

# Proposal for comparison process

## Next steps

IEEE 802.3dm

May 15, 2025

Gumersindo Veloso, BMW Group  
Kirsten Matheus, BMW Group

# Motivation

---

- Participants in IEEE 802.3 dm are currently working on competing technical proposals.
- During the May 1st interim, we proposed the creation of a comparison document to support those having to make a choice in the IEEE 802.3 WG but not involved in the IEEE 802.3dm TF.

[https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/050125/veloso\\_matheus\\_dm\\_01\\_comparison\\_20250501.pdf](https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/050125/veloso_matheus_dm_01_comparison_20250501.pdf)

- The intention with the comparison document is
  - to clarify where there are agreements and disagreements
  - to make the disagreements understandable.
- This presentation details the proposal with tasks, timeline and outlook on the anticipated final document.

# Timeline

---

- May interim 12.-16.5. → creation of a comparison adhoc/gathering interest/informal conversations
  - 6 weeks in between (meetings at least once per week)
    - Selection of criteria, clustering of criteria in groups, potential units for rating, description
    - General, qualitative differences between the approaches
    - Criteria fulfillment and perspective on criteria
    - Bringing the results in a form to present
- Proposal to be made by Kirsten and Gumersindo, can be shared on the reflector following the interim
- Discussion of criteria in a certain order, based on proposals
- Draft versions published for 802.3 WG latest June 30, target date also for comparison document
  - July plenary 28.-31.7.

# Main challenges

---

- Find the right level of complexity
- Be able to agree to disagree
- Finish a meaningful document in time
- To not get lost in politics

# Basic idea for summary

	Prop. 1, opinion of proponents of prop. 1	Prop. 1, opinion of proponents of prop. 2	Prop. 2, opinion of proponents of prop. 2	Prop. 2, opinion of proponents of prop. 1	Position on criticality of criteria by proponents of prop. 1	Position on criticality of criteria by proponents of prop. 2
Criteria 1						
Criteria 2						
...						

- The goal is to show where there are agreements and disagreements.
- It is NOT the goal to agree on everything.

# Proposed structure of comparison document

---

- Contributor list
- Motivation (1 Slide)
- Very brief overview on main items where the proposals differ (1 Slide, e.g. reference to drafts)
- *Principle differences between TDD/FDD (ACT) (3 Slides, tbd)*
- Overview on comparison criteria (1 Slide)
- Fulfillment per criteria (2 Slide per criteria, including references)
- Summary (2 Slides)

# Proposal for high-level criteria cluster

---

## 1. PHY and transceiver complexity

- a) „Camera side“
- b) „ECU side“

## 3. Relative system costs

- a) Power over circuitry
- b) Crystal
- c) Bi-directional use of ports

## 2. Performance

- a) EMC (emissions/immunity)
- b) Latency
- c) Cable reach (min/max)

## 4. Other

- a) Migration path for industry
- b) Reuse and synergies
- c) Inter-standard competition
- d) Eco-system
- e) Path towards higher data rates
- f) Compatibility with TSN

# Next steps

---

- To be discussed in this interim meeting.
  - Adhoc or informal conversations.
  - Start in the week of May 19 (CW21).
  - E.g. Wednesdays 15:00 CET – 17:00 CET (6:00 PST, 22:00 JMT)
  - Please let us know if you would like to join/contribute
  - Results to be presented in respective interim around June 30
- Next steps
  - Send out proposal on criteria list and collect feedback
  - Collect feedback on general description (proposals basics and technical principles)
  - Collect proposals for criteria fulfillment (contributions needed)
  - Bring results into the comparison document



---

# Thank You!