CI 00 SC	C 00.iv	Piv	L 24	# <u>210</u>	C/ 00	SC Global	P Global	L Global	# 246
loward Johnson		Signal Consu	Ilting, Inc.		David Lav	v	3Com		
Comment Type	E Con	nment Status R			Commen	t Type E	Comment Status R		
		ed as (^). This conflic ch is a plus sign (+) si			are a	dded to the stand	efinitions from this clause ards dictionary without they came from. Shouldn't we		
SuggestedRem			at a thir as well at fa	and the second of the		his context.	they came nom. Shouldn't we		
		es. If none found, del ge symbols as appro		om the special	Suggeste	edRemedy			
Proposed Respo REJECT.	onse Resp	oonse Status C			defini		EE 802.3 clause XX)' to have this already as		
					Proposed	l Response	Response Status C		
	ure 37-6 uses the c	arat. We like it this wa					are automatically added to the II as will have the phrase "802.3z 1		
C/ 00 SC Geoff Thompsor		P 7.1 Bay Networks	L 1	# 245	C/ 00	SC Global	P Global	L Global	# 238
Comment Type		nment Status R	5, 110.		Geoff Tho	ompson	Bay Networks, I	nc.	
Restore the by P802.3x (It is recogniz nominal scop operation. It wish to insur without the c I will not let tl standard. If withdraw this SuggestedRemo Change 7.3.	(Cls 07) ed that this is a ser pe of the extension is a very importan e that no future edi correction of this err his item be a critica a case can be mad s comment. edy 2 paragraph 1 to re	I path item in the app le that this is a critical	not within the ard to specify Gig d as a whole. I andard is printed roval of this path itme I will	abit	claus appro minor claus Suggeste As ne hopel again	nclear at this time es are accurately oved standard", i.e. r editorial correctin es from 802.3u : edRemedy ew material becom fully a baseline m ist new versions of d Response	Comment Status A e whether the text of the changes reflected as changes against the e. 8802-3 1996 including Maint # ons) plus added text and change 1995, 802.3r : 1996, and 802.3x nes available (i.e. published vers erged version of the entire stand of the 802.3z draft. <i>Response Status</i> C	e text of "the #4/DAM20 (inlcudi ss to exisitng &y : 1997 sion of 802.3x&y a	and
		re is 10 million bits period elsewhere in this).					
Proposed Respo REJECT.	onse Resp	oonse Status U							

This change would be outside the scope of 802.3z.

C/ 00 SC Global P Global L Global # 233 Pat Thaler Hewlett-Packard	C/ 00 SC Global P Global L Global # 107 Pat Thaler Hewlett-Packard
Comment Type E Comment Status R	Comment Type TR Comment Status A global
 When a 1000BASE-X <fiber> PMD with auto-negotiation off is connected to a 100BASE-FX PMD, the 100BASE-FX link monitor may detect a good link. This is because the 1000BASE-X idle signal filtered by the limited bandwidth of some 100BASE-FX receivers looks like the 100BASE-X similar to a 100BASE-X idle signal - a 62.5 MHz square wave. This has been observed even for a 1000BASE-SX PMD because the 100BASE-FX receiver had a broad enough response to detect the 850 nm light.</fiber> It is possible that some auto-configuration codes could also be 	 There are several references to the specified BER, but nowhere is BER actually specifed. (01.5 lines 8,11, 01.6 line 12). There are also references to the BER objective, but the only place an actual value for this objective is stated is a note on page 38.2 line 36 mentions a 10^12 BER objective. I don't think we should have a BER specification as such a specification applies to the whole link. We specify PMD's and media to obtain a link that meets the objectives.
detected as a good link.	SuggestedRemedy
We have not seen carrier detect result from a misconnection.	Replace specified BER with objective BER.
SuggestedRemedy	Add a BER objective of 10^12 to the list of 1000BASE-X objectives in 36.1.2
Add to clause 24 (perhaps to 24.1.3.1 where the PMA_SIGNAL.indicate (signal_status primitive is defined), a statement that for 100BASE-FX PMD's signal_status=ON does not assure that the link is connected to another 100BASE-FX PMD. Connections to other fiber optic devices including 1000BASE-X may result in signal_status=ON.	Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Add objective to the bottomof the list: Bit error ratio of 10^12
Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT.	K Search for places where we use the phrase "specified BER" or "specified bit error rate" or "specified bit error ratio" and replace with the phrase "objective bit error ratio",

Ask the commentor to please forward this issue to the maintenance ballot.

C/ 01 SC henry hsiaw	<i>P</i> Sun Microsyst	L	# 56	C/ 01 SC 1.4 Pat Thaler	P 01.4 Hewlett-Packard	L 33	# 103
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment Type E "Present" should be	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy Approve with no comm	ents.			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 01 SC 1.1.2.2 (c Rich Seifert		L 43-47 Commu	# 19	C/ 01 SC 1.4 Pat Thaler	P 01.5 Hewlett-Packard	L 7 to 12	# 106
subclause), then it cann	siaw Sun Microsystems ant Type E Comment Status A stedRemedy prove with no comments. ed Response Response Status C CEPT. SC 1.1.2.2 (d) P01.2 L 43-47 # 19 effert Networks and Commu ent Type TR Comment Status A stedRemedy uggest one of the following: Eliminate this paragraph. Keep the paragraph, but eliminate the statement that "conformance iggraph should be added identifying the TBI as a compatibility interface. s as valid as an interface point as the GMII.) ed Response Response Status C CEPT. ied Response Response Status C CEPT. iggint intended to be an exposed interface (as stated in this colause), then it cannot really be considered a "compatibility prface". It is not possible to measure compatibility or interoperability unexposed interfaces. stedRemedy uggest one of the following: Eliminate this paragraph. Keep the paragraph, but eliminate the statement that "conformance ighly recommended". In addition, if the intent is to present an exposed, optional interface as a "compatibility interface", then a fifth agraph should be added identifying the TBI as a compatibility interface. s as valid as an interface point as the GMII.) ed Response Response Status C CEPT. ange the first line of this subclause to read "five" instead of "four". word (d) as follows, and add a new section (e): Sigabit Media Independent Interface (GMII). The GMII is designd to connect a gigati bable MAC or repeater unit to a gigabit PHY. While conformance with implementatio is interface is not strictly necessary to ensure communication, it is highly recommende to it allows maximum (flexibility in interface. No mechanical connector is specifi use with the GMII. The GMII is optional. Fen-bit Interface (TBI). The TBI is provided by the 1000BASE-X PMA sublayer as a sizcal instantiation of the PMA service interface. The TBI is highly recommended for D0BASE-X systems, since it provides a convenient partition between the high freque usity associated with the PMA sublayer and the logic functions associated with the PMA	global	"smallest" yet on other is minimum	e of the terms is maximum different			
SuggestedRemedy					out" (or both "sensitivity").		
I suggest one of the fol	lowing:						
(2) Keep the paragraph is highly recommended unexposed, optional int	, but eliminate the statement th ". In addition, if the intent is to terface as a "compatibility inter	present an face", then a fifth		threshold. The rece The minimum sensi The receiver is suffi	ver will not be overloaded by Inputs tivity specification is used to define ciently sensitive to respond to signa	s at or below this how sensitive th als of this level o	s level. ne receiver must be. nr greater.
	Sun Microsystems Pat Thaler Hewlett-Packard E Comment Status A Comment Status A ' a comments. See Response Status C Comment Status A 1.2.2 (d) P01.2 L 43-47 # 19 Networks and Commu global Cl 01 SC 1.4 P01.5 L 7 to AcCEPT. TR Comment Status A global Comment Status R Comment Status R In teachor teally be considered a 'compatibility interfaces. Gomment Type E Comment Status R 'd the following: Staragraph. SuggestedRemedy 'arables' teacter that 'conformance mended', in addition, if the interit is to present an interface ponet as the GMII.) The exponse Status C Response Status C Response Status C Response Status C Response Status C Response Status C Response Status C receiver is a sufficient susce, and the statement that 'conformance mended', in addition, of the interit is to present an interface ponet as the GMII.) Response Status C receiver is a sufficient susce, and the origination they are used to define the thres is objection is used to define they are used	L 32	# 45				
Proposed Response	Response Status C			Robert Grow	XLNT		
ACCEPT. Change the first line of	this subclause to read "five" ir	nstead of "four".		51			
Reword (d) as follows,	and add a new section (e):) "presence".		
capable MAC or repeat this interface is not stric since it allows maximur GMII is intended for use	er unit to a gigabit PHÝ. While otly necessary to ensure comm n flexibility in intermixing PHYs e as a chip-to-chip interface. N	e conformance with nunication, it is high and DTEs at giga	h implementation of hly recommended, abit speeds. The		Response Status C		
physical instantiation of 1000BASE-X systems, circuitry associated with and MAC sublayers. The TBI is intended for	t the PMA service interface. The since it provides a convenient in the PMA sublayer and the log use as a chip-to-chip interface	ne TBI is highly rea t partition between gic functions assoc	commended for the high frequency ciated with the PCS				

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 3 of 86 C/ 01 SC 1.4

P802.3z Draft 4	Comments
-----------------	----------

C/ 01 SC 1.4 David Law	P 1.5 3Com	L 29	# 251	C/ 01 SC 1.4 Robert Grow	<i>P</i> 1.5 XLNT	L 42	# 46
	Comment Status A e IEEE 802.3 clause 36)' he end of the ordered_set			Comment Type E Include GMII in defini SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A tion.		
SuggestedRemedy					een the MDI and MII or GMII".		
See comment				Proposed Response	Response Status C		
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT. Change to read: "betw	veen the MDI and MII, or betweer	n the MDI and G	MII,"
	D			C/ 01 SC 1.4	P1.5	L 42	# 249
C/ 01 SC 1.4	Р 1.5 3Com	L 34	# 247	David Law	3Com		
				Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
	Comment Status A 02.3x clause 31B' should read ise 31B', reference to 'x'			' the MDI and MII/G PHYs in the definition	e MDI and MII' should read MII' to include the 1000Mb/s		
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
See comment				See comment			
	Response Status C			Proposed Response	Response Status C		
Proposed Response ACCEPT. Change to read: 'See IEEE 802.3 anne				C/ 01 SC 1.4	PLE. Changed per comment 46. P1.5 3Com	L 5	# 250
/ 01 SC 1.4	P1.5	L 39	# 248	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
avid Law	3Com				erface is not 'An MII or GMII		
omment Type E	Comment Status A				s an interface provided by		
' for 100BASE-T4, c should be a comma.	SE-T4. one for' should read one for', that is the period			SuggestedRemedy	Ild read 'An interface provided MII which provides'		
SuggestedRemedy See comment				Proposed Response	Response Status C		
	_			ACCEPT.	r · · · · ·		
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

P802.3z Draft 4 Comments SC 1.4 P1.6 L 27-28 # 47 Robert Grow XLNT Comment Status A Comment Type E The definition of running disparity preserves the misconception that the RD includes an unbounded sum of the RD instead of a bounded disparity value which in turn limits the multiplications effects received errors. As written it is only true of the transmitter s running disparity. SuggestedRemedy Change to read "A parameter representative of the difference, either positive (+) or negative (-), between the number of ones and zeros in a sequence of 8B/10B code-groups. In an error-free valid sequence, it is the cumulative difference over all previously issued or received code-groups.". Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. A binary parameter having a value of + or -, representing the imbalance between the number of ones and zeros in a sequence of 8B/10B code-groups (see 36.2.4.3). SC 1.5 P01.7 / 19 # 20 **Rich Seifert** Networks and Commu Comment Status A Comment Type E Effective Modal Bandwidth is no longer used as a term, hence it needs no abbreviation. SuggestedRemedy Eliminate the abbreviation for EMB. Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 1.5 P01.7 L 26 # 111 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status A The abbreviation TBI for Ten Bit Interface is used in the document and needs to be added to the list.

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 01

C/ 01

C/ 01

Add: TBI Ten Bit Interface

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Accept as proposed.

ALSO -- Please re-order the abbreviations list to alphabetical order.

C/ 02	SC Figure 2-1a	P 02.1	L 15	# 112	
Tom Mathey	у	Baynetworks			
	re 2-1a, for the box la	Comment Status A abeled PLS on the left han rest of the vertical line abov		cal line needs to be	
Suggestedi Correct	Remedy vertical line.				
Proposed F ACCEF		esponse Status C			
C/ 02	SC Figure 2-1b	P 02.1	L 55	# 113	
Tom Mathey	у	Baynetworks			
arrow p to be de physica Note: 0	opinting into the box I eleted along with the al layer. This change GOT has changed 80 'wasTransmitting".	802.3x, Revision 3.1 of Du Medium Access Control) fo e text "wasTransmitting". The is a service to mankind. D2.3x for direction of variab	r variable "was] his variable doe	Γransmitting" needs s not go to the	
00	vertical line and asso	ociated text.			
Proposed F REJEC the sco	T. Unless someone	Pesponse Status C e can convince me otherwis	se, this change a	appears to lie outside	

CI 03	SC 3.2.8	P 03.2	L 9	# 114
Tom Math	ney	Baynetworks		
Commen	t Type T	Comment Status A		entered
		than 31" needs to be "degree les Full-Duplex and is documented in		
Suggeste	edRemedy			
Chan	ge symbol from	"less than" to "less than or equal t	to".	

Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 04 SC	Pall	L	# 40001	C/ 04	SC 4	4.2.2.3	P 04.3	L 55	# 116
noward frazier	cisco systems			Tom Mathey			Baynetworks		
Comment Type E Check for correct pund	Comment Status A ctuation and syntax.		entered		e two		Comment Status A BurstTimer and SetExtending w 2.2.3 Organization of the proceed		
SuggestedRemedy				added pi	roces	s.			
				SuggestedR	emec	dy			
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			0			as follows:		
C/ 04 SC 4.1.2.1.	1 P 04.2	L 10	# 115				used here is based on seven co ed in the MAC sublayer. The rem		
Tom Mathey	Baynetworks	L 10	# 115	by the cli	ients (of the MA	AC sublayer (which may include ovided by the MAC sublayer. T	the LLC sublay	/er) and utilize the
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		entered		opon				
that says "extension b	I half-duplex mode centric, I would its in full-duplex are not allowed". d in a Figure note or in a flow chart	I would like th		a) Frame b) Frame c) Bit Tra d) Bit Re	e Rec ansmi	eiver Pro tter Proce	ocess ess		
SuggestedRemedy				e) Defer					
Add sentence someth	ning like: Full-duplex mode does r	ot allow the u	ise of extension bits.	f) BurstT					
Proposed Response	Response Status C			g) SetEx					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	PLE.			Proposed Re ACCEP1	'	ise	Response Status C		
Add the following sen	tence to the end of the fourth para	graph of 4.1.	2.1.1 on page 4.2.	change t	ext of	4.2.2.3 a	as follows:		
In full-duplex mode, th bursting.	ne MAC sublayer does not perform	n either carrie	r extension or frame				used here is based on seven co r process and the Frame Receiv		
C/ 04 SC 4.1.2.1. Tom Mathey	1 P04.2 Baynetworks	L 23	# <u>117</u>	clients of interface	the N opera	AC subletions pro	layer (which may include the LLC ovided by the MAC sublayer. Th ayer.The seven processes are:	C sublayer) an	d make use of the
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		entered						
Lines 23 and 24 desc other half-duplex text.	ribe half-duplex mode. These line	es should be i	noved and placed with	a) Frame b) Frame	e Rec	eiver Pro	ocess		
SuggestedRemedy				c) Bit Tra d) Bit Re	ceive	r Proces	s		
Move lines 23 and 24 is necessary.	from present position and place a	t end of line 6	. No paragraph break	e) Defere f) BurstT	ïmer	Process			
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			g) SetEx	tendir	ng Proce	SS.		
	23 and 24 to line 7, but keep ther describe bursting, which is distinc		e						

C/ 04 SC 4.2.4.2		L 34	# 118	C/ 04	SC 4.2.7.1	P04.13	L 8	# 120
	Baynetworks Comment Status A of the following sentence is awka ossible length errors that can occu		entere		,	Baynetworks Comment Status A line.		entered
SuggestedRemedy Remove comma, rep There are two p Proposed Response	lace that with which. Suggested to be a solution occurs that can occurs that can occurs and the sponse Status C	ext is:		For lir remo	ve tab or spaces Response	s header for MAC frame}" such that start of line is vertically <i>Response Status</i> C	/ aligned with v	word "case" on line 2.
ACCEPT. C/ 04 SC 4.2.5 Rich Seifert	P 04.11 Networks and C	L 22	# 21	C/ 04 David Law	SC 4.2.7.2	P 4.14 3Com	L11	# 252
SuggestedRemedy Insert a space betwe	Comment Status A entences. Term is improperly hyp een " steady state." and "Upon re breaking up the term "TransmitLir	equest".	entere	note t interF when the se	give me too muc hat the definition rameSize has fo it should be three emi-colon	Comment Status A th grief for this one but I for the constant ur periods and a semi-colon e periods, a space and then		entered
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			'=;{	dRemedy ' should read '=			
C/ 04 SC 4.2.5 Tom Mathey	P 4.11 Baynetworks	L 22	# 119	ACCE		Response Status C		
Comment Type E Missing spaces betw	Comment Status A veen sentences for: "its steady sta	ate.Upon"	entere	d direct		ate amount of grief is hereby nter, who is encouraged to		
SuggestedRemedy Add 2 spaces: "its st	teady state. Upon"							
	Response Status C PLE. o use only a single space between e, so insert a single space.	a period and t	he beginning of a					

C/ 04 SC 4.2.7.	4 P 04.15	L 37	# 121	C/ 04	SC 4.2.8	P 04.18	L 48	# 123
Tom Mathey	Baynetworks			Tom Mathe	y	Baynetworks		
Comment Type T	Comment Status R			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		entered
move the text in par wasTransmitting: to Subclause 4.2.7.2 Correction of this lo	ng standing error is not a service t	a 37 of: n progress or to mankind. N	just completed}	Pascal assignr Transm will cau	, assignment te ment statemer nitLinkMgmt := ise an immedia	atement in the base standard (1 o a function causes the function at on line 42 of: TransmitLinkMg lateCollisionErrorStatus; ate return of function (TransmitLi Counters will never be executed.	to return immed mt := transmitO inkMgmt), and th	liately.", then the K; or on line 46 of:
wasTransmitting is r layer.	ot included in paragraph 4.3.3 Sei	rvices required	d from the physical	Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy				move o	all for LayerM	gmtTransmitCounters from line	48 to line 39 as	follows:
Move text:				end; {lo	non}			
from the var secti	on of 4.2.7.4 Summary of interlaye of 4.2.7.2 Transmit state variables			LayerN	1gmtTransmitC			
Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status C				mitSucceeding	and transmit error counters in 5	.2.4.2}	
Note that since the	t with in the maintenance process.	only in process			ariables used	owledge, the call to LayerMgmtT by the Pascal in lines 39 to end		
It can be defined wit	hin process Deference as a local v	/ariable.		Proposed I	Response	Response Status C		
C/ 04 SC 4.2.8 Tom Mathey	P 04.18 Baynetworks	L 45	# 122	ACCE				
Comment Type E the line "else if (ex	Comment Status A tend and lateCollisionCount > 0)"	needs to hav	entered ve a "then" added.	that it v		from type "E" to type "T" by clau e technical comment report rath		
SuggestedRemedy change text from "else if (exte	nd and lateCollisionCount > 0)"					ments on lines 42 and 43, so that is performed after the clearing of		
to "else if (extend	and lateCollisionCount > 0) then"			C/ 04	SC 4.2.8	P 04.20	L 7	# 124
Proposed Response	Response Status C			Tom Mathe	y	Baynetworks		
ACCEPT.				Comment in the li	51	Comment Status A s = 1 then maxBackOff := 2" the	word "if" needs	to be in italics.
				Suggested change	<i>Remedy</i> from plain tex	tt to italics.		
				Proposed I ACCEF	•	Response Status C		

				1 002.02
CI 04	SC 4.2.8	P 04.22	L 37	# 105
Pat Thaler		Hewlett-Pac	ckard	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		entered
but I late Laye the I upda	I'm looking at it a collision detect erMgmtTramsm ate collision cou ate other counte ket even though	before and got convinced the again and it still looks broker during extend with transmitti itCounters so that the late control nt. However, LayerMgmtTra- rs based on what was left in those counters have alread	 When we get a ng false, we call ollision will get added ansmitCounters will variables by the last 	t
(trar the o it an fram incre	nsmitting is false only thing which d the next invoc nesTransmitted eased by the siz	tSucceeding will be true wh , so Watch for Collision is no will set it false between Star ation of TransmitLinkManag DK will get incremented, octo e of the last frame, and othe onditions left by the last fram	ot running which is tTransmit setting ement). Therefore, etsTransmittedOK wi er objects will be	
exec (04- eithe cour Laye	cuting at the san 18 lines 2-8) wh er the late collisi nted twice (once erMgmtTransmit	race condition problem. The time as the beginning line ich set lateCollisionCount to on will not get counted beca when Bit Transmitter calls Counters and once when Tr Counters for the next frame	es of TransmitLinkMg 0. In that case, use or it will get ansmitLinkMgmt call	-
Suggested	Remedy			
Replac	-	l lines 33 to 38 (from "begin ateCollision)"	" to "end") with	
clau "Incl	se 5 which has	create a process called IncL executes that line and replac keep the layer managemer	ce lines 33 to 38 with	
Proposed I PROP	Response DSED ACCEPT	Response Status C		
"end" w		rst suggestion, I.e. replace t inter(lateCollision). This is t		n" to
Also pi	ck up reference	to 802.1-1990 from second	paragraph of subcla	ause 5.1.2 and

Also pick up reference to 802.1-1990 from second paragraph of subclause 5.1.2 and insert in 4.2.2.4, and make it general enough so that it also applies to clause 5 Pascal.

CI 06	SC 6.1	P 6 .	1	L 16	#	240		
Seoff Tho	mpson	Bay N	Bay Networks, Inc.					
The p edition correc	n is not correct, h	Comment Status correct. The one that is owever the figure was or publication of 802.3 standard.	s in the fixed fo	rm incorrect to				
Chang "Repla (NOT the fig to: "Repla (NOT the fig	ace figure 6-1 wi E- The figure in t jure substituted b ace figure 6-1 wi E- The figure in t	he current edition of IS by 802.3x is not technic	ally cor	rect.)" 3802-3 is incorrect,	for			
Proposed ACCE	Response PT.	Response Status	С					

C/ 22 SC 22 David Law	P 22.1	L 18	# 253	C/ 22	SC 22.2.4	P22.2	L 10	# 24
	3Com			Rich Seife		Networks and	Commu	
Comment Type E The stars beside the M they are. SuggestedRemedy See comment	Comment Status A II and GMII on this figure seen	n redundant and	should be removed if	Claus as a "	hes" are defined as da the 1.4 (Definitions) only management frame" of aragraph, but is not the	Comment Status D ta exchanges occurring at y define "data frames"; the defined there. The term "fr e "Frame Format" defined	ere is no such th rame format" is	ning used in
Proposed Response	Response Status C			Suggeste	dRemedy			
ACCEPT. Delete all ast C/ 22 SC 22.1 (a)	terisks including MII, GMII, AU	I and PMD (7 tot	al). # 22	(or a s	nate the use of the terr similar term) instead. L r term) instead of "Ma	n "management frame". U Jse "Management exchan nagement frame".	lse "Manageme ge encapsulatio	nt exchange" n" (or
Rich Seifert	Networks and	Commu		Proposed	Response R	esponse Status W		
SuggestedRemedy Clarify the intent of this 10 Mb/s or 100 Mb/s, y	Comment Status A d for operation of managemer statement, that MII data transf et the management interface s	ers can occur at supports 10, 100	, and	appro sugge portio 22.2.4	wed IEEE standard. 8 ested remedy is also in ns of 802.3u not modi	text criticized by the com 302.3z does not introduce hsufficient to accomplish t fied by 802.3z also refere 2.3z to rewrite 802.3u to r d.	a new usage of the intent of the ence manageme	f the term frame. The commenter. Other ent frames (e.g.,
data and management	pect that the best way to do th functions into separate subpar		the	<i>CI</i> 22 Tom Math	SC 22.2.4	P 22.2 Baynetworks	L18	# 125
Proposed Response	Response Status C			Commen		Comment Status A		
interface is not defined	ent a related error is noted on l to support 1 Mb/s operation, t e as well as in lines 44-45.			l belie the sh	eve that PICS entry MI	-69 which referes to paraged a line 18 for extended ba		
Change line 40 to read:				Suggeste	dRemedy			
"between Station Mana	gement (STA) and PHY entition	es supporting dat	a transfer at 10 Mb/s	Chan	ge PICS entry MF69 f	rom 22.2.4.4 to 22.2.4.		
or above."				Proposed	Response R	Response Status C		
	ad: oorting 10 Mb/s and 100 Mb/s for PHYs supporting data tran			Spon: stand	sor ballot comment #1	rence on 22.9 line 38 to 2 49 from David Law. The s PICS reputation he reco	clause editor ca	n only surmize that
C/ 22 SC 22.1.5 Rich Seifert	P 22.1 Networks and	L 53 Commu	# 23					
Comment Type E	Comment Status A							
SuggestedRemedy Insert the word "suppor of operation".	ted" between " capabilities f	or any" and "spe	ed					
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							

C/ 22 SC 22.2.4 Brad Booth	P 22.2 Jato Technolog	<i>L</i> 20 ies, Inc	# 40	C/ 22 SC 22.2 Tom Mathey	.4.1.9	P 22.5 Baynetworks	L18	# 126
Comment Type E Comme Text makes no inference to 10 Mb SuggestedRemedy Change sentence to read: The status and control functions d Mb/s, 100 Mb/s and 1000 Mb/s PH	efined here are consi	idered basic ar	nd fundamental to 10	reasonable. For ti cycle) may also be SuggestedRemedy	based design ne GMII octal e reasonable.	ament Status A a, a 4 bit time response based design, an 8 bi 4 bit times from GMII =	t time response	(and equal to 1 clock
Proposed Response Respon REJECT. The original 802.3u text 10 Mb/s PHYs were defined before clause 22 should not be made to re C/ 22 SC 22.2.4 Brad Booth	e clause 22 was writt	en, and therefo "basic and fund <i>L</i> 22	ore, the contents of	assert the COL sig While bit 0.7 is set assert the COL sig	nal within 4 B to one and th nal within 8 B	e PHY is connected to BT in response to the one PHY is connected to BT in response to the one D2.3u on page 76: MI	de-assertion of T o a GMII, then th de-assertion of T	ſX_EN. ne PHY shall de- ſX_EN.
Comment Type E Comme Registers 0 and 1 do not select the it, as they are the only registers tha SuggestedRemedy Change sentence to read:	ent Status A e format for registers at indicate the capabi	4 through 8. I lities of the PH	Y device.	Proposed Response ACCEPT. While bit 0.7 is set connected to an M of TX_EN.	to one, the Pl III, or 16 BT w	onse Status C HY shall de-assert the /hen connected to a G	COL signal with	nin 4 BT when
The format of these registers is see Proposed Response Respon ACCEPT. This should have been text fails to include the T-2 register Change lines 21-23 to read (include	se Status C corrected when a def s.	finition for bit 0	5 was removed. The	Add modified Pic After TX_EN is de MII = 4 BT GMII = 16 BT		'alue/Comment reads		

"The format of registers 4 through 10 are defined for the specific Auto-Negotiation protocol used (clause 28 or clause 37). The format of these registers is selected by the bit settings of registers 1 and 15."

C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.2.	2 P30.7	L 53	# 254	C/ 30 SC 30.2.5	P 30.11	L11	# 217
David Law	3Com			Amrit Kalla	VLSI Tech. Inc.		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type E Cor	mment Status A		
for Port N de-asserts w	e Carrier Event function /hen', this is not correct, gnal that is de-asserted,			According to line 11, are s Tables 30-1a and 30-1b do no		, 30-1b, 30-1c, 3	30-1d and 30-1e.
not the Carrier Event fu				SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Either tables 30-1a and 30-1b the document, then:	should be added, or if th	ese tables were	e not meant to be in
The text should read 'T	he Carrier Event function The CarrierEvent signal when'			Change table 30-1c to 30-1a Change table 30-1d to 30-1b			
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Change table 30-1e to 30-1c Delete reference to Tables 30-	-1d and 30-1e fron line 1	11 on oage 30.1	1.
ACCEPT.				Proposed Response Res	ponse Status C		
C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.2.	2 P 30.8	L 31	# 127	ACCEPT.			
Fom Mathey	Baynetworks			The missing tables will be add	ed back in.		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A	o funnu ninon of	o overal which	C/ 30 SC 30.2.5	P30.12	L1	# 256
looks like the fragment	he word framing is followed by from an underline.	a funny piece of	a symbol which	David Law	3Com		
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type T Cor	mment Status A		
delete. Proposed Response	Response Status C			The first two pages of this table my copy of the draft yet the pa consistent.			
ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.2.	2 P30.8	L 33	# 255	Restore tables 30-1a and 30-1	b.		
David Law	3Com			Proposed Response Res	ponse Status C		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			ACCEPT.			
There appears to be a				C/ 30 SC 30.2.5	P30.12	L 55	# 128
SuggestedRemedy				Tom Mathey	Baynetworks		
	d read ' Start of Packet				mment Status A		
delimiter (see 35.2.3.6 ' Start of Packet delir preamble,'.)preamble,' should read niter (see 35.2.3.6),			from page 30.12 to page 30.57 numbers are on the wrong side	1, both the page number	s and the vertic	al strip of line
Proposed Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy			
ACCEPT.				Please correct at next printing,	and whip the chief editor	r with a wet bana	ana.
-				Proposed Response Response Response	ponse Status C		

C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.23 P30.20 L45 # 220	C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.5 P30.25 L45 # 130
mrit Kalla VLSI Tech. Inc.	Tom Mathey Baynetworks
omment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
If the sentence spanning lines 44 and 45 was correct, then alnRangeLengthErrors counter would be erroneously incremented every time	This sentence would seem to preclude "carrier extend error" during half-duplex operation as an error. Suggest further split of half-duplex and full-duplex operation.
a frame with length/type field of value less than the minimum unpadded MAC client data size is received.	SuggestedRemedy
uggestedRemedy	Replace existing text with something like:
The sentence should read, " The counter also contains frames whose length field value is less than the minimum allowed unpadded MAC Client data size and the number of MAC Client data octects received is greater than the minimum unpadded MAC Client DataSize ".	For half-duplex operation at 1000 Mb/s, it is a count of the number of times the receiving media is non-idle (a carrier event) for a period of time equal to or greater than slotTime (see 4.2.4), and during which there was at least one occurrence of an event that causes the PHY to indicate "Data reception error" or "Carrier Extend Error"on the GMII (see Table 35-2).
roposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The sentence will read, " The counter also increments for frames whose length field value is less than the minimum allowed unpadded MAC Client data size and the number of MAC Client data octects received is greater then minimum unpadded MAC Client data octects received is greater	For full-duplex operation at 1000 Mb/s, it is a count of the number of times the receiving media is non-idle (a carrier event) for a period of time equal to or greater than minFrameSize, and during which there was at least one occurrence of an event that causes the PHY to indicate "Data reception error" on the GMII (see Table 35-2).
than the minimum unpadded MAC Client DataSize "	Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Accept the change to the text to split half-duplex and full-duplex operation into two paragraphs.
	Reject the addition of 'Carrier Extend Error' as a reason to increment this counter. 'Carrier Extend Error' is a normal result of collisions in a half-duplex 1000Mb/s network (see 41.2.1.4.2, Jam Generation). This counter should only increment when there was an actua symbol error on the network, not when Jam was sent by the repeater.
	C/ 30 SC 30.3.3.1 P30.26 L48 # 257
	David Law 3Com
	Comment Type E Comment Status A
	>From this line onwards for the next two pages the formatting of the attributes is incorrect. All text apart from the heading appears to be one tab too

far right. SuggestedRemedy Correct formatting Proposed Response

ACCEPT.

Response Status C

Page 16 of 86 C/ 30 SC 30.3.3.1

C/ 30 SC 30.4.3.1.10 P30.36 L 22 # 132 **Baynetworks**

Tom Mathey

Comment Status A Comment Type т

It is very difficult to determine what values and criteria apply to the various speeds.

SuggestedRemedy

Change text to uniquely separate out each speed and its criteria. Suggested text follows.

10 Mb/s operation:

Increment counter by one for each CarrierEvent that meets one of the following two conditions (only one test need be made):

a) The ActivityDuration is greater than ShortEventMaxTime and less than

ValidPacketMinTime, and the CollisionEvent signal is deasserted.

b) The OctetCount is less than 64. the ActivityDuration is greater than ShortEventMaxTime. and the CollisionEvent signal is deasserted.

For 10 Mb/s repeaters:

ValidPacketMinTime is greater than or equal to 552 BT and less than 565 BT. An event whose length is greater than 74 BT but less than 82 BT shall increment either the aShortEvents attribute or the aRunts attribute, but not both.

a CarrierEvent greater than or equal to 552 BT but less than 565 BT may or may not be counted as a runt.

ValidPacketMinTime has tolerances included to provide for circuit losses between a conformance test point at the AUI and the

measurement point within the state diagram.

100 Mb/s operation:

Increment counter by one for each CarrierEvent that meets one of the following two conditions (only one test need be made):

a) The ActivityDuration is greater than ShortEventMaxTime and less than

ValidPacketMinTime, and the COLLISION COUNT INCREMENT state of the partition state diagram (Figure 27-8) has not been entered.

b) The OctetCount is less than 64, the ActivityDuration is greater than ShortEventMaxTime, and the COLLISION COUNT INCREMENT state of the partition state diagram (Figure 27-8) has not been entered.

For 100 Mb/s repeaters:

ValidPacketMinTime is greater than or equal to 552 BT and less than 565 BT. An event whose length is greater than 74 BT but less than 82 BT shall increment either the aShortEvents attribute or the aRunts attribute, but not both.

A CarrierEvent greater than or equal to 552 BT but less than 565 BT may or may not be counted as a runt.

1000 Mb/s operation:

Increment counter by one for each CarrierEvent that meets one of the following two conditions (only one test need be made):

a) The ActivityDuration is greater than ShortEventMaxTime and less than

ValidPacketMinTime and the COLLISION COUNT INCREMENT state of the partition state

diagram (Figure 41-4) has not been entered.

b) The OctetCount is less than 64. the ActivityDuration is greater than ShortEventMaxTime. and the the COLLISION COUNT INCREMENT state of the partition state diagram (Figure 41-4) has not been entered.

For 1000 Mb/s repeaters:

ValidPacketMinTime is 4136BT.

An event whose length is greater than 74 BT but less than 82 BT shall increment either the aShortEvents attribute or the aRunts attribute, but not both.

A CarrierEvent greater than or equal to 552 BT but less than 565 BT may or may not be counted as a runt.

Note:

1. for 1000 Mb/s operation. Figure 41-4, not 27-8, needs to be called out.

2. for 1000 Mb/s operation, 74 and 82 bit times are not an integer number of (octal) clock cvcles.

3. for 100 Mb/s operation, 565 bit times is not an integer number of (nibble) clock cycles. 4. There is no intent to change the technical intent or content of this subclause. If any change occurs, it simply points out the difficulty of interperting the subclause.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

While the sentiments of the comment are accepted the new text suggest is rejected and new text is proposed below.

It should be noted that the function of the counter is the same for all speeds, it is only the values of the variables that changes between speeds and some of the notes that only apply at some speeds. It is should also noted that the commenter has used the now redundant definition of collision events as being signalled by the state of the Partition state machine instead of the function provided by subclause 30.2.2.2.2, repeater function. It is assumed that this was a mistake on the part of the commenter, not a request for a change back to the old method.

New text:-

Increment counter by one for each CarrierEvent that meets one of the following two conditions. Only one test need be made. a) The ActivityDuration is greater than ShortEventMaxTime and less than ValidPacketMinTime and the CollisionEvent signal is deasserted, b) The OctetCount is less than 64, the ActivityDuration is greater than ShortEventMaxTime, and the CollisionEvent signal is deasserted.

For 10 and 100 Mb/s repeaters, ValidPacketMinTime is greater than or equal to 552 BT and less than 565 BT. A CarrierEvent greater than or equal to 552 BT but less than 565 BT may or may not be counted as a runt.

For 10 Mb/s repeater an event whose length is greater than 74 BT but less than 82 BT shall increment either the aShortEvents attribute or the aRunts attribute, but not both. ValidPacketMinTime has tolerances included to provide for circuit losses between a conformance test point at the AUI and the measurement point within the state diagram. For 1000 Mb/s repeaters ValidPacketMinTime is 4136 BT.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

C/ 30 SC 30.4.3.	1.14 P30.37	L 45	# 133	C/ 30 SC 30.4.3	3.1.20 P 30.39	L 36 & 37	# <u>265</u>
Fom Mathey	Baynetworks			David Law	3Com		
Comment Type E Sentence "Generalize	Comment Status R ed nonresettable counter" is miss	ing a period at t	ne end.		Comment Status A LISION COUNT INCREMENT stat	e of	
SuggestedRemedy Add period (.).				been entered' is the signal has not been	agram (Figure 41-4) has not same as the 'CollisionEvent asserted', since it is defined		
Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status C			in 30.2.2.2.2 SuggestedRemedy See comment			
appropriate syntax pa 'generalzed nonreset	that is used to define these attrib aragraph does not have a period. table counter' is not the last senter it is the last sentence so it does	In most other ca	ases the sentence d with a period,	Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 30 SC 30.4.3.4	1.14 P30.37	L 51	# 261	C/ 30 SC 30.4. David Law	3.1.20 <i>P</i> 30.39 3Com	L 38	# 264
David Law	3Com			Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
Comment Type E Suggest text ' valid				The Behaviour defir its end.	nition is missing a semicolon at		
	uld read ' valid for 10 and nly:', that is operation, not			SuggestedRemedy Add missing semice	olon		
SuggestedRemedy See comment				Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 30 SC 30.4. David Law	3.1.9 <i>P</i> 30.36 3Com	L 10	# 258
C/ 30 SC 30.4.3.4 David Law	3Com	L 3	# 262	Comment Type E The name of the att 'shortEvents' should	Comment Status R ribute is incorrect, d read 'aShortEvents'.		
	uld read ' valid for 10 and			SuggestedRemedy See comment			
operations.	nly:', that is operation, not			Proposed Response	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy				REJECT.			
See comment				This text has not ch	anged from that published in 802.3	u	
Proposed Response	Response Status C						

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

					1 002.0
C/ 30	SC 30.4.3.1.9	P 30	.36	L14	# <u>259</u>
David Law		3Com			
Comment 1	Гуре Е	Comment Status	Α		
		ms to be missing, ad 'implementors'.			
Suggestedl See coi					
Proposed F ACCEF		Response Status	С		
C/ 30	SC 30.4.3.1.9	P30	.36	L 20	# 260
David Law		3Com			
Comment 1	Гуре Т	Comment Status	Α		
repeate text ' r	er per collision dor	ays have a limit of a o main topology. Sugge y support one' shou rt one'	est		
Suggestedl	Remedy				
See cor	mment				
Proposed F ACCEF	•	Response Status	С		
C/ 30	SC 30.4.3.1.9	P30	.36	L 8	# 131
Tom Mathey	/	Baynet	works		
Comment 7 Two ser sentend	ntences are run to	Comment Status ogether. Line 8 has a		nd a verb an	d is therefore a
	nma: change to '	'ShortEventMaxTime e is 72 bits (9 octets).		(21 nibbles),	and for the 1000 Mb/s
Proposed F ACCEF	•	Response Status	с		

C/ 30 David Law	SC 30.4.3.2.1	Р 30 3Com	.39 L 5	50 & 52	# 263
Comment Ty The two with shal SuggestedR	occurrences of s l.	Comment Status hould need to be rep			
See com		_	_		
Proposed Re ACCEPT	,	Response Status	C		
C/ 30 Tom Mathey	SC 30.4.3.2.1	P 30 Baynet		53	# 134
	title does not mat	<i>Comment Status</i> ch the title in clause d place this title first.	41, and list is no	ot in order. Cha	nge receive
		rt a BEGIN on the re	ceive timer, par	tition, and carrie	er integrity
Proposed Re ACCEPT		Response Status	с		
CI 30 Tom Mathey	SC 30.5.1.1.10	P 30 Baynet		35	# 136
	ASE increments	<i>Comment Status</i> at a rate that is 10 tir e 100 ms divided by	nes faster than		
SuggestedR change v		(micro) to 10 ms (mil	li).		
Proposed Re ACCEPT	esponse F IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status	с		
'This cou maximur		:- num increment rate o nd 100 000 counts p			

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4 Tom Mathey	P 30.42 Baynetworks	L 44	# <u>135</u>	C/ 30 SC 30.6.1.1.6 David Law	Р 30.47 3Com	L 26	# <u>270</u>
SuggestedRemedy remote fault: 22.2.4.2.9	Comment Status A nees to re-numbered paragraph 9 should be to 22.2.4.2.11 should be to 22.2.4.2.13 Response Status C	is are not correc	xt.	Suggest that 'For clause 37 'For clause 37 Auto-Negotia change globally. SuggestedRemedy See comment			
Cl 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5 David Law Comment Type E ' as specified in clause specified in clause 31 a duplex PHY. SuggestedRemedy See comment Proposed Response	3Com <i>Comment Status</i> A e 36' should read ' as	L 6	# 2 <u>66</u>	The text ' will map to bits . ' maps to bits'. SuggestedRemedy See comment Proposed Response	P 30.47 3Com Comment Status A ' should read	L 26	# <mark>267</mark>
Full-duplex 1000BASE- Cl 30 SC 30.6.1.1.6 David Law Comment Type E Suggest that 'For clause	3Com Comment Status A	•	0	I believe the default is to ca SET in the case of an opera should read ' successful S Please do this globally. SuggestedRemedy See comment Proposed Response	, ation, therefore this	L 30	# <u>268</u>
Proposed Response ACCEPT	Response Status C			ACCEPT.			

C/ 30	SC 30.6.1.1.8	P30	.48 L 9	# 271
David Law		3Com		
should	st ' a set of this a read ' a SET op this same chang	Comment Status attribute will have' eration will have' P e to 30.6.1.1.9 and		
Suggested See co	<i>Remedy</i> mment			
Proposed I ACCEF	•	Response Status	c	
C/ 30	SC 30.6.1.1.8	P30	.48 <i>L</i> 9	# 272
David Law		3Com		
' a GE this sar Suggested	ET operation will r me change to 30.6	return' should read eturn'. Please also 5.1.1.9 and 30.6.1.1.1	do	
Proposed F ACCEF	•	Response Status	с	
C/ 30 Tom Mathe	SC Table 30-1 y	le P30 Baynet		# 129
Comment The line	51	Comment Status rtisedTechnologyA-"	A has an extra dash at the	end.
Suggested remove	<i>Remedy</i> e extra symbol at e	end of line.		
Proposed F ACCEF	Response PT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status	с	
	me of the attribute		cated. Rather than dele	ting the dash the full

name of the attribute will be restored.

			1 002.0	E Dian + Comments			
C/ 30A SC 30.A.7.1 David Law	P 30A.27 3Com	L 34	# 276	C/ 30A SC 30A.7. David Law	P 30A.27 3Com	L 32	# 275
read 'The 1000 Mb/s E	Comment Status A /s Burst capability' should Burst monitor capability' to			Comment Type T The registration arc is above. Please correc	Comment Status A s a duplicate of the one st.		
match 30-1. SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy Please provide a unic	ue registration arc.		
See comment Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 30A SC 30A.2.1	P 30A.14 3Com	L 34	# 273	Will also check all oth	er ARC registrations.		
should read 'The 100/'	Comment Status A 1000 Mb/s Monitor capability' 1000 Mb/s Monitor capability' ease also do this change to						
SuggestedRemedy See comment							
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						
C/ 30A SC 30A.7.1 David Law	P 30A.27 3Com	L 30	# 274	l			
Comment Type E 'GET,' should read 'GE attribute in the list and a semicolon to termina	therefore there should be						
SuggestedRemedy See comment							
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

C/ 30B David Law	SC 30B.2	Р 3 3Con	0B.4 າ	L 28	# 277	
		Comment Status ot be capitalised as i				
SuggestedR See com						
Proposed Re ACCEPT	•	Response Status	С			

	SC 31B.3.7	P31B.1	L15	# 39	
howard frazi	er	cisco systems			
	a space in 100 N	Comment Status A //b/s. onsistently in all clauses			enterea
	s" should be "1	00 Mb/s" uld be made on page 31B.1 line 2	21		
Proposed R ACCEP	•	Response Status C			
C/ 31B	SC 31B.3.7	P 31B.1	L 15-16, 21-	# 25	
Rich Seifert		Networks and Cor	mmu		
Comment T	уре Е	Comment Status A			enterea
Delete t	space between	"100" and "Mb/s" (2 places). r "MII" on line 15-16.			
Change	operation" to "	operating" on line 21-22.			
Change Proposed R ACCEP	esponse	operating" on line 21-22. Response Status C			
Proposed R ACCEP C/ 31B	Response T. SC 31B.3.7		L 21	# 38	
Proposed R ACCEP	Cesponse T. SC 31B.3.7 er Type E	Response Status C	L 21	# 38	entered
Proposed R ACCEP Cl 31B howard frazi Comment T bad tens SuggestedF	SC 31B.3.7 er <i>Sype</i> E se	Response Status C P31B.1 cisco systems Comment Status A	L 21	# <u>38</u>	entereo

C/ 34 SC 34.1	P34.1	L 40	# 137	C/ 34 SC 34.1.2	P 34.2	L 39	# 243		
Tom Mathey	Baynetworks			Geoff Thompson	Bay Networks, I	nc.			
	Comment Status A which leaves block at far lower I abeled PMA is incorrect.	eft labeled PHY	<i>global</i> SICAL and goes in	Comment Type E Entries in table are of	Comment Status A				
SuggestedRemedy Add a dog-leg to the lin Proposed Response ACCEPT. Other instances of this See figures 36-1, 37 These figures are OK a See figures 2-1, 4-1, 6	e such that it enters box labeled <i>Response Status</i> C figure need the same correction -1, and 41-1. and need no correction: S-1, 22-1, and 35-1. and 27 use a different convention	n.		SuggestedRemedy Add a new column at the left with the following entries: "Short Wave Length Optical" "Long Wave Length Optical" "Shielded Jumper Cable" "Category 5 UTP" Proposed Response Response Status ACCEPT. Incorporate the labels into the blocks already present on the left side of the Convert interior lines to "thin" style (per the approved IEEE "informal" table style). The column should now read: "1000BASE-SX Short Wave Length Optical" "1000BASE-LX Long Wave Length Optical" "1000BASE-CX Shielded Jumper Cable"					
Request that the IEEE	editor change other drawings to MDI is part of the physical layer		vention (line goes	"1000BASE-T Categ C/ 34 SC 34.4 Pat Thaler	P34.4 Hewlett-Packard	L 20	# 234		
C/ 34 SC 34.1 Tom Mathey	P 34.2 Baynetworks	L 3	# 138	Comment Type T	Comment Status A	I	recir		
Comment Type E Extra text "and" in the lii	Comment Status A			micron for 1000B	solution of the DMD issues, the N i ASE-SX may need to change to I. ASE-LX may be able to change to	Also, the I for 62	2.5		
	and 1000BASE-CX, and 1000B/ 00BASE-CX, and 1000BASE-T.			SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response	Response Status C				
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			which speaks to the s	dified to reflect the outcome of our same issue, will be recirculated in al outcome of clause 38.				

recirc

C/ 34 SC 34.4		P 3 4	.4 L	22	# 244
Geoff Tho	ompson	Bay No	etworks, Inc.		
	51	Comment Status able entries with respect t budgets		,	lobal - depends on clause 38 ter
Suggeste	dRemedy				
Proposed	l Response	Response Status	U		

ACCEPT.

The table will be modified to reflect the outcome of our Feb. 2-3 interim.

The commentor chooses to disapprove of this response, in order to force recirculation of this comment in future rounds of balloting as needed pending a final outcome of clause 38.

C/ 35 SC 35.1.1 Tom Mathey	P 35.2 Baynetworks	L 17	# 139	C/ 35 SC 35.1.4 P 35.2 L 46-47 # 27 Rich Seifert Networks and Commu					
Comment Type E The English for this sen	Comment Status A tence reads better by adding wo	ord "the".		Comment Type E Comment Status A Clause 35 specifies only the GMII, not MII.					
SuggestedRemedy Change text: from: provided to MAC. to: provided to the MAC Proposed Response ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy Change to read, " 10 Mb/s DTEs, the GMII (like the Clause 22 MII) maximizes media independence". Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.					
C/ 35 SC 35.1.3 William L. Quackenbush	P 35.2 cisco Systems, I	L 33	# 65	C/ 35 SC 35.2 P 35.3 L 6 to 7 # 235 Pat Thaler Hewlett-Packard Hewlet					
Comment Type E	Comment Status A	nc.		Comment TypeTComment StatusAGMII does not support 10 & 100 Mb/s operation.					
Change "can support" to SuggestedRemedy see comment	o "suppons"			SuggestedRemedy Delete first sentence.					
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
C/ 35 SC 35.1.3 Rich Seifert Comment Type E	P 35.2 Networks and Co Comment Status A	L 36-38 ommu	# 26	Delete the complete paragraph. CI 35 SC 35.2.1 P 35.3 L 20-39 # 28 Rich Seifert Networks and Commu # 28 Comment Type TR Comment Status A This clause (and the figure 35-2) should be GMII-only. # 28					
SuggestedRemedy Change " support add using other interfaces."	litional rates" to "support addi (2 places)	tional rates		SuggestedRemedy Combine the signals TXD <7:4> and TXD <3:0> into a single signal TXD <7:0>.					
Proposed Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status C E. Change the referenced parag	•	pport additional	Combine the signals RXD <7:4> and RXD <3:0> into a single signal RXD <7:0>. Delete the asterisks currently present on TXD <7:4> and RXD <7:4>, and the associated asterisk note. Delete the asterisk on GTX_CLK. Delete the signal TX_CLK, and the double-asterisk note.					
rates using other interface capable of operating via	ces (e.g., MII). PHYs must repo a the management interface, as a GMII shall support 1000 Mb/s a	rt the rates at whi described in 22.2	ch they are 4. Reconciliation	Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Action on this comment must be consistent with that taken on comment #29 and #236.					

C/ 35	SC 35.2.1	P35.3	L 38	# 236	C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.1 P35.6 L 32-34 # 29
Pat Thaler		Hewlett-Packa	ď		Rich Seifert Networks and Commu
	no longer accur	Comment Status A ate as we removed the concep	ot of a GMII		Comment Type TR Comment Status A This clause should be GMII-only.
oper	rating in GMII m	ode or MII mode.			SuggestedRemedy
uggested	Remedy				Delete this subclause.
	e note to "Not us lelete note and N				Proposed Response Response Status C
l pre	efer the former b	ecause it gives a clearer idea of the support both GMII and MII ov			ACCEPT. Action on this comment must be consistent with that taken on comment #28 an #236.
roposed F	Response	Response Status C			Leave section number in. Renumbering will occur prior to final publication.
comme	enter's preferred	gnals. See comment #28 and a solution. Comment #224 add ports both an MII and GMII.			C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.2 P 35.6 L 38 # 66 William L. Quackenbush cisco Systems, Inc.
/ 35 om Mathev	SC 35.2.1.1.	3 P 35.4 Baynetworks	L 13	# 140	Comment Type E Comment Status A delete comma after "continuous clock".
omment T	, Туре Е	Comment Status A			SuggestedRemedy see comment
uggested	Remedy	and" removed and two comma	is added.		Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
	Гhe TXD<7:0> а	nd TX_EN and TX_ER _EN, and TX_ER			C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.4 P 35.7 L 27 # 67 William L. Quackenbush cisco Systems, Inc.
roposed F ACCEF	Response PT.	Response Status C			Comment Type E Comment Status R change "errors" to "forced errors".
/ 35 om Mathey	SC 35.2.1.5	P 35.5 Baynetworks	L 37	# 141	SuggestedRemedy see comment
	<i>Type</i> E on is singular, no	Comment Status A triplural.			Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. A propagated error may not be considered a forced error. The description of a
uggested Change	•	_DATA.indicates" to "PLS_DA	TA.indicate"; ie.	, drop the "s".	no error case does not need to itemize the reason(s) why an error might be signalled over the interface. That is the purpose of Figure 35-4 illustrating the propagation of an error. (The only reason described in the standard for forcing the PHY to generate invalid code
Proposed F ACCEF		Response Status C			groups, though the function is not constrained to only that use by the standard). The recommended change is unnecessary, and the recommended adjective potentially confusing because it is not consistent with the decription of the signalled error case later i the clause.

C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.6 William L. Quackenbush	P 35.9	L 44-46	# 76	C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.8 Brad Booth	P 35.10 Jato Technolog	L 42	# 9			
	PHYs that used the GMII s ciliation Sublayer or repeate (_ER. However, in some c	paragraph nall implement r that implements ases, the source		Comment Type E Comment Status R "transfer" should be "transfers" SuggestedRemedy change "transfer" to "transfers" Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. This is a style issue, whether RXD<7:0> is singular or plural. It should be treated						
Fix the paragraph to clearly	state whatever it is trying to	state.		as plural therefore, no		rigular or plura				
Proposed Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. D	esponse Status C elete the paragraph.			C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.8 William L. Quackenbush	P 35.11 cisco Systems,	L 1 Inc.	# 68			
C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.7 Brad Booth	P 35.10 Jato Technologi	<i>L</i> 26 es, Inc	# 10	Comment Type E The "must" in "must no	Comment Status A ot be looped back" looks like it sh	iould be a "shi	all".			
Comment Type T Comment Status A RX_DV in Figure 35-8 is incorrect in its representation of when it can transition from a low to high state. RX_DV can be low for the whole preamble, or it may transition high during any of the preamble bytes as defined in 35.2.2.7. The current waveform diagram shows the RX_DV transitioning from low to high at the start of preamble or during the first two bytes of preamble. SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy see comment Proposed Response ACCEPT. Change "m Add new PICS item: SE22a RXD loophad	Response Status C ust" to "shall" 5, 35.2.2.8, No loopback unless l	oonback mod	e selected M Yes[]			
Change Figure 35-8 to indic during any byte of preamble RX_DV must be asserted do	. Add SFD to the RXD<7:0			C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.8 Brad Booth	P 35.11 Jato Technolog	L 39	# 52			
Proposed Response R	esponse Status C			Comment Type E	Comment Status A					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. T conformant assertion. Make				COL signal shown in f receive signal diagram	gure 35-10 for burst reception. C s. COL is only important for tran	COL is not sho smit.	own in any other			
In doing the above change in illustrations could be misinted	erpreted. With preamble de	fined as 7 bytes, pl	acement of one	SuggestedRemedy Remove COL signal ir	n figure 35-10.					
letter of "PREAMBLE" in ea preamble to a word spaning			piction of	Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C					
Also change FCS to span 4	clock periods in all figures.									
See similar change is made	to 36.4.									

Page 29 of 86 C/ **35** SC **35.2.2.8**

C/ 35	SC 3	5.2.2.9	P35-12	L 7	# 108	C/ 35	50	35.2.3.1	P35.15	L 22	# 11
Pat Thaler	30 3	J.Z.Z.J	Hewlett-Packard		# 106	Brad Booth		55.2.5.1	Jato Technolo		# 11
Comment	Type	TR	Comment Status A		Commenter prefers Accept	Comment		Е	Comment Status A	9.00,	Discuss
In the t as r PCS inclu reco	table, an normal in S. Furthe uding 35 onciliation	encoding terframe er, the tex 5.2.1.5 wh n layer an	of RX_DV=0, RX_ER=1 and gap. However, that condition is t of clause 35 never mentions ich defines the effect of RX_E d 35.2.3.1 which defines interf	RXD = 00 is s never sent that conditio R on the	defined by	Inconsistency in headers 35.2.3.1, 35.2.3.2, 35.2.3.3, 35.2.3.4 and 35.2.3.5. 35.2.3.3 includes the " <data>" in the header for the text. 35.2.3.4 does the same thing with "<efd>". 35.2.3.1, 35.2.3.2 and 35.2.3.5 include the "<>" text in the first sentence describing variable. SuggestedRemedy</efd></data>					35.2.3.5. 35.2.3.3 ame thing with
			/ and RX_ER.			Chang	e head	er 35.2.3.1	I to read: "35.2.3.1 Inter-frame		. Remove text " <inter-< th=""></inter-<>
Suggested			e and make the starting RXD	volue 00 en		frame>	>" from	line 24 on	page 35.15 in sub-clause 35.	2.3.1.	
	next line		Response Status C			delimit	er <sfd< td=""><td>>". Remov</td><td>2 to read: "35.2.3.2 Preamble ve text "<preamble>" from line</preamble></td><td></td><th></th></sfd<>	>". Remov	2 to read: "35.2.3.2 Preamble ve text " <preamble>" from line</preamble>		
100BA leave t	SE-T4 P	HY. The option ta	This code point is used by the code point is preserved to enhance the preserved to enhance the probability of the probability o	ance similar for 1000BA	rity with the MII, and to SE-T. To better define	Chang	ge head		z.s.z. 5 to read: "35.2.3.5 Carrier ex on page 35.17 in sub-clause		id>". Remove text
the coo	de point a	add text t	o 35.15 line 24-26 as modified	by commer	nt #75 to read:	Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Status C		
during transm deasse RXD<7	which no nissions, ertion of l 7:0> valu	o data acti the abser both RX_ le of 00 he	ame> period on a GMII transm vity occurs on the path. Betwe ice of data activity on the recei DV and RX_ER or the deasse exidecimal. On the transmit pa tion of both TX_EN and TX_E	en bursts or ve path is inc rtion of the R th the absen	single frame dicated by the RX_DV signal with an	correla in this Place	ate the case re the <xx< td=""><td><xxx> with edundancy x> in each</xxx></td><td>E. In previous rounds of comm the GMII data stream of Figu is also recommended. heading as suggested, but al- .17 line 25 and <efd> in 35.17</efd></td><td>re 35-15. Cons so retain in text</td><th>sistency is desired, but</th></xx<>	<xxx> with edundancy x> in each</xxx>	E. In previous rounds of comm the GMII data stream of Figu is also recommended. heading as suggested, but al- .17 line 25 and <efd> in 35.17</efd>	re 35-15. Cons so retain in text	sistency is desired, but
See co	omment	#75.									
C/ 35	SC 3	5.2.2.9	P 35.13	L13	# 53						
Brad Booth			Jato Technolog								
Comment	Tvpe	Е	Comment Status R								
CRS is	s not sho		se Carrier indication in figure 3 from RX_ER. This should pr								
Suggested	dRemedy	/									
Add Cl	RS to fig	ure 35-12	to shown CRS being asserte	d in relations	hip to RX_ER.						
Proposed I	Respons	se	Response Status C								
receive	ers, only	transmitte	ncluded in the diagram becausers. From the standpoint of reconstruction, and would have n	ognizing fals	se carrier indications,						

CRS provides no useful information, and would have no defined timing relationship with RX_DV. It might rise before, or after, the false carrier indication is presented on the MII/GMII. It might, or it might not, overlap with the false carrier indication. Therefore, there is no information about false carriers which can be gleaned from this signal, and no need to include it in the diagram.

C/ 35 SC 35.2.3.1 William L. Quackenbush	P 35.15 cisco Systems,	L 24-26 Inc.	# 75	C/ 35 SC 35.2.3.2.1. William L. Quackenbush	P35.16 L1-3 cisco Systems, Inc.	# 77
not the the GMII as a who the other path is not. SuggestedRemedy "The inter-frame <inter-fra during which no data activ receive path is indicated is data activity on the transn TX_ER."</inter-fra 	Comment Status A applies separately to the trans- le. One path of the GMII can ame> period on a GMII transmity occurs on the path. The a by the deassertion of both R) hit path is indicated by the dea Response Status C	smit and receive p be in an inter-fra nit or receive path bsence of data ac <_DV and RX_EF	me period when is an interval ctivity on the R. The absence of	Comment Type E Com The exposition could be cleare SuggestedRemedy change the paragraph to "The preample and SFD are sh from left to right. As shown, th right most bit of each octet is th	nment Status A r. nown above with their bits ordered e left most bit of each octet is the	
"The inter-frame <inter-fra during which no data activ transmissions, the absend deassertion of both RX_D RXD<7:0> value of 00 he</inter-fra 	Replace first paragraph of 3 ime> period on a GMII transmity occurs on the path. Betwice of data activity on the rece of data activity on the rece of and RX_ER or the deasses xidecimal. On the transmit pation of both TX_EN and TX_E	nit or receive path een bursts or sing ive path is indicat ertion of the RX_D th the absence of	le frame ed by the VV signal with an	"MAC transmit start to TX_EN the sampled value of TX_EN n SuggestedRemedy change to "MAC transmit start	P35.18 L 12,1 cisco Systems, Inc. mment Status A sampled" makes no sense. There eeds to be to end the time interval to TX_EN = 1 sampled" if that is th end of the time interval being mea	is no indication of what being measured.
Cl 35 SC 35.2.3.2.1 Rich Seifert Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy After " transmitted seria	P 35.16 Networks and C <i>Comment Status</i> A Ily" add, "from left to right.".	L 2 Commu	# 30	This follows the model "COL a Proposed Response Resp ACCEPT. Add TX_EN=1 to bo	ssert to TXD = Jam sampled" in lii conse Status C	ne 23.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT. See comment #77.

X 35 SC 35.3 P 35.18 L 38 obert Grow XLNT	# 224	C/ 35 SC 35.4 William L. Quackenbush	P 35.19 L 29 # 70 cisco Systems, Inc.
omment Type T Comment Status A While helping to review the proposed resolution to comment #28 Booth pointed out that the references to the PMA interface in sul 35.3 should be to the TBI. The text also needs to be clarified to discriminate between GMII and MII.	oclause	Comment Type E unclear reference SuggestedRemedy change "it" to "the GM	Comment Status A
uggestedRemedy Replace the PMA column headings of 35.19 line 7 with TBI.		Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C
Edit the text of 35.3 to read as follows: The GMII is specified such that implementors may use common implementation of the GMII, the MII specified in clause 22 and the specified in clause 36. A recommended mapping of the signals for the GMII, MII and TBI signals is shown in Table 35-6. Impler using this recommended mapping are to comply with the GMII e characteristics in 35.4, MII electrical characteristics in 22.3 and the TBI electrical characteristics in 36.3 as appropriate for the	ne TBI nenters ectrical	and unnecessarily con SuggestedRemedy Rewrite clauses 35.4.	2 and 35.4.3 as a single clause with subclause structure. Proposed
implemented interfaces. roposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		rewrite submitted to B Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status W
Replace the PMA column headings of 35.19 line 7 with TBI. Ch The GMII is specified such that implementors may share pins for implementation of the GMII, the MII specified in clause 22 and th specified in clause 36. A recommended mapping of the signals for the GMII, MII and TBI signals is shown in Table 35-6. Impler using this recommended mapping are to comply with the GMII e characteristics in 35.4, MII electrical characteristics in 22.3 and the TBI electrical characteristics in 36.3 as appropriate for the implemented interfaces.	ne TBI nentors ectrical	separate markup of p addition of ground syn	editor. Because of size, the change could not be included here see roposed edit from the Beleview meeting. The markup includes mbols to test topology figures. ts: #31, #71, #142, #72, #73, #12, #74, #13, #143
In an implementation supporting both the MII and GMII, some sig all interfaces. For example, the TXD and RXD data bundles are and eight bits wide for the GMII. Also, the GTX_CLK is only use GMII while TX_CLK is used when operating as an MII. Similarly, an implementation supporting both the GMII and TBI in signals onto the GMII control signal pins of TX_ER, TX_EN, RX and CRS signals of the GMII have no corollary in the TBI.	four bits wide for the MII d when operating as a terfaces will map TBI data		
It is recommended that unused signal pins be driven to a valid lo			

C/ 35 SC 35.4.2	P35.19	L 51-52	# 31	CI 35 SC	35.4.3	P35.21	L3 through	# 79
Rich Seifert	Networks and Co	ommu		William L. Quack	enbush	cisco Systems,	Inc.	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		
No tolerance is specified	requirement in this sentence the d for the delay matching of the to PICS for this conformance requ	ansmission lines.		and unneces	ssarily conf	uses 35.4.2 and 35.4.3 is poorly using.	orgainzed	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRem				
Either:						and 35.4.3 as a single clause w write submitted to Bob Grow.	with subclause	
	nould", if the matching is not pre measurement method, and PIC		hing	Proposed Resp WITHDRAV		Response Status Z TOR. Duplicate of comment #7	3.	
(3) Delete the last sente	nce of this paragraph.			CI 35 SC	35.4.3	P35.22	L 12-13	# 72
Proposed Response	Response Status W			William L. Quack	enbush	cisco Systems,	Inc.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	See comment #78.			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A		
Editor note: If #78 is no corresponding PICS iter	t accepted: The first option of s	SuggestedRemed	ly is accepted and			rement Point" and the associate e text and its presence in the fig		e 35-20. It is no
· -				SuggestedRem	edy			
Xilliam L Oueskaphush	P 35.21	L 10	# 71	see comme	nt			
Villiam L. Quackenbush	cisco Systems,	nc.		Proposed Resp		Response Status C		
Comment Type E change "in" to "for"	Comment Status A			ACCEPT. S	ee comme	nt #78 (included in proposed tex	<t).< td=""><td></td></t).<>	
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 35 SC	35.4.3	P35.22	L 32	# 73
see comment				William L. Quack	enbush	cisco Systems,	Inc.	
Proposed Response	Response Status C			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		
, ,	t #78 (included in proposed tex	t).		the "Clock S maximum, n	``	alling)" specification of -0.6 V/ns im.	s is a	
C 35 SC 35.4.3	P35.21	L15	# 142	SuggestedRem	edy			
om Mathey	Baynetworks			Move the -0.	.6 V/ns spe	cification from the minimum to the	ne maximum colum	ın.
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status C		
Change uppercase lette	r V in Voltage to lower case.					E. Based on a small sample of		
SuggestedRemedy Change from " Voltage '	' to " voltage ".			the specifica	ation would	or rejecting this comment. But the be clarified by changing the two te rather than a signed v/ns.		
Proposed Response	Response Status C			See comme	nt #78			

Page 33 of 86 C/ **35** SC **35.4.3**

				Draft 4 Comments				
C/ 35 SC 35.4.3 Brad Booth	P 35.22 Jato Technologie	L 38 es, Inc	# 12	CI 35 SC 38 Tom Mathey	5.5.3.6	P 35.28 Baynetworks	L18	# 143
Comment Type E Repetition of words in s	Comment Status A entence.			51		ent Status A wrong subclause is	called out.	
SuggestedRemedy First sentence should re "Clock Skew rate is the	ead: instantaneous value of the slope	of the clock po	tential with respect	SuggestedRemedy Change from 35	5.4.1 to 35.4.2.			
to time (dV/dt), not an av Proposed Response ACCEPT.	verage value over the entire rise Response Status C	or fall time inter	val."		, ment is overtaken i	se Status C f comment #78 is ac nt #31 is accepted ar	• •	C PICS is required b
C/ 35 SC 35.4.3 William L. Quackenbush	P 35.22 cisco Systems, I	L 45-46 nc.	# 74					
Comment Type E Sentence is imprecise.	Comment Status A							
receivers should note th	te in the paragraph to "Designers tat there is no upper bound spec be applied to the input of a GMII Response Status C	ified on the ma						
ACCEPT.								
C/ 35 SC 35.4.3 Brad Booth	P 35.23 Jato Technologie	L 21 es, Inc	# 13					
Comment Type E	Comment Status R							
notes do not apply for D	correct for tSETUP and tHOLD, I RIVER and RCVR. Note "a" on . This applies to both Table 35-	ly applies to R0	CVR, and note "b"					
SuggestedRemedy								
	tHOLD(DRIVER) descriptions sl OLD(RCVR) descriptions should							
Change in Table 35-9 a	nd 35-10.							
Proposed Response	Response Status C							
	ter is in error, but the text could r		plain the test					
	neasurement point for both the D efore applicable to and should b							

Page 34 of 86 C/ **35** SC **35.5.3.6**

C/ 36	SC 36.1.4	P36.1	L 54	# 144	CI 36	SC 36.2.1	P36.5	L 5-6	# 1	
Tom Mathe	,	Baynetworks			Howie Joł		Plaintree Sys	stems Inc.		
Comment		Comment Status A			Commen		Comment Status A		h daaraa d	resubmit
Suggested At next	Remedy	s on page 36.1; the note is on n that reference to note and the ac			the co	ommentor from the e will submit this o	mitted by Scott Mason. The e D3.3 balloting. The chief ea comment on Scott's behalf du	ditor has promise		
Proposed	Response	Response Status C er suggested remedy.			the cl PCS	ient is called: MAC	nt in its description of the PC C, reconciliation sub-layer, Gl ions of these such as: MAC	VII, repeater,	;	
CI 36	SC 36.1.4.3	P 36.2	L 38	# 145		dRemedy				
Tom Mathe	y	Baynetworks				ect the following in	consistencies:			
Comment Line ne	<i>Type</i> E eeds a comma a	Comment Status A				ge 36.5, lines 5-6,				
Suggested Chang from: mediur	e line: The MDI, logicall	ly subsumed within each PMD s	ubclause is the a	actual	inform		face allows the 1000BASE-2 the MAC (via the Reconcilian as a repeater."			
to: The mediur		subsumed within each PMD subc	clause, is the ac	tual		to				
Proposed	Response	Response Status C er suggested remedy.			inform	nation to and from	face allows the 1000BASE-2 a PCS client. PCS clients in ayer) and repeater."			
					inforn		ace allows the 1000BASEX the MAC (via the Reconciliat as a repeater.			
					2) Pa	ge 36.17, line 8, c	hange from:			
						PD of /T/R/R/ res 36.2.4.14.1)."	ults in one /R/ being delivere	d to the PCS clier	nt	
						to				
						PD of /T/R/R/ res 4.14.1)."	ults in one /R/ being delivere	d to the MAC (se	e	
					Proposed	l Response	Response Status C			
					Page	EPT. Accepted. , subclause and line teted the following	ne references are changed to inconsistency:	o correspond to E	04.	
					1) Pa	ge 36.4, lines 46-4	47, change from:			
							face allows the 1000BASE-; the MAC (via the Reconcilia			
	technical require	ed T/technical E/editorial CON	MENT STATU	S: D/dispatched_A/accepte	d R/rejected		Clause Subclause nage lin	e P:	age 35 of 86	

Page 35 of 86 C/ 36 SC 36.2.1

other PCS client, such as a repeater."

to

"The PCS Service Interface allows the 1000BASE-X PCS to transfer information to and from a PCS client. PCS clients include the MAC (via the Reconciliation sublayer) and repeater."

CL 20	SC 20 2 4 44
CI 36	SC 36.2.4.11

P36.15

L10 **Bavnetworks**

Comment Status A Comment Type E

The words "code groups" need to have the underscore changed to a dash.

SuggestedRemedy

Tom Mathev

Tom Mathey

Change from code_groups to code-groups.

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy.

C/ 36 SC 36.2.4.15 P36.16

Baynetworks

/ 35

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The word "EPD2" is still being used in this specification and is defined as "specified in 36.2.4.14.1;". However, there is no definition of EPD2 in 36.2.4.14.1. Remove all usage of "EPD2" from the specification.

SuggestedRemedy

Change sentence to somewhat match preceeding sentence b). from: c) EPD2: Used by the PCS as the End_of_Packet delimiter, Part 2, as specified in 36.2.4.14.1;

to: c) Packet delimiter: The code-group sequence of /T/R/I/ is used by the PCS as the End of Packet delimiter when the /R/ is transmitted in an odd-numbered code-group position (see 36.2.4.14.1);

Response Status C Proposed Response

ACCEPT. Accepted: EPD2 is used as a label here. The text of item c) is changed as follows to remove the text reference to End of Packet delimiter, Part 2:

c) EPD2: The first /R/ following the /T/ in the End of Packet delimiters /T/R/I/ or /T/R/R/I/."

C/ 36	SC 36.2.4.15	P36.16	L 36	#	150
Tom Mathey		Baynetworks			

Comment Status A Comment Type E

The word "EPD3" is still being used in this specification with a reference to "36.2.4.14.1". However, there is no definition of EPD3 in 36.2.4.14.1. Remove all usage of "EPD3" from the specification.

SuggestedRemedy

Change sentence to somewhat match preceeding sentence b). from: d) EPD3: Used by the PCS as the End of Packet delimiter. Part 3. if necessary, to pad the only or last

to: d) Packet delimiter: The code-group sequence of /T/R/R/ is used by the PCS as the End of Packet delimiter when the first /R/ is transmitted in an even-numbered code-group position. The second /R/ is used to pad the only or last packet of a burst of packets so that the subsequent /l/ is aligned on an even-numbered code-group boundary. When used for this purpose, Carrier Extend is emitted from, and interpreted by, the PCS. An EPD of /T/R/R/ results in one /R/ being delivered to the PCS client (see 36.2.4.14.1).

Proposed Response Response Status C

ACCEPT. Accepted: EPD3 is used as a label here. The text of item d) is changed as follows to remove the text reference to End_of_Packet delimiter, Part 3:

d) EPD3: The second /R/ following the /T/ in the End of Packet delimiter /T/R/R/I/. This /R/ is used, if necessary, to pad the only or last packet of a burst of packets ... "

148

149

C/ 36 SC 36.2.4.2 P36.7 L1	# 57	C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2	P36.19	L9 # 151
Dave Fifield 3Com Corp.		Tom Mathey	Baynetworks	
Comment Type E Comment Status R		Comment Type E Comme	ent Status R	
The text in this paragraph (lines 1-3) and in subclause 36.3.3.1 or page 36.36, lines 10-18, refers to "even-numbered" and "odd-nu code-groups. In 36.2.4.2, an even-numbered code-group is defir the first code-group after a reset or power-on.	imbered"	Remove all usage of End_of_Pack specification. SuggestedRemedy	et delimiter "part 2" and	"part 3" from the
This is a weak description, since "reset or power-on" are not defin	ned.	Change from: The code-group used as eith End_of_Packet delimiter part 3; Ca		
SuggestedRemedy				
I would like to see a reference to Figure 36-9 - Synchronization st diagram added to subclause 36.2.4.2. In Figure 36-9, the variable		to: The code-group used as either an End_of_Packet delimiter of /T/R		
rx_even is defined. This will clarify the definition of the term "even-numbered code-group".		Proposed Response Respons	se Status C	
Suitable wording that can simply be added as another sentence for the paragraph lines 1-3 could be as follows:	ollowing	REJECT. Rejected. Existing text cl within the context of the three code suggested remedy does not.	early and concisely iden -group End_of_Packet c	tifies the code-group delimiter. The
"The even-numbered code-group is defined by the rx_even varia	blougod	C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.3	P36.19	L 23 # 153
in the Synchronization state diagram, Figure 36-9. This variable is		Tom Mathey	Baynetworks	
throughout the Synchronization state machine to determine which		Comment Type E Comme	ent Status A	
code-group is even-numbered and which is odd-numbered."		For the variable "BEGIN", there is r		o a clause or
Proposed Booponeo Booponeo Status				
Proposed Response Response Status C		paragraph where this variable is de	fined, set, or reset.	
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group		paragraph where this variable is de SuggestedRemedy	fined, set, or reset.	
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group	signal rx_even.	SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or referen		
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group groups. Transmitted code-groups are not based on the receive s	signal rx_even.	SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or referen Proposed Response Respons ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted the v instances of its usage with the term	ce. se <i>Status</i> C ariable BEGIN in 36.2.5. ⊨"power_on=TRUE +	
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group groups. Transmitted code-groups are not based on the receive s The signals reset (mr_main_reset) and power_on are now define C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P 36.19 L 16 Tom Mathey Baynetworks	signal rx_even.	SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or referen Proposed Response Respons ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted the v instances of its usage with the term mr_main_reset=TRUE". Defined th	nce. se <i>Status</i> C ariable BEGIN in 36.2.5. "power_on=TRUE + e variables power_on=T	
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group groups. Transmitted code-groups are not based on the receivers The signals reset (mr_main_reset) and power_on are now define C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P 36.19 L 16 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status R	signal rx_even.	SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or referen Proposed Response Respons ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted the v instances of its usage with the term mr_main_reset=TRUE". Defined th mr_main_reset=TRUE in 36.2.5.1.	nce. se <i>Status</i> C ariable BEGIN in 36.2.5. "power_on=TRUE + e variables power_on=T	
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group groups. Transmitted code-groups are not based on the receive s The signals reset (mr_main_reset) and power_on are now define C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P 36.19 L 16 Tom Mathey Baynetworks	signal rx_even.	SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or referen Proposed Response Response ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted the v instances of its usage with the term mr_main_reset=TRUE". Defined th mr_main_reset=TRUE in 36.2.5.1. mr_main_reset	ce. se Status C ariable BEGIN in 36.2.5. "power_on=TRUE + e variables power_on=T 3 as follows:	RUE +
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group groups. Transmitted code-groups are not based on the receive s The signals reset (mr_main_reset) and power_on are now define C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P 36.19 L 16 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status R Remove all usage of End_of_Packet delimiter "part 1" from the	signal rx_even.	SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or referen Proposed Response Response ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted the v instances of its usage with the term mr_main_reset=TRUE". Defined th mr_main_reset=TRUE in 36.2.5.1. mr_main_reset Controls the resetting of the PCS s	ce. se <i>Status</i> C ariable BEGIN in 36.2.5. "power_on=TRUE + e variables power_on=T 3 as follows: tate diagrams via Contro	RUE +
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group groups. Transmitted code-groups are not based on the receivers The signals reset (mr_main_reset) and power_on are now define C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P 36.19 L 16 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status R Remove all usage of End_of_Packet delimiter "part 1" from the specification. SuggestedRemedy Change	signal rx_even. ed due to comment #153. # 1 <u>52</u>	SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or referen Proposed Response Response ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted the v instances of its usage with the term mr_main_reset=TRUE". Defined th mr_main_reset=TRUE in 36.2.5.1. mr_main_reset	ce. se Status C ariable BEGIN in 36.2.5. "power_on=TRUE + ie variables power_on=T 3 as follows: tate diagrams via Contro CS state diagrams.	RUE +
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group groups. Transmitted code-groups are not based on the receivers The signals reset (mr_main_reset) and power_on are now define C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P 36.19 L 16 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status R Remove all usage of End_of_Packet delimiter "part 1" from the specification. SuggestedRemedy	signal rx_even. ed due to comment #153. # 1 <u>52</u>	SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or referent Proposed Response Response ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted the v instances of its usage with the term mr_main_reset=TRUE". Defined th mr_main_reset=TRUE in 36.2.5.1. mr_main_reset Controls the resetting of the PCS s Values: FALSE; Do not reset the P TRUE; Reset the PCS state d	ce. se Status C ariable BEGIN in 36.2.5. "power_on=TRUE + ie variables power_on=T 3 as follows: tate diagrams via Contro CS state diagrams.	RUE +
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group groups. Transmitted code-groups are not based on the receivers The signals reset (mr_main_reset) and power_on are now define C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P 36.19 L 16 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status R Remove all usage of End_of_Packet delimiter "part 1" from the specification. SuggestedRemedy Change	signal rx_even. ed due to comment #153. # 1 <u>52</u> t 1.	SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or referen Proposed Response Respons ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted the v instances of its usage with the term mr_main_reset=TRUE". Defined th mr_main_reset=TRUE in 36.2.5.1. mr_main_reset Controls the resetting of the PCS s Values: FALSE; Do not reset the P TRUE; Reset the PCS state d power_on Condition that is true until such time device that contains the PCS has n	ace. Se Status C ariable BEGIN in 36.2.5. "power_on=TRUE + e variables power_on=T 3 as follows: tate diagrams via Contro CS state diagrams. iagrams. e as the power supply for eached the operating rec	RUE + ol register bit 0.15. r the gion. The
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group groups. Transmitted code-groups are not based on the receive s The signals reset (mr_main_reset) and power_on are now define C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P 36.19 L 16 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status R Remove all usage of End_of_Packet delimiter "part 1" from the specification. SuggestedRemedy Change from: The code-group used for the End_of_Packet delimiter (EPD) to: The code-group used for the End_of_Packet delimiter (EPD)	signal rx_even. ed due to comment #153. # 1 <u>52</u> t 1.	SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or referen Proposed Response Respons ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted the v instances of its usage with the term mr_main_reset=TRUE". Defined th mr_main_reset=TRUE in 36.2.5.1. mr_main_reset Controls the resetting of the PCS s Values: FALSE; Do not reset the P TRUE; Reset the PCS state d power_on Condition that is true until such time device that contains the PCS has m condition is also true when the devi	ace. Se Status C ariable BEGIN in 36.2.5. "power_on=TRUE + e variables power_on=T 3 as follows: tate diagrams via Contro CS state diagrams. iagrams. e as the power supply for eached the operating rec	RUE + ol register bit 0.15. r the gion. The
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group groups. Transmitted code-groups are not based on the receivers The signals reset (mr_main_reset) and power_on are now define C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P 36.19 L 16 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status R Remove all usage of End_of_Packet delimiter "part 1" from the specification. SuggestedRemedy Change from: The code-group used for the End_of_Packet delimiter (EPD) T/T/R/I/. Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. Rejected. Existing text clearly and concisely identifies to	t 1. t code-group	SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or referen Proposed Response Respons ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted the v instances of its usage with the term mr_main_reset=TRUE". Defined th mr_main_reset=TRUE in 36.2.5.1. mr_main_reset Controls the resetting of the PCS s Values: FALSE; Do not reset the P TRUE; Reset the PCS state d power_on Condition that is true until such time device that contains the PCS has n	ce. se Status C ariable BEGIN in 36.2.5. "power_on=TRUE + e variables power_on=T 3 as follows: tate diagrams via Contro CS state diagrams. iagrams. e as the power supply for eached the operating region ice has low power mode	RUE + ol register bit 0.15. r the gion. The set via
REJECT. Subclause 36.2.4.2 discusses transmitted code-group groups. Transmitted code-groups are not based on the receivers The signals reset (mr_main_reset) and power_on are now define C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P 36.19 L 16 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status R Remove all usage of End_of_Packet delimiter "part 1" from the specification. SuggestedRemedy Change from: The code-group used for the End_of_Packet delimiter (EPD) T/T/R/I/. Proposed Response Response Status	t 1. t code-group	SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or referen Proposed Response Respons ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted the v instances of its usage with the term mr_main_reset=TRUE". Defined th mr_main_reset=TRUE in 36.2.5.1. mr_main_reset Controls the resetting of the PCS s Values: FALSE; Do not reset the P TRUE; Reset the PCS state d power_on Condition that is true until such time device that contains the PCS has r condition is also true when the dev Control register bit 0.11.	ce. se Status C ariable BEGIN in 36.2.5. "power_on=TRUE + ie variables power_on=T 3 as follows: tate diagrams via Contro CS state diagrams. iagrams. e as the power supply for eached the operating reg ice has low power mode upletely powered (default en completely powered.	RUE + ol register bit 0.15. r the gion. The set via t).

1 002.02	
C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.3 P 36.20, 36.21 L 21 # 17 Thomas Dineen LSI Logic, 1551 McCar	element within the array: Values: ZERO; Data bit is a logical zero. ONE; Data bit is a logical one.
Comment Type TR Comment Status A The format of the rx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> and tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0> variables seems to be unclear or unspecified. After discussions it became clear that the intended format is specified in 37.2.1.1 and 37.2.4.3.1. Please specify by reference the format of the rx_Config_Reg<d15:d0> and tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0> and tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0> variables. Two references are required: a) Section 36.2.5.1.3, Page 36.20, line 21 rx_Config_Reg<d15:d0>. b) Section 36.2.5.1.3, Page 36.21, line 21 tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0>.</d15:d0></d15:d0></d15:d0></d15:d0></d15:d0></d15:d0></d15:d0>	Cl 36 SC 36.2.5.1.3 P 36.21 L 48 # 154 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status A Sentence has extra "or" and a missing comma. SuggestedRemedy Change from: /S/, or /V/ or the code-group /D/. to: /S/, /V/, or the code-group /D/. Proposed Response Response Status C
SuggestedRemedy Add the following sentence to the rx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> and tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0> variable definitions as shown in Section 36.2.5.1.3.</d15:d0></d15:d0>	ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy. C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.4 P 36.22 L 27 # 231 Bruce LaVigne Hewlett-Packard
At page 36.20, line 21, rx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> add: "The bit format of the rx_Config_Reg<d15:d0> variable is context dependent, relative to the state of the auto-negotiation function, and is presented in sections 37.2.1.1 and 37.2.4.3.1." At page 36.21, line 21, tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0> add: "The bit format of the tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0> variable is context dependent, relative to the state of the auto-negotiation function, and is presented in sections 37.2.1.1 and 37.2.4.3.1."</d15:d0></d15:d0></d15:d0></d15:d0>	Comment Type E Comment Status A The DECODE process updates running disparity based on a calculation, not based on table lookup particularly since the received codegroup may not even be in the table in the case of an error. SuggestedRemedy Change the last sentence of the description of the DECODE function from "DECODE also updates the current running disparity per Table 36-1." to "DECODE also updates the current running disparity per the running disparity rules outlined in 36.2.4.4" Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy.
Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted. Changed the definitions of variables rx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> and tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0> as follows:</d15:d0></d15:d0>	
rx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> A 16-bit array that contains the data bits received from a /C/ ordered_set as defined in 36.2.4.10. Conveyed by the PCS Receive process to the PCS Auto-Negotiation process. The format of the data bits is context dependent, relative to the state of the Auto- Negotiation function, and is presented in sections 37.2.1.1 and 37.2.4.3.1. For each element within the array: Values: ZERO; Data bit is a logical zero. ONE; Data bit is a logical one.</d15:d0>	
tx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> A 16-bit array that contains the data bits to be transmitted in a /C/ ordered_set as defined in 36.2.4.10. Conveyed by the PCS Auto-Negotiation process to the PCS Transmit process. The format of the data bits is context dependent, relative to the state of the Auto- Negotiation function, and is presented in sections 37.2.1.1 and 37.2.4.3.1. For each</d15:d0>	

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

7/36 SC 36.2.5.2.1 P36.24 L24 # 155	C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.2 P36.27 L 28 # 54
om Mathey Baynetworks	Benjamin Brown Cabletron Systems, In
comment Type E Comment Status R	Comment Type E Comment Status A
In the sentence "the /R/ ordered_set may be sourced, " the "may" implies that the /R/ is optional. I believe that the /R/ is required.	Missing assignments to receiving, RX_DV and RX_ER in state RX_CB when transitioning from state EARLY_END
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change from: If TX_EN and TX_ER are both de-asserted, the /R/ ordered_set may be	Add the following 3 assignments to state RX_CB:
sourced, after which the sourcing of /l/ is resumed.	receiving <= FALSE RX_DV <= FALSE
to: If TX_EN and TX_ER are both de-asserted, then either the /T/R/ or the	RX_ER <= FALSE
/T/R/R/ code-groups are sourced, after which the sourcing of /I/ is resumed.	Proposed Response Response Status C
roposed Response Response Status C	ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy.
REJECT. Rejected. This sentence, when taken in context, is referring to when the PCS is sending /R/ or /V/ ordered_sets during carrier extension or carrier extension with errors then TX_ER is deasserted. An additional	C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.3 P 36.29 L 6 # 232 Bruce LaVigne Hewlett-Packard
/R/ may be generated to align the /l/ ordered_set. What Tom states is	Comment Type E Comment Status A
true when TX_EN first deasserts and TX_ER was never asserted but that is not the context for this sentence. That context was handled in lines	There is an extra word "set" in the last sentence of 36.2.5.2.3.
18-20. Note that state diagrams always have precedence per clause 1.	SuggestedRemedy
7/36 SC 36.2.5.2.2 P 36.27 L 27 # 104 at Thaler Hewlett-Packard comment Type TR Comment Status A	Remove the first occurrance of the word "set" in the sentence, so that it now reads: "The detection of a non-SPD carrier event (false carrier) causes the PCS to substitute the value (00001110) for the code-group received, set RXD<7:0> to this value, and assert RX_ER."
The state RX_CB can be entered from the state EARLY_END (on the next page). When that happens, receiving, RX_DV and RX_ER remain asserted until RX_K or WAIT_FOR_K state is entered which can be up to 4 octets later. Is that okay?	Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy.
uggestedRemedy	
Add receiving = FALSE, RX_DV = FALSE and RX_ER = FALSE to RX_CB state.	
roposed Response Response Status C	

C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.4 P 36.29 L 25 # 50	C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.6 P 36.31 L 1 # 6 Howie Johnson Lucent Technologies
Howie Johnson Signal Consulting Comment Type TR Comment Status R Regarding the conditions which cause the PCS auto-Negotiation process to begin, no tolerance has been provided for the condition "signal_detect=FAIL for 1 us or more". An implementation which began Auto-Negotiation after 1.001 uS, as opposed to 1.000 uS, would technically not comply with the wording in this section. I don't believe that was the intent. SuggestedRemedy Reword the first part of the first sentence on line 26 to read: "The condition sync_status-FAIL existing for a duration of greater than or equal to link_timer, or signal_detect=FAIL existing for a duration of greater than or equal to X, where X is an implementation-dependent constant in the range of 1 us to 20 ms, causes the PCS Auto-Negotation process to begin the transmission of /C/." Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. Rejected. Please refer to the suggested remedies for those comments. This comment is overtaken by a successful resolution to comment #34: Change: C C	Howie Johnson Lucent Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A resubmi Comment originally submitted by April Bergstrom. The comment was rejected during the D3.3 recirculation ballot, and the commenter approved of that disposition. The chief editor has promised to preserve this issue for further consideration during the sponsor ballot: The variable "mr_loopback" is not defined for figure 36-9. SuggestedRemedy Add the following definition to 36.2.5.1.3 : mr_loopback A boolean that indicates the enabling and disabling of data being loopbacked through the PHY. Loopback of data through the PHY is enabled when Control register bit 0.14 is set to one. Values: FALSE; Loopback through the PHY is disabled. TRUE; Loopback through the PHY is enabled. Proposed Response Response Status C Added a row defining mr_loopback in table 37-8, entitled "PCS state diagram variable to management register mapping", on page 37-14. The contents of the row are: mr loopback 0.14 Loopback (see 36.2.5.1.3)
 an_sync_status Qualified version of sync_status for use by Auto-Negotiation to detect a sync_status timeout condition. Values: OK; The variable sync_status defined in 36.2.5.1.3 is OK. FAIL; The variable sync_status defined in 36.2.5.1.3 is FAIL for a duration greater than or equal to the link timer. Change 36.2.5.2.4 on page 36.29, line 25: The condition sync_status=FAIL existing for ten ms or more causes the PCS Auto-Negotiation process to begin and the PCS Transmit process to begin transmission of /C/. 	 Cl 36 SC 36.3.1.2 P36.32 L15 # 230 Bruce LaVigne Hewlett-Packard Comment Type E Comment Status A The reference to PMA_UNITDATA.request should be PMA_UNITDATA.indicate. This was actually resolved in comment #3 on draft 3.3, but must not have made it into D4.0 for some reason. SuggestedRemedy Change "PMA_UNITDATA.request" to "PMA_UNITDATA.indicate" in subclause 36.3.1.2 Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy.

C/ 36 SC 36.3.2.4 P 36.33 L 34 # 157 Tom Mathey Baynetworks	C/ 36 SC 36.3.3.1 P 36.36 L 8 # 160 Tom Mathey Baynetworks
Comment Type E Comment Status A The words "code_groups" need to have the underscore changed to a dash.	Comment Type E Comment Status A The words "code_groups" need to have the underscore changed to a dash.
uggestedRemedy Change from code_groups to code-groups.	SuggestedRemedy Change from code_groups to code-groups.
roposed ResponseResponse StatusCACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy.	Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy.
/ 36 SC 36.3.3 P 36.33 L 47 # 158 om Mathey Baynetworks	C/ 36 SC 36.3.3.2 P 36.36 L 41 # 161 Tom Mathey Baynetworks
Comment Type E Comment Status R I believe that reference to ending paragraph is incorrect.	Comment Type E Comment Status A Table 36-5 lists the permitted combinations as well as the undefined, which is all of the possible.
uggestedRemedy change from: shall behave as described in subclauses 36.3.3 through 36.3.6. to: shall behave as described in subclauses 36.3.3 through 36.3.8.	SuggestedRemedy Change sentence from: Table 36-5 lists the permitted combinations of control signals on
coposed ResponseResponse StatusCREJECT. Rejected. Subclauses 36.7 and 36.8 are not specific to the TBI.	this TBI. to: Table 36-5 lists all possible combinations of control signals on this — TBI, including the valid combinations as well as the undefined
/ 36 SC 36.3.3.1 P 36.35 L 28 # 159 om Mathey Baynetworks	combinations.
omment Type E Comment Status R	Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted. Changed sentence from: Table 36-5 lists the permitted
Of all of the Ten Bit Interface signals, only -LCK_REF is listed with a polarity (minus). Suggest removing polarity symbol minus (-) since it adds no usefull information (or add the symbol plus (+) to all of the other signals).	to: Table 36-5 lists all possible combinations of control signals on this TBI, including the valid combinations as well as the undefined combinations.
ggestedRemedy Change from -LCK_REF to LCK_REF. This occurs on: page 36.34, line 18;	Change Table 36-5 title to TBI combinations of control signals
page 36.35, line 28; page 36.35, line 39; page 36.36, line 36; and page 36.37, line 3.	C/ 36 SC 36.3.4.2 P 36.38 L 15 # 35 Brad Booth Jato Technologies. Inc Jato Technologies. Inc Jato Technologies. Inc
roposed ResponseResponse StatusCREJECT. Rejected. "-" is used to indicate active low signal. The labeling follows conventions used in the source of this text, the Fibre Channel 10-bit Interface and virtually all vendor level implementation information. Diverging from these specs is not viewed as being useful.	Brad Booth Jato Technologies, Inc Comment Type E Comment Status A Missing a "/" or an "and" to seperate "Input output" SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Figure 36-11 - Input/output valid level for AC measurements"
	Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy.

Page 41 of 86 C/ 36 SC 36.3.4.2

C/ 36 Tom Mathey	SC 36.3.4.3	P 36.37 Baynetworks	L 50	# 162	C/ 36 Tom Mathe		36.3.7	P 36.41 Baynetworks	L 4	# 163
Comment 1	Type E	Comment Status A			Comment	Type	Е	Comment Status R		
36-12 (I Suggestedl Change from: P	upper case). R <i>emedy</i>		r case) and Fig	ure	NC" serial (which 36.1.4	circuitry n to me i 4.2 on pa	opback mo of a devic implies the age 36.2, I	de may be implemented either e." serial interface). conflicts with ine 28 wich states e PMD Service Interface.		or the
Proposed F ACCEF	,	Response Status C r suggested remedy.						page 36.34, line 39 which state to the Receive function input		
C/ 36 Brad Booth	SC 36.3.6.2	P36.40	L 43	# 36		onflicts v serial s	0	36-10 on page 36.34 which sh	ows loopback	< switches
	_	Jato Technolog	es, inc		Suggestee	dReme	dy			
Comment 1		Comment Status A			Please	e make	all of the p	ieces consistent.		
		n footnote, but REFCLK does r	iot exist.		Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status C		
Suggestedl Change	2	PMA_TX_CLK".			functio	on. It is o	desirable t	only loopback requirement im loopback through serial logic	in order to	
Proposed F ACCEF	•	Response Status C r suggested remedy.			require	ement a	s indicate	e device logic as possible. How d in the note. The references or ufficiently vague to not require	n 36.2, line 28	
					C/ 36	SC	36.3.7.2	P 36.41	L14	# 164
					Tom Mathe	еу		Baynetworks		
					Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status R		
					sion Didles.					
					Suggeste	dReme	dy			
			Specifically state that for a GMMI interfa transmitter sends /I2/ (I think).							the
					Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status C		
					case. What i	The (co	ntinued) tr	transmission of /I2/ is allowed ansmission of data is specifical mentation dependent. The exis	ly disallowed.	

C/ 36 SC 36.4 P 36.4 Rich Seifert Network:	1 <i>L</i> 32-40 s and Commu	# 32	C/ 36 Tom Mathe	SC 36.5.1	P 36.42 Baynetworks	L 4	# <u>165</u>
comment Type TR Comment Status A			Comment	,	Comment Status R		
First, the draft repeatedly states that the GMII is exposed interface. However, this paragraph say PCS interface, then it SHALL comply with the G appears to be self-contradictory.	s that if there is an expo	osed	page 3 36.42,	35.18 are added to then the result sh	n the numbers of Table 35-5 fo o numbers of Table 36-9a for (ould be equal to the numbers ge 36.43. They do not.	GMII to/from ME	01 on page
Second, the last statement of this paragraph ap "if an exposed interface is provided to the PM the TBI it shall comply with the [TBI] requirem if it *didn't* comply with the requirements, then it	A, and that interface is ents". By definition,		4		Table 36-10, one entry does r input to MDI output = Jam, (wo		
The statement neither requires that exposed PM TBI requirements, not does it require that the TI PMA interface. It basically says that if you want t TBI-compliant, then it must comply with the requ	BI be used as the exposito make your interface uirements for a		192 48	bit times, Table T	able 36-9a: MDI input to COL able 35-5: COL assert to JAM	1	
TBI-compliant interface, which is a content-free	statement.		136		able 36-9a: TX_EN Sampled this seems like best number to		
SuggestedRemedy Either eliminate this subclause in its entirety, and entries, or delete all but the first sentence of this			 450	bit times			
Proposed Response Response Status C			The 44	10 bit times is not	equal to the 450 bit times.		
ACCEPT. Accepted. Reword the paragraph to r	read:		Suggested	Remedy			
There is no requirement for a compliant device interfaces specified for the PCS or PMA. Imple				n difference of 10 e the numbers.	bit times (which is not equal to	1 clock cycle) o	or
requirements as specified in clause 35. Implem			Proposed	Response	Response Status C		
requirements as specified in clause 36.3.3.			the ME this the	OI input to COL as	L assert to JAM is 112 bits, no sert number of 192 is a total o d to MDI Output number of 136 uestion.	f 304. Add to	
			C/ 36	SC 36.7.4.2	P36.46	L 7	# 166
			Tom Mathe	ey 🛛	Baynetworks		
			Comment In the		Comment Status A 1, it would be nice to add a co	mment.	
					- Transmitter initial running dis	parity assumes	
			•	Response	Response Status C suggested remedy.		

CI 36	SC	Figure 36	-1	P3	6.3	L 16	#	146	
Tom Mathey				Bayne	etworks				
Comment Ty		Е	Commer						
			hich leaves a straignt lir			eft labeled _X-PMD is incorrec	xt.		
SuggestedR Add a do upper let	og-leg	•	such that it	enters b	ox labeled	MEDIUM at the			
Proposed Re ACCEP			Response suggested		С				
C/ 36	SC	Figure 36	-2	P 3		L 12	#	147	
Tom Mathey				Bayne	etworks				
Comment Ty	/pe	Е	Commer	nt Status	Α				
			usefull inforr			f lines into and			
SuggestedR	leme	dy							
			s for block tr nsmitting, re		and xmit.				
Proposed Re	espol	nse	Response	e Status	С				
as transr incomple presente overly cli	mitting etene ed to a uttere	g, receiving ss was that add more s ed and com	all signals ignals. Add	since they were not ing these ne origina	were inco listed. Co or all sign	titles such omplete. The mments were al would have of this figure			
						n the sync block ate parallel data.			
CI 36	SC	Figure 36	-7b	P3	6.28	L 40	#	156	
Tom Mathey				Bayne	etworks				
0	re rea	E ads better if ow the line				ad of some above			
SuggestedR	eme	dy							
					t above th	e line. This			
For exit of	it 3 pl	aces (lines	00, 40, und	· + ·).					

C/ 36A SC 36A.4	P 36A.2	L 24	# 37	C/ 36A	SC Global	P Global	L Global	# 33
rad Booth	Jato Technolo	gies, Inc		Edward S.	Chang	Unisys Corpora	ition	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		
Missing underscores in sig	inal names.			The titl	e, Random jitter	test patterns, does not represe	nt the contents	
uggestedRemedy						tle means, the test patterns for r erministic jitter (DJ).	andom jitter	
Change to:				~ /				
IPG (TX_EN and TX_ER I	,					ludes variety of test patterns: ency test pattern -RJ (also transi	tion	
• •	Response Status C			asymm	0 1			
ACCEPT. Accepted per su	uggested remedy.					ncy test pattern - RJ (also PLL t Jency test pattern - RJ and DJ	racking error)	
						s random jitter test pattern - RJ a	nd DJ	
						s of Clause 36A is to provide va e the jitter (RJ, DJ, BER) for the		
					different jitter co			
				Theref	ore, the title sho	uld be changed to "Jitter test pa	atterns", which	
					clude all jitter: R.		,,	
				Furthe	rmore, it is reco	ommended to explain the purpos	ses of each tests.	
				Suggested	Remedy			
						6, change the title to "Jitter test p		
						 add "The intent of this test pat ER of 10^12, and the asymmetr 		
				time".	-	-		
						28, add "The intent of this test p	attern is to	
						and PLL tracking error". add "The intent of this test patte	ern is to test	
						J and DJ (deterministic jitter)".		
				Proposed	,	Response Status C		
				ACCE	PT. Accepted. T	The following changes are made	:	
						6, changed the title to "Jitter test 16, added "The intent of this tes		
				test rar	ndom jitter (RJ)	at BER of 10^-12, and the asym		
					on time."	26 added "The intent of this too	t nottom is to	
				5. At pa test lov	age son. i, line . v frequency RJ :	26, added "The intent of this tes and PLL tracking error."	i pallern is lo	
				4. At a	ge 36A.1, line 3	5, added "The intent of this test		
				test the	e combination of	f RJ and deterministic jitter (DJ).	"	

CI 36B SC Tom Mathey	P 36B.1 Baynetworks	L 13	# 167
Comment Type E The words "code_grou	Comment Status A	e changed to a d	dash.
SuggestedRemedy Change from code_gro page 36B.1, line 13, page 36B.1, line 30, page 36B.2, line 16.	ups to code-groups on:		
Proposed Response	Response Status C		
ACCEPT. Accepted pe	er suggested remedy. P 36B.2 G2 Networks	L 5-7	# <u>36001</u>
ACCEPT. Accepted per Cl 36B SC 36B-3 Rich Taborek Comment Type E	P36B.2		

C/ 37 SC 37.1.1 P 37.1 L 28 # 168 Tom Mathey Baynetworks	C/ 37 SC 37.2.1.1 P 37.3 L 52 # 18 Thomas Dineen LSI Logic, 1551 McCar
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
The FLP Bursts as defined in clause 28 take place on 100 ohm cable.	The format of the rx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> and tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0> variables</d15:d0></d15:d0>
	as shown in clause 36 seems to be unclear or unspecified. After discussions
SuggestedRemedy Change text	it has seen a close that the internal of format is an acifical in 27.2.4.4 and
from: the same function on two pairs of 150-ohm balanced copper cabling.	became clear that the intended format is specified in 37.2.1.1 and 37.2.4.3.1.
to: the same function on two pairs of 100-ohm balanced copper cabling.	
Proposed Response Response Status C	Please specify by reference the format of the rx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> and tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0> variables.</d15:d0></d15:d0>
ACCEPT. Accepted. The text "on two pairs of 150-ohm balanced copper cabling." is	
stricken due to 1000BASE-T.	Two references are required.
CI 37 SC 37.14 P8 L0 # 172	In sections 37.2.1.1 and 37.2.4.3.1 please add references to section 36.2.5.1.3
Tom Mathey Baynetworks	concerning both the definitions of rx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> and</d15:d0>
Comment Type E Comment Status A	tx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> variables.</d15:d0>
Use of plural (diagrams) where singular (diagram) is needed (Figure 37-6 is	
one figure).	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Add the following sentence to both 37.2.1.1 and 37.2.4.3.1.
Change text	At page 37.3, section 37.2.1.1, line 55, add:
from: The state diagrams of Figure 37-6 generate and accept variables	"The bit format of the rx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> and tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0></d15:d0></d15:d0>
to: The state diagram of Figure 37-6 generates and accepts variables .?	variables is context dependent, relative to the state of the auto-negotiation
Proposed Response Response Status C	function, and is
ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy.	presented in here and in section 37.2.4.3.1."
	At page 37.9, section 37.2.4.3.1, line 24, add:
	"The bit format of the rx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> and tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0></d15:d0></d15:d0>
	variables is context dependent, relative to the state of the auto-negotiation
	function, and is
	presented here and in section 37.2.1.1."
	Proposed Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT. Accepted. The following changes are made:
	At page 37.3, section 37.2.1.1, line 55, added:
	"The bit format of the rx_Config_Reg <d15:d0> and tx_Config_Reg<d15:d0></d15:d0></d15:d0>
	variables is context dependent, relative to the state of the
	Auto-Negotiation function, and is presented in here and in 37.2.4.3.1."

At page 37.9, section 37.2.4.3.1, line 24, added: "The bit format of the rx_Config_Reg<D15:D0> and tx_Config_Reg<D15:D0> variables is context dependent, relative to the state of the Auto-Negotiation function, and is presented here and in 37.2.1.1."

CI 37 SC	C 37.2.1.5.3	i	P 37.6	L 4	# 14	CI 37	SC	37.2.4.3		P 37.9	L 11	# 171
Howard Frazier			Cisco Systems	s, Inc		Tom Math	ey			Baynetworks		
Comment Type	TR	Comment	Status A			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment S	Status A		
			he implementation porithm. The text			Code.	", I can i		"it shall send a l matching PICS 5.			
While sync	_status = F	AIL, remote fa	ult information is	not signaled.		Suggeste	dReme	dy				
Under this c remote fault can see that receives the link partner which is stu receipt of /C Furthermore based on lo which we hat Lastly, the c about a faile again. This	ondition, it v = Link Failu t the link is b e remote fau which has d ck in a reset C/ zero confi e, the curren ss of sync, e ave come to current beha d link will or is too late to o report sick	vould be usefu re, so that the roken. This a It indication to etected a brok state (which w g words). It behavior, wh exhibits the old know and hat vior will report by be signalled be of any he	, sync_status = F ul for a station to remote end of th llows the station differentiate bet en link, and a link would be indicate inch reports remo d "hair trigger" be e. "old news". The d once the link is lp, since the des han healthy ones	signal he link which ween a c partner d by the te fault heavior information healthy ireable		Add P Item Featu Subcl. Value, but loo Status Suppo (E Suppo Note: Sugge Trans	PICS ent NP12 re Tra ause 3 /Comme cal devic S NP:N ort Yes But I do r ort of N// The NF ested tex mission <i>Respor</i>	ry: nsmission 37.2.4.3 ent Both the has no 1 5 [], N/A [not unders A [], pleas A [], pleas A [], pleas A [], pleas A [], pleas A [], pleas A [], pl	stand how a Sta se verify). e text should be nge NP1 from:	d link partner ha mation to send. tus of Mandator revised to be o "Transmission tatus C	ave Next Page y can have a lifferent from N of Message" to	ability, IP12.
SuggestedRem Change tex		2 to rood										
A Remote has detec an_sync_ transmitte	e Fault enco ted a Link_l status = FA	ding of 0b10 i Failure as indi IL. This Rem _ENABLE sta	ndicates that the cated by the con ote Fault encodir te as long as the	dition ng is continously	/							
	arison rx_C	onfig_Reg <d< td=""><th>e RF bits should 15:0>=0 for the p</th><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></d<>	e RF bits should 15:0>=0 for the p									
Proposed Resp	onse	Response	Status C									
ACCEPT. /	Add a sentei	nce to clause	37.2.1.5.3 which	reads:								
"Another inc			ndition is provide									

C/ 37 SC 37.2	.4.3	P 37.9	L 8-9	# 8		C/ 37	SC 37.2.4.3	3.11	P 37.11	L 40-43	# <u>7</u>	
Howie Johnson		Cabletron Sys	tems, In			Howie Johnso	า		Cabletron Syst	tems, In		
Comment Type E	Comr	ment Status A			resubmit	Comment Typ	e E	Comme	nt Status A		resub	
during the D3.3 re	circulation ballo	Benjamin Brown. The ot, and the commente promised to preserve ponsor ballot:	er approved of th			during the dispositior	D3.3 recirc . The chief	ulation ballot,	enjamin Brown. Th and the commente omised to preserve onsor ballot:	er approved of that		
advertised ability N This is wrong beca can choose to not	IP bit shall be use the hardw set the NP bit.	lines 8 & 9 in d3.3 nc set from the Next Pag are can be Next Pag I also can't find wher particular comment.	ge Able bit." e Able and mana e this change	agement		resolution extraneou #70. That	of d3.2 com s and not co comment, r	nmentID #70. ontained in the	ed into d3.3 as a re This duplicate fix i e accepted respon- ccepted response	nformation is se to d3.2 comme	nt	
to several d3.2 con extraneous text is	nments associ	rding from an initial p iated with the Next P derlined text on D3.3 .2 comment #29. Tha	age Able bit. The page 37.9, lines	e S		that a dev	ıl text taken ce must ser	nd a null next	I from Clause 28.2. page if it is willing t formation to transm	o receive		
accepted respons	e is as follows:					Add the fo			e ending "its link pa	artner's next		
		rolled by the Next Pa	ge Able bit in				vices adver		e ability in their ba			
	suggested remedy #29 text: Update documentation to reflect control of Next Page Able bit.					both devices shall send at least one Next Page. If a device advertises Next Page ability and has no information to send but is willing to receive, it sends a null page."						
response #29 text Accepted. The foll		is made:					Added the	following text e information	after the sentence	ending "its		
both link ends and	a next page ex	ne Next Page function xchange has been in ge ends when both e	voked by both lin			ability in th	eir base pa	ges, then botl	partner advertise N h devices shall sen	d at least one		
SuggestedRemedy									rtises Next Page at s willing to receive			
of the paragraph s	tarting on page	ld be removed is the 37.9, lines 8. This p '. Note that this was h	aragraph should			its link par	iner also ad		Page ability, it shall			
paragraph appear						Added two	PICS item	s, NP3 and N	P4 to 37.5.4.2.6, N	lext page function	s:	
Proposed Response		nse Status C		4.0 1000		Item Feat	ure Sul	bclause Stati	us Support Value/	Comment		
44 & 45 on page 3	7-8 beginning	e first 2 lines of the pa "Local device next pa noval of this "shall" be	age ability" No			NP3 Initia NP	NP3 Initial Next 37.2.4.3 NP:M Yes [] Upon advertisement of					
lines were added i							Exchange	N/.	A [] ability by both			
						NP4 Nex advertising Receir	0		M Yes [] Indicate			

Renumbered other NPx PICS entries	C/ 37 SC 37.2.5.1.9 P37.14 L29 # 5						
SuggestedRemedy	Howie Johnson Lucent Technologies						
Delete item f) in 37.2.4.3.11, on page 37.11, lines 40-43.	Comment Type E Comment Status R resubl						
Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted item f) in 37.2.4.3.11, on page 37.11, lines 17-20.	Comment originally submitted by April Bergstrom. The comment was rejected during the D3.3 recirculation ballot, and the commenter approved of that disposition. The chief editor has promised to preserve this issue for further consideration during the sponsor ballot:						
CI 37 SC 37.2.5.1.9 P 37.14 L 11 # 173 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status A This sentence implies that there are 3 parts or columns to Table 37-8:	The sentence "Also included in this table is the mapping of variables from the state diagram of Figure 36-9 to management function interface signals." is not needed since bit 1.2 Link Status now is mapped to xmit==DATA and not sync_status.						
management registers, management function interface signals,	SuggestedRemedy						
variables from the state diagram.	Remove the sentence "Also included in this table" from subclause 37.2.5.1.9.						
SuggestedRemedy Either revise the paragraph to list just 2 entries, or revise table to have	Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. Rejected per response to Comment ID #6 which adds a variable used in						
3 columns. I am not quite sure how to perform either.							
Proposed Response Response Status C	clause 36, mr_loopback, to table 37-8. Please refer to the response to that comment.						
ACCEPT. Accepted. Changed the last two sentences of 37.2.5.1.9 to:							
Table 37-8 describes how PCS state diagram variables in both clauses 36 and 37 map to management register bits.	C/ 37 SC 37.3 P 37.15 L 8 # 175 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status A						
C/ 37 SC 37.2.5.1.9 P 37.14 L 12 # 174 Tom Mathey Baynetworks	Comment Type E Comment Status A the shall in the sentence "the state diagrams shall take precedence." has no PICS entry.						
Comment Type E Comment Status R Reference to Figure 36-9 seems incorrect.	SuggestedRemedy Add PICS entry: Item AN4						
SuggestedRemedy	Feature Auto-Negotiation state diagram precedence						
Change reference from Figure 36-9 to Figure 37-6.	Subclause 37.3 Value/Comment the state diagrams shall take precedence						
Proposed Response Response Status C	Status M						
REJECT. Rejected. However, the rejection reason is in context with other Sponsor Ballot comment. Specifically, the reference is required to address	Support Yes []						
#6.	Note The feature entry for AN3 may need to be changed to something like: "Auto-Negotiation state diagram requirements" so that text for AN1 is different from AN4.						
	Proposed Response Response Status C						
	ACCEPT. Accepted. State diagram precedence is specified in clause 1. The word "shall" is stricken.						

C/ 37 SC 37.3.1.1	P 37.15	L 48	# 34	C/ 37	SC 37.3	.1.1	P37.15	L 52	# 51	
Brad Booth	Jato Technologie	es, Inc		Howie Johnson Signal Consulting						
Comment Type TR Co	mment Status A			Comment 7	ype TR	Comr	nent Status R			
The variable signal_detect wa Montreal. The original comme				(see rel	ated comm	ient concernin	g P36.29/L25/section	1 36.2.5.2.4)		
rather a question about the effects of this variable changing states and whether that should impact the an_sync_status variable. I believe that the current draft goes beyond the commentors original intent.					Regarding the conditions which cause the PCS auto-Negotiation process to begin, no tolerance has been provided for the condition "signal_detect=FAIL for a duration of greater than 1 uS".					
uggestedRemedy Change:				to 1.000) uS, would	technically no	Auto-Negotiation afte t comply with the work			
an_sync_status Qualified version of sync_s		I don't believe that was the intent. SuggestedRemedy								
a sync_status timeout cond Values: OK; The variable s	dition.			Reword the values paragraph starting on line 52 to read: "Values: FAIL: The variable sync_status defined in 36.2.5.1.3 is FAIL for a duration of greater than or equal to link_timer or the						
FAIL; The variable syn	c_status defined in 36.2.5 or equal to the link timer.									
Change 36.2.5.2.4 on page 3 The condition sync_status=F/ PCS Auto-Negotiation proces begin transmission of /C/.	AIL existing for ten ms or n			variab duratio	le signal_de on of greate nentation-d	etect defined in r than or equal	n 36.2.5.1.3 is FAIL fo I to X, where X is an stant in the range of 1	or a		
, ,	sponse Status C			OK: ot	herwise."					
ACCEPT. Accepted per sugg	jested remedy.			Proposed F	Response	Respo	nse Status C			
					e commente		e of CommentIDs #5 to the suggested rer		emedy	

This comment is overtaken by a successful resolution to comment #34:

Change:

an_sync_status

Qualified version of sync_status for use by Auto-Negotiation to detect a sync_status timeout condition.

Values: OK; The variable sync_status defined in 36.2.5.1.3 is OK. FAIL; The variable sync_status defined in 36.2.5.1.3 is FAIL for a duration greater than or equal to the link timer.

Change 36.2.5.2.4 on page 36.29, line 25:

The condition sync_status=FAIL existing for ten ms or more causes the PCS Auto-Negotiation process to begin and the PCS Transmit process to begin transmission of /C/.

						1 002.02 2
CI 37	SC 3	7.3.1.1	P37.16	L 23-29	# 2	
Howie John	ison		Seeq Techno	logy		
Comment 7	Туре	TR	Comment Status R			resubmit
the con	nmentor	from the	nitted by Steve Dreyer. The c D3.3 balloting. The chief edi omment on Steve's behalf du	tor has promised S		
signal_	detect til e used i	mer of a	oup decided to qualify an_syr min/max duration 1us-20mS . The current text could be ir	so that the link_time		
In addit ambigu		text for o	qualification by sync_status a	so has some		
Suggested	Remedy	/				
	an_syno : OK;	The va	value definition as follows: riable sync_status defined in variable signal_detect define			
36.2.5.	1.3		0 _			
FAIL	FAIL;	is OK. The var	able sync_status defined in a	36.2.5.1.3 is		
is FAIL			ration of the link_timer or able signal_detect defined in	36.2.5.1.3		
		for a du	ration of 1uS-20mS.			
The c or sig cause	ondition nal_dete	sync_sta ect=FAIL CS Auto-	t sentence of 36.2.5.2.4, P. 3 atus=FAIL existing for a dura existing for a duration of 1us Negotiation process to begin egin the transmission of /C/.	tion of 10mS-20mS S-20mS	\$	
Proposed F	Respons	se	Response Status C			
	e comm		duplicate of CommentIDs # ase refer to the suggested re		gested rem	ledy
This co	mment i	s overtal	en by a successful resolution	n to comment #34:		
Qua a sy Valu F	c_status lified ver nc_statu les: OK; FAIL; Th	rsion of s is timeou The vari ie variabl	ync_status for use by Auto-N t condition. able sync_status defined in 3 e sync_status defined in 36.2 than or equal to the link time	6.2.5.1.3 is OK. 2.5.1.3 is FAIL for	t	

Change 36.2.5.2.4 on page 36.29, line 25:

The condition sync status=FAIL existing for ten ms or more causes the PCS Auto-Negotiation process to begin and the PCS Transmit process to begin transmission of /C/. C/ 37 SC 37.3.1.1 P37.16 L 4 # 176 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status A For the variable "BEGIN", there is no pointer or reference to a clause or paragraph where this variable is defined. SuggestedRemedy Please provide a pointer or reference. Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted the variable BEGIN in 37.3.1.1 and its usage in the Auto-Negotiation state diagram in figure 37-6. CI 37 SC 37.3.1.3 P37.20 L4 # 215 Amrit Kalla VLSI Tech. Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A RUDI is not defined in 36.2.5.1.5. SuggestedRemedy Defined in 36.2.5.1.6 Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted as a duplicate of CommentID #177. CI 37 SC 37.3.1.3 P37.20 L4 # 177 Tom Mathey **Baynetworks** Comment Type E Comment Status A Reference to paragraph 36.2.5.1.5. for definition of RX_UNITDATA.indicate(parameter) is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy Change reference from 36.2.5.1.5 to 36.2.5.1.6. Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 52 of 86 C/ 37 SC 37.3.1.3

Benjamin Brown Cableton Systems, In Comment Type E Comment Status A According to 37.2.5.15, page 37.13, line 40, "The Page Received bit the NN expansion register (register 6)" Given this, the assignment mr. page_rx <= FALSE in the NN expansion register (register 6)" Given this, the assignment mr. page_rx <= FALSE from state NEXT_PAGE_WAIT. With the text as printed, I could infer that RF1 is bit 4.13. SuggestedRemedy Remove the assignment mr. page_rx <= FALSE from state NEXT_PAGE_WAIT. Charge text Charge text Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy. Charge text Charge text Cl 37 SC 37.5.4.2.6 P 37.2.5 L 19 # 178 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy. SuggestedTemedy Either delete one of the PICS entry for NP8 and NP9 both call out paragraph 37.2.4.3.2. However, this paragraph has only one shall. SuggestedTemedy SuggestedTemedy Either delete one of the PICS entry for NP9. The Value/Comment entry for NP9 is moved to CPES entry for NP9. The Value/Comment entry for NP9 is moved to CPES for status A P and the status A In Figure 37-1, the line which leaves block at far lower left labeled PIC'S entry field add dog-leg to the line such that it enters box labeled At-MDI is incorrect. F and the line such that it enters box labeled MEDIUM at the upper left.						
Comment Type E Comment Status A According to 37.2.5.15, page 37.13, line 40, "The Page Received bit shall be result to logic zoro a read of the AN expansion register (register 6), "Given this, the assignment of mr_page_rx <= FALSE in the NEXT_PAGE_WAIT state is unnecessary."					-	L 21 # <u>170</u>
Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status A The PICS entry for NP6 and NP9 both call out paragraph 37.2.4.3.2. However, this paragraph has only one shall. SuggestedRemedy E SuggestedRemedy Eather delete one of the PICS entries or change the paragraph callout. (I can not find an alternate paragraph callout to suggest). Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted PICS entry NP9. The Value/Comment entry for NP9 is moved to NP6. 37.5.4.2.6 Next page function entries are re-ordered. 169 Cl 37 SC Figure 37-1 P37.2 L 27 # 169 Tom Mathey Baynetworks SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A Add a dog-leg to the line which leaves block at far lower left labeled PHYSICAL and goes in a straignt line to block labeled MEDIUM at the upper left. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type E According to 37.2.5.1.5, shall be reset to logic zerr (register 6)." Given this, the NEXT_PAGE_WAIT SuggestedRemedy Remove the assignment Proposed Response	Comment Status A page 37.13, line 40, "The Page Rec o on a reead of the AN expansion re he assignment of mr_page_rx <= FA state is unnecessary. mr_page_rx <= FALSE from state N Response Status C	eeived bit egister ALSE in	Comment Type E Co With the text as printed, I cou SuggestedRemedy Change text from: Remote Fault (RF1, Rf to: Remote Fault (RF2, RF Proposed Response Res	 animent Status A Id infer that RF1 is bit 4.13. F2) 4.13:12 Remote Fault F1) 4.13:12 Remote Fault sponse Status C 	
Bither delete one of the PICS entries or change the paragraph callout. (I can not find an alternate paragraph call-out to suggest). Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted PICS entry NP9. The Value/Comment entry for NP9 is moved to NP6. 37.5.4.2.6 Next page function entries are re-ordered. C/ 37 SC Figure 37-1 P37.2 L 27 Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status A In Figure 37-1, the line which leaves block at far lower left labeled PHYSICAL and goes in a straignt line to block labeled LX-MDI is incorrect. RuggestedRemedy Add a dog-leg to the line such that it enters box labeled MEDIUM at the upper left.	om Mathey Comment Type E The PICS entry for NP6 a	Baynetworks Comment Status A and NP9 both call out paragraph 37.				
ACCEPT. Accepted. Deleted PICS entry NP9. The Value/Comment entry for NP9 is moved to NP6. 37.5.4.2.6 Next page function entries are re-ordered. 7/ 37 SC Figure 37-1 P 37.2 L 27 # 169 for Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status A In Figure 37-1, the line which leaves block at far lower left labeled PHYSICAL and goes in a straignt line to block labeled LX-MDI is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy Add a dog-leg to the line such that it enters box labeled MEDIUM at the upper left.	SuggestedRemedy Either delete one of the P	PICS entries or change the paragrap	h callout. (I			
Form Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status A In Figure 37-1, the line which leaves block at far lower left labeled PHYSICAL and goes in a straignt line to block labeled LX-MDI is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy Add a dog-leg to the line such that it enters box labeled MEDIUM at the upper left.	ACCEPT. Accepted. Dele	, eted PICS entry NP9. The Value/Co				
PHYSICAL and goes in a straignt line to block labeled LX-MDI is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy Add a dog-leg to the line such that it enters box labeled MEDIUM at the upper left.	Tom Mathey	Baynetworks	27 # 169			
	PHYSICAL and goes in a SuggestedRemedy Add a dog-leg to the line	a straignt line to block labeled LX-ME	DI is incorrect.			
ACCEPT. Accepted per suggested remedy.	Proposed Response	Response Status C suggested remedy.				

C/ 38 SC 38. P38.1 L 8 # 241 CI 38 SC 38.1.1.3.1 P38.2 L35 # 80 Bay Networks, Inc. Geoff Thompson Joe Gwinn Raytheon Comment Status A Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Type TR т Our definition of signal detect allows implementation of totally broken Referencing the objectives: forms of optical signal detect. Specifically, a DC-coupled signal detect 11. Provide a family of Physical Layer specifications which support function cannot tell when modal distortion has wiped all modulation off the optical signal, rendering communications impossible in spite of a link distance of: a. At least 500 m on multimode fiber adequate *average* received optical power. Likewise, use of the phaselock-acquired signal from the clock recovery unit will fail, because any any worthwhile PLL type receiver can acquire bit and frame lock in spite Support media selected from ISO/IEC 11801 of a negative signal to noise ratio, but reliable communications cannot It is not clear from the discussion at the MBI meeting in Florida. Jan be achieved under such conditions. Only the so-called AC-coupled 19-20 that these objectives are being reliably met on an interoperable signal detect approach, where modulation power (not average optical basis with adequate margins for jitter and allowance for the power) is measured, is robust. uncharacterized behaviour of fiber that is being utilized. One can measure the modulation envelope instead; it isn't necessary to SuggestedRemedy actually measure power. Nor is great accuracy required. Unclear Fortunately, implementation of an AC signal detect function is simple Proposed Response Response Status U to implement, so all that's needed is to ensure that all designers are PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. well aware of the issue. SuggestedRemedy In this D4 comment resolution phase, based on documented performance of achieving conditioned launch with the offset launch SMF hybrid patch cord, the link length supported Expand the note to say that AC signal detect is strongly preferred, for by 1000BASE-LX on 62MMF is proposed to be increased from 440 m to 550 m. This is the above reasons. Some text from an internal design note follows. based on achieving the specified 500 MHz*km modal bandwidth of 62MMF without the Plagarize at will. need for adding additional fiber specifications. 50MMF already is specified for 550 m. Through the MBI committee, the optical PMD subgroup has undertaken the In the AC approach, the signal is declared to be present if the average development and confirmation of inter-operability tests for MMF links at the defined test received modulation (vice optical) power exceeds some threshold, and is points in Figure 38-1. When completed, optical PMD transmitters and receivers meeting declared absent if the average modulation power falls below some lower these test criteria will support link performance specifications for >99% of MMF cable which threshold, the difference (hysteresis) being to prevent chattering. meets its bandwidth specifications. This is implemented as a coupling capacitor feeding a one-diode or The receiver tests will characterize, (1) worst case eve opening at two-diode peak (envelope) detector with RC filter feeding a schmitt TP4 and (2) a litter tolerance window opening template at TP4 with two identical pseudotrigger, the RC time constant being in the milliseconds. The diodes. random optical input pulse sequences having a prescribed delay range between them to which must be able to follow gigahertz signals, must be a small simulate differential mode delay (DMD) jitter. The transmitter test will define the acceptable schottky type, and the capacitors must be RF grade (low inductance). launch characteristics, in conjunction with the receiver performance, to adequately mitigate A small amplifier or comparitor may be useful. Everything else is the impact of DMD-related jitter at TP4. ordinary. If the receiver has AGC (automatic gain control), the Where possible, the conformance test criteria and related link modulation envelope detector will need to take this into account. performance will be confirmed both analytically and experimentally. This work anticipates Proposed Response Response Status U changes to the jitter budget shown in Table 38-10, including TP1 and TP4. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 38.4, section 38.2.4, line 42, add note (d.)

"d. The SIGNAL_DETECT Values in Table 38-1 are generated by processing the 8B/10B character signal through an AC coupled receiver."

Add superscript "d" to FAIL, Unspecified and OK

Page 54 of 86 C/ 38 SC 38.1.1.3.1

				FOU	
CI 38	SC 38.10	P38.14	L 27	# 225	C/ 38 SC 38.11 P38.14 L41 # 226
Paul Koles	ar	Lucent Techno	logies		Paul Kolesar Lucent Technologies
only the total cc loss of be cou Suggested Chang subcla standa Proposed I PROPU To clar Chang	rin Connector is c e plug portion of the ponnection. This lease a mated pair of plunted as two connection are the term Connection are the term Connection are stated by the state of the cosed ACCEPT.	tor to Connection in Figure 38- Id 38.11.2.2. This will clarify th <i>Response Status</i> C e standard, ector" to "Connection" in Figure	than the intendent as to whether the should actually 4 and throughout e intent of the	ed e ut	Comment TypeTComment StatusAThe nominal industry specification for SMF is 1310 nm not 1300 nm.SuggestedRemedyChange 1300 to 1310 for the wavelength of SMF in Table 38-11. This will aviod confusion in the industry and conflict with many existing optical fiber specifications. This change does not impact the specifications of the -LX PMD-MDI.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusCPROPOSED ACCEPT Change 1300 to 1310 for the wavelength of SMF in Table 38-11. This will aviod confusion in the industry and conflict with many existing optical fiber specifications. This change does not impact the specifications of the -LX PMD-MDI.
C/ 38 Joe Gwinn	SC 38.10	P 38.14 Raytheon	L 44	# 96	
Comment		Comment Status A			
Suggested Add the	<i>IRemedy</i> e missing period.				
Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status C			
Add the	e missing period.				

C/ 38 SC 38.11

TR

Geoff Thompson Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

P38.14 L 51

Bay Networks, Inc.

Comment Status A

Effective modal bandwidth and Differential Mode Delay are undefined terms

Provide a convincing case for the position that no new parameters are need

to characterize multi-mode fiber for laser launched systems or establish

that are of no use in purchasing fiber on the open market nor do they have

any utility in terms of any established industry standard test method in

However, it seems that these are critical factors in establishing the



CI 38 SC 38.11 Howard Frazier

15

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status A

It is unrealistic to specify a minimum overfilled launch modal bandwidth of 500/500 MHz*km for 50 um fiber, because this fiber is practically non-existent in the installed based of premises cable. It may be available as jumper cordage, but is it seldom if ever sold as either inside or outside plant cable. A much more common minimum overfilled launch modal bandwidth specification for 50 um fiber is 400/400 MHz*km, which appears to make up more than half the installed base of 50 um premises cable, with most cables being of equal bandwidth at 850 nm. and somewhat higher bandwidth at 1300 nm.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise Table 38-12 to reflect a minimum overfilled launch modal bandwidth of 400/400 MHz*km for 50 um fiber, and recalculate link parameters for this figure. This will almost certainly drop the maximum link span for 1000BASE-SX on 50 um fiber below 550 meters, and may even drop it below 500 meters.

Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT.

In Table 38-2 on page 38.5, line 11, change "550 m" to "525 m".

In Table 38-5 on page 38.7, in 50 um MMF column in lines 7-14, change 550 to 525. change 3.56 to 3.47, change 2.86 to 3.49, change 0.58 to 0.04.

In Table 38-11 on page 38.14, line 43, change 3.43 to 3.34

In Table 38-12 on page 38.15, and 50 um MMF column, change 500 to 400 in two places.

CI 38	SC 38.11	P38.15	L 30	# 49
Don Knasel		Corning Inc.		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

It is unrealistic to specify a minimum overfilled launch modal bandwidth of 160/500 MHz*km for 62.5 um fiber, because a significant percentage of fiber in the installed based of premises cable is below that value. While 160/500 represents a common fiber bandwidth, particularly in North America, the minimum bandwidth cell is 160/200.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise Table 38-12 to reflect a minimum overfilled launch modal bandwidth of 160/200 MHz*km for 62.5 um fiber, and recalculate link parameters for this figure. This will almost certainly drop the maximum link span for 1000BASE-LX on 62.5 um fiber below 440 meters.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

THE COMMENTOER HAS VERBALLY TOLD THE EDITOR THAT HE INTENDS TO WITHDRAW THIS COMMENT.

specifications and test methods for multi-mode fiber that characterize their performance in laser launched systems of the type being specified by P802.3z

characterizing the installed base of multi-mode fiber.

suitability of particular fibers for use with Gigabit Ethernet

Proposed Response Response Status U PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

To provide a convincing case that no new MMF parameters are needed to characterize MMF link performance, the optical PMD subgroup has undertaken the development and confirmation of conformace and inter-operability tests for MMF links at the defined test points in Figure 38-1. The objective is that proposed MMF links lengths are achieved with >99% of the installed MMF cable plants provided the MMFs meet their modal bandwidth specifications and optical PMD transmitters and receivers meet their conformance tests.

The receiver conformance tests are targeted to characterize, (1) worst case eve opening at TP4 and (2) a jitter tolerance window opening template at TP4 with two identical pseudorandom optical input pulse sequences having a prescribed delay range between them to simulate differential mode delay (DMD) jitter. The transmitter conformance test will define the acceptable launch characteristics, in conjunction with the receiver performance, to adequately mitigate the impact of DMD-related jitter at TP4.

Where possible, the conformance test criteria and related link

performance will be confirmed both analytically and experimentally. This work anticipates changes to the jitter budget shown in Table 38-10, including TP1 and TP4.

The projected schedule for developing conformance tests to address this TR comment is:

1. At the February Interim meeting, review potential impact of this comment resolution on 802.3z objectives and PAR.

2. At the March Plenary, present complete conformance test proposals, including theoretical analysis and experimental data.

3. At the May Interim, the goal is to present a complete PMD draft including conformance tests."

C/ 38 SC 38.11.2 Tom Mathey	P 38.16 Baynetworks	L 15	# 184	C/ 38 SC 38.11.2 Paul Kolesar		P 38.16 cent Technol	L 32 ogies	# <u>229</u>
Comment Type E C Each sentence for notes "a"	Comment Status A ' thru "d" is missing a period	at its end.		Comment Type T The allocation of 2.0 o connection loss.	Comment Stat		ss, not just	
SuggestedRemedy Add period at end of each s	entence.			SuggestedRemedy				
Proposed Response Res	esponse Status C			Change the first sente 2.0 dB total connect				
Add period at end of each se	entence a) through d).			This clarifies that splic Proposed Response	ces are included in th Response State	-	et allocation.	
C/ 38 SC 38.11.2.1 Paul Kolesar	P 38.16 Lucent Technolo	L 24	# 228	PROPOSED ACCEP	т.			
	Comment Status A	9.00		Change the second se	entence to read:			
The allocation of 1.5 dB is for		s notiust		connection "and sp	lice" loss.			
connection loss.		, not just		C/ 38 SC 38.11.2	2	P38.16	L 32	# 186
SuggestedRemedy				Tom Mathey		vnetworks	- 02	" 100
Change the first sentence to 1.5 dB total connection ar	nd splice loss.			Comment Type E The sentence "This al		minimum of fe		
This clarifies that splices are	-	t allocation.		where I would expect	the budget to suppo	rt a maximun	n of 4 connector	rs.
Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT.	esponse Status C			SuggestedRemedy Change word minimur	m to maximum.			
Change the first sentence to	o read:			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response State	us C		
1.5 dB total connection "a	and splice" loss.			Replace "This allocati	on supports a minim	um of "		
C/ 38 SC 38.11.2.1 Tom Mathey	P 38.16 Baynetworks	L 24	# <u>185</u>	with "For example, this allo				
Comment Type E C The sentence "This allocatic where I would expect the bu								
SuggestedRemedy Change word minimum to m	aximum.							
Proposed Response Re PROPOSED ACCEPT.	esponse Status C							
Replace "This allocation sup with "For example, this allocatior								

C/ 38 SC 38.12 P38.15 L 13 # 227 Paul Kolesar Lucent Technologies Lucent Technologies Data Structure DataStructure Data Structure	C/ 38 SC 38.2.1 P 38.3 L 8 # 63 Del Hanson Hewlett-Packard Co. Hewlett-Packard Co.
Comment Type T Comment Status A The nominal industry specification for SMF is 1310 nm not 1300 nm. SuggestedRemedy Change 1300 to 1310 for the wavelength of SMF in Table 38-12. This will aviod confusion in the industry and conflict with many existing optical fiber specifications. This change does not impact the specifications of the -LX PMD-MDI. Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change 1300 to 1310 for the wavelength of SMF in Table 38-12. This will	Comment Type T Comment Status A The statement, "The optical transmit signal is defined a the end of a patch cord (TP2), between 2 and 5 meters in length," may be confusing now that mode conditioning patch cords are included, as noted in page 38.8, line 28 for 1000BASE-LX. SuggestedRemedy Add a sentence in page 38.3, line 10, which states, "If a mode conditioning patch cord is used, the optical transmit signal is defined at the output end of this mode conditioning patch cord at (TP2)." Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT.
aviod confusion in the industry and conflict with many existing optical fiber specifications. This change does not impact the specifications of the -LX PMD-MDI. / 38 SC 38.12.4.2 P38.21 L 17 # 187 om Mathey Baynetworks	Add a sentence in page 38.3, line 10, which states, "If a mode conditioning patch cord is used, the optical transmit signal is defined at the output end of this mode conditioning patch cord at TP2."
Comment Type T Comment Status A The PICS entry for PMS5, paragraph 38.5, has no corresponding "shall" in paragraph 38.5.	C/ 38 SC 38.2.4 P 38.4 L 1 # 179 Tom Mathey Baynetworks
SuggestedRemedy At start of paragraph 38.5, add the following text: The jitter specifications listed in Table 38- 10 shall apply to both a SX receiver and a LX receiver. Note This "shall" can then be applied against both PICS entry PMS5 and PML4.	Comment Type T Comment Status R For this set of paragraphs, there are 5 "shall"s and 3 PICS entries. Two PICS entries are missing. SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Add. Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED REJECT.
Note: The editor of clause 38 has promoted this comment from "E" to "T" status because of its PICs-related content.	Note: The editor of clause 38 has promoted this comment from "E" to "T" status because of its PICs-related content.
The receiver jitter contribution in Table 38-10 is defined by "TP3 to TP4" line. This information is Informative and does not require a PICs statement.	
Remove PICs statements PMS5 in table 38.12.4.2.	

Remove PICs statements PML4 in table 38.12.4.3.

	1 002.02 B		nomo					
	81	C/ 38	SC 38.2.4		P38.4	L 39-40	# 109	
be Gwinn Raytheon		Pat Thaler			Hewlett-Packar	ď		
omment Type TR Comment Status A		Comment T	уре Т	Comment	Status A			
Our definition of signal detect allows implementation of totally broken forms of optical signal detect. Specifically, a DC-coupled signal detect function cannot tell when modal distortion has wiped all modulation off		chara		ns to be untrue. The not imply that the		eceiving 8B/10B receiving 8B/10B		
the optical signal, rendering communications impossible in spite of		SuggestedF	Remedy					
adequate *average* received optical power. Likewise, use of the phaselock-acquired signal from the clock recovery unit will fail, because any		Delete note b.						
any worthwhile PLL type receiver can acquire bit and frame lock in spite		Proposed Response Response Status C						
of a negative signal to noise ratio, but reliable communications cannot			•	PT IN PRINCIPL	-			
be achieved under such conditions. Only the so-called AC-coupled signal detect approach, where modulation power (not average optical power) is measured, is robust.		In note b, change " receiving" to " sending encoded 8B/10B characters."						
One can measure the modulation envelope instead; it isn't necessary to		Add per	iod and dele	te the remainer o	f the exising sen	tence.		
actually measure power. Nor is great accuracy required.		C/ 38	SC 38.3		P38.5	L16	# 64	
Fortunately, implementation of an AC signal detect function is simple		Del Hanson			Hewlett-Packar	d Co.		
to implement, so all that's needed is to ensure that all designers are well aware of the issue.		Comment T	ype E	Comment	Status A			
uggestedRemedy Insert a note saying that AC signal detect is strongly preferred, for the above reasons. Some text from an internal design note follows. Plagarize at will.		The statement, "NOTE-Operating range is based on experimental data available at the time of publication while using the worst case band- width measurements done in accordance with Annex 38B." under tables 38-2, and table 38-6 on page 38.7 at line 34, had a useful purpose during the earlier stages of reviewing the draft documents but is no longer relevant.						
In the AC approach, the signal is declared to be present if the average		longer is						
received modulation (vice optical) power exceeds some threshold, and is declared absent if the average modulation power falls below some lower		implied						
threshold, the difference (hysteresis) being to prevent chattering.		SuggestedF						
This is implemented as a coupling capacitor feeding a one-diode or two-diode peak (envelope) detector with RC filter feeding a schmitt trigger, the RC time constant being in the milliseconds. The diodes, which must be able to follow gigahertz signals, must be a small		Remove statement, "NOTE-Operating range is based on experime available at the time of publication while using the worst case band width measurements done in accordance with Annex 38B." under 38-2 on page 38.5, line 16 and under table 38-6 on page 38.7, line					I	
schottky type, and the capacitors must be RF grade (low inductance). A small amplifier or comparitor may be useful. Everything else is ordinary. If the receiver has AGC (automatic gain control), the modulation envelope detector will need to take this into account.		Proposed R PROPC	esponse SED ACCE	Response PT.	Status C			
roposed Response Response Status U PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.								
On page 38.4, section 38.2.4, line 42, add note (d.)								
"d. The SIGNAL_DETECT Values in Table 38-1 are generated by processing character signal through an AC coupled receiver."	the 8B/10B							

Add superscript "d" to FAIL, Unspecified and OK.

CI 38 SC 38.3, 38.5 **P**Multiple **L** Multiple # 62

Ascend Communicatio

Ray Lin

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The remedy proposed by the Modal Bandwidth Task Group (MBI) to mitigate what is characterized as the differential mode delay (DMD) addressed in each of the P802.3z Draft 3.2 comments listed below has not eliminated the additional jitter contribution to ensure 1000BASE-SX link lengths as specified in P802.3z Draft 4, Table 38-2.

P802.3z Draft 3.2 DMD comments:

1. Geoff Thompson. Bay Networks. Comment #187 2. Howie Johnson, Signal Consulting, Comment #186 Digital Equipment Corp., Comment #88 3. Ray Lin,

4. Paul Kolesar, Lucent Technologies, Comment #86

Based on iitter measurements presented to the Modal Bandwidth Task Group (MBI) by Digital Equipment Corporation and Hewlett-Packard it is clear that the addition of the Coupled Power Ratio (CPR) specification has not proven sufficient to mitigate what is characterized as the differential mode delay (DMD) problem for 1000BASE-SX links.

The presentations show jitter in access of the 96 ps (TP2 to TP3) using transmitters that have been selected to exhibit a CPR over the range of 9<CPR<29 dB as specified in P802.3z Draft 4, when measured with a common receiver.

SuggestedRemedy

Intent--

I will borrow Geoff Thompsons words extracted from his TR to preamble the intent of the proposed remedy which is to address 1000BASE-SX interoperability. I quote Geoff here.

"The success of 802.3 as a standard is based on the ability for customers to purchase or utilize existing system components that meet the specifications in the standard and plug them together and have them work in a predictable reliable and useful manner. This includes being able to replace any one component with an equivalent compliant component from another manufacturer and resume predictable reliable and useful operation. The discussions surrounding the operation of multi-mode fiber links with laser based transceivers have not assured me that we will meet this level of quality and reliability with the current set of specifications.

Goeffs Suggested Rem.

Provide sufficient data and revisions to specifications to provide reliable system elements for multi-mode transceivers and fiber. Revise specifications so that fiber, transceiver and any added launch conditioning devices or methods assure reliable operation under specification worst case operating conditions. Such conditions will be reviewed by 802.3 for their adequacy against the 5 Criteria and the project objectives."

End of quote.

Rav Lin Remedv--

1. Change iitter contribution allocated to TP3 (but recognized as derivative of the fiber, receiver and transmitter) in subclause 38.5. Table 38-10 to values that shall not exceed (ffs) of DJ and (ffs) RJ when measured per the Jitter Characterization Test Method proposed to Fiber Channel.

2. Modify transceivers specifications in subclause 38.3 to guarantee specified litter at reference test points by including specifications for transmitter Mode Power Distribution (ffs), receiver jitter tolerance (ffs), and mode conditioning patch cords (ffs).

ffs = for further study.

Proposed Response Response Status U PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

To provide a convincing case that no new MMF parameters are needed to characterize MMF link performance, the optical PMD subgroup has undertaken the development and confirmation of conformace and inter-operability tests for MMF links at the defined test points in Figure 38-1. The objective is that proposed MMF links lengths are achieved with >99% of the installed MMF cable plants provided the MMFs meet their modal bandwidth specifications and optical PMD transmitters and receivers meet their conformance tests.

The receiver conformance tests are targeted to characterize. (1) worst case eve opening at TP4 and (2) a jitter tolerance window opening template at TP4 with two identical pseudorandom optical input pulse sequences having a prescribed delay range between them to simulate differential mode delay (DMD) jitter. The transmitter conformance test will define the acceptable launch characteristics, in conjunction with the receiver performance, to adequately mitigate the impact of DMD-related jitter at TP4.

Where possible, the conformance test criteria and related link performance will be confirmed both analytically and experimentally. This work anticipates changes to the jitter budget shown in Table 38-10, including TP1 and TP4.

The projected schedule for developing conformance tests to address this TR comment is:

1. At the February Interim meeting, review potential impact of this comment resolution on 802.3z objectives and PAR.

2. At the March Plenary, present complete conformance test proposals, including theoretical analysis and experimental data.

3. At the May Interim, the goal is to present a complete PMD draft including conformance tests."

CI 38 SC 38.3.1 P 38.5 L 29 # 42 Brad Booth Jato Technologies, Inc Comment Type E Comment Status A Missing "r" in Laser for Transmitter type under 62.5 um MMF. SuggestedRemedy Change "Lase" to "Laser" Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change "Lase" to "Laser" CI 38 SC 38.3.1 P 38.5 L 29 # 82
Missing "r" in Laser for Transmitter type under 62.5 um MMF. SuggestedRemedy Change "Lase" to "Laser" Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change "Lase" to "Laser"
Change "Lase" to "Laser"
CI 38 SC 38.3.1 P38.5 L 29 # 82
Joe Gwinn Raytheon Comment Type E Comment Status A The word "Laser" has lost its "r" in the 62.5 micron column. SuggestedRemedy
Provide the missing letter. Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT. Provide the missing letter.
Cl 38 SC 38.3.1 P 38.5 L 29 # 211 Paul Kolesar Lucent Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A
type: E Comment Status A type: E Comment Status A type: Shortwave Lase SuggestedRemedy should be Shortwave Laser Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT. should be Shortwave Laser

performance will be confirmed both analytically and experimentally. This work anticipates changes to the jitter budget shown in Table 38-10, including TP1 and TP4.

			100	2.02 D		onto			
C/ 38 SC 38.3.1 Paul Kolesar	P38.5 Lucent Techno	L 45 logies	# 212		C/ 38 Joe Gwinn	SC 38.3.1	P 38.6 Raytheon	L 1	# 84
of SX transmitters. SuggestedRemedy CPR should be replace	Comment Status A t parameter for measuring the lau ced or supplemented with additio ear or far field intensity measurer	nal relevant			SuggestedRe Change t Proposed Re	, vord "that" be e <i>medy</i> o read " so	Comment Status A tween "so" and "individual". that individual". Response Status C		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status U T IN PRINCIPLE.						olume so that individual "		
jitter for 1000BASE-S. objective of a transmit achieved with >99% of bandwidth specificatio conformance tests. The receiver conforn at TP4 and (2) a jitter random optical input p simulate differential m the acceptable launch adequately mitigate th Where possible, the performance will be or	shown that CPR is insufficient to X, it is premature to introduce a r tter conditioned launch test is that of the installed MMF cable plants ons and optical PMD transmitters mance tests are targeted to char tolerance window opening templa pulse sequences having a prescr node delay (DMD) jitter. The trans of characteristics, in conjunction w the impact of DMD-related jitter at a conformance test criteria and re ponfirmed both analytically and exp udget shown in Table 38-10, incl	ew criteria at thi t proposed MMF provided the MM and receivers m acterize, (1) wor ate at TP4 with the bed delay range mitter conforma th the receiver p TP4. lated link perimentally. Thi	s time. The Flinks lengths are IFs meet their modal teet their rst case eye opening wo identical pseudo- between them to nce test will define performance, to s work anticipates						
C/ 38 SC 38.3.1 Joe Gwinn	P 38.5 Raytheon	L 53	# 83						
Comment Type E Notes "c" and "d" lack	Comment Status A								
SuggestedRemedy Provide the missing p	eriods.								
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status C T.								
Provide the missing p	eriods.								

CI 38	SC 38.3.1	P38.6	L 1-15	# 16	
Thomas Dineen		LSI Logic, 155	1 McCar		

Thomas Dineen

Comment Status A Comment Type TR

From user's prospective the subclause fails to provide a sufficient description of the "Mode conditioned hybrid patch cord". Detailed information on the identification, use, and installation should be required by the standard.

1) Each end of the patch cord should be labeled as per the intended connection. a) "To Equipment".

b) "To Building".

2) The patch cord should have an indelible label attached identifying it as an "802.3z Gigabit Ethernet Hybrid Patch Cord". Information on the intended application should be provided. A warning should be included that this hybrid patch cord is NOT usable for normal single mode or multimode patch cord applications.

This labeling should serve to produce a easy to use and install hybrid patch cord product.

SuggestedRemedy

At the top of page 38.6, subclause 38.3.1 add the following descriptive text at line 15:

"Mode conditioned hybrid patch cord assemblies shall be manufactured to include the following characteristics and product labeling:

1) Each end of the hybrid patch cord assembly shall be labeled to indicate the required connection:

a) "To Equipment" label attached to the PMD MDI connector.

b) "To Building" label attached to the multimode cable plant connector.

2) The hybrid patch cord shall include an attached indelible label specifying the following:

a) "802.3z Gigabit Ethernet Hybrid Patch Cord."

b) "This product is intended to provide conditioned laser launch for 1000BASE-SX laser transceivers operating over multimode fiber plants."

c) "This product is not usable for normal patch cord applications."

Proposed Response Response Status U

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

and add subclause 38,11,2,4.

38.11.2.4 Mode conditioning patch cord for MMF operation of 1000BASE-LX

This subclause specifies an example embodiment of a mode conditioner for 1000BASE-LX operation with MMF cable plant. The MMF cable plant should meet all of the specifications of 38.10. For 1000BASE-LX the mode conditioner consists of a singlemode fiber permanently coupled off-center to a graded index cable plant fiber. This example embodiment of a patch cord is not intended to exclude other physical implementations of offset launch mode conditioners. However, any implementation of offset launch mode

conditioner used for 1000BASE-LX shall meet the specifications of Table 38-13. The offset launch must be contained within the patch cord assembly.

Table 38-13 Offset launch mode conditioner specifications

Description	62.5 um MMF	50 um MMF	Unit
Maximum insertion loss	0.5	0.5	dB
Coupled power ratio (CPR) Optical center offset between	28 < CPR < 40	12 < CPR < 20	dB
SMF and MMF	17 < Offset < 23	10 < Offset < 16	
Angular offset (max)	1	1 (degree

Note: All patch cord connecting ferrules containing the singlemode-to-multimode offset launch shall have singlemode tolerances (IEC 61754-4 grade 1 ferrule).

Mode conditioners based on different physical mechanisms may be discovered in the future. These new mode conditioners are not excluded from use with 1000BASE-LX. However, the specifications of Table 38-13 are specific to the singlemode fiber offset launch mode conditioner and may not ensure that mode conditioners based on other physical mechanisms will have adequate performance for 1000BASE-LX.

The singlemode fiber used to manufacture the offset launch mode conditioner shall meet the requirements of 38.10. The multimode fiber used in the construction of the offset launch mode conditioner shall be of the same type as the cable plant over which 1000BASE-LX is to be operated. If the cable plant is 62.5 um MMF then the MMF used in the construction of the mode conditioner should be of type 62.5 um MMF. If the cable plant is of type 50 um MMF, then the MMF used in the construction of the mode conditioner should be of type 50 um MMF.

Figure 38-5 shows the preferred embodiment of the offset patch cord. This patch cord consists of duplex fibers represented by a singlemode-to-multimode offset launch fiber connected to the transmitter MDI and a second conventional cable plant graded index fiber connected to the receiver MDI. The preferred configuration is a plug-to-plug patch cord since it maximises the power budget margin of the 1000BASE-LX link. The single mode end of the patch cord shall be labelled "To equipment". The patch cord connected to the cable plant shall be labelled "To cable plant". The "strain relief boot" of the singlemode fiber connector plug shall be colored blue. The "strain relief boot" of all multimode fiber connector plugs shall be colored beige. The patch cord assembly is labelled "Offset Launch Mode Conditioning Patch Cord Assembly". Labelling identifies which size multimode fiber is used in the construction of the patchcord. The polarity of the SC duplex optical plug ensures that the singlemode fiber end is automatically aligned to the transmitter MDI.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 63 of 86 C/ 38 SC 38.3.1

C/ 38 SC 38.3.1 P38.6 L 10-15 # 110	C/ 38 SC 38.3.1 P38.6 L 42-47 # 3
Pat Thaler Hewlett-Packard	Howie Johnson LSI Logic, 1551 McCar
Comment Type T Comment Status A This paragraph is misleading. I don't think we intend to be suggesting that the single mode fiber patch cord be used for mode conditioning SX and we haven't seen clear evidence that the step index is useful.	Comment Type T Comment Status D resubm Comment originally submitted by Thomas Dineen. The comment was withdrawn by the commentor from the D3.3 balloting. The chief editor has promised Thomas that he will submit this comment on Thomas' behalf during the sponsor ballot: Image: Comment Status Display="2">Comment Status Display="2"
SuggestedRemedy	From user's prospective the subclause fails to provide a sufficient description of the "Mode conditioned hybrid patch cord". Detailed information on the identification, use, and installation should be required by the standard.
Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On page 38.6, line 11-14, remove the phrase, "a special step-index MMF for use at either	 Each end of the patch cord should be labeled as per the intended connection. PMD MDI end. Cable Plant end.
Add at the end of sentence, the phrase, "with 1000BASE-LX, as described in subclause 38.11.2.4."	2) The patch cord should have an indelible label attached identifying it as an "802.3z Gigabit Ethernet Hybrid Patch Cord". Information on the intended application should be provided. A warning should be included that this hybrid patch cord is NOT usable for normal single mode or multimode patch cord applications.
C/38 SC 38.3.1 P 38.6 L 3 # 85 Joe Gwinn Raytheon	3) The patch cord outer covering should be of a bright and unique color differentiating it from other commercial patch cord products.
Comment Type E Comment Status A Unclear; missing clarifying words.	This labeling should serve to produce a easy to use and install hybrid patch cord product.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change to read " the resulting pulse-splitting-induced nulls".	At the bottom of page 38.6, subclause 38.3.1 add the following descriptive text:
Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT.	"Mode conditioned hybrid patch cord assemblies shall be manufactured to include the following characteristics and product labeling:
Change to read " the resulting pulse-splitting-induced nulls".	 Each end of the hybrid patch cord shall be labeled to indicate the required connection: a) "PMD MDI" label attached to the PMD MDI connector. b) "Multimode Cable Plant" label attached to the multimode cable plant connector.
	 2) The hybrid patch cord shall include an attached indelible label specifying the following: a) "802.3z Gigabit Ethernet Hybrid Patch Cord." b) "This product is intended to provide conditioned laser launch for 1000BASE-SX laser transceivers operating over multimode fiber plants." c) "This product is not usable for normal patch cord applications."
	3) The patch cord outer covering shall be colored "Corvette Yellow"."
	Proposed Response Response Status Z
	Withdrawn.

C/ 38 SC 38.3.2 P 38.6 L 20 Paul Kolesar Lucent Technologies	# 219	C/ 38 SC 38.3.2=44 117 9222928 P 38.6 L 20 # 99 David Cunningham Hewlett-Packard Hew				
Comment Type TR Comment Status R Receiver bandwidth specification insufficient for interoperability.		Comment Type TR Comment Status R In sections 38.3.2 and 38.4.2 there is a statement "To limit jitter, the receiver upper 3 dB bandwidth should be less than 1500 MHz." The lower 3 dB electrical bandwidth is not defined. To limit jitter the lower 3 dB low pass cut-off frequency of the receiver should be defined. The optical link model used by IEEE 802.3z assumed that the				
SuggestedRemedy Add a minimum receiver bandwidth must be specified. Suggest using 1000 MHz as the 3-dB electical bandwidth minimum.						
Proposed Response Response Status U PROPOSED REJECT.		lower 3 dB electrical, low pass, cut-off frequency of the receiver was 1000 MHz.				
Cl 38 SC 38.3.2=44 P 38.6 L 20 David Cunningham Hewlett-Packard	# 100	Not specifying both the receiver lowest and highest 3 dB electrical, low pass, cut-off frequencies will cause ISI, jitter and lead to inter-operation problems.				
Comment Type TR Comment Status R In sections 38.3.2 and 38.4.2 there is a statement "To limit jitter,		This issue is made worse because there is no test to measure the bandwidth of a digital integrated receiver. SuggestedRemedy As a minimum change the statement in section 38.3.2 and 38.4.2 to read, "To limit intersymbol interference and jitter, the receiver lower 3 dB electrical, low pass, cut-off frequency should be greater than 1000 MHz and less than 1500 MHz". Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED REJECT.				
the receiver upper 3 dB bandwidth should be less than 1500 MHz." The lower 3 dB electrical bandwidth is not defined. To limit jitter the lower 3 dB low pass cut-off frequency of the receiver should be defined. The optical link model used by IEEE 802.3z assumed that the lower 3 dB electrical, low pass, cut-off frequency of the receiver was 1000 MHz.						
Not specifying both the receiver lowest and highest 3 dB electrical, low pass, cut-off frequencies will cause ISI, jitter and lead to						
inter-operation problems. This issue is made worse because there is no test to measure the		C/ 38 SC 38.3.3 P 38.6 L 40 # 213 Paul Kolesar Lucent Technologies Lucent Technologies				
bandwidth of a digital integrated receiver. SuggestedRemedy		Comment Type E Comment Status A Table 38-5 is out of sequence.				
As a minimum change the statement in section 38.3.2 and 38.4.2 to read, "To limit intersymbol interference and jitter, the receiver lower 3 dB electrical, low pass, cut-off frequency should be greater than 1000 MHz and less than 1500 MHz".		SuggestedRemedy Table 38-5 should be moved up so as to be in clause 38.3.3 which references it, rather than in clause 38.4.				
Proposed Response Response Status U PROPOSED REJECT.		Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
		The IEEE 802.3z Editor will position tables at the appropriate position in the document prior				

to publication.

Page 65 of 86 C/ 38 SC 38.3.3

C/ 38 SC 38.4	P 38.7	L 28	# 101	C/ 38	SC 38.4	P 38.7	L 28	# 214
David Cunningham	Hewlett-Packa	rd		Paul Kole	esar	Lucent Techn	ologies	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Commer	t Type TR	Comment Status A		
	operating range of 2 to 440m for 6			The	distance range fo	or 62.5 um fiber for -LX is too sh	ort.	
	andwidth of 250MHz.km for direct 04 defines a requirement for cond			Suggeste	edRemedy			
	oupled power ratio (CPR) range.		-)			onditioning has been well simul		
For 1000BASE-LX	which supports both SMF and MI	VE an external m	ode			ent 440 meter limitation is too co sed on a 250 MHz-km de-rated		
	cord based on offset single-mode					ovides bandwidth sufficient to ea		
	ally and theoretically, to achieve gr					ive of the standard. The table sh	nould read:	
	ride range of MMF parameters. Wi BASE-LX, 62MMF, link length is i			2 to \$				
	nimum modal bandwidth to achiev				d Response	Response Status C		
	entered and the second little and have a			PRO	POSED ACCEP	1.		
	external mode conditioner have a	iso deen determii	iea.	In tab	ole 38-6, increase	e the minimum range from (2 to	440m) to (2 to 5	50 m).
SuggestedRemedy		110		1				
	use the minimum range from (2 to e 38-9, change the following 62.5					CPR values for 62.5 um MMF fr PR<40 and change CPR values		from 10 <cpr<25 td="" to<=""></cpr<25>
	ng distance from 440m to 550 m,		l		PR<20.			
	2.35 dB, link penalties from 5.32			la tal				
	m modal bandwidth of 500 MHz.kr in link power budget from 0.0 to 2					the following 62.5 um MMF para nel insertion loss from 2.18 dB t		
unanocated margin		.02 02.		to 4.0	2 dB. Based on	a minimum modal bandwidth of		
	38-7 change CPR values for 62.5			marg	in in link power b	oudget from 0.0 to 1.43 dB.		
from 10 <cpr<20 28<<="" td="" to=""><td>CPR<40. In table 38-7 change Cl</td><td>PR values for 50</td><td>um MMF</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></cpr<20>	CPR<40. In table 38-7 change Cl	PR values for 50	um MMF	•				
Proposed Response	Response Status C			In tat	ole 38-11, line 43	, change 2.16 to 2.32.		
PROPOSED ACCE								
In table 38-6, increa	ase the minimum range from (2 to	440m) to (2 to 55	i0 m).					
In table 38-7 change	e CPR values for 62.5 um MMF fr	om						
15 <cpr<30 28<<="" td="" to=""><td>CPR<40 and change CPR values</td><td></td><td>from 10<cpr<25 td="" to<=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></cpr<25></td></cpr<30>	CPR<40 and change CPR values		from 10 <cpr<25 td="" to<=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></cpr<25>					
12 <cpr<20.< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></cpr<20.<>								
In table 38-9, chang	e the following 62.5 um MMF para	ameters: operatin	g distance from					
440m to 550 m, cha	annel insertion loss from 2.18 dB t	o 2.35 dB, link pe	enalties from 5.32 dB					
	n a minimum modal bandwidth of r budget from 0.0 to 1.43 dB.	325 MHz.km cha	ange the unallocated					
margin in inix power								
In table 38-11, line 4	43, change 2.16 to 2.32.							

C/ 38	SC 38.4.1	P38.8	L 20	# <u>59</u>
Bob Musk		Hewlett Packard		

Bob Musk

Comment Status A Comment Type TR

1000BASE-LX Output Power. Table 38-7 has "Average launch power (min)" of -11.5dBm for MMF and -13.5dBm for SMF without consideration of mode conditioning. Table 38-8 has "Average receiver power (min)" of -19dBm. This results in table 38-9 having a "Link power budget" of 7.5dB for MMF and 5.5dB for SMF.

Use of an SMF offset launch mode conditioning patchcord for 1000BASE-LX implies that the Average launch power (min) of -11.5dBm for MMF will not be met.

SuggestedRemedy

Allowing 0.5dB for the transmission loss within a hybrid SMF offset launch into a MMF patchcord. To maintain the current MMF link power budge, increase the 10um SMF average launch power (min) to -11dBm in table 38-7. Change table 38-9 to have a link power budget of 8.0dB for SMF. In table 38-9, increase the 10um unallocated margin in link power budget from 0.76dB to 3.26dB.

Proposed Response Response Status C

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

In table 38-7, in the 10um SMF column, change -13.5 to -11.0

In table 38-9, in the 10um SMF column, change 5.5 to 8.0 and change 0.76 to 3.26.

CI 38 SC 38.4.1

Paul Kolesar



Lucent Technologies

Comment Status A Comment Type TR

CPR shows good correlation to the offset launch conditioning technique. It is not necessarily relevant to other possible launch conditioning devices or approaches. Therefore, the CPR parameter should be applied only to the specifications of the offset launch approach, not to -LX transmitters in general.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the CPR requirement from Table 38-7. Create a separate table related to mode conditioning devices or techniques. The offset launch device is one class of conditioner that can now be specified therein. Specify the CPR parameter for the offset launch device only, at this time.

Proposed Response Response Status C

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The GbE specification specifies a single 1000BASE-LX transceiver to support three media types. The SMF offset launch patch cord, described in subclause 38.11.2.4, is the only defined method to achieveGbE MMFlink specifications.

CPR should be retained in Table 38-7 since it allows users to confirm that the SMF offset launch patch cord described in subclause 38.11.2.4 has been assempled properly. It is premature to add new criteria to characterize launch conditions pending the completion of the MBI Workplan summarized below.

Under Table 38-7, modify note a, to read,

"Due to the dual singlemode/multimode media support of the LX transmitter, fulfillment of this specification requires a SMF offset launch mode-contitioning patch cord described in subclause 38.11.2.4. This patch cord is not used for singlemode operation.

In subclause 38.4, page 38.6, line 45, change "is capable of supporting" to "supports".

In subclause 38.4.1, page 38.8, line 4, add sentence.

"To ensure that the specifications in Table 38-7 are met with MMF links, the 1000BASE-LX transmitter output shall be coupled through a singlemode fiber offset launch patch cord, as defined in subclause 38,11,2,4.

MBI Workplan

To provide a convincing case that no new MMF parameters are needed to characterize MMF link performance, the optical PMD subgroup has undertaken the development and confirmation of conformace and inter-operability tests for MMF links at the defined test points in Figure 38-1. The objective is that proposed MMF links lengths are achieved with >99% of the installed MMF cable plants provided the MMFs meet their modal bandwidth specifications and optical PMD transmitters and receivers meet their conformance tests. The receiver conformance tests are targeted to characterize, (1) worst case eye opening

at TP4 and (2) a jitter tolerance window opening template at TP4 with two identical pseudorandom optical input pulse sequences having a prescribed delay range between them to

SC 38.4.1

216

the acceptable lau adequately mitiga Where possible	ial mode delay (DMD) jitter. Th unch characteristics, in conjun- te the impact of DMD-related , the conformance test criteria be confirmed both analytically	ction with the receiver p jitter at TP4. and related link	performance, to	C/ 38 SC 38.4.2 Paul Kolesar Comment Type TR	P 38.8 Lucent Techn Comment Status R	L 38 ologies	# <u>218</u>
	er budget shown in Table 38-			Receiver bandwidth spe	cification insufficient for inter	operability.	
C/ 38 SC 38.4	4.1 P38.8 Baynetw		# 183	SuggestedRemedy Add a minimum receive MHz as the 3-dB electic	r bandwidth must be specifie al bandwidth minimum.	d. Suggest using	1000
omment Type E The sentence for	Comment Status A note "b" is missing the period			Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT	Response Status U		
uggestedRemedy Add period at enc	d of sentence.						
roposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status C	:					
C/ 38 SC 38.4 oe Gwinn	4.1 P38.8 Raytheo		# 86				
Comment Type E Note "b" lacks a te							
SuggestedRemedy Add missing perio	od.						
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status C	:					
Capitalize "R" in r	adial and add missing period a	at the end of the senter	nce.				
2/ 38 SC 38. 4 be Gwinn	4.1 P38.8 Raytheo		# 87				
specified. Lab wo sufficient filtering field between com	R Comment Status R y and reliability, receiver band ork showed that about half of r to control jitter. To ensure a le npeting receiver manufacturer but, or people will be tempted	width should be eceivers lacked evel playing s, bandwidth requireme	ents				
SuggestedRemedy Change the "shou	uld" to a "shall". Provide a spe vidths, and a measurement pro	cific allowed range					
Proposed Response PROPOSED RE.	Response Status U						

C/ 38 Pat Thaler	SC 38.4.2	P 8 Hewlett-Pack	L 28 to 29	# 237	C/ 38 Paul Kolesa	SC 38.5	P38.9	L 39	# 221
			alu				Lucent Techn	lologies	
Comment		Comment Status A			Comment		Comment Status A		
		s the only mention of the con-			Jitter al	location from T	P2 to TP3 is insufficient.		
		xplanation of the cord should rded does not make sense.			Suggested	Remedy			
		o, nowhere does it make clea			The jitte	er allocation fro	m TP2 to TP3 is presently 96 p	os, all of which	
		ed to support operation over					don jitter (RJ). This is unrealisti		
		y understanding is that that w					provide workable jitter allocation jitter allocated to the fiber has		
		at a multi-mode only 1000BA iant. If so, the text of 38.4 sh					tter (DJ), or more specifically d		
		he intent, then this note is inco			jitter (D	DJ) attributed to	o the limited bandwidth of the r	nedia. FDDI,	
Suggested	dRemedv				for exa	mple, allocated	10% of the available budget to	DDJ of the med	ia.
00	e "shall require" 1	to "requires"					the DJ component from TP2 to ps RJ equates to only 24 ps D		at least
		describes the patch cord.			•	•			
		ifying the media requirement		х	Proposed F		Response Status U		
		place is the second sentend lace "is capable of supportin			PROPO	JSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
		lear that this is a requirement			It is pre	emature to mod	lify the jitter budget in Table 38	-10. To provide a	a convincing case for
	sibility.		, ,				dget, it is necessary to charact	terize MMF link pe	erformance as
Proposed I	Response	Response Status C					ork plan summarized below.	d iittor budgata ba	a cobiovid with a 000/
PROP	, OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.					roposed MMF links lengths and able plants provided the MMFs		
							cal PMD transmitters and rece		
		lify note a, to read,							
		mode/multimode media supples a SMF offset launch mode			MBI We		nance tests are targeted to ch	orootorizo (1) wa	rat again ave apaping
		his patch cord is not used for					olerance window opening temp		
							ulse sequences having a preso		
In subc	clause 38.4, page	e 38.6, line 45, change "is ca	pable of supporting" to	o "supports".			ode delay (DMD) jitter. The trai		
In subc	clause 38.4.1 na	ge 38.8, line 4, add sentenc	۵				characteristics, in conjunction e impact of DMD-related jitter a		performance, to
		ecifications in Table 38-7 are		e 1000BASE-LX			conformance test criteria and i		
		be coupled through a singler	mode fiber offset launc	h patch cord, as	perform	nance will be co	nfirmed both analytically and e	xperimentally. Th	
defined	d in subclause 38	3.11.2.4.			change	es to the jitter bu	udget shown in Table 38-10, in	cluding TP1 and	TP4.
Copy th	he last three para	agraphs in section 38.3.1 to s	section 38.4.1.		The p	projected sched	lule for developing conformand	ce tests to addres	SS
Data	the least serve					comment is:			
Delete	the last paragrap	oh in 38.3.1 on line 11				e February Inte objectives and	rim meeting, review potential ir	mpact of this com	ment resolution on
						objectives and	PAR.		

tests."

At the March Plenary, present complete conformance test proposals, including theoretical analysis and experimental data.
 At the May Interim, the goal is to present a complete PMD draft including conformance

C/ 38 SC 38.6 P38.10 L3 # 222	C/ 38 SC 38.6.1 P38.10 L9 # 88					
CI 38 SC 38.6 P 38.10 L 3 # 222 Paul Kolesar Lucent Technologies Lucent Technologies	C/ 38 SC 38.6.1 P 38.10 L 9 # 88 Joe Gwinn Raytheon					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A The measurement patch cable is not sufficiently defined	Comment Type E Comment Status A Sentence could be less telegraphic and clearer.					
to include mode conditioning types. SuggestedRemedy Replace line 3 with the following:	SuggestedRemedy Change to read " conditions over the entire nominal operating temperature range."					
All optical measurements must be made through a patch cord between 2 and 5 meters in length. The appropriate type of cord is dependent on the optical fiber type, optical PMD MDI type and associated mode	Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
conditioning requirements given in Table 38-??.	C/ 38 SC 38.6.5 P 38.11 L 29 # 89 Joe Gwinn Raytheon					
Table 38-?? Patch cord types for optical measurements	Comment Type TR Comment Status A					
Cabling Media 1000BASE-SX 1000BASE-LX 62.5 um MMF 62.5 um MMF or offset-launch mode conditioner (if required) or step-index mode conditioner step-index mode conditioner	This filter function is overspecified, as it lacks any notion of the allowed tolerance, and could preclude use of commercially available solutions. In fact, as stated, the requirement is impossible to meet, as all manufactured articles are approximations to desired ideals.					
50 um MMF 50 um MMF or offset-launch mode conditioner (if required) or step-index mode conditioner step-index mode conditioner	SuggestedRemedy Add "or equivalent" wording, and specify a tolerance on allowable deviations from the specified filter function.					
10 um SMF not applicable 10 um SMF	Proposed Response Response Status U PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The suggested remedy references step-index mode conditioners which have not yet been demonstrated to be sufficiently helpful to mitigate DMD-related jitter. The only mode-conditioning patch cord which has been demonstrated analytically and experimentally for 1000BASE-LX is the SMF offset launch into MMF as described in a new section 38.11.2.4.	Commercial implementations of the fourth order BT test receiver for this GbE specification follow CCITT G.957 Annex 1 specifications. This ensures that the BT filter response is sufficiently smooth and linear phase to stay within templates boundaries. This template allows +/- frequency tolerance of ~8.97% at the -3 dB response level. It adds unnecessary complexity to this standard to attempt to define whether another filter type is sufficiently close to the referenced fourth order BT filter.					

conditioning patch cord which has been demonstrated analytically and experimentally for 1000BASE-LX is the SMF offset launch into MMF as described in a new section 38.11.2.4. Thus, add the following generic text.

Add a sentence in page 38.10, line 3, which states, "If mode conditioning patch cords are used, the optical transmit signal is defined at the output end (TP2) of the mode conditioning patch cord." On page 38.11, line37, add the following note:

NOTE- CCITT G.957 Annex 1 defines the filter response vs. frequency tolerence range for this fourth order Bessel Thompson filter.

C/ 38 SC 38.6.5 F	P 38.11	L 39	# 90	C/ 38 SC 38.6.6	P 38.11	L 47	# 92
oe Gwinn Ray	ytheon			Joe Gwinn	Raytheon		
comment Type TR Comment Stat	tus A			Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		
My recollection was that the Bessel-Thomp input, not output, although it may well be th are reactive, and may benefit from an atter	nat both input				at filter responses be removed us hould come right out and say so, what's expected.		
I also recall that there was a commercial fil same job, without the reactive ports, but that Bessel-Thompson. We may not wish to p	at it wasn't *e	exactly*		SuggestedRemedy Replace "should" with			
uggestedRemedy				Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC	Response Status U T.		
Verify technical issue; change wording if n	needed.			If specified transmitt	er rise/fall times can be achieved	while using a fi	ilter to meet the
Proposed Response Response Statu	us U				ere is no need remove the respo		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				C/ 38 SC 38.6.8	P38.12	L 10	# 93
Commercial implementations of the fourth				Joe Gwinn	Raytheon		
follow CCITT G.957 Annex 1 specification sufficinently smooth and linear phase to sta				Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
allows +/- frequency tolerance of ~8.97%	at the -3 dB	response level.	It adds unnecessary	51	" in BERT is "Rate", not "Ratio".		
complexity to this standard to attempt to de	Contraction of the second s			=			
		er another filter t	ype is sufficiently	SuggestedRemedv			
close to the referenced fourth order BT filt		er another filter t	ype is sufficiently	SuggestedRemedy Replace "Ratio" with	"Rate".		
	ter.		ype is sufficiently	•••	"Rate". Response Status C		
close to the referenced fourth order BT filt On page 38.11, line39, change "output" to C/ 38 SC 38.6.6	ter. • "input and ou P 38.11		ype is sufficiently # <mark>91</mark>	Replace "Ratio" with	Response Status C		
close to the referenced fourth order BT filt On page 38.11, line39, change "output" to C/ 38 SC 38.6.6 F oe Gwinn Ray	ter. 9 "input and ou P 38.11 aytheon	utput".		Replace "Ratio" with Proposed Response	Response Status C PT.		
close to the referenced fourth order BT filt On page 38.11, line39, change "output" to Z/ 38 SC 38.6.6 De Gwinn Ray Comment Type E Comment State	ter. 9 "input and ou P 38.11 aytheon	utput".		Replace "Ratio" with Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status C PT.	L 19	# 94
close to the referenced fourth order BT filt On page 38.11, line39, change "output" to C/ 38 SC 38.6.6 oe Gwinn Ray Comment Type E Comment State The word "compromise" is ambiguous. The back of the state The back of the state	ter. 9 "input and ou P 38.11 aytheon	utput".		Replace "Ratio" with Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Replace "Ratio" with	Response Status C PT. "Rate".	L 19	# 94
close to the referenced fourth order BT filt On page 38.11, line39, change "output" to / 38 SC 38.6.6 F be Gwinn Ray omment Type E Comment State The word "compromise" is ambiguous. uggestedRemedy	ter. 9 "input and ou P 38.11 aytheon	utput".		Replace "Ratio" with Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Replace "Ratio" with Cl 38 SC 38.6.8	Response Status C PT. "Rate". P38.12	L 19	# <mark>94</mark>
close to the referenced fourth order BT filt On page 38.11, line39, change "output" to C/ 38 SC 38.6.6 F oe Gwinn Ray Comment Type E Comment State The word "compromise" is ambiguous. SuggestedRemedy Replace "compromise" with "reduce".	ter. "input and ou P 38.11 hytheon tus A	utput".		Replace "Ratio" with Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Replace "Ratio" with C/ 38 SC 38.6.8 Joe Gwinn	Response Status C PT. "Rate". P38.12 Raytheon Comment Status A	L 19	# 94
close to the referenced fourth order BT filt On page 38.11, line39, change "output" to / 38 SC 38.6.6 F be Gwinn Ray omment Type E Comment State The word "compromise" is ambiguous. uggestedRemedy Replace "compromise" with "reduce".	ter. "input and ou P 38.11 hytheon tus A	utput".		Replace "Ratio" with Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Replace "Ratio" with C/ 38 SC 38.6.8 Joe Gwinn Comment Type E	Response Status C PT. "Rate". P38.12 Raytheon Comment Status A	L 19	# <u>94</u>
close to the referenced fourth order BT filt On page 38.11, line39, change "output" to 2/ 38 SC 38.6.6 F oe Gwinn Ray Comment Type E Comment State The word "compromise" is ambiguous. SuggestedRemedy Replace "compromise" with "reduce". Proposed Response Response Statu PROPOSED ACCEPT.	ter. "input and ou P 38.11 hytheon tus A	utput".		Replace "Ratio" with Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Replace "Ratio" with Cl 38 SC 38.6.8 Joe Gwinn Comment Type E Clarifying word and co SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C PT. "Rate". P38.12 Raytheon Comment Status A	L 19	# <u>94</u>
close to the referenced fourth order BT filt On page 38.11, line39, change "output" to C/ 38 SC 38.6.6 be Gwinn Ray Comment Type E Comment State The word "compromise" is ambiguous. SuggestedRemedy Replace "compromise" with "reduce". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response State	ter. "input and ou P 38.11 hytheon tus A	utput".		Replace "Ratio" with Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF Replace "Ratio" with Cl 38 SC 38.6.8 Joe Gwinn Comment Type E Clarifying word and co SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C PT. "Rate". P38.12 Raytheon Comment Status A omma needed. enalty, but does not affect". Response Status C	L 19	# <u>94</u>

C/ 38 SC 38.7.2	P38.13	L 10	# 05 -	C/ 38 SC 38.9	P38.14	L 9	# 000
Joe Gwinn	Raytheon		# 95	Paul Kolesar	Lucent Techno		# 223
Comment Type E Clarifying word "of" nee SuggestedRemedy Change to read " fiber Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change to read " fiber	r or out of an open". <i>Response Status</i> C			lead to interoperablit requiring visible labe <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change line 9 to: Each PHY (and supp	Comment Status A to the user is presently not require y problems in the field that can be ling.	e avoided by beled in a manner	
C/ 38 SC 38.9 Robert Grow	Р 38.14 XLNT	L 28	# 48	for PMD MDI type 10 1) 1000BASE-SX m 2) applicable safety	ultimode only		
Comment Type E Name segments of the	Comment Status A cable plant in figure 38-4.				node conditioning required (if app	licable)	
SuggestedRemedy	nper Cable" where appropriate.			for PMD MDI type 10 1) 1000BASE-LX 2) applicable safety 3) type of external m		licable)	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	-			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status C PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
	38.10, line 26, add title "jumper "Connector" blocks and add the o "Connector" blocks				ted, thus, it is sufficient to retain th For clarity,there may be some pre		
				Change lines 9-16 to	read:		

It is recommended that each PHY (and supporting documentation) be labeled in a manner visible to the user with at least the following parameters, according to PMD-MDI type:

PMD MDI type 1000BASE-SX:

- 1) 1000BASE-SX, multimode only
- 2) applicable safety warnings
- 3) type of external mode conditioning required (if applicable)

PMD MDI type 1000BASE-LX:

- 1) 1000BASE-LX
- 2) applicable safety warnings
- 3) type of external mode conditioning required (if applicable)

Page 72 of 86 C/ 38 SC 38.9

C/ 38 SC Table 38-3 P 38.5 L 29 # 180 Tom Mathey Baynetworks	C/ 38 SC Table 38-7 P 38.8 L 28 # 182 Tom Mathey Baynetworks
Comment Type E Comment Status A The word "laser" is mis-spelled as "lase"	Comment Type T Comment Status A For the "shall" in note "a" of table 38-7, I can not find a corresponding PICS entry.
SuggestedRemedy Correct spelling. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Add PICS entry as follows: Item PML5 Feature Mode-conditioning hybrid patch cord Subclause 38.4.1
C/ 38 SC Table 38-3 P 38.5 L 53 # 181 Tom Mathey Baynetworks	Value/Comment Required for LX multimode operation Status LX:M Support Yes [], N/A []
Comment Type E Comment Status A The sentence for note "c" and "d" are both missing periods at end of sentence.	Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
SuggestedRemedy Add periods at end of sentence.	Note: The editor of clause 38 has promoted this comment from "E" to "T" status because of its PICs-related content.
Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED ACCEPT.	In response to comment #237, in subclause 38.4.1, page 38.8, line 4, the following sentence has been added: "To ensure that the specifications in Table 38-7 are met with MMF links, the 1000BASE-LX transmitter output shall be coupled through a singlemode fiber offset launch patch cord, as defined in subclause 38.11.2.4.
	Add the corresponding PICS entry as follows: Item PML5

Item-- PML5 Feature-- Mode-conditioning hybrid patch cord Subclause-- 38.4.1 Value/Comment-- Required for LX multimode operation Status-- LX:M Support-- Yes [], N/A []

CI 38A SC	P38.25	L	# 43	C/ 38A	SC FC-PH A.5.2	P38.2		# 188
rad Booth	Jato Technologi	es, Inc		Tom Mathe	y	Baynetw	orks	
Comment Type E Commen Page number incorrect.	nt Status R					Comment Status R C-PH A.2-RIN test s	setup" does not match	n title in the text of
uggestedRemedy Change 38.25 to 38.30 to be 38A.1	to 38A.6.			Suggested		an matak		
roposed Response Response PROPOSED Reject	e Status C			Proposed I		Response Status C		
Page numbering is handled on a by- subclause basis.	clause basis and ca	annot be addresse	d on a by-	C/ 38A	SC FC-PH A.5.3	P38.2	29 L13	# 189
C/ 38A SC 38.10	P38.25	L 32	# 97	Tom Mathe	y	Baynetw	orks	
oe Gwinn	Raytheon	2 32	# 51	Comment	Гуре Е	Comment Status R		
,	nt Status A				ble title of "Table FC 38A-1.".	-PH A-1-Filter 3 dB	point" does not match	n title in the text of
Wrong word used. To "insure" is to	get an insurance po	blicy.		Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy Replace "insure" with "ensure".				Pick eit	her title and have the	em match.		
•	e Status C			Proposed I PROP	Response F DSED Reject	Response Status C		
Replace "insure" with "ensure".				C/ 38A Joe Gwinn	SC Table A-1	P 38.2 Raytheo	-	# 98
C/ 38A SC 38A łowie Johnson	P38.25 Signal Consulting	L 16	# 55	Comment Used a	51	Comment Status A point, unlike the res		
Comment Type TR Comment It's not clear to me that our standard	nt Status A benefits from the in	clusion of this anr	iex.	S <i>uggested</i> Use eit		a as the decimal poir	nt, but be consistent.	
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed I	Response F	Response Status C		
Let's either:				PROP	DSED ACCEPT.			
(1) please include in the annex a brid the information in that section is use	•	ning of each section	n explaining how	In Tabl Mbaud		je "265,625" to" 265	.625", change "1,062	Gbaud" to "1,062.50
(2) delete the annex								
	e Status U							
Proposed Response Response	'LE. 1BI Work Plan defini	0	ests, it is					
Proposed Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIP At least until the completion of the M	LE. IBI Work Plan defini ds in this document		ests, it is					

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 74 of 86 C/ **38A** SC **Table A-1**

C/ 38B SC Brad Booth	P 38.31 Jato Technol	<i>L</i> ogies, Inc	# 44
Comment Type E Page numbering incorr	Comment Status R ect.		
SuggestedRemedy Change 38.31 and 38.3	32 to be 38B.1 and 38B.2.		
Proposed Response PROPOSED Reject	Response Status C		

subclause basis.

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

C/ 39 SC 39.2.3 P39.1 L 45 # 190		C/ 39	SC :	39.2.3	P 39.2	L15	# 4
Tom Mathey Baynetworks		Howie John	son		Hewlett-Packard	1	
Comment Type E Comment Status R		Comment 7	Гуре	т	Comment Status A		resubmi
The paragraph 39.2.3 has 5 "shall"s, but only 3 PICS entries. The text "SIGNAL_DE shall be set to OK when the PMD circuitry receives a valid electrical signal." seems to missing a PICS entry.	TECT o be	comme	ntor fro	om the D3	nitted by Haluk Aytac. The com .3 balloting. The chief editor has s behalf during the sponsor ball	s promised Ha	ndrawn by the aluk that he will submit
SuggestedRemedy Add PICS entry as follows: Item FN12 Feature SIGNAL_DETECT set to OK Subclause 39.2.3 Value/Comment when the PMD circuitry receives a valid electrical signal Status M Support Yes Proposed Response Response Status		limiting The onl sensitiv be the r board d of signa and abo	the us y requi ity be o naximu lesigne I belov ove a g	efulness of irement is consistent um of num ers. A SEF v an ampli juaranteeo	o 1000BASE-CX signal detect of SERDES devices for twinax of that signal detect, cross talk, m . Of these three, cross talk can abers gathered from the cable m RDES from a vendor must alway tude value which is above maxi a sensitivity level (given in the da dor) by a certain guardband.	copper cables inimum be taken to nanufacturers ys indicate a k mum cross ta	and oss Ik
REJECT.		Suggested	Remed	dy			
The "Value Comment" field for PIC FN10 includes both conditons for "FAIL" and "no negatives". These consist of a combined "shall" and a "shall not". C/ 39 SC 39.2.3 P 39.2 L 1 # 191 Tom Mathey Baynetworks Comment Type E Comment Status R		signal c Allow S It must signal. determi 400mV	letect r ERDE be larg Remov ne this which	nust alwa S vendors Jer than cr ve the 400 value. Ca	ue from the spec. This is the va ys show loss of signal. Call this determine this value in their da oss talk on receive side due to mV value from the spec. Allow all it SD_PASS. This value must imum sensitivity that is in this cl. FAIL.	value SD_FA ta sheets. the transmit SERDES ven be smaller the	IL.
The paragraph 39.2.3 has 5 "shall"s, but only 3 PICS entries. The text "an incoming s at or above the minimum receive threshold (400 mV p-p) shall not indicate FAIL." see be missing a PICS entry.		Proposed F	Respor	ise	- Response Status C ACCEPT.		
SuggestedRemedy Add PICS entry as follows: Item FN13 Feature Incoming signal at or above the minimum receive threshold (400 mV p-p) Subclause 39.2.3 Value/Comment SIGNAL_DETECT does not indicate FAIL Status M Support Yes []		The 200 p-p laur implem enginee from pr In addit	OmV si nch am entatio ered wi oviding ion, thi	gnal dete plitude, si n of desig th greater g any bene s fixed va	ct FAIL number is numerically in gnal rise time, and cable condit ns that are otherwise compliant receiver sensitivity than the mi sfit to the user (with the possible ue for FAIL is an artificial requir en one end of the link is not ope	ions. It also p with 1000BA nimum (and lo exception of rement for inte	orevents the SE-CX, but are ower coupled noise), better noise margin).
Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT. The present "Value Comment" field for PIC FN11 includes both conditions of		cable is (rising c two req	prese or fallin uireme	nt in a poi g) can cai ents for sig	the FAIL trip point is NEXT and t. The reflections from the oper use the NEXT to increase beyon nal detect are in reality to ALW, and to not indicate OK on self-	n port, if aligne nd that of a ter AYS be set wl	ed with a similar edge rminated cable. The hen a valid signal is
"OK" and "no false positives". These consist of a combined "shall" and a "shall not".				s requires nal levels.	replaceing the requirements fo	r FAIL with sp	pecific conditions

The proposed text change for this is to replace clause 39.2.3 with the following:

39.2.3 PMD signal detect function

Page 76 of 86 C/ **39** SC **39.2.3**

The PMD Signal Detect function shall report to the PMD service interface, using the message PMD_SIGNAL.indicate(SIGNAL_DETECT) which is signaled continuously. PMD_SIGNAL.indicate is intended to be an indicator of signal presence. SIGNAL_DETECT shall be set to OK when the PMD circuitry receives a valid electrical signal. SIGNAL_DETECT shall be set to FAIL when the received electrical amplitude is below the worst case receiver coupled noise (NEXT, power supply, reflections, etc.) plus the receiver sensitivity. Examples of a FAIL condition are when the link is unplugged or the transmitter to which it is attached is turned off. Under all other conditions, the state of SIGNAL_DETECT is unspecified.

Under all valid operating conditions there shall be no false positive OK indications. Though unspecified, this implies that there must be adequate margin between the SIGNAL_DETECT trip point and the inherent noise level of the PMD due to NEXT, reflections, power supply noise, etc. Under all valid operating conditions, an incoming signal at or above the minimum receive threshold (400 mV p-p) shall not indicate FAIL. Though unspecified, this implies that there must be adequate margin between the SIGNAL_DETECT trip point and the receiver minimum differential sensitivity plus receiver coupled noise.

Response time requirements are not specified.

It is expected that SIGNAL_DETECT may chatter at some input level. It is expected that the PMD service interface will be designed to handle this.

Receive Conditions	Signal Detect Value
Vinput, Reveiver < (receiver sensitivity + worst case local system noise) (a)	Fail
Other conditions Examples 1) Receiving a non-8B/10B encoded data stream 2) Other end of the link undergoing power-on-reset (POR) transients 3) (Receiver sensitivity + local system noise) < Vinput, Receiver < Minimum differential sensitivity 4) One of the differential lines is open	Unspecified
Receiving encoded 8B/10B characters (b) AND Minimum differential sensitivity = Vinput, (c)<br Receiver = Maximum differential input</td <td>ОК</td>	ОК

(a) This implies that the link is open, or the transmitter on the other end of the link is OFF (see Table 39-2 for definition of OFF transmitter). Worst case system noise includes all receiver coupled noise sources (NEXT, power supply noise, and any reflected signals). Receive sensitivity is the actual sensitivity of the specific port (as opposed to the minimum differential sensitivity).

(b) This implies the transmitter on the other end of the link must be receiving encoded

8B/10B characters from the PMA/PCS and is functioning normally.

(c) This implies that the transmitter on the other end of the link is operating within specifications and the link is within specifications.

C/ 39 SC 39.3.1 P39.5

TR

Geoff Thompson Comment Type # 242

Bay Networks, Inc.

L 22

TDR measurements are called out without a reference that I can find to a standardized measurement technique with standardized test equipment setup.

Or perhaps since all of the references to TDR are in notes the objection is that there is no specified measurement procedure.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED PARTIAL ACCEPT.

Since no international standards have been located on how to make these measurements, the following text is proposed as an addition to clarify the usage of these tests.

39.6.8 Differential TDR measurement procedure

The differential time-domain reflectometry (TDR) test setup measures the reflected waveform returned from a load when driven with a step input. It is obtained by driving the load under test with a step waveform using a driver with a specified source impedance and risetime. The reflected waveform is the difference between (a) the observed waveform at the device under test when driven with the specified test signal, and (b) the waveform that results when driving a standard test load with the same specified test signal. From this measured result we can infer the impedance of the device under test. The time-domain reflectometry measurement is the time-domain equivalent of S11 parameter testing used in carrier-based systems.

For the measurement of 1000BASE-CX jumper cables, the following test conditions apply:

(a) The driving waveform is sourced from a balanced, differential 150-ohm source with an 85-ps risetime (see 39.6.8.1)(b) The test setup is calibrated (see 39.6.8.2)

39.6.8.1 Driving waveform

If the natural differential output impedance of the driving waveform is not 75 ohms, it may be adjusted to within 75 +/- 5 ohms by an attenuating resistive pad. When the driving point resistance is 100 ohms (as would be the case with a differential signal source having two independant, antipodal, 50-ohm sources), a good pad design shown below, where R1=173.2 ohms and R2=43.3 ohms. All resistors are surface-mount packages soldered directly to the test fixture with no intervening leads or traces, and the whole structure is mounted on a solid ground plane (used in three places):

driving signal + --(50 ohms)-----return ------gnd | + | | R1 gnd for twinax shield 150 ohms differential load | | | driving signal - --(50 ohms)-----+---R2-----(75 ohms)-----return -------gnd

If the natural risetime of the driver is less than 85 ps, the resulting measured time-waveforms must be filtered to reduce the apparant risetime to 85 + -10 ps.

39.6.8.2 Calibration of the test setup

Three measurements are made, with a short, and open, and a known test load. The value of the test resistance should be constant to within 1% over the frequency range DC to 6 GHz, and of known value. The value of the test resistance should be within the range 75 +/- 5 ohms. The differential voltages measured across the device-under-test terminals in these three cases are called Vshort, Vopen, and Vtest, respectively. From these three measurements we will compute three intermediate quantities: A = (Vopen - Vshort) / 2B = (Vopen + Vshort) / 2Z0 = Ztest * (Vopen - Vtest)/(Vtest - Vshort)

The value of Z0 is the actual driving point impedance of the tester. It must be within 75 +/- 5 ohms.

For any device under test, the conversion from measured voltage Vmesaured to impedance is as follows: Measured impedance = $Z0^{(1 + V)}(1 - V)$, where V' = (Vmeasured -B)/A

P802.32	Draft 4 Comments					
CI 39 SC 39.5.1 P 39.9 L 12 # 61 Howard Frazier cisco systems Cisco systems	C/ 39 SC 39.6.4 P 39.11 L 52 # 58 Howie Johnson Signal Consulting					
Comment Type TR Comment Status R	Comment Type TR Comment Status A					
submitted on behalf of Jay Neer of Molex, at his request.	No measurement procedures are called out for the differential TDR measruements.					
There has been no technical reason presented which would make the Style-2 connector the recommended one for this interface - we	SuggestedRemedy Include a description of the TDR measurement test setup and procedures.					
therefore recommend that the wording not be changed from the previous level which simply stated both may be used.	Proposed Response Response Status C PROPOSED PARTIAL ACCEPT.					
A second non-technical comment on the same subject is that Style-1 connector has multiple sources with ample supply - the Style-2 does not - therefore it would not be wise to point to the Style-2.	Since no international standards have been located on how to make these measurements, the following text is proposed as an addition to clarify the usage of these tests.					
SuggestedRemedy						
Revert to wording which was contained in draft d3.1, i.e.:	39.6.8 Differential TDR measurement procedure The differential time-domain reflectometry (TDR) test setup measures the					
Jumper cable assemblies shall utilize style-1 or style-2 balanced connectors, with the plug attached to the cable	reflected waveform returned from a load when driven with a step input. It is obtained by driving the load under test with a step waveform using a driver with a specified source impedance and risetime. The reflected					
Alternatively, delete the sentence beginning on line 11 with the words "To limit possible cross-plugging"	waveform is the difference between (a) the observed waveform at the device under test when driven with the specified test signal, and (b) the waveform					
Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT.	that results when driving a standard test load with the same specified test signal. From this measured result we can infer the impedance of the device under test. The time-domain reflectometry measurement is the time-domain effective under test and explanate test and an effective under test.					
This comment is rejected for four reasons.	equivalent of S11 parameter testing used in carrier-based systems.					
1. The Style-1 connectors that are compatable with 802.3z are also not "Standard" connectors. The present standard that documents these connectors is only for use up to 3MHz signalling rate. These standard connectors also do not meet standard mezzanine card spacing reuirements, and are electrically often poorer than the Style-2 connectors.	For the measurement of 1000BASE-CX jumper cables, the following test conditions apply: (a) The driving waveform is sourced from a balanced, differential 150-ohm source with an 85-ps risetime (see 39.6.8.1) (b) The test setup is calibrated (see 39.6.8.2)					
Removal of the referenced statement does not address the intermixing connector concern listed in the statement.	39.6.8.1 Driving waveform If the natural differential output impedance of the driving waveform is not					
3. The Style-2 connectors are now manufactured by two large connector manufacturers; i.e., the connectors are multiple sourced.	75 ohms, it may be adjusted to within 75 +/- 5 ohms by an attenuating resistive pad. When the driving point resistance is 100 ohms (as would be the case with a differential signal source having two independent, actioned [50 ohm courses] a good and design about helew, where P1-173 2					
4. The specific comment referenced here was added to resolve a previous TR comment. This issue was explicitly voted on at the 1997 Montreal 802.3z plenary as motion 1, with a result of 55-YES, 6-NO, 19-ABSTAIN. As a technical motion it both required and received >75% response on this vote.	antipodal, 50-ohm sources), a good pad design shown below, where R1=173.2 ohms and R2=43.3 ohms. All resistors are surface-mount packages soldered directly to the test fixture with no intervening leads or traces, and the whole structure is mounted on a solid ground plane (used in three places):					
5. The existing text states a recommendation only, not a requirement.	driving signal +(50 ohms)+R2(75 ohms) returngnd + 					
	R1 gnd for twinax shield 150					

driving signal - --(50 ohms)-----R2-----(75 ohms)-----

ohms differential load

TYPE: TR/technical required T/technical E/editorial COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 79 of 86 C/ **39** SC **39.6.4** return -----gnd

If the natural risetime of the driver is less than 85 ps, the resulting measured time-waveforms must be filtered to reduce the apparant risetime to 85 +/- 10 ps.

39.6.8.2 Calibration of the test setup

Three measurements are made, with a short, and open, and a known test load. The value of the test resistance should be constant to within 1% over the frequency range DC to 6 GHz, and of known value. The value of the test resistance should be within the range 75 +/- 5 ohms. The differential voltages measured across the device-under-test terminals in these three cases are called Vshort, Vopen, and Vtest, respectively. From these three measurements we will compute three intermediate quantities: A = (Vopen - Vshort) / 2B = (Vopen + Vshort) / 2Z0 = Ztest * (Vopen - Vtest)/(Vtest - Vshort)

The value of Z0 is the actual driving point impedance of the tester. It must be within 75 +/- 5 ohms.

For any device under test, the conversion from measured voltage Vmesaured to impedance is as follows: Measured impedance = $Z0^{*}(1 + V')/(1 - V')$, where V' = (Vmeasured -B)/A

C/ 39	SC Table 39-1	P39.2	L 20	#	192	
Tom Mathey		Baynetworks				

Comment Type E Comment Status R

The sentence for notes "1)" thru "4)" are each missing a period at the end of each sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

Add period at end of each sentence.

Proposed Response Response Status C REJECT.

These are elments of a table, not parts of a sentence, and therefor do not require punctuation.

C/ 41 SC 41.1.1.1 P41.1 L 53 # 194	C/ 41 SC 41.2.1 P41.3 L 33 # 196
om Mathey Baynetworks	Tom Mathey Baynetworks
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type E Comment Status A
The paragraph has a "shall" without a corresponding PICS entry. The text "Allowable topologies shall contain only one operative signal path between any two points" seems to be missing a PICS entry.	The paragraph has a "shall" without a corresponding PICS entry. The text "Receive" seems to be missing the PICS entry. SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Add PICS entry as follows: Item xxx Feature Allowable topologies Subclause 41.1.1.1 Value/Comment Only one operative signal path between any two points Status M Support Yes [] Proposed Response Response Status C	Add PICS entry for Receive as follows: Item RF9 Feature Receive Subclause 41.2.1 Value/Comment Status M Support Yes [] Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The sentence imposes a constraint on topology, not on the repeater. The word "shall" will be deleted, and there will be no change required to the PICS. The reworded sentence will read: "Allowable topologies contain only one operative signal	Cl 41SC 41.2.1.3.1P 41.4L 43# 197Tom MatheyBaynetworksComment TypeEComment TypeComment StatusAThe paragraph has a PICS entry of RE2 without a corresponding "shall" in the paragraph.
path between any two points" C/ 41 SC 41.3 L 33 # 195 iom Mathey Baynetworks Baynetworks E Comment Status A The paragraph has a "shall" without a corresponding PICS entry. The text "Transmit" seems to be missing the PICS entry. The text "Transmit"	SuggestedRemedy Change text to add "shall" as follows: from: the repeater set repeats all received signals to: the repeater set shall repeat all received signals Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy Add PICS entry for Transmit as follows: Item RF8 Feature Transmit Subclause 41.2.1 Value/Comment Status M Support Yes []	
Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	

C/ 41 SC 41.2.1.3 Tom Mathey	B.2 P41.4 Baynetworks	L 49	# 198	C/ 41 Tom Mathe	SC 41.2.1.5 .	1 P41.5 Baynetworks	L 47	# 200
Comment Type E The paragraph has a	Comment Status A "shall" without a corresponding F shall not vary more than 8 bit time			Suggested Add a	Ibclause has 11 IRemedy PICS entry, but I	Comment Status R 'shall"s but only 10 PICS entrie am not able to match the shalls		nd determine which
Add PICS entry as fol Item RE9 Feature Output prea Subclause 41.2.1.3	amble duration 3.2 Itput preamble duration does not	vary more than	8 bit times from the	Proposed REJE0 While the wo manda	CT. there is not a one rd "shall" in the to atory functions.	Response Status C e-to-one mapping of PICS entrie ext, both the PICS and the text a	specify the sar	ne
Support Yes []				C/ 41 Tom Mathe	SC 41.2.1.6	P 41.6 Baynetworks	L 46	# 201
Proposed Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status R shall"s but 9 PICS entries.		
C/ 41 SC 41.2.1.4	4.4 P41.5 Baynetworks	L 43	# 199	Suggested	Remedy			
Comment Type E	Comment Status R				"shall" or delete a nine which one is	a PICS entry, but I am not able missing.	to match the s	halls to the PICS and
value given in the spe 976 bit times. SuggestedRemedy Add a value for SOP of from: parameter is re	requirement for EOJ less than or cification for SOP delay. There delay to paragraph 41.2.1.3.3. C ferred to as the SOP delay, and red to as the SOP delay, has a n	is only a SOP + hange text is measured at .	SOJ not to exceed	the wo	CT. there is not a one	Response Status C e-to-one mapping of PICS entrie ext, both the PICS and the text s		
Add a PICS entry as f SOP delay) Item RE10 Feature Start-of-Par Subclause 41.2.1.3 Value/Comment les Status M Support Yes []	.3	ible to suggest a	specific value for					
Proposed Response REJECT. Specifying a value for Implementors are free so long as they meet	Response Status C SOP would over-constrain imple to design to any value of SOP, the constraints that the combinat 6 BT, and that EOJ is not larger t	SOJ, and EOJ ion of SOP and						

1/41 SC 41.2.2 P41.8 L4 # 202	C/ 41 SC 41.2.2.1.6 P41.10 L 45 # 204
om Mathey Baynetworks	Tom Mathey Baynetworks
comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type E Comment Status A
The paragraph has a "shall" without a corresponding PICS entry. The text "It is the	The paragraph has a "shall" without a corresponding PICS entry.
functional behavior of any repeater set implementation that shall match the standard," seems to be missing the PICS entry.	SuggestedRemedy
uggestedRemedy	Add PICS entry as follows: Item PD2
Add PICS entry as follows:	Feature Port designation of ALLXJIPN
Item SD5 Feature Repeater set functional behavior	Subclause 41.2.2.1.6
Subclause 41.2.2	Value/Comment Status M
Value/Comment	Support Yes []
Status M Support Yes []	Proposed Response Response Status C
roposed Response Response Status C	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	The state diagrams take precedence over the test, and the diagrams have PICS entries. The word "shall" will be removed from the text.
The state diagrams take precedence over the text, and the diagrams	
have corresponding PICS entries. The word "shall" will be removed from the text.	The reworded sentence will read: "The test passes when all ports, excluding those indicated by J, I, P, or N, meet the test conditions."
The reworded sentence will read: "It is the functional behavior of any repeater set	
implementation that is expected to match the standard, not the internal structure."	C/ 41 SC 41.2.2.1.6 P41.10 L 49 # 205
41 SC 41.2.2.1.6 P41.10 L 41 # 203	Tom Mathey Baynetworks
om Mathey Baynetworks	Comment Type E Comment Status A
omment Type E Comment Status A	The paragraph has a "shall" without a corresponding PICS entry.
The paragraph has a "shall" without a corresponding PICS entry.	SuggestedRemedy
uggestedRemedy	Add PICS entry as follows: Item PD3
Add PICS entry as follows:	Feature Port designation of ANY
Item PD1 Feature Port designation of ALL	Subclause 41.2.2.1.6 Value/Comment
Subclause 41.2.2.1.6	Status M
Value/Comment Status M	Support Yes []
Support Yes []	Proposed Response Response Status C
roposed Response Response Status C	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The state diagrams take precedence over the test, and the diagrams
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	have PICS entries. The word "shall" will be removed from the text.
	The reworded sentence will read: "The test passes when one or more ports meet the t
The state diagrams take precedence over the test, and the diagrams have PICS entries. The word "shall" will be removed from the text	
The state diagrams take precedence over the test, and the diagrams have PICS entries. The word "shall" will be removed from the text. The reworded sentence will read: "The test passes when all ports meet the test conditions."	conditions."

C/ 41	SC 41.2.2.1.6	-	L 52	# <u>206</u>	C/ 41	SC 41.6.2.2	P 41.19	L 44	# 278
Fom Mathe	у	Baynetworks			David Law		3Com		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		
The pa	ragraph has a "sh	nall" without a corresponding P	ICS entry.			21 defines PIC hould read 'See	S stuff therefore 'See clause		
Suggested	Remedy						clause 21		
Item					Suggested See co				
Subcla	use 41.2.2.1.6 Comment	ion of ANYXJIPN			Proposed I ACCEF		Response Status C		
	rt Yes []				C/ 41	SC 41.6.3	P 41.20	L 3	# 279
Proposed F	Response	Response Status C			David Law		3Com		
The sta		E. precedence over the test, and word "shall" will be removed fi				51	Comment Status A d have been removed as its		
		will read: "The test passes wh P, or N, meet the test condition		e ports, excluding	Suggested Remov				
C/ 41 fom Mathe	SC 41.2.2.1.6 y	P 41.11 Baynetworks	L 4	# 207	Proposed I ACCEF	,	Response Status C		
Comment		Comment Status A			Add va	lue/comment fie	ld to RE5 and RE6.		
The pa	ragraph has a "sh	nall" without a corresponding P	ICS entry.		C/ 41	SC Figure 4	1-1 <i>P</i> 41.1	L 2731	# 193
Suggested					Tom Mathe	-	Baynetworks		
Add Ple Item	CS entry as follow	NS:			Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		
Feature Subcla	e Port designat use 41.2.2.1.6	ion of ONLY1			In Figu	re 41-1, the line	which leaves block at far lower labeled PMD is incorrect.	eft labeled PH	SICAL and goes in
Status-	Comment - M				Suggested	Remedy			
	rt Yes []				Add a d	log-leg to the lin	e such that it enters box labeled	MEDIUM at th	e upper left.
ACCEF The sta		Response Status C E. precedence over the test, and word "shall" will be removed fi			Proposed I ACCEF		Response Status C		
	worded sentence nditions."	will read: "The test passes wh	nen one and or	ly one port meets the					

C/ 41	SC Figure 41-5	P41.15	L 50	# 208
Tom Mathey		Baynetworks		

Tom Mathey

Comment Type Е Comment Status R

Please make the use of FCELimit similiar to that of CELimit in Figure 41-4. This can be done by changing from "equals" to "equals or greater than". This takes care of all possible values of FCELimit.

SuggestedRemedy

Change symbol from "equals" to "equals or greater than".

Then change text on page 41.6 line 8:

from: when the False Carrier Event Count equals the value FCELimit to: when the False Carrier Event Count equals or exceeds the value FCELimit

Proposed Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This was discussed in response to a similar comment on the working group ballot (comment #1102 to draft 3.1). Because the execution of the state diagrams is timeless, i.e. a transition occurs instantly when the condition becomes true, there is no functional difference between a transition on a counter equal to the limit versus a transition when a counter is greater than or equal to the limit. Therefore no change is necessary.

C/ 42 SC 42.1.1 P 42.2 L 43 # 209 Form Mathey Baynetworks	C/ 42 SC 42.3 P 42.4 L 33 # 282 David Law 3Com
Comment Type E Comment Status A The sentence for notes "a)" thru "f)" are each missing a period at the end of each sentence. SuggestedRemedy Add period at end of each sentence. Add period at end of each sentence.	say that there is no margin. When I performed the calculation I found that all distances, other than fibre DTE-DTE link, have a minimum of 32 bit times
Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	margin (as recommended by Model 2). SuggestedRemedy
C/ 42 SC 42.2.1.1 P42.3 L 12 # 283 vavid Law 3Com	I note that in subclause 42.1.1 (line 34, page 42.2) we say that these calculations are conservative therefore I suggest that we add margin to the one value that has not and remove the note.
comment Type E Comment Status A While for Table 42-2 we note that the Fibre DTE-DTE Ink has no margin the same figure in this table has no similar note. We also do not note that this table is in meters. (See my comment on Table 42-2)	Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT. The fiber link distance will be reduced from 320 to 316 meters and the "no margin" note will be deleted.
uggestedRemedy	C/ 42 SC 42.3.1.1 P42.5 L1 # 281
Please add note that distances are in meters. Also add the note that there is no margin if my comment	David Law 3Com
about Table 42-2 is not accepted. roposed Response Response Status C	Comment Type E Comment Status A 'Figures' should read 'Figure', there is only one figure referenced here.
ACCEPT. It is not necessary to note that distances are in meters since this is already indicated in the column heading. The "no margin" note will not be necessary since comment #282 is accepted. The value for the max fiber segment will change to 316 meters with corresponding change to the round trip delay.	SuggestedRemedy See comment
	Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
	C/ 42 SC 42.3.1.2 P 42.5 L 22 # 280 David Law 3Com
	Comment Type E Comment Status A There is no need to sum the repeater delays as the can only ever be one repeater.
	SuggestedRemedy Please remove the summation symbol for repeater delay. Also perform this change for line 51 on the same page.
	Proposed Response Response Status C ACCEPT.