IEEE 802.3dm

# Echo in Asymmetric Frequency-Multiplexed Systems

#### Hossein Sedarat

ETHERNOVIΔ®

July 2024



George Zimmerman



#### Outline

- IEEE 802.3dm is to specify an efficient PHY to support asymmetric throughput
- Echo canceller is one of the receiver blocks that can add to overall complexity of the PHY
- TDD systems eliminate the need for echo canceller by allocating different time slots for transmit and receive
- This presentation shows that given typical limits of RL, echo cancellation is not required in FDD-based systems



#### Asymmetric Camera Link

- High volume of video data flows down-stream (**DS**) from the PHY in the camera to the PHY in the aggregator
- Low volume of control information is transmitted up-stream (US) from the aggregator to the camera





## Frequency Domain Duplexing

- Both DS and US nodes transmit at the same time with symbol rates proportional to data rate
- Example:
  - DS: PMA/PCS similar to 802.3ch with PAM4 modulation and symbol rate of 5.625 GHz
  - US: PCS similar to 802.3ch with PAM2 modulation at 112.5 MHz
  - US and DS transmit power: 0 dBm
- Note that the DS PSD is ~17 dB weaker than US PSD within US band



#### **Channel Limit Lines**

Assuming limit lines per IEEE 802.3ch:

- No more than ~3 dB insertion loss at the band-edge in US direction vs ~30 in DS direction
- More than 20 dB attenuation of echo throughout the entire band of US versus 12 dB at the band-edge in DS direction



## **Upstream Receiver**

- The power spectral density (PSD) of echo is ~35 dB below the PSD of the desired signal from remote transmitter
  - ~17 dB due to transmit PSD
  - ~20 dB more loss in echo channel vs thru channel at lower frequencies
- Received signal power at MDI:
  - From remote transmitter: -3 dBm
  - From local transmitter (echo): -37 dBm (Note that the depicted PSD of echo represents its envelop and the worst-case echo power is even lower)



#### **PMA** Architecture



AFE = Analog Front-End EC = Echo Canceller MDI = Media-Dependent Interface PCS = Physical Coding Sublayer FFE = Feed-Forward Equalizer MII = Media-Independent Interface PAM = Pulse Amplitude Modulation FBE = Feed-Back Equalizer



#### **USR: SNR at Decision Point**

- Target bit-error rate =  $10^{-12}$
- PAM2 modulation
- Design choice: allocate the entire FEC coding gain (typically around 6 dB) to cover for non-Gaussian noise sources

#### $\rightarrow$ Required SNR at decision point = 17 dB





#### **USR: Tolerated Input Noise**

Assuming adequate equalization and with Salz SNR analysis:

- → Tolerated input noise floor = -98 dBm/Hz
- $\rightarrow$  Required input SNR = 17.5 dB
- Echo is 16 dB weaker than the tolerated noise level
- The impact of uncancelled echo on overall noise budget is insignificant (~0.1 dB)



#### **Downstream Receiver**

- Signal-to-echo ratio (MDI) > 7 dB\*
- Target bit-error rate =  $10^{-12}$
- PAM4 modulation
- FEC dedicated to non-Gaussian noise sources
  - $\rightarrow$  Required SNR at decision point = 24 dB
  - → Tolerated input noise floor = 138 dBm/Hz
  - → Required input SNR = 31 dB

\* Typical transmit/receive filtering, which significantly reduces the echo at higher frequencies, are not considered here

#### **PSD: DS Received Signal at MDI** Signal from remote transm cho from local transmitte -95 -100 -105 **DS Nyquist Frequency** -110 dBm/Hz -120 -125 -130 -135 Folerated Noise Floor 1000 1500 2000 2500



#### DSR: Poly-phase Echo Canceller



Note that time-domain constraint, similar to what is defined in 802.3cy, can further simplify the complexity of the echo canceller



#### Summary

- FDD upstream receiver is very efficient
  - There is no need for echo cancellation
  - Equalization is trivial
  - Noise requirements, AFE dynamic range, and A/D sampling rate are very low
- FDD downstream receiver is not complex
  - The complexity of equalization and AFE match the symbol rate
  - Echo cancellation through poly-phase implementation is simple



ETHERNOVIA®

Thank You