DSED ACCEPT e CL 157.4.2 w ublayers are spectrum SC 157.6 rs Type E 114, Clause 15 Remedy 114, Clause 15	sublayers are specified in 80 Response Status W TIN PRINCIPLE. ith: The Skew and Skew Var ecified in 80.5. <b>P51</b> Nvidia Comment Status <b>D</b> 58 through Clause 160, Clau	0.5. riation constraints <i>L</i> <b>13</b> ise 168	for 100GBASE-BRx # 3 <u>4</u> editorial
Comment Type E Need to declare the ne SuggestedRemedy Add the major option for Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Group discussion to ac Cl 157 SC 157.4.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	Comment Status D w major option or 100GBASE-BRx Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. Id the major option. P50 Nvidia Comment Status D	L42	# <u>31</u>	100GB. Proposed F PROPO Replac PHY su CI 157 Dawe, Pier Comment T Clause Suggested Clause Proposed F	ASE-BRx PHY Response DSED ACCEPT e CL 157.4.2 w ublayers are spe SC <b>157.6</b> rs Type <b>E</b> 114, Clause 15 Remedy 114, Clause 15	sublayers are specified in 80 Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ith: The Skew and Skew Var ecified in 80.5. <b>P51</b> Nvidia Comment Status D 58 through Clause 160, Clau Response Status W	0.5. riation constraints <i>L</i> <b>13</b> ise 168	for 100GBASE-BRx # 3 <u>4</u> editorial
Comment Type E Need to declare the ne SuggestedRemedy Add the major option fo Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Group discussion to ac Cl 157 SC 157.4.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Skew constraints - this SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D w major option or 100GBASE-BRx Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. Id the major option. P50 Nvidia Comment Status D			100GB. Proposed F PROPO Replac PHY su CI 157 Dawe, Pier Comment T Clause Suggested Clause Proposed F	ASE-BRx PHY Response DSED ACCEPT e CL 157.4.2 w ublayers are spe SC <b>157.6</b> rs Type <b>E</b> 114, Clause 15 Remedy 114, Clause 15 Response	sublayers are specified in 80 Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ith: The Skew and Skew Var ecified in 80.5. <b>P51</b> Nvidia Comment Status D 58 through Clause 160, Clau Response Status W	0.5. riation constraints <i>L</i> <b>13</b> ise 168	for 100GBASE-BRx # <u>34</u> editoria

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 157 SC 157.6

C/ 161	SC 161.6.10a	P <b>52</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 35	C/ 168	SC 168.6.1	P <b>60</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 5		
Dawe, Pier	s	Nvidia			Jackson, ł	Kenneth	Sumitomo E	lectric			
Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status D			Comment Type TR Comment Status X						
	RS_FEC_Int_abilit but do have the ab	y applies to 100GBASE-BI bility.	Rx, but not CR o	r KR, which don't have		cation to Table 1 on new MPI calo	68-6 100GBASE-BR10 Tx la culations.	aunch powers (av	g, OMA, excursion)		
Suggestedl	Remedy				Suggested	lRemedy					
senten		G_RS_FEC_Int_ability vari other PHY types, the ability			comm	ent.	unch powers (avg, OMA, ex	cursion). See pres	sentation regarding this		
Proposed F		Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response Status W				
	DSED ACCEPT.				For an	oup discussion.					
Insert s	entence with edito	rial license: The 100G_RS	_FEC_Int_ability	variable applies to							
100GB	ASE-BRx.				C/ 168	SC 168.6.1	P61	L <b>20</b>	# 6		
2/ 168	SC 168.5.9 P59 L35 # 36				Jackson, ł		Sumitomo E	lectric			
Dawe, Pier	iers Nvidia					Type TR	Comment Status X	<b>-</b>			
Comment 1	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		editorial	Modify Eq 168-1 100GBASE-BR10 to reflect lower Tx launch powers based on new MPI calculations						
the PM	D_receive_fault fu	nction: underscores or not	?		Suggested						
SuggestedRemedy						0.2dB lower transmit launch power. See presentation regarding this comment.					
change	PMD_receive_fau	ase, variable names use ur ult function to PMD receive			Proposed		Response Status W				
	isert space in theP				For gr	oup discussion.					
Proposed F	•	Response Status W			C/ 168	SC 168.6.2	P <b>61</b>	L33	# 0		
	DSED ACCEPT IN ent suggested rem	nedy with editorial license.							# 0		
			1.04	" [07	Jackson, ł		Sumitomo E Comment Status X	lectric			
C/ 168	SC 168.6.1	P <b>60</b>	L <b>21</b>	# 37	Comment Modify			re (assuming thos	e proposed 0.2dB lower		
Dawe, Pier		Nvidia			Modify Table 168-7 to refelect lower transmit powers (assuming those proposed 0.2dB lower values are adopted)						
Comment 7	51	Comment Status D	aditar'a nata adl	ing for contributions on	Suggested	IRemedy					
		ent 63, there should be an BASE-BR2 and whether it s			Avg R	x power = 4.6dB	m Receiver power (OMA(ou	ıter) (max) = 4.8dl	Зm		
Suggestedl				·	0	x Power (min) =		t mothodology)			
00		for 100GBASE-BR2 and w	hether it should	use a minimum loss	Damage threshold =5.6dBm (to maintain consistent methodology) See presentation regarding this comment						
		more study is needed.			Proposed	0	Response Status W				
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W			-1						
Add ed betwee	DSED ACCEPT IN itor's note: BR20 s n min and max val 2.1 comment #63.	pec adjustment was done	to increase OM/	outer tolerance	For gr	oup discussion.					
				T/technical E/editorial G/g SE STATUS: O/open W/wri		U/unsatisfied Z	C/ 1 /withdrawn SC 1	68 68.6.2	Page 5 of 9 2025/6/20 16:09		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 168.6.2 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

wave in the star n time. But for ed with PRBS1: itter transition ti be obtained fror d to mandate a ecommended if <i>Remedy</i> square wave fro e it still exists in ut we should no <i>esponse</i>	r that, one needs to find 2 I3Q or SSPRQ, not squar time goes with TECQ, ext m the same measurement a second way. Square way if there is a practical altern om tables 168-9 and 168- n 120.5.11.2.5, and the re to tencourage it in future. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> recent projects, such as 8 <i>P</i> 64 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b>	ernative to SSPRQ 0% and 80% of OM e wave, so it's not p inction ratio, oversh t with SSPRQ. The ve is a very untypican ative. 10. Someone who w gisters to advertise	for measuring transmitter lAouter; OMAouter is practical anyway. boot and undershoot; they are is no need for the al pattern which should wants to use it still can, it and control it still exist
ifinition of RIN r wave in the star n time. But for ed with PRBS13 itter transition ti be obtained fror d to mandate a ecommended if <i>Remedy</i> square wave fro e it still exists in ut we should no <i>esponse</i> up discussion, r <i>SC</i> 168.7.5	measurement is improved indard would be as an alter that, one needs to find 2 13Q or SSPRQ, not squar time goes with TECQ, ext m the same measurement is second way. Square way if there is a practical altern om tables 168-9 and 168- n 120.5.11.2.5, and the re- tot encourage it in future. <i>Response Status</i> W recent projects, such as 8 <i>P</i> 64 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D	ernative to SSPRQ 0% and 80% of OM e wave, so it's not p inction ratio, oversh t with SSPRQ. The ve is a very untypican ative. 10. Someone who w gisters to advertise	for measuring transmitter Nouter; OMAouter is practical anyway. noot and undershoot; they are is no need for the al pattern which should wants to use it still can, it and control it still exist dj, all include square
wave in the star n time. But for ed with PRBS1: itter transition ti be obtained from d to mandate a ecommended if Remedy square wave from e it still exists in ut we should no esponse up discussion, r SC 168.7.5	andard would be as an alter that, one needs to find 2 I3Q or SSPRQ, not squar time goes with TECQ, ext m the same measuremen a second way. Square way if there is a practical altern om tables 168-9 and 168- n 120.5.11.2.5, and the re of encourage it in future. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> recent projects, such as 8 <i>P</i> 64 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b>	ernative to SSPRQ 0% and 80% of OM e wave, so it's not p inction ratio, oversh t with SSPRQ. The ve is a very untypican ative. 10. Someone who w gisters to advertise	for measuring transmitter Nouter; OMAouter is practical anyway. noot and undershoot; they are is no need for the al pattern which should wants to use it still can, it and control it still exist dj, all include square
ecommended if Remedy square wave fro e it still exists in ut we should no esponse up discussion, r SC 168.7.5	if there is a practical altern om tables 168-9 and 168- n 120.5.11.2.5, and the re ot encourage it in future. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> recent projects, such as 8 <i>P</i> 64 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b>	10. Someone who v gisters to advertise 302.3 db and 802.3 <i>L</i> <b>34</b>	wants to use it still can, it and control it still exist dj, all include square # 40
square wave fro e it still exists in ut we should no esponse up discussion, r SC 168.7.5	n 120.5.11.2.5, and the re ot encourage it in future. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> recent projects, such as 8 <i>P</i> 64 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b>	gisters to advertise 302.3 db and 802.3 <i>L</i> <b>34</b>	it and control it still exist dj, all include square # 40
e it still exists in ut we should no <i>esponse</i> up discussion, r SC <b>168.7.5</b>	n 120.5.11.2.5, and the re ot encourage it in future. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> recent projects, such as 8 <i>P</i> 64 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b>	gisters to advertise 302.3 db and 802.3 <i>L</i> <b>34</b>	it and control it still exist dj, all include square # 40
esponse up discussion, r SC <b>168.7.5</b>	Response Status W recent projects, such as 8 P64 Nvidia Comment Status D	L <b>34</b>	# 40
SC 168.7.5	P <b>64</b> Nvidia Comment Status D	L <b>34</b>	# 40
3	Nvidia Comment Status D		
3	Nvidia Comment Status D		
	ps spacing for 100 Gb/s a	s in 140.7.5.1.	1 1
Remedy			
121.8.5.4 to 14	40.7.5.4.		
121.8.5.4 to 14		ce equalizer).	
SC 168.7.5	P <b>64</b>	L <b>36</b>	# 41
6	Nvidia		
ype E	Comment Status D		editorial
ate			
-			
esponse	Response Status W		
rs T ra	e 121.8.5.4 to 1 comment #15) SC 168.7.5 rs Type E rate Remedy ng rate Response	scomment #15)         SC 168.7.5       P64         rs       Nvidia         Type       E       Comment Status       D         rate       Remedy       ng rate         Response       Response Status       W         OSED ACCEPT.       Vidia       Vidia	e 121.8.5.4 to 140.7.5.1 (TDECQ reference equalizer). comment #15)          SC 168.7.5       P64       L36         rs       Nvidia         Type       E       Comment Status       D         rate       Image: Comment Status       D       Image: Comment Status       D         Remedy       Image: Comment Status       D       Image: Comment Status       D         Response       Response Status       W       Image: Comment Status       N

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 168.7.5 2025/6/20 16:09:35 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 168	SC 168.7.5	P <b>64</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 42	C/ 168 SC 168.7	.6 P65	L <b>41</b>	# 46
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
150.8. Suggestec Chang	7, 150.8.10 and 1 <i>IRemedy</i> je "GHz, and at fr	equencies above 1.3 x 53.125	5 GHz, the respo		troublesome for the signals, it doesn't c	d a main tap at 0.8 would be un receiver. While the over/under atch them all. 802.3dj has a lin le to do this for TECQ while we n some more.	-shoot spec may on the short of 0.9. We short	atch many such uld apply the same
•		< 53.125 GHz, its response" (2	z changes)		SuggestedRemedy			
,	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				for TECQ: after "except that the ap coefficient, is constrained to		sed", add "and the
CI 168	SC 168.7.5	P <b>64</b>	L <b>45</b>	# 43	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Dawe, Pie		Nvidia			For group discussion	n, don't find such example in p	revious projects.	
	51	Comment Status X lide 8 shows that a very asym to receive.	metric signal ca	n pass all the specs	C/ 168 SC 168.7 Dawe, Piers	.6 P65 Nvidia	L <b>41</b>	# 45
0.07.		imum tap weight for the tap im would be -ve) <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	nmediately after	the largest tap: max	Comment Type E Missing cross-refer SuggestedRemedy 168.7.5	Comment Status D		editoria
	oup discussion. fines reference ec	qualizer tap coefficients)			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W		
C/ 168	SC 168.7.5.1	P <b>65</b>	L18	# 44	C/ 168 SC 168.7	.11 <i>P</i> 67	L11	# 47
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
be 0."	Actually, the min	/ be as short as 2 m, and the r imum for the test cannot be 0 litorial changes for use of "ma	, and the maxim	um cannot be 0 for	what is defined in 8	ler unsatisfied D2.0 comment 2 02.3dj. This is industry practice		definition to align to
Suggested		<b>U</b>			SuggestedRemedy			
Chang	je to "A link may l	be as short as 2 m, therefore t nsmitter wavelengths."	he maximum di	spersion for 100GBASE-	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
	<i>Response</i> oup discussion.	Response Status W				n, the group made consensus ution (comment #25).	to keep consistent	with CL140 during

C/ 168 SC 168.7.11

168 SC 168.7.	<b>13</b> P	68	L <b>50</b>	# 48	C/ 168	SC 168.10	P <b>72</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 51
awe, Piers	Nvid	lia			Dawe, Piers	s	Nvidia		
omment Type E	Comment Statu	s D			Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status X		
"SRS" is not used in	Table 168-10, or 121.	8.10. It sh	ould be defined	or removed.			ne cabling, not the budget.		
IggestedRemedy							at 1310 nm (and maybe 15 52 and 59 follow this metho		s adequate for all O-
As it appears only tw the next page	vice, remove: change \$	SRS to stre	essed receiver s	ensitivity here and on	Suggested	Remedy			
roposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status PT IN PRINCIPLE. ed remedy with editoria				range 1 budgets	303.6 nm to 13 s, where it is ap	annel insertion loss rows, ins 10.1 nm", to Table 168-8, 10 plicable. There is no need t wavelengths are so close to	00GBASE-BRx ill o adjust any num	ustrative link power
/ 168 SC 168.7.	<b>13</b> P	68	L <b>51</b>	# 49	Proposed R	Response	Response Status W		
awe, Piers	Nvio				For gro	up discussion.			
omment Type T	Comment Statu				C/ 168	SC 168.10	P <b>72</b>	L <b>24</b>	# 52
	Add text saying that the Ik should be operation:				Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
				its such as TECQ and	Dawe, Field				
					Comment T		Comment Status D		
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s	says "with all other land	es in opera	tion but this is i	nterpreted as other	Comment 7	51	Comment Status D	to the insertion lo	ss row
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Ef	says "with all other land thernet link, and these	es in opera PMDs are	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in	The new	w sentence abo	comment Status D but dispersion doesn't relate	to the insertion lo	ss row.
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Et a multilane device" (	says "with all other land	es in opera PMDs are	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in	The new Suggested	w sentence abo R <i>emedy</i>	ut dispersion doesn't relate	to the insertion lo	ss row.
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Et a multilane device" (	says "with all other land thernet link, and these	es in opera PMDs are	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in	The new Suggested# Move a	w sentence abo Re <i>medy</i> Inchor b to the fi	ut dispersion doesn't relate	to the insertion lo	ss row.
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Ef a multilane device" ( uggestedRemedy Add suitable text	says "with all other lan thernet link, and these (as opposed to multilar	es in opera PMDs are le PHY or i	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in	The new Suggested Move a Proposed R	w sentence abo Remedy Inchor b to the fi Response	ut dispersion doesn't relate irst dispersion row. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	to the insertion lo	SS FOW.
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Ef a multilane device" ( ggestedRemedy Add suitable text	says "with all other land thernet link, and these	es in opera PMDs are le PHY or i	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in	The new Suggested Move a Proposed R PROPC	w sentence abo Re <i>medy</i> Inchor b to the fi Response DSED ACCEPT	irst dispersion doesn't relate <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE.	to the insertion lo	ss row.
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Ef a multilane device" ( ggestedRemedy Add suitable text oposed Response For group discussion	says "with all other land thernet link, and these (as opposed to multilar <i>Response Status</i> n.	es in opera PMDs are le PHY or i	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in	The new Suggestedf Move a Proposed R PROPC Add an	w sentence abo Remedy Inchor b to the fi Response DSED ACCEPT chor b to the firs	irst dispersion doesn't relate <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. st dispersion row.		
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Et a multilane device" ( ggestedRemedy Add suitable text posed Response For group discussion (D2.1 comment #49)	says "with all other land thernet link, and these (as opposed to multilar <i>Response Status</i> n.	es in opera PMDs are le PHY or i	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1 nultilane PMD"	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in	The new Suggested Move a Proposed R PROPO Add and C/ <b>168</b>	w sentence abo Remedy Inchor b to the fi Response DSED ACCEPT chor b to the firs SC <b>168.11.4</b> .	irst dispersion doesn't relate <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. st dispersion row. 1 P75	to the insertion lo	ss row. # <u>53</u>
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Et a multilane device" ( ggestedRemedy Add suitable text posed Response For group discussion (D2.1 comment #49)	says "with all other land thernet link, and these (as opposed to multilar <i>Response Status</i> n.	es in opera PMDs are le PHY or i	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in	The new Suggested Move a Proposed R PROPC Add an C/ 168 Dawe, Pier	w sentence abo Remedy Inchor b to the fi Response DSED ACCEPT chor b to the firs SC <b>168.11.4</b> . s	irst dispersion doesn't relate <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. st dispersion row. <b>.1 P75</b> Nvidia		
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Ef a multilane device" ( ggestedRemedy Add suitable text posed Response For group discussion (D2.1 comment #49) 168 SC 168.7.	says "with all other land thernet link, and these (as opposed to multilar <i>Response Status</i> n.	es in opera PMDs are le PHY or i S W	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1 nultilane PMD"	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in	The new Suggestedf Move a Proposed R PROPO Add an Cl <b>168</b> Dawe, Piers Comment T	w sentence abo Remedy Inchor b to the fi Response DSED ACCEPT chor b to the firs SC <b>168.11.4</b> . s	irst dispersion doesn't relate <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. st dispersion row. 1 P75		
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Ef a multilane device" ( ggestedRemedy Add suitable text oposed Response For group discussion (D2.1 comment #49) 168 SC 168.7. awe, Piers	says "with all other land thernet link, and these (as opposed to multilar <i>Response Status</i> n. ) <b>13</b> <i>P</i>	es in opera PMDs are le PHY or r s W 68 dia	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1 nultilane PMD"	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in	The new Suggested Move a Proposed R PROPO Add and Cl 168 Dawe, Piers Comment T SP3	w sentence abo Remedy Inchor b to the fi Response DSED ACCEPT chor b to the firs SC <b>168.11.4</b> . s Type <b>E</b>	irst dispersion doesn't relate <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. st dispersion row. <b>.1 P75</b> Nvidia		
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Ef a multilane device" ( ggestedRemedy Add suitable text posed Response For group discussion (D2.1 comment #49) 168 SC 168.7.1 we, Piers mment Type T No need for the indir measured according	says "with all other land thernet link, and these (as opposed to multilar <i>Response Status</i> n. ) <b>13</b> <i>P</i> Nvic <i>Comment Statu</i> rection in "The SECQ of g to 168.7.5, except tha	es in opera PMDs are le PHY or i s W 68 dia s X of the stres it the test fi	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1 multilane PMD" <i>L</i> <b>52</b> sed receiver co ber is not used.	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in # <u>50</u> nformance test signal is	The new Suggestedf Move a Proposed R PROPO Add an Cl <b>168</b> Dawe, Piers Comment T	w sentence abo Remedy Inchor b to the fi Response DSED ACCEPT chor b to the firs SC <b>168.11.4</b> . s Type <b>E</b>	irst dispersion doesn't relate <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. st dispersion row. <b>.1 P75</b> Nvidia		
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Ef a multilane device" ( ggestedRemedy Add suitable text posed Response For group discussion (D2.1 comment #49) 168 SC 168.7. we, Piers mment Type T No need for the indir measured according	says "with all other land thernet link, and these (as opposed to multilar <i>Response Status</i> n. ) <b>13</b> <i>P</i> Nvio <i>Comment Statu</i> rection in "The SECQ of	es in opera PMDs are le PHY or i s W 68 dia s X of the stres it the test fi	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1 multilane PMD" <i>L</i> <b>52</b> sed receiver co ber is not used.	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in # <u>50</u> nformance test signal is	The new Suggestedf Move a Proposed R PROPC Add and C/ 168 Dawe, Pier Comment T SP3 Suggestedf	w sentence abo Remedy Inchor b to the fi Response DSED ACCEPT chor b to the firs SC <b>168.11.4</b> . s Type <b>E</b> Remedy	irst dispersion doesn't relate <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. st dispersion row. <b>.1 P75</b> Nvidia		
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Ef a multilane device" ( ggestedRemedy Add suitable text oposed Response For group discussion (D2.1 comment #49) <b>168</b> SC <b>168.7</b> . awe, Piers omment Type <b>T</b> No need for the indir measured according TECQ are the same	says "with all other land thernet link, and these (as opposed to multilar <i>Response Status</i> n. ) <b>13</b> <i>P</i> Nvic <i>Comment Statu</i> rection in "The SECQ of g to 168.7.5, except tha	es in opera PMDs are le PHY or i s W 68 dia s X of the stres it the test fi	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1 multilane PMD" <i>L</i> <b>52</b> sed receiver co ber is not used.	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in # <u>50</u> nformance test signal is	The new Suggestedf Move a Proposed R PROPO Add and C/ 168 Dawe, Piers Comment T SP3 Suggestedf SP4? Proposed R	w sentence abo Remedy Inchor b to the fi Response DSED ACCEPT chor b to the firs SC <b>168.11.4</b> . s Type <b>E</b> Remedy	irst dispersion doesn't relate <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. st dispersion row. <b>1 P75</b> Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> <i>Response Status</i> W		
TDECQ. 121.8.5.1 s lanes in the same Ef a multilane device" ( uggestedRemedy Add suitable text roposed Response For group discussion (D2.1 comment #49) 168 SC 168.7.1 awe, Piers comment Type T No need for the indir measured according TECQ are the same uggestedRemedy	says "with all other land thernet link, and these (as opposed to multilar <i>Response Status</i> n. ) <b>13</b> <i>P</i> Nvio <i>Comment Statu</i> rection in "The SECQ of to 168.7.5, except that (although I don't reme to 168.7.5, except that	es in opera PMDs are the PHY or the S W 68 dia s X of the stres the the stres the the stres the the stres the the stres firm	tion but this is i serial. 167.8.1 multilane PMD" <i>L</i> <b>52</b> sed receiver co ber is not used. this is stated).	nterpreted as other says "For a receiver in # <u>50</u> nformance test signal is " because SECQ and	The new Suggestedf Move a Proposed R PROPO Add and C/ 168 Dawe, Piers Comment T SP3 Suggestedf SP4? Proposed R	w sentence abo Remedy Inchor b to the fi Response OSED ACCEPT chor b to the firs SC 168.11.4. S Sype E Remedy Response	irst dispersion doesn't relate <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. st dispersion row. <b>1 P75</b> Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> <i>Response Status</i> W		

(keep consistent with CL140 according to D2.1 comment #20)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 168 SC 168.11.4.1 Page 8 of 9 2025/6/20 16:09:35

C/ 168 S	SC 168.11.4.1	P <b>75</b>	L <b>20</b>	# 54	C/ 169	SC	169.8.4	P <b>69</b>	L <b>49</b>	# 2
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Maguire, V	/alerie		Copperopoli	s (aff'l w/ CME C	onsulting and Cisco)
Comment Typ SP3	e E	Comment Status D			Comment Consid		E plifying guid	Comment Status X dance.		
SuggestedRer	medy				Suggested	Remec	dy			
SP5? If so	o, O not M							nded that manufacturers in		
Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status W						ptical link, the distance and of this clause	operating enviro	onmental conditions over
		N PRINCIPLE.			are me	et." '				
Change S	P3 in SC3 to S	SP5 and change the status of SC1 and SC2 to Device (	of SC3 to O.	wand skow variation				I that manufacturers indica e associated with the com		
constraints					Proposed I					
C/ 169 S	SC 169.8.3	P <b>69</b>	L37	# 1	FTOPOSEUT	Respon	130	Response Status W		
Maguire, Vale			•••	onsulting and Cisco)			cussion.			
Comment Typ		Comment Status X			Refere	ence cla	auses, such	n as CL140 and ongoing pr	oject 802.3dj, all	use the same wording.
	simplifying gui				C/ Conten	nt SC	Contents	P <b>13</b>	L12	# 12
SuggestedRer					Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
		nded that proper installation	practices, as de	fined by applicable local	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		editorial
codes and	d regulation, be	e followed in every instance	in which such pr	actices are applicable."	Layout	t				
with, "Prop should be		practices, as defined by ap	plicable local co	des and regulation,	Suggested	Remec	dy			
Proposed Res		Response Status W			Tab po	osition?	,			
110000001100	pondo				Proposed I	Respor	nse	Response Status W		
0 1	discussion. clauses, such	n as CL140 and ongoing pro	ject 802.3dj, all	use the same wording.			ACCEPT II est 802.3 te	N PRINCIPLE. emplate.		
C/ 169 S	SC 169.8.4	P <b>69</b>	L <b>49</b>	# 3	C/ Conter	nt SC	Contents	P <b>14</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 13
Maguire, Vale	rie	Copperopolis	(aff'l w/ CME Co	onsulting and Cisco)	Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status X		editorial
Consider s	simplifying gui	dance.			Layout	t				
SuggestedRer	medy				Suggested	Remec	dy			
		nded that manufacturers ind			Tab po	osition?	•			
maintenar		onmental conditions to facili	tate selection, in	stallation, and	Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status W		
with, "It is	recommended	d that manufacturers indicate nance in the literature associ					ACCEPT II est 802.3 te	N PRINCIPLE. emplate.		
Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status W								
	discussion. e clauses, such	n as CL140 and ongoing pro	ject 802.3dj, all	use the same wording.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI Content SC Contents