

P2MP STF Meeting Agenda

Vancouver, BC
January, 2004

P2MP Comment break down

Clause	E	T	TR	Total per Clause
31A	2	0	0	2
64	85+1	39	26	151
65	26	10	2	38
Total	114	49	28	191

All Comments Resolved in P2MP

5 TR Comments Need Commenter Sign-off

P2MP Medium Ticket Items

- Clean-up and follow conventions for state diagrams
- Remove default values for variables
- Add detailed descriptions of service primitives parameters in a format consistent with Annex 31B
- Correct and clarify formula notation
- Clarify description of MPCP behavior
- Improve document structure

Big-Ticket Items

Comment #420: Concern that IPG enforcement is implemented in MAC Control.

- Discussion: IPG enforcement in MAC results in MAC imposing variable delay on packets.

Comment #557: Concern that MPCP is a MAC function.

- Discussion: MPCP sources and sinks frames (like PAUSE). Is it a MAC function?

The group decided that nothing is to be done in Clauses 64 and 65. In an effort to satisfy these Comments, **a general purpose, not P2MP-specific** “Simplified Full-Duplex MAC” was discussed in a joint P2MP/OAM Session, per Ben Brown presentation.

Motion: Comment Resolution P2MP

Accept the comment resolutions from D3.0 for Clauses 64, 65 and 31A as recorded in the comment database, as the basis for creating D3.1.

Motion: Gerry Pesavento on behalf of P2MP STF

	P2MP	802.3ah	802.3
Yes:	<u>10</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>21</u>
No:	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Abs:	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>

P2MP/OAM STF Motion

Motion:

As a basis for resolution of Comments 420, 557, and 795, create a general purpose thin full-duplex MAC Clause or Normative Annex, which is based upon the Clause 4 MAC operating in full-duplex.

Motion: Jonathan Thatcher

Second: Wael Diab

Y:21 N:1 A:3

Approved in P2MP/OAM joint session, 2003.01.13