# QoS/FC Study Group Progress Report



Chairman
IEEE 802
Executive Committee Study Group
on
QoS/FC

**March 1999** 



## Agenda

- Concerns raised in Nov plenary meting
- Interaction with the IETF
- Interim meeting and motions
- E-mail Straw Poll

## Concerns/Recommendations raised in November



- Apprehension on interaction of L2 flow control with that of L4
- Concern about TCP operation if packet discard were avoided
- Need to share work of the study group with the IETF
- Vote to send a letter to the IETF asking for coordination and feedback



#### Interaction with the IETF

- Sent formal letter to Scott Bradner after discussing it on the reflector
- Several volunteers participated in the IETF meeting of Dec 98 with focus on
- Met and established working relationship with chairs of relevant IETF working groups



#### IETF Position

- Interested in the QoS work going on in the IEEE 802 study group
- Concerned about the interaction of L2 flow control with L4 flow control of TCP
  - Don't know if it would help or hurt
  - Not much work done in that area

Conclusion: Not enough info in this area



### Interim Meeting

- Held in Miami on Monday 1/18/99
- 33 attendees (list available)
- Discussions on how to proceed with the study group
- Proposal for lab work to address concerns
- Discussions of the proposal
- Motions

## Proposed lab work discussed in Jan



• Investigate the interaction of L2 flow control mechanism with that of L4, in particular TCP

Investigate how well any available L2
mechanisms for QoS and flow control fit
with the mechanisms being developed by the
IETF

# Progress with lab work proposal in January



- Test plan discussed in the Interim meeting
- Many suggestions and discussions
- Came to an agreement on the test topology, methodology, and tests to be performed.
- Question about who would do the work was unresolved



#### Straw Polls in January

• "Do you think that the IEEE 802 QOS/FC Study Group should continue study of QOS/FC issues."

(Y:10, N13: A:0).

- Continued discussion.
- Not enough support from the group for stepping up to do the lab work
- QOS is not appropriate for the link layer?



### Straw Polls in January ...

• "Do you think that the IEEE 802 QOS/FC ECSG should continue to perform simulation study of QOS/FC issues."

(Y:7, N:14, A:2)



### Straw Polls in January ...

 "Do you think that the IEEE 802 QOS/FC ECSG should perform hardware testing of QOS/FC issues."

(Y:3, N:14, A:5)



## Motion in Jan meeting

• "The EC Study group directs its chair to recommend to the 802 executive committee, on Monday morning of the next 802 plenary meeting, that the study group be disbanded".

Moved: Mick Seaman

Seconded: Rich Seifert

(Passed Y:14, N:5, A:3)



## Interest in the QoS/FC SG

- Several companies and individuals expressed interest in continuing the effort
- Several individuals indicated that they were only planning on participating in IEEE 802 meetings only because of the study group activities
- Keep on getting requests for info by phone and email
- Continually adding people to the reflector requests



#### E-Mail Straw Poll

"Should the QoS/FC Study Group continue its work to develop a PAR for a standard to specify QoS and Flow Control support mechanisms for Layer 2 LAN/MAN Access Devices that will permit uniform Layer 3 Services across LAN/MAN connected networks."

Y:26

N:9

A:1



#### Straw Poll Vote Details

Clark Jeffries Yes

Floyd Ross Yes

Farzin Firoozmand Yes

John Messenger No

Keith Balmer No

Igor Zhovnirovsky Yes

David Chen Yes

Allen Kasey Yes

Bill Quackenbush No

Buzz Rigsbee Yes

Daun Langston Yes

Nader Vijeh Yes



#### Straw Poll Vote Details ...

Alan Flatman Yes

Matthias Kraner Yes

Jim Mollenauer Yes

Dhadesugoor Vaman Yes

Edward Chan Yes

Bruce Northcote Yes

Stefan Wurster Yes

Colin Mick Yes

Jeff Lynch Yes

Paul Congdon No

Tony Jeffree No

Ken Macleod Yes



#### Straw Poll Vote Details ...

Gabriel Hecht Yes

Pradeep Singh Yes

Hal Keen Yes

G.Y. Hanna Yes

Metin Aydemir Yes

Geoff Thompson No

Brad Booth No

Gopal Hegde Yes

Thomas Dineen No

Rich Froke Yes

Ken Brinkerhoff Yes

Andrew Smith Abstain

# And then we have Rich Seifert's vote ...



I voted to disband the Study Group in the only "poll" that should count, a vote authorized by a motion and second to the Study Group. I stand by my opinion that the Study Group should disband.