



# PoEPlus – My Thoughts

Chad Jones

# Class 4

## Reserved for future use

- **'Hook' left in af for PoEplus**
- **In practice, the existing classes are bad for power management**
  - 0-4W, 4-7W, 7-15W – 'granularity' not fine enough**
- **A 15-30W Class 4 is even worse**

# Objective 7 from Jan 05 meeting

**PoEplus PDs, when connected to a legacy 802.3af PSE, will provide the user an indication a PoEplus PSE is required.**

- **PD boots into <13W mode**
- **PD must know if PoEplus PSE or legacy PSE is probing**
- **PD stays in LP mode if legacy PSE**

# PoEplus needs a new class method

## Solves these previous issues

- **New method needs to include more class levels at finer power partitions**
- **New method can be distinguished by PoEplus PD**  
PoEplus PD chip flashes LED???
- **New method backwards compatible with 802.3af**
- **New method adds a marginal and not significant cost vs. old method**

**Just a tweak!**

# Common Mode Comm Class Method

**Suggest not to use common mode communications for new class method**

- **Unbalanced signaling**
- **May lead to EMI issues**
- **High common mode environment installations may be unable to use this method**

# 2 Pair vs. 4 Pair

## Allow both – not mutually exclusive

- **Applications for both**
  - Type II cables (2 pair)
  - Mega-power applications (4 pair)
- **Requires a method to distinguish between 2 pair and 4 pair**
- **Why 2 Pair? Cost arguments for 2 pair:**
  - Only slightly more cost but more power than existing 15.4W solution. 4 pair estimated at 1.5x current cost

## 2 Pair

### Raise power to max allowed

- **Lowest cost adder for Higher Power (but not highest power)**
- **Call this class 4?**
- **Possible to push this out and then add 4 pair at later date?**

## 4 Pair

**Need to verify all 4 pairs terminate at one device**

- **Only one PSE port to feed the PoEplus PD**
- **Only one PD fed by the PoEplus PSE**
- **AND only add marginal cost!**



# Time is of the essence

**This spec cannot drag out 4 years like the previous standard**

- **There are already PoEplus type applications**
- **There are already High Power PoE products**
- **More will appear if this process takes too long**

**CISCO SYSTEMS**

