Comment ID 77 **Topic**
Name Adam Healey
Email adam.healey@unh.edu
Phone +1 603 862 3568
Fax +1 603 862 1915
Co. UNH InterOperability Lab

CI 39 **SC** 39.2.1 **P** 39.1 **L** 48

Comment Type T **Comment Status** A

The relationship between tx_bit and output voltage should be defined to guarantee interoperability.

SuggestedRemedy

Add statement to 39.2.1, "The higher output voltage shall correspond to tx_bit=3DONE." Add corresponding item to PICS (39.7.4.1).

Proposed Response **Response Status** W

Accept with modification: "The higher output voltage of T+ - T-= (differential
voltage) shall...."

Comment ID 78 **Topic**
Name Adam Healey
Email adam.healey@unh.edu
Phone +1 603 862 3568
Fax +1 603 862 1915
Co. UNH InterOperability Lab

CI 39 **SC** 39.2.2 **P** 39.2 **L** 03

Comment Type T **Comment Status** A

The relationship between input voltage and rx_bit should be defined to guarantee interoperability.

SuggestedRemedy

Add statement to 39.2.2, "The higher input voltage shall correspond to rx_bit=3DONE." Add corresponding item to PICS (39.7.4.1).

Proposed Response **Response Status** W

Accept with modification: "The higher output voltage of R+ - R-= (differential
voltage) shall...."

Comment ID 79 **Topic**
Name Adam Healey
Email adam.healey@unh.edu
Phone +1 603 862 3568
Fax +1 603 862 1915
Co. UNH InterOperability Lab

CI 39 **SC** 39.2.3.1 **P** 39.2 **L** 21

Comment Type T **Comment Status** A

Even though signal detect is optional, its behavior should be more tightly specified for cases when it is implemented. The PICS only mandate that SIGNAL_DETECT=3DFAIL when the link is unplugged or the remote transmitter is turned off. However, the PICS do no prevent an implementation from setting SIGNAL_DETECT=3DFAIL when the signal is at the limits of the receive sensitivity. This is because the commentary on margins contains no "shalls". If "shalls" were added, they would be meaningless unless attached to quantitative values.

SuggestedRemedy

Specify signal detect assertion and deassertion thresholds in the form of a "shall" statement with quantitative values. Propose that the "shall assert" level be the minimum receiver sensitivity (400mv), and the "shall deassert" level be 200mV . These parameters should be added to tables 39-1 and 39-3.

Proposed Response **Response Status** W

Reject: It is the intent of the committee to allow a broad range of implementations. Specific
assert levels could unduly restrict specific implementations.

Type: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial
Comment: X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected
Response: O/open W/written S/sent to commentor for review C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Comment ID	82	**Topic**
Name	Adam Healey	
Email	adam.healey@unh.edu	
Phone	+1 603 862 3568	
Fax	+1 603 862 1915	
Co.	UNH InterOperability Lab	

CI 39   **SC** 39.3.1   **P** 39.3   **L** 38

Comment Type T   **Comment Status** A

Clock tolerance specification seems to be missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Add entry to table, "clock tolerance, +/- 100 ppm".

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Accept

Comment ID	131	**Topic**
Name	Jonathan Thatcher (for PMD working group)	
Email	jonathan_thatcher@vnet.ibm.com	
Phone	507-253-2867	
Fax	507-253-1438	
Co.	IBM AS/400 Division	

CI 39   **SC** 39.3.3; Tabl   **P** 39.7   **L** 22 to 40

Comment Type T   **Comment Status** A

The jitter numbers in Table 39.4 are not mathematically correct.

SuggestedRemedy

The following were calculated by Colin Whitby-Strevens according to the following algorithm:

1) FC UI * 941 -> FC ps

2) FC ps -> GE ps

3) GE ps / 800 -> GE UI

Corrected jitter table:

	Total Jitter		Deterministic Jitter		Random Jitter	
	ps	UI	ps	UI	ps	UI
	------	-----	--------	-----------	-------	------
TP1	188	0.24	94	0.12	94	0.12
1 to 2	82	0.1	19	0.02	63	0.08
TP2	226	0.28	113	0.14	113	0.14
2 to 3	380	0.48	207	0.26	173	0.22
TP3	527	0.66	320	0.40	207	0.26
3 to 4	38	0.05	38	0.05	0	0.0
TP4	565	0.71	358	0.45	207	0.26

Type: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial
Comment: X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected
Response: O/open W/written S/sent to commentor for review C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Reject: The numbers are still incorrect. The following numbers will be used.

	Total Jitter		Deterministic Jitter		Random Jitter	
	ps	UI	ps	UI	ps	UI
TP1	192	0.24	96	0.12	96	0.12
1 to 2	72	0.09	16	0.02	56	0.07
TP2	223	0.28	112	0.14	111	0.14
2 to 3	384	0.48	208	0.26	176	0.22
TP3	528	0.66	320	0.40	208	0.26
3 to 4	40	0.05	40	0.05	0	0.0
TP4	568	0.71	360	0.45	208	0.26

Comment ID 186   **Topic**

Name Jonathan Thatcher

Email jonathan_thatcher@vnet.ibm.com

Phone +507 253 2867

Fax +507 253 2867

Co. IBM AS/400

CI 39   **SC** 39.3.4   **P** 39.7 to 3   **L** 44

Comment Type T   **Comment Status** A

Subclause 39.3.4 contains redundant information.

SuggestedRemedy

1. Remove table 39-5 and associated text
2. Move all remaining text to 39.6.

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Accept

Comment ID 184   **Topic**

Name Lisa Huff

Email

Phone

Fax

Co. AMP, Inc.

CI 39   **SC** 39.4   **P** 39.8   **L** 31

Comment Type T   **Comment Status** A

There is no test description for how to test the NEXT parameter in Table 39-6.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following test setup to the new test procedure clause.

Near End Cross Talk (NEXT) is tested using a differential TDR or equivalent filtered to the risetime (near end cross talk at 85 ps T sub r max) limit in= table 39-
6. The T+ and T- inputs of the pair are excited while the R+ and R- are measured within the same connector pair. The far end of the T+/T- pair is=

terminated per figure 39-2. The R+ and R- signals at the pair being tested= are
terminated with a load (including test equipment) equivalent to that shown= in
Figure 39-2. The far end of the R+ and R- pair being monitored are unterminated.

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Accept with editorial modifications

Type: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial
Comment: X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected
Response: O/open W/written S/sent to commentor for review C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 3 of 13

Comment ID 32 **Topic**
Name Robert Campbell
Email rrcampbell@lucent.com
Phone (908) 957-2669
Fax (908) 957-5604
Co. Lucent Technologies

CI 39 **SC** 39.4 **P** 39.8 **L** 16

Comment Type T **Comment Status** A

Requirement needed to ensure cable shield connected to
connector (plug) shell.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a sub-clause that says something like "The cable shield
shall be connected the shell of the connectors (plugs) at
each end of the jumper cable". An item must also be added
to the PICS proforma.

Proposed Response **Response Status** W

Reject: This requirement is already covered by the 11801 reference in 39.6.

Comment ID 87 **Topic**
Name Adam Healey
Email adam.healey@unh.edu
Phone +1 603 862 3568
Fax +1 603 862 1915
Co. UNH InterOperability Lab

CI 39 **SC** 39.4.1 **P** 39.9 **L** 11

Comment Type T **Comment Status** A

Regarding the optional equalizer network, the statement that "It
shall be used to correct for frequency selective attenuation..."
is not testable.

SuggestedRemedy

Change statement to, "The output of the cable assembly, with
optional equalizer network, when driven with by a transmitter
meeting the requirements of 39.3.1, shall meet the eye diagram
requirements of figure 39-5." Also need to change the PICS
item LI-6 in 39.7.4.3.

Proposed Response **Response Status** W

Partial Accept: The sentence under question is redundant with other portions=
of
clause 39.
Remove "It shall be used... signal components."

Comment ID 185 **Topic**
Name PMD CX work group
Email jonathan_thatcher@vnet.ibm.com
Phone +507 253 2867
Fax +507 253 2867
Co. IBM AS/400

CI 39 **SC** New 39.3.1 **P** 39.4 **L** <tilde>19

Comment Type T **Comment Status** A

Clause 39 eye diagram does not exclude jitter and rise/fall specifications=
per Ft.
Lauderdale mtg.

SuggestedRemedy

" the transmit mask is not used for response time and jitter specification."

Proposed Response **Response Status** W

Accept

Type: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial
Comment: X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected
Response: O/open W/written S/sent to commentor for review C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Comment ID 133   **Topic**
Name Jonathan Thatcher (for PMD working group)
Email jonathan_thatcher@vnet.ibm.com
Phone 507-253-2867
Fax 507-253-1438
Co. IBM AS/400 Division

CI 39   **SC** TBD   **P** TBD   **L** *

Comment Type T   **Comment Status** A

During the Ft. Lauderdale Mtg. it was identified that clause 39 needed a
subclause on test methodologies. Ed Grivna worked up a recommendation which=

was published on the reflector on Fri, 27 Jun 1997 10:29:05 -0500

SuggestedRemedy

Test/Measurements for Clause 39

Note: My notes regarding Ed's proposal are [bracketed].

[Note: should we be specifying the minimum number of samples in some of
these tests?]

[Note: should we be specify the exact pattern to be used for the tests?]

[Note: some BER tests are referenced to the CRU which is not part of the=
 PMD;
e.g. Receiver
reference clock offset range]

[Note: necessary to calibrate for scope skew?]

[Add the following text to 39.3, page 39.2 after 1st paragraph. "PMD
specifications shall be
measured using measurement techniques defined in 39.TBD." This will result=
 in
mandatory
updates to the performa table(s). Does this cover all the necessary=
 "shalls?"]

Total Jitter [text removed from recommendation; reference to Clause 38=
 already
exists in 39.3.3;
page 39.7; line 15-16.]

Transmit Rise Time

Rise time is a differential measurement across the TX+ and TX- outputs
with a load present (including test equipment) equivalent to that
shown in Figure 39-3.
Both rising and falling edges [should -> are] be measured. The 100% and 0% =

levels are the normalized 1 and 0 levels present when sending an alternating=

K28.5 (at a minimum) or other psuedoramdom data pattern containing both the=

maximum and minimum 8B/10B coding run lengths.

Once the normalized amplitude is determined, the data pattern [should be ->=
 is]
changed to a continuous D21.5 character stream. The rise time specification=
 is
the time interval between the 20% and 80% amplitude levels between the
normalized 1 and 0 amplitude levels.

Transmit Differential Skew

The transmitter differential skew measurement is made across the TX+
 and TX- outputs with a load present (including test equipment) equivalent=
 to
that shown in Figure 39-3. This consists of two single-ended measurements,=

and is the absolute value of the maximum time difference, at the 50%=
 normalized
amplitude point, of the TX+ signal relative to the TX- signal.

The single-ended normalized amplitudes are first determined for both the TX+=

and TX- signals. The 100% and 0% levels are the normalized 1 and 0 levels=

present when sending an alternating K28.5 (at a minimum) or other
psuedoramdom data pattern containing both the maximum and minimum 8B/10B
coding run lengths.

A character boundary or other stable trigger point must be available to=
 allow the
actual time skew to be measured. The measured number is the worst case
across all ten bit positions, for both rising and falling edges.

[Note: this test method measures the worst case deterministic skew; does
everyone agree with this? What is the relationship between deterministic=
 skew

Type: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial
Comment: X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected
Response: O/open   W/written S/sent to commentor for review   C/closed   U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 5 of 13

and deterministic jitter?]]

Transmit Eye - Normalized

This test is made as a differential measurement at the bulkhead connector. =
 The
scope trigger must either be a recovered clock or a from the character clock=

internal to the equipment. The data pattern for this is the CRPAT [?] or=
 other
pattern that insures transitions in all possible bit boundaries; i.e., an=
 alternating
k28.5 is n

Proposed Response   **Response Status** C

accept

Comment ID	188	**Topic**	
Name	Ed Cady		
Email			
Phone			
Fax			
Co.	Berg		

CI 39   **SC**   **P**   **L**

Comment Type E   **Comment Status**   A

Definitions and references are missing in clause.

SuggestedRemedy

To accept Ed Grivna's set of definitions and references which follow:

Glossary
----
1. Jumper Cable Assembly -
An electrical or optical assembly, used for the bi-directional
transmission and reception of information, consisting a pair of
transmission lines terminated at their ends with plug connectors.
This assembly may or may not contain additional components, located
between the plug connectors, to perform equalization.

2. ppd - peak-to-peak differential

3. skew - The difference in time between two signals

4. differential skew - The difference in time, between the same
relative instants, of the true and complement components of a
differential signal.

5. normalized amplitude - The amplitude of a signal when driving
its steady state value; i.e., not under the influence of ringing
or other dynamic influences.

6. differential -
    1. A mode of signal transmission where a signal
    and its complement are driven down a balanced transmission line
    with the signal carried as a single electromagnetic field located
    between the two conductors of the transmission line.
    2. A method of measurement which compares signals to each
    other rather than to a fixed reference.

7. TDR - Time Domain Reflectometer

8. Differential Sensitivity - That ppd amplitude necessary for a
differential receiver to resolve both a logic-0 and a logic-1.

Type: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial
Comment: X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected
Response: O/open   W/written S/sent to commentor for review C/closed   U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 6 of 13

9. Exception Window - A time interval during which the impedance of a mated connector and associated transmission line is allowed to exceed the impedance tolerance specification for signals passed through a connector.

10.Equalizer - A group of one or more active or passive components whose function is to correct for the frequency selective attenuation caused by skin effect, and and timing variations in a signal caused by the differences in propagation time caused by variations in the propagation rate of the various spectral components present in a signal

References

ANSI X3.230-1994 Fibre Channel Physical

ISO/IEC 11801: 1995 Commercial and building wiring standard

IEC 1196-1 Cable test criteria

IEC 807-3 Conector requirements for polarized rectangular conectors for use below 3-MHz

ANSI/EIA/TIA 607 Commercial Building Grounding/Bonding requirements

IEC 61076-3-103 Style-2 Connector Requirements

Proposed Response   **Response Status** C

Accept
NOTE: Direct editor to compile references

Comment ID	27	**Topic**	
Name	Robert Campbell		
Email	rrcampbell@lucent.com		
Phone	(908) 957-2669		
Fax	(908) 957-5604		
Co.	Lucent Technologies		

CI 39   **SC** 39.1   **P** 39.1   **L** 31

  Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

   Change `0 to 25'.

SuggestedRemedy

   Change `0 to 25' to `1 to 25' since some minimum length of
          jumper cable is required to connect same sex PHY=
    connectors.

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

   Accept but with 0.1 to 25 meters instead of 0 to 25 meters.

Comment ID	80	**Topic**	
Name	Adam Healey		
Email	adam.healey@unh.edu		
Phone	+1 603 862 3568		
Fax	+1 603 862 1915		
Co.	UNH InterOperability Lab		

CI 39   **SC** 39.3.1   **P** 39.3   **L** 41

  Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

   There seems to be a conflict between the jitter specifications of
   table 39-1 and 39-4. I assume 39-4 to be the real specification.

SuggestedRemedy

   Remove DJ, RJ, and TJ entries from table 39-1.

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

   Accept

Type: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial
Comment: X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected
Response: O/open   W/written S/sent to commentor for review C/closed   U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 7 of 13

Comment ID 34 **Topic**
Name Robert Campbell
Email rrcampbell@lucent.com
Phone (908) 957-2669
Fax (908) 957-5604
Co. Lucent Technologies

CI 39 **SC** 39.3.1 **P** 39.3 **L** 31

Comment Type E **Comment Status** A
Location of `point-b'?

SuggestedRemedy
I assume `point-b' is TP1. If so, suggest appropriate change
be made.

Proposed Response **Response Status** W
Accept

Comment ID 83 **Topic**
Name Adam Healey
Email adam.healey@unh.edu
Phone +1 603 862 3568
Fax +1 603 862 1915
Co. UNH InterOperability Lab

CI 39 **SC** 39.3.2 **P** 39.6 **L** 04

Comment Type E **Comment Status** A
The table completely specifies the receiver input impedance at TP3,
the statement that "The receiver shall terminate the link by..."
seems to be redundant.

SuggestedRemedy
Delete "The receiver shall terminate the link by..."

Proposed Response **Response Status** W
Accept

Comment ID 85 **Topic**
Name Adam Healey
Email adam.healey@unh.edu
Phone +1 603 862 3568
Fax +1 603 862 1915
Co. UNH InterOperability Lab

CI 39 **SC** 39.3.4 **P** 39.7 **L** 49

Comment Type E **Comment Status** A
Table 39-5 seems to be redundant with tables 39-1 and 39-3.

SuggestedRemedy
Remove table 39-5 and above statement that "The systems shall
meet the operational requirements...". Remove corresponding
PICS item.

Proposed Response **Response Status** W
Accept

Comment ID 35 **Topic**
Name Robert Campbell
Email rrcampbell@lucent.com
Phone (908) 957-2669
Fax (908) 957-5604
Co. Lucent Technologies

CI 39 **SC** 39.3.4 **P** 39.8 **L** 6

Comment Type E **Comment Status** A
Recommend the sentence be re-written clarify grounds.

SuggestedRemedy
Suggested change: The jumper cable shield shall be connected
to chassis ground of the MDI connector at both ends of
the jumper cable as shown in Figure 39-1. For this
connection to effective frame ground of the 1000BASE-X
equipment must be earthed (connected to the power system
ground. (Note to editor: Check to ensure there is a
requirement someplace else in the 1000BASE-X spec that
requires the connection of frame ground to power ground)

Proposed Response **Response Status** W
Reject: This suggestion is redundant with existing text in 39.1 and 39.6.
Other: Remove the redundant "shall" in 39.1 (p 39.1; line 35).

Type: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial
Comment: X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected
Response: O/open   W/written S/sent to commentor for review C/closed   U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 8 of 13

Comment ID 86   **Topic**
Name Adam Healey
Email adam.healey@unh.edu
Phone +1 603 862 3568
Fax +1 603 862 1915
Co. UNH InterOperability Lab

CI 39   **SC** 39.4   **P** 39.8   **L** 41

Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

"The link termination shall match that shown in figure 39-2." seems
be redundant with table 39-6.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest removing that statement and the corresponding PICS item.

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Accept

Comment ID 187   **Topic**
Name Grahame Measor
Email
Phone
Fax
Co. GEC Plessey Semiconductors

CI 39   **SC** 39.4   **P** 39.5   **L** 47-49

Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

Eye diagram in Figure 39-4 will be closed by high frequency jitter past the=
X1
point if the maximum allowed by Table 39.4 is present.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove note in lines 47-49, indicating the high frequency jitter is present.

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Reject - Fix table 39.2 to match new Table 39.4. Change note on page 39.5,=
line
47 "baud rate div. by 2500" to "637 kHz".

Comment ID 28   **Topic**
Name Robert Campbell
Email rrcampbell@lucent.com
Phone (908) 957-2669
Fax (908) 957-5604
Co. Lucent Technologies

CI 39   **SC** 39.4   **P** 39.8   **L** 22

Comment Type E   **Comment Status** R

Table 39-6 is a reasonable summary table for the jumper cable
characteristics. What is missing is the requirements/description
for each individual parameter. I believe this extremely important
since the specification for most of the parameters is in the
time domain vs the frequency domain.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide a sub-clause for each of the jumper cable
specifications.
1) Attenuation: This specification should contain
frequencies higher than 625 MHz since this additional
bandwidth is needed to reliably decode the NRZ signals;
probably at high as 800-850 MHz. The frequency=
response
of the jumper cable is needed in order to design the
imbedded equalizers as specified in 39.4.1.
2) Differential skew: A description of this parameter
and why it is needed should be provided.
3) Near-End Crosstalk (NEXT) loss: If the attenuation
is specified in dB, then I believe the NEXT loss should
be specified in dB. If not then a description of the
the time domain measurement procedure should be=
provided.
4) End to End delay: Suggest this be specified in ps
rather than bit times, or both, to ensure the reader
understands the parameter.
5) Link Impedance @ T2: There are two specifications
provided. An exclamation is needed to define each.
It appears one is for cable only, and if this the case
why?
I believe the additional specificity for each of the
jumper cable parameters is necessary for those who will
be responsible for writing the Conformance Specification.
In addition, it is also keeping with the practice used
other 802.3 specifications for the media links; detailed
specification to ensure the media link will support the
objective BER.

Type: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial
Comment: X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected
Response: O/open   W/written S/sent to commentor for review C/closed   U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Partial acceptance.

1. Rejected: specification of attenuation characteristics > the=
half-baud
frequency are not necessary. Two signals, one with high
frequency components and the other without, can not be
distinguished at the output of a worst case cable.
This can be shown with a Mathcad filtered model of the signal
spectral components. It is outside the scope of the standard to=
specify
equalizer parameters.
2. Accept: the PMD group will define skew in the glossary. The
group will also add a test procedure for skew.
3. Reject/Accept: NEXT measurements provide a ratio which is readily
converted to dB.

b) put it in the measurement subclause.

4. Reject: The selection of bit times was done to conform with the "bit-
budget" specifications present in the other major clauses of the
standard. It was deemed unnecessary to provide both units.

5. The reason for the two is because the impedance through
the connectors cannot be as well controlled as it can through
the cable. The usage of these parameters are explained in the
new test requirements section.

Comment ID	29	**Topic**
Name	Robert Campbell	
Email	rrcampbell@lucent.com	
Phone	(908) 957-2669	
Fax	(908) 957-5604	
Co.	Lucent Technologies	

CI 39 **SC** 39.4   **P** 39.8 **L** 18

Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

Wordsmith `male'

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest `male' be changed to `plug (male)'.

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Accept. Scrub entire document for "male" and "female." Change "male" to plug=

and "female" to receptacle.

Comment ID	23	**Topic**
Name	Robert Campbell	
Email	rrcampbell@lucent.com	
Phone	(908) 957-2669	
Fax	(908) 957-5604	
Co.	Lucent Technologies	

CI 39 **SC** 39.4   **P** 39.9 **L** 5

Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

ISO/IEC 8802-5 does not contain cable specification for
IBM Type 1 cable.

SuggestedRemedy

If a reference is needed for IBM Type 1 cable I would
suggest ISO/IEC 11801:1995 be used since this is where
the electrical specifications are contained. The cable
is referred to as STP.
I would recommend that this paragraph be removed=
completely
since there are a number of 150 cables, not just STP,
may not meet the differential skew requirement.

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Partial Accept: correct reference to ISO/IEC11801:1995. The referenced=
cable
is in common
use in network environments, in many instances with proper connectors and
pinouts. For short
links these cables may meet cls39 requirements. However, since this cable is=
not
manufactured
to any specific skew characteristics it may not be usable in longer cables.

Type: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial
Comment: X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected
Response: O/open W/written S/sent to commentor for review C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 10 of 13

Comment ID 26   **Topic**
Name Robert Campbell
Email rrcampbell@lucent.com
Phone (908) 957-2669
Fax (908) 957-5604
Co. Lucent Technologies

CI 39   **SC** 39.4   **P** 39.8   **L** 18

Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

Recommend `have' be changed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change `have' to `consist of'.

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Accept

Comment ID 25   **Topic**
Name Robert Campbell
Email rrcampbell@lucent.com
Phone (908) 957-2669
Fax (908) 957-5604
Co. Lucent Technologies

CI 39   **SC** 39.4   **P** 39.8   **L** 18

Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

Recommend a sentence be added to the beginning of this section
to describe the purpose of the jumper cable.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following sentence to the beginning of the sub-clause.
`A jumper cable, which is described in this clause, shall
be used to inter-connect 1000BASE-CX PMDs.'

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Conditional accept: put "Jumper cables, described in 39.4, are used to=
inter-
connect 1000BASE-CX PMDs" into 39.1, the introduction.

Comment ID 31   **Topic**
Name Robert Campbell
Email rrcampbell@lucent.com
Phone (908) 957-2669
Fax (908) 957-5604
Co. Lucent Technologies

CI 39   **SC** 39.5.2   **P** 39.9   **L** 44

Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

Change title of clause

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the word `Balanced' since there is no reference to it
clause 39.4.

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Reject: Change line 18 to "A 1000BASE-CX compliant... and shielded, balanced=

cable...."

Comment ID 24   **Topic**
Name Robert Campbell
Email rrcampbell@lucent.com
Phone (908) 957-2669
Fax (908) 957-5604
Co. Lucent Technologies

CI 39   **SC** 39.5.2   **P** 39.9   **L** 46

Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

Suggest `plug or male' be changed to `plug (male)' and
`receptacle or female' to `jack (female)'.

SuggestedRemedy

See above

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Accept - Previously agreed to remove male and female per other comment.

Type: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial
Comment: X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected
Response: O/open   W/written S/sent to commentor for review C/closed   U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Comment ID 81   **Topic**
Name Adam Healey
Email adam.healey@unh.edu
Phone +1 603 862 3568
Fax +1 603 862 1915
Co. UNH InterOperability Lab

CI 39   **SC** 39.7.4.2   **P** 39.15   **L** 09

Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

Regarding PM-3, I believe the main intent 39.3.1 was to specify the transmitter eye diagram.

SuggestedRemedy

add PICS item "PM-x, transmitter eye diagram, 39.3.1, M, Yes[], meets requirements of figure 39-3 and 39-4 when terminated as shown in TP2.

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Accept: Additionally, change 39.3.1 "The signal requirements... Table 39-1"=
to
"The transmitter shall meet the specifications in Table 39-1." This required=
the
addition of a new PIC.

Comment ID 84   **Topic**
Name Adam Healey
Email adam.healey@unh.edu
Phone +1 603 862 3568
Fax +1 603 862 1915
Co. UNH InterOperability Lab

CI 39   **SC** 39.7.4.2   **P** 39.15   **L** 16

Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

Regarding PM-7, the receiver BER cannot be measured without mandating the conditions under which the requirement should be met.

SuggestedRemedy

Change PM-7 to, "PM-7, receiver eye diagram, 38.3.2, M, Yes[], meet BER objective of 10^-12 when signal delivered to receiver meets requirements specified in figure 39-5"

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Reject: The group decided to not use BER as a specification methodology for jumper cable assemblies. Reference to BER in 39.3.2 will be removed along=
with
the associated PIC.

Comment ID 30   **Topic**
Name Robert Campbell
Email rrcampbell@lucent.com
Phone (908) 957-2669
Fax (908) 957-5604
Co. Lucent Technologies

CI 39   **SC** 39.7.4.2   **P** 39.15   **L** 35

Comment Type E   **Comment Status** A

Jumper cable requirements shown in Table 39-6 do not appear in PICS.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an item to the PICS to reflect the jumper cable requirements shown in Table 39-6.

Proposed Response   **Response Status** W

Reject: There is a typo in LI-1. The content of the value/comment column=
will be
changed
the table reference from 39-4 to 39-6. Add "table 39-6" to the feature=
column.

Type: TR/technical required   T/technical   E/editorial
Comment: X/received   D/dispatched for consideration   A/accepted   R/rejected
Response: O/open   W/written   S/sent to commentor for review   C/closed   U/unsatisfied   Z/withdrawn

Page 12 of 13

Comment ID 22 **Topic**
Name Robert Campbell
Email rrcampbell@lucent.com
Phone (908) 957-2669
Fax (908) 957-5604
Co. Lucent Technologies

CI 39 **SC** Figure 39-1 **P** 39.3 **L** 1

Comment Type E **Comment Status** A

Suggest the text at the top of the figure be changed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change text to read `Shielded Jumper Cable', which is much
more descriptive. Also, clause 39.4 does not indicate
the jumper contains 'balanced pairs'.

Proposed Response **Response Status** W

Accept

Comment ID 33 **Topic**
Name Robert Campbell
Email rrcampbell@lucent.com
Phone (908) 957-2669
Fax (908) 957-5604
Co. Lucent Technologies

CI 39 **SC** Figure 39-1 **P** 39.3 **L** 1

Comment Type E **Comment Status** A

Modify Figure 39-1 to increase clarity for reader.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the following to increase clarity of Figure 39-1.
1. Place dotted lines around transmit and receive portions
to show what is inside equipment.
2. Add `1000BASE-CX' to Transmit Network and Receive=
Network.
3. Show a connector between T+/T- and 1000BASE-CX Transmit
Network and between R+/R- and 1000BASE-CX Receive=
Network.

Proposed Response **Response Status** W

Accept 1 and 2. Reject 3: A connector at this location would be an
implementation choice which
while not specifically disallowed by the standard, is not encouraged. This=
is the
reason that TP1 and
TP4 are not compliance points, since these are not measurable in a system
environment.
Additionally, add the words "(half link is shown)" to the 39.1 title.

Type: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial
Comment: X/received D/dispatched for consideration A/accepted R/rejected
Response: O/open W/written S/sent to commentor for review C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 13 of 13