P802.3z Draft 4.2 **Comment Status Summary Report** Response Status CommentType # 4 **CI** 38 SC 38.2.4 1/D/W Pat Gilliland Regarding clause 38.2.4 (PMD signal detect function)<CR>lines 39-40 state,<CR> "SIGNAL_DETECT shall be set to OK when the cir # 5 **CI** 38 SC 38.2.4 1/D/W Pat Gilliland There is a major contradiction in the text in regard to <CR>received power threshold for SIGNAL_DETECT. In the current <CR>document it # 6 SC 38.2.4 1/D/W Pat Gilliland The statement I object to is, <CR><CR>"Examples of a FAIL condition are when the link is unplugged<CR>or the transmitter to which it is at # 7 SC 38.2.4 **CI** 38 1/D/W howard frazier The text and table describing the Signal Detect function is unnecessarily<CR>complex, and subject to misinterpretation. The essential requi # 51 SC 38.6.5 TR / D / W Joe Gwinn This note giving the reference to ITU-T G.957 as the source for the <CR>fourth-order Bessel-Thompson filter puts us into a bit of a quandry, # 69 CI 38 SC 38.4 TR / D / W Paul Kolesar Wavelength of modal bandwidth not specified. # 71 **CI** 38 SC 38.4 TR / D / W Paul Kolesar Wavelength of modal bandwidth is not specified. # 74 **CI** 38 **SC** 38.4.3 TR / D / W Paul Kolesar Wavelength of modal bandwidth not specified. # 81 **CI** 38 SC 38.6.12 1/D/W Dan Brown The test procedure for measuring receiver 3 dB electrical upper cutoff frequency is not developed sufficiently. Since this is an entirely new te # 99001 RESUBMIT SC 38.4.1 TR / R / U Mike Dudek INOTE: This comment has been recycled from Draft D4.1 (was #49) Page and Line numbers refer to D4.1.1<CR><CR>With the recent chan # 99002 RESUBMIT SC 38.4.1 TR / R / U Joe Gwinn [NOTE: This comment has been recycled from Draft D4.1 (was #49) Page and Line numbers refer to D4.1.]

-CR>-CR>Note b to table 38-7 f RESUBMIT # 99003 **CI** 38 **SC** 38.6.6 TR / R / U Joe Gwinn

INOTE: This comment has been recycled from Draft D4.1 (was #49) Page and Line numbers refer to D4.1.1
-CR>>CR>What change was ma